

Effective Seiberg-Witten map and quantum phase effects for neutral spinor on noncommutative plane

Kai Ma^{1a} Jian-hua Wang^a Kang Li^b

^a*Department of Physics, Shaanxi University of Technology, Hanzhong, 723001, P. R. China*

^b*Department of Physics, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 310036, Zhejiang, P. R. China*

E-mail: makainca@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: We introduce a new approach to study the noncommutative effects on the neutral particle with anomalous magnetic or electric dipole moments on the $2+1$ noncommutative space time. The advantages of this approach are demonstrated by investigating the noncommutative corrections on the Aharonov-Casher and He-McKellar-Wilkens effects. This approach is based on reinterpreting the Aharonov-Casher and He-McKellar-Wilkens effects as consequences of an effective $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. An effective Seiberg-Witten map for this symmetry is introduced when we study the noncommutative corrections. Because Seiberg-Witten map preserves the symmetry, the noncommutative corrections can be investigated systematically. Our results indicate that the noncommutative corrections on the Aharonov-Casher and He-McKellar-Wilkens phases are strongly depend on the ratio between the noncommutative parameter θ and the cross section $A_{e/m}$ of the charged line enclosed by the trajectory of beam particle.

KEYWORDS: Non commutative geometry, quantum phase effects

¹Present affiliation: KEK Theory Center and Sokendai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Effective $U(1)$ symmetry for neutral spinor in $2 + 1$ dimensions	2
2.1	Effective $U(1)$ symmetry	2
2.2	Aharonov-Casher and He-Mckellar-Wilkens effects	5
3	Effective Seiberg-Witten for neutral spinor and quantum phase	6
3.1	Effective Seiberg-Witten map	7
3.2	Noncommutative corrections on the Aharonov-Casher effect	8
3.3	Noncommutative corrections on the He-Mckellar-Wilkens effect	10
4	Discussions and Conclusions	11

1 Introduction

The noncommutative geometry has been realized as one of the most possible physical structure of the background space-time. The noncommutativity of space-time can be realized in the dynamics of charged particles in the presence of electromagnetic fields [1]. Snyder pointed out that Lorentz invariant noncommutative space-time can be constructed by using coordinates with discrete spectrum [2]. The noncommutativity of space-time was also motivated by the string theory [3] and quantum gravity [4]. The dynamics established on the noncommutative space-time features a property of nonlocal interaction through the derivatives of the particle fields. This property appears because of the non-closeness of the coordinate operators.

The noncommutativity of space-time is characterized by the commutation relation $[x_\mu, x_\nu] = i\theta_{\mu\nu}$, with the totally anti-symmetric constant tensor $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ representing the strength and relative directions of the noncommutativity. As a consequence, the fields product is deformed and replaced by the \star -product,

$$f(x) \star g(x) = \exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \theta_{\mu\nu} \partial_{x_\mu} \partial_{y_\nu} \right] f(x)g(y)|_{x=y}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are two arbitrary infinitely differentiable functions on the commutative R^{3+1} space-time. Based on the \star -product, the gauge theory can be established in the usual way. At the leading order of the expansion of the \star -product, the noncommutative correction is represented by the transformation $x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \theta^{\mu\nu} p_\nu/2$, which is called Bopp's shift [5]. Based on this simple transformation, many quantum problems have been studied. M. Chaichain et.al. [6] analyzed the spectrum of Hydrogen atom on noncommutative space and calculated the corrections on the Lamb shift. They found a lower bound on the

noncommutative parameter θ , $1/\sqrt{\theta} \geq 10^2 \text{GeV}$. By studying the transitions in the Helium atom, a looser bound $1/\sqrt{\theta} \geq 3 \text{GeV}$ was obtained in Ref. [7]. It was pointed out that the spatial noncommutativity can also be measured in cold Rydberg atoms [8]. Particularly, it was pointed out that the topological Aharonov-Bohm [15] and Aharonov-Casher [16] effects are sensitive to the noncommutative corrections. In Refs. [9–12], the authors have studied the Aharonov-Bohm (AB) phase on a noncommutative space. A lower bound $1/\sqrt{\theta} \geq 10^{-6} \text{GeV}$ for the space noncommutativity parameter is obtained. The Aharonov-Casher (AC) phase for a spin-1/2 and spin-1 particle on a NCS and a NCPS has been studied in Refs. [32, 33, 35, 36]. However, there is a disadvantage in the application of the Bobo’s shift: the ordinary gauge symmetry could be broken in some case. However, the electromagnetic gauge symmetry has been proved to very high accuracy. The Seiberg-Witten [3, 20–27, 37] maps from the noncommutative space-time to the ordinary one allows to establish the noncommutative field theory while preserves the ordinary gauge symmetry, i.e., the new interactions due to space-time noncommutativity are also gauge invariant but depends on the momentum of matter particle fields. The SW map allows us to investigate systematically the particle physics on the noncommutative space-time, and many physicists have studied the noncommutative quantum field theory [38–58].

In this paper we first review the AC and HMW effects in ordinary $2 + 1$ dimensions [18] in section 2, and reinterpret these effects as consequences of an effective gauge theory. Based on this interpretation, we introduce the SW map which preserves the this effective gauge symmetry, then the physical observables on noncommutative and commutative space time are related unambiguously and the noncommutative corrections can be studied systematically. This parts are investigated in section 3. Our conclusions are given in the final section 4.

2 Effective $U(1)$ symmetry for neutral spinor in $2 + 1$ dimensions

2.1 Effective $U(1)$ symmetry

In this section we review the AC and HMW effects in the $2 + 1$ dimensions which has been studied seriously by He and Mckellar [18]. In their original paper, the AC and HMW effects are interpreted as the interaction between electromagnetic fields $F_{\mu\nu}$ and dual current $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} j_\alpha$. However, it can also be interpreted as an effective $U(1)$ gauge symmetry with effective gauge potential $S^\alpha \propto \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$ coupling to the current j_α . In this paper we first introduce this effective gauge symmetry and interpret the AC and HMW phases as results of this symmetry. Then we consider the $2 + 1$ dimensional AC and HMW effects on the noncommutative space-time. Based on this effective $U(1)$ gauge symmetry, we introduce the corresponding SW map which preserve this effective symmetry and then physical meaning of the observables can be uniquely demonstrated on the noncommutative $x - y$ plane. We will study this SW map in next section. Let us start with the electromagnetic dynamics of magnetic dipole moment on the ordinary space-time. For convenience, we will call the electromagnetic $U(1)$ gauge symmetry for charged particle as $U_{cha}(1)$ symmetry, and the effective $U(1)$ symmetry introduced here for neutral particle as $U_{neu}(1)$. The Lagrangian for a neutral particle of spin $1/2$ with an anomalous magnetic dipole moment μ_m

interacting with the electromagnetic field is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(x)(\not{p} - m)\psi(x) - \frac{1}{2}\mu_m\bar{\psi}(x)\sigma^{\mu\nu}\psi(x)F_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.1)$$

The last is responsible for the AC effect. The $2 + 1$ dimensional metric and anti-symmetric tensors are defined as follows,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1), \text{ and } \epsilon_{012} = 1. \quad (2.2)$$

and the electromagnetic field strength tensor is

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E^1 & -E^2 \\ E^1 & 0 & -B^3 \\ E^2 & B^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

where E^i and B^i are the electric and magnetic fields respectively. The indices “1” and “2” indicate the x and y directions on the $x - y$ plane, and the index “3” indicate the z direction which is normal to the $x - y$ plane. On the $2 + 1$ dimensional spacetime, there are two inequivalent representations of the Dirac algebra that generate different Clifford algebras [18]. A particular representation is

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^3 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^3 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma^1 & 0 \\ 0 & i\sigma^1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

In this representation all the γ -matrix are block diagonal. Furthermore, in the $2 + 1$ dimensions these Dirac matrices satisfy the following relation

$$\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu = g_{\mu\nu} + is\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha}\gamma^\alpha, \quad (2.5)$$

where $s = -i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2 = -\gamma^0\sigma^{12}$, which has the eigenvalues $\hat{s} = \pm 1$. In the representation defined by (2.4), the operator s is diagonal, $s = \text{diag}(I, -I)$. Furthermore, with the relation (2.5), the $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ can be simplified $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = -s\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha}\gamma^\alpha$ which is again diagonal. Then all γ matrices involved in the Lagrangian (2.1) are diagonal. This nontrivial feature means that the “up” and “down” components of the 4-spinor don’t mix with each other, their dynamical evolutions are separated completely. The states are represented by the eigenvalues \hat{s} of the matrix s . By using this feature the Lagrangian (2.1) can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}_{\hat{s}}(x)(\not{p} - m)\psi_{\hat{s}}(x) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{s}\mu_m\bar{\psi}_{\hat{s}}(x)\gamma_\alpha\psi_{\hat{s}}(x)\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}(x), \quad (2.6)$$

here the spinor $\psi_{\hat{s}}$ are eigenstates of the operator s with eigenvalues \hat{s} , and we have suppressed the summation over the two different eigenstates. In the following contents, we also suppress the index \hat{s} , because all the results are exactly the same except for the sign of \hat{s} . By introducing an effective vector potential

$$S^\alpha(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}(x). \quad (2.7)$$

The Lagrangian (2.1) can be rewritten into a minimal-coupling form as follows

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(x)(i\gamma_\alpha \mathcal{D}^\alpha - m)\psi(x) , \quad \mathcal{D}^\alpha = \partial^\alpha + i\hat{s}\mu_m S^\alpha , \quad (2.8)$$

where \mathcal{D}^α has been used to denote the effective covariant derivative. Similar to the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry of electrodynamics for charged particles, this minimal coupling form means a new $U(1)$ symmetry with the gauge potential S^μ , and \hat{s} is the sign of the “charge” of matter particle, μ_m is the magnitude of the “charge”. Clearly, the Lagrangian (2.1) is invariant under following gauge transformations,

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{-i\mu_m \hat{s} \lambda(x)} \psi(x) , \quad (2.9)$$

$$S_\mu(x) \rightarrow S_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \lambda(x) . \quad (2.10)$$

Similarly, an effective $U(1)$ gauge symmetry can be introduced in the same way for the electrodynamics of electric dipole moment. The Lagrangian for a neutral particle of spin 1/2 with an anomalous electric dipole moment μ_e interacting with the electromagnetic field is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(x)(\not{p} - m)\psi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\mu_e \bar{\psi}(x)\sigma^{\mu\nu}\psi(x)\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} . \quad (2.11)$$

where $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}/2$ is the 3 + 1 dimensional dual of the electromagnetic field tensor. On the 2 + 1 dimensional space-time, it has the following expression,

$$\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -B^1 & -B^2 \\ B^1 & 0 & E^3 \\ B^2 & -E^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad (2.12)$$

By using relation (2.5), and introducing the effective dual gauge potential

$$\tilde{S} = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}(x) . \quad (2.13)$$

Then the Lagrangian (2.11) can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(x)(i\gamma_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^\alpha - m)\psi(x) , \quad \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^\alpha = \partial^\alpha - i\hat{s}\mu_e \tilde{S}^\alpha(x) , \quad (2.14)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^\alpha$ has been used to denote the effective covariant derivative. In this case, the gauge potential is \tilde{S}^μ , and \hat{s} is the sign of the “charge” of matter particle, μ_e is the magnitude of the “charge”. Clearly, the Lagrangian (2.1) is invariant under following gauge transformations,

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{-i\mu_e \hat{s} \lambda(x)} \psi(x) , \quad (2.15)$$

$$\tilde{S}_\mu(x) \rightarrow \tilde{S}_\mu(x) - \partial_\mu \lambda(x) . \quad (2.16)$$

In summary, we have introduced a new effective $U(1)$ gauge symmetries in the electrodynamics of neutral particles with either magnetic or electric dipole moment. The interactions are written in the minimal coupling form. We will show in next subsection, the AC and HMW phases can be directly obtained in our approach.

2.2 Aharonov-Casher and He-Mckellar-Wilkins effects

Like the AB effect in the ordinary $U(1)$ gauge invariant electrodynamics, the neutral particle can also acquire a nontrivial phase factor because of this effective $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. From the Lagrangian (2.14) we can obtain the equation of motion for the neutral particle with an anomalous magnetic dipole moment,

$$(i\gamma_\mu \mathcal{D}^\mu - m)\psi(x) = 0 \quad (2.17)$$

If $\psi(x)$ is a solution of the free equation, then

$$\psi'(x) = \exp \left\{ -i\hat{s}\mu_m \int S^\alpha dx_\alpha \right\} \quad (2.18)$$

is a solution of the equation (2.17). After a cyclor evolution the particle acquires a nontrivial quantum phase

$$\phi = \hat{s}\mu_m \oint dx_\alpha S^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\hat{s}\mu_m \oint d\Omega_{\alpha\beta} W^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2.19)$$

where $d\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ is the surface enclosed by the orbital of the matter particle, and $W^{\alpha\beta} = \partial^\alpha S^\beta - \partial^\beta S^\alpha$ is the effective field strength. Inserting the electromagnetic fields (2.3) into (2.7) we get

$$S^\alpha(x) = (B^3, E^2, -E^1). \quad (2.20)$$

In the AC configuration, the magnetic field B^3 vanishes and the electric fields E^i are constant in time. Then $S^\alpha(x) = (0, E^2, -E^1)$. After a cyclor evolution, the corresponding nontrivial AC phase is

$$\phi^{AC} = -\hat{s}\mu_m \oint d\vec{r} \cdot \vec{S} = -\hat{s}\mu_m \oint d\vec{\Omega} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{S}) = -\hat{s}\mu_m \oint d\vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{W}_B = \hat{s}\mu_m \lambda_e, \quad (2.21)$$

where \vec{W}_B is the magnetic component of the field strength, and λ_e is the charged line density in the setup of AC effect. We can see that the AC phase can be obtained directly in our approach. The topological and nonlocal property of this phase effect can be understood by the dependence on λ_e which is zero at the location of matter particle. This is the sole property of the AC effect. When we extend it on the noncommutative space time, this property has to be preserved in order to uniquely interpret the physical meaning of observables. We will study this in next section. Let us consider the HMW phase for now.

The HMW phase effect can be obtained in the same way. The motion equation of neutral particle with an anomalous electric dipole moment is

$$(i\gamma_\mu \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^\mu - m)\psi(x) = 0. \quad (2.22)$$

If $\psi(x)$ is a solution of the free equation, then

$$\psi'(x) = \exp \left\{ i\hat{s}\mu_e \int \tilde{S}^\alpha dx_\alpha \right\} \quad (2.23)$$

is a solution of the equation (2.22). After a cyclor evolution the particle acquires a nontrivial quantum phase

$$\phi = -\hat{s}\mu_e \oint dx_\alpha \tilde{S}^\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{s}\mu_e \oint d\Omega_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{W}^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2.24)$$

where $\widetilde{W}^{\alpha\beta} = \partial^\alpha \widetilde{S}^\beta - \partial^\beta \widetilde{S}^\alpha$ is the dual of the effective field strength. By using (2.13) and (2.12) we get

$$\widetilde{S}^\alpha = (-E^3, B^2, -B^1). \quad (2.25)$$

In the HMW configuration, the electric field E^3 vanishes and the magnetic field B^i are constant in time. Then $S^\alpha(x) = (0, B^2, -B^1)$, and the corresponding quantum phase is

$$\phi^{HMW} = \hat{s}\mu_e \int d\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\widetilde{S}} = \hat{s}\mu_e \oint d\vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{\widetilde{W}}_B = \hat{s}\mu_e \oint d\vec{S} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\widetilde{S}}) = -\hat{s}\mu_e \lambda_m \quad (2.26)$$

Similar to the AC phase, the topological and nonlocal properties of the HMW phase could be understood clearly based on this gauge symmetry. The AC and HMW effects are sensitive to only the charge distributions in the region enclosed by the particle orbit. In this sense, the AC and HMW effects are gauge invariant under the effective $U(1)$ gauge transformations, variation of the charge distribution outside of this region does not affect the AC and HMW phase. This remarkable property should be preserved on the noncommutative space-time, otherwise we could interpret the physical observables uniquely because of the gauge dependence. The Seiberg-Witten (SW) map [3] could establish a correspondence between the quantities on noncommutative space time and the ones on commutative space time, although on the noncommutative space time the Lagrangian will in general become nonlocal due to the derivative (momentum) dependence. The SW map from noncommutative gauge theory to a commutative one has been extensively studied [37–42]. In next section we will introduce a Seiberg-Witten map for this effective $U(1)$ gauge symmetry, then we discuss the applications of this map on the AC and HMW phase effects.

3 Effective Seiberg-Witten for neutral spinor and quantum phase

In last section we introduce an effective $U(1)$ gauge symmetry for the neutral particle with an anomalous dipole moment. The topological property of the AC and HMW phases could be understood clearly based on this gauge symmetry. This remarkable property should be preserved even when the noncommutativity of space-time comes into play. The Seiberg-Witten (SW) map [3] could establish a correspondence between noncommutative quantities and commutative ones. With the SW map the gauge symmetry on commutative space-time could be preserved on the noncommutative space-time, therefore the gauge invariant observables like the AC and HMW phases is also gauge invariant on the noncommutative space-time. Extensive studies [37–42] have shown that the SW map is useful and by using it we could establish a consistent translation of physical theory on noncommutative to commutative space time. Recently it was extended to the noncommutative phase space[43]. However, the action generally becomes nonlocal due to the derivative (momentum) dependence, which results in the main effects of the noncommutativity of space-time. In this section we introduce the Seiberg-Witten map for neutral particle with electromagnetic dipole moments, then we discuss the effects of this map on the AC and HMW phases.

3.1 Effective Seiberg-Witten map

In this subsection we introduce the effective Seiberg-Witten map for neutral particle with an anomalous magnetic dipole moment on the noncommutative $2 + 1$ dimensional space-time. Similar map is introduced in the same way for the neutral particle with an anomalous electric dipole moment. We will show that both the electromagnetic gauge and dual symmetries are preserved by the SW map. On the noncommutative $2 + 1$ dimensional space-time, the ordinary product of fields are replayed by the \star product. The noncommutative extension of the corresponding Lagrangian (2.14) is

$$\mathcal{L}_{NC} = \bar{\psi}(x)(\not{p} - m) \star \psi(x) - \hat{s}\mu_m \bar{\psi}(x) \star \mathcal{S}(x) \star \psi(x) \quad (3.1)$$

We have introduced an effective $U(1)$ gauge symmetry for this action on the commutative space time. On the noncommutative space-time, the commutators of coordinate operators don't vanish, and then the effective gauge transformations are deformed,

$$\psi'(x) = U(x) \star \psi(x), \quad (3.2)$$

$$S'_\mu(x) = U(x) \star \left(S_\mu(x) - \frac{1}{\hat{s}\mu_m} p_\mu \right) \star U^{-1}(x). \quad (3.3)$$

In analogy with the SW map of the electromagnetic field theory for charged particle on noncommutative space, we can introduce the SW map for the $U_{neu}(1)$ gauge symmetry for neutral particle,

$$\psi \rightarrow \psi - \frac{i}{2} \hat{s}\mu_m \theta^{\alpha\beta} S_\alpha \partial_\beta \psi \quad (3.4)$$

$$S_\mu \rightarrow S_\mu - \frac{i}{2} \hat{s}\mu_m \theta^{\alpha\beta} S_\alpha (\partial_\beta S_\mu + W_{\beta\mu}) \quad (3.5)$$

where $W_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu S_\nu - \partial_\nu S_\mu$ is the effective field strength tensor. Then in terms of the commutative fields the noncommutative Lagrangian can be written as,

$$\mathcal{L}_{NC} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{4} \hat{s}\mu_m \theta^{\alpha\beta} W_{\alpha\beta} \right) \bar{\psi}(x) (i\gamma_\mu \mathcal{D}^\mu - m) \psi(x) + \frac{i}{2} \hat{s}\mu_m \theta^{\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu W_{\mu\alpha} \mathcal{D}_\beta \psi(x). \quad (3.6)$$

Because the effective covariant derivative \mathcal{D}_β for the $U_{neu}(1)$ gauge symmetry is gauge invariant under the $U_{cha}(1)$ symmetry transformations, and the effective field strength tensor $W_{\mu\nu}$ is gauge invariant under both the $U_{cha}(1)$ and $U_{neu}(1)$ gauge symmetries, this Lagrangian is also gauge invariant under both transformations. Then the physical meaning of the observables like AC and HMW phases on commutative space time could be interpreted consistently on the noncommutative space time.

The Lagrangian receives two kinds of corrections. The first term comes from the coupling between the background fields $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ and the effective field strength tensor $W_{\mu\nu}$. By our assumption this term is negligible because of vanishing field strength $W_{\mu\nu}(x)$ at the location of the matter fields $\psi(x)$. So the main effects come from the second term which depends on the derivatives of the matter field $\mathcal{D}_\beta \psi(x)$, i.e. the nonlocal property of the matter field, and then ordinary effective covariant derivative \mathcal{D}_β is deformed. We will discuss the effects of this term on the AC and HMW phase in next subsection. In the

rest of this subsection, let us consider the Seiberg-Witten map for neutral particle with an anomalous electric dipole moment. Similar to the case of magnetic dipole moment, the noncommutative extension of the Lagrangian is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{NC} = \bar{\psi}(x)(\not{p} - m) \star \psi(x) + \hat{s}\mu_e \bar{\psi}(x) \star \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(x) \star \psi(x) , \quad (3.7)$$

and the corresponding effective $U(1)$ gauge transformations are

$$\psi' = U(x) \star \psi , \quad (3.8)$$

$$\tilde{S}'_\mu = U(x) \star \left(\tilde{S}_\mu + \frac{1}{\hat{s}\mu_e} p_\mu \right) \star U^{-1}(x) . \quad (3.9)$$

We note that this Lagrangian can be obtained by using the ordinary electromagnetic dual symmetry transformations: $F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\mu_m \rightarrow -\mu_e$. This property is preserved by the effective Seiberg-Witten map. Similarly AC, we introduce the SW map for neutral particle with an anomalous electric dipole moment,

$$\psi \rightarrow \psi + \frac{i}{2} \hat{s}\mu_e \theta^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{S}_\alpha \partial_\beta \psi \quad (3.10)$$

$$\tilde{S}_\mu \rightarrow \tilde{S}_\mu + \frac{i}{2} \hat{s}\mu_e \theta^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{S}_\alpha (\partial_\beta \tilde{S}_\mu + \tilde{W}_{\beta\mu}) \quad (3.11)$$

where $\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \tilde{S}_\nu - \partial_\nu \tilde{S}_\mu$ is the dual effective field strength tensor. By using the SW map (3.10) and (3.11), the Lagrangian (3.7) could be written in terms of the ordinary fields as follows,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{NC} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} \hat{s}\mu_e \theta^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta} \right) \bar{\psi}(x) (i\gamma_\mu \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^\mu - m) \psi(x) - \frac{i}{2} \hat{s}\mu_e \theta^{\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \tilde{W}_{\mu\alpha} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\beta \psi(x) \quad (3.12)$$

Similarly, this Lagrangian is gauge invariant under both the $U(1)$ gauge transformation for charged particle and the $U(1)$ gauge transformation for neutral particle with an anomalous electric dipole moment. Again, there are two corrections. The correction proportional to $(1 - \hat{s}\mu_e \theta^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}/4)$ is negligible because of vanishing field strength $\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}(x)$ at the location of the matter fields $\psi(x)$. It is the second term, which depends on the derivatives of the matter field $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\beta \psi(x)$, results in the main effects on the physical observables through the deformation on ordinary effective covariant derivative $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\beta$.

3.2 Noncommutative corrections on the Aharonov-Casher effect

In last section we have obtained the noncommutative corrections on the electromagnetic dynamics of neutral particle with an anomalous magnetic or electric dipole moment. The main corrections comes from the coupling between the background field $\theta_{\mu\nu}$, field strength $W_{\mu\nu}$ ($\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}$) and the derivative of the matter field $\mathcal{D}_\beta \psi(x)$ ($\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\beta \psi(x)$). In general, the correction is nonlocal and deforms the covariant derivative only. In view of this property, the Lagrangian (3.6) can be written in a more compact form as follows,

$$\mathcal{L}_{NC} = \bar{\psi}(x) (i\gamma_\mu \mathcal{D}_{NC}^\mu - m) \psi(x), \quad (3.13)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{NC}^\mu = \left(g_\beta^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \hat{s}\mu_m W^{\mu\alpha} \theta_{\alpha\beta} \right) \mathcal{D}^\beta. \quad (3.14)$$

Remarkably, the correction on the covariant derivative, which depends only on the effective field strength tensor $W^{\mu\alpha}$, is also invariant under the $U_{neu}(1)$ gauge transformation (3.8). It is worthy to point out that, if we don't use the effective SW map introduced in this paper, then the effective derivative on noncommutative space-time is $\mathcal{D}_{NC}^\mu = (g_\beta^\mu + \frac{i}{2}\hat{s}\mu_m\theta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha S^\mu(x))\mathcal{D}_\beta$. Apparently, the correction is not gauge invariant under the $U_{neu}(1)$ gauge transformation, and then the physical quantities can not be defined and related consistently. Gauge invariant definition of the noncommutative correction is the most important advantage of our approach. In terms of the external electromagnetic fields, the correction can be written as

$$C_\nu^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\hat{s}\mu_m W^{\mu\alpha}\theta_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{s}\mu_m\rho_e\theta_z/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \hat{s}\mu_m\rho_e\theta_z/2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.15)$$

where $\rho_e = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}$ is the charge density of external electric fields, and $\theta_z = \theta^{12}$. From Lagrangian (3.13) one can get the equation of motion for the matter particle,

$$(i\gamma_\mu\mathcal{D}_{NC}^\mu - m)\psi(x) = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

If $\psi(x)$ is a solution of the free equation, then

$$\psi'(x) = \exp\left\{i\int(\hat{s}\mu_m S^\mu + iC^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{D}_\nu)dx_\mu\right\}\psi(x) \quad (3.17)$$

is a solution of the equation of motion. The correction on the AC phase consists two terms

$$\phi_{NC} = \phi^{AC} + \phi_{NC-v} + \phi_{NC-g}. \quad (3.18)$$

The first term is a correction depending on the momentum of the matter particle,

$$\phi_{NC-v} = \int C^{\mu\nu}p_\nu dx_\mu = \frac{\hat{s}}{2}\theta_z\mu_m \int \rho_e\vec{p} \cdot d\vec{x} = \hat{s}\lambda_e\mu_m \frac{pL\theta_z}{2A_e} \quad (3.19)$$

where we have assumed the charge density ρ_e is a constant and $\rho_e = \lambda_e$ on the charged line with a cross section A_e , $\rho_e = 0$ otherwise, p is the momentum of matter particle, and L is the length of the closed path. The second term is a correction on the effective gauge potential

$$\phi_{NC-g} = -\hat{s}\mu_m \int C^{\mu\nu}S_\nu dx_\mu = -\hat{s}^2\mu_m \int \frac{1}{2}\mu_m\rho_e^2\theta_z\vec{S} \cdot d\vec{x} = -\hat{s}\mu_m\lambda_e \frac{\hat{s}\mu_m\lambda_e\theta_z}{2A_e}. \quad (3.20)$$

We can see that both these two kinds of noncommutative corrections just scale the original AC phase, and depend on the dimensionless ratio $\chi_e = \theta_z/A_e$, which then represents the probe ability of the experiments. The total correction on the AC phase can be written as

$$\phi_{NC}^{AC} = \chi_e K_m^{\hat{s}} \phi^{AC} \quad (3.21)$$

where $K_m^{\hat{s}} = pL - \hat{s}\mu_m\lambda_e$ which is also a dimensionless scale factor. The noncommutative correction on the AC effect has been studied in Refs. [32, 33]. Except the momentum

dependence of the noncommutative correction, it also depends on the charge distribution outside the plan enclosed by the incident particle beam. In our approach, because the effective $U_{neu}(1)$ gauge symmetry is preserved by the SW map, the noncommutative correction depends only on the charged distribution on the charged line enclosed by the orbit of matter particle. Furthermore, a typical dimensionless scale factor χ_e , which is defined physically and then gauge invariant, appears in our results. These properties imply the noncommutative corrections can be consistently interpreted, and have definite physical meaning when we interpret it on the commutative space time. This is the advantage of the use of SW map based on the effective $U_{neu}(1)$ gauge symmetry introduced in this paper.

3.3 Noncommutative corrections on the He-Mckellar-Wilkens effect

By defining a noncommutatively deformed covariant derivative, the Lagrangian (3.7) can be written in a more compact form as follows,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{NC} = \bar{\psi}(x)(i\gamma_\mu \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{NC}^\mu - m)\psi(x), \quad (3.22)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{NC}^\mu = (g_\beta^\mu - \frac{1}{2}\hat{s}\mu_e\theta_{\alpha\beta}\tilde{W}^{\mu\alpha})\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^\beta \quad (3.23)$$

Apparently, noncommutative correction is invariant under both the standard electromagnetic $U(1)$ symmetry and the effective $U(1)$ symmetry transformations. If we don't use the SW map then the effective derivative on noncommutative space-time is $\mathcal{D}_{NC}^\mu = \mathcal{D}^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\hat{s}\mu_m\theta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha S^\mu(x)\mathcal{D}_\beta$, which is not invariant, and then can not be interpreted consistently. In terms of the external electromagnetic fields, the correction factor can be written as,

$$\tilde{C}_\nu^\mu = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{s}\mu_e\theta_{\alpha\beta}\tilde{W}^{\mu\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\hat{s}\mu_e\rho_m\theta_z/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\hat{s}\mu_e\rho_m\theta_z/2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.24)$$

where $\rho_m = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}$ is the magnetic monopole density of external magnetic fields. From Lagrangian (3.22) one can get the equation of motion of the matter particle,

$$(i\gamma_\mu \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{NC}^\mu - m)\psi(x) = 0. \quad (3.25)$$

If $\psi(x)$ is a solution of the free equation, then

$$\psi'(x) = \exp \left\{ -i \int (\hat{s}\mu_e\tilde{S}^\mu - i\tilde{C}^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\nu) dx_\mu \right\} \psi(x) \quad (3.26)$$

is a solution of the equation of motion. The correction on the HMW phase consists two terms,

$$\phi_{NC}^{HMW} = \phi^{HMW} + \phi_{NC-v}^{HMW} + \phi_{NV-g}^{HMW} \quad (3.27)$$

The first term is a correction depending on the momentum of the matter particle,

$$\phi_{NC-v}^{HMW} = \int \tilde{C}^{\mu\nu} p_\nu dx_\mu = \frac{\hat{s}}{2}\mu_e\theta_z \int \rho_m \vec{p} \cdot d\vec{x} = \hat{s}\mu_e\lambda_m \frac{pL\theta_z}{2A_m} \quad (3.28)$$

where we have assumed the magnetic charge density ρ_m is a constant and $\rho_m = \lambda_m$ on the magnetic charged line with a cross section A_m , $\rho_m = 0$ otherwise, p is the momentum of

matter particle, and L is the length of the closed path. The second term is a correction on the effective gauge potential,

$$\phi_{NC-g}^{HMW} = \hat{s}\mu_e \int \tilde{C}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{S}_\nu dx_\mu = \hat{s}\mu_e \int \frac{1}{2} \mu_e^2 \rho_m^2 \theta_z \vec{S} \cdot d\vec{x} = \hat{s}\mu_e \lambda_m \frac{\theta_z}{2A_m}. \quad (3.29)$$

We can see that both these two kinds of noncommutative corrections just scale the original HMW phase, and depend on the dimensionless ratio $\chi_m = \theta_z/A_m$. The total correction on the HMW phase can be written as

$$\phi_{NC}^{HMW} = \chi_m K_e \phi^{AC} \quad (3.30)$$

where $K_e = -pL + \hat{s}\mu_e \lambda_m$ which is also a dimensionless scale factor. The noncommutative correction on the HMW effect has been studied in Refs. [34]. Like the noncommutative corrections on the AC effect, because the effective $U_{neu}(1)$ gauge symmetry is preserved in our approach by the SW map, the noncommutative correction depends only on the magnetic charged distribution on the charged line enclosed by the orbit of matter particle. Furthermore, a typical dimensionless scale factor χ_m , which is defined physically and then gauge invariant, appears in our results. These properties imply the noncommutative corrections can be consistently interpreted, and have definite physical meaning when we interpret it on the commutative space time. This is the advantage of the use of SW map based on the effective $U(1)$ gauge symmetry introduced in this paper.

4 Discussions and Conclusions

The noncommutative corrections on the AC and HMW effects have been studied in Refs. [32–34]. Because of the use of the effective SW map, our results are different from the results in Refs. [32, 33]. By introducing an effective $U(1)$ gauge symmetry, the AC and HMW effects are interpreted as the consequences of this gauge symmetry, and then the nontrivial phases are only affected by the electric and magnetic charge distributions on the charged line enclosed by the particle trajectory. Charge distributions outside this region could not give any nontrivial contribution, because it just corresponds to choose a different gauge. Based on this interpretation, we introduce the effective SW map which can preserve this property when we extend the physics to noncommutative space time. The physical quantities on noncommutative and commutative space times are related unambiguously by this effective SW map, and then we can justify if any new contributions are due to noncommutativity of space time or due to different gauge (charge distributions not enclosed by beam particle trajectory). This is important when we investigate the noncommutative effects.

Based on this approach, we studied the noncommutative corrections on the AC and HMW phases. Both the corrections depends on the ratio between the noncommutative parameter and the cross section of charged line, $\chi_{e/m} = \theta_z/A_{e/m}$. This means the noncommutative corrections can be enlarged by reducing the cross section of charged line. This is a important result which indicates how we can improve our experiments for observing the noncommutative corrections. Apart from this factor the noncommutative corrections

depend also on the particle momentum p and length of the trajectory L , which is a general property of noncommutative correction. This is because of the non-closeness of the commutators of coordinate operators. Because the longer trajectory and/or higher momentum the beam particles have, the worse quantum coherence it gets, so it is hard to make the contribution of this part larger.

In summary we introduced a new approach to investigate the noncommutative effects on the neutral particles with anomalous magnetic or electric dipole moments. The advances of this approach are clearly represented in the noncommutative corrections on the AC and HMW effects. The possible ways of improving the experimental ability of observing noncommutative effect are also discussed.

Acknowledgments

K. M. is supported by the China Scholarship Council and the Hanjiang Scholar Project of Shaanxi University of Technology. J. H. W. is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11147181 and the Scientific Research Project in Shaanxi Province under Grant No. 2009K01-54 and Grant No. 12JK0960. K. L. is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11175053 and 111475051.

References

- [1] R. Peierls, *Zur Theorie des Diamagnetismus von Leitungselektronen*, Z. Phys. **80** (1933),763.
- [2] H. S. Snyder, *Quantized Space-Time*, Phys.Rev. **71**(1947), 38.
- [3] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *String Theory and Noncommutative Geometry*, JHEP **09**(1999), 032.
- [4] L. Freidel and E. Livine, *Effective 3D quantum gravity and non-commutative quantum field theory*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **96**(2006), 221301.
- [5] T. Curtright, D. Fairlie and C. Zachos, *Features of time-independent Wigner functions*, Phys. Rev. **D58**(1998), 25002.
- [6] M. Chaichian, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari and A. Tureanu, *Hydrogen Atom Spectrum and the Lamb Shift in Noncommutative QED*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**(2001), 2716.
- [7] M. Haghghat and F. Loran, *Three Body Bound State in Non-Commutative Space*, Phys. Rev. **D67**(2003), 096003.
- [8] J. -Z. Zhang, *Testing Spatial Noncommutativity via Rydberg Atoms*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**(2004), 043002.
- [9] M. Chaichian, A. Demichev, P. Presnajder, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari and A. Tureanu, *Aharonov-Bohm Effect in Noncommutative Spaces*, Phys. Lett. **B 527**(2002), 149.
- [10] M. Chaichian, A. Demichev, P. Presnajder, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, A. Tureanu, *Quantum theories on noncommutative spaces with nontrivial topology: AharonovBohm and Casimir effects*, Nucl. Phys. **B 611**(2001), 383.
- [11] H. Falomir, J. Gamboa, M. Loewe, F. Méndez and J. C. Rojas, *Spin Non-commutativity and the Three-Dimensional Harmonic Oscillator*, Phys. Rev. **D 66**(2002), 045018.

- [12] K. Li and S. Dulat, *Non-commutative phase space and its space-time symmetry*, Eur. Phys. J. C **46**(2006), 825.
- [13] M. Douglas, N. Nekrasov, *Noncommutative Field Theory*, Rev.Mod.Phys. **73**(2001), 977.
- [14] R. Szabo, *Magnetic Backgrounds and Noncommutative Field Theory*, Phys.Rept. **378**(2003), 207.
- [15] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, *Significance of Electromagnetic Potentials in the Quantum Theory*, Phys. Rev. **115**(1959), 485.
- [16] Y. Aharonov and A. Casher, *Topological Quantum Effects for Neutral Particles*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**(1984), 319.
- [17] X.-G. He, Bruce H. J. Mckellar, *The topological phase of the Aharonov-Casher effect and the anyon behaviour of charged particles in 2+1 dimensions*, Phys. Lett. **B256**(1991), 250.
- [18] X.-G. He, Bruce H. J. Mckellar, *Topological effects, dipole moments, and the dual current in 2+1 dimensions*, Phys. Rev. **A64**(2001), 022102.
- [19] D. Brace et al., *A cohomological approach to the non-abelian Seiberg-Witten map*, JHEP **06**(2001), 047.
- [20] X. Calmet et al., *The standard model on non-commutative space-time*, Eur. Phys. J. C **23** (2002), 363 .
- [21] P. Aschieri et al., *Non-Commutative GUTs, Standard Model and C,P,T*, Nucl. Phys. **B651**(2003), 45.
- [22] C. P. Martin, *b-tau Unification with Gaugino and Sfermion Mass non Universality*, Eur. Phys. J. **B652**(2003), 72.
- [23] J. Madore, S. Schraml, P. Schupp, and J. Wess, *Gauge theory on noncommutative spaces*, Eur. Phys. J. **C16**, 161 (2000).
- [24] B. Jurco, S. Schraml, P. Schupp, and J. Wess, *Enveloping algebra-valued gauge transformations for non-abelian gauge groups on non-commutative spaces*, Eur. Phys. J. **C17**(2000), 521.
- [25] B. Jurco, L. Moller, S. Schraml, P. Schupp, and J. Wess, *Construction of non-Abelian gauge theories on noncommutative spaces*, Eur. Phys. J. **C21**(2001), 383.
- [26] L. Moller, *Second order expansion of action functionals of noncommutative gauge theories*, JHEP **10** (2004), 063.
- [27] M. M. Ettefaghi, M. Haghighat, *Lorentz Conserving Noncommutative Standard Model*, Phys. Rev. **D75**(2007), 125002.
- [28] Y. Azimov, R. Ryndin, pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **61**, 444 (1995)[JETP Lett. **61**(1995), 345.
- [29] C. Hagen, S. Ramaswamy, *Comment on Equivalence of Several Chern-Simons Matter Models*, Phys. Rev. **D42**(1990), 3524.
- [30] J.A. Swansson and B.H.J. McKellar , *Relativistic Aharonov-Casher phase in spin 1*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34** (2001) ,1051; arXiv:quant-ph/0007118.
- [31] K. Kim, Y.-S. Tsai, *Magnetic Dipole and Electric Quadrupole Moments of the W^\pm Meson*, Phys. Rev. **D7**(1978), 3710.
- [32] B. Mirza, M. Zarei, *Noncommutative quantum mechanics and the Aharonov-Casher effect*, Eur. Phys. J. **C32**(2004), 583.

- [33] K. Li, J.-H. Wang *The topological AC effect on noncommutative phase space*, Eur. Phys. J. **C50**(2007), 1007.
- [34] J.-h. Wang and K. Li, *The HMW effect in noncommutative quantum mechanics* , J. Phys. **A40**(2007), 2197.
- [35] B. Mirza, R. Narimani, M. Zarei, *Aharonov-Casher effect for spin-1 particles in a non-commutative space* Eur. Phys. J. **C48**(2006), 641.
- [36] K. Li, S. Dulat and J.-H. Wang, *The He-McKellar-Wilkens effect for spin-1 particles on non-commutative space*, Chin. Phys. **B17**(2008), 1.
- [37] D. Brace, B. L. Cerchiai, A. F. Pasqua, U. Varadarajan and B. Zumino, *A Cohomological Approach to the Non-Abelian Seiberg-Witten Map*, JHEP **06** (2001), 047, arXiv:hep-th/0105192.
- [38] G. Barnich, M. Grigorev and M. Henneaux, *Seiberg-Witten maps from the point of view of consistent deformations of gauge theories*, JHEP **0110** (2001), 004, arXiv:hep-th/0106188.
- [39] M. Picariello, A. Quadri and S. P. Sorella, *Chern-Simons in the Seiberg-Witten map for non-commutative Abelian gauge theories in 4D*, JHEP **0201** (2002), 045, arXiv:hep-th/0110101.
- [40] R. Banerjee and S. Ghosh, *Seiberg Witten Map and the Axial Anomaly in Noncommutative Field Theory*, Phys. Lett. **B 533** (2002), 162, arXiv:hep-th/0110177.
- [41] S. Fidanza, *Towards an explicit expression of the Seiberg-Witten map at all orders*, JHEP **06** (2002), 016.
- [42] R. Jackiw and S. Y. Pi, *Noncommutative 1-cocycle in the Seiberg-Witten map*, Phys. Lett. **B534** (2002) 181,
- [43] R.G.G. Amorim, F.C. Khanna, A.P.C. Malbouisson, J.M.C. Malbouisson, A.E. Santana, *Realization of the Noncommutative Seiberg-Witten Gauge Theory by Fields in Phase Space*, arXiv:1402.1446 [hep-th]
- [44] Jianhua Wang, Kai Ma and Kang Li , *Influences of Topological Defect on Spin Hall Effect*, Phys. Rev. A . **87** (2013), 032107.
- [45] Jianhua Wang, Kang Li, Sayipjamal Dulat, Yi Yuan and Kai Ma, *$\pi^+\pi^+$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ colliding in noncommutative space* , Int. J. Theor. Phys. **51** (2012), 2639.
- [46] Ma Kai , Wang Jianhua and Yuan Yi, *Wigner function for the Dirac oscillator in spinor space*, Chinese Physics C, **35** (2011), 11.
- [47] Sayipjamal Dulat, Kang Li and Jianhua Wang, *Wigner Functions for the Landau problem in noncommutative quantum mechanics*, Theor. And Math. Phys. , **167** (2011), 628.
- [48] Wang Jian-Hua ,Li Kang and Sayipjamal Dulat, *The Klein-Gordon oscillators in noncommutative phase space*, Chinese Physics C, **32** (2008), 803.
- [49] Huan-Xiong Yang and Hong-Liang Ma, *Two-field Kahler moduli inflation in large volume moduli stabilization*, Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, **8** (2008), 24.
- [50] RONG Shu-Jun , Qiu-Yu Liu, *Flavor conservation, micro-causality and GSI anomaly*, Modern Physics Letters A, **27** (2012) , 1250093 .
- [51] RONG Shu-Jun and LIU Qiu-Yu, *The Perturbed Puma Model*, Chinese Physics Letters, **29** (2012), 041402 .

- [52] RONG Shu-Jun and LIU Qiu-Yu, *Flavor State of the Neutrino: Conditions for a Consistent Definition*, Chinese Physics Letters, **28** (2011), 121401 .
- [53] O. F. Dayi, *Dynamics of Dipoles and Quantum Phases in Noncommutative Coordinates*, Europhys. Lett. **85**(2009), 41002.
- [54] O. F. Dayi, B. Yapiskan, *An Alternative Formulation of Hall Effect and Quantum Phases in Noncommutative Space*, Phys. Lett. A **374**(2010), 3810.
- [55] C. P. Martin, *Computing the θ -exact Seiberg-Witten map for arbitrary gauge groups*, Phys. Rev. D **86**(2012), 065010.
- [56] Weijian Wang, Feichao Tian, Zheng-Mao Sheng, *Higgs-strahlung and pair production in the e^+e^- collision in the noncommutative standard model*, Phys. Rev. D **84**(2011), 045012.
- [57] Weijian Wang, Jia-Hui Huang, Zheng-Mao Sheng, *TeV scale phenomenology of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ scattering in the noncommutative standard model with hybrid gauge transformation*, Phys. Rev. D **86**(2012), 025003.
- [58] Weijian Wang, Jia-Hui Huang, Zheng-Mao Sheng, *Bound on noncommutative standard model with hybrid gauge transformation via lepton flavor conserving Z decay*, Phys. Rev. D **88**(2013), 025031.