

# CONVEX-NORMAL (PAIRS OF) POLYTOPES

CHRISTIAN HAASE AND JAN HOFMANN

ABSTRACT. In 2012 Gubeladze (Adv. Math. 2012) introduced the notion of  $k$ -convex-normal polytopes to show that integral polytopes all of whose edges are longer than  $4d(d+1)$  have the integer decomposition property. In the first part of this paper we show that for lattice polytopes there is no difference between  $k$ - and  $(k+1)$ -convex-normality (for  $k \geq 3$ ) and improve the bound to  $2d(d+1)$ . In the second part we extend the definition to pairs of polytopes and show that for rational polytopes  $P$  and  $Q$ , where  $\mathcal{N}(P)$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{N}(Q)$ , if every edge  $e_P$  of  $P$  is at least  $d$  times as long as the corresponding edge  $e_Q$  of  $Q$ , then  $(P+Q) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = (P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) + (Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Polytopes which have the integer decomposition property (IDP) turn up in many fields of mathematics such as integer programming, algebraic geometry, where they correspond to projectively normal embeddings of toric varieties, and in commutative algebra, where polytopes with the IDP are called integrally closed.

So it is natural to ask which polytopes have the IDP. There has been a lot of research concerning this question in recent years. One way to prove the IDP of a given polytope is to cover it with simpler polytopes known to have the IDP. The first approach would be to use the easiest IDP polytopes, namely unimodular simplices, and try to show that every polytope with the IDP can be triangulated into unimodular simplices. This does not work in general, already in dimension 3 [KS03]. Relaxing triangulations to coverings with unimodular simplices, there is a famous 5-dimensional polytope with the IDP which does not have such a covering [BG99]. One very nice positive result is that given a lattice polytope  $P$ , if all edge lengths of  $P$  (with respect to the lattice) have a common factor  $c \geq d-1$ , then  $P$  has the IDP [EW91, LTJZ93, BGT97].

The following conjecture proposed during a workshop [HHM07], suggests that this is also true (maybe with a higher bound) in a more generalized setting, where the edge-lengths can be independent:

**Conjecture.** *Simple lattice polytopes with long edges have the integer decomposition property, where long means some invariant, uniform in the dimension.*

This conjecture was then proved by Gubeladze [Gub12] in the following precise form.

**Theorem.** *Let  $P$  be a lattice polytope of dimension  $d$ . If every edge of  $P$  has lattice length  $\geq 4d(d+1)$ , then  $P$  has the integer decomposition property.*

He proves this theorem in two steps.

He first introduces the notion of  $k$ -convex-normality and proves that a polytope is  $k$ -convex-normal if every edge has lattice length  $\geq kd(d+1)$ . Then he shows, that

4-convex-normal lattice polytopes have the IDP.

In the first part of the present paper we further examine  $k$ -convex-normal polytopes and show that if  $P$  is a lattice polytope and  $k$ -convex-normal for some  $k \geq 3$ , then  $P$  is also  $(k+1)$ -convex-normal (**Theorem 4**). The lemma used to prove this theorem, also allows us to improve Gubeladze's bound to  $2d(d+1)$  (**Corollary 6**).

In the second part of the paper we extend the notion of convex-normal polytopes to pairs of polytopes. We show that given two polytopes  $P$  and  $Q$ , the map  $(Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) \times (P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) \rightarrow (Q+P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  given by  $(q, p) \mapsto q+p$  is surjective, if the normal fan of  $P$  is a refinement of the normal fan of  $Q$  and every edge of  $P$  is at least  $d$  times as long as its corresponding edge in  $Q$ . (**Theorem 15**)

*Acknowledgements* We would like to thank Petra Meyer. The first part of the paper grew out of her master thesis.

## 2. CONVEX-NORMALITY REVISITED

Let  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a lattice polytope. Then  $P$  has the *integer decomposition property* (IDP), if for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $z \in kP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ , there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  such that

$$z = x_1 + \dots + x_k.$$

Every one or two dimensional lattice polytope has the integer decomposition property. In dimension 3 however already simplices do not need to possess the IDP.

For example  $P = \text{conv}\{(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1)\}$  does not have the IDP as  $(1, 1, 1) \in 2P$  is not the sum of two lattice points in  $P$ .

Given a rational polytope  $Q$  with vertex set  $\text{vert}(Q)$  we set

$$G(Q) := \bigcup_{v \in \text{vert}(Q)} (v + \mathbb{Z}^d) \cap Q,$$


that is, we base the lattice in one vertex after the other and take the union of those shifted lattices inside  $Q$ .

Following Gubeladze, we call a rational polytope  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  *k-convex-normal* for some  $k \in \mathbb{Q}$ , if for all rational  $c \in [2, k]$ :

$$cP = G((c-1)P) + P.$$


Observe that the inclusion  $\supseteq$  is always true.

**Example 1.** In the following picture the polytope  $Q = \text{conv}\{(0, 0), (\frac{3}{2}, 0), (0, \frac{3}{2})\}$  we get  $G(Q) = \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (\frac{3}{2}, 0), (\frac{1}{2}, 0), (\frac{1}{2}, 1), (0, \frac{3}{2}), (0, \frac{1}{2}), (1, \frac{1}{2})\}$ .



The colors in the figure encode which vertex produced the base point for the corresponding copy of  $P$  and we can see that  $Q$  is 2-convex-normal.

An easy example of a polytope which is not convex normal is the 2-dimensional standard simplex  $Q = \text{conv}\{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ :



Our first lemma highlights a special behavior of  $G(rP)$ , when  $P$  is a lattice polytope.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $P$  be a lattice polytope and  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , then*

$$G(rP) + G(P) \subseteq G((r+1)P).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x = rv + u \in G(rP)$  and  $y = w + u' \in G(P)$  with  $v, w \in \text{vert}(P)$  and  $u, u', v, w \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . As  $x \in rP$  and  $y \in P$  it follows that  $z = x + y \in (r+1)P$  and also

$$z = x + y = rv + u + w + u' = (r+1)v + (w - v + u + u') \in \text{vert}((r+1)P) + \mathbb{Z}^d$$

so  $z \in G((r+1)P)$ . □

The previous lemma yields the induction step for our first theorem.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $P$  be a 2-convex-normal lattice polytope, then*

$$G((c-2)P) + P = (c-1)P \text{ implies } G((c-1)P) + P = cP.$$

*Proof.*  $G((c-1)P) + P \subseteq cP$  is always true, hence we only have to show the other direction  $cP \subseteq G((c-1)P) + P$ :

$$cP = (c-1)P + P = (G((c-2)P) + P) + P = G((c-2)P) + 2P$$

but  $P$  is 2-convex normal so that  $2P = G(P) + P$  and hence:

$$cP = G((c-2)P) + 2P = G((c-2)P) + G(P) + P \subseteq G((c-1)P) + P$$

where the inclusion follows from Lemma 2. □

This lemma has two very nice consequences.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $P$  be a lattice polytope. If  $P$  is 3-convex-normal, then  $P$  is also  $k$ -convex-normal, for all  $k \geq 2$ .*

Let  $e$  be the edge-vector of a rational polytope  $P$  connecting vertices  $v$  and  $w$ , such that  $v + e = w$ . By  $\ell(e)$  we denote the lattice length of  $e$ , i.e. let  $u$  be the smallest integer vector on the line spanned by  $w - v$  then  $e = ku$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\ell(e) := |k|$ . The previous Theorem together with [Gub12, Lemma 6.2] implies that a lower bound of  $\ell(e) \geq 3d(d+1)$  for every edge  $e$  of  $P$  would be enough. But using Lemma 3 directly, we can do better.

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $P$  be a lattice polytope. If  $P$  is 2-convex-normal, then  $P$  has the integer decompositions property.*

*Proof.* As  $P$  is 2-convex-normal, by Lemma 3 we have that  $kP = G((k-1)P) + P$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now given  $z \in kP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we know that  $z = x + y$  where  $x \in G((k-1)P) = (k-1)P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  and therefore  $y \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ . By induction we can find  $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  such that  $x = x_1 + \dots + x_{k-1}$ .  $\square$

Now, combine the last corollary with [Gub12, Theorem 1.2].

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $P$  be a lattice polytope. If for every edge  $e$  of  $P$  the lattice length  $\ell(e) \geq 2d(d+1)$ , then  $P$  has the integer decomposition property.*

### 3. CONVEX-NORMALITY FOR PAIRS OF POLYTOPES

In this chapter we extend the above definitions and results to pairs of polytopes.

**Definition 7.** A pair of (rational) polytopes  $(Q, P)$  is called *convex-normal*, if

$$Q + P = G(Q) + P$$


Note, that we only have to show  $Q + P \subseteq G(Q) + P$  as the other direction is always true since  $G(Q) \subset Q$ . Furthermore this notion is translation invariant, as a small calculation shows that  $G(Q - w) = G(Q) - w$ . Hence we can set two vertices  $v \in \text{vert}(P)$  and  $w \in \text{vert}(Q)$  to  $\mathbf{0}$ .


**Example 8.** As seen in **Example 1** the pair  $(1.5 \cdot \Delta_2, 1.5 \cdot \Delta_2)$  is convex-normal and the pair  $(\Delta_2, \Delta_2)$  is not. More generally,  $P$  is 2-convex-normal if and only if  $(P, P)$  is convex-normal.

**Example 9.** Convex-normality is not symmetric. When we set

$$P = \text{conv} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \text{conv} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.7 & 0.7 \end{pmatrix}$$

the following pictures illustrate that  $G(Q) + P = Q + P$  but  $G(P) + Q \neq P + Q$ :





The second definition we need is an extension of the integer decomposition property to pairs of polytopes:

**Definition 10.** A pair of lattice polytopes  $(Q, P)$  has the *integer decomposition property (IDP)*, if the map

$$\begin{aligned} (Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) \times (P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) &\rightarrow (Q + P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \\ (q, p) &\mapsto q + p \end{aligned}$$

is surjective, that is, if  $(P + Q) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = (P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) + (Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$ .

If the pairs  $(P, nP)$  have the integer decomposition property for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $P$  has it, too.

The pair  $(\Delta_2, \Delta_2)$  from the example above has the integer decomposition property, so we see that pairs of polytopes with the IDP are not always convex-normal. But the converse implication is true:

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $P$  be a rational polytope and let  $Q$  be a lattice polytope such that  $(Q, P)$  is convex-normal. Then  $(Q, P)$  has the integer decomposition property.*

*Proof.* As  $(Q, P)$  is convex-normal, we know that  $Q + P = G(Q) + P$ .

As  $Q$  is a lattice polytope, we have  $G(Q) = Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  and hence

$$(Q + P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = (G(Q) + P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = ((Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) + P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = (Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) + (P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$$

□

In the remainder of this paper we will prove a sufficient condition, based on edge lengths, for a pair  $(Q, P)$  to be convex-normal.

Given a polytope  $P$ , if  $F$  is a face of  $P$  we write  $F \prec P$ . For every nonempty face  $F$  of  $P$  there exists a linear functional  $c_F$ , such that  $c_F^t x$  is maximal over  $P$  iff  $x \in F$ . We also say that  $c_F$  defines the face  $F$ . The set

$$C_F = \left\{ c : \{z : \max_{x \in P} c^t x = c^t z\} \supseteq F \right\}$$


is a polyhedral cone.

The *normal fan*  $\mathcal{N}(P)$  of  $P$  is the collection of these cones over all nonempty faces of  $P$ . The correspondence  $F \longleftrightarrow C_F$  is an inclusion reversing bijection. I.e., given two faces  $F, F' \prec P$ , then  $F \subseteq F'$  if and only if  $C_{F'} \subseteq C_F$ .

In the above examples  $P$  and  $Q$  had the same normal fan. If we drop this condition, there are pairs of polytopes with arbitrarily long edges lacking the integer decomposition property and not being convex-normal.

**Example 12.** Set

$$Q = \text{conv} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & k & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad P = \text{conv} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -l & -(l-1) \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



$Q + P$  and  $G(Q) + P$  for  $n = 1$ ,  $k = 2$  and  $l = 3$ .


If we look at  $(nQ, nP)$ , then both polytopes have edge length  $n$  and there are  $O(n^4)$  lattice points in  $(nP \cap \mathbb{Z}^2) + (nQ \cap \mathbb{Z}^2)$ , but  $k \cdot l \cdot O(n^2)$  lattice points in  $nP + nQ$ . Hence for  $k, l \gg n$ , the pair  $(nQ, nP)$  neither has the integer decomposition property nor is it convex-normal.

For a pair  $(Q, P)$  of polytopes to be convex-normal, it is not enough if both polytopes have the integer decomposition property, be  $k$ -convex-normal or have long edges and the examples suggest that we need a condition on the normal fans of  $P$  and  $Q$  and in fact that is what we need.

Given two  $d$ -polytopes  $Q$  and  $P$ , if  $\mathcal{N}(P)$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{N}(Q)$  (as in finer subdivision of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ), then for every cone  $C \in \mathcal{N}(P)$  there exists a cone  $D \in \mathcal{N}(Q)$  s.t.  $C \subseteq D$ . In this case we can define a map  $\Phi' : \mathcal{N}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(Q)$  s.t.  $\Phi'(C)$  is defined as the smallest cone in  $\mathcal{N}(Q)$  containing  $C$ . This map preserves inclusions and has a corresponding map  $\Phi : \mathcal{L}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(Q)$  on the face lattices of  $P$  and  $Q$ , taking a face  $F \prec P$  with corresponding cone  $C_F$  to the face  $G \prec Q$  with corresponding cone  $C_G = \Phi'(C_F)$ .

**Example 13.** We illustrate the map in the following picture with

$$P = \text{conv} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 3 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & -3 & -3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \text{conv} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$



For example the edge  $e$  from  $(-1, -1)$  to  $(0, 0)$  in  $P$  corresponds to the vertex  $(0, 0)$  in  $Q$ , i.e.  $\Phi(e) = (0, 0)$  because  $e$  corresponds to cone  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{N}(P)$  and the smallest cone of  $\mathcal{N}(Q)$  containing it is cone  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , which is the normal cone belonging to  $(0, 0)$  in  $Q$ .

#### 4. A SUFFICIENT CRITERION FOR CONVEX-NORMALITY OF $(Q, P)$

Now that we got all the tools lined up, we can start the proof with the following lemma, which is the base case for our induction:

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $P = [0, q]$  and  $Q = [0, m]$  be intervals with  $q \geq \min\{1, m\}$ , then  $(Q, P)$  is convex-normal.*

*Proof.* Set  $l := \lfloor m \rfloor$ . If  $l \geq 1$ , then

$$Q + P = [0, q + m] = \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^l i + [0, q] \right) \cup m + [0, q] \subseteq G(Q) + P$$

as  $q \geq 1$ .

If  $l < 1$ , then:

$$Q + P = (0 + P) \cup (m + P)$$

as  $q \geq l$ . □

Now we can prove the main result.

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be rational  $d$ -polytopes such that  $\mathcal{N}(P)$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{N}(Q)$  and such that  $\ell(e_P) \geq d \cdot \ell(e_Q)$  for all edges  $e_P \prec P$  and  $e_Q \prec Q$ , where  $e_Q = \Phi(e_P)$ . Then  $(Q, P)$  is convex-normal.*

*Proof.* Lemma 14 took care of the base case, hence let  $P$  and  $Q$  be  $d$ -polytopes with  $d \geq 2$ .

**STEP 1 - SUBDIVIDING  $Q + P$ :**


We start by subdividing  $Q + P$  by assigning weights/heights to the vertices of  $P$  and  $Q$ , where without loss of generality  $\mathbf{0} \in \text{vert}(P)$  and  $\mathbf{0} = \Phi(0) \in \text{vert}(Q)$ . Vertices of  $Q$  and the vertex  $\mathbf{0}$  of  $P$  get height 0 and all the other vertices of  $P$  get height 1. We use those heights to define new polytopes  $P'$  and  $Q'$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  as follows.

$$Q' := \text{conv}\{(w, 0) : w \in \text{vert}(Q)\} \text{ and } P' := \text{conv}((\mathbf{0}, 0) \cup \{(u, 1) : u \in \text{vert}(P) \setminus \{v\}\})$$

Then the projection of  $P' + Q'$  onto the first  $d$  coordinates is  $P + Q$  and the lower hull of  $P' + Q'$  induces a subdivision of  $P + Q$  into the following pieces.

$$0 + Q \text{ and } F_Q + (\text{conv}(0, F_P))$$

for faces  $F_Q \prec Q$  and faces  $F_P \prec P$ , with  $0 \notin F_P$  and  $\phi(F_P) = F_Q$ .




$Q + P$  subdivided into  $0 + Q$  and  $F_Q + (\text{conv}(0, F_P))$

Another decomposition of  $P + Q$  we will be using, is the following:

$$I := \left(\frac{d-1}{d}\right) P + Q \quad \text{and} \quad B := \overline{(P+Q) - I}$$



Where  $I$  stands for the “inner” part of  $P + Q$  and  $B$  stands for the “boundary” part of  $P + Q$ .



In the next step we will be using our first subdivision to cover the boundary part. We will then show that covering  $I$  is easy because it lies in  $0 + P$ .

### STEP 2.1 - COVERING B:

Let  $x \in B$ , then  $x \notin Q$  as  $0 \in \frac{d-1}{d}P$  and hence we can find facets  $F_P \prec P$  and  $F_Q \prec Q$  such that  $x \in F_Q + (\text{conv}(v, F_P))$  coming from our subdivision in STEP 1. Hence  $x$  can be written as  $x = q + \mu p$ , with  $q \in F_Q \prec Q$ ,  $p \in F_P \prec P$  and  $0 \leq \frac{d-1}{d} \leq \mu \leq 1$ . Then  $z := q + \frac{d-1}{d}p \in \frac{d-1}{d}F_P + F_Q$ . Furthermore  $(F_Q, \frac{d-1}{d}F_P)$  is convex-normal by induction as  $\mathcal{N}(F_Q) \prec \mathcal{N}(\frac{d-1}{d}F_P)$  and given edges  $e_{F_Q} \prec F_Q$  and  $\frac{d-1}{d}e_{F_P} \prec \frac{d-1}{d}F_P (\Leftrightarrow e_{F_P} \prec F_P)$  we have

$$\ell\left(\frac{d-1}{d}e_{F_P}\right) = \left(\frac{d-1}{d}\right)\ell(e_{F_P}) \geq \left(\frac{d-1}{d}\right) \cdot d\ell(e_{F_Q}) = (d-1)\ell(e_{F_Q}).$$

Hence we can find a point  $g \in G(F_Q)$  such that  $z \in g + \frac{d-1}{d}F_P$ , and since  $p \in (\text{conv}(0, F_P))$  we get  $x \in g + (\text{conv}(0, F_P)) \subseteq g + P$ .

### STEP 2.2 - COVERING I:

Now we are left with covering the points in the inner part  $I$  of  $P + Q$ . We claim that  $I \subseteq P$ , which implies  $I \subseteq 0 + P \subseteq G(Q) + P$ . First we reformulate the problem by using that  $I = (\frac{d-1}{d})P + Q \subseteq P$  is equivalent to  $Q \subseteq \frac{1}{d}P$ .

To show the latter, suppose  $Q \not\subseteq \frac{1}{d}P$ , then there exists a vertex  $u$  of  $Q$  that does not lie in  $\frac{1}{d}P$ . Hence there exists a functional  $c$  such that  $c^t u = b$  and  $c^t x < b$  for all  $x \in \frac{1}{d}P$ . When we use the simplex method to maximize  $c$  over  $\frac{1}{d}P$  starting in 0, we get a monotone edge path from 0 to an optimal  $u'$ . As  $\mathcal{N}(Q) \prec \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{d}P)$  we have an inclusion-preserving map  $\mathcal{L}(\frac{1}{d}P) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(Q)$  between the two face lattices. Using this map, we get a corresponding edge path in  $Q$ , which also ends in an optimal vertex  $u''$ , as  $c \in C_{u'} \subseteq C_{u''}$ . But as edges in  $\frac{1}{d}P$  are at least as long as the corresponding (parallel) edges in  $Q$ , we have

$$c^t u' \geq c^t u'' = c^t u \quad \zeta$$

Hence no vertex of  $Q$  is lying outside of  $\frac{1}{d}P$ , so that  $Q \subseteq \frac{1}{d}P$  which finishes our proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 16.** *Let  $P$  be a rational polytope and  $Q$  be a lattice polytope, with*

$$Q = Q_1 + \dots + Q_s$$

*where the  $Q_i$  are lattice polytopes such that the pairs  $(Q_i, P)$  are convex-normal for all  $i$ . (For example, they could satisfy the conditions of the previous Theorem.) Then  $(Q, P)$  is convex-normal.*

*Proof.* As  $(Q_i, P)$  are convex-normal we get:

$$\begin{aligned} Q + P &= (Q_1 + \dots + Q_s) + P \\ &= G(Q_1) + \dots + G(Q_s) + P \\ &\subseteq G(Q_1 + \dots + Q_s) + P \\ &= G(Q) + P \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is true because the Minkowski sum is commutative and associative and the inclusion is true because the  $Q_i$  are lattice polytopes.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [BG99] Winfried Bruns and Joseph Gubeladze. Normality and covering properties of affine semigroups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 510:161–178, 1999.
- [BGT97] Winfried Bruns, Joseph Gubeladze, and Ngo Viet Trung. Normal polytopes, triangulations, and koszul algebras. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 485:123–160, 1997.
- [EW91] Günter Ewald and Uwe Wessels. On the ampleness of invertible sheaves in complete projective toric varieties. *Results Math.*, 19(3-4):275–278, 1991.
- [Gub12] Joseph Gubeladze. Convex normality of rational polytopes with long edges. *Advances in Mathematics*, 230(1):372–389, 2012.
- [HHM07] Christian Haase, Takayuki Hibi, and Diane Maclagan. Mini-workshop: Projective normality of smooth toric varieties, abstracts from the mini-workshop: held august 12-18, 2007. *Oberwolfach Reports*, 4(3):2283–2319, 2007.
- [KS03] J.-M. Kantor and K. S. Sarkaria. On primitive subdivisions of an elementary tetrahedron. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 211:123–155, 2003.
- [LTJZ93] Ji Yong Liu, Leslie E. Trotter Jr., and Günter M. Ziegler. On the height of the minimal hilbert basis. *Results Math.*, 23(3-4):374–376, 1993.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, FREIE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN, GERMANY  
E-mail address: haase@math.fu-berlin.de

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, GOETHE-UNIVERSITÄT FRANKFURT AM MAIN, GERMANY  
E-mail address: jhofmann@math.uni-frankfurt.de