

Are Black Holes Totally Black?

A. A. Grib^{1,2,3,*}, Yu. V. Pavlov^{1,2,4,**}

¹*A. Friedmann Laboratory for Theoretical Physics, St. Petersburg, Russia;*

²*Copernicus Center for Interdisciplinary Studies, Kraków, Poland*

³*Theoretical Physics and Astronomy Department, The Herzen University,
Moika 48, St. Petersburg 191186, Russia;*

⁴*Institute of Problems in Mechanical Engineering, Russian Acad. Sci.,
Bol'shoy pr. 61, St. Petersburg 199178, Russia;*

Abstract. Geodesic completeness needs existence near the horizon of the black hole of “white hole” geodesics coming from the region inside of the horizon. Here we give the classification of all such geodesics with the energies $E/m \leq 1$ for the Schwarzschild and Kerr’s black hole. The collisions of particles moving along the “white hole” geodesics with those moving along “black hole” geodesics are considered. Formulas for the increase of the energy of collision in the centre of mass frame are obtained and the possibility of observation of high energy particles arriving from the black hole to the Earth is discussed.

PACS number: 04.70.-s, 04.70.Bw, 97.60.Lf

Key words: black hole, Kerr metric, geodesic

1. Introduction

It is well known [1] that in order to have the geodesic completeness in the vicinity of the horizon of the black holes one cannot consider only those geodesics which go inside the horizon. Imagine the situation when somebody is at rest in space relative to the black hole not far from the horizon. Surely he (she) must have strong acceleration in order not to fall to the black hole. Then he (she) throws a stone. The stone is falling along the geodesic towards the black hole. This geodesic is characterized by the energy $E = \sqrt{g_{00}}mc^2$, so that $E/m < 1$. All geodesics coming to the black hole from space infinity are such [2] that $E/m > 1$. Geodesics must originate either in infinity or in singularity. So what is the origin of geodesics with $E/m < 1$? The answer is well known [1]. These geodesics must come from the region inside of the horizon. They arise either in white hole singularity or in the infinity of the other universe in full Schwarzschild and Kerr’s solutions as combination of the black and white holes. So we want to stress the usually neglected fact that “eternal” black holes with geodesics going only inside the horizon cannot exist!

Recently [3] we showed that for the case of rotating black holes described by Kerr’s metric

geodesics characterized by the negative energy (Penrose geodesics) leading to the Penrose effect originate inside the horizon and are “white hole” geodesics.

In this paper we give the classification of all such geodesics with the positive and negative energies. In Sec. 2 we give formulas for the energies characterizing these geodesics for the Schwarzschild black hole and formulas for the maximal distances from the black hole after which they turn back to the black hole. In Sec. 3 the same analysis is made for the Kerr’s case.

If one considers some massive or massless particles moving along “white hole” geodesics then the collision of these particles with ordinary particles moving along the “black hole” geodesics leads to the effect of “supercollider” in the vicinity of the horizon. Formulas for the energy of the colliding particles in the centre of mass frame and its dependence on the distance from the horizon are obtained in Sec. 4. This effect is similar to the well known Banados-Silk-West (BSW) effect [4] studied by us previously for multiple collisions close to the horizon of the rotating black holes [5]—[9] and at any point of ergosphere depending on angular momentum in [10, 11]. In Conclusion the problem of cosmic censorship and the consequences for the case of the collapse of stars are shortly discussed.

We use the units with $G = c = 1$.

*andrei.grib@mail.ru

**yuri.pavlov@mail.ru

2. Nonrotating black hole

The Schwarzschild metric for nonrotating black hole has the form

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) dt^2 - \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{2M}{r}} - r^2(\sin^2\theta d\varphi^2 + d\theta^2), \quad (1)$$

where M is the mass of the black hole. The event horizon corresponds to $r = r_H \equiv 2M$.

The equations for geodesics in metric (1) can be written for $\theta = 0$ as

$$\frac{dt}{d\lambda} = \frac{x}{x-2} E, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{d\varphi}{d\lambda} = \frac{J}{r^2}, \quad (3)$$

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\lambda}\right)^2 = E^2 + \frac{2M-r}{r^3} J^2 + \frac{2M-r}{r} m^2, \quad (4)$$

where E is the energy of the moving particle, J — the conserved projection of the particle angular momentum on the axis orthogonal to the plane of movement, m is the mass of the test particle. For particle with nonzero rest mass $\lambda = \tau/m$, where τ is the proper time of the massive particle.

Define the effective potential by the formula

$$V_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[E^2 + \frac{2M-r}{r^3} J^2 + \frac{2M-r}{r} m^2 \right]. \quad (5)$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dr}{d\lambda}\right)^2 + V_{\text{eff}} = 0, \quad \frac{d^2r}{d\lambda^2} = -\frac{dV_{\text{eff}}}{dr}. \quad (6)$$

The permitted region of particle movement is defined by the condition

$$V_{\text{eff}} \leq 0 \quad (7)$$

and by the condition of movement “forward in time”

$$dt/d\lambda > 0. \quad (8)$$

The last condition leads to the positivity of the energy $E > 0$ for the region outside of the horizon [12].

For particles with nonzero rest mass and the special energy $\varepsilon = E/m$ smaller than one the permitted region of movement occurs to be limited by

$$0 < \varepsilon < 1 \Rightarrow r \leq \frac{2M}{1 - \varepsilon^2} \quad (9)$$

as it follows from (5) and (7).

Let us prove that trajectories of particles with the energy $\varepsilon < 2\sqrt{2}/3$ outside of the horizon of the Schwarzschild black hole originate and terminate at $r = r_H$. For this it is sufficient to prove that

$$0 < \varepsilon < \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}, \quad r > r_H, \quad V_{\text{eff}}(r) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{dV_{\text{eff}}}{dr} > 0. \quad (10)$$

This means that at the upward point of the trajectory (with maximal value of r) the radial acceleration $d^2r/d\lambda^2$ is negative (6) and the downward boundary is absent up to the horizon. The boundary value of the specific energy in (10) corresponds to the minimal value of the specific energy on the orbits with constant value of the radial coordinate due to the fact that the condition existence of such orbits is

$$V_{\text{eff}} = 0, \quad \frac{dV_{\text{eff}}}{dr} = 0. \quad (11)$$

In fact, for the energy lower than the minimal energy on the orbit with constant value of r the particle at the upward point of the trajectory outside the event horizon of the black hole must have negative radial acceleration, i.e. $dV_{\text{eff}}/dr > 0$.

These orbits for the Schwarzschild black hole are circular. For this case from the system of the equation (11) one can easily obtain for circular orbits the conditions

$$\varepsilon^2 = \frac{(r-2M)^2}{r(r-3M)}, \quad l^2 = \frac{r^2}{M(r-3M)}, \quad (12)$$

where $l = J/(mM)$ is the dimensionless specific projection of the angular momentum of the particle. From (12) one obtains the minimal value of the energy of particles on circular orbits $\varepsilon_0 = 2\sqrt{2}/3$, which proves our conjecture (10). The corresponding circular orbit has $r = 6M$ and is stable orbit with the minimal radius ([1], § 102).

Note that the possible region of movement of particles with the specific energy $\varepsilon < 2\sqrt{2}/3$ due to the formula (9) leads to $r < 18M = 9r_H$. The maximal values of r are obtained by particles with zero value of the angular momentum.

3. The limiting energies for “white-black” hole geodesics in Kerr’s metric

Kerr’s metric [13] of the rotating black hole in Boyer-Lindquist [14] coordinates has the form

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - \frac{2Mr}{\rho^2} (dt - a \sin^2\theta d\varphi)^2 - \rho^2 \left(\frac{dr^2}{\Delta} + d\theta^2 \right) - (r^2 + a^2) \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2\theta, \quad \Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2, \quad (14)$$

M is the mass of the black hole, aM — its angular momentum. The rotation axis direction corresponds to $\theta = 0$, i.e. $a \geq 0$. The event horizon of the Kerr's black hole corresponds to

$$r = r_H \equiv M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}. \quad (15)$$

The surface of the static limit is defined by

$$r = r_1(\theta) \equiv M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2 \cos^2\theta}. \quad (16)$$

In case $a \leq M$ the region of space-time between the static limit and event horizon is called ergosphere.

For geodesics in Kerr's metric (13) one obtains (see Ref. [2], Sec. 62 or Ref. [15], Sec. 3.4.1)

$$\rho^2 \frac{dt}{d\lambda} = -a (aE \sin^2\theta - J) + \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta} P, \quad (17)$$

$$\rho^2 \frac{d\varphi}{d\lambda} = - \left(aE - \frac{J}{\sin^2\theta} \right) + \frac{aP}{\Delta}, \quad (18)$$

$$\rho^2 \left(\frac{dr}{d\lambda} \right) = \sigma_r \sqrt{R}, \quad \rho^2 \left(\frac{d\theta}{d\lambda} \right) = \sigma_\theta \sqrt{\Theta}, \quad (19)$$

$$P = (r^2 + a^2) E - aJ, \quad (20)$$

$$R = P^2 - \Delta [m^2 r^2 + (J - aE)^2 + Q], \quad (21)$$

$$\Theta = Q - \cos^2\theta \left[a^2 (m^2 - E^2) + \frac{J^2}{\sin^2\theta} \right]. \quad (22)$$

Here E is conserved energy (relative to infinity) of the probe particle, J is conserved angular momentum projection on the rotation axis of the black hole, m is the rest mass of the probe particle, for particles with nonzero rest mass $\lambda = \tau/m$, where τ is the proper time for massive particle, Q is the Carter's constant. The constants $\sigma_r, \sigma_\theta = \pm 1$ define the direction of particles movement in coordinates r, θ .

The permitted region for particle movement is defined by conditions

$$R \geq 0, \quad \Theta \geq 0, \quad \frac{dt}{d\lambda} \geq 0. \quad (23)$$

The corresponding permitted values for the energy and the angular momentum of particles are given

in [11]. Note that from (23) close to the horizon for $\theta \neq 0, \pi$ one obtains

$$r \rightarrow r_H \Rightarrow J \leq J_H = \frac{2Mr_H E}{a}. \quad (24)$$

So J_H is the maximal value of the angular momentum of the particle with the energy E close to the gravitational radius.

As we did it before to find the limiting value of the energy for the geodesics originating and terminating at $r = r_H$ let us find the minimal value of the energy for geodesic orbits with constant value of the radial coordinate r .

The effective potential (6) in case of the Kerr's metric is

$$V_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{R}{2\rho^4}. \quad (25)$$

The conditions of the existence of orbits with the constant value of the radial coordinate (11) (the spherical orbits) for particles with nonzero rest mass can be written in the form

$$\varepsilon = \frac{x^3(x-2) - A^2q + AF}{x^2 \sqrt{x^3(x-3) - 2A^2q + 2AF}} \quad (26)$$

$$l = \frac{(x^2 + A^2)(F - Aq) - 2Ax^3}{x^2 \sqrt{x^3(x-3) - 2A^2q + 2AF}}, \quad (27)$$

where

$$x = \frac{r}{M}, \quad A = \frac{a}{M}, \quad q = \frac{Q}{m^2 M^2}, \quad (28)$$

$$F = \pm \sqrt{x^5 + A^2 q^2 + q x^3 (3 - x)}, \quad (29)$$

the upper sign corresponds to the direct orbits (i.e., the projection of orbital angular momentum of a particle on the axis of rotating of the black hole is positive), the lower sign corresponds to retrograde orbits. To obtain the formulas (26), (27) from equations (11), (25) one must use elementary but considerable algebraic transformations. The formulas (26), (27) are generalizations of the known expressions [16] for circular orbits in equatorial plane ($Q = 0$):

$$\varepsilon = \frac{x^{3/2} - 2\sqrt{x} \pm A}{\sqrt{x(x^2 - 3x \pm 2A\sqrt{x})}}, \quad (30)$$

$$l = \pm \frac{x^2 \mp 2A\sqrt{x} + A^2}{\sqrt{x(x^2 - 3x \pm 2A\sqrt{x})}}. \quad (31)$$

Further we shall consider only the case of movement in the equatorial plane of the black hole.

To calculate the minimal value of the specific energy on circular orbits let us find the extremum of (30) corresponding to the real root of the equation

$$x^2 - 6x \pm 8A\sqrt{x} - 3A^2 = 0. \quad (32)$$

This root coincides with the value of the minimal radius of the stable circular orbit, first found in [16]:

$$x_{\text{ms}}^{\pm} = 3 + Z_2 \mp \sqrt{(3 - Z_1)(3 + Z_1 + 2Z_2)}, \quad (33)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= 1 + (1 - A^2)^{1/3} \left[(1 + A)^{1/3} + (1 - A)^{1/3} \right], \\ Z_2 &= \sqrt{3A^2 + Z_1^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The specific energy of the particle on such a limiting stable orbit is $\varepsilon = \sqrt{1 - 2/(3x_{\text{ms}})}$. The graph for the limiting values of the energy is represented on Fig. 1. The minimal value of the energy on the




Figure 1: The limiting values of energies. The line (a) describes the case of particles rotating in the same direction that of the black hole, the line (b) — in the inverse direction.

circular orbit takes place for the plus sign in (33), i.e. for direct orbits when the particle is rotating in the same direction as the black hole.

For the extremal rotating black hole $A = 1$ the calculations (33) give the well known result ([1], § 104)

$$A = 1 \Rightarrow x_{\text{ms}}^+ = 1, \quad \varepsilon^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad x_{\text{ms}}^- = 9, \quad \varepsilon^- = \frac{5}{3\sqrt{3}}. \quad (35)$$

So in Kerr's metric all geodesics with specific energy

$$\varepsilon < \sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{3x_{\text{ms}}^+}} \quad (36)$$

with x_{ms}^+ defined by (33) originate and terminate at $r = r_H$. If the angular momentum of the black hole is growing from $a = 0$ to $a = M$ the limiting energy

is changing from $2\sqrt{2}/3$ for $a = 0$ to $1/\sqrt{3}$ for $a = M$ (see the lower line (a) on Fig. 1).

If one consider geodesics only for retrograde orbits (negative angular momentum projection) one can say that all such geodesics with specific energies $\varepsilon < \sqrt{1 - 2/(3x_{\text{ms}}^-)}$ originate and terminate at $r = r_H$. If the angular momentum of the black hole is growing the limiting energy is growing from $2\sqrt{2}/3$ ($a = 0$) to $5/(3\sqrt{3})$ ($a = M$) (see the upper line (b) on Fig. 1).

Let us find the possible region of particle movement in the equatorial plane for the given specific energy. Due to formula (11) of our paper [10] one obtains the same limit (9) for the region outside the ergosphere that exists for the nonrotating black hole. It is evident that the upper boundary of the permitted region of particle movement with positive energy is always located out of the ergosphere. Note that the maximal value r_{max} for given specific energy ε is realized not for the zero angular momentum of particles as in Schwarzschild case but for the values of the angular momentum $l = -2A\varepsilon/(r_{\text{max}} - 2M)$. One obtains for given boundary value $\varepsilon = 1/\sqrt{3}$ ($a = M$) the limitation $r < 3M$. The limiting value $r = 3M$ takes place for $l = -2/\sqrt{3}$. For retrograde orbits with $\varepsilon = 5/(3\sqrt{3})$ ($a = M$) one has $r < 27M$. The limiting value $r = 27M$ takes place for $l = -2/(15\sqrt{3})$.

4. The energy of collision of particles close to the black hole

One can find the energy in the centre of mass frame of two colliding particles $E_{\text{c.m.}}$ with rest masses m_1, m_2 taking the square of

$$(E_{\text{c.m.}}, 0, 0, 0) = p_{(1)}^i + p_{(2)}^i, \quad (37)$$

where $p_{(n)}^i$ are 4-momenta of particles ($n = 1, 2$). Due to $p_{(n)}^i p_{(n)i} = m_n^2$ one has

$$E_{\text{c.m.}}^2 = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2p_{(1)}^i p_{(2)i}. \quad (38)$$

Note that the energy of collisions of particles in the centre of mass frame satisfies the condition

$$E_{\text{c.m.}} \geq m_1 + m_2. \quad (39)$$

This follows from the fact that the colliding particles move one towards another with some velocities.

It is important to note that $E_{c.m.}$ for two colliding particles is not a conserved value differently from energies of particles (relative to infinity) E_1, E_2 .

For the free falling particles with energies E_1, E_2 and angular momentum projections J_1, J_2 from (17)–(21) one obtains [17]:

$$E_{c.m.}^2 = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + \frac{2}{\rho^2} \left[\frac{P_1 P_2 - \sigma_{1r} \sqrt{R_1} \sigma_{2r} \sqrt{R_2}}{\Delta} - \frac{(J_1 - a E_1 \sin^2 \theta)(J_2 - a E_2 \sin^2 \theta)}{\sin^2 \theta} - \sigma_{1\theta} \sqrt{\Theta_1} \sigma_{2\theta} \sqrt{\Theta_2} \right]. \quad (40)$$

The big values of the collision energy can occur near the event horizon if one of the particles has the “critical” angular momentum J_H :

$$E_{c.m.}^2(r \rightarrow r_H) = \frac{(J_{1H} J_2 - J_{2H} J_1)^2}{4M^2 (J_{1H} - J_1)(J_{2H} - J_2)} + m_1^2 \left[1 + \frac{J_{2H} - J_2}{J_{1H} - J_1} \right] + m_2^2 \left[1 + \frac{J_{1H} - J_1}{J_{2H} - J_2} \right] \quad (41)$$

(see Eq. (16) in [9]). This is the BSW effect.

The energy in the centre of mass frame can be written through the relative velocity v_{rel} of particles at the moment of collision [9], [18]:

$$E_{c.m.}^2 = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + \frac{2m_1 m_2}{\sqrt{1 - v_{rel}^2}} \quad (42)$$

and the nonlimited growth of the collision energy in the centre of mass frame occurs due to growth of the relative velocity to the velocity of light [18].

The existence of particles moving from the gravitational radius in the direction of larger r along white hole geodesic can give us the new opportunity for collisions independent of angular momentum with non-limited energy near black holes. As we can see from (40) the difference between energy of collisions with particle moving to increasing r ($\sigma_{2r} = 1$) and decreasing r ($\sigma_{2r} = -1$) is

$$\Delta E_{c.m.}^2 = \frac{4\sqrt{R_1} \sqrt{R_2}}{\rho^2 \Delta}. \quad (43)$$

This difference is equal to zero for top point of trajectory, when $R_2 = 0$. But for non-critical particles ($J \neq J_H$) the difference is infinite large for collision on the horizon ($r \rightarrow r_H$). So from (40) one has for such collisions ($\sigma_{1r} \sigma_{2r} = -1$) for $r \rightarrow r_H$: $P_1 P_2 - \sigma_{1r} \sqrt{R_1} \sigma_{2r} \sqrt{R_2} > 0$, $\Delta \rightarrow 0$,

$$E_{c.m.} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2(P_1 P_2 - \sigma_{1r} \sqrt{R_1} \sigma_{2r} \sqrt{R_2})}}{\rho \sqrt{\Delta}}$$

$$\approx \frac{a}{\sqrt{r - r_H}} \sqrt{\frac{2(J_{1H} - J_1)(J_{2H} - J_2)}{(r_H^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta) \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}}} \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (44)$$

The analogue of the result (44) is valid not only for Kerr’s black holes but also for Schwarzschild black holes ($a = 0, \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = -1$)

$$E_{c.m.} \sim 2 \sqrt{\frac{E_1 E_2}{1 - (r_H/r)}} \rightarrow +\infty, \quad r \rightarrow r_H = 2M. \quad (45)$$

5. Conclusion

a) As it is clear black holes described by Schwarzschild and Kerr’s metric contrary to the widespread opinion due to the necessity of geodesics completeness cannot be totally black. This means that in space external to the black hole there exist two different types of geodesics with particles moving in them. One type which is often considered as the only existing type describes lines going from the space-time infinity out of horizon inside this horizon with all information lost in singularity.

However close to the horizon there are other geodesics arriving from the region inside it, going from the horizon to external space but then returning back inside the horizon. These lines originate in white hole singularity and so this singularity cannot be “naked”. This is some limitation of the cosmic censorship principle saying that “naked” singularities cannot be observed. In our paper we gave classification of all such lines making black hole not black!

b) The collision of the particle moving along usual “black hole” geodesic with that moving on the “white hole” geodesic leads to the effect of “supercollider” so that the energy in the centre of mass frame can be very large and new high energy physics of the Planckian scale can manifest itself. This is similar to the BSW effect considered in [4]. As it was discussed by us previously [19] hypothetical particles of dark matter can decay on light particles due to new physics and ultra high energy particles can be observed on Earth in spite of the high red shift on this way from the black hole (similar consideration using Penrose effect see in [20]).

c) In case of the physical collapse of the star usually it is supposed that the geometry of the external to the horizon region is described either by Schwarzschild or by Kerr’s metric. So one expects existence of two types of geodesics in this case also. The difference is that absence of the white hole singularity in the case of collapse leads to the problem

of looking for some physical mechanism of explanation of appearance of such lines in the process of the collapse. This is an open problem to be solved in future.

Acknowledgments.

This work were supported by a grant from the John Templeton Foundation.

References

- [1] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1987).
- [2] S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes* (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1983).
- [3] A. A. Grib, Yu. V. Pavlov, V. D. Vertogradov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **29**, 1450110 (2014).
- [4] M. Banados, J. Silk and S. M. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 111102 (2009).
- [5] A. A. Grib and Yu. V. Pavlov, Pis'ma v ZhETF **92**, 147 (2010) [JETP Lett. **92**, 125 (2010)].
- [6] A. A. Grib and Yu. V. Pavlov, Astropart. Phys. **34**, 581 (2011).
- [7] A. A. Grib and Yu. V. Pavlov, Grav. Cosmol. **17**, 42 (2011).
- [8] A. A. Grib, Yu.V. Pavlov, O. F. Piattella, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **26**, 3856 (2011).
- [9] A. A. Grib, Yu.V. Pavlov, O. F. Piattella, Grav. Cosmol. **18**, 70 (2012).
- [10] A. A. Grib, Yu. V. Pavlov, Teor. Matem. Fiz. **176**, 60 (2013) [Engl. transl. in Theor. Math. Phys. **176**, 881 (2013)].
- [11] A. A. Grib, Yu. V. Pavlov, EPL **101**, 20004 (2013).
- [12] A. A. Grib, Yu. V. Pavlov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **20**, 675 (2011).
- [13] R. P. Kerr, Phys. Rev. Lett. **11**, (1963) 237.
- [14] R. H. Boyer, R. W. Lindquist, J. Math. Phys. **8**, (1967) 265.
- [15] V. P. Frolov, I. D. Novikov, *Black Hole Physics: Basic Concepts and New Developments* (Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998).
- [16] J. M. Bardeen, W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, Astrophys. J. **178**, (1972) 347.
- [17] T. Harada, Kimura, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 084041 (2011).
- [18] O. B. Zaslavskii, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 024007 (2011).
- [19] A. A. Grib, Yu. V. Pavlov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **23**, 1151 (2008).
- [20] J. Gariel, N. O. Santos, J. Silk, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 063505 (2014).