

Nikolay L. Chuprikov

On the physical meaning of the 'large' and 'small' components of the Dirac bispinor

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract We show that solving the stationary Dirac equation with an electric scalar potential is reduced to solving the Schrödinger equation for two quasiparticles with effective masses that contain information about the Lorentzian symmetry of the four-dimensional space-time. This implies a new interpretation of the 'large' and 'small' components of the Dirac bispinor where both these components refer now to a Dirac particle (or both refer to a Dirac antiparticle). Mixing of the particle and antiparticle states is prohibited. On this basis we discuss the paradoxical phenomena of Zitterbewegung and the Klein tunneling.

Keywords Dirac equation · Klein tunneling · Dirac sea · potential step

PACS 03.65.-w 03.65.Xp 42.25.Bs

1 Introduction

As is known, the physical nature of the Dirac equation, despite its long history, remains unclear in many respects. In the first place this applies to the paradoxical Klein tunneling [1–8] and to the so-called 'Zitterbewegung' [1,9]. Both are often mentioned in the current literature on this equation and both give rise to controversy among researchers.

Even the very nature of both the paradoxical phenomena is differently understood in the current literature. For example, for an electron scattering on a strong electric scalar step potential, when its energy lies on the energy scale below the step height in the so-called Klein zone, some authors understand by the Klein paradox the appearance of a classically accessible region behind the step; while others say in this case about the Klein paradox when the probability flow associated with reflected particles exceeds the incident one.

As regards Zitterbewegung, there are two main, principally different versions of this phenomenon, Schrödinger's [10] and Hestenes' [11] ones. The latter treats an electron as "a rapidly rotating electric dipole", i.e., as a particle having an internal substructure. This model of Zitterbewegung needs a reformulation of the standard Dirac equation, and what is more important is that it, in fact, lies beyond the scope of quantum mechanics itself. It can be considered as a *pre-quantum* Zitterbewegung model. Since our aim is to study this phenomenon on a strictly quantum level, we shall concern only the Schrödinger version [10] of Zitterbewegung, where this phenomenon results from the standard Dirac equation when a particle is supposed to be in a quantum state that represents a superposition of the particle and antiparticle states (the electron itself is considered as a point object).

The idea that such states may coexist with each other underlies also many approaches to the Klein tunneling. In the final analysis they attempt to explain the Klein tunneling as a many-particle

effect accompanied by creation of electron-positron pairs. Thus, such an explanation lies beyond the formalism of the one-particle Dirac equation. At the same time this equation remains correct at all energies of a relativistic particle (see, e.g., [12, 13]) and hence namely the one-particle Dirac formalism must be taken as basis for explanation of the Klein tunneling.

Instead of the pair-creation mechanism, some authors (see, e.g., [5, 7]) attempt to resolve the Klein paradox with making use of different kinds of 'ghost' wave modes and virtual particles. In these approaches the probability flow associated with 'ghost' modes and virtual particles balance the electron flow at the step, and the Klein tunneling disappears. But this result cannot be considered as satisfactory, because it contradicts the studies of the Klein tunneling in graphene where this effect, predicted on the basis of the Dirac equation, really exists (see, e.g., [14]).

We consider that the source of all paradoxes that surround at present the Dirac equation is the existing interpretation of the 'large' and especially the 'small' component of the Dirac bispinor. Its main assumption is that the particle and anti-particle quantum states of the Dirac equation belong to the same Hilbert space. But this is not. To show this, as well as to develop a new interpretation of the bispinor components, is the main aim of our paper. In doing so, we will consider this equation only with the electric scalar potential, what is quite sufficient for studying all these questions.

2 Reduction of the Dirac equation to the Schrödinger equation for the 'large' and 'small' components of the Dirac bispinor

Let us consider the (3+1)-Dirac equation with the time-independent electric scalar potential $\phi(\mathbf{r})$:

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \left(i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - e\phi(\mathbf{r}) \right) + i\hbar c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ -\boldsymbol{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\nabla} - mc^2 \right] \begin{pmatrix} \Phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (1)$$

e is the electric charge of a particle; m is its (rest) mass; \mathbf{r} is its radius-vector; σ^1 , σ^2 and σ^3 are the Pauli matrices. The corresponding continuity equation is

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathbf{J} = 0; \quad W = |\Phi|^2 + |\chi|^2, \quad \mathbf{J} = c(\Phi^* \boldsymbol{\sigma} \chi + \chi^* \boldsymbol{\sigma} \Phi). \quad (2)$$

As is well known, Eq. (1) describes the quantum dynamics of a spin-half relativistic particle. And, according to the current vision of this equation (see, e.g., [1]), each component of the Dirac bispinor is associated with a given orientation of the spin along the axis OZ and with a given sign of the particle energy: if $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_+ \\ \Phi_- \end{pmatrix}$ and $\chi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_+ \\ \chi_- \end{pmatrix}$, then the pair Φ_+ and χ_+ describes a particle whose z -projection of spin is $+1/2$, and the pair Φ_- and χ_- describes a particle whose z -projection of spin is $-1/2$. As regards the very spinors Φ and χ (usually [1] referred to, in the non-relativistic limit, as 'large' and 'small' components, respectively), they are assumed to correspond to the positive and negative values of the particle energy. But this is not, in our opinion.

Indeed, since the potential $V = e\phi$ does not depend on t , one can search a particular (stationary) solution of Eqs. (1) in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi(\mathbf{r}, t) \\ \chi(\mathbf{r}, t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(\mathbf{r}; E) \\ \chi(\mathbf{r}; E) \end{pmatrix} e^{-iEt/\hbar} \quad (3)$$

where E is the particle energy. For the stationary state we have

$$(E - V - mc^2)\Phi + i\hbar c(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \chi) = 0, \quad (E - V + mc^2)\chi + i\hbar c(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \Phi) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Note further that Eqs. (4) and Exps. (2) for W and \mathbf{J} can be rewritten in terms of the spinor Φ only. Indeed, eliminating the spinor χ , we obtain with $\epsilon = E - mc^2$ that

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2}(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \Phi}{M} \right) + V\Phi = \epsilon\Phi; \quad M(\epsilon, V) = m \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon - V}{2mc^2} \right) \quad (5a)$$

$$W = |\Phi|^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4M^2 c^2} |\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \Phi|^2, \quad \mathbf{J} = \frac{i\hbar}{2M} [(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \Phi)^* \boldsymbol{\sigma} \Phi - \Phi^* \boldsymbol{\sigma} (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \Phi)]; \quad \chi = -\frac{i\hbar}{2Mc} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \Phi. \quad (5b)$$

Note that the set of Eqs. (5a) and (5b) is exactly equivalent to Eqs. (4). Thus, solving the Dirac equation is reduced, in fact, to solving Eq. (5a) for the 'large' spinor Φ , which represents the Schrödinger equation for a quasiparticle with the effective mass M .

As is well known (see, e.g., [15]), the equation of such a kind plays a crucial role in solid state physics, where it describes the quantum dynamics of a Bloch electron in superlattices. Namely, it arises within the effective-mass approximation as the equation for the envelope of the wave function of a Bloch electron. In this approximation, the effective mass of this quasiparticle contains information about the periodic crystal potential which governs the quantum dynamics of the Bloch electron. And, according to this approach, the envelope of the wave function must be everywhere continuous together with its first spatial derivative divided by the effective mass.

Thus, essentially the same situation arises for a Dirac particle. Now, to ensure the continuity of the probability density W and the probability current density \mathbf{J} (5b) at the points where the potential V is discontinuous, the spinor Φ must be continuous together with the function $\sigma\nabla\Phi/M$. It is important that the last requirement provides the continuity of the spinor χ ! Like the effective mass of a Bloch electron, the effective mass M associated with the spinor Φ holds information about the Lorentzian structure of the four dimensional space-time. And what is also important is that, unlike the equation for the envelope of the wave function of a Bloch electron, Eq. (5a) for a Dirac particle is exact.

Another peculiarity of the quantum relativistic dynamics is that now the probability density W (5b) is determined not only by the term $|\Psi|^2$. There is also in W the term proportional to $|\sigma\nabla\Phi|^2$. This term, arising due to the 'small' component χ , indeed vanishes in the non-relativistic limit. But this fact does not at all mean that the spinor χ plays no role in this limit. Firstly, we have to recall that the second-order differential equation (5b) is equivalent to the system (4) of coupled first-order differential equations for Φ and χ where both the spinors are equally essential. Secondly, both the spinors are also equally essential in the expression (2) for the probability current density.

To elucidate the role of the 'small' spinor χ it is useful to express Eqs. (5a) and (5b) in another equivalent form, where Φ and χ change roles:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2}(\sigma\nabla)\left(\frac{\sigma\nabla\chi}{\mu}\right) + (V - 2mc^2)\chi = \epsilon\chi; \quad \mu(\epsilon, V) = \frac{\epsilon - V}{2c^2} \quad (6a)$$

$$W = \frac{\hbar^2}{4\mu^2c^2}|\sigma\nabla\chi|^2 + |\chi|^2, \quad \mathbf{J} = \frac{i\hbar}{2\mu}[(\sigma\nabla\chi)^*\sigma\chi - \chi^*\sigma(\sigma\nabla\chi)]; \quad \Phi = -\frac{i\hbar}{2\mu c}\sigma\nabla\chi. \quad (6b)$$

As is seen, now solving Eqs. (4) is reduced to solving Eq (6a) for the 'small' spinor χ . It represents the Schrödinger equations for a quasiparticles with the effective mass μ . In this case the spinor χ and the function $\sigma\nabla\chi/\mu$ must be continuous. The last condition is evident to provide the continuity of Φ .

Eqs. (5a) and (6a) tell us that the quantum ensemble of Dirac particles with the energy E 'splits' into the subensemble of 'heavy' quasiparticles with effective mass M and the subensemble of 'light' quasiparticles with the effective mass μ ; note that $M - \mu = m$ and $M + \mu = (E - V)/c^2 \equiv \mathcal{M}$. And this 'splitting' is unique because no effective mass can be assigned to any superposition $c_1\Phi + c_2\chi$ ($c_1 \neq 0$, $c_2 \neq 0$) of these two spinors. Only the spinors Φ and χ by themselves, arising within the standard representation of the Dirac equation, are associated with quasiparticles possessing effective masses.

Thus, according to our approach, the spinor Φ describes the quantum dynamics of a Dirac particle under the electric scalar potential V as that of a Schrödinger quasiparticle with the effective mass M under the potential V . While the spinor χ describes the quantum dynamics of this Dirac particle as that of a Schrödinger quasiparticle with the effective mass μ under the potential $V - 2mc^2$. In fact, the effective masses M and μ of the 'heavy' component Φ and the 'light' component χ , respectively, should be considered as new quantum numbers (in addition to the spin projections $+\hbar/2$ and $-\hbar/2$) that characterize, new internal degrees of a freedom of a Dirac particle. Of importance is also to stress that Eqs. (5a) and (6a), which describe their dynamics, represent the different form of the same equation!

Note that, unlike the standard approach (see, e.g., [1]) where the spinor χ corresponds to the particle states with negative energies, in our approach the 'light' spinor χ like the 'heavy' spinor Φ is associated with the positive sign of E in (3) and hence both the spinors describe the particle states.

Such states are invariant with respect to the Lorentz transformations and represent a complete set of states of a relativistic particle. The fact that Eqs. (4) possess also solutions with the negative values of E in (3) means simply that these equations imply also, in addition to the particle states, the existence of the antiparticle states. Again, the set of the antiparticle states is invariant under the

Lorentz transformations and, thus, it represents a complete set of states of a relativistic antiparticle. Of course, the group of transformations of symmetry of the Dirac equation contains also the operation of charge conjugation that transforms the particle and antiparticle states into each other. But it essentially changes the physical context that determines the properties of a quantum ensemble (for example, this transformation converts the electric potential V , under which a particle moves, into the potential $-V$ for its antiparticle). Thus, the particle and antiparticle states cannot be mixed with each other: superpositions of states with the positive and negative values of E in (3) (and hence Schrödinger's version of Zitterbewegung) are prohibited.

All this means that it is sufficient to solve the Dirac equation (4) with the potential V for a particle and then apply them to the corresponding antiparticle moving under the potential $-V$. In doing so, we have to take into account that all particle states lie in the region $\epsilon > V_{min}$ where V_{min} is the minimal value of the potential V for a given structure.

3 Scattering a Dirac particle on the potential step

To analyse in more detail all these questions, including the Klein tunneling, let us consider the case when a Dirac particle is scattered on a layered structure described by the potential $V(z)$ which is constant in the x - and y -directions, but piecewise constant in the z -direction. Moreover, we will also assume that a particle moves along the OZ -axis.

Since Eqs. (5a) and (6a) coincide with each other our further analysis will be based on Eq. (5a) for the 'heavy' spinor Φ . It is easy to show that in the case considered its components Φ_+ and Φ_- obey the same equation. Taking into account that the potential $V(z)$ is constant within every layer, this equation can be written as follows

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M(\epsilon, V(z))} \frac{d^2\Psi}{dz^2} + V\Psi = \epsilon\Psi; \quad (7)$$

here the wave function $\Psi(z; E)$ is implied to be continuous, at the points where the potential $V(z)$ is discontinuous, together with the function $\frac{1}{M(\epsilon, V(z))} \frac{d\Psi(z; E)}{dz}$. The corresponding probability density W and probability current density J_z are

$$W = |\Psi|^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4M^2c^2} \left| \frac{d\Psi}{dz} \right|^2, \quad J_z = \frac{i\hbar}{2M} \left(\Psi \frac{d\Psi^*}{dz} - \Psi^* \frac{d\Psi}{dz} \right). \quad (8)$$

Note that the components Φ_+ and Φ_- are described in general by different solutions of Eq. (7). As regards the components χ_+ and χ_- , they are determined by the equality $\chi_{\pm} = \mp \frac{i\hbar}{2Mc} \frac{d\Phi_{\pm}}{dz}$.

Being interested in the Klein tunneling, let us solve Eq. (7) for the potential step ($V(z) = 0$ for $z < 0$ and $V(z) = V_0$ for $z > 0$) assuming that a particle moves toward the potential step from the left; V_0 is constant. Note that $V_{min} = 0$ for this setting of the problem; thus all states lie in the region $\epsilon > 0$.

Since the effective masses of the heavy and light components are different and constant in the regions $z < 0$ and $z > 0$, let further

$$M_0 = M(\epsilon, 0) = m + \mu_0, \quad \mu_0 = \mu(\epsilon, 0) = \frac{\epsilon}{2c^2}, \quad M_V = M(\epsilon, V_0) = m + \mu_V, \quad \mu_V = \mu(\epsilon, V_0) = \frac{\epsilon - V_0}{2c^2}.$$

Then the general solution of Eq. (7) in the region $z < 0$, where the particle is free and the effective masses M and μ of both its components are positive, can be written as follows

$$\Psi = A_1 e^{ikz} + B_1 e^{-ikz}, \quad \hbar k = \sqrt{2M_0\epsilon} \equiv 2c\sqrt{\mu_0 M_0}; \quad (9)$$

A_1 and B_1 are constants to be determined.

For the region $z > 0$ we have

$$\Psi = A_2 e^{i\kappa z} + B_2 e^{-i\kappa z}, \quad \hbar\kappa = \beta\sqrt{2M_V(\epsilon - V_0)} \equiv 2\beta c\sqrt{\mu_V M_V} \quad (\mu_V M_V > 0); \quad (10a)$$

$$\Psi = A_2 e^{-\kappa z} + B_2 e^{\kappa z}, \quad \hbar\kappa = \sqrt{2M_V(V_0 - \epsilon)} \equiv 2c\sqrt{-\mu_V M_V} \quad (\mu_V M_V < 0); \quad (10b)$$

$\beta = \text{sign}(M_V)$, A_2 and B_2 are arbitrary constants.

Now, when the effective masses of both quasiparticles can have opposite signs, when they move under the influence of different potentials, we are facing with a more complex situation which depends on the energy of the quasiparticles. Indeed, for $V_0 > 2mc^2$ we have the following possibilities:

- When $\epsilon > V_0$ (and hence $\epsilon > V_0 - 2mc^2$) both heavy and light quasiparticles have the positive effective masses and both move in the under-barrier regime.
- When $V_0 - 2mc^2 < \epsilon < V_0$ the heavy quasiparticle has the positive effective mass M_V and moves, in the region $z > 0$, in the under-barrier regime – this spatial region is classically forbidden for it. At the same time $\mu_V < 0$ and, thus, the light quasiparticle behaves in the region $z > 0$ like an anti-particle. As a consequence, though $\epsilon > V_0 - 2mc^2$ as in the above case, the region $z > 0$ is now classically forbidden for the light quasiparticle.
- When $0 < \epsilon < V_0 - 2mc^2$ (the Klein zone) the effective masses of both quasiparticles are negative. As a consequence, they behave in the region $z > 0$ like anti-particles; that is, this region is classically accessible for them.

As is seen from this analysis, despite the different effective masses, the heavy and light quasiparticles behave equally in all the energy intervals. Note that when $\mu_V M_V < 0$ the region $z > 0$ is classically forbidden for both quasiparticles; otherwise it is classically accessible for them.

3.1 Total reflection

Let us first consider the case when $\mu_V M_V < 0$. This takes place when $V_0 - 2mc^2 < \epsilon < V_0$ for $V_0 > 2mc^2$; otherwise, this condition can be written as $0 < \epsilon < V_0$. In both cases, $M_V > 0$ but $\mu_V < 0$.

Of course, since Ψ should be everywhere bounded, $B_2 = 0$. Then, matching the solutions (9) and (10b) at the point $z = 0$, with making use of the continuity conditions

$$\Psi|_{z=-0} = \Psi|_{z=+0}, \quad \frac{1}{M_0} \frac{d\Psi}{dz} \Big|_{z=-0} = \frac{1}{M_V} \frac{d\Psi}{dz} \Big|_{z=+0} \quad (11)$$

we find the constants B_1 and A_2 :

$$B_1 = \frac{\tilde{k} - i\tilde{\kappa}}{\tilde{k} + i\tilde{\kappa}} A_1, \quad A_2 = \frac{2\tilde{k}}{\tilde{k} + i\tilde{\kappa}} A_1; \quad \tilde{k} = \frac{k}{M_0} \equiv \frac{2c}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{M_0}}, \quad \tilde{\kappa} = \frac{\kappa}{M_V} \equiv \frac{2c}{\hbar} \sqrt{-\frac{\mu_V}{M_V}}. \quad (12)$$

As it was expected, $|B_1| = |A_1|$: Exps. (9) and (10b), with the constants B_1 and A_2 (12), represent a standing wave. In this case the probability current density J_z (see Exp. (8)) is zero; the incident and reflected flows coincide with each other – full reflection.

3.2 The Klein tunneling and passage of a particle above the potential step

Let now $\mu_V M_V > 0$. This takes place in the following two cases: when $\epsilon > V_0$ – the passage of a particle above the potential step; when $0 < \epsilon < V_0 - 2mc^2$ – the Klein tunneling (this implies that $V_0 > 2mc^2$).

Matching the solutions (9) and (10a) at the point $z = 0$, with making use of the continuity conditions (11), we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \mathbf{Y} \begin{pmatrix} A_2 \\ B_2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} q & p \\ p & q \end{pmatrix}, \quad q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} = \theta_+, \quad p = \sqrt{\frac{R}{T}} = \theta_-; \quad (13)$$

$$\theta_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha^{-1} \pm \alpha), \quad \alpha = \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{\tilde{k}}}, \quad \tilde{\kappa} = \frac{\kappa}{M_V} \equiv \frac{2c}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_V}{M_V}}; \quad (14)$$

here \mathbf{Y} is the transfer matrix of the potential step; T and R are the transmission and reflection coefficients, respectively; $R = 1 - T$; note that $\alpha < 1$.

Since a particle source is located on the left of the step the wave $B_2 e^{-i\kappa z}$, associated with the negative current density, must be discarded: $B_2 = 0$. (Note that, in the Klein zone this wave has a

positive phase velocity ($-\kappa > 0$) and sometimes namely this wave is erroneously considered as an essential.) As a consequence,

$$\Psi = A_1[\exp(ikz) + \sqrt{R}\exp(-ikz)] \quad (z < 0); \quad \Psi = A_1\alpha^{-1}\sqrt{T}\exp(i\kappa z) \quad (z > 0).$$

Note that the probability density W_{tr} in the region $z > 0$ as well as the (total) probability current density J_z (see Exps. (8)) are

$$W_{tr} = \left(1 + \frac{\hbar^2 \tilde{\kappa}^2}{4c^2}\right) |A_2|^2 \equiv \left(1 + \frac{\mu_V}{M_V}\right) |A_2|^2, \quad J_z = \hbar \tilde{\kappa} |A_2|^2 \equiv 2c \sqrt{\frac{\mu_V}{M_V}} |A_2|^2.$$

Thus, the 'flow' velocity $v_{flow} = J_z/W_{tr}$ in the region $z > 0$ is

$$v_{flow} = 2c \frac{\sqrt{\mu_V M_V}}{|M_V + \mu_V|} \equiv \frac{\hbar \kappa}{\mathcal{M}}.$$

As is seen, $0 < v_{flow} < c$ both for $\epsilon > V_0$ and in the Klein zone. The only peculiarity of the Klein zone is that now the flow and phase velocities of the wave $A_2 \exp(i\kappa z)$ have the opposite signs. This is so because the repulsive potential V becomes attractive, in the Klein zone, both for the 'heavy' and 'light' components; their effective masses M_V and μ_V are negative in this zone.

Here it is important also to note that both terms in Exp. (8) for W – the first one that corresponds to the 'heavy' component of the Dirac bispinor, as well as the second one that corresponds to its 'light' component – are necessary in order to guarantee the fulfillment of the inequality $v_{flow} < c$.

4 Discussion and conclusion

By the example of the Dirac particle that has a definite energy E and moves orthogonally to the layers of a spatial structure described by the piecewise constant electric scalar potential $V(z)$, it is shown that the set of two coupled first-order differential equations for the 'large' (Φ) and 'small' (χ) components of the Dirac bispinor can be presented in the following two equivalent forms: in the form of the Schrödinger equation with the potential V for the component Φ to describe a 'heavy' quasiparticle with the effective mass M ; in the form of the Schrödinger equation with the potential $V - 2mc^2$ for the component χ to describe a 'light' quasiparticle with the effective mass μ . That is, by our approach the ensemble of (identical) Dirac particles with the energy E (moving in the four-dimensional space-time under the potential V) consists of two (inseparable) subensembles of heavy and light Schrödinger quasiparticles with the energy E (moving in the Euclidian three-dimensional space under the potentials V and $V - 2mc^2$, respectively). The effective mass of each Schrödinger quasiparticle holds information about the Lorentzian symmetry of the four-dimensional space-time: M and μ are dynamical rather than inertial or gravitational masses of the Dirac particle. In the limit $E \rightarrow \infty$, $M - \mu \rightarrow 0$.

Contrary to the existing approaches, in our approach the 'small' ('light') component χ remains essential even in the non-relativistic limit: firstly, both the 'heavy' and 'light' components are equally important in the expression (2) for the probability current density; secondly, both the components are equally important for transforming the system (4) of the first-order differential equations into the equivalent second-order differential (Schrödinger) equations (5a) and (6a).

We have to stress once more, since the Dirac spinor like the Schrödinger wave function describes a quantum particle on the statistical level, there is reason to believe that the Dirac particle must not be considered as a physical object consisting of these two quasiparticles. It is rather the *ensemble* of Dirac particles with the 'mass' $(E - V(z))/c^2$ that consists of two subensembles of quasiparticles with the masses $M(z)$ and $\mu(z)$, such that $M(z) + \mu(z) = (E - V(z))/c^2$. The Dirac particle can move *either* as a heavy quasiparticle *or* as a light quasiparticle, with the probabilities $|\Phi|^2$ and $|\chi|^2$, respectively. Any averaging is allowed only for both subensembles of quasiparticles.

As regards the Klein paradox, its version where the incident flow is less than the incident one should be considered as a result of an incorrect setting of the scattering problem for the Klein zone (it is incorrect to set in (10b) $A_2 = 0$ and $B_2 \neq 0$). The true version of this paradox consists in that the transmission coefficient for the Dirac particle scattering on the strong potential step, with the energy in the Klein zone, is not zero. By our approach this takes place because both quasiparticles have, in this zone, negative effective masses. Repulsive potential acts on them as attractive potential.

Thus, according to our approach there is a more close relationship between genius Dirac's and Schrödinger's equations, than it was believed earlier. We hope that this finding will be useful for understanding the nature of both these equations. This also opens the possibility to apply the mathematical methods of solving the stationary Schrödinger equation to the Dirac equation. In particular, this concerns the well-known transfer-matrix approach which is suitable for solving the Schrödinger equation with piecewise constant effective mass and potential function.

Of course, this approach poses new questions. For example, it is interesting to reveal the role of vacuum, if any, in forming the effective masses of the 'heavy' and 'light' internal degrees of freedom of the Dirac particle. It is important to study the temporal aspects of the Dirac quantum dynamics. In our opinion, these studies may help us to 'see', at least indirectly, the individual quantum dynamics of the heavy and light components Φ and χ (and help us to indirectly measure their effective masses). Besides, these studies, performed with wave packets consisting of the stationary solutions that correspond to energies from the Klein zone and the interval $E > V_0 + mc^2$, could be useful for revealing the nature of Zitterbewegung (which does not imply mixing the particle and antiparticle states).

5 Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Programm of supporting the leading scientific schools of RF (grant No 224.2012.2) for partial support of this work.

References

1. Messiah A.: Quantum mechanics. Vol 2. North-Holland Publishing Company. Amsterdam. (1965)
2. Hansen A. and Ravndal F.: Klein's Paradox and Its Resolution. *Physica Scripta*. **23**, 1036-1042 (1981)
3. Barry R. Holstein: Klein's paradox. *Am. J. Phys.* **66**, 507–512 (1998); doi: 10.1119/1.18891
4. Calogeracos A. and Dombey N.: History and physics of the Klein paradox. *Contemporary Physics*. **40**, No. 5, 313–321 (1999)
5. Bosanac S. D.: Solution of Dirac equation for a step potential and the Klein paradox. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40**, 8991–9001 (2007)
6. Kononets Y. V.: Charge Conservation, Klein's Paradox and the Concept of Paulions in the Dirac Electron Theory. *New Results for the Dirac Equation in External Fields*. *Found. Phys.* **40**: 545–572 (2010); DOI 10.1007/s10701-010-9414-6.
7. Alhaidari A D: Resolution of the Klein paradox. *Phys. Scr.* **83**, 025001(4pp) (2011)
8. Payandeh F., Pur T. M., Fathi M. and Moghaddam Z. Gh.: A Krein Quantization Approach to Klein Paradox. arXiv:1305.1927v3 [gr-qc].
9. Gerritsma R., Kirchmair G., Zähringer F., Solano E., Blatt R. and Roos C. F.: Quantum simulation of the Dirac equation. *Nature*. **463**, 68–71 (2010); doi:10.1038/nature08688
10. Schroedinger, E.: Über die kräftefreie bewegung in der relativistischen quantenmechanik.. *Sitz. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys.-Math. Kl.*24(418) (1930)
11. Hestenes D.: Zitterbewegung in quantum mechanics. *Found. Phys.* **40(1)**, 1–54 (2010)
12. Greiner W.: *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics: Wave Equations* (Berlin: Springer), 1994.
13. Bjorken J. D. and Drell S. D.: *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* (New York: McGraw-Hill), 1964.
14. Beenakker W. J.: Colloquium: Andreev reflection and Klein tunneling in graphene. *Rev. of Mod. Phys.* **80**, 1337–1354 (2008)
15. Bastard G.: Superlattice band structure in the envelope-function approximation. *Phys. Rev. B*. **24**, 5693–5697 (1981); Bastard G.: Theoretical investigations of superlattice band structure in the envelope-function approximation. *Phys. Rev. B*. **25**, 7584–7597 (1982)