# MAXIMAL BREAKING OF SYMMETRY AT CRITICAL ANGLE AND CLOSED FORM EXPRESSION FOR ANGULAR DEVIATIONS OF THE SNELL LAW

# • Physical Review A 90, 033844-11 (2014) •

Abstract. A detailed analysis of the propagation of laser gaussian beams at critical angles shows in which conditions it is possible to maximize the breaking of symmetry in the angular distribution and for which values of the laser wavelength and beam waist is possible to find an analytic formula for angular deviations of the Snell law. For propagation throughout N dielectric blocks and for a full breaking of symmetry, overcoming the well known problem of the infinity at critical angle, a closed expression for the Goos-Hänchen shift is obtained. The multiple peaks phenomenon clearly represents an additional evidence of the breaking of symmetry in the angular distribution of optical beams. Finally, laser wavelength and beam waist conditions to produce focal effects in the outgoing beam are also briefly discussed.

### Manoel P. Araújo

Institute of Physics "Gleb Wataghin" State University of Campinas (Brazil) mparaujo@ifi.unicamp.br

#### Silvânia A. Carvalho

Department of Applied Mathematics State University of Campinas (Brazil) silalves@ime.unicamp.br

#### Stefano De Leo

Department of Applied Mathematics State University of Campinas (Brazil) deleo@ime.unicamp.br

# I. INTRODUCTION

II. ASYMMETRICALLY MODELED BEAMS

III. PROPOSING THE BREAKING OF SYMMETRY IN OPTICAL EXPERIMENTS

IV. SNELL LAW ANGULAR DEVIATION, MULTIPLE PEAKS PHENOMENON AND FOCAL EFFECT

V. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOKS

[18 pages, 2 tables, 6 figures]

# I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the Fresnel coefficients, which describe the propagation of optical beams between media with different refractive index, are useful in studying deviations from geometrical optics [1,2]. The most important examples are surely represented by the Goos-Hänchen [3–14] and Imbert-Fedorov [15–20] effects. For total internal reflection, Fresnel coefficients gain an additional phase and this phase is responsible for the transversal shift of linearly and elliptically polarized light with respect to the optical beam path predicted by the Snell law. Nevertheless, these effects do *not* modify the angular predictions of geometrical optics. For example, for a dielectric block with parallel sides the outgoing beam is expected to be parallel to the incoming one. Angular deviations [21–25] from the optical path predicted by the Snell law are a direct consequence of the breaking of symmetry [26] in the angular distribution. In this paper, we show how to maximize this breaking of symmetry and give an *analytic formula* for the Snell law angular deviations. Two interesting additional phenomena, i.e. multiple peaks and focal effect, appear in the analysis of the outgoing beam. In view of possible experimental investigations, our study, done for simplicity of presentation for  $n = \sqrt{2}$ , is then extended to BK7/Fused Silica dielectric blocks and He-Ne lasers with  $\lambda = 633$  nm and beam waists w<sub>0</sub> = 100  $\mu$ m and 1 mm.

## **II. ASYMMETRICALLY MODELED BEAMS**

As anticipated in the introduction, the breaking of symmetry [26] in the angular distribution of optical beams plays a fundamental role in the angular deviation from the optical path predicted by the Snell law. In this section, to understand why the breaking of symmetry is responsible for a such fascinating phenomenon, we briefly discuss a maximal breaking of symmetry for an asymmetrically modeled beam. The effect of this maximal breaking of symmetry on the peak and the position mean value of the optical beam sheds new light on the possibility to realize an optical experiment.

First of all, let us consider the *symmetric* gaussian angular distribution

$$g(\theta) = \exp\left[-\left(k \, \mathbf{w}_0 \, \theta\right)^2 / \, 4\,\right] \,, \tag{1}$$

where  $w_0$  is the beam waist of the gaussian laser and  $k = 2\pi/\lambda$  is the wave number associated to the wavelength  $\lambda$ . The optical beam, propagating in the *y*-*z* plane, is represented by [19,20]

$$E(y,z) = E_0 \frac{k w_0}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int d\theta \ g(\theta) \exp[i k \left(\sin \theta \ y + \cos \theta \ z\right)] .$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

For  $kw_0 \gg 1$ , we can develop the sine and cosine function up to the second order in  $\theta$ . The electric field,

$$E(y,z) = \frac{E_0}{\gamma(z)} \exp\left\{i\,k\,z - \left[\frac{y}{\mathbf{w}_0\,\gamma(z)}\right]^2\right\} = E_0\,e^{i\,k\,z}\,\mathcal{G}(y,z)\;,\tag{3}$$

where  $\gamma(z) = \sqrt{1 + 2iz/k w_0^2}$ , thus propagates along the z-direction and manifests a cylindrical symmetry about the direction of propagation. The complex gaussian function  $\mathcal{G}(y, z)$  is solution of the paraxial Helmholtz equation [1,2]

$$\left(\partial_{yy} + 2\,i\,k\,\partial_z\right)\,\mathcal{G}(y,z) = 0\,\,.\tag{4}$$

The optical intensity,

$$I(y,z) = |E(y,z)|^{2} = \frac{I_{0}}{|\gamma(z)|^{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{2y^{2}}{w_{0}^{2}|\gamma(z)|^{4}}\right] = I_{0} \frac{w_{0}}{w(z)} \exp\left[-\frac{2y^{2}}{w^{2}(z)}\right] ,$$
(5)

is a function of the axial, z, and transversal, y, coordinates. The gaussian function  $|\mathcal{G}(y,z)|$  has its peak on the z axis at y = 0 and its beam width increases with the axial distance z as illustrated in

Fig. 1-a. Due to the fact that the gaussian distribution  $g(\theta)$  is a symmetric distribution centered at  $\theta = 0$ ,

$$\left\langle y \right\rangle_{|\mathcal{G}|} = \frac{\int \mathrm{d}y \, y \left| E(y, z) \right|^2}{\int \mathrm{d}y \left| E(y, z) \right|^2} = \frac{\int \mathrm{d}y \, y \left| \mathcal{G}(y, z) \right|^2}{\int \mathrm{d}y \left| \mathcal{G}(y, z) \right|^2} = 0 \ . \tag{6}$$

The previous analytical result shows that for symmetric distributions the peak position and transversal mean value coincide and do *not* depend on the axial parameter z. The symmetry in the angular distribution  $g(\theta)$  is thus responsible for the well known stationary behavior of the gaussian laser peak.

To see how the breaking of symmetry drastically changes the previous situation, we model a *maximal* breaking of symmetry by considering the following *asymmetric* angular distribution,

$$f(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \theta < 0, \\ \exp[-(k w_0 \theta)^2 / 4] & \theta \ge 0. \end{cases}$$
(7)

This distribution determines the behavior of the new electric field,

$$\mathcal{E}(y,z) = \mathcal{E}_0 \left\{ 1 + \operatorname{Erf} \left[ i \, y \, / \, w_0 \gamma(z) \right] \right\} \, \mathcal{G}(y,z) = \mathcal{E}_0 \, e^{i \, k \, z} \, \mathcal{F}(y,z) \, . \tag{8}$$

The asymmetry in the angular distribution of Eq.(7) is responsible for the axial dependence of the peak position, see Fig.1-b. This z dependence is caused by the interference between the gaussian and the error function which now appears in Eq.(8). The numerical analysis, done for different value of  $kw_0$  and illustrated in Fig.1-c and 1-d, shows a different behavior between the peak position and transversal mean value and confirms the analytical expression

$$\langle y \rangle_{|\mathcal{F}|} = \frac{\int \mathrm{d}y \, y \, |\mathcal{F}(y,z)|^2}{\int \mathrm{d}y \, |\mathcal{F}(y,z)|^2} = \frac{-\frac{i}{2k} \int \mathrm{d}\theta \, f(\theta) \, e^{-ik\theta^2 z/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[ f(\theta) \, e^{-ik\theta^2 z/2} \right]^*}{\int \mathrm{d}\theta \, f^2(\theta)} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$= \frac{\int \mathrm{d}\theta \, \theta \, f^2(\theta)}{\int \mathrm{d}\theta \, f^2(\theta)} \, z = \frac{\sqrt{2/\pi}}{k \, \mathrm{w}_0} \, z \, .$$

$$(9)$$

Finally, the breaking of symmetry in the modeled angular distribution, Eq.(7), generates deviations from the optical path, y = 0, expected by geometrical optics. The modeled beam now shows the angular deviation

$$\alpha_{\max} = \arctan\left[\frac{\sqrt{2/\pi}}{k \,\mathrm{w}_0}\right] \,, \tag{10}$$

where the subscript index has been introduced to recall that this angular deviation is due to the maximal breaking of symmetry introduced to model the gaussian optical beam. This deviation can be physically understood by observing that for a symmetric distribution, see  $g(\theta)$  in Eq.(1), negative and positive angles play the same role and consequently their final contribution does not change the propagation of the optical path whose maximum is always centered at y = 0. In the case of the asymmetric distribution  $f(\theta)$  given in Eq.(7) only positive angles contribute to the motion and this generates a maximal angular deviation which clearly depends on the parameter  $k w_0$ . In the plane wave limit, this deviation tends to zero.

The results presented in this section stimulate to investigate in which situation gaussian lasers, propagating through dielectric blocks, could experience a breaking of symmetry in their angular distributions similar to the modeled breaking of symmetry analyzed in this section. If this happens, the angular deviation from the optical path predicted by the Snell law should be equal to the angle  $\alpha$  given in Eq. (10).

# **III. PROPOSING THE BREAKING OF SYMMETRY IN OPTICAL EXPERIMENTS**

In this section, we treat the general problem of the transmission of a gaussian optical beam through a dielectric block and study how to realize the breaking of symmetry which allows to reproduce the effects discussed in the previous section. What done in this section is only a *proposal* to observe the breaking of symmetry in real optical experiments and see in which circumstances it is possible to reproduce the maximal angular deviation  $\alpha_{max}$  of Eq.(10). In this proposal, we do not take into account cumulative dissipation effects. Imperfections such as misalignment of the dielectric surfaces will be discussed in the final section.

The optical beam represented by the electric field of Eq.(2) moves from its source S to the left interface of the dielectric block along the z-axis, see Fig. 2-a. The  $\tilde{z}$  and  $z_*$  directions represent respectively the left/right and up/down stratifications of the dielectric block. By observing that

$$\begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_0 & -\sin\theta_0 \\ \sin\theta_0 & \cos\theta_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y} \\ \tilde{z} \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (11)$$

we can immediately rewrite the incoming electric field in terms of the new axes  $\tilde{y}$  and  $\tilde{z}$ ,

$$E_{\rm inc}(y,z) = E_0 \frac{k \, w_0}{2 \, \sqrt{\pi}} \int d\theta \, g(\theta) \, \exp[i \, k \, (\sin \theta \, y + \cos \theta \, z \,)] \\ = E_0 \frac{k \, w_0}{2 \, \sqrt{\pi}} \int d\theta \, g(\theta) \, \exp\{i \, k \, [\sin(\theta + \theta_0) \, \widetilde{y} + \cos(\theta + \theta_0) \, \widetilde{z}]\} \\ = E_0 \frac{k \, w_0}{2 \, \sqrt{\pi}} \int d\theta \, g(\theta - \theta_0) \, \exp[i \, k \, (\sin \theta \, \widetilde{y} + \cos \theta \, \widetilde{z} \,)] \,.$$
(12)

At the first (left) and last (right) interface,  $\sin \theta = n \sin \psi$ , see the dielectric block of Fig. 2. In terms of these angles, the transmission Fresnel coefficients for s polarized waves are given by [1,2]

$$\left\{T_{\text{left}}^{[s]}, T_{\text{right}}^{[s]}\right\} = \left\{\frac{2\cos\theta}{\cos\theta + n\cos\psi} e^{i\phi_{\text{left}}}, \frac{2n\cos\psi e^{i\phi_{\text{right}}}}{\cos\theta + n\cos\psi} e^{i\phi_{\text{right}}}\right\},$$
(13)

where

$$\phi_{\text{left}} = k \left( \cos \theta - n \cos \psi \right) \overline{S\widetilde{D}} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{\text{right}} = k \left( n \cos \psi - \cos \theta \right) \left( \overline{S\widetilde{D}} + \frac{\overline{BC}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \,.$$

The phase which appears in the Fresnel coefficients contains information on the point in which the beam encounters the air/dielectric (dielectric/air) interface and it is obviously equal for s and p polarized waves [27–29]. At the second (down) and third (up) interface, observing that  $\varphi = \psi + \frac{\pi}{4}$  (see Fig. 2-a), the reflection Fresnel coefficients read

$$\left\{ R_{\rm down}^{[s]}, R_{\rm up}^{[s]} \right\} = \frac{n\cos\varphi - \sqrt{1 - n^2\sin^2\varphi}}{n\cos\varphi + \sqrt{1 - n^2\sin^2\varphi}} \left\{ e^{i\phi_{\rm down}}, e^{i\phi_{\rm up}} \right\} , \tag{14}$$

where

$$\phi_{\text{down}} = 2 \, k \, n \cos \varphi \, \overline{SD_*} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{\text{up}} = 2 \, k \, n \cos \varphi \, \left( \, \overline{\frac{AB}{\sqrt{2}}} - \overline{SD_*} \, \right)$$

The total transmission coefficient for s polarized waves which propagate through the dielectric block sketched in Fig. 2-a is then obtained by multiplying the Fresnel coefficients given in Eqs. (13-14),

$$T^{[s]}(\theta) = \frac{4n\cos\psi\cos\theta}{\left(\cos\theta + n\cos\psi\right)^2} \left(\frac{n\cos\varphi - \sqrt{1 - n^2\sin^2\varphi}}{n\cos\varphi + \sqrt{1 - n^2\sin^2\varphi}}\right)^2 e^{i\Phi_{\rm Snell}} , \qquad (15)$$

2

where

$$\Phi_{\text{Snell}} = k \left[ \sqrt{2} n \cos \varphi \,\overline{AB} + (n \cos \psi - \cos \theta) \,\overline{\frac{BC}{\sqrt{2}}} \right]$$

In a similar way, we can immediately obtain the transmission coefficient for p polarized waves [28,29],

$$T^{[p]}(\theta) = \frac{4n\cos\psi\cos\theta}{\left(n\cos\theta + \cos\psi\right)^2} \left(\frac{\cos\varphi - n\sqrt{1 - n^2\sin^2\varphi}}{\cos\varphi + n\sqrt{1 - n^2\sin^2\varphi}}\right)^2 e^{i\Phi_{\text{Snell}}} .$$
 (16)

2

Before to discuss the effect of the transmission coefficient on the angular gaussian distribution,  $g(\theta - \theta_0)$ , let us spend some time for analyzing the phase,  $\Phi_{\text{Snell}}$ , which appears in the transmission coefficient. The stationary phase approximation [30–32], which is a basic principle of asymptotic analysis based on the cancellation of sinusoids with rapidly varying phase, allows to obtain a prediction of the beam peak position by imposing

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(k \sin \theta \, \widetilde{y}_{_{\rm out}} + k \, \cos \theta \, \widetilde{z}_{_{\rm out}} + \Phi_{_{\rm Snell}} \right)\right]_{\theta=\theta_0} = 0$$

This stationary constraint implies

$$\cos \theta_{0} \, \widetilde{y}_{out} - \sin \theta_{0} \, \widetilde{z}_{out} = \sqrt{2} \, \sin \varphi_{0} \, \frac{\cos \theta_{0}}{\cos \psi_{0}} \, \overline{AB} + \left( \sin \psi_{0} \, \frac{\cos \theta_{0}}{\cos \psi_{0}} - \sin \theta_{0} \right) \, \frac{\overline{BC}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ = \underbrace{\cos \theta_{0} \, \left[ \left( 1 + \tan \psi_{0} \right) \overline{AB} + \left( \tan \psi_{0} - \tan \theta_{0} \right) \frac{\overline{BC}}{\sqrt{2}} \right]}_{d_{\text{Snell}}} \, . \tag{17}$$

This reproduces the well known transversal shift obtained in geometrical optics by using the Snell law. With respect to the incoming optical beam, which is centered at y = 0, the center of the outgoing beam is then shifted at  $y = d_{\text{snell}}$ . To ensure that for the dielectric structure illustrated in Fig. 2-c, we have 2 N internal reflections, we must impose that, in each block, incoming and outgoing beams have the same  $z_*$  component, this implies

$$\overline{BC} = \sqrt{2} \tan \varphi_0 \,\overline{AB} \,. \tag{18}$$

In this case, the propagation of the optical beam through N dielectric blocks is characterized by 2N internal reflections. For an elongated prism of side  $N \overline{BC}$ , the transmission coefficients for s and p polarized waves are then given by

$$T_{N}^{[s]}(\theta) = \frac{4n\cos\psi\cos\theta}{\left(\cos\theta + n\cos\psi\right)^{2}} \left(\frac{n\cos\varphi - \sqrt{1 - n^{2}\sin^{2}\varphi}}{n\cos\varphi + \sqrt{1 - n^{2}\sin^{2}\varphi}}\right)^{2N} e^{iN\Phi_{\text{Snell}}} , \qquad (19)$$

and

$$T_{N}^{[p]}(\theta) = \frac{4n\cos\psi\cos\theta}{\left(n\cos\theta + \cos\psi\right)^{2}} \left(\frac{\cos\varphi - n\sqrt{1 - n^{2}\sin^{2}\varphi}}{\cos\varphi + n\sqrt{1 - n^{2}\sin^{2}\varphi}}\right)^{2N} e^{iN\Phi_{\text{Snell}}} .$$
(20)

For incidence angles lesser than the critical angle,

$$\theta < \theta_c = \arcsin\left\{n \sin\left[\arcsin\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) - \frac{\pi}{4}\right]\right\},$$

the outgoing optical beam,

$$E_{T}^{[s,p]}(y,z) = E_{0} \frac{k w_{0}}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \int d\theta \ T_{N}^{[s,p]}(\theta) g(\theta - \theta_{0}) \exp[i k \left(\sin \theta \, \widetilde{y} + \cos \theta \, \widetilde{z}\right)] \\ = E_{0} \frac{k w_{0}}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \int d\theta \ g_{T}^{[s,p]}(\theta;\theta_{0}) \exp\{i k \left[\sin(\theta - \theta_{0}) \, y + \cos(\theta - \theta_{0}) \, z\right]\}, \quad (21)$$

propagates parallel to the z-axis and with its peak located at

$$y_{\text{Snell}} = N \, d_{\text{Snell}} = N \left( \cos \theta_0 - \sin \theta_0 \right) \, \tan \varphi_0 \, \overline{AB} \,, \tag{22}$$

as expected from the ray optics. For incidence angles greater than the critical angle, we find  $\sin \varphi > 1$ and the optical beam gains an *additional* phase,

$$N\Phi_{\rm GH}^{[s,p]}, \qquad (23)$$

where

$$\Phi_{\rm GH}^{[s,p]} = \begin{cases} -4 \arctan \sqrt{\left(n^2 \sin^2 \varphi - 1\right) / \left(n \cos \varphi\right)^2} & [s \text{ polarization}], \\ -4 \arctan \sqrt{n^2 \left(n^2 \sin^2 \varphi - 1\right) / \cos^2 \varphi} & [p \text{ polarization}]. \end{cases}$$
(24)

For linearly polarized light, this new phase is responsible for the Goos-Hänchen shift. Shift experimentally observed in 1947 [3] and for which, one year later, Artman [4] proposed an analytical expression. The Artman formulas, valid for incidence angle greater than the critical angle, have been recently generalized for incidence at critical angle [14]. Notwithstanding the interesting nuances involved in the study of the Goos-Hanchën shift, what we aim to discuss in detail in this paper is the *angular deviation* from the optical path predicted by the Snell law.

The angular deviation  $\alpha_{\max}$ , given in Eq. (10), is due to the maximal breaking of symmetry modeled in section II, see Eq. (7). In the dielectric structure illustrated in Fig. 2-c (observe that in a real optical experiment this structure can be reproduced by a single elongated prism of side  $N \overline{BC}$ , the optical beam experiences 2N internal reflections and this will play a fundamental role in reproducing, for incidence at critical angle, the maximal breaking of symmetry presented in section II by a modeled example. Indeed, for incidence at critical angle, the angular distribution  $g_T^{[s,p]}(\theta;\theta_c)$  centered at  $\theta = \theta_c$  suffers, at each up and down interface, a partial transmission for  $\theta < \theta_c$  and a total reflection for  $\theta > \theta_c$ . Consequently, by increasing the number of internal reflections we contribute to increase the breaking of symmetry. For few blocks, the real optical experiment is very different from the modeled case presented in section II. Nevertheless, for  $N \gg 1$ , we improve the breaking of symmetry and can simulate the maximal breaking of symmetry discussed in section II. From Fig. 3, where we plot the modulus of the transmitted angular distribution  $g_T^{[s,p]}(\theta;\theta_c)$ , we can immediately see that the breaking of symmetry is optimized not only by increasing the number of blocks but also using ppolarized waves and/or decreasing the value of the beam waist. As shown in Fig. 3-c, for  $kw_0 = 10^{\circ}$ (which for He-Ne laser with  $\lambda = 633 \,\mathrm{nm}$  means  $w_0 \approx 100 \,\mu\mathrm{m}$ ), N = 50 and p polarized waves, we *perfectly* reproduce the modeled breaking of symmetry illustrated in section II. By increasing the number of blocks or equivalently the side of the elongated prism, we can always reach the maximal breaking of symmetry (7). It is important to be observed here that such a distribution leads to the maximal angular deviation. For incidence not at critical angle or in the presence of misalignment at the dielectric surfaces the angular deviation decreases (see discussion at the end of the final section).

# IV. SNELL LAW ANGULAR DEVIATION, MULTIPLE PEAKS PHENOMENON AND FOCAL EFFECT

As observed in the previous section, it is possible to reproduce in a real optical experiment the modeled breaking of symmetry introduced in section II. The preferred incidence angle is  $\theta_0 = \theta_c$ . In this case, for an appropriate choice of the number of dielectric blocks (N = 50) and of the laser beam waist ( $kw_0 = 10^3$ ), it is possible to take the following approximation

$$g_T^{[s,p]}(\theta;\theta_c) = \left| g_T^{[s,p]}(\theta;\theta_c) \right| e^{iN\left(\Phi_{\text{Snell}} + \Phi_{\text{GH}}^{[s,p]}\right)} \approx f(\theta - \theta_c) e^{iN\left(\Phi_{\text{Snell}} + \Phi_{\text{GH}}^{[s,p]}\right)} .$$
(25)

The transversal mean value for the outgoing beam is then given by

$$\langle y \rangle_{T,c}^{[s,p]} = \frac{-\frac{i}{2k} \int d\theta \, g_T^{[s,p]}(\theta;\theta_c) \, e^{-ik(\theta-\theta_c)^2 z/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[ g_T^{[s,p]}(\theta;\theta_c) \, e^{-ik(\theta-\theta_c)^2 z/2} \right]^*}{\int d\theta \left| g_T^{[s,p]}(\theta;\theta_c) \right|^2} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$= \frac{\int d\theta \left[ -\frac{N}{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \Phi_{\text{Snell}} + \Phi_{\text{GH}}^{[s,p]} \right) + (\theta-\theta_c) \, z \right] \, f^2(\theta-\theta_c)}{\int d\theta \, f^2(\theta-\theta_c)}$$

$$= y_{\text{Snell},c} + y_{\text{GH},c}^{[s,p]} + \frac{\sqrt{2/\pi}}{k \, w_0} \, z \, .$$

$$(26)$$

The Snell law angular deviation  $\alpha$ , see Eq. (10) and Fig. 2-b, obtained in section II for a modeled breaking of symmetry can be now reproduced in a real optical experiment. For a partial breaking of symmetry the angular deviation is obviously reduced and a numerical calculation is needed to estimate such a deviation, see Fig. 4. The peak position and the transversal component mean value, plotted in Fig. 4 for  $n = \sqrt{2}$  which has been chosen because a dielectric block with such a refractive index has a critical angle  $\theta_c = 0$  ( $\varphi_c = \pi/4$ ), have been also calculated for dielectric Fused Silica (n = 1.457) and BK7 (n = 1.515) blocks,

$$\left\{ n, \frac{180^{\circ} \theta_c}{\pi}, \frac{180^{\circ} \varphi_c}{\pi} \right\} = \left\{ \sqrt{2}, 0^{\circ}, 45^{\circ} \right\}, \left\{ 1.457, -2.42^{\circ}, 43.34^{\circ} \right\}, \left\{ 1.515, -5.60^{\circ}, 41.31^{\circ} \right\},$$

see Tab. 1. It is important to be observed that increasing the number of blocks we reach a maximal breaking of symmetry. In the Snell and Goos-Hänchen shifts, we find a *linear* dependence on the blocks number,

$$y_{\text{Snell},c} = N \left( \cos \theta_c - \sin \theta_c \right) \tan \varphi_c \overline{AB} ,$$
  
$$= N \frac{\sqrt{2 - n^2 + 2\sqrt{n^2 - 1} - 1 + \sqrt{n^2 - 1}}}{\sqrt{2 (n^2 - 1)}} \overline{AB} ,$$
  
$$= N \delta_{\text{Snell},c} \overline{AB} , \qquad (27)$$

and

$$\left\{ y_{\text{GH},c}^{[s]}, y_{\text{GH},c}^{[p]} \right\} = N \left\{ 1, n^2 \right\} \frac{\frac{4}{k} \int d\theta \sqrt{\frac{\cos \theta_c}{n \cos \psi_c \left(n^2 - 2 \sin^2 \theta_c\right) \left(\theta - \theta_c\right)}} \int f^2(\theta - \theta_c)}{\int d\theta f^2(\theta - \theta_c)}$$

$$= N \left\{ 1, n^2 \right\} \frac{4\Gamma(1/4)}{\sqrt{\pi\sqrt{2}}} \left[ \frac{2 - n^2 + 2\sqrt{n^2 - 1}}{4\left(n^2 - 1\right) \left(n^2 + 2\sqrt{n^2 - 1}\right)} \right]^{1/4} \sqrt{\frac{w_0}{k}}$$

$$= N \left\{ 1, n^2 \right\} \delta_{\text{GH},c} \sqrt{\frac{w_0}{k}} .$$

$$(28)$$

Note that the divergence at critical angle is removed by the previous integration. Consequently, for a maximal breaking of symmetry, we find an analytical expression for the Goos-Hänchen shift at critical angle. Observing that

$$\left\{\,n\,,\,\delta_{_{\rm Snell,c}}\,,\,\delta_{_{\rm GH,c}}\,\right\} \;=\; \left\{\,\sqrt{2}\,,\,1\,,\,4.091\,\right\} \;,\quad \left\{\,1.457\,,\,0.983\,,\,3.915\,\right\} \;,\quad \left\{\,1.515\,,\,0.960\,,\,3.700\,\right\} \;,$$

in the N blocks dielectric structure of Fig. 2-c, we have a Snell shift proportional to  $N \overline{AB}$  and an amplification of the standard Goos-Hänchen shift ( $\sim \lambda$ ) given by  $N \sqrt{kw_0}$ . The numerical analysis done in Fig. 4 and Tab. 1 confirms this amplification.

The multiple peaks phenomenon observed in Fig. 5 is a clear evidence of the breaking of symmetry in the angular distribution. In the optical beam, the negative angular contributions are suppressed if we increase the number of blocks. This implies only positive angular contributions in the spreading of the optical beam and consequently the multiple peaks phenomenon. As can be seen in Fig. 5, this phenomenon is amplified not only by increasing the number of blocks but also by using p-polarized waves. Observe that the phenomenon is more evident when the spreading of the optical beam is clearly visible. In Fig. 6, it is present another interesting phenomenon. The focal effect in the outgoing beam is a consequence of the second order contribution of the optical phase which is responsible for the spreading of the beam. The numerical analysis, see Fig. 6-f and Tab. 2, shows an increasing value of the maximum of the outgoing electrical field and this is a clear evidence of a focalization of the beam. From the data presented in Tab. 2, we can estimate the axial point of maximal focalization.

## V. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOKS

The connection between quantum mechanics and optics [12] and the possibility to realize optical experiments to reproduce quantum effects [13] makes optics an interesting subject of study to investigate the most diversified phenomena, from the Goos-Hänchen and Imbert-Federov shifts to the frustrated total internal reflection [33–36] and resonant photon tunnelling [37]. In this paper, starting from a modeled symmetry breaking (section II) we have shown how to reproduce the maximal breaking of symmetry in the angular distribution of laser beams by an optical structure composed by N dielectric blocks. This structure can be realized in real optical experiment by a single elongated prism. The breaking of symmetry causes an angular modification for the optical path predicted by the Snell law. The outgoing beam is no longer parallel to the incoming one as expected from the Snell law. Our analysis shows that the maximal angular deviation is obtained for a gaussian He-Ne laser with  $\lambda = 633$  nm and beam waist  $w_0 = 100 \,\mu$ m by using p-polarized waves and a dielectric structure with 50 blocks (see Fig. 2-c). In this case, we should find an angular deviation

$$\alpha_{\max} = \arctan\left[\frac{\int d\theta \ (\theta - \theta_c) \ f^2(\theta - \theta_c)}{\int d\theta \ f^2(\theta - \theta_c)}\right] = \arctan\left[\frac{\sqrt{2/\pi}}{k \ w_0}\right] \approx \frac{\sqrt{2/\pi}}{k \ w_0} \approx 0.05^{\circ} \ \frac{\pi}{180^{\circ}} \ , \qquad (29)$$

which does not depend on the refractive index n of the dielectric blocks and does not change if we increase the blocks number because we have reached the maximal breaking of symmetry for N = 50. This prediction can be tested in real optical experiments by using different dielectric blocks, for example Fused Silica and BK7 (see Table 1). Nevertheless, the previous formula does *not* take into account cumulative dissipations and imperfections in the prism such as misalignment of its surfaces. A phenomenological way to include the misalignment effect in the angular deviation is to consider the following distribution

$$f_{\rm mis}(\theta - \theta_c) = \begin{cases} 0 & \theta - \theta_c < \theta_{\rm mis} ,\\ \exp[-(k \, w_0 \, \theta)^2 / \, 4] & \theta - \theta_c \geq \theta_{\rm mis} , \end{cases}$$
(30)

where the angle  $\theta_{\rm mis} = \arcsin\left[n\sin\left(\varphi_{\rm mis} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right]$  is introduced to include misalignment effects. Such effects can be simulated by observing that the surfaces misalignment can be simulated by changing the internal angle from  $\varphi_c$  to  $\varphi_c + \varphi_{\rm mis}$ . In this case, the angular deviation is given by

$$\alpha_{\rm mis} = \arctan\left[\frac{\int d\theta \ \theta \ f_{\rm mis}^2(\theta - \theta_c)}{\int d\theta \ f_{\rm mis}^2(\theta - \theta_c)}\right] \approx \frac{\exp[-\left(k \, w_0 \, \theta_{\rm mis}\right)^2 / 2]}{\operatorname{Erfc}\left[k \, w_0 \, \theta_{\rm mis} / \sqrt{2}\right]} \ \alpha_{\rm max} \ . \tag{31}$$

The possibility to realize a *maximal* breaking of symmetry and to make a prediction on the angular deviation of the Snell law surely represents the main objective of our investigation. The study done in this article, overcoming the infinity at critical angle by the integration of Eq. (28), allows also to find

a closed-form expression for the Goos-Hänchen shift. The prediction is in excellent agreement with our numerical calculation. Finally, but not less important, two additional phenomena appears in the presence of the symmetry breaking, namely the multiple peaks phenomenon and the focal effect. We hope that the analysis presented in this work stimulates optical experiments to confirm the angular deviation  $\alpha$  (Fig. 4), the multiple peaks (Fig. 5) and the focalization (Fig. 6) in the outgoing beam.

In a forthcoming paper, we aim to extend the investigation of the symmetry breaking done in this work for gaussian angular distributions by analyzing the effect of the breaking of symmetry in hermite and laguerre gaussian optical beams [38].

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** The authors gratefully acknowledge Capes (M.P.A.), CNPq (S.D.L.), and Fapesp (S.A.C.) for the the financial support and the referee for his comments and suggestions, in particular for drawing the attention to the misalignment effect which stimulated the discussion which led to Eq.(31).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Born and E. Wolf, *Principles of optics* (Cambridge UP, Cambridge, 1999).
- [2] B. E. A. Saleh and M. C. Teich, Fundamentals of Photonics (Wiley & Sons, New Jersey, 2007).
- [3] F. Goos and H. Hänchen, Ein neuer und fundamentaler Versuch zur totalreflexion, Ann. Phys. 436, 333-346 (1947).
- [4] K. Artmann, Berechnung der Seitenversetzung des totalreflektierten Strahles, Ann. Phys. 437, 87-102 (1948).
- [5] C. K. Carniglia and L. Mandel, Phase shift measurement of evanescent electromagnetic waves, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 61, 1035-1043 (1971).
- [6] K. W. Chiu and J. J. Quinn, On the Goos-Hänchen fffect: a simple example of a time delay scattering process, Am. J. Phys. 40, 1847-1851 (1972).
- [7] M. McGuirk and C. K. Carniglia, An angular spectrum representation approach to the Goos-Hänchen shift, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 67, 103-107 (1977).
- [8] K. Yasumoto and Y. Oishi, A new evaluation of the Goos Hänchen shift and associated time delay, J. Appl. Phys. 54, 2170-2176 (1983).
- H. M. Lai, F. C. Cheng, and W. K. Tang, Goos Hänchen effect around and off the critical angle, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 3, 550-557 (1986).
- [10] C. C. Chan and T. Tamir, Beam phenomena at and near critical incidence upon a dielectric interface, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 4, 655-663 (1987).
- [11] F. L. Baida, D. V. Labeke, and J. M. Vigoureux, Numerical study of the displacement of a threedimensional Gaussian beam transmitted at total internal reflection. Near-field applications, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 17, 858-866 (2000).
- [12] X. Chen, Electronic analogy of the Goos Hänchen effect: a review, J. Opt. 15, 033001-13 (2013).
- [13] G. Jayaswal, G. Mistura, and M. Merano, Weak measurement of the GoosâĂŞHänchen shift, Opt. Lett. 38, 1232-1234 (2013).
- [14] M. Araujo, S. Carvalho, and S. De Leo, The frequency crossover for the GoosâĂŞHänchen shift, J. Mod. Opt. 60, 1772-1780 (2014).
- [15] C. Imbert, Calculation and experimental proof of the transverse shift induced by total internal reflection of a circularly polarized light beam, Phys. Rev. D 5, 787-798 (1972).
- [16] F. Pillon, H. Gilles, and S. Girard, Experimental observation of the Imbert Fedorov transverse displacement after a single total reflection, Appl. Opt. 43, 1863-1869 (2004).
- [17] K.Y. Bliokh, I.V. Shadrivov and Y.S. Kivshar, Goos Hänchen and Imbert Fedorov shifts of polarized vortex beams, Opt. Lett. 34, 389-391 (2009).
- [18] C. Prajapati and D. Ranganathan, Goos Hänchen and Imbert Fedorov shifts for Hermite Gauss beams, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 29, 1377-1382 (2012).
- [19] A. Aiello, Goos-Hänchen and Imbert-Federov shifts: a novel perspective, New J. of Phys. 14, 013058-12 (2012).
- [20] K. Y. Bliokh and A. Aiello, Goos-Hänchen and Imbert-Fedorov beam shifts: an overview, J. Opt. 15, 014001-16 (2013).
- [21] H. E. Tureci and A. D. Stone, Deviation from Snell's law for beams transmitted near the critical angle: application to microcavity lasers, Opt. Lett. 27, 7-9 (2002).

- [22] H. Schomerus and M. Hentschel, Correcting ray optics at curved dielectric microresonator interfaces: phase-space unification of Fresnel filtering and the Goos-Hänchen shift, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 243903-4 (2006).
- [23] W. Guo, Corrections to SnellâĂŹs reflection law from atomic thermal motion, Opt. Comm. 278, 253âĂŞ256 (2007).
- [24] M. Merano, A. Aiello, M. P. van Exter, and J. P. Woerdman, Observing angular deviations in the specular reflection of a light beam, Nature Phot. 3, 337äÅŞ340 (2009).
- [25] J. B. Götte, S. Shinohara and M. Hentschel, Are Fresnel filtering and the angular Goos-Hänchen shift the same?, J. Opt. 15, 014009-8 (2013).
- [26] M. Araujo, S. Carvalho, and S. De Leo, The asymmetric GoosâĂŞHänchen effect, J. Opt. 16, 015702-7 (2014).
- [27] S. De Leo and P. Rotelli, Laser interaction with a dielectric block, Eur. Phys. J. D 61, 481-488 (2011).
- [28] S. A. Carvalho and S. De Leo, Light transmission through a triangular air gap, J. Mod. Opt. 60, 437-443 (2013).
- [29] S. A. Carvalho and S. De Leo, Resonance, multiple diffusion and critical tunneling for Gaussian lasers, Eur. Phys. J. D 67, 168-178 (2013).
- [30] E. Wigner, Lower limit for the energy derivative of the scattering phase shift, Phys. Rev. 98, 145-147 (1955).
- [31] R. B. Dingle, Asymptotic expansions: their derivation and interpretation, (Academic Press, London 1973).
- [32] N. Bleistein and R. Handelsman, Asymptotic expansions of integrals, (Dover, New York, 1975).
- [33] S. Zhu, A. W. Yu, D. Hawley and R. Roy, Frustrated total internal reflection: A demonstration and review, Am. J. Phys. 54, 601-607 (1986).
- [34] A. A. Stahlhofen, Photonic tunneling time in frustrated total internal reflection, Phys. Rev. A 62, 012112-7 (2000).
- [35] F. P. Zanella, D. V. MagalhËIJaes, M. M. Oliveira, R. F. Bianchi, L. Misoguti and C. R. Mendonca, *Frustrated total internal reflection: A simple application and demonstration*, Am. J. Phys. 71, 494-496 (2003).
- [36] H.G. Winful and C. Zhang, Tunneling delay time in frustrated total internal reflection, Phys. Rev. A 79, 023826-4 (2009),
- [37] S. De Leo and P. Rotelli, Resonant laser tunnelling, Eur. Phys. J. D 65, 563-570 (2011).
- [38] J. Enderlein and F, Pampaloni, Unified operator approach for deriving HermiteâAŞGaussian and LaguerreâAŞGaussian laser modes, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 21, 1553-1558 (2004).

Table 1: Snell law angular deviation for BK7 and Fused Silica dielectric blocks. Numerical peak position,  $y_{\max,\tau}$ , and transversal mean value,  $\langle y \rangle_{\tau}$ , of the transmitted beam at critical angle are listed, for s and p polarized waves, for different refractive index as function of the number of blocks, N, and for fixed beam waist/wavelength ratio,  $kw_0$ , and axial parameter, z. From the table, we immediately see that by increasing the number of blocks (and consequently optimizing the breaking of symmetry) we increase the angular deviation of the Snell law.

	$rac{oldsymbol{y}_{ ext{max},T} - oldsymbol{y}_{ ext{Snell}}}{oldsymbol{d}_{ ext{Snell}}}$			$rac{\langle  y - y_{_{ m Snell}}  angle_{_T}}{d_{_{ m Snell}}}$				
$egin{array}{c} N \\ n \end{array}$	10	30	50	10	30	50		
$\sqrt{2}$	0.050	0.080	0.108	0.053	0.079	0.104	N N	
1.457	0.049	0.079	0.105	0.052	0.077	0.102	pc	
1.515	0.047	0.077	0.102	0.051	0.075	0.099	51	
$\sqrt{2}$	0.063	0.112	0.156	0.066	0.117	0.170	p.	
1.457	0.064	0.113	0.158	0.066	0.119	0.171	- po	
1.515	0.064	0.114	0.159	0.067	0.120	0.174	ol	
	$ullet  k \mathrm{w}_{_0} = 10^{^3}  ullet   heta_0 =  heta_c  ullet  z = 50  d_{_{\mathrm{Snell}}}  ullet$							

Table 2: Focal effect for BK7 and Fused Silica dielectric blocks. Numerical maximum of the outgoing electrical field at critical angle is listed, for p polarized waves, for different refractive index as function of the axial parameter z and for fixed beam waist/wavelength ratio,  $kw_0$ , and blocks number, N. From the table, we clear see the focalization near  $z = 10^3 d_{\text{snell}}$ .

$egin{array}{c} z/d_{ m snell} \ n \end{array}$	100	500	1000	1500	2000			
$\sqrt{2}$	0.444	0.472	0.483	0.475	0.460	d		
1.457	0.440	0.468	0.480	0.472	0.457	- pc		
1.515	0.434	0.463	0.475	0.469	0.454	bl		
	$\bullet \hspace{0.2cm} k \hspace{0.2cm} \mathrm{w_{_{0}}} = 10^{^{4}} \hspace{0.2cm} \bullet \hspace{0.2cm} \hspace{0.2cm} \theta_{0} = \theta_{c} \hspace{0.2cm} \bullet \hspace{0.2cm} \hspace{0.2cm} N = 50 \hspace{0.2cm} \bullet$							



Figure 1: Modeled breaking of symmetry. The breaking of symmetry in the gaussian angular distribution generates an axial dependence for the peak of the optical beam (b). This dependence is shown in (c). For the transversal mean value is possible to obtain an axial linear analytical expression, given in Eq. (10), which is confirmed by the numerical data plotted in (d).



Figure 2: Geometry of the dielectric block. The normals to the left/right and up/down interfaces and the angular parameters which appear in the transmission coefficient are given in (a). For a symmetric angular distribution the outgoing beam is parallel to the incoming one. The breaking of symmetry generates an angular deviation  $\alpha$  of the Snell law which is drawn in (b) together the transversal Goos-Hänchen shift. The breaking of symmetry is maximized by building a dielectric structure of N blocks (c) which in a real optical experiment can be realized by a single elongated prism of sides  $N \overline{BC}$  and  $\overline{AB}$ .



Figure 3: Symmetry breaking for N dielectric blocks. The modeled breaking of symmetry discussed in section II is now proposed for real optical experiments. The plots show that, to maximize the breaking of symmetry, we have to decrease the beam waist, increase the blocks number and use p-polarized waves. For p-polarized waves, an optimal choice to obtain a *maximal* breaking of symmetry is represented by N = 50 and  $kw_0 = 10^3$ . To reproduce the maximal symmetry breaking for the other cases, we have to increase the number of blocks.



Figure 4: Snell law angular deviation. The axial dependence at critical angle of the peak and transversal mean value are plotted for a fixed beam waist  $w_0$  for different blocks number, N. The angular deviation of the Snell law is evident in (b) and (d). Observe that the first physical axial points at which we can do the experimental analysis are given by  $z_{out} = z_{in} + N \tan \varphi_c \overline{AB}$  (× points in the plots). From the plots is also clear the *N*-amplification of the Goos-Hänchen shift at critical angle. The • points represent the points at which the numerical calculation has also been done for BK7 and Fused Silica block (Table 1).



Figure 5: Multiple peaks phenomenon. For  $kw_0 = 10^3$ , the outgoing optical beam presents the fascinating phenomenon of multiple peaks. This phenomenon is directly related to the spreading of the optical beam and it is due to the fact that in the angular distribution the positive angular components are no longer compensated by the negative ones.



Figure 6: Focal effect. For  $kw_0 = 10^4$ , the multiple peaks phenomenon is no longer so evident. Nevertheless, a new interesting phenomenon appears. Due to the second order optical phase contribution, in the outgoing beam can be now observed a focalization effect. This effect is for example clear as in (f).