

# THE BV FORMALISM FOR $L_\infty$ -ALGEBRAS

DENIS BASHKIROV AND ALEXANDER A. VORONOV

ABSTRACT. Functorial properties of the correspondence between commutative  $BV_\infty$ -algebras and  $L_\infty$ -algebras are investigated. The category of  $L_\infty$ -algebras with  $L_\infty$ -morphisms is characterized as a certain category of *pure*  $BV_\infty$ -algebras with *pure*  $BV_\infty$ -morphisms. The functor assigning to a commutative  $BV_\infty$ -algebra the  $L_\infty$ -algebra given by higher derived brackets is also shown to have a left adjoint. Cieliebak-Fukaya-Latschev's machinery of  $IBL_\infty$ - and  $BV_\infty$ -morphisms is further developed with introducing the logarithm of a map.

## INTRODUCTION

One way to approach the notion of a strongly homotopy Lie algebra is via the language of formal geometry, see [KS06]. Namely, it is known that the data of an  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is equivalent to that of a formal pointed differential graded (dg) manifold  $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ . The corresponding  $L_\infty$  structure is then encoded in the cofree dg cocommutative coalgebra  $S(\mathfrak{g}[1])$  of distributions on  $\mathfrak{g}[1]$  supported at the basepoint. The idea of Batalin-Vilkovisky (BV) formalism in physics suggests that it might be useful to study what the  $L_\infty$  structure looks like from a Fourier-dual perspective [Los07], namely, the point of view of the standard dg commutative algebra structure on  $S(\mathfrak{g}[1])$ . In fact, we show that an  $L_\infty$  structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  translates into a special kind of commutative homotopy Batalin-Vilkovisky ( $BV_\infty$ ) structure on  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  and, moreover, does it in a functorial way. Geometrically, we can say that we describe a formal pointed dg manifold  $\mathfrak{g}[1]$  as a pointed  $BV_\infty$ -manifold  $(\mathfrak{g}[-1])^*$  of a special kind. This provides a Fourier-dual, BV alternative to the standard characterization of the category of  $L_\infty$ -algebras as a subcategory of the category of dg cocommutative coalgebras or formal pointed dg manifolds. In particular, the *coalgebra codifferential* on  $S(\mathfrak{g}[1])$  encoding the structure of an  $L_\infty$ -algebra on a graded vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$  turns into a *square-zero differential operator with linear coefficients* on the algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$ . We also show that the functor that assigns to an  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  the  $BV_\infty$ -algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  is left adjoint to a “functor” that assigns to a  $BV_\infty$ -algebra the  $L_\infty$ -algebra given by higher derived brackets. This fact may be interpreted geometrically as a statement that the functor  $\mathfrak{g}[1] \mapsto (\mathfrak{g}[-1])^*$  from formal pointed dg manifolds to pointed  $BV_\infty$ -manifolds has a right adjoint.

The correspondence between  $L_\infty$  and  $BV_\infty$  structures that we establish in the paper is to a large extent motivated by the technique of higher derived brackets. The origins of higher derived brackets can be traced back to the iterated commutators of A. Grothendieck, see Exposé VII<sub>A</sub> by P. Gabriel in [GP11], and J.-L. Koszul

---

*Date:* January 31, 2016.

This work was supported by the World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative), MEXT, Japan, the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications with funds provided by the National Science Foundation, and a grant from the Simons Foundation (#282349 to A. V.).

[Kos85], used in the algebraic study of differential operators, though the subject really flourished later in physics under the name of higher “antibrackets” in the works of J. Alfaro, I. A. Batalin, K. Bering, P. H. Damgaard and R. Marnelius [AD96, BBD97, BM98, BM99a, BM99b, BDA96, Ber07] on the BV formalism. A mathematically friendly approach was developed by F. Akman’s [Akm97, Akm00] and generalized further by T. Voronov [Vor05a, Vor05b], who described  $L_\infty$  brackets derived by iterating a binary Lie bracket not necessarily given by the commutator. There have been various versions of higher derived brackets introduced in other contexts, such as the ternary bracket of [RW98] for Courant algebroids and its higher-bracket generalization of [FM07, Get10] for dg Lie algebras or the  $A_\infty$  products of [Bör14] for dg associative algebras and the twisted  $L_\infty$  brackets and  $A_\infty$  products of [Mar13]. The notion of a  $BV_\infty$ -algebra was studied by K. Bering and T. Lada [BL09], K. Cieliebak and J. Latschev [CL07], I. Gálvez-Carrillo, A. Tonks and B. Vallette [GCTV12], O. Kravchenko [Kra00], and D. Tamarkin and B. Tsygan [TT00]. Lately, considerable interest in homotopy involutive Lie bialgebras, or  $IBL_\infty$ -algebras, which are  $BV_\infty$ -algebras of a particular type, has emerged, see [CL07, CFL15, MS13, CMW14].  $IBL_\infty$ -algebras are also known as  $\Omega(\text{CoFrob})$ -algebras of [DCTT10] and closely related to quantum (or loop) homotopy Lie algebras of [Zwi95, Mar01].

The BV formalism as a replacement of the dg-coalgebra language seems to be even more natural for studying Lie-Rinehart pairs  $(\mathfrak{g}, A)$ , see [Hue98, Vit13].

We review the notion of a  $BV_\infty$ -algebra in Section 1 and describe the  $BV_\infty$  structure on  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  in Section 2. A characterization of  $BV_\infty$ -algebras arising this way is presented in Section 3. In Section 4 we prove the first main result of the paper: a description of the category of  $L_\infty$ -algebras as a certain subcategory of the category of  $BV_\infty$ -algebras. Section 5 is dedicated to the second main result, the adjunction theorem.

**Conventions and notation.** We will work over a ground field  $k$  of characteristic zero. A differential graded (dg) vector space  $V$  will mean a complex of  $k$ -vector spaces with a differential of degree one. The degree of a homogeneous element  $v \in V$  will be denoted by  $|v|$ . In the context of graded algebra, we will be using the Koszul rule of signs when talking about the graded version of notions involving symmetry, including commutators, brackets, symmetric algebras, derivations, *etc.*, often omitting the modifier *graded*. For any integer  $n$ , we define a *translation* (or  *$n$ -fold desuspension*)  $V[n]$  of  $V$ :  $V[n]^p := V^{n+p}$  for each  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For a dg vector space  $V$ , we will also consider the dg  $k[[\hbar]]$ -module  $V[[\hbar]]$  of formal power series in a variable  $\hbar$  of degree 2 with values in  $V$ . We will also sometimes refer to differential operators of order  $\leq n$  as differential operators of order  $n$ .

*Acknowledgments.* The authors are grateful to Maxim Kontsevich, Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Janko Latschev, Martin Markl, Jim Stasheff, Daniel Sternheimer, Luca Vitagliano, Theodore Voronov, and the anonymous referee for useful remarks. A. V. also thanks IHES, where part of this work was done, for its hospitality.

## 1. HOMOTOPY BV ALGEBRAS

We will utilize a strictly commutative version of the notion of a homotopy BV algebra, also known as a generalized BV algebra, due to Kravchenko [Kra00], which is less general than the full-fledged homotopy versions of [TT00] and [GCTV12].

Nevertheless, we will take the liberty to use the term  $BV_\infty$ -algebra, following a trend set by several authors [CL07, TTW11, BL13, Vit13]. The following definition gives a graded version of Grothendieck's notion of a differential operator in commutative algebra.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $n \geq 0$  be an integer. A  $k$ -linear operator  $D : V \rightarrow V$  on a graded commutative algebra  $V$  is said to be a *differential operator of order  $\leq n$*  if for any  $n + 1$  elements  $a_0, \dots, a_n \in V$ , we have

$$[\dots [D, L_{a_0}], \dots], L_{a_n}] = 0,$$

where the  $L_a$  is the left-multiplication operator

$$L_a(b) := ab$$

on  $V$  and the bracket  $[-, -]$  is the graded commutator of two  $k$ -linear operators.

**Definition 1.2.** A  $BV_\infty$ -algebra is a graded commutative algebra  $V$  over  $k$  with a  $k$ -linear map  $\Delta : V \rightarrow V[[\hbar]]$  of degree one satisfying the following properties:

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hbar^n \Delta_n,$$

where  $\Delta_n$  is a differential operator of order (at most)  $n$  on  $V$ ,

$$\Delta^2 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(1) = 0,$$

The continuous (in the  $\hbar$ -adic topology),  $k[[\hbar]]$ -linear extension of  $\Delta$  to  $V[[\hbar]]$  will also be denoted  $\Delta : V[[\hbar]] \rightarrow V[[\hbar]]$  and called a  $BV_\infty$  operator.

Recall that we assumed that  $|\hbar| = 2$ , thus  $|\Delta_1| = 1$ ,  $|\Delta_2| = -1$ , and generally,  $|\Delta_n| = 3 - 2n$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Note that  $\Delta_1$  will automatically be a differential in the usual sense, *i.e.*, define the structure of a dg commutative algebra on  $V$ . If  $\Delta_n = 0$  for  $n \geq 3$ , we recover the notion of a *dg BV algebra*, see [KS95, Akm97, BK98, Hue98, Man99, Kra00, TT00]. If moreover  $\Delta_1 = 0$ , we get the notion of a *BV algebra*, also known as a *Beilinson-Drinfeld algebra*, see [BD04, Gwi12, CG14].  $BV$  algebras arose as part of the  $BV$  formalism in physics. A basic geometric example of (a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded version of) a  $BV$  algebra is the algebra of functions on a smooth supermanifold with an odd symplectic form and a volume density, see [Sch93, Get94]. An example of such a supermanifold is the odd cotangent bundle  $\Pi T^*M$  of a (classical, rather than super) smooth manifold  $M$  with a volume form, where  $\Pi T^*M$  denotes the translation  $T^*[-1]M$  modulo 2 of the cotangent bundle. A Lie-theoretic version of this example is the graded symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$ , also known as the exterior algebra  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{g})$ , of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential as  $\Delta_2$ . We will describe an  $L_\infty$  generalization of this example in Section 2. More generally, one can view the  $BV_\infty$  structure considered in this paper as a homotopy version of the algebraic structure arising in  $BV$  geometry.

**Example 1.3.** ([KV08, BL13, Vit13]) Let  $M$  be a smooth graded manifold and  $C^\infty(M, S(T[-1]M)[1])$  be the graded Lie algebra of (global, smooth) multivector fields on  $M$  with respect to the Schouten bracket. When  $M$  is a usual, ungraded manifold,  $S(T[-1]M)[1]$  is the exterior-algebra bundle  $\wedge TM$ , in which a  $k$ -vector field, or a section of  $\wedge^k TM$ , has degree  $k - 1$ . A *generalized Poisson structure* on a graded manifold  $M$  is a multivector field  $P$  of degree one such that  $[P, P] = 0$ . A generalized Poisson structure on  $M$  may be expanded as  $P = P_0 + P_1 + \dots$

with  $P_n \in C^\infty(M, S^n(T[-1]M)[1])$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , the generalized Lie derivative  $\Delta_n = [d, \iota_{P_n}]$ , where  $\iota_{(-)}$  is the interior product, defines an  $n$ th-order differential operator of degree  $3 - 2n$  on the de Rham algebra  $(\Omega(M), d)$ , where  $\Omega(M) := C^\infty(M, S(T^*[-1]M))$ . If we assume that  $P_0 = 0$  to avoid differential operators of order zero, then  $\Delta = (d + \Delta_1) + \Delta_2 \hbar + \cdots + \Delta_n \hbar^{n-1} + \cdots : \Omega(M) \rightarrow \Omega(M)[[\hbar]]$  defines a  $BV_\infty$  structure on  $\Omega(M)$ , known as the *de Rham-Koszul*  $BV_\infty$  structure.

## 2. FROM HOMOTOPY LIE ALGEBRAS TO HOMOTOPY BV ALGEBRAS

The construction of this section belongs essentially to C. Braun and A. Lazarev, see [BL13, Example 3.12]. Consider an  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , i.e., a graded vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a codifferential on the cofree graded cocommutative coalgebra  $S(\mathfrak{g}[1])$  on  $\mathfrak{g}[1]$  with respect to the “shuffle” comultiplication:

$$\delta(x_1 \dots x_m) := \sum_{n=0}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{n, m-n}} (-1)^{|x_\sigma|} (x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(n)}) \otimes (x_{\sigma(n+1)} \dots x_{\sigma(m)}),$$

where  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathfrak{g}[1]$ ,  $\text{Sh}_{n, m-n}$  is the set of  $(n, m-n)$  shuffles: permutations  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that  $\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \cdots < \sigma(n)$  and  $\sigma(n+1) < \sigma(n+2) < \cdots < \sigma(m)$ , and  $(-1)^{|x_\sigma|}$  is the Koszul sign of the permutation of  $x_1 \dots x_m$  to  $x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(m)}$  in  $S(\mathfrak{g}[1])$ . Here a *codifferential* is a coderivation  $D : S(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g}[1])$  of degree one such that  $D^2 = 0$  and  $D(1) = 0$ . Given that a coderivation is determined by its projection to the cogenerators, we can write

$$D = D_1 + D_2 + D_3 + \dots,$$

where  $D_n$  is the extension as a coderivation of the  $n$ th symmetric component  $l_n : S^n(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}[1]$  of the projection  $S(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \xrightarrow{D} S(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}[1]$ . An explicit relation between  $D_n$  and  $l_n$  will be useful: for  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathfrak{g}[1]$

$$(1) \quad D_n(x_1 \dots x_m) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{n, m-n}} (-1)^{|x_\sigma|} l_n(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) x_{\sigma(n+1)} \dots x_{\sigma(m)},$$

if  $m \geq n$ , and  $D_n(x_1 \dots x_m) = 0$  otherwise.

**Theorem 2.1** (C. Braun and A. Lazarev). *Given an  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the free graded commutative algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  becomes a  $BV_\infty$ -algebra under the  $BV_\infty$  operator*

$$(2) \quad \Delta := \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hbar^n D_n.$$

*Proof.* Since  $D_n : S^m(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \rightarrow S^{m-n+1}(\mathfrak{g}[1])$  is a degree one map, it turns into a map  $D_n : S^m(\mathfrak{g}[-1]) \rightarrow S^{m-n+1}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  of degree  $3 - 2n$  under the new grading.<sup>1</sup> For each  $n$ ,

$$\sum_{i+j=n} D_i D_j = 0,$$

because this sum is exactly the component of  $D^2$  which maps  $S^m(\mathfrak{g}[1])$  to  $S^{m-n+2}(\mathfrak{g}[1])$ . The map  $D_n$  will also be a differential operator of order  $n$ , because of the following lemma, which expresses an important relation between coderivations and differential operators and may be observed directly from Equation (1).

<sup>1</sup>Strictly speaking, the use of  $D_n$  to denote the two maps is abuse of notation, because they differ by powers of the double suspension operator  $\mathfrak{g}[1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}[-1]$ , but we prefer to keep it this way, because double suspension does not affect signs.

**Lemma 2.2.** *The coderivation of the coalgebra  $S(\mathfrak{g}[1])$  extending a linear map  $S^n(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}[1]$  becomes a differential operator of order  $\leq n$  on the algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$ .*

□

The construction of this section seems to be math-physics folklore in the case when  $(\mathfrak{g}, d, [-, -])$  is a dg Lie algebra: the differential  $\Delta = D_1 + \hbar D_2$  defines a dg BV algebra structure on  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$ . The operator  $\Delta$  is essentially the homological Chevalley-Eilenberg differential:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x_1 \dots x_m) &= \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{|x_1 \dots x_{i-1}|} x_1 \dots dx_i \dots x_m \\ &+ \hbar \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (-1)^{|x_{\sigma(i,j)}| + |x_i|} [x_i, x_j] x_1 \dots \widehat{x}_i \dots \widehat{x}_j \dots x_m, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma(i, j)$  is the corresponding shuffle, the  $x_i$ 's in  $\mathfrak{g}$  are treated as elements of  $\mathfrak{g}[-1]$ , and, following standard conventions,  $d = l_1$  and  $[x_i, x_j] = (-1)^{|x_i|} l_2(x_i, x_j)$ .

*Remark.* An  $A_\infty$ -analogue of the above construction has been proposed by J. Terilla, T. Tradler, and S. Wilson in [TTW11]: for an  $A_\infty$ -algebra  $V$ , the tensor algebra  $T(V[-1])$  (equipped with the shuffle product) is provided with a  $BV_\infty$ -structure. There is also an interesting generalization to the  $\infty$ -version of a Lie-Rinehart pair considered by L. Vitagliano [Vit13].

*Remark.* We will also need a certain  $\hbar$ -enhancement of the construction of a  $BV_\infty$ -algebra from an  $L_\infty$ -algebra. Suppose the graded  $k[[\hbar]]$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$  for a graded vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$  is provided with the structure of a topological  $L_\infty$ -algebra over  $k[[\hbar]]$  with respect to  $\hbar$ -adic topology. Then the same formula (2) defines a  $BV_\infty$ -structure on  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  over  $k$ . There is a subtlety, though: each operator  $D_n$  is a formal power series in  $\hbar$  now, and in the  $\hbar$ -expansion

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hbar^n \Delta_n,$$

there are contributions to  $\Delta_n$  from  $D_1, D_2, \dots$ , and  $D_n$ . This still guarantees that  $\Delta_n$  is a differential operator of order at most  $n$  on  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  satisfying the conditions of Definition 1.2.

To summarize, given an  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we obtain a canonical  $BV_\infty$ -algebra structure on  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$ . There is also a construction going in the opposite direction.

### 3. FROM HOMOTOPY BV ALGEBRAS TO HOMOTOPY LIE ALGEBRAS

Suppose we have a  $BV_\infty$ -algebra  $V$ . Then for each  $n \geq 1$ , the following *higher derived brackets*

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad l_n^{\hbar}(a_1, \dots, a_n) &:= [[\dots [\Delta, L_{a_1}], \dots], L_{a_n}]1 \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \hbar^{k-1} [[\dots [\Delta_k, L_{a_1}], \dots], L_{a_n}]1 \end{aligned}$$

on  $V[[\hbar]]$ , their  $\hbar$ -modification

$$(4) \quad L_n := \frac{1}{\hbar^{n-1}} l_n^{\hbar},$$

and their “semiclassical limit”

$$\begin{aligned}
(5) \quad l_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) &:= \lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} L_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\
&= \lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\hbar^{n-1}} [[\dots [\Delta, L_{a_1}], \dots], L_{a_n}] 1 \\
&= [[\dots [\Delta_n, L_{a_1}], \dots], L_{a_n}] 1
\end{aligned}$$

on  $V$  turn out to be  $L_\infty$  brackets, according to the results in this section below. Just for comparison, note that  $l_1^\hbar = L_1 = \Delta$ , whereas  $l_1 = \Delta_1$ . Observe also that we have a linear (or strict)  $L_\infty$ -morphism

$$\begin{aligned}
(V[[\hbar]][-1], l_n^\hbar) &\rightarrow (V[[\hbar]][1], L_n), \\
v &\mapsto \hbar v,
\end{aligned}$$

which becomes an  $L_\infty$ -isomorphism after localization in  $\hbar$ . Thus, we can think of the  $L_\infty$  structure given by the brackets  $L_n$  as an  $\hbar$ -translation of the  $L_\infty$  structure given by  $l_n^\hbar$ .

One can express  $\Delta$  through  $l_n^\hbar$  via the following useful formula

$$(6) \quad \Delta(a_1 \dots a_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{j, n-j}} (-1)^{|a_\sigma|} l_j^\hbar(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(j)}) a_{\sigma(j+1)} \dots a_{\sigma(n)}$$

for  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in V$ , which is easy to prove by induction on  $n$  using Equation (7) below, starting with  $n = 1$  for  $l_1^\hbar = \Delta$ .

**Theorem 3.1** (Bering-Damgaard-Alfaro). *For a  $BV_\infty$ -algebra  $V$ , the higher brackets  $l_n^\hbar$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , defined by (3) endow the suspension  $V[[\hbar]][-1]$  with the structure of an  $L_\infty$ -algebra over  $k[[\hbar]]$ . Moreover, the bracket  $l_{n+1}^\hbar$  measures the deviation of  $l_n^\hbar$  from being a multiderivation with respect to multiplication.*

*Remark.* This result was first observed by the physicists [BDA96] and proven in a more general context by T. Voronov [Vor05a, Vor05b]. The  $L_\infty$  structure was also rediscovered by O. Kravchenko in [Kra00].

*Proof.* Using the Jacobi identity for the commutator of linear operators along with the fact that  $L_a$  and  $L_b$  (graded) commute, it is easy to check that the higher brackets  $l_n^\hbar$  are symmetric on  $V[[\hbar]]$ :

$$l_n^\hbar(a_{\pi(1)}, \dots, a_{\pi(n)}) = (-1)^{|a_\pi|} l_n^\hbar(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

for all  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in V[[\hbar]]$ , where  $(-1)^{|a_\pi|}$  is the Koszul sign, see Section 2. Since  $|\Delta| = 1$ , the degree of  $l_n^\hbar$  as a bracket on  $V[[\hbar]]$  will be the same. We can extend the  $k[[\hbar]]$ -linear operators  $l_n^\hbar : S^n(V)[[\hbar]] \rightarrow V[[\hbar]]$  to coderivations  $D_n : S(V)[[\hbar]] \rightarrow S(V)[[\hbar]]$  and consider the total coderivation

$$D = D_1 + D_2 + \dots$$

on  $S(V)[[\hbar]]$ . The differential property  $D^2 = 0$  for this coderivation is equivalent to the series of *higher Jacobi identities*:

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{n, m-n}} (-1)^{|a_\sigma|} l_{m-n+1}^\hbar(l_n^\hbar(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)}), a_{\sigma(n+1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(m)}) = 0$$

for all  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in V[[\hbar]]$ ,  $m \geq 1$ . The physicists [BDA96] and T. Voronov [Vor05a] in a more general situation checked these identities using the following key observation for an arbitrary odd operator  $\Delta$  on  $V[[\hbar]]$ , not necessarily squaring to zero:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{n, m-n}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{a}_\sigma|} l_{m-n+1}^{\hbar} (l_n^{\hbar}(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)}), a_{\sigma(n+1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(m)}) \\ = [[\dots[\Delta^2, L_{a_1}], \dots], L_{a_m}]1. \end{aligned}$$

Given that  $\Delta^2 = 0$ , the higher Jacobi identities follow.

The deviated multiderivation property, more precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad l_{n+1}^{\hbar}(a_1, \dots, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}) &= l_n^{\hbar}(a_1, \dots, a_i \cdot a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}) \\ &\quad - (-1)^{(1+|a_1|+\dots+|a_{i-1}|)|a_i|} a_i l_n^{\hbar}(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}) \\ &\quad - (-1)^{(1+|a_1|+\dots+|a_i|)|a_{i+1}|} a_{i+1} l_n^{\hbar}(a_1, \dots, a_i, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

of the higher brackets may be derived from the identity

$$[Q, L_{ab}] = [[Q, L_a], L_b] + (-1)^{|Q| \cdot |a|} L_a[Q, L_b] + (-1)^{(|Q|+|a|)|b|} L_b[Q, L_a]$$

for an arbitrary (homogeneous) linear operator  $Q$  on  $V[[\hbar]]$ . Applying this to  $Q = [[\dots[\Delta, L_{a_1}], \dots], L_{a_n}]$ , we see that  $l_{n+1}^{\hbar}$  measures the deviation of  $l_n^{\hbar}$  from being a derivation in the last variable. Since the higher brackets are symmetric, we obtain the same property in each variable.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2** (T. Voronov [Vor05a]). *Given a  $BV_\infty$ -algebra  $V$ , the brackets  $L_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , defined by (4) endow the graded  $k[[\hbar]]$ -module  $V[[\hbar]][1]$  with the structure of an  $L_\infty$ -algebra over  $k[[\hbar]]$ . Likewise, the brackets  $l_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , defined by (5) endow the graded vector space  $V[1]$  with the structure of an  $L_\infty$ -algebra over  $k$ . Moreover, the brackets  $l_n$  are multiderivations of the graded commutative algebra structure.*

*Proof.* The statements of the corollary are obtained as the ‘‘semiclassical limit’’ of the statements of Theorem 3.1, and so is the proof. Note the change of suspension to desuspension from the theorem to the corollary. This corresponds intuitively to the statement that the semiclassical limit of the space  $V[[\hbar]][-1]$  is  $\hbar V[-1] = V[1]$ . Concretely, the desuspension guarantees that the degree of the  $n$ th higher bracket  $l_n$  on  $V[2]$  is still one: indeed  $|\Delta_n| = 3 - 2n$ , when  $\Delta_n$  is considered as an operator on  $V$ ; therefore, the degree of  $l_n$  as a multilinear operation on  $V[2]$  will be  $3 - 2n + 2(n - 1) = 1$ .

The multiderivation property is obtained by dividing (7) by  $\hbar^{n-1}$  and noticing that the left-hand side will not survive the limit as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ , because it has  $\hbar$  as a factor.  $\square$

*Remark.* The algebraic structure which combines the graded commutative multiplication with the  $L_\infty$  structure given by the brackets  $l_n$  is a particular case of the  $G_\infty$ -algebra structure, see [GJ94, Tam98, Tam99, Vor00].

*Remark.* The construction given by the higher brackets  $l_n$  obviously induces an operad morphism  $sL_\infty \rightarrow BV_\infty$ , where  $s$  denotes the operadic suspension, see, e.g., [MSS02]. Here  $BV_\infty$  stands for the operad describing commutative  $BV_\infty$ -algebras, as opposed to the full  $BV_\infty$  operad of [TT00, GCTV12, DCV13]. This operad morphism immediately gives a functor from the category of  $BV_\infty$ -algebras to that of  $L_\infty$ -algebras, provided we restrict ourselves to morphisms of algebras

over operads, *i.e.*, consider only linear (strict) morphisms. However, we will focus on nonlinear morphisms in the subsequent sections of the paper.

**Example 3.3.** The  $L_\infty$  structure given by the brackets  $l_n$  coming from the  $BV_\infty$  structure of Example 1.3 is known as the de Rham-Koszul  $L_\infty$  structure and generalizes the Koszul brackets on the de Rham complex of a manifold, [KV08, BL13, Vit13].

**Example 3.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be an  $L_\infty$ -algebra. Then by the construction of Section 2, we get the structure of a  $BV_\infty$ -algebra on  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$ . If we apply the “semiclassical” derived brackets  $l_n$  of this section to the  $BV_\infty$ -algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$ , we will get the structure of an  $L_\infty$ -algebra on  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1]$ . Later we show in Theorem 3.6(3) that the  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  becomes an  $L_\infty$ -subalgebra of  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1]$ . The higher brackets on  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1]$  may be viewed as extensions of the higher brackets on  $\mathfrak{g}$  as multi-derivations. These higher brackets *generalize the Schouten bracket* on the exterior algebra of a Lie algebra to the  $L_\infty$  case.

Our goal is to characterize those  $BV_\infty$ -algebras which come from  $L_\infty$ -algebras as in Section 2. Note that such a  $BV_\infty$ -algebra is free as a graded commutative algebra by construction:  $V = S(U)$ , and that for each  $n \geq 1$ , the  $n$ th component  $\Delta_n$  of the  $BV_\infty$  operator maps  $S^m(U)$  to  $S^{m-n+1}(U)$  for  $m \geq n$  and to 0 for  $0 \leq m < n$ , because of Equation (1). Since an  $n$ th-order differential operator on a free algebra  $S(U)$  is determined by its restriction to  $S^{\leq n}(U)$ , this condition on  $\Delta_n$  is equivalent to the condition that  $\Delta_n$  maps  $S^n(U)$  to  $U$  and  $S^{<n}(U)$  to 0. Interpreting differential operators on  $S(U)$  as linear combinations of partial derivatives with polynomial coefficients, differential operators of the above type may also be characterized as *differential operators of order  $n$  with linear coefficients*.

**Definition 3.5.** A *pure*  $BV_\infty$ -algebra is the free graded commutative algebra  $S(U)$  on a graded vector space  $U$  with a  $BV_\infty$  operator  $\Delta : S(U) \rightarrow S(U)[[\hbar]]$  such that, for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\Delta_n$  maps  $S^n(U)$  to  $U$  and  $S^{<n}(U)$  to 0.

The following theorem (Parts (1) and (2)) shows that freeness and purity are not only necessary but also sufficient conditions for a  $BV_\infty$ -algebra to arise from an  $L_\infty$ -algebra.

**Theorem 3.6.** (1) *Given a pure  $BV_\infty$  algebra  $(V = S(U), \Delta)$ , the restriction of the brackets  $l_n$  to  $U[1] \subset S(U)[1]$  provides  $U[1]$  with the structure of an  $L_\infty$ -subalgebra.*  
 (2) *The original pure  $BV_\infty$  structure on  $S(U)$  coincides with the  $BV_\infty$  structure (2) of Section 2 coming from the derived  $L_\infty$  structure on  $U[1]$ .*  
 (3) *If we start with an  $L_\infty$  structure on a graded vector space  $U[1]$  and construct the  $BV_\infty$ -algebra  $S(U)$  as in Section 2, then the derived brackets  $l_n$  on  $U[1] \subset S(U)[1]$  return the original  $L_\infty$  structure on  $U[1]$ .*

*Proof.* The first statement we need to check is that  $l_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is in  $U$  whenever  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in U$  and  $n \geq 1$ , as a priori all we know is that  $l_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in S(U)$ . The condition that  $\Delta_n$  maps  $S^m(U)$  to 0 for  $0 \leq m < n$  implies by (5) that  $l_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \Delta_n(a_1 \dots a_n)$ , which must be in  $U$ , because of the condition  $\Delta_n : S^n(U) \rightarrow S^1(U) = U$ .

For the second statement, we need to check that the  $n$ th-order differential operator  $\Delta_n$ , the  $n$ th component of the given  $BV_\infty$  structure, is equal to the coderivation

$D_n$  defined by (1). Recall that on the free algebra  $S(U)$ , an  $n$ th-order differential operator is determined by its restriction to  $S^{\leq n}(U)$ . Given the assumption that  $\Delta_n$  vanishes on  $S^{<n}(U)$ , it follows that  $\Delta_n$  on  $S(U)$  is determined by its restriction to  $S^n(U)$ . By the previous paragraph, its restriction to  $S^n(U)$  is equal to  $l_n$ . On the other hand, this is also the restriction of the coderivation  $D_n$  to  $S^n(U)$ , as per formula (1). Lemma 2.2 shows that the coderivation  $D_n$  is also an  $n$ th-order differential operator. Thus, it is also determined by its restriction to  $S^n(U)$ .

Finally, let  $l_n$  be the  $L_\infty$  brackets on an  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $U[1]$  and  $\tilde{l}_n$  be the higher derived brackets produced on the pure  $BV_\infty$ -algebra  $S(U)$  by formula (5) for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . We claim that  $\tilde{l}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = l_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for all  $n$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in U$ . Indeed,

$$\tilde{l}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = [[\dots [D_n, L_{x_1}], \dots], L_{x_n}]1,$$

where  $D_n$  is the extension of  $l_n$  to  $S(U)$  as a coderivation, see Equation (1). The same equation implies that  $D_n : S(U) \rightarrow S(U)$  is zero on  $S^{<n}(U)$ . Hence all but one term  $(D_n \circ L_{x_1} \circ \dots \circ L_{x_n})(1)$  of this iterated commutator vanish. It remains to observe that by (1) this is nothing but  $l_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* A general, not necessarily pure  $BV_\infty$ -structure on  $S(U)$  leads to an interesting algebraic structure on  $U[1]$ , called an *involutive  $L_\infty$ -bialgebra* or an *IBL $_\infty$ -algebra*, see [CFL15, MS13]. From the properadic, rather than BV prospective, this structure is described in [GCTV12] and [DCTT10]. The BV formalism for ordinary  $L_\infty$ -bialgebras seems to be subtler: apparently, one needs to weaken the definition of a  $BV_\infty$  structure on  $S(U)$  by requiring that for each  $n \geq 1$ , the coefficient by  $\hbar^{n-1}$  in the expansion of  $\Delta^2 = \frac{1}{2}[\Delta, \Delta]$  be of order  $\leq n-1$ , rather than the expected order  $\leq n$ , instead of asking for vanishing of each coefficient of  $\Delta^2$  in its expansion in  $\hbar$ .

#### 4. FUNCTORIALITY

The correspondence between  $BV_\infty$ -algebras and  $L_\infty$ -algebras that we studied above has remarkable functorial properties with a suitable notion of a morphism between  $BV_\infty$ -algebras.

First of all, recall the definition of a morphism between  $L_\infty$ -algebras.

**Definition 4.1.** An  *$L_\infty$ -morphism*  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$  between  $L_\infty$ -algebras is a morphism  $S(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g}'[1])$  of codifferential graded coalgebras, *i.e.*, a morphism of graded coalgebras commuting with the structure codifferentials, such that  $1 \in S^0(\mathfrak{g}[1])$  maps to  $1 \in S^0(\mathfrak{g}'[1])$ .

*Remark.* Since we deal with counital coalgebras, we assume that  $L_\infty$ -morphisms respect the counits. The extra condition  $1 \mapsto 1$  means that we are talking about “pointed” morphisms, if we invoke the interpretation of  $L_\infty$ -morphisms as morphisms between formal pointed dg manifolds, see [KS06].

Now we will consider the corresponding notion of a morphism between  $BV_\infty$ -algebras. We will only need this notion for  $BV_\infty$ -algebras of Theorem 3.6, that is to say,  $BV_\infty$ -algebras which are pure. Somewhat more generally, we will give a definition in the case when the source  $BV_\infty$ -algebra is just free. A more general notion of a  $BV_\infty$ -morphism for more general  $BV_\infty$ -algebras can be found in [TT00]. We use the definition of a  $BV_\infty$ -morphism by Cieliebak-Latchev [CL07].

Before giving the definition, we need to recall a few more notions. Fix a morphism  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  between graded commutative algebras. We say that a  $k$ -linear map  $D : A \rightarrow A'$  is a *differential operator of order  $\leq n$  over  $f : A \rightarrow A'$*  or simply a *relative differential operator of order  $\leq n$*  if for any  $n + 1$  elements  $a_0, \dots, a_n \in A$ , we have

$$[[\dots [D, L_{a_0}], \dots], L_{a_n}] = 0,$$

where  $[D, L_a]$  is understood as the map  $A \rightarrow A'$  defined by

$$[D, L_a](b) := D(ab) - (-1)^{|a| \cdot |D|} f(a)D(b).$$

For  $f = \text{id}$  we recover the standard definition Def. 1.1 of a differential operator on a graded commutative algebra.

Let  $V = S(U)$  be a free graded commutative algebra and  $V'$  an arbitrary graded commutative algebra. Given a  $k$ -linear map  $\varphi : S(U) \rightarrow V'[[\hbar]]$  of degree zero such that  $\varphi(1) = 0$ , define a degree-zero, continuous  $k[[\hbar]]$ -linear map  $\exp(\varphi) : S(U)[[\hbar]] \rightarrow V'[[\hbar]]$ , called the *exponential*, by

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\varphi)(x_1 \dots x_m) := \\ \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k=1 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_k = m}}^m \frac{1}{i_1! \dots i_k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-1)^{|x_{\sigma}|} \varphi(x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(i_1)}) \dots \varphi(x_{\sigma(m-i_k+1)} \dots x_{\sigma(m)}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_m$  denotes the symmetric group,  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  are in  $U$ , and  $(-1)^{|x_{\sigma}|}$  is the Koszul sign of the permutation of  $x_1 \dots x_m$  to  $x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(m)}$  in  $S(U)$ . By convention, we set  $\exp(\varphi)(1) := 1$ . The reason for the exponential notation, introduced by Cieliebak and Latschev [CL07], is, perhaps, the following statement, which they might have been aware of, cf. [CFL15]. The proof is a straightforward computation.

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $S \in \lambda U[[\hbar]]^0[[\lambda]]$  or  $\lambda U((\hbar))^0[[\lambda]]$ , where  $\lambda$  is another, degree-zero formal variable, then*

$$\exp(\varphi)(e^S) = e^{\varphi(e^S)}.$$

Here we have extended  $\varphi$  and  $\exp(\varphi)$  to  $\lambda S(U)((\hbar))[[\lambda]]$  by  $\hbar^{-1}$ - and  $\lambda$ -linearity and continuity.

*Remark.* The extra formal variable  $\lambda$  in the lemma guarantees “convergence” of the exponential  $e^S$ . We could have achieved the same goal, if we considered completions of our algebras or assumed that  $\lambda$  was a nilpotent variable, varying over the maximal ideals of finite-dimensional local Artin algebras. Informally speaking, given the way the space  $S(U)[\lambda, \hbar, \hbar^{-1}]$  of  $S(U)$ -valued polynomials in  $\lambda$  and Laurent polynomials in  $\hbar$  is completed:  $S(U)((\hbar))[[\lambda]]$ , we could think of  $\lambda$  as being “much smaller” than  $\hbar$ .

The exponential, not surprisingly, has an inverse, called the *logarithm*, which we will use a little later. Given a  $k$ -linear map  $\Phi : S(U) \rightarrow V'[[\hbar]]$  of degree zero such that  $\Phi(1) = 1$ , define a degree-zero, continuous  $k[[\hbar]]$ -linear map  $\log(\Phi) :$

$S(U)[[\hbar]] \rightarrow V'[[\hbar]]$  by

$$\begin{aligned} & \log(\Phi)(x_1 \dots x_m) \\ & := \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k=1 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_k = m}}^m \frac{1}{i_1! \dots i_k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-1)^{|x_\sigma|} \Phi(x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(i_1)}) \dots \\ & \hspace{15em} \Phi(x_{\sigma(m-i_k+1)} \dots x_{\sigma(m)}) \end{aligned}$$

under the same notation as for the exponential. By convention, we set  $\log(\Phi)(1) := 0$ .

**Definition 4.3** (Cieliebak-Latchev [CL07]). A  $BV_\infty$ -morphism from a  $BV_\infty$ -algebra  $(V = S(U), \Delta)$  to a  $BV_\infty$ -algebra  $(V', \Delta')$  is a  $k$ -linear map  $\varphi : V \rightarrow V'[[\hbar]]$  of degree zero satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $\varphi(1) = 0$ ,
- (2)  $\exp(\varphi)\Delta = \Delta' \exp(\varphi)$ , and
- (3)  $\varphi$  admits an expansion

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hbar^n \varphi_n,$$

where  $\varphi_n : V \rightarrow V'$  is a differential operator of order  $\leq n$  over the morphism  $S(U) \rightarrow V'$  induced by the zero linear map  $U \xrightarrow{0} V'$ , i.e.,  $\varphi_n$  maps  $S^{>n}(U)$  to 0.

We will use the same notation for the continuous,  $k[[\hbar]]$ -linear extension  $\varphi : V[[\hbar]] \rightarrow V'[[\hbar]]$  of the  $k$ -linear map  $\varphi : V \rightarrow V'[[\hbar]]$ , as well as for the corresponding  $BV_\infty$ -morphism  $\varphi : (V, \Delta) \rightarrow (V', \Delta')$ .

*Remark.* A  $BV_\infty$ -morphism can be regarded as a quantization of a morphism of dg commutative algebras. Indeed,  $\varphi_1$  can be nonzero only on  $U = S^1(U) \subset S(U)$  and by construction  $\exp(\varphi_1)$  will be a graded algebra morphism. The equation  $\exp(\varphi)\Delta = \Delta' \exp(\varphi)$  at  $\hbar = 0$  reduces to  $\exp(\varphi_1)\Delta_1 = \Delta'_1 \exp(\varphi_1)$ , which implies that  $\exp(\varphi_1)$  is a morphism of dg algebras with respect to the ‘‘classical limits’’  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta'_1$  of the  $BV_\infty$  operators.

**Example 4.4.** A nice example of a  $BV_\infty$ -morphism  $S(V) \rightarrow V$  may be obtained from the projection  $p_1 : S(V) \rightarrow V$  of the symmetric algebra  $S(V)$  to its linear component  $V = S^1(V)$  for any  $BV_\infty$ -algebra  $V$ . Before talking about morphisms, we need to provide  $S(V)$  with the structure of a  $BV_\infty$ -algebra. To do that, we take the  $L_\infty$  structure on  $V[[\hbar]][1]$  over  $k[[\hbar]]$  given by the brackets  $L_n$ , see (4), and then the  $BV_\infty$  structure on  $S(V)$  from the remark at the end of Section 2. To regard  $p_1$  as a  $BV_\infty$ -morphism, we compose it with the inclusion  $V \subset V[[\hbar]]$  and get a  $k$ -linear map  $\varphi = \varphi_1 : S(V) \rightarrow V[[\hbar]]$ . By construction,  $\varphi(1) = 0$ . One can easily check that  $\exp(\varphi) = m$ , the multiplication operator  $S(V) \rightarrow V$ . To see that  $\exp(\varphi)$  commutes with the  $BV_\infty$  operators, we observe that, for  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in V$ , the value of the  $BV_\infty$  operator coming from the brackets  $L_j$  on the product  $a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \in S(V)$  is equal to

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \hbar^{j-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{j, n-j}} (-1)^{|a_\sigma|} L_j(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(j)}) \otimes a_{\sigma(j+1)} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{\sigma(n)},$$

because of Equations (1) and (2). When we apply  $m$  to that, the tensor product (multiplication in  $S(V)$ ) will change to multiplication in  $V$ . The result will just be equal to  $(\Delta m)(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n)$  in view of Equation (6).

Another feature of a  $BV_\infty$ -morphism  $\varphi : S(U)[[\hbar]] \rightarrow V'[[\hbar]]$  is that it propagates solutions  $S \in \lambda U((\hbar))^2[[\lambda]]$  of the *Quantum Master Equation (QME)*

$$(8) \quad \Delta e^{S/\hbar} = 0$$

to solutions of the QME in  $\lambda V'((\hbar))^2[[\lambda]]$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *If  $\varphi : S(U) \rightarrow V'$  is a  $BV_\infty$ -morphism and  $S \in \lambda U((\hbar))^2[[\lambda]]$  is a solution of the QME (8), then*

$$S' := \hbar \varphi(e^{S/\hbar}) \in \lambda V'((\hbar))^2[[\lambda]]$$

*is a solution of the QME*

$$\Delta' e^{S'/\hbar} = 0.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.2 we have  $e^{\varphi(e^{S/\hbar})} = \exp(\varphi)(e^{S/\hbar})$ . Since  $\exp(\varphi)$  must respect the  $BV_\infty$  operators, we get

$$\Delta' e^{\varphi(e^{S/\hbar})} = \Delta' \exp(\varphi)(e^{S/\hbar}) = \exp(\varphi) \Delta(e^{S/\hbar}) = 0.$$

□

Now we are ready to study functorial properties of the correspondence between  $L_\infty$ -algebras and  $BV_\infty$ -algebras from Theorem 3.6. Since the  $BV_\infty$ -algebra corresponding to an  $L_\infty$ -algebra is pure, we would like to concentrate on  $BV_\infty$ -morphisms between such  $BV_\infty$ -algebras. Among these  $BV_\infty$ -morphisms, those of the following type turn out to form a category.

**Definition 4.6.** We will call a  $BV_\infty$ -morphism  $\varphi : S(U) \rightarrow S(U')$  between  $BV_\infty$ -algebras which are free as graded commutative algebras *pure*, if  $\varphi_n$  maps  $S^n(U)$  to  $U' \subset S(U')[[\hbar]]$  and all other symmetric powers  $S^k(U)$  to 0. In other words, one can say that  $\varphi_n$  is a *differential operator of order  $n$  with linear coefficients over the morphism  $S(U) \rightarrow S(U')$*  induced by the zero map  $U \xrightarrow{0} S(U')$ .

$BV_\infty$ -algebras which are free as graded commutative algebras form a category under pure  $BV_\infty$ -morphisms in the following way. Given pure  $BV_\infty$ -morphisms  $V \xrightarrow{\varphi} V' \xrightarrow{\psi} V''$ , their composition  $\psi \diamond \varphi : V \rightarrow V''$  is defined by composing their exponentials:

$$\psi \diamond \varphi := \log(\exp(\psi) \circ \exp(\varphi)).$$

Under this composition, the role of identity morphism on  $S(U)$  is played by  $\varphi = \varphi_1 = \text{id}_U$ : in this case,  $\exp(\varphi) = \text{id}_{S(U)}$ .

**Proposition 4.7.** *The composition  $\psi \diamond \varphi$  of any pure  $BV_\infty$ -morphisms is a pure  $BV_\infty$ -morphism.*

*Proof.* First of all, we need to see that the properties (1)-(3) of a  $BV_\infty$ -morphism are satisfied. Property (1) is satisfied because of our conventions on the values of

exponentials and logarithms of maps at 1. Property (2) is obvious by construction. Property (3) may be established from the following formula for pure morphisms:

$$(9) \quad (\psi \diamond \varphi)(x_1 \dots x_m) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k=1 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_k = m}}^m \frac{1}{i_1! \dots i_k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-1)^{|x_\sigma|} \psi(\varphi(x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(i_1)}) \dots \\ \varphi(x_{\sigma(m-i_k+1)} \dots x_{\sigma(m)})),$$

which is easily verified by exponentiating it and comparing it to  $\exp(\psi) \circ \exp(\varphi)$ . Indeed, the coefficient  $(\psi \diamond \varphi)_n(x_1 \dots x_m)$  by  $\hbar^{n-1}$  on the right-hand side will be coming from terms

$$\psi_j(\varphi_{j_1}(x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(i_1)}) \dots \varphi_{j_k}(x_{\sigma(m-i_k+1)} \dots x_{\sigma(m)}))$$

with  $j-1 + \sum_{p=1}^k (j_p-1) = j-1 + \sum_{p=1}^k j_p - k = n-1$ . Observe that because of Property (3) for  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$ , for such a term not to vanish, it is necessary that  $j \geq k$  and  $j_p \geq i_p$  for each  $p$ . Thus, we will have  $n = j + \sum_{p=1}^k j_p - k \geq k + \sum_{p=1}^k i_p - k = m$ , which is Property (3) for  $\psi \diamond \varphi$ . The fact that the composite  $\text{BV}_\infty$ -morphism is pure is obvious from Eq. (9) and purity of  $\psi$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.8.** *The correspondence  $\mathfrak{g} \mapsto S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  of Section 2 from  $L_\infty$ -algebras to  $\text{BV}_\infty$ -algebras is functorial. This functor establishes an equivalence between the category of  $L_\infty$ -algebras and the full subcategory of pure  $\text{BV}_\infty$ -algebras of the category of  $\text{BV}_\infty$ -algebras free as graded commutative algebras with pure morphisms. The functor  $V = S(U) \mapsto U[1]$  of Theorem 3.6(1) provides a weak inverse to this equivalence.*

Restricting this theorem to the world of dg Lie algebras and dg BV algebras, we obtain the following corollaries, which, surprisingly, seem to be new.

**Corollary 4.9.** *The functor  $\mathfrak{g} \mapsto S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  from dg Lie algebras to dg BV algebras establishes an equivalence between the category of dg Lie algebras with  $L_\infty$ -morphisms and the category of dg BV algebras  $(V, \Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ , free as graded commutative algebras  $V = S(U)$  and whose BV structure is pure:  $\Delta_2$  maps  $U$  to 0 and  $S^2(U)$  to  $U$ , with  $\text{BV}_\infty$ -morphisms  $S(U) \rightarrow S(U')$  satisfying the purity condition.*

**Corollary 4.10.** *The functor  $\mathfrak{g} \mapsto S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  from dg Lie algebras to dg BV algebras establishes an equivalence between the category of dg Lie algebras (with dg Lie morphisms) and the category of dg BV algebras  $(V, \Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ , free as graded commutative algebras  $V = S(U)$  and whose BV structure is pure:  $\Delta_2$  maps  $U$  to 0 and  $S^2(U)$  to  $U$ , with morphisms defined as morphisms  $\Phi : S(U) \rightarrow S(U')$  of graded algebras respecting the differentials  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  and satisfying the purity condition:  $\Phi$  maps  $U$  to  $U'$ .*

Now let us prove the theorem.

*Proof.* We need to see that an  $L_\infty$ -morphism  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$  induces a  $\text{BV}_\infty$ -morphism  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1]) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g}'[-1])$ . By definition an  $L_\infty$ -morphism is a graded coalgebra morphism  $\Phi : S(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g}'[1])$  respecting the codifferentials and such that  $\Phi(1) = 1$ . As a coalgebra morphism,  $\Phi$  is determined by its projection  $\varphi : S(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'[1]$  to

the cogenerators  $\mathfrak{g}'[1]$  via the following formula:

$$(10) \quad \Phi(x_1 \dots x_m) = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k=1 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_k = m}}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \varphi(x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(i_1)}) \dots \varphi(x_{\sigma(m-i_k+1)} \dots x_{\sigma(m)}),$$

where  $\text{Sh}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  denotes the set of  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  shuffles,  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  are in  $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ , and  $(-1)^{|\sigma|}$  is the Koszul sign of the permutation of  $x_1 \dots x_m$  to  $x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(m)}$  in  $S(\mathfrak{g}[1])$ . (For  $m = 0$ , we just have  $\Phi(1) = 1$  and  $\varphi(1) = 0$ .) The above formula follows from iteration of the coalgebra morphism property:

$$\delta^{k-1} \Phi = \Phi^{\otimes k} \delta^{k-1}$$

along with its projection to  $(\mathfrak{g}'[1])^{\otimes k}$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . To turn  $\varphi$  into a  $\text{BV}_\infty$ -morphism, we need to rewrite it as a power series in  $\hbar$ :

$$(11) \quad \varphi_\hbar := \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hbar^n \varphi_n,$$

where  $\varphi_n : S(\mathfrak{g}[-1]) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g}'[-1])$  maps all symmetric powers to 0, except for  $S^n(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$ , on which  $\varphi_n$  is the restriction of  $\varphi : S(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'[1]$  to  $S^n(\mathfrak{g}[1])$  along with an appropriate shift in degree to make it into a linear map  $S^n(\mathfrak{g}[-1]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'[-1]$ . Note that the degree of  $\varphi$  was supposed to be zero, as it was a projection of the morphism  $\Phi$  of graded coalgebras. In terms of grading on  $S^n(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  and  $\mathfrak{g}'[-1]$ , the degree of shifted  $\varphi_n$  is  $2 - 2n$ . Multiplication by  $\hbar^{n-1}$  shifts that degree back to 0, thus we see that the degree of  $\varphi_\hbar$  is zero as well.

Note that by construction, the purity condition on  $\varphi_\hbar$  is satisfied, and thereby we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\varphi_\hbar)(x_1 \dots x_m) &= \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\hbar^{m-k}}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k=1 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_k = m}}^m \frac{1}{i_1! \dots i_k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \varphi(x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(i_1)}) \dots \\ &\quad \varphi(x_{\sigma(m-i_k+1)} \dots x_{\sigma(m)}), \end{aligned}$$

whence, comparing this to the right-hand side of (10), we get

$$\exp(\varphi_\hbar) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \hbar^m \Phi_m,$$

where  $\Phi_m$  is the component of  $\Phi$  of degree  $-m$  in the grading given by the symmetric power, so as

$$\Phi = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \Phi_m.$$

We know that  $\Phi$  is compatible with the structure codifferentials  $D$  and  $D'$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}'$ :  $\Phi D = D' \Phi$ . The  $\text{BV}_\infty$  operator on  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  was defined as  $\Delta = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hbar^{m-1} D_m$ , where  $D_m$  maps each  $S^n(\mathfrak{g}[1])$  to  $S^{n-m+1}(\mathfrak{g}[1])$ ; likewise for  $S(\mathfrak{g}'[-1])$ , see (2). Thus, the equation  $\exp(\varphi_\hbar) \Delta = \Delta' \exp(\varphi_\hbar)$  is satisfied, being just a weighted sum of the components of the equation  $\Phi D = D' \Phi$ , where the component shifting the symmetric power down by  $n \geq 0$  is being multiplied by  $\hbar^n$ . This completes verification of the fact that  $\varphi_\hbar$  is a pure  $\text{BV}_\infty$ -morphism.

Conversely, we need to see that every pure  $BV_\infty$ -morphism comes from an  $L_\infty$ -morphism. By Theorem 3.6 we can assume that the source and the target of this  $BV_\infty$ -morphism are the  $BV_\infty$ -algebras  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  and  $S(\mathfrak{g}'[-1])$  coming from some  $L_\infty$ -algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}'$ . Every  $BV_\infty$ -morphism is given by a formal  $\hbar$ -series like (11) satisfying the three conditions of Definition 4.3. Since the morphism is pure, we can “drop” the  $\hbar$  from  $\varphi_\hbar$  and note that the formal series

$$\varphi := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n$$

will produce a well-defined linear map  $S(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'[1]$ . Dropping the  $\hbar$  results in this map also having degree zero. Now we can generate a unique morphism  $\Phi : S(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g}'[1])$  of coalgebras by the linear map  $\varphi$ . This morphism  $\Phi$  will be given by formula (10). Since  $\varphi_\hbar(1) = 0$ , we get  $\varphi(1) = 0$  and  $\Phi(1) = 1$  by the same formula. We just need to check that this morphism  $\Phi$  respects the codifferentials  $D$  and  $D'$  on these two coalgebras, respectively. As in the first part of the proof, we see that the equation  $\exp(\varphi_\hbar)\Delta = \Delta'\exp(\varphi_\hbar)$  implies  $\Phi D = D'\Phi$ . Thus,  $\Phi$  is an  $L_\infty$ -morphism.

We also need to check the functoriality properties of the correspondence  $\mathfrak{g} \mapsto S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$ . The fact that  $\text{id}_\mathfrak{g}$  maps to the identity morphism is obvious. Now, if we have two  $L_\infty$ -morphisms  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}''$  given by dg coalgebra morphisms  $S(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \xrightarrow{\Phi} S(\mathfrak{g}'[1]) \xrightarrow{\Psi} S(\mathfrak{g}''[1])$  with  $\Phi = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \Phi_m$  and  $\Psi = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \Psi_m$ , we note that the exponentials  $\exp(\varphi_\hbar) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \hbar^m \Phi_m$  and  $\exp(\psi_\hbar) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \hbar^m \Psi_m$  of the respective  $BV_\infty$ -morphisms will compose in the same way as  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ , the only difference being that the component decreasing the symmetric power by  $m$  gets multiplied by  $\hbar^m$ .  $\square$

## 5. ADJUNCTION

In this section, we prove a certain “adjunction” property. The quotation marks are due to the fact that in our setting arbitrary  $BV_\infty$ -algebras do not even make up a category. However, in the theorem below, only  $BV_\infty$ -morphisms from a domain of the form  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$ , with  $\mathfrak{g}$  being an  $L_\infty$ -algebra, play a role.

Recall that given an  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we have constructed a  $BV_\infty$ -algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  in Section 2. Conversely, given a  $BV_\infty$ -algebra  $V$ , we have used the higher derived brackets  $L_n$  to induce an  $L_\infty$ -structure on  $V[[\hbar]][1]$  over  $k[[\hbar]]$  as in Corollary 3.2.

Note that both constructions are functorial. The fact that  $\mathfrak{g} \mapsto S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  defines a functor is the first statement of Theorem 4.8. We need to see that the construction assigning to a  $BV_\infty$ -algebra  $V$  the  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $(V[[\hbar]][1], L_n)$  is also functorial. Given a  $BV_\infty$ -morphism  $\varphi : V = S(U) \rightarrow V'$ , we need to construct an  $L_\infty$ -morphism  $V[[\hbar]][1] \rightarrow V'[[\hbar]][1]$ . This construction will be accomplished in two steps.

*Step 1.* Compose the  $BV_\infty$ -morphism  $\varphi : V \rightarrow V'$  with the  $BV_\infty$ -morphism  $p_1 : S(V) \rightarrow V$  of Example 4.4 to get a  $BV_\infty$ -morphism  $\varphi \diamond p_1 : S(V) \rightarrow V'$ .

*Step 2.* Given an  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$  over  $k[[\hbar]]$  and a  $BV_\infty$ -morphism  $\psi : S(\mathfrak{g}[-1]) \rightarrow V'$ , where  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  is provided with the  $BV_\infty$  structure of the remark at the end of Section 2, we will construct a canonical  $L_\infty$ -morphism  $\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]] \rightarrow V'[[\hbar]][1]$ . Then we will just apply this construction to the  $BV_\infty$ -morphism  $S(V) \rightarrow V'$  of Step 1.

In order to construct an  $L_\infty$ -morphism  $\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]] \rightarrow V'[[\hbar]][1]$ , take the graded  $k[[\hbar]]$ -coalgebra morphism, continuous in the  $\hbar$ -adic topology,

$$F : S(\mathfrak{g}[1])[[\hbar]] \rightarrow S(V'[2])[[\hbar]]$$

induced by the  $k[[\hbar]]$ -linear map

$$f : S(\mathfrak{g}[1])[[\hbar]] \rightarrow V'[[\hbar]][2]$$

whose restriction  $f|_{S^k(\mathfrak{g}[1])[[\hbar]]} : S^k(\mathfrak{g}[1])[[\hbar]] \rightarrow V'[[\hbar]][2]$  is the restriction of  $\hbar^{1-k}\psi$  to  $S^k(\mathfrak{g}[1])[[\hbar]]$  for  $k \geq 0$ :

$$f|_{S^k(\mathfrak{g}[1])[[\hbar]]} = \hbar^{1-k}\psi|_{S^k(\mathfrak{g}[1])[[\hbar]]}.$$

This map takes values in  $V'[[\hbar]][2]$ , despite the division by a power of  $\hbar$ , because the restriction of  $\psi$  to  $S^k(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  is in fact equal to  $\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \hbar^{n-1}\psi_n = \hbar^{k-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hbar^n \psi_{n+k}$ . Note that since  $\psi$  is of degree zero,  $f$  will also have degree zero.

We need to check that  $F$  defines an  $L_\infty$ -morphism. It is easy to see that  $F(1) = 1$ , because  $\psi(1) = 0$ . What is far less trivial is the fact that  $F$  respects the codifferentials, the structure codifferential  $D$  on  $S(\mathfrak{g}[1])[[\hbar]]$  and the codifferential  $D'$  on  $S(V'[2])[[\hbar]]$  induced as a continuous coderivation, see (1), by the sum of the brackets (4):

$$L_n : S^n(V'[2])[[\hbar]] \rightarrow V'[[\hbar]][2].$$

What we know is  $\Delta' \exp(\psi) = \exp(\psi)\Delta$ , where  $\Delta'$  is the  $BV_\infty$  operator on  $V'$  and  $\Delta$  is the structure codifferential  $D$  on  $S(\mathfrak{g}[1])[[\hbar]]$  enhanced by  $\hbar$ , as in the remark at the end of Section 2. To see that this implies the equation  $D'F = FD$ , we need to develop some BV calculus and compare it to colgebra calculus.

Let us start with colgebra calculus. Each side of the equation is a continuous coderivation over the coalgebra morphism  $F$  and as such determined by the projection  $p_1 : S(V'[2])[[\hbar]] \rightarrow V'[[\hbar]][2]$  to the cogenerators  $V'[[\hbar]][2]$  of the range. Thus, all we need to show is that  $p_1 D'F = p_1 FD$ , after projecting to the cogenerators. Now, for a monomial  $x_1 \dots x_m \in S^m(\mathfrak{g}[1])$ , we have

$$(12) \quad p_1 D'F(x_1 \dots x_m) = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k=1 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_k = m}}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}} (-1)^{|x_\sigma|} L_k(f(x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(i_1)}), \dots, f(x_{\sigma(m-i_k+1)} \dots x_{\sigma(m)})),$$

using the shuffle notation, see Equation (10), as well as

$$(13) \quad p_1 FD(x_1 \dots x_m) = f(D(x_1 \dots x_m)).$$

We need to show that the right-hand sides of these equations are equal, based on the equation  $\Delta' \exp(\psi) = \exp(\psi)\Delta$ . We will do that after we develop some BV calculus.

Turning to BV calculus, we have

$$(14) \quad \Delta(x_1 \dots x_m) = \sum_{k=1}^m \hbar^{k-1} \sum_{\tau \in \text{Sh}_{k, m-k}} (-1)^{|x_\tau|} l_k(x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(k)}) x_{\tau(k+1)} \dots x_{\tau(m)},$$

where  $l_k$ 's are the  $L_\infty$  brackets on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , because of Equation (1). Now apply  $\exp(\psi)$  to both sides, reassemble products of  $\psi$ 's not containing  $l_k$ 's into  $\exp(\psi)$ , and use (14) again to pass from  $l_k$ 's back to  $\Delta$  and get

$$(15) \quad \exp(\psi)\Delta(x_1 \dots x_m) \\ = \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{n, m-n}} (-1)^{|x_\sigma|} \psi(\Delta(x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(n)})) \exp(\psi)(x_{\sigma(n+1)} \dots x_{\sigma(m)}).$$

Move on to computation of  $\Delta' \exp(\psi)$ :

$$(16) \quad \Delta' \exp(\psi)(x_1 \dots x_m) \\ = \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{n, m-n}} (-1)^{|x_\sigma|} \\ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k=1 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_k = n}} \sum_{\tau \in \text{Sh}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}} (-1)^{|x_{\tau\sigma}|} l_k^{\hbar}(\psi(x_{\tau\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\tau\sigma(i_1)}), \dots, \\ \psi(x_{\tau\sigma(n-i_k+1)} \dots x_{\tau\sigma(n)})) \exp(\psi)(x_{\sigma(n+1)} \dots x_{\sigma(m)}),$$

which follows from the definition of  $\exp(\psi)$  and the identity (6).

Now let us compare (15) with (16), which are equal by assumption. One can show by induction on  $m$  that the top,  $n = m$  terms of the two formulas must also be equal:

$$\psi(\Delta(x_1 \dots x_m)) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k=1 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_k = m}} \sum_{\tau \in \text{Sh}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}} (-1)^{|x_\tau|} l_k^{\hbar}(\psi(x_{\tau(1)} \dots x_{\tau(i_1)}), \dots, \\ \psi(x_{\tau(m-i_k+1)} \dots x_{\tau(m)})).$$

It remains to pass from  $\psi$ ,  $\Delta$ , and  $l_k^{\hbar}$  to  $f$ ,  $D$ , and  $L_k$ , respectively, in this equation, with appropriate powers of  $\hbar$ , resulting in the equation

$$\hbar^{m-1} f(D(x_1 \dots x_m)) \\ = \hbar^{m-1} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k=1 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_k = m}} \sum_{\tau \in \text{Sh}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}} (-1)^{|x_\tau|} L_k(f(x_{\tau(1)} \dots x_{\tau(i_1)}), \dots, \\ f(x_{\tau(m-i_k+1)} \dots x_{\tau(m)})).$$

In view of (12) and (13), we see that  $D'F = FD$ . This completes Step 2.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Suppose  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an  $L_\infty$ -algebra and  $V$  is a  $\text{BV}_\infty$ -algebra. There exists a canonical bijection*

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{BV}_\infty}(S(\mathfrak{g}[-1]), V) \cong \text{Hom}_{L_\infty}(\mathfrak{g}, V[[\hbar]][1]),$$

where the  $L_\infty$ -structure on  $V[[\hbar]][1]$  is given by the modified brackets  $L_n$ . This bijection is natural in the  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and in the  $\text{BV}_\infty$ -algebra  $V$ .

*Proof.* A correspondence from the  $\text{BV}_\infty$ -morphisms on the left-hand side to the  $L_\infty$ -morphisms on the right-hand side was constructed in Step 2 before the theorem in a more general case of an  $L_\infty$ -algebra over  $k[[\hbar]]$ .

Conversely, given an  $L_\infty$ -morphism  $F : S(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \rightarrow S(V[2][[\hbar]])$ , we use the same conversion formula

$$(17) \quad \varphi|_{S^k(\mathfrak{g}[1][[\hbar]])} = \hbar^{k-1} f|_{S^k(\mathfrak{g}[1][[\hbar]])},$$

$f$  being the projection of  $F$  to the cogenerators  $V[2][[\hbar]]$ , for  $k \geq 0$ , as in Step 2 before the theorem, to get a  $BV_\infty$ -morphism  $\varphi : S(\mathfrak{g}[-1]) \rightarrow V$ . Tracing the argument there backward, we see that  $\varphi$  is indeed a  $BV_\infty$ -morphism. This establishes a bijection in the adjunction formula.

The naturality of the construction follows from the fact that, in view of (17),  $F$  and  $\exp(\varphi)$  are given by almost identical formulas, with the only difference coming from insertion of powers of  $\hbar$ , which plays the role of grading shift.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.2.** *The functor  $\mathfrak{g} \mapsto S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  of Sections 2 and 4 from the category of  $L_\infty$ -algebras to the category of  $BV_\infty$ -algebras free as graded commutative algebras with pure morphisms has a right adjoint, which is given by the functor of modified higher derived brackets  $L_n$ .*

*Remark.* This corollary generalizes the construction of a pair of adjoint functors by Beilinson and Drinfeld [BD04, 4.1.8] from the case of dg Lie and BV algebras to the case of  $L_\infty$ - and  $BV_\infty$ -algebras.

*Remark.* The results of this section extend easily to the case when an  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is replaced with a topological  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$  over  $k[[\hbar]]$  and we use the  $BV_\infty$  structure on  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  described in the remark at the end of Section 2. In particular, there is a natural bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{BV_\infty}(S(\mathfrak{g}[-1]), V) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{L_\infty}(\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]], V[[\hbar]][1]),$$

where on the right-hand side, we consider continuous  $L_\infty$ -morphisms over  $k[[\hbar]]$ .

## REFERENCES

- [AD96] J. Alfaro and P. H. Damgaard, *Non-abelian antibrackets*, Phys. Lett. B **369** (1996), no. 3, 289–294.
- [Akm97] F. Akman, *On some generalizations of Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **120** (1997), no. 2, 105–141.
- [Akm00] ———, *A master identity for homotopy Gerstenhaber algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **209** (2000), no. 1, 51–76.
- [BBD97] I. Batalin, K. Bering, and P. H. Damgaard, *Second class constraints in a higher-order Lagrangian formalism*, Phys. Lett. B **408** (1997), no. 1, 235–240.
- [BD04] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld, *Chiral algebras*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 51, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [BDA96] K. Bering, P. H. Damgaard, and J. Alfaro, *Algebra of higher antibrackets*, Nuclear Phys. B **478** (1996), no. 1, 459–503.
- [Ber07] K. Bering, *Non-commutative Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras, homotopy Lie algebras and the Courant bracket*, Comm. Math. Phys. **274** (2007), no. 2, 297–341.
- [BK98] S. Barannikov and M. Kontsevich, *Frobenius manifolds and formality of Lie algebras of polyvector fields*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1998), no. 4, 201–215.
- [BL09] K. Bering and T. Lada, *Examples of homotopy Lie algebras*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **45** (2009), no. 4, 265–277.
- [BL13] C. Braun and A. Lazarev, *Homotopy BV algebras in Poisson geometry*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **74** (2013), 217–227.
- [BM98] I. Batalin and R. Marnelius, *Quantum antibrackets*, Phys. Lett. B **434** (1998), no. 3, 312–320.
- [BM99a] ———, *Dualities between Poisson brackets and antibrackets*, Internat. J. Modern Phys. A **14** (1999), no. 32, 5049–5073.

- [BM99b] I. A. Batalin and R. Marnelius, *General quantum antibrackets*, Theoret. and Math. Phys. **120** (1999), no. 3, 1115–1132.
- [Bör14] K. Börjeson,  *$A_\infty$ -algebras derived from associative algebras with a non-derivation differential*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. **8** (2014), no. 1, [arXiv:1304.6231 \[math.QA\]](#).
- [CFL15] K. Cieliebak, K. Fukaya, and J. Latschev, *Homological algebra related to surfaces with boundary*, Preprint, ArXiv e-prints, August 2015, [arXiv:1508.02741 \[math.QA\]](#).
- [CG14] K. Costello and O. Gwilliam, *Factorization algebras in quantum field theory*, Preprint, Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, April 2014, <http://math.northwestern.edu/~costello/factorization.pdf>.
- [CL07] K. Cieliebak and J. Latschev, *The role of string topology in symplectic field theory*, New perspectives and challenges in symplectic field theory, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes **49** (2007), 113–146.
- [CMW14] R. Campos, S. Merkulov, and T. Willwacher, *The Frobenius operad is Koszul*, Preprint, University of Zurich, February 2014, [arXiv:1402.4048 \[math.QA\]](#).
- [DCTT10] G. C. Drummond-Cole, J. Terilla, and T. Tradler, *Algebras over  $\Omega(\text{coFrob})$* , J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. **5** (2010), no. 1, 15–36.
- [DCV13] G. C. Drummond-Cole and B. Vallette, *The minimal model for the Batalin-Vilkovisky operad*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **19** (2013), no. 1, 1–47.
- [FM07] D. Fiorenza and M. Manetti,  *$L_\infty$  structures on mapping cones*, Algebra Number Theory **1** (2007), no. 3, 301–330, [arXiv:math/0601312 \[math.QA\]](#).
- [GCTV12] I. Gálvez-Carrillo, A. Tonks, and B. Vallette, *Homotopy Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **6** (2012), no. 3, 539–602.
- [Get94] E. Getzler, *Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and two-dimensional topological field theories*, Comm. Math. Phys. **159** (1994), no. 2, 265–285.
- [Get10] ———, *Higher derived brackets*, Preprint, Northwestern University, October 2010, [arXiv:1010.5859 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [GJ94] E. Getzler and J. D. Jones, *Operads, homotopy algebra and iterated integrals for double loop spaces*, Preprint, Northwestern University, March 1994, [arXiv:hep-th/9403055](#).
- [GP11] P. Gille and P. Polo (eds.), *Schémas en groupes (SGA 3). Tome I. Propriétés générales des schémas en groupes*, Documents Mathématiques (Paris), 7, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2011, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962–64, A seminar directed by M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck with the collaboration of M. Artin, J.-E. Bertin, P. Gabriel, M. Raynaud and J.-P. Serre, Revised and annotated edition of the 1970 French original.
- [Gwi12] O. Gwilliam, *Factorization Algebras and Free Field Theories*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2012, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Northwestern University.
- [Hue98] J. Huebschmann, *Lie-Rinehart algebras, Gerstenhaber algebras and Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **48** (1998), no. 2, 425–440.
- [Kos85] J.-L. Koszul, *Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie*, Astérisque (1985), 257–271, The mathematical heritage of Élie Cartan (Lyon, 1984).
- [Kra00] O. Kravchenko, *Deformations of Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras*, Poisson geometry (Warsaw, 1998), Banach Center Publ., vol. 51, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2000, pp. 131–139.
- [KS95] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, *Exact Gerstenhaber algebras and Lie bialgebroids*, Acta Appl. Math. **41** (1995), no. 1-3, 153–165, Geometric and algebraic structures in differential equations.
- [KS06] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, *Deformation theory. I. Draft of a book*, 2006.
- [KV08] H. M. Khudaverdian and T. Voronov, *Higher Poisson brackets and differential forms*, Geometric methods in physics, AIP Conf. Proc., vol. 1079, Amer. Inst. Phys., Melville, NY, 2008, pp. 203–215.
- [Los07] A. Losev, *From Berezin integral to Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism: a mathematical physicist's point of view*, Felix Berezin (M. Shifman, ed.), World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2007, Life and death of the mastermind of supermathematics, pp. 3–30.
- [Man99] Yu. I. Manin, *Frobenius manifolds, quantum cohomology, and moduli spaces*, vol. 47, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [Mar01] M. Markl, *Loop homotopy algebras in closed string field theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **221** (2001), no. 2, 367–384.

- [Mar13] ———, *On the origin of higher braces and higher-order derivations*, Preprint, Mathematical Institute of the Academy, Prague, The Czech Republic, September 2013, [arXiv:1309.7744](#) [[math.KT](#)].
- [MS13] K. Münster and I. Sachs, *Quantum open-closed homotopy algebra and string field theory*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **321** (2013), no. 3, 769–801, [arXiv:1109.4101](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [MSS02] M. Markl, S. Shnider, and J. Stasheff, *Operads in algebra, topology and physics*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, vol. 96, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [RW98] D. Roytenberg and A. Weinstein, *Courant algebroids and strongly homotopy Lie algebras*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **46** (1998), no. 1, 81–93, [arXiv:math/9802118](#) [[math.QA](#)].
- [Sch93] A. Schwarz, *Geometry of Batalin-Vilkovisky quantization*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **155** (1993), no. 2, 249–260.
- [Tam98] D. E. Tamarkin, *Another proof of M. Kontsevich formality theorem*, Preprint, The Pennsylvania State University, March 1998, [arXiv:math/9803025](#) [[math.QA](#)].
- [Tam99] ———, *Operadic proof of M. Kontsevich's formality theorem*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1999, Thesis (Ph.D.)—The Pennsylvania State University.
- [TT00] D. E. Tamarkin and B. Tsygan, *Noncommutative differential calculus, homotopy BV algebras and formality conjectures*, *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology* **6** (2000), no. 2, 85–100.
- [TTW11] J. Terilla, T. Tradler, and S. O. Wilson, *Homotopy DG algebras induce homotopy BV algebras*, *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.* **6** (2011), no. 1, 177–182.
- [Vit13] L. Vitagliano, *Representations of homotopy Lie-Rinehart algebras*, Preprint, Università degli Studi di Salerno, April 2013, [arXiv:1304.4353](#) [[math.QA](#)]. To appear in *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*
- [Vor00] A. A. Voronov, *Homotopy Gerstenhaber algebras*, *Conférence Moshé Flato 1999*, Springer, 2000, pp. 307–331.
- [Vor05a] T. Voronov, *Higher derived brackets and homotopy algebras*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **202** (2005), no. 1-3, 133–153.
- [Vor05b] ———, *Higher derived brackets for arbitrary derivations*, *Trav. Math.* **16** (2005), 163–186.
- [Zwi95] B. Zwiebach, *Closed-string field theory: an introduction*, *Gravitation et quantifications (Les Houches, 1992)*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995, pp. 647–678.

*E-mail address:* [bashk003@umn.edu](mailto:bashk003@umn.edu)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455, USA

*E-mail address:* [voronov@umn.edu](mailto:voronov@umn.edu)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455, USA, AND  
KAVLI IPMU (WPI), UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KASHIWA, CHIBA 277-8583, JAPAN