

Super-inflation and generation of first order vector perturbations in ELKO

Abhishek Basak and S. Shankaranarayanan

School of Physics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram (IISER-TVM), Trivandrum 695016, India

E-mail: abhishek@iisertvm.ac.in, shanki@iisertvm.ac.in

Abstract. In this work we construct a model where first order vector perturbations can be generated during inflationary expansion. For the non-standard spinors, known as ELKO, we show that the $(\eta - i)$ component of the first order perturbed energy-momentum tensor of the ELKO is non-zero for pure vector part of the metric perturbation (B_i). We show that vector perturbations do not decay in the super-horizon scale and for a specific super-inflation background model we show that the vector perturbations are nearly scale invariant, while its amplitude is smaller than the primordial scalar perturbations. We also comment on the generation of vorticity.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Linear order perturbed ELKO energy-momentum tensor	2
3	Evolution equation of B_i	4
4	Background scaling solution	5
5	Solutions in the sub-horizon and super-horizon scale	6
6	Conclusion	8
7	Acknowledgments	8

1 Introduction

Inflationary paradigm has been highly successful in explaining the observed Universe. In the standard inflationary scenario, inflation is driven by a slowly rolling scalar field through its potential. The cosmological perturbation theory during inflation has predicted the CMB observations quite successfully, for example density perturbation or scalar perturbations in the first order [1, 2].

However, generation of primordial seed magnetic field is still unresolved. There are various mechanisms to generate primordial magnetic field [3–5]. One mechanism of generating primordial magnetic field is generating vorticity during inflation which can be sourced by primordial vector modes. However, it has been observed that the first order perturbation theory can not source any vector perturbations. The reason is easily understood as the $(\eta - i)$ th component of perturbed energy-momentum tensor does not contain any vector modes. In the absence of anisotropic stress, the vector modes decay quickly as the Universe expands [1, 6]. To avoid this problem of first order vector modes attempts has been made to generate vector modes in the collapsing Universe during the contracting phase of the cyclic models of the Universe [7]. It has been shown that during this contracting phase the vector modes indeed grow, but this growth cannot be stopped which may finally lead to breakdown of the perturbation theory [8].

Unlike the first order perturbation theories, it has been observed that the in case of second order perturbation theory the vector modes can be sourced and vorticity can be generated [9–12] even in the standard scalar field driven inflationary theory.

In this work we consider the inflationary scenario driven by non-standard spinors also known as *ELKO* [13–16]. These kind of spinors have mass dimension one and follows Klein-Gordon equation instead of Dirac equation. It has been already observed that this kind of spinors can drive inflation and give the scalar power spectrum consistent with the observed data [17–19]. Here we show explicitly that ELKO driven inflation can generate growing vector modes even in the first order. Like the scalar perturbation case, the evolution equation of the vector modes follow second order differential equation in time. In order to make a definite prediction, we assume that the background field leads to super-inflation, i.e. $\dot{H} >$

0 [20–22], where H is the Hubble parameter. The super-inflationary phase requires new physics which, in a case, is provided by ELKO. We have shown that the vector modes can be freeze in the super-horizon scale and is scale invariant. However, the amplitude is small compared to the scalar perturbation. The suppression factor of the amplitudes of the vector modes is $\exp(-\Delta N)$, where ΔN is the number of e-foldings necessary for super-inflation. As in the case of ELKO driven inflationary theories, the spectral index of the scalar modes of perturbations depends on the slow-roll parameter $\epsilon = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}$, super-inflationary phase ($\dot{H} > 0$) may produce blue tilt in the spectral index of the scalar perturbations [19]. Hence, the super-inflationary phase precedes the standard inflationary phase. In order for the scalar perturbations from the ELKOS to be consistent with the CMB observations, the number of e-foldings in the super-inflation phase can only be of the order unity. After the end of super-inflationary phase the standard inflationary phase with ($\dot{H} < 0$) starts.

In Sec. (2), we give the basic definitions of ELKO Lagrangian and the energy-momentum tensor. In this section we further calculate the $(\eta - i)$ th component of the perturbed energy-momentum tensor in the linear order. In Sec. (3) we use the linear order perturbed Einstein equation and using the perturbed energy-momentum tensor we calculate the evolution equation of the pure vector modes B_i . At super-horizon scale, it is shown that the vector modes can be frozen in time. In Sec. (4) we give the approximate background scaling solution, consistent with the super-inflation. The Sec. (5) contains the solutions of the vector modes in the sub-horizon and super-horizon scale. Finally, in the Sec. (6) we give the conclusion and comment on the generation of vorticity in the first order.

2 Linear order perturbed ELKO energy-momentum tensor

In this work we are interested in the inflationary theory based on non-standard spinor known as ELKO. ELKO are the eigenspinors of charge conjugation operator. This kind of spinors are non-standard because, unlike classical Dirac spinors in case of ELKO spinors $(CPT)^{-2} = -\mathbb{I}$. Other major difference between classical Dirac spinors and ELKO is, Dirac spinors have mass dimension $\frac{3}{2}$ whereas ELKO spinors have mass dimension one. Therefore, these kind of spinors follow second order Klein-Gordon equation instead of Dirac equation which is first order in time. The energy-momentum tensor of ELKO can be written as [18]:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \chi \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{(\mu} \overrightarrow{\nabla}^{\nu)} \lambda - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L} + F^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.1)$$

where λ and χ are ELKO and its dual, respectively. The ELKO Lagrangian (\mathcal{L}) is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \chi \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{(\mu} \overrightarrow{\nabla}^{\nu)} \lambda - V \quad (2.2)$$

The covariant derivative on ELKO and its dual are defined as:

$$\chi \overleftarrow{\nabla} \equiv \partial^\mu \chi + \chi \Gamma^\mu, \quad \overrightarrow{\nabla} \lambda \equiv \partial^\mu \lambda - \Gamma^\mu \lambda. \quad (2.3)$$

$F^{\mu\nu}$ is the additional term that comes from the variation of spin-connection, Γ^μ , with respect to the metric $g^{\mu\nu}$. We work in the conformal time where the background metric is given as:

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2(\eta) & \mathbb{O} \\ \mathbb{O} & -a^2(\eta) \delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.4)$$

where η is the conformal time. The expression of $F^{\mu\nu}$ is given as

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\rho J^{\mu\nu\rho}, \quad (2.5)$$

where the expression of $J^{\mu\nu\rho}$ is:

$$J^{\mu\nu\rho} = -\frac{i}{2} \left[\chi \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{(\mu} f^{\nu)\rho} \lambda + \chi f^{\rho(\mu} \overrightarrow{\nabla}^{\nu)} \lambda \right]. \quad (2.6)$$

$f^{\mu\nu}$ is defined as $f^{\mu\nu} = e_a^\mu e_b^\nu f^{ab}$, where e_a^μ is the vierbiens and f^{ab} is the generator of the Lorentz group. Here the index μ denotes the space-time index and a denotes the spinor index. The vierbiens are related to the metric by the following relation:

$$e_a^\mu e_b^\nu \eta^{ab} = g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\eta^{ab} = \text{diag.}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ is the Minkowski metric.

We prefer to work with the following ansatz for the back ground ELKO and its dual

$$\lambda = \varphi(\eta) \xi, \quad \chi = \varphi(\eta) \bar{\xi}, \quad (2.8)$$

where ξ and $\bar{\xi}$ are the two constant matrices with the property

$$\bar{\xi} \xi = \mathbb{I}, \quad (2.9)$$

such that $\chi \lambda = \varphi(\eta)^2$. In the above ansatz $\varphi(\eta)$ is a background scalar quantity dependent on time only. The advantages of using the above ansatz are: (i) The components of the energy-momentum tensor can be written in terms of one scalar field (φ) instead of two spinors and (ii) it can be ensured that the theory does not have any negative energy or ghost modes [18]. Using (2.8) and (2.9) one can show that the $(\eta - \eta)$ component of the background energy-momentum tensor, which will be used later, becomes:

$$T^{\eta\eta(0)} = a^{-4} \left[\frac{1}{2} \varphi'^2 + \frac{3}{8} \mathcal{H}^2 \varphi^2 + a^2 V \right], \quad (2.10)$$

where $'$ denotes derivative w.r.t η (the conformal time).

The perturbed Einstein equation is given by:

$$\delta G_\nu^\mu = 8\pi G \delta T_\nu^\mu, \quad (2.11)$$

For the vector modes in the metric perturbation we choose the Newtonian gauge where the components of metric perturbation are given below:

$$\delta g_{\eta i} = a^2 B_i, \quad (2.12)$$

where ' i ' is the spatial index which runs from 1 – 3. All other components of the metric perturbation are equal to zero. Here B_i is the divergence less vector mode i.e., $\partial^i B_i = 0$. The $\eta - i$ component of the Einstein equation (2.11) is given by,

$$\frac{1}{2} a^{-2} \Delta B_i = 8\pi G \delta T_i^\eta, \quad (2.13)$$

where $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}$.

It is important to note that in the standard scalar field driven inflation the right hand side of the equation (2.13) vanishes. Therefore, in case of the standard scalar field theory the solution of the vector modes B_i are identically zero in all scales. But here we will show that unlike the standard canonical scalar field theory, in case of ELKO the expression of δT_i^η has the vector modes B_i .

To calculate the perturbed energy momentum tensor we use the following ansatz for the perturbed ELKO and its dual:

$$\delta\lambda = \delta\varphi\xi, \quad \delta\chi = \delta\varphi\bar{\xi}. \quad (2.14)$$

The expression of energy-momentum tensor (2.1) shows that it is a sum of three components: $T1^{\mu\nu} = \chi \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{(\mu} \overrightarrow{\nabla}^{\nu)} \lambda$, $T2^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}$ and $T3^{\mu\nu} = F^{\mu\nu}$. Here we show the $\eta - x$ th component of the three terms of the perturbed energy-momentum tensor. The other components ($\eta - y$ th and $\eta - z$ th) can be written accordingly. The expression of $\delta T1^{\eta x}$, $\delta T2^{\eta x}$ and $\delta T3^{\eta x}$ can be written as follows:

$$\delta T1^{\eta x} = a^{-4} \left[B_x \varphi'^2 - \varphi' \delta\varphi_{,x} - \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{H} B_x' \varphi^2 \right], \quad (2.15)$$

$$\delta T2^{\eta x} = a^{-4} \left[\frac{1}{2} B_x \varphi'^2 + \frac{3}{8} \mathcal{H}^2 B_x \varphi^2 - a^2 B_x V \right], \quad (2.16)$$

$$\delta T3^{\eta x} = a^{-4} \left[\frac{3}{4} \mathcal{H}^2 B_x \varphi^2 - \frac{1}{16} B_x'' \varphi^2 - \frac{1}{8} B_x' \varphi \varphi' + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{H} \varphi \delta\varphi_{,x} + \frac{1}{8} \Delta B_x \varphi^2 \right]. \quad (2.17)$$

In the above expression $(,x)$ denotes the partial derivative with respect to x . Therefore, one can generalize the $\eta - i$ th component of the energy-momentum tensor for vector perturbation as:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta T^{\eta i} = a^{-4} & \left[\frac{1}{2} B_i \varphi'^2 - \varphi' \delta\varphi_{,i} - \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{H} B_i' \varphi^2 + \frac{3}{8} \mathcal{H}^2 B_i \varphi^2 + a^2 B_i V - \frac{1}{16} B_i'' \varphi^2 - \frac{1}{8} B_i' \varphi \varphi' + \right. \\ & \left. \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{H} \varphi \delta\varphi_{,i} + \frac{1}{8} \Delta B_i \varphi^2 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

The expression of energy-momentum tensor in the mixed form can be calculated using the following relation:

$$\delta T_\nu^\mu = \delta T^{\mu\sigma} g_{\sigma\nu}^{(0)} + T^{\mu\sigma(0)} \delta g_{\sigma\nu}. \quad (2.19)$$

Therefore, using the expression (2.10) the $(\eta - i)$ th component of the energy-momentum tensor in the mixed form becomes:

$$\delta T_i^\eta = a^{-2} \left[\frac{1}{8} \mathcal{H} B_i' \varphi^2 + \frac{1}{16} B_i'' \varphi^2 + \frac{1}{8} B_i' \varphi \varphi' + \left(\varphi' - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{H} \varphi \right) \delta\varphi_{,i} - \frac{1}{8} \Delta B_i \varphi^2 \right] \quad (2.20)$$

3 Evolution equation of B_i

Substituting equation (2.20) in the equation (2.13) the expression of the Einstein equation can be written as:

$$\Delta B_i \left[1 + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\varphi^2}{M_{pl}^2} \right] = \frac{1}{M_{pl}^2} \left[\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{H} B_i' \varphi^2 + \frac{1}{8} B_i'' \varphi^2 + \frac{1}{4} B_i' \varphi \varphi' + 2 \left(\varphi' - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{H} \varphi \right) \delta\varphi_{,i} \right], \quad (3.1)$$

where $M_{pl} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi G}}$ is the reduced Planck Mass. In the Fourier mode the equation (3.1) can be written as

$$B_i'' + A_1 B_i' + A_2 k^2 B_i - 16ik_i \left(\varphi' - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{H} \varphi \right) \frac{\delta\varphi}{\varphi^2} = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where, $A_1 = 2 \left(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} + \mathcal{H} \right)$ and $A_2 = 2 + \frac{8M_{pl}^2}{\varphi^2}$. The Fourier modes are related to the partial derivatives as $\partial^i \equiv -ik_i$. In the super-horizon scale ($k \ll aH$) one can ignore the last two terms. Hence, equation (3.2) can be simplified as

$$B_i'' + A_1 B_i' = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

which tells us that for positive value of A_1 , the solution for B_i is frozen in the super-horizon scale for a given initial condition, similar to the scalar perturbation. However, the initial condition is given by the solutions in the sub-horizon scale ($k \gg aH$).

Although, it does not have any impact on the super-horizon solution, for simplicity, one can get rid off the last term in Eq. (3.2) by choosing

$$\left(\varphi' - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{H} \varphi \right) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Under this condition the evolution equation (3.2) can be simplified as

$$B_i'' + A_1 B_i' + \tilde{k}^2 B_i = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\tilde{k} = \sqrt{A_2} k$. The above equation looks very similar to the evolution equation of scalar perturbations during inflation. In the next section we show that the condition (3.4) leads to consistent background evolution.

4 Background scaling solution

Before proceeding with the power-spectrum calculation, in this section, we show that the condition (3.4) leads to a consistent background evolution and that it leads to Super-inflation ($\dot{H} > 0$).

The Klein-Gordon equation of the background field φ is given as [18]

$$\varphi'' + 2\mathcal{H}\varphi' - \frac{3}{4}\mathcal{H}^2\varphi + a^2 V_{,\varphi} = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where $(_{,\varphi})$ denotes the derivative with respect to the background field φ . The modified Friedmann equations are given as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}^2 = \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{F}} \left[\frac{1}{3M_{pl}^2} \left(\frac{\varphi'^2}{2} + a^2 V \right) \right], \quad (4.2)$$

$$\mathcal{H}' = \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{F}} \left[\frac{1}{3M_{pl}^2} (a^2 V - \varphi'^2) + \mathcal{H} \tilde{F}' \right], \quad (4.3)$$

where $\tilde{F} = \frac{\varphi^2}{8M_{pl}^2}$. To find the background scaling solutions for power-law type of potential we choose the following forms of scale factor, background field and potential:

$$a(\eta) = A(-\eta)^{-q}, \quad \varphi(\eta) = \varphi_0(-\eta)^p, \quad V = V_0 \varphi^\beta, \quad (4.4)$$

where A , φ_0 and V_0 are some arbitrary constants which can be expressed in terms of the exponents $-q$, p and β using the three background equations. Keeping in mind the condition that $\varphi' = \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{H}\varphi$ one can easily write $p = -nq$, where $n = \frac{1}{4}$. The equations (4.2) and (4.3) are qualitatively same. Substituting (4.4) in the background equations (4.1) and (4.2) one find the following relations between β and q respectively

$$q = 2/(2 + n\beta - 2n), \quad q = 2/(2 + n\beta). \quad (4.5)$$

Therefore, one can consistently solve the background equations for

$$\beta \gg 2, \quad 0 < q \ll 1. \quad (4.6)$$

Writing the background equation (4.3) in cosmic time gives us:

$$\dot{H} (1 - \pi G \dot{\varphi}^2) = -4\pi G \dot{\varphi}^2 + 2\pi G H \varphi \dot{\varphi}, \quad (4.7)$$

where $H = \mathcal{H}/a$. From the above expression one can see that when $\frac{\dot{\varphi}}{H\varphi} > \frac{1}{2}$ one gets the standard inflationary theory with $\dot{H} < 0$ and when $\frac{\dot{\varphi}}{H\varphi} < \frac{1}{2}$ one gets the super-inflationary theory with $\dot{H} > 0$. Therefore, using the condition (3.4) the equation (4.7) tells us that $\dot{H} > 0$. One can also show that for $0 < q < 1$, the expression of the scale factor ($a(\eta) = A(-\eta)^{-q}$) gives us $\dot{H} > 0$. So, under the condition $0 < q < 1$ one gets positive acceleration and at the same time one can see that the scale factor grows in cosmic time. These phase of evolution is known as super-inflation [20–22].

5 Solutions in the sub-horizon and super-horizon scale

One can eliminate the B'_i term from equation (3.5) by redefining $B_i(\eta, \vec{x}) = u_i(\eta, \vec{x}) f(\eta)$. Substituting this form of B_i in equation (3.5) one can easily eliminate u'_i term by setting its coefficient as zero, which finally gives us

$$u''_i + \left[\tilde{k}^2 - \left(\frac{A'_1}{2} + \frac{A_1^2}{4} \right) \right] u_i = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Using the condition (3.4) the factor f becomes $f = \exp(-\frac{5}{4}\Delta N)$. Here $\Delta N = \int \mathcal{H} d\eta$ denotes the number of e-folding required for super-inflation. This factor acts as a suppressing factor. The larger the number of e-folding, the larger is the suppressing factor.

Using the expression of A_1 and the condition (3.4) equation (5.1) can be expressed in terms of \mathcal{H} as

$$u''_i + \left[\tilde{k}^2 - \left(\frac{5}{4}\mathcal{H}' + \frac{25}{16}\mathcal{H}^2 \right) \right] u_i = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Finally, using the expression of $a(\eta)$ in (4.4) the evolution equation (5.2) can be expressed in the Bessel form

$$u''_i + \left[\tilde{k}^2 - \frac{1}{\eta^2} \left(\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \right] u_i = 0, \quad (5.3)$$

where $\nu^2 = \frac{1}{4} (1 + 5q + \frac{25}{4}q^2)$.

As ν is positive, the solution of the equation can be expressed in terms of the Hankel function of the first and second kind

$$(u_i)_{\tilde{k}} = \sqrt{-\eta} \left[a_1 \left(\tilde{k} \right) H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x) + a_2 \left(\tilde{k} \right) H_{\nu}^{(2)}(x) \right], \quad (5.4)$$

where $x = -\tilde{k}\eta$.

In $x \gg 1$ limit, the properties of the Hankel functions are following

$$\begin{aligned} H_\nu^{(1)}(x \gg 1) &\approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} e^{ix - i\frac{\pi}{2}(\nu + \frac{1}{2})}, \\ H_\nu^{(2)}(x \gg 1) &\approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} e^{-ix + i\frac{\pi}{2}(\nu + \frac{1}{2})}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Following the methods used in case of standard inflationary theory, to match with the plane wave solution in the sub-horizon scale - $(u_i)_{\tilde{k}} \sim \frac{e^{ix}}{\sqrt{\tilde{k}}}$ - one can set $a_2 = 0$ and $a_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}(\nu + \frac{1}{2})}$. The property of the Hankel function $H_\nu^{(1)}(x)$ in the $x \ll 1$ limit becomes

$$H_\nu^{(1)}(x \ll 1) \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}} 2^{(\nu - \frac{3}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} x^{-\nu}. \quad (5.6)$$

Thus, the solution of $(u_i)_{\tilde{k}}$ in the super-horizon scale can be written as

$$|(u_i)_{\tilde{k}}| \propto \sqrt{-\eta} x^{-\nu} = \frac{\tilde{k}}{\sqrt{\tilde{k}^3}} \left(\tilde{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu} (-\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu}. \quad (5.7)$$

Using the fact that the solutions nearly remains unchanged after horizon crossing, one can use $\tilde{k} = aH$ during horizon crossing and the expression (5.7) can be rewritten as

$$|(u_i)_{\tilde{k}}| \propto \frac{H}{\sqrt{\tilde{k}^3}} \left(\tilde{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu} (-\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu-q}. \quad (5.8)$$

In the above expression we have used $a^\eta \propto (-\eta)^{-q}$. As, $q \ll 1$ one can approximate (5.8) as follows

$$|(u_i)_{\tilde{k}}| \sim \frac{H}{\sqrt{\tilde{k}^3}} \left(\tilde{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu} (-\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu}. \quad (5.9)$$

Finally, the expression of (B_i) can be written as

$$|(B_i)_k| \sim e^{-\Delta N} \frac{H}{\sqrt{\tilde{k}^3}} \left(\tilde{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu} (-\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu}. \quad (5.10)$$

For a small value of q one can approximate $\nu \sim \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, from equation (5.10) one can see that in the super-horizon scale the vector modes will be nearly frozen and nearly scale independent similar to the scalar perturbations. One can identify that the term $\frac{H}{\sqrt{\tilde{k}^3}}$ appears in the amplitude of the scalar perturbations, for example one can look at [23]. But in case of vector modes the amplitude is suppressed by the factor $(e^{-\Delta N})$ compared to the scalar modes. Here, ΔN is the number of e-foldings required for super-inflation. The super-inflationary phase requires new physics which in our case is provided by ELKO. Hence, the super-inflationary phase precedes the standard inflationary phase and the number of e-folding is $\sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. The observation of the vector modes in the CMB polarization can restrict the number of e-foldings of super-inflation.

6 Conclusion

In this work we have calculated the perturbed energy-momentum tensor of the ELKO. It has been shown that unlike the standard scalar field case, the $(\eta - i)$ component of the stress-energy tensor is non-zero. The same component of the Einstein equation gives us the evolution equation of the vector modes (B_i) which looks similar to the scalar perturbation equation. A condition on the background field has been used, $\varphi' = \frac{1}{4}\varphi\mathcal{H}$, which leads to super-inflation ($\dot{H} > 0$).

We have shown explicitly that the vector perturbations are nearly scale invariant and frozen in the super-horizon scales. However, the amplitude of these perturbations are smaller compared to the scalar perturbation.

In this work we have used the condition $\varphi' = \frac{1}{4}\varphi\mathcal{H}$ which gives us super-inflation. However, one can understand from equation (3.3) that during standard inflation, even when the above condition is violated, the vector modes will be nearly constant in time in the super-horizon scale. However, the sub-horizon scale solution can deviate from the standard plane wave solution. Therefore, the full solutions can be dependent on k_i .

As, usually the vorticity in the perfect fluid follow nearly the similar equation as the vector modes (in this case it will be second order differential equation in time with some additional terms), hence, the vorticity can also be generated in the first order perturbation theory. With the generated vorticity one can also look into the production of large-scale primordial magnetic field. Once the *Planck* polarization results are published, the consequences of this kind of models of vector perturbations can be verified. For the observational possibilities one can look into the Ref. [24].

We know that most of the inflationary models with standard scalar fields can not produce pure vector modes in the first order. Apart from producing scalar perturbations consistent with observations, the inflationary theory driven by non-standard spinors like ELKO can also produce pure vector modes in the first order. Therefore, the observation of vector modes in the CMB polarization can make this kind of non-standard spinors a potential candidate for inflaton.

7 Acknowledgments

We thank T. R. Seshadri and Kandaswamy Subramanian for useful discussions. The work is supported by Max Planck-India Partner Group on Gravity and Cosmology. SS is partially supported by Ramanujan Fellowship of DST, India.

References

- [1] H. Kodama and M. Sasaki, *Cosmological Perturbation Theory, Prog.Theor.Phys.Suppl.* **78** (1984) 1–166.
- [2] V. Mukhanov, H. Feldman, and R. Brandenberger, *Theory of cosmological perturbations, Physics Reports* **215** (1992) 203 – 333.
- [3] M. S. Turner and L. M. Widrow, *Inflation Produced, Large Scale Magnetic Fields, Phys.Rev.* **D37** (1988) 2743.
- [4] D. Grasso and H. R. Rubinstein, *Magnetic fields in the early universe, Phys.Rept.* **348** (2001) 163–266, [[astro-ph/0009061](#)].

- [5] L. M. Widrow, *Origin of galactic and extragalactic magnetic fields*, *Rev.Mod.Phys.* **74** (2002) 775–823, [[astro-ph/0207240](#)].
- [6] R. Durrer, *Gauge invariant cosmological perturbation theory: A General study and its application to the texture scenario of structure formation*, *Fund.Cosmic Phys.* **15** (1994) 209, [[astro-ph/9311041](#)].
- [7] P. J. Steinhardt and N. Turok, *Cosmic evolution in a cyclic universe*, *Phys.Rev.* **D65** (2002) 126003, [[hep-th/0111098](#)].
- [8] T. J. Battfeld and R. Brandenberger, *Vector perturbations in a contracting universe*, *Phys.Rev.* **D70** (2004) 121302, [[hep-th/0406180](#)].
- [9] A. J. Christopherson, K. A. Malik, and D. R. Matravers, *Vorticity generation at second order in cosmological perturbation theory*, *Phys.Rev.* **D79** (2009) 123523, [[arXiv:0904.0940](#)].
- [10] T. H.-C. Lu, K. Ananda, C. Clarkson, and R. Maartens, *The cosmological background of vector modes*, *JCAP* **0902** (2009) 023, [[arXiv:0812.1349](#)].
- [11] A. J. Christopherson and K. A. Malik, *Can cosmological perturbations produce early universe vorticity?*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **28** (2011) 114004, [[arXiv:1010.4885](#)].
- [12] A. J. Christopherson, *Cosmological Perturbations: Vorticity, Isocurvature and Magnetic Fields*, [arXiv:1409.4721](#).
- [13] D. V. Ahluwalia and D. Grumiller, *Spin half fermions with mass dimension one: Theory, phenomenology, and dark matter*, *JCAP* **0507** (2005) 012, [[hep-th/0412080](#)].
- [14] D. V. Ahluwalia and D. Grumiller, *Dark matter: A Spin one half fermion field with mass dimension one?*, *Phys.Rev.* **D72** (2005) 067701, [[hep-th/0410192](#)].
- [15] D. V. Ahluwalia, *On a local mass dimension one Fermi field of spin one-half and the theoretical crevice that allows it*, [arXiv:1305.7509](#).
- [16] R. da Rocha and J. Hoff da Silva, *ELKO, flagpole and flag-dipole spinor fields, and the instanton Hopf fibration*, *Adv.Appl.Clifford Algebras* **20** (2010) 847–870, [[arXiv:0811.2717](#)].
- [17] D. Gredat and S. Shankaranarayanan, *Consistency relation between the scalar and tensor spectra in spinflation*, *JCAP* **1001** (2010) 008, [[arXiv:0807.3336](#)].
- [18] C. G. Boehmer, J. Burnett, D. F. Mota, and D. J. Shaw, *Dark spinor models in gravitation and cosmology*, *JHEP* **1007** (2010) 053, [[arXiv:1003.3858](#)].
- [19] A. Basak and J. R. Bhatt, *Lorentz invariant dark-spinor and inflation*, *JCAP* **1106** (2011) 011, [[arXiv:1104.4574](#)].
- [20] E. Gunzig, A. Saa, L. Brenig, V. Faraoni, T. Rocha Filho, *et. al.*, *Superinflation, quintessence, and nonsingular cosmologies*, *Phys.Rev.* **D63** (2001) 067301, [[gr-qc/0012085](#)].
- [21] D. Mulryne and N. J. Nunes, *Constraints on a scale invariant power spectrum from superinflation in LQC*, *Phys.Rev.* **D74** (2006) 083507, [[astro-ph/0607037](#)].
- [22] T. Biswas and A. Mazumdar, *Super-Inflation, Non-Singular Bounce, and Low Multipoles*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **31** (2014) 025019, [[arXiv:1304.3648](#)].
- [23] A. Riotto, *Inflation and the theory of cosmological perturbations*, [hep-ph/0210162](#).
- [24] A. Lewis, *Observable primordial vector modes*, *Phys.Rev.* **D70** (2004) 043518, [[astro-ph/0403583](#)].