

Integral forms for tensor powers of the Virasoro vertex operator algebra $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and their modules

Robert McRae

Abstract

We construct integral forms containing the conformal vector ω in certain tensor powers of the Virasoro vertex operator algebra $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$, and we construct integral forms in certain modules for these algebras. When a triple of modules for a tensor power of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ have integral forms, we classify which intertwining operators among these modules respect the integral forms. As an application, we explore how these results might be used to obtain integral forms in framed vertex operator algebras.

1 Introduction

The Virasoro vertex operator algebra $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ is significant because many vertex operator algebras (called framed vertex operator algebras in [DGH]) contain tensor powers of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ as vertex operator subalgebras. This class of framed vertex operator algebras most notably includes the lattice vertex operator algebra V_{E_8} based on the E_8 root lattice and the moonshine module V^\natural ([B], [FLM]), which contain $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes 16}$ and $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes 48}$, respectively ([DMZ]). Thus, it is natural to look for integral forms in tensor powers of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and its modules, and it is especially natural to look for integral forms which contain the conformal vector ω .

Such integral forms are worth studying in and of themselves as further examples of vertex algebras over \mathbb{Z} , beyond ones coming from lattice ([B], [P], [DG], [M2], [M3]) and affine Lie algebra ([GL], [M2], [M3]) vertex operator algebras. Integral forms in tensor powers of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and their modules will also allow for the study of these vertex operator algebras over fields of prime characteristic. (The representation theory of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ itself over fields of odd prime characteristic has already been studied in [DR1] and [DR2].) As another application, integral forms in tensor powers of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and its modules may help construct interesting integral forms in framed vertex operator algebras, such as the moonshine module V^\natural . As indicated in the last section of this paper, if the irreducible modules appearing in the decomposition of a framed vertex operator algebra V as an $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ -module have integral forms, and the vertex operator on V induces intertwining operators among these modules which respect the integral forms, then V has an integral form. The most substantial difficulty obstructing the implementation of this idea seems to be that the intertwining operators among the $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ -modules appearing in the decomposition of V may in fact map the proposed integral form of V into a different, larger, integral form.

At first glance, it might not seem natural to look for integral forms in $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$, since the Virasoro algebra commutation relations which underlie its vertex operator algebra structure,

$$[L(m), L(n)] = (m - n)L(m + n) + \frac{1}{4} \binom{m+1}{3} \delta_{m+n,0}$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are not integral. In fact, we show that $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ does have an integral form generated by 2ω , though it is impossible for an integral form of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ to contain ω itself. To find integral forms which do contain ω , we must consider tensor powers $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ where $n \in 4\mathbb{Z}$, because the Virasoro algebra commutation relations become integral when the central charge is an even integer.

The first main result in this paper is that when $n \in 4\mathbb{Z}$, $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ indeed has integral forms whose generators (which include ω) are indexed by the elements of binary linear codes which satisfy certain easily checked conditions. Moreover, we show that in some circumstances, $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ -modules whose conformal weights are integral have integral forms. In particular, we show that $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes 16}$ has an integral form such that all irreducible submodules which appear in the decomposition of V_{E_8} as an $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes 16}$ -module have integral forms. To prove these results, we heavily use the philosophy of [M2] that integral forms are often best constructed and studied using generating sets; thus we do not need to find bases of the integral forms we construct in order to prove that they exist and contain desired elements such as ω .

Recall that constructing modules is not enough in order to understand the representation theory of a vertex operator algebra V . Two important elements of the representation theory of V are contragredient modules and intertwining operators; for example, these are needed for the construction of braided tensor categories of V -modules (see for example the review article [HL]). Thus in this paper, we consider graded \mathbb{Z} -duals of and intertwining operators among the integral forms of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ -modules that we construct. It is easy to use results in [M2] to show that graded \mathbb{Z} -duals of the integral forms in this paper are integral forms in contragredient modules. The result for intertwining operators is more subtle, and we discuss it in more detail.

The second main result of this paper is a classification of intertwining operators among a triple $W^{(1)}, W^{(2)}, W^{(3)}$ of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ -modules which respect certain integral forms in the modules. More particularly, suppose \mathcal{C} is the binary linear code used to construct the integral form in $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$; we use $W_{\mathcal{C}}^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ to denote the corresponding integral forms in the modules $W^{(i)}$. Since $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ -modules are self-contragredient, the graded \mathbb{Z} -duals $(W_{\mathcal{C}}^{(i)})'$ are different integral forms of the modules $W^{(i)}$. Then we determine which intertwining operators \mathcal{Y} satisfy

$$\mathcal{Y} : W_{\mathcal{C}}^{(1)} \otimes W_{\mathcal{C}}^{(2)} \rightarrow (W_{\mathcal{C}}^{(3)})'\{x\},$$

or equivalently, which satisfy

$$\langle w_{(3)}, \mathcal{Y}(w_{(1)}, x)w_{(2)} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\{x\}$$

for $w_{(i)} \in W_{\mathcal{C}}^{(i)}$. This last integrality conditions also arose in [M3] in the study of intertwining operators among lattice and affine Lie algebra vertex operator algebras, and it is natural because it amounts to an integrality condition on physically relevant correlation functions

in conformal field theory. It is not clear that there are generally any non-zero intertwining operators which satisfy

$$\mathcal{Y} : W_c^{(1)} \otimes W_c^{(2)} \rightarrow W_c^{(3)}\{x\},$$

and it is this subtlety which obstructs the construction of integral forms in framed vertex operator algebras using the results in this paper.

We also note that to classify integral intertwining operators among $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ -modules, we need to use ideas motivated by the cross-brackets of vertex operators introduced in [FLM] Section 8.9. In [FLM] it was shown that cross-brackets of vectors of conformal weight 2 behave more naturally than for instance commutators do, which explains why related ideas are useful here in our study of integral forms generated by vectors of conformal weight 2.

We now outline the contents of this paper. Sections 2, 3, and 4 are preliminary: Section 2 recalls definitions and results from [M2] and [M3] on integral forms of vertex operator algebras that we will need; Section 3 recalls the construction of tensor products of vertex operator algebras and their modules; and Section 4 recalls the construction of vertex operator algebras based on the Virasoro algebra, and also proves that the irreducible Virasoro algebra module $L(\ell, h)$ has a \mathbb{Q} -form when the central charge ℓ and lowest conformal weight h are rational. Section 5 obtains integral forms in tensor powers $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$, which are based on binary linear codes and contain ω when $n \in 4\mathbb{Z}$; some examples of codes that give rise to these integral forms are also given. Section 6 obtains integral forms in certain $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ -modules which have integral conformal weights, and Section 7 treats graded \mathbb{Z} -duals of and integral intertwining operators among integral forms of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ -modules. In Section 8, we suggest an approach to obtaining integral forms in framed vertex operator algebras using results from the previous sections.

In this paper, we assume some level of familiarity with the theory of vertex operator algebras, and so we will freely use standard vertex algebra notation and terminology throughout.

Acknowledgments This paper is part of my thesis [M1], completed at Rutgers University. I am very grateful to my advisor James Lepowsky for all of his advice and encouragement.

2 Integral forms in vertex operator algebras and modules

We use the notions of vertex operator algebra and module for a vertex operator algebra as defined in [FLM]; see also [LL]. We use the definition of an integral form in a vertex operator algebra and its modules from [M2]:

Definition 2.1. *An integral form of a vertex operator algebra V is a vertex subring $V_{\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq V$ that is an integral form of V as a vector space and that is compatible with the conformal weight grading of V :*

$$V_{\mathbb{Z}} = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{(n)} \cap V_{\mathbb{Z}}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $V_{(n)}$ is the conformal weight space with $L(0)$ -eigenvalue n . An integral form in a V -module W is a $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -submodule $W_{\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq W$ that is an integral form of W as a vector space and

that is compatible with the conformal weight grading of W :

$$W_{\mathbb{Z}} = \coprod_{h \in \mathbb{C}} W_{(h)} \cap W_{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $W_{(h)}$ is the weight space with $L(0)$ -eigenvalue h .

Remark 2.2. We could equivalently define an integral form $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of V as the \mathbb{Z} -span of a basis for V which contains the vacuum $\mathbf{1}$, is closed under vertex algebra products, and is compatible with the conformal weight gradation. Similarly, we could define an integral form $W_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of a V -module W as the \mathbb{Z} -span of a basis for W which is preserved by vertex operators from $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and is compatible with the conformal weight gradation. Note that V may have more than one integral form, and the notion of integral form in a V -module W depends on which integral form of V we use.

Remark 2.3. The definition of an integral form $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of a vertex operator algebra V given in [DG] is somewhat different, since it replaces compatibility with the weight gradation with the requirement that $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ contain an integral multiple of the conformal vector ω . The examples studied in this paper will satisfy both conditions.

As in [M2] and [M3], we use generating sets to study integral forms in vertex operator algebras and modules. We recall that the vertex subalgebra of a vertex operator algebra V generated by a subset S is the smallest vertex subalgebra of V containing S , and similarly the submodule of a V -module W generated by a subset T is the smallest V -submodule of W containing T . These notions immediately carry over to vertex algebras over \mathbb{Z} and their modules. We will need the following proposition from [M2]:

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose V is a vertex algebra; for a subset S of V , denote by $\langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$ the vertex subring generated by S . Then $\langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the \mathbb{Z} -span of coefficients of products of the form*

$$Y(u_1, x_1) \dots Y(u_k, x_k) \mathbf{1} \quad (2.3)$$

where $u_1, \dots, u_k \in S$. Moreover, if W is a V -module, the $\langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -submodule generated by a subset T of W is the \mathbb{Z} -span of coefficients of products of the form

$$Y(u_1, x_1) \dots Y(u_k, x_k) w \quad (2.4)$$

where $u_1, \dots, u_k \in S$ and $w \in T$.

We will use the notion of the contragredient W' of a V -module W from [FHL]. If V has an integral form $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and W has an integral form $W_{\mathbb{Z}}$, then W' has an integral form as a vector space, the *graded \mathbb{Z} -dual*

$$W'_{\mathbb{Z}} = \{w' \in W' \mid \langle w', w \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } w \in W_{\mathbb{Z}}\}.$$

The following propositions from [M2] (see also Lemma 6.1, Lemma 6.2, and Remark 6.3 in [DG]) give sufficient conditions for $W'_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to be a $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -module:

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is preserved by $\frac{L(1)^n}{n!}$ for $n \geq 0$. Then $W'_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is preserved by the action of $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$.*

Proposition 2.6. *If $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is generated by vectors v such that $L(1)v = 0$, then $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is preserved by $\frac{L(1)^n}{n!}$ for $n \geq 0$.*

Sometimes a V -module W is equivalent as a V -module to its contragredient W' ; by Remark 5.3.3 in [FHL] this happens exactly when W has a nondegenerate bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) that is *invariant*:

$$(Y(v, x)w', w) = (w', Y(e^{xL(1)}(-x^{-2})^{L(0)}v, x^{-1})w)$$

for all $v \in V$, $w, w' \in W$. In the special case $W = V$, we know from [Li] that the space of (not necessarily nondegenerate) invariant bilinear forms is linearly isomorphic to $V_{(0)}/L(1)V_{(1)}$. If V is a simple vertex operator algebra, then any non-zero invariant bilinear form is necessarily nondegenerate.

We will use the notion of intertwining operator among modules for a vertex operator algebra from [FHL]. Suppose that V is a vertex operator algebra with integral form $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $W^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are V -modules with integral forms $W_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(i)}$. If \mathcal{Y} is an intertwining operator of type $\binom{W^{(3)}}{W^{(1)} W^{(2)}}$, recall from [M3] that we say \mathcal{Y} is *integral* with respect to the integral forms $W_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(i)}$ if

$$\mathcal{Y}(w_{(1)}, x)w_{(2)} \in W_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(3)}\{x\}$$

for all $w_{(1)} \in W_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(1)}$ and $w_{(2)} \in W_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(2)}$. We will need the following theorem from [M3], which states that to prove that an intertwining operator is integral, it is enough to check that it is integral on generators:

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose V is a vertex operator algebra with integral form $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $W^{(1)}, W^{(2)}$, and $W^{(3)}$ are V -modules with integral forms $W_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(1)}, W_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(2)}$, and $W_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(3)}$, respectively. Moreover, suppose $T^{(1)}$ and $T^{(2)}$ are generating sets for $W_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(1)}$ and $W_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(2)}$, respectively. If an intertwining operator \mathcal{Y} of type $\binom{W^{(3)}}{W^{(1)} W^{(2)}}$ satisfies*

$$\mathcal{Y}(t_{(1)}, x)t_{(2)} \in W_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(3)}\{x\}$$

for all $t_{(1)} \in T^{(1)}$, $t_{(2)} \in T^{(2)}$, then \mathcal{Y} is integral with respect to $W_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(1)}$, $W_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(2)}$, and $W_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(3)}$.

We conclude this section by recalling some results from [M2] on the conformal vector ω in an integral form. If $c \in \mathbb{C}$ is the central charge of a vertex operator algebra V with integral form $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$, we have:

Proposition 2.8. *If $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ contains $k\omega$ where $k \in \mathbb{C}$, then $k^2c \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.*

As a partial converse of Proposition 2.8 for vertex operator algebras generated by ω , we have

Proposition 2.9. *If V is a vertex operator algebra generated by the conformal vector ω , and ω is contained in a rational form of V , then V has an integral form generated by $k\omega$ if $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k^2c \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. In particular, ω generates an integral form of V if and only if $c \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.*

3 Tensor products of vertex operator algebras

We now recall from [FHL] the definition of the tensor product of vertex operator algebras $(U, Y_U, \mathbf{1}_U, \omega_U)$ and $(V, Y_V, \mathbf{1}_V, \omega_V)$. The tensor product vertex operator algebra is the vector space $U \otimes V$ with vertex operator given by

$$Y_{U \otimes V}(u_{(1)} \otimes v_{(1)}, x)(u_{(2)} \otimes v_{(2)}) = Y_U(u_{(1)}, x)u_{(2)} \otimes Y_V(v_{(1)}, x)v_{(2)}, \quad (3.1)$$

vacuum given by

$$\mathbf{1}_{U \otimes V} = \mathbf{1}_U \otimes \mathbf{1}_V$$

and conformal vector given by

$$\omega_{U \otimes V} = \omega_U \otimes \mathbf{1}_V + \mathbf{1}_U \otimes \omega_V.$$

The central charge of $U \otimes V$ is the sum of the central charges of U and V . If W_U is a U -module and W_V is a V -module, then $W_U \otimes W_V$ is a $U \otimes V$ -module with vertex operator analogous to (3.1):

$$Y_{W_U \otimes W_V}(u \otimes v, x)(w_U \otimes w_V) = Y_{W_U}(u, x)w_U \otimes Y_{W_V}(v, x)w_V,$$

We have the following result on generating sets for tensor product vertex operator algebras and modules:

Proposition 3.1. *If U is generated by S and V is generated by T , then $U \otimes V$ is generated by*

$$\{s \otimes \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \otimes t \mid s \in S, t \in T\}.$$

Moreover, if W_U is a U -module generated by Q and W_V is a V -module generated by R , then $W_U \otimes W_V$ is generated as a $U \otimes V$ -module by

$$\{q \otimes r \mid q \in Q, r \in R\}.$$

Proof. Since U is generated by S and V is generated by T , the subalgebra of $U \otimes V$ generated by the elements $s \otimes \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1} \otimes t$ contains $U \otimes \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1} \otimes V$. Then this subalgebra must contain all of $U \otimes V$ because for any $u \in U, v \in V$, it contains

$$\text{Res}_x x^{-1}Y(u \otimes \mathbf{1}, x)(\mathbf{1} \otimes v) = \text{Res}_x x^{-1}Y(u, x)\mathbf{1} \otimes v = u \otimes v.$$

Moreover, since Q generates W_U as a U -module, by applying vertex operators of the form $Y(u \otimes \mathbf{1}, x)$ for $u \in U$ to vectors of the form $q \otimes r$, we see that the $U \otimes V$ -submodule of $W_U \otimes W_V$ generated by the vectors $q \otimes r$ contains $W_U \otimes r$ for any $r \in R$. Then by applying vertex operators of the form $Y(\mathbf{1} \otimes v, x)$, we see that the submodule generated by the vectors $q \otimes r$ equals $W_U \otimes W_V$. \square

It is easy to see that if U and V are two vertex operator algebras with integral forms $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$, respectively, then $U_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is an integral form of $U \otimes V$. Moreover, if W_U is a U -module and W_V is a V -module with integral forms $(W_U)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $(W_V)_{\mathbb{Z}}$, respectively, then $(W_U)_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (W_V)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a $U_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -module. It is also clear that Proposition 3.1 applies to vertex algebras over \mathbb{Z} and their modules.

Remark 3.2. All the definitions and results in this section have obvious generalizations to tensor products of more than two algebras or modules.

4 Vertex operator algebras based on the Virasoro algebra

Now we recall the construction of vertex operator algebras based on the Virasoro algebra (see for instance [FZ] or [LL] Section 6.1 for more details). Recall the Virasoro Lie algebra

$$\mathcal{L} = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}L_n \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}$$

with \mathbf{c} central and all other commutation relations given by

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m - n)L_{m+n} + \frac{m^3 - m}{12} \delta_{m+n,0} \mathbf{c} \quad (4.1)$$

for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The Virasoro algebra has the decomposition into subalgebras

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ \oplus \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_-,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_\pm = \coprod_{n \in \mp \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{C}L_n$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}.$$

We also define the subalgebra

$$\mathcal{L}_{\leq 1} = \mathcal{L}_- \oplus \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}L_{-1}.$$

For any \mathcal{L} -module V , we use $L(n)$ to denote the action of L_n on V .

Now for any complex number ℓ , we have the one-dimensional $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 1}$ -module \mathbb{C}_ℓ on which \mathcal{L}_- , L_0 , and L_{-1} act trivially and on which \mathbf{c} acts as the scalar ℓ . Then we form the induced module

$$V(\ell, 0) = U(\mathcal{L}) \otimes_{U(\mathcal{L}_{\leq 1})} \mathbb{C}_\ell,$$

which is a vertex operator algebra with vacuum $\mathbf{1} = 1 \otimes 1$ and generated by its conformal vector $\omega = L(-2)\mathbf{1}$ with vertex operator

$$Y(L(-2)\mathbf{1}, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n)x^{-n-2}.$$

To construct irreducible $V(\ell, 0)$ -modules, we take a complex number h and consider the one-dimensional $\mathcal{L}_- \oplus \mathcal{L}_0$ -module $\mathbb{C}_{\ell, h}$ on which \mathcal{L}_- acts trivially, \mathbf{c} acts as the scalar ℓ and L_0 acts as the scalar h . Then we form the Verma module

$$M(\ell, h) = U(\mathcal{L}) \otimes_{U(\mathcal{L}_- \oplus \mathcal{L}_0)} \mathbb{C}_{\ell, h},$$

which is a $V(\ell, 0)$ -module. For any $h \in \mathbb{C}$, $M(\ell, h)$ has a unique irreducible quotient $L(\ell, h)$, and these modules $L(\ell, h)$ exhaust the irreducible $V(\ell, 0)$ -modules up to equivalence.

Note that $V(\ell, 0)$ itself is a quotient of $M(\ell, 0)$ by the submodule generated by $L(-1)\mathbf{1}$. It is often the case that $V(\ell, 0)$ is irreducible as a module for itself and is thus equal to $L(\ell, 0)$. In this case, the irreducible $L(\ell, 0)$ -modules consist of all $L(\ell, h)$. From [W], $V(\ell, 0)$ is reducible if and only if

$$\ell = c_{p,q} = 1 - \frac{6(p-q)^2}{pq}$$

where p and q are relatively prime integers greater than 1. In this case, the irreducible $L(c_{p,q}, 0)$ -modules are the modules $L(c_{p,q}, h_{m,n})$ where

$$h_{m,n} = \frac{(np - mq)^2 - (p - q)^2}{4pq}$$

for $0 < m < p$ and $0 < n < q$.

Taking $p = 3$ and $q = 4$, we obtain $c_{3,4} = \frac{1}{2}$, and $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ has the three irreducible modules $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$, $L(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, and $L(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$. Moreover, for any positive integer n , the vertex operator algebra $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ is simple, and all its irreducible modules are obtained as tensor products of irreducible modules for $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ ([FHL]).

We conclude this section by showing the existence of \mathbb{Q} -forms inside the irreducible \mathcal{L} -modules $L(\ell, h)$ for $\ell, h \in \mathbb{Q}$, using an argument analogous to the one used to obtain \mathbb{Z} -forms in irreducible modules for finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebras (Lemma 12 in [S]; see also Theorem 27.1 in [Hu]):

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose $\ell, h \in \mathbb{Q}$ and v_h spans the lowest conformal weight space of $L(\ell, h)$. Then $L(\ell, h)$ has a \mathbb{Q} -form $L(\ell, h)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ which is the \mathbb{Q} -span of vectors of the form*

$$L(-n_1) \cdots L(-n_k)v_h \tag{4.2}$$

where $n_i > 0$.

Proof. Let $U_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{L})$ denote the \mathbb{Q} -subalgebra of $U(\mathcal{L})$ spanned by monomials in the basis elements L_n for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and \mathbf{c} . Similarly, let $U_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{L}_{\pm})$ denote the \mathbb{Q} -subalgebras spanned by monomials in the basis elements $L_{\mp n}$ for $n > 0$, and let $U_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{L}_0)$ denote the \mathbb{Q} -subalgebra spanned by monomials in L_0 and \mathbf{c} . Since the Lie algebra structure constants for the basis $\{L_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \cup \{\mathbf{c}\}$ given by (4.1) are rational, choosing an appropriate order on the basis and the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem show that $U_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{L})$ is a \mathbb{Q} -form of the associative algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ and

$$U_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{L}) = U_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{L}_+)U_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{L}_0)U_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{L}_-).$$

Now we define the $U_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{L})$ -module

$$L(\ell, h)_{\mathbb{Q}} = U_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{L}) \cdot v_h = U_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{L}_+) \cdot v_h$$

where the second equality follows because $L(n)v_h = 0$ for $n > 0$, $L(0)v_h = hv_h \in \mathbb{Q}v_h$, and $\mathbf{c} \cdot v_h = \ell v_h \in \mathbb{Q}v_h$. Note that $L(\ell, h)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is thus the \mathbb{Q} -span of vectors of the form (4.2), which means that the \mathbb{C} -span of $L(\ell, h)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is all of $L(\ell, h)$. Note also from (4.2) that the intersection of $L(\ell, h)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with the lowest conformal weight space $\mathbb{C}v_h$ of $L(\ell, h)$ is $\mathbb{Q}v_h$ and that $L(\ell, h)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is graded by conformal weight. For any $w \in L(\ell, h)$, we use w_h to denote the component of w in the lowest conformal weight space of $L(\ell, h)$.

Since $L(\ell, h)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the \mathbb{Q} -span of a spanning set for $L(\ell, h)$ over \mathbb{C} , to show that $L(\ell, h)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -form of $L(\ell, h)$, we just need to show that any set of vectors in $L(\ell, h)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ that is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} is also linearly independent over \mathbb{C} . To prove this, suppose to the contrary that $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^k \subseteq L(\ell, h)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a set of (non-zero) vectors of minimal cardinality which are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} but linearly dependent over \mathbb{C} (note that $k \geq 2$). This means there is some dependence relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^k c_i w_i = 0$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$.

Now, there is some $y \in U_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{L}_-)$ such that $(y \cdot w_1)_h \neq 0$, because otherwise w_1 generates a proper \mathcal{L} -submodule of $L(\ell, h)$, contradicting the irreducibility of $L(\ell, h)$. Note that for $1 \leq i \leq k$, $(y \cdot w_i)_h = q_i v_h$ where $q_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $q_1 \neq 0$, since y preserves $L(\ell, h)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $L(\ell, h)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is graded by conformal weight, and $L(\ell, h)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathcal{C}v_h = \mathbb{Q}v_h$. Thus

$$0 = \left(y \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k c_i w_i \right)_h = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i (y \cdot w_i)_h = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i q_i \right) v_h,$$

or $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i q_i = 0$. Then

$$0 = q_1 \sum_{i=1}^k c_i w_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i q_i \right) w_1 = \sum_{i=1}^k (c_i q_1 w_i - c_i q_i w_1) = \sum_{i=2}^k c_i (q_1 w_i - q_i w_1).$$

Since $q_1 \neq 0$ and the vectors $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^k$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , the vectors $\{q_1 w_i - q_i w_1\}_{i=2}^k$ are also linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . But since they are also dependent over \mathbb{C} , this contradicts the minimality of $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^k$. \square

5 Integral forms in $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$

In this section we construct integral forms in tensor powers of the Virasoro vertex operator algebra $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ using generators. We start with the following basic result:

Proposition 5.1. *The vertex operator algebra $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ has an integral form generated by 2ω .*

Proof. Since $\omega = L(-2)\mathbf{1}$ is contained in the \mathbb{Q} -form $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ given by Proposition 4.1 and ω generates $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ as a vertex operator algebra, Proposition 2.9 immediately implies that $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ has an integral form generated by 2ω . \square

Now for any positive integer n , we consider $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$; for any i such that $1 \leq i \leq n$, we set

$$\omega^{(i)} = \mathbf{1}^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes \omega \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes(n-i)}.$$

Then as an immediate consequence of Propositions 3.1 and 5.1, we have

Corollary 5.2. *For any integer $n \geq 1$, $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ has an integral form generated by the vectors $2\omega^{(i)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Although the preceding corollary gives integral forms in $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ for any n , these forms do not contain ω . We would like to construct integral forms in $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ which do contain ω ; from Proposition 2.8, this will not be possible unless $n \in 4\mathbb{Z}$, since the central charge of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ is $\frac{n}{2}$. To facilitate the construction of integral forms containing ω in $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$, we recall the definition of a binary linear code (see for example [MS], but we use the notation of [FLM] Chapter 10).

Let Ω be an n -element set. Then the power set $P(\Omega)$ is a vector space over \mathbb{F}_2 , the field of two elements, where addition is given by symmetric difference: for $S, T \subseteq \Omega$,

$$S + T = (S \setminus (S \cap T)) \cup (T \setminus (S \cap T)).$$

A *binary linear code* \mathcal{C} is an \mathbb{F}_2 -subspace of $P(\Omega)$. One example of a binary linear code is the subspace

$$\mathcal{E}(\Omega) = \{S \subseteq \Omega \mid |S| \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$$

of subsets of Ω of even cardinality.

The \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space $P(\Omega)$ has a nondegenerate \mathbb{F}_2 -valued bilinear form given by

$$(S, T) \mapsto |S \cap T| + 2\mathbb{Z}$$

for $S, T \subseteq \Omega$. Given a code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq P(\Omega)$, the *dual code* \mathcal{C}^\perp is given by

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{S \subseteq \Omega \mid |S \cap T| \in 2\mathbb{Z} \text{ for any } T \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

A code \mathcal{C} is self-dual if $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\perp$. We say that a code \mathcal{C} is a Type II binary linear code if $|\Omega| \in 4\mathbb{Z}$, $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}$, and $|T| \in 4\mathbb{Z}$ for any $T \in \mathcal{C}$. Note that if $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}$, then \mathcal{C} is closed under complements.

We now take $n \geq 1$ and consider $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$, and we identify $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Consider a code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq P(\Omega)$ and for any $T \in \mathcal{C}$, we define $\omega_T \in L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ by

$$\omega_T = \sum_{i \notin T} \omega^{(i)} - \sum_{i \in T} \omega^{(i)}.$$

The main result of this section is:

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose $n \in 4\mathbb{Z}$, $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$, and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ is a binary linear code satisfying $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}$ and for any distinct $i, j \in \Omega$ there is some $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $i \in T_{ij}$ and $j \notin T_{ij}$. Then the vertex subring $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ generated by the vectors ω_T for $T \in \mathcal{C}$ is an integral form of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ containing ω .*

Proof. It is clear that $\omega \in L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ since $\omega = \omega_\emptyset$. Since the vectors $\omega^{(i)}$ are homogeneous of conformal weight 2, so are the vectors ω_T for $T \in \mathcal{C}$; then the standard fact that for homogeneous vectors u and v in a vertex operator algebra,

$$\text{wt } u_n v = \text{wt } u + \text{wt } v - n - 1 \tag{5.1}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, together with Proposition 2.4, implies that $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ is compatible with the conformal weight gradation of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ in the sense of (2.1).

We will show that the \mathbb{C} -span of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ equals $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ by showing that $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ contains non-zero multiples of the generators $\omega^{(i)}$ of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$. By compatibility, this will show that the intersection of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ with each weight space $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{(m)}^{\otimes n}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ spans $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{(m)}^{\otimes n}$. Then it will suffice to show that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n} \cap L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{(m)}^{\otimes n}$ is a finitely generated abelian group, that is, a lattice, and that this lattice has rank equal to the dimension of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{(m)}^{\otimes n}$.

In fact, such a lattice must have rank at least the dimension of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{(m)}^{\otimes n}$ because $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n} \cap L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{(m)}^{\otimes n}$ spans $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{(m)}^{\otimes n}$ over \mathbb{C} . But also $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n} \subseteq L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\otimes n}$, where $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the \mathbb{Q} -form of Proposition 4.1; this means that if $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n} \cap L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{(m)}^{\otimes n}$ is a lattice, its rank must be no more than the dimension of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{(m)}^{\otimes n}$: any set of vectors in $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n} \cap L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{(m)}^{\otimes n}$ which are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} are also linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , since a dependence relation over \mathbb{Q} reduces to a dependence relation over \mathbb{Z} by clearing denominators. Thus it will suffice to show that $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n} \cap L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{(m)}^{\otimes n}$ is a lattice in $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{(m)}^{\otimes n}$.

To show that $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ contains multiples of $\omega^{(i)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we claim that for any i ,

$$\frac{|\mathcal{C}|}{2} \omega^{(i)} = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{C}, i \notin T} \omega_T \in L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}.$$

To prove this claim, consider any $j \neq i$, and let \mathcal{C}_{ij} denote the one-dimensional code spanned by $\{i, j\}$. The dual code \mathcal{C}_{ij}^{\perp} has dimension $n-1$ because it contains 2^{n-2} sets containing both i and j and 2^{n-2} sets containing neither i nor j . Since $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{C}$ is not in \mathcal{C}_{ij}^{\perp} , $\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C}_{ij}^{\perp} = P(\Omega)$, so that

$$\dim \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}_{ij}^{\perp} = \dim \mathcal{C} + \dim \mathcal{C}_{ij}^{\perp} - \dim (\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C}_{ij}^{\perp}) = \dim \mathcal{C} - 1.$$

This means that half the sets in \mathcal{C} contain neither or both of i and j and half contain exactly one of i and j . Since $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}$, \mathcal{C} is closed under complements. This means that of the sets in \mathcal{C} which do not contain i , half contain j and half do not. By the definition of the vectors ω_T for $T \in \mathcal{C}$, this proves the claim.

Now to finish the proof of the theorem, we just need to show that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n} \cap L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{(m)}^{\otimes n}$ is the \mathbb{Z} -span of finitely many vectors. For i such that $1 \leq i \leq n$, we write

$$Y(\omega^{(i)}, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L^{(i)}(n) x^{-n-2},$$

so that for any i and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$L^{(i)}(n) = 1^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes L(n) \otimes 1^{\otimes(n-i)};$$

note that for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $[L^{(i)}(m), L^{(j)}(n)] = 0$ when $i \neq j$. Also for $T \in \mathcal{C}$, we write

$$Y(\omega_T, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_T(n) x^{-n-2}.$$

By Proposition 2.4, $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ is the \mathbb{Z} -span of vectors of the form

$$L_{T_1}(m_1) \cdots L_{T_k}(m_k) \mathbf{1} \tag{5.2}$$

for $T_i \in \mathcal{C}$ and $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We now compute the following commutators for $S, T \in \mathcal{C}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_S(m), L_T(n)] &= \left[\sum_{i \notin S} L^{(i)}(m) - \sum_{i \in S} L^{(i)}(m), \sum_{j \notin T} L^{(j)}(n) - \sum_{j \in T} L^{(j)}(n) \right] \\
&= \sum_{i \notin S+T} [L^{(i)}(m), L^{(i)}(n)] - \sum_{i \in S+T} [L^{(i)}(m), L^{(i)}(n)] \\
&= (m-n) \left(\sum_{i \notin S+T} L^{(i)}(m+n) - \sum_{i \in S+T} L^{(i)}(m+n) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{((n-|S+T|) - |S+T|)(m^3 - m)}{24} \delta_{m+n,0} \\
&= (m-n) L_{S+T}(m+n) + \frac{n-2|S+T|}{4} \binom{m+1}{3} \delta_{m+n,0}. \tag{5.3}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $n \in 4\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, and since $L_T(n)\mathbf{1} = 0$ for $n \geq -1$, we can use these commutator relations to straighten products of the form (5.2), so that $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ is the \mathbb{Z} -span of vectors of the form

$$L_{T_1}(-n_1) \cdots L_{T_k}(-n_k) \mathbf{1}$$

where $T_i \in \mathcal{C}$ and $n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_k > 1$. Since ω_T has conformal weight 2, $L_T(-n)$ raises conformal weight by n , using (5.1). Since also \mathcal{C} is finite, this shows that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ only finitely many vectors are required to span $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n} \cap L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{(m)}^{\otimes n}$, as desired. \square

Remark 5.4. Note that the generating set $\{\omega_T \mid T \in \mathcal{C}\}$ for $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ is redundant since if T^c is the complement of $T \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\omega_{T^c} = -\omega_T$.

Remark 5.5. The assumption that $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}$, although convenient for the proof of Theorem 5.3, is not necessary since Remark 5.4 shows that for any code \mathcal{C} , $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n} = L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C} + \mathbb{F}_2 \Omega}^{\otimes n}$.

We record two special cases of Theorem 5.3 in the following corollary:

Corollary 5.6. *If $n \in 4\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq P(\Omega)$ equals $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ or is a Type II self-dual code, then $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ is an integral form of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$.*

Proof. Clearly $\Omega \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ and Ω is in every Type II code by definition. Thus to apply Theorem 5.3 we just need to show that for any distinct $i, j \in \Omega$, there is some $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $i \in T_{ij}$ and $j \notin T_{ij}$. If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, then we can take $T_{ij} = \{i, k\}$ where k is distinct from i and j (such a k exists because $n \in 4\mathbb{Z}$).

If \mathcal{C} is a Type II self-dual code, suppose for some i and j the desired T_{ij} does not exist. Then since $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}$, \mathcal{C} is closed under complements and every set in \mathcal{C} contains both or neither of i and j . This means $\{i, j\} \in \mathcal{C}^\perp = \mathcal{C}$, which is a contradiction since $|T| \in 4\mathbb{Z}$ if T is a set in a Type II code. Hence the desired T_{ij} exists. \square

Example 5.7. We recall the smallest non-trivial Type II self-dual binary linear code, the Hamming code \mathcal{H} on a set Ω of 8 elements (see [MS] Chapter 1 or [FLM] Chapter 10). The

Hamming code can be described in several ways, but if we identify $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$, we can realize \mathcal{H} explicitly as the sets

$$\begin{aligned} & \emptyset, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 5, 6\}, \{1, 2, 7, 8\}, \\ & \{1, 3, 5, 7\}, \{2, 4, 5, 7\}, \{2, 3, 6, 7\}, \{2, 3, 5, 8\} \end{aligned}$$

and their complements.

6 Integral forms in modules for $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$

We start this section by showing the existence of integral forms in certain modules for $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ for $n \in 4\mathbb{Z}$. We use an integral form $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ where \mathcal{C} is a code satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.3. Recall that the irreducible $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ -modules are given by

$$W_H = L(\frac{1}{2}, h_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\frac{1}{2}, h_n)$$

where $H = (h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}\}^n$.

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose $n \in 4\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ is a code satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.3. Moreover, suppose $H = (h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}^n$ is such that $h_i = \frac{1}{2}$ for an even number of i . If $v_H = v_{h_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{h_n}$ where v_{h_i} spans the lowest conformal weight space of $L(\frac{1}{2}, h_i)$, then the $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ -module $W_{H, \mathcal{C}}$ generated by v_H is an integral form of W_H .*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, W_H is generated as an $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ -module by v_H , so the $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ -module generated by v_H spans W_H over \mathbb{C} . Also, $W_{H, \mathcal{C}}$ is compatible with the conformal weight grading of W_H because v_H is homogeneous of weight $h_1 + \dots + h_n$ and the generators ω_T for $T \in \mathcal{C}$ of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ are homogeneous of weight 2. Thus, as in the proof of Theorem 5.3, we just need to show that for any conformal weight $n \in \mathbb{C}$, $W_{H, \mathcal{C}} \cap (W_H)_{(n)}$ is spanned over \mathbb{Z} by finitely many vectors.

By Proposition 2.4 and the commutation relations (5.3), $W_{H, \mathcal{C}}$ is the \mathbb{Z} -span of products of the form

$$L_{T_1}(-n_1) \cdots L_{T_k}(-n_k) v_H \tag{6.1}$$

for $T_i \in \mathcal{C}$ and $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 0$, as in the proof of Theorem 5.3. Now suppose that $S \subseteq \Omega$ is the set such that $h_i = \frac{1}{2}$ for $i \in S$, so that by assumption $|S| \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Then for $T \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$L_T(0)v_H = \left(\frac{|S| - |S \cap T|}{2} - \frac{|S \cap T|}{2} \right) v_H = \left(\frac{|S|}{2} - |S \cap T| \right) v_H \in \mathbb{Z}v_H.$$

Thus $W_{H, \mathcal{C}}$ is in fact the \mathbb{Z} -span of products of the form (6.1) where now $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k > 0$, and we conclude that $W_{H, \mathcal{C}} \cap (W_H)_{(n)}$ is spanned over \mathbb{Z} by finitely many vectors for any $n \in \mathbb{C}$, just as in the proof of Theorem 5.3. \square

Remark 6.2. Note that in the statement of Proposition 6.1, the condition that $h_i = \frac{1}{2}$ for an even number of i is equivalent to the condition that $h_1 + \dots + h_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this case, all conformal weights of W_H are integers.

We see that if $H = (h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}\}^n$ is such that $h_i = \frac{1}{2}$ for an odd number of i or if $h_i = \frac{1}{16}$ for any i , the $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ -module generated by v_H will not usually be an integral form of W_H . This is because we will generally have $L_T(0)v_H \notin \mathbb{Z}v_h$ for $T \in \mathcal{C}$, even if \mathcal{C} satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.3. For the remainder of this section, we will concentrate on the case $n = 16$, since the lattice vertex operator algebra V_{E_8} based on the E_8 root lattice contains a subalgebra isomorphic to $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes 16}$ ([DMZ]); thus our work here suggests an alternate approach to obtaining integral structure in V_{E_8} , different from the approach to obtaining integral forms in lattice vertex operator algebras in [B], [P], [DG], and [M2]. Since the moonshine module vertex operator algebra V^{\natural} similarly contains a subalgebra isomorphic to $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes 48}$ ([DMZ]), the same ideas may lead to the construction of explicit integral structure in V^{\natural} .

The decomposition of V_{E_8} into submodules for $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes 16}$ from [DMZ] is as follows:

$$V_{E_8} = \coprod_{\substack{H=(h_1, \dots, h_{16}) \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}^{16} \\ h_1 + \dots + h_{16} \in \mathbb{Z}}} W_H \oplus 2^7 W_{(\frac{1}{16}, \dots, \frac{1}{16})}.$$

Remark 6.3. Actually, according to [DMZ], the multiplicity of $W_{(\frac{1}{16}, \dots, \frac{1}{16})}$ in V_{E_8} is 2^8 . However, this seems to be an error; there are $\binom{16}{2} = 120$ submodules W_H where $H = (h_1, \dots, h_{16}) \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}^{16}$ is such that $h_1 + \dots + h_{16} = 1$, and then $\binom{16}{2} + 2^7 = 248$ gives the correct dimension of $(V_{E_8})_{(1)}$.

Since we have already obtained integral structure in the modules W_H for which each $h_i \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ and $h_1 + \dots + h_{16} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we now find a code \mathcal{C}_{16} on a 16-element set such that $W_{(\frac{1}{16}, \dots, \frac{1}{16})}$ has an integral form generated as an $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}_{16}}^{\otimes 16}$ -module by $v_{(\frac{1}{16}, \dots, \frac{1}{16})}$.

To construct \mathcal{C}_{16} , we consider an 8-element set $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$ and an 8-element set $\Omega' = \{1', 2', \dots, 8'\}$. For any $T \subseteq \Omega$, we use T' to denote the corresponding set in Ω' . Suppose \mathcal{H} is a Hamming code in $P(\Omega)$. Then we define \mathcal{C}_{16} to be the code in $P(\Omega \cup \Omega')$ generated as an \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space by the sets $T \cup T'$ for $T \in \mathcal{H}$ and Ω' . Thus \mathcal{C}_{16} is the 5-dimensional code consisting of the 32 sets $T \cup T'$ and $T \cup (T')^c$ for $T \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proposition 6.4. *The binary linear code \mathcal{C}_{16} satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.3, and for any $T \in \mathcal{C}_{16}$, $|T| \in 8\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. We have $\Omega \cup \Omega' \in \mathcal{C}_{16}$ since $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$. We need to show that for any distinct $i, j \in \Omega \cup \Omega'$, there is some $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{C}_{16}$ such that $i \in T_{ij}$ but $j \notin T_{ij}$. Now for any $i \in \Omega$ and $j \neq i, i'$, there is some $T \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $i \in T$ but $j \notin T$ (if $j \in \Omega$) or $j \notin T'$ (if $j \in \Omega'$), using Corollary 5.6 since \mathcal{H} is a Type II self-dual code. In this case we can take $T_{ij} = T \cup T'$. If $j = i'$, then $i \in T$ for some $T \in \mathcal{H}$, and we can take $T_{ij} = T \cup (T')^c$. The proof if $i \in \Omega'$ is the same.

To prove the second assertion of the proposition, we note that for any $T \in \mathcal{H}$, $|T| \in 4\mathbb{Z}$. If $|T| = 0$, then $|T \cup T'| = 0$ and $|T \cup (T')^c| = 8$. If $|T| = 4$, then both $|T \cup T'| = 8$ and $|T \cup (T')^c| = 8$. If $|T| = 8$, then $|T \cup T'| = 16$ and $|T \cup (T')^c| = 8$. \square

Proposition 6.5. *Suppose $n = 16$ and $H = (\frac{1}{16}, \dots, \frac{1}{16})$. Then the $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}_{16}}^{\otimes 16}$ -submodule $W_{H, \mathcal{C}_{16}}$ of W_H generated by v_H is an integral form of W_H .*

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of Proposition 6.1, except that for $T \in \mathcal{C}_{16}$,

$$L_T(0)v_H = \left(\frac{16 - |T|}{16} - \frac{|T|}{16} \right) v_H = \frac{16 - 2|T|}{16} v_H \in \mathbb{Z}v_H$$

because $|T| \in 8\mathbb{Z}$ by Proposition 6.4. \square

7 Contragredients and integral intertwining operators

In this section, we fix $n \in 4\mathbb{Z}$ and a code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ on an n -element set Ω satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.3. We will consider the contragredients of and intertwining operators among $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ -modules.

We first observe that since $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$, and $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{(1)} = 0$, [Li] implies that $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ has a one-dimensional space of invariant bilinear forms (\cdot, \cdot) ; since in addition $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ is a simple vertex operator algebra, any non-zero such form is nondegenerate. In particular, $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ is self-contragredient. In fact, any irreducible $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ -module is self-contragredient, since the contragredient of an irreducible module is irreducible and the contragredient of a module has the same conformal weights as the module. (Note that the conformal weights of $L(\frac{1}{2}, h)$ lie in $h + \mathbb{N}$ for $h = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}$.)

For $h = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}$, we let $(\cdot, \cdot)_h$ denote the invariant bilinear form on $L(\frac{1}{2}, h)$ such that $(v_h, v_h) = 1$, where v_h is a lowest conformal weight vector generating $L(\frac{1}{2}, h)$ and $v_0 = \mathbf{1}$. We note that for any $n \geq 1$, irreducible modules for $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ are self-contragredient since for any $H = (h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}\}^n$, the module $W_H = L(\frac{1}{2}, h_1) \otimes \dots \otimes L(\frac{1}{2}, h_n)$ has a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$ determined by

$$(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n, w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n)_H = \prod_{i=1}^n (v_i, w_i)_{h_i},$$

where $v_i, w_i \in L(\frac{1}{2}, h_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Now take $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and suppose $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ is a code satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.3. Since $L(1)\omega_T = 0$ for any $T \in \mathcal{C}$, Propositions 2.5 and 2.6 immediately imply:

Proposition 7.1. *Graded \mathbb{Z} -duals of $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ -modules are $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ -modules.*

Moreover, if W_H is an irreducible $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ -module such that the $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ -module $W_{H, \mathcal{C}}$ generated by a lowest conformal weight vector v_H is an integral form of W_H , then we can use the form $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$ to identify $W'_{H, \mathcal{C}}$ with another, generally different, integral form of W_H .

We now consider intertwining operators among $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ -modules and state the main result of this section:

Theorem 7.2. *Suppose $n \in 4\mathbb{Z}$, $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$, and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ is a code satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.3. In addition, suppose $W_{H^{(i)}}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are irreducible $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ -modules such that the $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ -modules $W_{H^{(i)}, \mathcal{C}}$ generated by a lowest conformal weight vector $v_{H^{(i)}}$ are integral forms of $W_{H^{(i)}}$. Then an intertwining operator \mathcal{Y} of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_{H^{(3)}} \\ W_{H^{(1)}} W_{H^{(2)}} \end{pmatrix}$ is integral with respect to $W_{H^{(1)}, \mathcal{C}}$, $W_{H^{(2)}, \mathcal{C}}$, and $W'_{H^{(3)}, \mathcal{C}}$ if and only if the coefficient of $\mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)v_{H^{(2)}}$ in the lowest weight space of $W_{H^{(3)}}$ is in $\mathbb{Z}v_{H^{(3)}}$, where we identify $W_{H^{(3)}}$ with $W'_{H^{(3)}}$ using $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H^{(3)}}$.*

We first prove the following general lemma:

Lemma 7.3. *Suppose $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq P(\Omega)$ is a code. If \mathcal{Y} is an intertwining operator among $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ -modules $W_{H^{(i)}}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, then*

$$[L_T(m), \mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)] = x^m [L_T(0), \mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)] + mx^m \mathcal{Y}(L_T(0)v_{H^{(1)}}, x) \quad (7.1)$$

for $T \in \mathcal{C}$ and $m \geq 0$.

Proof. The coefficient of x_0^{-2} in the Jacobi identity for intertwining operators (see for example formula (5.4.4) in [FHL]) implies that for $T \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 - x_2)[Y(\omega_T, x_1), \mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x_2)] &= \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0 x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right) \mathcal{Y}(Y(\omega_T, x_0)v_{H^{(1)}}, x_2) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^i \left(x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)\right) \mathcal{Y}(L_T(i)v_{H^{(1)}}, x_2) \\ &= x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \mathcal{Y}(L_T(0)v_{H^{(1)}}, x_2), \end{aligned}$$

since $L_T(i)v_{H^{(1)}} = 0$ for $i > 0$. Now taking the coefficient of x_1^{-m-2} and replacing x_2 with x , we obtain

$$[L_T(m+1), \mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)] - x[L_T(m), \mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)] = x^{m+1} \mathcal{Y}(L_T(0)v_{H^{(1)}}, x). \quad (7.2)$$

We now prove (7.1) by induction on m . It is certainly true for $m = 0$, and assuming it is true for $m \geq 0$, we see from (7.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} [L_T(m+1), \mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)] &= x[L_T(m), \mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)] + x^{m+1} \mathcal{Y}(L_T(0)v_{H^{(1)}}, x) \\ &= x(x^m [L_T(0), \mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)] + mx^m \mathcal{Y}(L_T(0)v_{H^{(1)}}, x)) + x^{m+1} \mathcal{Y}(L_T(0)v_{H^{(1)}}, x) \\ &= x^{m+1} [L_T(0), \mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)] + (m+1)x^{m+1} \mathcal{Y}(L_T(0)v_{H^{(1)}}, x), \end{aligned}$$

proving the lemma. \square

Remark 7.4. Considering the coefficient of x_0^{-2} in the Jacobi identity for intertwining operators as in the proof of Lemma 7.1 is motivated by the cross-brackets of vertex operators discussed in [FLM] Section 8.9. If u and v are two vectors in a vertex operator algebra V , then the crossbracket of u and v is the collection of operators

$$[u \times_1 v]_{m,n} = [u_{m+1}, v_n] - [u_m, v_{n+1}]$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Cross-brackets are particularly natural to consider when u and v have conformal weight 2 and when V has no non-zero elements of conformal weight 1 or of weight less than 0. Then the coefficient of x_0^{-2} in the Jacobi identity for vertex operator algebras shows that

$$[u \times_1 v]_{m,n} = (u_1 v)_{m+n} + \frac{m(m-1)}{2} \delta_{m+n,1} u_3 v. \quad (7.3)$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this case, $(u, v) \rightarrow u_1 v$ defines a commutative product on $V_{(2)}$ and if $V_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$, then $(u, v) \rightarrow u_3 v$ defines a symmetric bilinear form on $V_{(2)}$. Note that (7.3) is analogous to the commutation relations in an affine Lie algebra.

We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 7.2:

Proof. Since we identify $W_{H^{(3)}}$ with $W'_{H^{(3)}}$ using $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H^{(3)}}$ and since $(v_{H^{(3)}}, v_{H^{(3)}})_{H^{(3)}} = 1$, it is clear that if \mathcal{Y} is integral with respect to $W_{H^{(1), \mathcal{C}}}$, $W_{H^{(2), \mathcal{C}}}$, and $W'_{H^{(3), \mathcal{C}}}$, then the coefficient of $\mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)v_{H^{(2)}}$ in the lowest weight space of $W_{H^{(3)}}$ must be in $\mathbb{Z}v_{H^{(3)}}$.

Conversely, suppose that the coefficient of $\mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)v_{H^{(2)}}$ in the lowest weight space of $W_{H^{(3)}}$ is in $\mathbb{Z}v_{H^{(3)}}$, that is,

$$(\mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)v_{H^{(2)}}, v_{H^{(3)}})_{H^{(3)}} \in \mathbb{Z}\{x\}. \quad (7.4)$$

By Theorem 2.7, it is enough to prove that

$$(\mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)v_{H^{(2)}}, w)_{H^{(3)}} \in \mathbb{Z}\{x\} \quad (7.5)$$

for any $w \in W_{H^{(3), \mathcal{C}}}$. To prove this, we use induction on the conformal weight of w (which is contained in $\sum_{i=1}^n h_i^{(3)} + \mathbb{N}$), the base case given by (7.4). Since we see from the proofs of Propositions 6.1 and 6.5 that $W_{H^{(3)}}$ is the \mathbb{Z} -span of vectors of the form

$$L_{T_1}(-m_1) \cdots L_{T_k}(-m_k)v_{H^{(3)}}$$

where $m_i > 0$, it is enough to show that if (7.5) holds for $w \in W_{H^{(3), \mathcal{C}}}$ of weight less than some fixed N , then it also holds for $L_T(-m)w$ for any $T \in \mathcal{C}$ and $m > 0$.

To prove this, we first observe that since

$$Y(e^{xL(1)}(-x^{-2})^{L(0)}\omega_T, x^{-1}) = x^{-4}Y(\omega_T, x^{-1}),$$

the operator $L_T(m)$ is adjoint to $L_T(-m)$ with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H^{(3)}}$ for any $T \in \mathcal{C}$. Then we use Lemma 7.3 and the fact that $L_T(m)v_{H^{(2)}} = 0$ for $m > 0$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)v_{H^{(2)}}, L_T(-m)w)_{H^{(3)}} &= (L_T(m)\mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)v_{H^{(2)}}, w)_{H^{(3)}} \\ &= ([L_T(m), \mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)]v_{H^{(2)}}, w)_{H^{(3)}} \\ &= (x^m[L_T(0), \mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)]v_{H^{(2)}} + mx^m\mathcal{Y}(L_T(0)v_{H^{(1)}}, x)v_{H^{(2)}}, w)_{H^{(3)}} \\ &= x^m(\mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)v_{H^{(2)}}, L_T(0)w)_{H^{(3)}} - x^m(\mathcal{Y}(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)L_T(0)v_{H^{(2)}}, w)_{H^{(3)}} \\ &\quad + mx^m(\mathcal{Y}(L_T(0)v_{H^{(1)}}, x)v_{H^{(2)}}, w)_{H^{(3)}} \in \mathbb{Z}\{x\} \end{aligned}$$

because $L_T(0)v_{H^{(i)}} \in \mathbb{Z}v_{H^{(i)}}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $L_T(0)w \in W_{H^{(3), \mathcal{C}}}$ has the same weight as w . This proves the theorem. \square

8 Application to framed vertex operator algebras

We recall that in [DGH], vertex operator algebras which contain a vertex operator subalgebra isomorphic to $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are called framed vertex operator algebras. We conclude this paper by suggesting a strategy for obtaining interesting integral forms in a framed vertex operator algebra V , when $n \in 4\mathbb{Z}$. From [W] and [DMZ], the $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes n}$ -module V is completely reducible, and has a finite decomposition $V = \coprod W_H$ for some $H = (h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}\}^n$. If $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ is a code satisfying the

conditions of Theorem 5.3, and if for any W_H appearing in the decomposition of V , the $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ -submodule $W_{H, \mathcal{C}}$ of W_H generated by a lowest conformal weight vector v_H is an integral form of W_H , then $V_{\mathcal{C}} = \coprod W_{H, \mathcal{C}}$ is an integral form of V as a vector space. Note that we can take $v_{(0, \dots, 0)} = \mathbf{1}$, so that $W_{(0, \dots, 0), \mathcal{C}} = L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$. The bilinear forms $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$ induce a bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) on V , showing that $V \cong V'$ as an $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ -module (note that (\cdot, \cdot) does not necessarily induce an isomorphism of V -modules). We use $V'_{\mathcal{C}}$ to denote the graded \mathbb{Z} -dual of $V_{\mathcal{C}}$ with respect to the form (\cdot, \cdot) .

We observe that if $W_{H^{(i)}}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are three submodules appearing in the decomposition of V , then the vertex operator Y on V restricted to $W_{H^{(1)}} \otimes W_{H^{(2)}}$ and projected onto $W_{H^{(3)}}$ is an intertwining operator of type $(\begin{smallmatrix} W_{H^{(3)}} \\ W_{H^{(1)}} W_{H^{(2)}} \end{smallmatrix})$. Then Theorem 7.2 implies

Theorem 8.1. *Suppose that for any triple of submodules $W_{H^{(i)}}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ appearing in the decomposition of V as an $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ -module, the lowest weight vectors $v_{H^{(i)}}$ generating the $W_{H^{(i), \mathcal{C}}}$ as $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}$ -modules satisfy*

$$(Y(v_{H^{(1)}}, x)v_{H^{(2)}}, v_{H^{(3)}}) \in \mathbb{Z}\{x\}.$$

Then for any $u, v \in V_{\mathcal{C}}$,

$$Y(u, x)v \in V'_{\mathcal{C}}[[x, x^{-1}]]. \quad (8.1)$$

This theorem does not quite imply that $V_{\mathcal{C}}$ is an integral form of V because we cannot assume $V_{\mathcal{C}} = V'_{\mathcal{C}}$. It is not clear in general when Y induces intertwining operators which are integral with respect to $W_{H^{(1), \mathcal{C}}}$, $W_{H^{(2), \mathcal{C}}}$, and $W_{H^{(3), \mathcal{C}}}$ for any triple of submodules $W_{H^{(i)}}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, appearing in the decomposition of V . We end with an example that illustrates the issues involved:

Example 8.2. Let Q be the $A_1 \times A_1$ root lattice: $Q = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 + \mathbb{Z}\alpha_2$ where $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = 2\delta_{ij}$. Consider the lattice vertex operator algebra V_Q (see [FLM] or [LL] for the construction of lattice vertex operator algebras and for the notation used below). For any $\alpha \in Q$, we define

$$\iota(e_{\alpha})^{\pm} = \iota(e_{\alpha}) \pm \iota(e_{-\alpha}).$$

The proof of Theorem 6.3 in [DMZ] shows that V_Q contains a vertex operator subalgebra isomorphic to $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes 4}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{16}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(-1)^2 \mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{4}\iota(e_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2})^+, \\ \omega^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{16}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(-1)^2 \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{4}\iota(e_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2})^+, \\ \omega^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{16}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(-1)^2 \mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{4}\iota(e_{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2})^+, \\ \omega^{(4)} &= \frac{1}{16}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(-1)^2 \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{4}\iota(e_{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2})^+. \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to check that the decomposition of V_Q into $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\otimes 4}$ -modules is given by

$$V_Q = \coprod_{\substack{H=(h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4) \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}^4 \\ h_1 + h_2 + h_3 + h_4 \in \mathbb{Z}}} W_H,$$

where the lowest conformal weight vectors generating the submodules in the decomposition can be taken to be

$$\mathbf{1}, \frac{\iota(e_{\alpha_1})^+ \pm \iota(e_{\alpha_2})^+}{2}, \frac{\iota(e_{\alpha_1})^- \pm \iota(e_{\alpha_2})^-}{2}, \frac{(\alpha_1 \pm \alpha_2)(-1)}{2} \mathbf{1}, \frac{\alpha_1(-1)^2 - \alpha_2(-1)^2}{4} \mathbf{1}.$$

Setting $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, we take these vectors as the vectors v_H generating $V_{Q, \mathcal{E}(\Omega)}$ as an $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_{\mathcal{E}(\Omega)}^{\otimes 4}$ -module. It is straightforward albeit tedious to calculate each $(Y(v_{H(1)}, x)v_{H(2)}, v_{H(3)})$, so we can show that Y for V_Q satisfies (8.1).

However, it is not generally the case here that $W_{H, \mathcal{E}(\Omega)} = W'_{H, \mathcal{E}(\Omega)}$. For example, consider $H = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$. Then the weight 2 subspace of W_H is the \mathbb{Z} -span of the vectors $L_T(-1)v_H$ for $T \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, which is the integral span of the vectors $(L^{(1)}(-1) \pm L^{(2)}(-1))v_H$. But

$$\begin{aligned} & ((L^{(1)}(-1) \pm L^{(2)}(-1))v_H, (L^{(1)}(-1) \pm L^{(2)}(-1))v_H)_H \\ &= (v_H, (L^{(1)}(1) \pm L^{(2)}(1))(L^{(1)}(-1) \pm L^{(2)}(-1))v_H)_H \\ &= (v_H, 2(L^{(1)}(0) + L^{(2)}(0))v_H)_H = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$((L^{(1)}(-1) \pm L^{(2)}(-1))v_H, (L^{(1)}(-1) \mp L^{(2)}(-1))v_H)_H = 0,$$

so that the weight 2 subspace of $W'_{H, \mathcal{E}(\Omega)}$ is the integral span of the two vectors $\frac{1}{2}(L^{(1)}(-1) \pm L^{(2)}(-1))v_H$, showing that $(W'_{H, \mathcal{E}(\Omega)})_{(2)} \supsetneq (W_{H, \mathcal{E}(\Omega)})_{(2)}$.

References

- [B] R. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.
- [DG] C. Dong and R. Griess, Integral forms in vertex operator algebras which are invariant under finite groups, *J. Algebra* **365** (2012), 184-198.
- [DGH] C. Dong, R. Griess, and G. Höhn, Framed vertex operator algebras, codes, and the Moonshine module, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **193** (1998), 407-448.
- [DMZ] C. Dong, G. Mason, and Y. Zhu, Discrete series of the Virasoro algebra and the moonshine module, in: *Algebraic Groups and Their Generalizations: Quantum and Infinite-dimensional Methods, Proc. 1991 American Math. Soc. Summer Research Institute*, ed. by W. J. Haboush and B. J. Parshall, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **56**, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1994, 295-316.
- [DR1] C. Dong and L. Ren, Vertex operator algebras associated to the Virasoro algebra over an arbitrary field, arXiv:1308.0087.
- [DR2] C. Dong and L. Ren, Representations of vertex operator algebras over an arbitrary field, *J. Algebra* **403** (2014), 497-516.
- [FHL] I. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **104**, 1993.

- [FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [FZ] I. Frenkel and Y.-C. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 1-60.
- [GL] R. Griess and C.-H. Lam, Applications of vertex algebra covering procedures to Chevalley groups and modular moonshine, arXiv:1308.2270.
- [HL] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, Tensor categories and the mathematics of rational and logarithmic conformal field theory, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46** 494009 (2013).
- [Hu] J. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 9, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [LL] J. Lepowsky and H.-S. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Progress in Math., Vol. 227, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.
- [Li] H.-S. Li, Symmetric invariant bilinear forms on vertex operator algebras, *J. Pure Applied Algebra* **96** (1994), 279-297.
- [M1] R. McRae, *Integral Forms for Certain Classes of Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Modules*, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, 2014.
- [M2] R. McRae, On integral forms for vertex algebras associated with affine Lie algebras and lattices, *J. Pure Applied Algebra* (2014), DOI: 10.1016/j.jpaa.2014.06.003.
- [M3] R. McRae, Intertwining operators among modules for affine Lie algebra and lattice vertex operator algebras which respect integral forms, arXiv:1410.5149.
- [MS] F. MacWilliams and N. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, North-Holland, New York, 1977.
- [P] S. Prevost, Vertex algebras and integral bases for the enveloping algebras of affine Lie algebras, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **466**, 1992.
- [S] R. Steinberg, Lectures on Chevalley Groups, Yale University mimeographed notes, 1967.
- [W] W.-Q. Wang, Rationality of Virasoro vertex operator algebras, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* (in *Duke Math. J.*) **7** (1993), 197-211.

BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, CHINA 100084
E-mail address: robertmacrae@math.pku.edu.cn