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#### Abstract

The average mixed volume of a random projection of $d$ convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is bounded above in terms of a quermassintegral.


## 1. Introduction

The mixed volume of the $n$-tuple of convex bodies $\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{n}\right), \mathcal{A}_{i} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is defined as

$$
V\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{n}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial t_{1} \partial t_{2} \cdots \partial t_{n}} \operatorname{Vol}\left(t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}+\cdots+t_{n} \mathcal{A}_{n}\right)
$$

where $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \geq 0$ and the derivative is taken at $t=0$. The normalization factor $1 / n$ ! ensures that $V(\mathcal{A}, \ldots, \mathcal{A})=\operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{A})$. In that sense the mixed volume generalizes the usual volume.

The definition above is still valid if we allow the $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ to have empty volume, so in this paper we will only require the $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ to be closed convex sets.

The mixed volume was introduced by Minkowski (1901) for $n=3$. If $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a convex body, the Steiner formula

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathcal{A}+\epsilon B^{3}\right)=\operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{A})+S \epsilon+\pi B \epsilon^{2}+\frac{4}{3} \pi \epsilon^{3}
$$

implies that

$$
V\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}, B^{3}\right)=3 S \quad \text { and } \quad V\left(\mathcal{A}, B^{3}, B^{3}\right)=3 \pi B
$$

with $S$ is the total area of $\partial A$ and $B$ its total mean curvature (assuming $\partial A$ is smooth). The quantities $V\left(\mathcal{A}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}, B^{n}, \ldots, B^{n}\right)$ are known as quermassintegrals. There is a vast literature on recovering quantities such as the area of $\partial \mathcal{A}$ from the area of projections of $\mathcal{A}$.

However, most of the literature deals with mixed volumes for two different convex bodies, one being the ball $B^{n}$. Mixed volumes with many possibly different convex bodies seem to arise mostly in connection with counting zeros of sparse polynomial systems or obtaining a starting system for path-following. The main result in this note arised during the complexity analysis of such an algorithm.

Let $G(d, n)$ denote the Grassmannian manifold of $d$-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. It is endowed with the unique probability measure invariant under the orthogonal group $O(n)$. In this note we prove the following result:

[^0]Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{d}$ be compact convex sets in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then,

$$
\underset{P \in G(d, n)}{\operatorname{Avg}} V\left(P\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right), \cdots, P\left(\mathcal{A}_{d}\right)\right) \leq \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(B^{d}\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(B^{n}\right)} V\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \cdots, \mathcal{A}_{d}, B^{n}, \cdots, B^{n}\right)
$$

By replacing $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ with $B^{n}$ and $P\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}\right)$ by $B^{d}$, one quickly checks that the bound above is sharp.

## 2. Proof of the theorem

Before proving theorem [1 we will restate it in a more convenient form. If $Q \in O(n)$, let $q_{j}$ denote the $j$-th row of $Q$. If $Q$ is uniformly distributed in $O(n)$ with respect to the Haar measure, the projection $P: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
P: x \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{c}
q_{1} x \\
\vdots \\
q_{d} x
\end{array}\right]
$$

is uniformly distributed in $G(d, n)$. We have

$$
d!V\left(P\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right), \ldots, P\left(\mathcal{A}_{d}\right)\right)=n!V\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{d},\left[0, q_{d+1}\right], \cdots,\left[0, q_{n}\right]\right)
$$

Therefore, what we need to prove is the following restatement of Theorem 1
Theorem 1-A. Let $\mathcal{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{d}$ be compact convex sets in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underset{Q \in O(n)}{\operatorname{Avg}} V\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{d},\left[0, q_{d+1}\right], \ldots,\left[0, q_{d+n}\right]\right) & \leq \\
& \leq \frac{d!\operatorname{Vol}\left(B^{d}\right)}{n!\operatorname{Vol}\left(B^{n}\right)} V\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \cdots, \mathcal{A}_{d}, B^{n}, \cdots, B^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2. Explicitly,

$$
\frac{d!\operatorname{Vol}\left(B^{d}\right)}{n!\operatorname{Vol}\left(B^{n}\right)}=\frac{1}{(2 \sqrt{\pi})^{n-d}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}
$$

This is an immediate consequence of the duplication formula (Abramowitz and Stegun, 1964 formula 6.1.18),

$$
\Gamma(2 z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} 2^{2 z-1 / 2} \Gamma(z) \Gamma(z+1 / 2)
$$

Let $\mathrm{CONV}_{n}$ denote the set of all compact convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. This set is closed under Minkowski sum and under multiplication by non-negative real numbers. In that sense, $\mathrm{CONV}_{n}$ is an 'algebra' over the non-negative real numbers. We recall the following definitions:

Definition 3. A function $F: \mathrm{CONV}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is linear if for all $t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and for all $\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2} \in \mathrm{CONV}_{n}, F\left(t_{1} \mathcal{A}_{1}+t_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right)=t_{1} F\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)+t_{2} F\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}\right)$.

Definition 4. A function $F: \mathrm{CONV}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is monotone if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ implies that $F(\mathcal{A}) \leq F(\mathcal{B})$.

The mixed volume $V\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{n}\right)$ is linear and monotone in each of the variables. The key for proving theorem 1 is the following Lemma, that I could not find it in the literature.

Lemma 5. Let $F: \mathrm{CONV}_{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be linear and monotone. Then

$$
\underset{c \in S^{k-1}}{\operatorname{Avg}}(F([0, c])) \leq r_{k} F\left(B^{k}\right)
$$

with $r_{k}=\frac{\Gamma(k / 2)}{\sqrt{\pi}(k-1) \Gamma\left(\frac{k-1}{2}\right)}$. This constant $r_{k}$ is the smallest with such a property.
Proof. For every $\epsilon>0$, there is a closed covering of $S^{k-1}$ into measurable subsets of radius $\epsilon$, say $S^{k-1}=\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_{\lambda}$, and such that $V_{\lambda} \cap V_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ has measure zero for $\lambda \neq \lambda^{\prime}$. The sets $W_{\lambda}=\operatorname{Conv}\left(\{0\} \cup V_{\lambda}\right)$ are a closed covering of $B^{k}$ with the same property.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underset{c \in S^{k-1}}{\operatorname{Avg}}(F([0, c])) & =\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} S^{k-1}} \int_{S^{k-1}} F([0, c]) \mathrm{d} S^{k-1}(c) & & \text { by definition, } \\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} S^{k-1}} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \int_{V_{\lambda}} F([0, c]) \mathrm{d} S^{k-1}(c) & & \text { trivially, } \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} S^{k-1}} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \int_{V_{\lambda}} F\left(W_{\lambda}\right) \mathrm{d} S^{k-1}(c) & & \text { by monotonicity, } \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} S^{k-1}} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left(\operatorname{Vol} V_{\lambda}\right) F\left(W_{\lambda}\right) & & \text { trivially, } \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} S^{k-1}} F\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left(\operatorname{Vol} V_{\lambda}\right) W_{\lambda}\right) & & \text { by linearity }
\end{aligned}
$$

After an orthogonal change of coordinates, assume that $\rho(\epsilon) \mathrm{e}_{1}$ is a point of largest norm in $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left(\operatorname{Vol} V_{\lambda}\right) W_{\lambda}$. In particular, there are $x_{\lambda} \in W_{\lambda}$ such that $\rho(\epsilon) \mathrm{e}_{1}=$ $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left(\operatorname{Vol} V_{\lambda}\right) x_{\lambda}$. So,

$$
\rho(\epsilon)=\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left(\operatorname{Vol} V_{\lambda}\right)\left(x_{\lambda}\right)_{1} \leq \sum_{\left(x_{\lambda}\right)_{1} \geq 0} \operatorname{Vol} V_{\lambda} \frac{\left(x_{\lambda}\right)_{1}}{\left\|x_{\lambda}\right\|}
$$

Passing to the limit,

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \rho(\epsilon) & =\int_{S^{k-1} \cap\left[y_{1} \geq 0\right]} y_{1} \mathrm{~d} S^{k-1}(y) & \\
& =\int_{S^{k-1} \cap\left[y_{1} \geq 0\right]} \mathrm{e}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d} n(y) & \\
& =\int_{B^{k} \cap\left[y_{1} \geq 0\right]} \operatorname{div}\left(\mathrm{e}_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} B^{k}(y)-\int_{B^{k-1}} \mathrm{e}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d} n(y) & \\
& \text { by Gauss theorem } \\
& =0-\int_{B^{k-2}}-1 \mathrm{~d} B^{k-1}(y) & \\
& =\operatorname{Vol} B^{k-1} &
\end{array}
$$

By monotonicity of $F$ and then linearity, we conclude that

$$
\underset{c \in S^{k-1}}{\operatorname{Avg}}(F([0, c])) \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} S^{k-1}} F\left(\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \rho(\epsilon) B^{k}\right) \leq r_{k} F\left(B^{k}\right)
$$

with $r_{k}=\operatorname{Vol} B^{k-1} / \operatorname{Vol} S^{k-1}$. Using the well-known formulas

$$
\operatorname{Vol} B^{k}=\frac{2 \pi^{k / 2}}{k \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Vol} S^{k-1}=\frac{2 \pi^{k / 2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}
$$

we deduce that

$$
r_{k}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}(k-1) \Gamma\left(\frac{k-1}{2}\right)} .
$$

To establish sharpness, we consider the operator $F(X)=V\left(B^{k}, \ldots, B^{k}, X\right)$. Because of the properties of the mixed volume,

$$
F([0, c])=V\left(B^{k} \cap c^{\perp}, \ldots, B^{k} \cap c^{\perp},[0, c]\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
\underset{c \in S^{k-1}}{\operatorname{Avg}} F([0, c])=F\left(\left[0, \mathrm{e}_{k}\right]\right)=V\left(B^{k-1} \times\{0\}, \ldots, B^{k-1} \times\{0\},\left[0, \mathrm{e}_{k}\right]\right)
$$

This last value is by definition $\frac{1}{k!}$ times the coefficient in $t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{k}$ of

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{k-1}\right) B^{k-1}+t_{k}\left[0, \mathrm{e}_{k}\right]=\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{k-1}\right)^{k-1} t_{k} \operatorname{Vol} B^{k-1}
$$

Thus,

$$
\operatorname{Avg}_{c \in S^{k-1}} F([0, c])=\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Vol} B^{k-1}
$$

while the predicted value is $r_{k} \operatorname{Vol} B^{k}=\frac{\mathrm{Vol}^{k-1}}{\mathrm{VolS}^{k-1}} \operatorname{Vol} B^{k}=\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Vol} B^{k-1}$.
Proof of Theorem 11. The last row $q_{n}$ of $Q$ is uniformly distributed in $S^{n-1}$, so
$\underset{Q \in S O(n)}{\operatorname{Avg}}\left(V\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \cdots, \mathcal{A}_{d},\left[0, q_{d+1}\right], \cdots,\left[0, q_{n}\right]\right)\right)=$

$$
=\underset{q_{n} \in S^{n-1}}{\operatorname{Avg}}\left(\operatorname{Avg}\left(V\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \cdots, \mathcal{A}_{d},\left[0, q_{d+1}\right], \cdots,\left[0, q_{n}\right]\right)\right)\right)
$$

where the inner average is taken over orthonormal frames $\left(q_{d+1}, \ldots, q_{n-1}\right)$ that are orthogonal to $q_{n}$. By Lemma 5

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{Q \in S O(n)}{\operatorname{Avg}}\left(V\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \cdots, \mathcal{A}_{d},\left[0, q_{d+1}\right], \cdots,\left[0, q_{n}\right]\right)\right)= \\
&=r_{n} \operatorname{Avg}\left(V\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \cdots, \mathcal{A}_{d},\left[0, q_{d+1}\right], \cdots,\left[0, q_{n-1}\right], B^{n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Repeating the same argument,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{Q \in S O(n)}{\operatorname{Avg}} V\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \cdots, \mathcal{A}_{d},\left[0, q_{d+1}\right], \cdots,\left[0, q_{n}\right]\right) \\
& \quad \leq r_{d+1} r_{d+2} \cdots r_{n} V\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \cdots, \mathcal{A}_{d}, B^{n}, \cdots, B^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally,

$$
r_{d+1} r_{d+2} \cdots r_{n}=\frac{\Gamma(n / 2) \Gamma(d)}{\pi^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Gamma(d / 2) \Gamma(n)}
$$
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