

ON QUOTIENT MODULES OF $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$: ESSENTIAL NORMALITY AND BOUNDARY REPRESENTATIONS

B. KRISHNA DAS, SUSHIL GORAI, AND JAYDEB SARKAR

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbb{D}^n be the open unit polydisc in \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 1$, and let $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ be the Hardy space over \mathbb{D}^n . For $n \geq 3$, we show that if $\theta \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is an inner function, then the n -tuple of commuting operators $(C_{z_1}, \dots, C_{z_n})$ on the Beurling type quotient module \mathcal{Q}_θ is not essentially normal, where

$$\mathcal{Q}_\theta = H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)/\theta H^2(\mathbb{D}^n) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{z_j} = P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} M_{z_j}|_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n).$$

Rudin's quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ are also shown to be not essentially normal. We obtain a complete characterization for essential normality of doubly commuting quotient modules of an analytic Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$. Finally, we prove several results concerning boundary representations of C^* -algebras corresponding to different classes of quotient modules including doubly commuting quotient modules, and homogenous quotient modules.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, $n \geq 1$, denote the Hardy space of holomorphic functions on the unit polydisc $\mathbb{D}^n = \{\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_i| \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, n\}$, that is,

$$H^2(\mathbb{D}^n) = \left\{ f = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^n) : \|f\|^2 := \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^n} |a_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

where \mathbb{N} is the set of all natural numbers including 0, $\mathbb{N}^n = \{\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n) : k_j \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ and $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}} := z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_n^{k_n}$. It is well known that $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space corresponding to the Szegő kernel

$$\mathbb{S}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - z_i \bar{w}_i)^{-1}, \quad (\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{D}^n)$$

and $(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$ is a commuting tuple of isometries on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, where

$$(M_{z_i} f)(\mathbf{w}) = w_i f(\mathbf{w}) \quad (f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^n), \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{D}^n, i = 1, \dots, n).$$

We represent the n -tuple of multiplication operators $(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$ on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ as a Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}] := \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ in the following sense:

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}] \times H^2(\mathbb{D}^n) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D}^n), \quad (p, f) \mapsto p(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})f.$$

With the above module action $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is called the Hardy module over $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}]$. A closed subspace \mathcal{S} of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is called a *submodule* if $M_{z_i} \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, and a closed

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A13, 47A20, 47L25, 47L40, 46L05, 46L06.

Key words and phrases. Hardy space, reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, quotient modules, essential normality, boundary representation.

subspace \mathcal{Q} of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is a *quotient module* if $\mathcal{Q}^\perp (\cong H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)/\mathcal{Q})$ is a submodule. A quotient module \mathcal{Q} is said to be of *Beurling type* if

$$\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_\theta := H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)/\theta H^2(\mathbb{D}^n) \cong H^2(\mathbb{D}^n) \ominus \theta H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$$

for some inner function $\theta \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$ (that is, θ is a bounded analytic function on \mathbb{D}^n and $|\theta| = 1$ a.e. on the distinguished boundary \mathbb{T}^n of \mathbb{D}^n). We use the notation \mathcal{S}_θ to denote the submodule $\theta H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$.

A quotient module \mathcal{Q} of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is *essentially normal* if the commutator $[C_{z_i}^\mathcal{Q}, C_{z_j}^{\mathcal{Q}*}]$ is compact for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, where

$$C_{z_i}^\mathcal{Q} := P_\mathcal{Q} M_{z_i}|_\mathcal{Q} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

is the compression of the shift M_{z_i} to \mathcal{Q} . We use the notation C_{z_i} instead of $C_{z_i}^\mathcal{Q}$ when the quotient module \mathcal{Q} is understood from the context. Essential normality of Hilbert modules is a much studied object in operator theory. It establishes important connections between operator theory, algebraic geometry, homology theory and complex analysis through the BDF theory [3]. An incomplete list of references on the study of essential normality of quotient modules over bidisc is: [6], [7], [10], [11], [12] and [20].

It is well known that any proper quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is of Beurling-type and essentially normal (see [16] for more details). This, however, does not hold in general:

- (1) Let $n \geq 2$. Then \mathcal{Q} is a Beurling type quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ if and only if \mathcal{Q}^\perp is a doubly commuting submodule (see [19]).
- (2) A Beurling type quotient module $\mathcal{Q}_\theta \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is essentially normal if and only if θ is a rational inner function of degree at most $(1, 1)$ (see [11]).

This paper is concerned with the essential normality of certain classes of quotient modules including Beurling-type quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, $n \geq 3$. An added benefit of this consideration is the study of boundary representations, in the sense of Arveson ([1], [2]), of C^* -algebras generated by $\{I_\mathcal{Q}, C_{z_1}, \dots, C_{z_n}\}$.

We now recall the notion of boundary representation. Let A be an operator algebra with identity, and let $C^*(A)$ be the C^* -algebra generated by A . An irreducible representation ω of $C^*(A)$ is a *boundary representation* relative to A if $\omega|_A$ has a unique completely positive (CP) extension to $C^*(A)$. An operator algebra A has sufficiently many boundary representations if

$$\bigcap_{\omega \in \text{bdy}(A)} \ker \omega = \{0\},$$

where $\text{bdy}(A)$ denotes the set of all boundary representations of $C^*(A)$ relative to A . It is worth mentioning here that, by a recent work of Davidson and Kennedy ([9]), the Silov boundary ideal of A (in the sense of Arveson [1]) is $\bigcap_{\omega \in \text{bdy}(A)} \ker \omega$. Arveson showed that if A has sufficiently many boundary representations then certain isometric linear maps of A are implemented by $*$ -isomorphisms on $C^*(A)$ (see [2, Theorem 0.3]). Therefore, it is important to identify operator algebras with sufficiently many boundary representations. The following result provides us a class of operator algebras for which we know precisely when it has sufficiently many boundary representations.

THEOREM 1.1 ([2]). *Let A be an irreducible operator algebra with identity, and let $C^*(A)$ contain all the compact operators. Then A has sufficiently many boundary representations if and only if the identity representation of $C^*(A)$ is a boundary representation relative to A .*

Now if \mathcal{Q} is an essentially normal quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, then it is easy to see that the C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{Q})$ — generated by the irreducible operator algebra $B(\mathcal{Q})$ — contains all the compact operators on \mathcal{Q} . Here

$$B(\mathcal{Q}) := B(C_{z_1}, \dots, C_{z_n})$$

and

$$C^*(\mathcal{Q}) := C^*(C_{z_1}, \dots, C_{z_n})$$

are the Banach algebra and the C^* -algebra generated by $\{I_{\mathcal{Q}}, C_{z_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ respectively. Therefore, by Theorem 1.1, it is of interest to determine whether the identity representation of $C^*(\mathcal{Q})$ is a boundary representation relative to $B(\mathcal{Q})$. This problem has a complete solution for the case $n = 1$ ([1],[2]):

THEOREM 1.2 (Arveson). *Let \mathcal{Q}_θ be a quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Then the identity representation of $C^*(\mathcal{Q}_\theta)$ is a boundary representation relative to $B(\mathcal{Q}_\theta)$ if and only if Z_θ is a proper subset of \mathbb{T} , where Z_θ consists of all points λ on \mathbb{T} for which θ cannot be continued analytically from \mathbb{D} to λ .*

For the class of essentially normal Beurling type quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, the following characterization was obtained in [11].

THEOREM 1.3 (Guo & Wang). *Let $\theta \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^2)$ be a rational inner function of degree at most $(1, 1)$, and \mathcal{Q}_θ be the corresponding essentially normal quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Then the identity representation of $C^*(\mathcal{Q}_\theta)$ is a boundary representation relative to $B(\mathcal{Q}_\theta)$ if and only if θ is not a one variable Blaschke factor.*

In this paper, we study similar problems of essential normality and boundary representations for several classes of quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, $n \geq 2$. Namely, we prove that the Beurling type quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ ($n \geq 3$) and Rudin quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ are not essentially normal. We also obtain a complete characterization for essential normality of doubly commuting quotient modules of an analytic Hilbert module (defined in Section 2) over $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}]$ including $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and the weighted Bergman modules $L_{a,\alpha}^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\alpha_i > -1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$) as special cases ($n \geq 2$). We discuss these results in Section 3. In Section 4, we study boundary representations for doubly commuting quotient modules of an analytic Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}]$, and obtain some direct results for the case of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $L_a^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ ($n \geq 2$) (see Theorems 4.4 and 4.5). The final section is devoted to the study of boundary representations of homogeneous quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

2. PREPARATORY RESULTS

In this section we recall some definitions, and prove some elementary results which will be used later. For each $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{D}^n$, the normalized kernel function $K_{\mathbf{w}}$ of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is defined by

$$K_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{z}) := \frac{1}{\|\mathbb{S}(\cdot, \mathbf{w})\|} \mathbb{S}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sqrt{(1 - |w_i|^2)} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \bar{w}_i z_i} \right), \quad (\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{D}^n)$$

where $\mathbb{S}(\cdot, \mathbf{w})(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbb{S}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})$ for all $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{D}^n$.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ be a fixed integer, and let $\mathbf{w}_l = (w_1, \dots, w_{l-1}, w_{l+1}, \dots, w_n)$ be a fixed point in \mathbb{D}^{n-1} . Then $K_{(\mathbf{w}_l, w)}$ converges weakly to 0 as w approaches to $\partial\mathbb{D}$, where $(\mathbf{w}_l, w) = (w_1, \dots, w_{l-1}, w, w_{l+1}, \dots, w_n)$.*

Proof. For each $p \in \mathbb{C}[z]$,

$$(2.1) \quad \langle K_{(\mathbf{w}_l, w)}, p \rangle = \overline{p(\mathbf{w}_l, w)} \sqrt{1 - |w|^2} \prod_{i=1, i \neq l}^n \sqrt{1 - |w_i|^2},$$

which converges to zero as w approaches to $\partial\mathbb{D}$. For an arbitrary $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, the result now follows from the fact that $\|K_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\| = 1$ for all $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{D}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}[z]$ is dense in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$. \square

For a closed subspace \mathcal{S} of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{S} is denoted by $P_{\mathcal{S}}$. For an inner function $\theta \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$, it is well known that

$$P_{\mathcal{S}_\theta} = M_\theta M_\theta^* \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} = I_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)} - M_\theta M_\theta^*,$$

where M_θ is the multiplication operator defined by $M_\theta f = \theta f$, $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$. It follows from the reproducing property of the Szegő kernel that

$$M_\theta^* K(\cdot, \mathbf{w}) = \overline{\theta(\mathbf{w})} K(\cdot, \mathbf{w}),$$

where $K(\cdot, \mathbf{w}) := K_{\mathbf{w}}$, $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{D}^n$. In particular, one has

$$P_{\mathcal{S}_\theta}(K_{\mathbf{w}}) = M_\theta M_\theta^* K_{\mathbf{w}} = \overline{\theta(\mathbf{w})} \theta K_{\mathbf{w}} \quad (\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{D}^n).$$

These observations yield the next lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let θ be an inner function in $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Then*

$$(2.2) \quad P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}(K_{\mathbf{w}}) = (1 - \overline{\theta(\mathbf{w})} \theta) K_{\mathbf{w}} \quad (\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{D}^n).$$

We now recall the definition of an analytic Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}[z]$ (see [4]). Let $k : \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a positive definite function such that $k(z, w)$ is analytic in z and anti-analytic in w . Let $\mathcal{H}_k \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C})$ be the corresponding reproducing kernel Hilbert space. The Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_k is said to be *reproducing kernel Hilbert module* over $\mathbb{C}[z]$ if the multiplication operator M_z is bounded on \mathcal{H}_k .

DEFINITION 2.3. A reproducing kernel Hilbert module \mathcal{H}_k over $\mathbb{C}[z]$ is said to be an analytic Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}[z]$ if $k^{-1}(z, w)$ is a polynomial in z and \bar{w} .

Typical examples of analytic Hilbert modules are the Hardy module $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and the weighted Bergman modules $L_{\alpha, \alpha}^2(\mathbb{D})$ ($\alpha > -1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$). It is known that a quotient module of an analytic Hilbert module is irreducible, that is, C_z does not have any non-trivial reducing subspace (see Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 in [4]). Using this, we obtain the next lemma.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let \mathcal{Q} be a non-zero quotient module of an analytic Hilbert module \mathcal{H} over $\mathbb{C}[z]$. Then $[C_z, C_z^*] = 0$ if and only if \mathcal{Q} is one dimensional.*

Proof. First note that for any non-zero quotient module \mathcal{Q} of \mathcal{H} , the C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{Q})$ is irreducible. If C_z is normal, then $C^*(\mathcal{Q}) \subseteq C^*(\mathcal{Q})' = \mathbb{C}I$. Thus $C^*(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathbb{C}I$, and therefore, \mathcal{Q} is one dimensional. The converse part is trivial, and the proof follows. \square

Let $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be positive definite functions on \mathbb{D} . Then $\mathcal{H}_K := \mathcal{H}_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{k_n}$ is said to be an *analytic Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}[z]$* if \mathcal{H}_{k_i} is an analytic Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}[z]$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. In this case, $\mathcal{H}_K \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathbb{C})$ and $K(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \prod_{i=1}^n k_i(z_i, w_i)$ is the reproducing kernel function of \mathcal{H}_K (see [4]).

In the sequel, we will often identify M_{z_i} on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ with $I_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underbrace{M_z}_{i\text{-th place}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, on $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \cdots \otimes H^2(\mathbb{D})$, the n -fold Hilbert space tensor product of the Hardy module.

We end this section with a result on essential normality of a Beurling type quotient module \mathcal{Q}_θ , where θ is a one variable inner function.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $\theta \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$ be a one variable inner function and $n \geq 3$. Then \mathcal{Q}_θ is not essentially normal.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\theta(\mathbf{z}) = \theta'(z_1)$ for some inner function $\theta' \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Then it follows that $\mathcal{S}_\theta = \mathcal{S}_{\theta'} \otimes H^2(\mathbb{D}^{n-1})$ and

$$\mathcal{Q}_\theta = H^2(\mathbb{D}^n) \ominus \theta H^2(\mathbb{D}^n) = \mathcal{Q}_{\theta'} \otimes H^2(\mathbb{D}^{n-1}).$$

Now we compute the self commutator of C_{z_2} :

$$\begin{aligned} [C_{z_2}, C_{z_2}^*] &= P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} M_{z_2} M_{z_2}^* |_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} - P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} M_{z_2}^* P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} M_{z_2} |_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} \\ &= P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} M_{z_2} M_{z_2}^* |_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} - I_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} + P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} M_{z_2}^* P_{\mathcal{S}_\theta} M_{z_2} |_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact

$$P_{\mathcal{S}_\theta} M_{z_2} |_{\mathcal{Q}_{\theta'} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes H^2(\mathbb{D}^{n-2})} = (P_{\mathcal{S}_{\theta'}} \otimes I_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \otimes I_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^{n-2})}) M_{z_2} |_{\mathcal{Q}_{\theta'} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes H^2(\mathbb{D}^{n-2})} = 0,$$

and

$$M_{z_2}^* |_{\mathcal{Q}_{\theta'} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes H^2(\mathbb{D}^{n-2})} = 0,$$

we conclude that

$$[C_{z_2}, C_{z_2}^*] |_{\mathcal{Q}_{\theta'} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes H^2(\mathbb{D}^{n-2})} = -I_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} |_{\mathcal{Q}_{\theta'} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes H^2(\mathbb{D}^{n-2})} = -I_{\mathcal{Q}_{\theta'} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes H^2(\mathbb{D}^{n-2})}.$$

Since $n \geq 3$, $[C_{z_2}, C_{z_2}^*] |_{\mathcal{Q}_{\theta'} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes H^2(\mathbb{D}^{n-2})}$ is not compact, and hence the commutator $[C_{z_2}, C_{z_2}^*]$ is not compact. This completes the proof. \square

3. ESSENTIAL NORMALITY

Our purpose in this section is to prove a list of results concerning essential normality for certain classes of quotient modules. We begin with the class of Beurling type quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, $n \geq 3$.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let θ be an inner function in $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $n \geq 3$. Then \mathcal{Q}_θ is not essentially normal.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, we may assume without loss of generality that θ depends on both z_1 and z_2 variables. We now show that $[C_{z_1}, C_{z_2}^*]$ is not compact. To see this, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} [C_{z_1}, C_{z_2}^*] &= P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} M_{z_1} M_{z_2}^* |_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} - P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} M_{z_2}^* P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} M_{z_1} |_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} = P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} M_{z_2}^* P_{\mathcal{S}_\theta} M_{z_1} |_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} \\ &= P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} M_{z_2}^* P_{\mathcal{S}_\theta \ominus (z_1 \mathcal{S}_\theta + z_2 \mathcal{S}_\theta)} M_{z_1} |_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} + P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} M_{z_2}^* P_{z_1 \mathcal{S}_\theta + z_2 \mathcal{S}_\theta} M_{z_1} |_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Since M_{z_1} and M_{z_2} are isometries, we have

$$P_{z_1 \mathcal{S}_\theta} M_{z_1} P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} M_{z_2}^* P_{z_2 \mathcal{S}_\theta} = 0.$$

This implies

$$P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} M_{z_2}^* P_{z_1 \mathcal{S}_\theta + z_2 \mathcal{S}_\theta} M_{z_1} |_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} = 0,$$

and

$$[C_{z_1}, C_{z_2}^*] = P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} M_{z_2}^* P_{\mathcal{S}_\theta \ominus (z_1 \mathcal{S}_\theta + z_2 \mathcal{S}_\theta)} M_{z_1} |_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}.$$

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{S}_\theta = \theta H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, we must have

$$\mathcal{S}_\theta \ominus (z_1 \mathcal{S}_\theta + z_2 \mathcal{S}_\theta) = \theta(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes H^2(\mathbb{D}^{n-2})),$$

and therefore, $M_{z_2}^*(\mathcal{S}_\theta \ominus (z_1 \mathcal{S}_\theta + z_2 \mathcal{S}_\theta)) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_\theta$. Consequently,

$$[C_{z_1}, C_{z_2}^*] = M_{z_2}^* P_{\mathcal{S}_\theta \ominus (z_1 \mathcal{S}_\theta + z_2 \mathcal{S}_\theta)} M_{z_1} |_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}.$$

By Lemma 2.1, it is enough to show that $\langle [C_{z_1}, C_{z_2}^*] K_{\mathbf{w}}, K_{\mathbf{w}} \rangle$ does not approach to 0 as w_j approaches to $\partial\mathbb{D}$ for some fixed $3 \leq j \leq n$, and keeping all other co-ordinates of $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_{j-1}, w_j, w_{j+1}, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{D}^n$ fixed. To this end, let $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{D}^n$. Since $\{\theta z_3^{m_3} \dots z_n^{m_n} : m_3, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{S}_\theta \ominus (z_1 \mathcal{S}_\theta + z_2 \mathcal{S}_\theta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathcal{S}_\theta \ominus (z_1 \mathcal{S}_\theta + z_2 \mathcal{S}_\theta)}(z_2 K_{\mathbf{w}}) &= \sum_{m_3, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle z_2 K_{\mathbf{w}}, \theta z_3^{m_3} \dots z_n^{m_n} \rangle \theta z_3^{m_3} \dots z_n^{m_n} \\ &= \sum_{m_3, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle K_{\mathbf{w}}, z_3^{m_3} \dots z_n^{m_n} (M_{z_2}^* \theta) \rangle \theta z_3^{m_3} \dots z_n^{m_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{\|\mathbb{S}(\cdot, \mathbf{w})\|} \theta \sum_{m_3, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}} (\overline{w_3} z_3)^{m_3} \dots (\overline{w_n} z_n)^{m_n} \overline{M_{z_2}^* \theta(\mathbf{w})} \\ &= \overline{M_{z_2}^* \theta(\mathbf{w})} \prod_{j=1}^2 (1 - |w_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\prod_{i=3}^n K_{w_i} \right) \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [C_{z_1}, C_{z_2}^*] K_{\mathbf{w}}, K_{\mathbf{w}} \rangle &= \langle M_{z_2}^* P_{\mathcal{S}_\theta \ominus (z_1 \mathcal{S}_\theta + z_2 \mathcal{S}_\theta)} M_{z_1} P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} K_{\mathbf{w}}, K_{\mathbf{w}} \rangle \\ &= \langle M_{z_1} P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} K_{\mathbf{w}}, P_{\mathcal{S}_\theta \ominus (z_1 \mathcal{S}_\theta + z_2 \mathcal{S}_\theta)}(z_2 K_{\mathbf{w}}) \rangle \\ &= \overline{(M_{z_2}^* \theta)(\mathbf{w})} \prod_{j=1}^2 (1 - |w_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\langle M_{z_1} P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} K_{\mathbf{w}}, \prod_{i=3}^n K_{w_i} \theta \right\rangle \\ &= \overline{(M_{z_2}^* \theta)(\mathbf{w})} \prod_{j=1}^2 (1 - |w_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\langle M_{z_1} (1 - \overline{\theta(\mathbf{w})} \theta) K_{\mathbf{w}}, \prod_{i=3}^n K_{w_i} \theta \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (2.2). Since $M_{z_1}^*(\prod_{i=3}^n K_{w_i}) = 0$ and $M_\theta^* M_\theta = I_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)}$, we have

$$\langle M_{z_1} \theta K_{\mathbf{w}}, \prod_{i=3}^n K_{w_i} \theta \rangle = \langle \theta M_{z_1} K_{\mathbf{w}}, \prod_{i=3}^n K_{w_i} \theta \rangle = \langle K_{\mathbf{w}}, M_{z_1}^* (\prod_{i=3}^n K_{w_i}) \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [C_{z_1}, C_{z_2}^*] K_{\mathbf{w}}, K_{\mathbf{w}} \rangle &= \overline{(M_{z_2}^* \theta)(\mathbf{w})} \prod_{j=1}^2 (1 - |w_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\langle M_{z_1} K_{\mathbf{w}}, \prod_{i=3}^n K_{w_i} \theta \right\rangle \\ &= \overline{(M_{z_2}^* \theta)(\mathbf{w})} \prod_{j=1}^2 (1 - |w_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\langle K_{\mathbf{w}}, \prod_{i=3}^n K_{w_i} (M_{z_1}^* \theta) \right\rangle \\ &= \overline{(M_{z_2}^* \theta)(\mathbf{w})} \prod_{j=1}^2 (1 - |w_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\overline{(M_{z_1}^* \theta)(\mathbf{w})} \frac{1}{\|\mathbb{S}(\cdot, \mathbf{w})\|} \prod_{i=3}^n \frac{1}{(1 - |w_i|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\ &= \overline{(M_{z_2}^* \theta)(\mathbf{w})} \overline{(M_{z_1}^* \theta)(\mathbf{w})} \prod_{j=1}^2 (1 - |w_j|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since θ depends on both z_1 and z_2 variables, $M_{z_1}^* \theta$ and $M_{z_2}^* \theta$ are non-zero functions. Therefore it follows that there exists an $l \in \{3, \dots, n\}$ such that the limit of

$$\overline{(M_{z_2}^* \theta)(\mathbf{w})} \overline{(M_{z_1}^* \theta)(\mathbf{w})} \prod_{j=1}^2 (1 - |w_j|^2)$$

as w_l approaches to $\partial\mathbb{D}$, keeping all other coordinates of \mathbf{w} fixed, is a non-zero number. This completes the proof. \square

We now proceed to the case of doubly commuting quotient modules of an analytic Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}[z]$. Let \mathcal{Q} be a quotient module of an analytic Hilbert module \mathcal{H}_K over $\mathbb{C}[z]$. It is known that \mathcal{Q} is doubly commuting (that is, $[C_{z_i}, C_{z_j}^*] = 0$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$) if and only if $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{Q}_n$ for some quotient module \mathcal{Q}_i of \mathcal{H}_{k_i} , $i = 1, \dots, n$ (see [4], [14] and [17]).

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{Q}_n$ be a doubly commuting quotient module of an analytic Hilbert module $\mathcal{H}_K = \mathcal{H}_{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{k_n}$ over $\mathbb{C}[z]$, $n \geq 2$. Then \mathcal{Q} is essentially normal if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (i) \mathcal{Q} is finite dimensional.
- (ii) There exists an $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that \mathcal{Q}_i is an infinite dimensional essentially normal quotient module of \mathcal{H}_{k_i} , and $\mathcal{Q}_j \cong \mathbb{C}$ for all $j \neq i$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{Q}_n$ be an infinite dimensional essentially normal quotient module. Then at least one of $\mathcal{Q}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Q}_n$ is infinite dimensional. Without loss of generality we assume

that \mathcal{Q}_n is infinite dimensional. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, we now compute the self-commutator:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} [C_{z_i}, C_{z_i}^*] &= P_{\mathcal{Q}} M_{z_i} M_{z_i}^* |_{\mathcal{Q}} - P_{\mathcal{Q}} M_{z_i}^* P_{\mathcal{Q}} M_{z_i} |_{\mathcal{Q}} \\ &= P_{\mathcal{Q}_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{\mathcal{Q}_{i-1}} \otimes \underbrace{[C_z, C_z^*]_i}_{i\text{-th place}} \otimes P_{\mathcal{Q}_{i+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{\mathcal{Q}_n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $[C_z, C_z^*]_i$ is the self-commutator corresponding to the quotient module \mathcal{Q}_i . Since \mathcal{Q}_n is infinite dimensional, the compactness of $[C_{z_i}, C_{z_i}^*]$ implies that $[C_z, C_z^*]_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.4, it follows that $\mathcal{Q}_i \cong \mathbb{C}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Finally, for $i = n$, the compactness of $[C_{z_n}, C_{z_n}^*] = P_{\mathcal{Q}_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}} \otimes [C_z, C_z^*]_n$ implies that $[C_z, C_z^*]_n$ is compact, that is, \mathcal{Q}_n is essentially normal.

For the converse, it is enough to show that (ii) implies \mathcal{Q} is essentially normal. Again, without loss of generality, we assume that \mathcal{Q}_n is infinite dimensional essentially normal quotient module. Then it readily follows from (3.3) that $[C_{z_i}, C_{z_i}^*] = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and $[C_{z_n}, C_{z_n}^*]$ is compact. Now the proof follows from Fuglede-Putnam theorem. \square

Since $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and the weighted Bergman modules $L_{a, \alpha}^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\alpha_i > -1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$) are analytic Hilbert modules over $\mathbb{C}[z]$, the above theorem is applicable. But, for $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and the Bergman module $L_a^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ ($\alpha = \mathbf{0}$), we can reformulate it as follows. Recall that every quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is essentially normal.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Q}_n$ be a doubly commuting quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, $n \geq 2$. Then \mathcal{Q} is essentially normal if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (i) \mathcal{Q} is finite dimensional.
- (ii) There exists an $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that \mathcal{Q}_i is infinite dimensional, and $\mathcal{Q}_j \cong \mathbb{C}$ for all $j \neq i$.

It is also well known that a quotient module \mathcal{Q} of the Bergman module $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ is essentially normal if and only if

$$\dim(\mathcal{S} \ominus z\mathcal{S}) < \infty,$$

where $\mathcal{S} := L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) \ominus \mathcal{Q}$ is the corresponding submodule (see [21, Theorem 3.1]). Using this and Theorem 3.2, we have the following result.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Q}_n$ be a doubly commuting quotient module of $L_a^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, $n \geq 2$. Then \mathcal{Q} is essentially normal if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (i) \mathcal{Q} is finite dimensional.
- (ii) There exists an $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that \mathcal{Q}_i is infinite dimensional with $\dim(\mathcal{S}_i \ominus z\mathcal{S}_i) < \infty$ and $\mathcal{Q}_j \cong \mathbb{C}$ for all $j \neq i$, where $\mathcal{S}_i = L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) \ominus \mathcal{Q}_i$.

We now restrict our attention to $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, and formulate the definition of the Rudin quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ (see [5], [8]). Let $\Psi = \{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{D})$ be an increasing sequence of finite Blaschke products and $\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{D})$ be a decreasing sequence of Blaschke products, that is, ψ_{n+1}/ψ_n and φ_n/φ_{n+1} are non-constant inner functions for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the *Rudin quotient module* corresponding to Ψ and Φ is denoted by $\mathcal{Q}_{\Psi, \Phi}$, and defined by

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\Psi, \Phi} := \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{Q}_{\psi_n} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_{\varphi_n}).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi}$ the submodule $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \ominus \mathcal{Q}_{\Psi, \Phi}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{Q}_{\Psi, \Phi}$. The following representations of $\mathcal{Q}_{\Psi, \Phi}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi}$ are very useful (see [5]):

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{\Psi, \Phi} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{Q}_{\psi_n} \ominus \mathcal{Q}_{\psi_{n-1}}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}_{\varphi_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi} = \mathcal{Q}' \otimes H^2(\mathbb{D}) \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{Q}_{\psi_n} \ominus \mathcal{Q}_{\psi_{n-1}}) \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n},$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_{\psi_{-1}} := \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{Q}' = H^2(\mathbb{D}) \ominus \bigvee_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{Q}_{\psi_n}$.

Next we show that the Rudin quotient modules are not essentially normal.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{Q}_{\Psi, \Phi}$ be a Rudin quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ corresponding to an increasing sequence of finite Blaschke products $\Psi = \{\psi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and a decreasing sequence of Blaschke products $\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. Then $\mathcal{Q}_{\Psi, \Phi}$ is not essentially normal.*

Proof. Let b_β , the Blaschke factor corresponding to $\beta \in \mathbb{D}$, be a factor of ψ_{m+1}/ψ_m for some $m \geq 0$. For contradiction, we assume that $\mathcal{Q}_{\Psi, \Phi}$ is essentially normal. Then, as ψ_m is a finite Blaschke product, $[C_{\psi_m(z_1)}, C_{\psi_m(z_1)}^*]$ is compact, where $C_{\psi_m(z_1)} = P_{\mathcal{Q}} M_{\psi_m(z_1)}|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and $\mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{Q}_{\Psi, \Phi}$. Now setting $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi}$, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} [C_{\psi_m(z_1)}, C_{\psi_m(z_1)}^*] &= P_{\mathcal{Q}} M_{\psi_m(z_1)} M_{\psi_m(z_1)}^*|_{\mathcal{Q}} - P_{\mathcal{Q}} M_{\psi_m(z_1)}^* P_{\mathcal{Q}} M_{\psi_m(z_1)}|_{\mathcal{Q}} \\ &= -P_{\mathcal{Q}} (I - M_{\psi_m(z_1)} M_{\psi_m(z_1)}^*)|_{\mathcal{Q}} + P_{\mathcal{Q}} M_{\psi_m(z_1)}^* P_{\mathcal{S}} M_{\psi_m(z_1)}|_{\mathcal{Q}} \\ &= -P_{\mathcal{Q}} (P_{\mathcal{Q}_{\psi_m}} \otimes I)|_{\mathcal{Q}} + P_{\mathcal{Q}} M_{\psi_m(z_1)}^* P_{\mathcal{S}} M_{\psi_m(z_1)}|_{\mathcal{Q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since φ_{m+1} is an infinite Blaschke product, there exists a sequence $\{\lambda_i\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ such that $K_{\lambda_i} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\varphi_{m+1}}$ and λ_i approaches to $\partial\mathbb{D}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, since $K_\beta \otimes K_{\lambda_i} \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $\psi_m K_\beta \otimes K_{\lambda_i} \in (\mathcal{Q}_{\psi_{m+1}} \ominus \mathcal{Q}_{\psi_m}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}_{\varphi_{m+1}}$, we have $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi_m K_\beta \otimes K_{\lambda_i}) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ (by (3.4)). Thus

$$P_{\mathcal{Q}} M_{\psi_m(z_1)}^* P_{\mathcal{S}} M_{\psi_m(z_1)} (K_\beta \otimes K_{\lambda_i}) = 0.$$

Finally, from (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [C_{\psi_m(z_1)}, C_{\psi_m(z_1)}^*] (K_\beta \otimes K_{\lambda_i}), K_\beta \otimes K_{\lambda_i} \rangle &= -\langle (P_{\mathcal{Q}_{\psi_m}} K_\beta) \otimes K_{\lambda_i}, K_\beta \otimes K_{\lambda_i} \rangle \\ &= -\langle (1 - \overline{\psi_m(\beta)} \psi_m) K_\beta, K_\beta \rangle \\ &= -(1 - |\psi_m(\beta)|^2), \end{aligned}$$

which does not converges to 0 as λ_i approaches to $\partial\mathbb{D}$. This completes the proof. \square

REMARK 3.6. *Let $m > 1$. For a decreasing Blaschke products $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^m$ and an increasing finite Blaschke products $\{\psi_n\}_{n=1}^m$, we consider the quotient module*

$$\mathcal{Q} = \bigvee_{n=1}^m \mathcal{Q}_{\psi_n} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_{\varphi_n}.$$

Adapting the proof of the above theorem, one can conclude that \mathcal{Q} is essentially normal if and only if φ_n is finite Blaschke products for all $n = 1, \dots, m$. In other words, \mathcal{Q} is essentially normal if and only if \mathcal{Q} is finite dimensional.

4. BOUNDARY REPRESENTATIONS FOR DOUBLY COMMUTING QUOTIENT MODULES

In this section, we study boundary representations for doubly commuting quotient modules of an analytic Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}[z]$. First, we prove a general result in the setting of minimal tensor products of C^* -algebras. Before that we fix some notations. We denote by $V_1 \underline{\otimes} V_2$ the algebraic tensor product of two vector spaces V_1 and V_2 , and by $A_1 \otimes A_2$ the minimal tensor product of two C^* -algebras A_1 and A_2 .

THEOREM 4.1. *Let A_i be a unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_i , and let $C^*(A_i)$ be the irreducible C^* -algebra generated by A_i in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Set $A := \overline{(A_1 \underline{\otimes} A_2)}$, the norm closure of $A_1 \underline{\otimes} A_2$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) *The identity representation of $C^*(A_1) \otimes C^*(A_2)$ is a boundary representation relative to A .*
- (ii) *The identity representation of $C^*(A_i)$ is a boundary representation relative to A_i for all $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof. Suppose (i) holds, and for contradiction, we assume that the identity representation of $C^*(A_1)$ is not a boundary representation relative to A_1 . Then there exists a CP map $\tau : C^*(A_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ different from $\text{id}_{C^*(A_1)}$, but $\tau = \text{id}_{C^*(A_1)}$ on A_1 . Then the CP map $\tau \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(A_2)} : C^*(A_1) \otimes C^*(A_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ is a CP extension of the map $\text{id}_{C^*(A_1) \otimes C^*(A_2)}|_A$ to $C^*(A_1) \otimes C^*(A_2)$, and $\tau \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(A_2)} \neq \text{id}_{C^*(A_1) \otimes C^*(A_2)}$. This is a contradiction.

On the other hand suppose (ii) holds. It follows from [1, Theorem 2.2.7] that the identity representation of $C^*(A_1) \otimes C^*(A_2)$ is a boundary representation relative to the linear subspace $\mathbb{C}I \otimes C^*(A_1) \vee C^*(A_1) \otimes \mathbb{C}I$. Thus it is enough to show that any CP extension $\tau : C^*(A_1) \otimes C^*(A_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ of $\text{id}_{C^*(A_1) \otimes C^*(A_2)}|_A$ agrees with $\text{id}_{C^*(A_1) \otimes C^*(A_2)}$ on the subspace $\mathbb{C}I \otimes C^*(A_1) \vee C^*(A_1) \otimes \mathbb{C}I$, that is,

$$\tau(I \otimes T_2) = I \otimes T_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(T_1 \otimes I) = T_1 \otimes I \quad (T_1 \in C^*(A_1), T_2 \in C^*(A_2)).$$

To this end, let ω be a positive linear functional on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$, and

$$(\omega \overline{\otimes} \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)}) \circ \tau : C^*(A_1) \otimes C^*(A_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$$

be the corresponding CP map. By identifying $\mathbb{C}I \otimes C^*(A_2)$ with $C^*(A_2)$, one sees that the CP map $(\omega \overline{\otimes} \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)}) \circ \tau|_{\mathbb{C}I \otimes C^*(A_2)}$ is an extension of $\omega(I) \text{id}_{C^*(A_2)}|_{A_2}$. Then, by the assumption, we have

$$(\omega \overline{\otimes} \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)}) \circ \tau(I \otimes T) = \omega(I)T = \omega \overline{\otimes} \text{id}_{C^*(A_2)}(I \otimes T) \quad (T \in C^*(A_2)).$$

By linearity, the above equality is also true for any linear functional ω on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$, and this suggests that

$$\tau = \text{id}_{C^*(A_1) \otimes C^*(A_2)} \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{C}I \otimes C^*(A_2).$$

Similarly, by considering linear functionals on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ and repeating the above arguments, we get that $\tau = \text{id}_{C^*(A_1) \otimes C^*(A_2)}$ on $C^*(A_1) \otimes \mathbb{C}I$. This completes the proof. \square

REMARK 4.2. *The above result can be easily generalized for finite number of irreducible generating C^* -algebras corresponding to unital subalgebras.*

As a straightforward consequence of Remark 4.2 we have the following:

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Q}_n$ be a doubly commuting quotient module of an analytic Hilbert module $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{K_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{K_n}$ over $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}]$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) *The identity representation of $C^*(\mathcal{Q})$ is a boundary representation relative to $B(\mathcal{Q})$.*
- (ii) *The identity representation of $C^*(\mathcal{Q}_i)$ is a boundary representation relative to $B(\mathcal{Q}_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof. The result follows from Remark 4.2 and the fact that

$$C^*(\mathcal{Q}) = C^*(\mathcal{Q}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes C^*(\mathcal{Q}_n),$$

and

$$B(\mathcal{Q}) = \overline{B(\mathcal{Q}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes B(\mathcal{Q}_n)},$$

where the closure is under the norm topology of $B(\mathcal{Q})$. □

The following result is now an immediate consequence of Theorems 1.2 and 4.3.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_{\theta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Q}_{\theta_n}$ be a doubly commuting quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, where θ_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is a one variable inner function. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) *The identity representation of $C^*(\mathcal{Q})$ is a boundary representation relative to $B(\mathcal{Q})$.*
- (ii) *The identity representation of $C^*(\mathcal{Q}_{\theta_i})$ is a boundary representation relative to $B(\mathcal{Q}_{\theta_i})$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.*
- (iii) *For all $i = 1, \dots, n$, Z_{θ_i} is a proper subset of \mathbb{T} , where Z_{θ_i} consists of all points λ on \mathbb{T} for which θ_i cannot be continued analytically from \mathbb{D} to λ .*

Now we turn to the case of the Bergman module $L_a^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$. For $n = 1$, boundary representations corresponding to a quotient module of $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ are studied in [13]. For a submodule \mathcal{S} of $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$, set

$$Z_*(\mathcal{S}) := \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{S}} Z_*(f),$$

where

$$Z_*(f) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{D} : f(\lambda) = 0\} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{T} : \liminf_{z \in \mathbb{D}, z \rightarrow \lambda} |f(z)| = 0\}.$$

It is easy to see that for a finite dimensional quotient module \mathcal{Q} of $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$, the identity representation of $C^*(\mathcal{Q})$ is always a boundary representation relative to $B(\mathcal{Q})$. On the other hand, for an infinite dimensional \mathcal{Q} , the identity representation of $C^*(\mathcal{Q})$ is a boundary representation relative to $B(\mathcal{Q})$ if and only if $\dim(\mathcal{S} \ominus z\mathcal{S}) = 1$ and $Z_*(\mathcal{S})$ is a proper subset of \mathbb{T} , where $\mathcal{S} = L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) \ominus \mathcal{Q}$ is the corresponding submodule (see [13, Theorem 1.2]). Using this and Theorem 4.3, we have the following result.

THEOREM 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Q}_n$ be a doubly commuting quotient module of $L_a^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) *The identity representation of $C^*(\mathcal{Q})$ is a boundary representation relative to $B(\mathcal{Q})$.*
- (ii) *The identity representation of $C^*(\mathcal{Q}_i)$ is a boundary representation relative to $B(\mathcal{Q}_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.*
- (iii) *If \mathcal{Q}_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) is infinite dimensional then $\dim(\mathcal{S}_i \ominus z\mathcal{S}_i) = 1$ and $Z_*(\mathcal{S}_i)$ is a proper subset of \mathbb{T} , where $\mathcal{S}_i = L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) \ominus \mathcal{Q}_i$ is the corresponding submodule.*

5. BOUNDARY REPRESENTATION FOR HOMOGENEOUS QUOTIENT MODULES

In this section we study of boundary representations for homogeneous quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. To begin with, we establish a general result, which might be well-known to the experts. For an essentially normal quotient module \mathcal{Q} of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, $\sigma_e(\mathcal{Q})$ denotes the essential joint spectrum of the tuple $(C_{z_1}, \dots, C_{z_n})$. Recall that the notations $C^*(\mathcal{Q})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q})$ denote the C^* -algebra and the Banach algebra generated by $\{I_{\mathcal{Q}}, C_{z_1}, \dots, C_{z_n}\}$ respectively, where $C_{z_i} = P_{\mathcal{Q}}M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let \mathcal{Q} be an essentially normal quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$.*

(a) *If there exists a matrix-valued polynomial p such that*

$$\|p(C_{z_1}, \dots, C_{z_n})\| > \|p\|_{\sigma_e(\mathcal{Q})}^{\infty},$$

then the identity representation of $C^(\mathcal{Q})$ is a boundary representation relative to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q})$.*

(b) *If the commuting tuple $(C_{z_1}, \dots, C_{z_n})$ has a normal dilation on $\sigma_e(\mathcal{Q})$, then the identity representation of $C^*(\mathcal{Q})$ is not a boundary representation relative to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q})$.*

Proof. (a) Since \mathcal{Q} is essentially normal then we have an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow K(\mathcal{Q}) \hookrightarrow C^*(\mathcal{Q}) \longrightarrow C(\sigma_e(\mathcal{Q})) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now suppose there exists a matrix-valued polynomial p such that

$$\|p(C_{z_1}, \dots, C_{z_n})\| > \|p\|_{\sigma_e(\mathcal{Q})}^{\infty}.$$

Then the restriction of the canonical contractive homomorphism

$$q : C^*(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{Q})/K(\mathcal{Q}) \cong C(\sigma_e(\mathcal{Q}))$$

to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q})$ is not a complete isometry. Therefore the conclusion now follows from [2, Theorem 2.1.1].

(b) The existence of normal dilation implies that the above completely contractive map q restricted to the linear span of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}) \cup \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q})^*$ is a complete isometry. The proof again follows from [2, Theorem 2.1.1]. \square

We now consider boundary representations for $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{\eta}}$ type quotient modules (defined below) of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, which—when restricted to $n = 2$ —will play a crucial role in the study of boundary representations of homogeneous quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Given an n -tuple of one variable inner functions $\underline{\eta} = (\eta_1(z_1), \dots, \eta_n(z_n))$, denote by

$$(5.6) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\underline{\eta}} = [\eta_1(z_1) - \eta_2(z_2), \eta_2(z_2) - \eta_3(z_3), \dots, \eta_{n-1}(z_{n-1}) - \eta_n(z_n)],$$

the submodule of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ generated by $\eta_{i-1}(z_{i-1}) - \eta_i(z_i)$, $i = 2, \dots, n$. The corresponding quotient module is denoted by $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{\eta}} = \mathcal{S}_{\underline{\eta}}^{\perp}$. The essential normality of $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{\eta}}$ -type quotient modules is studied by Clark [7] and Wang [20]. The complete characterisation of their essential normality is as follows.

THEOREM 5.2 ([7] & [20]). *Let η_i be a non-constant inner function of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then the quotient module $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{\eta}}$ is essentially normal if and only if η_i is a finite Blaschke product for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

For a quotient module \mathcal{Q}_η , define

$$V_\eta := \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{D}^n : \eta_1(z_1) = \dots = \eta_n(z_n)\},$$

and

$$\partial V_\eta := \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \partial \mathbb{D}^n : \eta_1(z_1) = \dots = \eta_n(z_n)\}.$$

Then one can easily check that

$$Z(\mathcal{S}_\eta) = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{D}^n : f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = 0, \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{S}_\eta\} = V_\eta,$$

and

$$Z_\partial(\mathcal{S}_\eta) = \left\{ \mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \partial \mathbb{D}^n : \exists \{\mathbf{z}_k\} \subset Z(\mathcal{S}_\eta) \text{ such that } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{z}_k = \mathbf{z} \right\} = \partial V_\eta.$$

The following lemma will be useful to study the boundary representations of these quotient modules.

LEMMA 5.3. *Let \mathcal{Q}_η be an essentially normal quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, as in Theorem 5.2. Then*

$$\sigma_e(\mathcal{Q}_\eta) = \partial V_\eta,$$

where $\sigma_e(\mathcal{Q}_\eta)$ is the essential joint spectrum of the tuple $(C_{z_1}, \dots, C_{z_n})$.

Proof. It is shown in [12, Theorem 6.1] that $Z_\partial(\mathcal{S}_\eta) = \partial V_\eta \subseteq \sigma_e(\mathcal{Q}_\eta)$. On the other hand, by [7, Theorem 5.1], the tuple $(C_{z_1}, \dots, C_{z_n})$ is unitarily equivalent to the co-ordinate multiplication operator $(T_{z_1}, \dots, T_{z_n})$ on $A^{2,n-2}(V_\eta)$, and by [7, Theorem 7.1] $\sigma_e(T_{z_i}) \subseteq \mathbb{T}$. Thus $\sigma_e(\mathcal{Q}_\eta) \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n$. Also note that since η_i 's are finite Blaschke products,

$$(\eta_i(z_i) - \eta_{i+1}(z_{i+1})) (C_{z_1}, \dots, C_{z_n}) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1).$$

Hence, for each $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, by spectral mapping theorem, $\eta_i(z_i) = \eta_{i+1}(z_{i+1})$ whenever $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \sigma_e(\mathcal{Q}_\eta)$. Thus $\sigma_e(\mathcal{Q}_\eta) \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n \cap V_\eta = \partial V_\eta$. The proof follows. \square

A characterisation of boundary representations is given next.

THEOREM 5.4. *Let \mathcal{Q}_η be an essentially normal quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ corresponding to η . Then the identity representation of $C^*(\mathcal{Q}_\eta)$ is not a boundary representation relative to $\overline{B}(\mathcal{Q}_\eta)$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{Q}_η is essentially normal, by Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.3 it is enough to show that the tuple $(C_{z_1}, \dots, C_{z_n})$ has a normal dilation on ∂V_η . It is shown in [7, Theorem 5.1] that the tuple $(C_{z_1}, \dots, C_{z_n})$ is unitary equivalent to the n -tuple of co-ordinate multiplication operators $(T_{z_1}, \dots, T_{z_n})$ on $A^{2,n-2}(V_\eta)$. Now it follows that $A^{2,n-2}(V_\eta) \subset L^2(d\mu)$ for some measure μ on V_η (see the appendix of this article for more details). Thus the tuple $(T_{z_1}, \dots, T_{z_n})$ has a normal dilation on V_η . Since ∂V_η is the Shilov boundary of V_η , the proof follows. \square

Now we turn our attention to homogeneous quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. First we recall the complete characterisation of their essential normality obtained in [12]. Let p be a homogeneous polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$, then p can be factorised uniquely, up to a scalar multiple of modulus one, as

$$p = p_1 p_2,$$

where p_1 and p_2 are homogeneous polynomial with the following property:

$$Z(p_1) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^2 \subset \mathbb{T}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad Z(p_2) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^2 \subset (\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{T}) \cup (\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{D}).$$

For a homogeneous polynomial p , essential normality of $\mathcal{Q}_p := H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)/[p]$ in term of the above factorisation of p is studied in [12].

THEOREM 5.5 (Guo & Wang, [12]). *Let p be a non-zero homogeneous polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$, and $p = p_1 p_2$ be the factorisation of p as above. Then the quotient module \mathcal{Q}_p is essentially normal if and only if p_2 has one of the following forms:*

- (i) $p_2 = c$ with $c \neq 0$,
- (ii) $p_2 = \alpha z_1 + \beta z_2$ with $|\alpha| \neq |\beta|$,
- (iii) $p_2 = c(z_1 - \alpha z_2)(z_2 - \beta z_1)$ with $|\alpha| < 1, |\beta| < 1$ and $c \neq 0$.

The following result in [12] gives a description of the essential joint spectrum of the above type of quotient modules. For a proof see [12, Theorem 6.2].

LEMMA 5.6 (Guo & Wang, [12]). *Let p be a homogeneous polynomial. Then $\sigma_e(\mathcal{Q}_p) = Z(p) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^2 = Z(p) \cap \mathbb{T}^2$.*

For our purpose we do not need the full strength of the above lemma. To be precise we only need $\sigma_e(\mathcal{Q}_p) \subset Z(p)$. The next two lemmas are the first step towards a characterisation of boundary representations for homogeneous quotient modules.

LEMMA 5.7. *Let $p = c \prod_{i=1}^m (z_1 - \alpha_i z_2)^{n_i}$ be a homogeneous polynomial with $c \neq 0$ and α_i 's are distinct scalars of modulus one. Assume further that $n_i > 1$ for some $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then the identity representation of $C^*(\mathcal{Q}_p)$ is a boundary representation relative to $B(\mathcal{Q}_p)$.*

Proof. Without loss of any generality assume that $n_1 > 1$. Then for

$$q := (z_1 - \alpha_1 z_2) \prod_{i=2}^m (z_1 - \alpha_i z_2)^{n_i},$$

we have $\|q\|_{Z(p)}^\infty = 0$, but the operator $q(C_{z_1}, C_{z_2})$ is a non-zero operator on \mathcal{Q}_p . Thus the proof follows from Lemma 5.1. \square

LEMMA 5.8. *Let $p = c(z_1 - \alpha z_2)$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| \neq 1$. Then the identity representation of $C^*(\mathcal{Q}_p)$ is not a boundary representation relative to $B(\mathcal{Q}_p)$.*

Proof. First note that if $\alpha = 0$, then \mathcal{Q}_p is unitarily equivalent to $H^2(\mathbb{D})$; and in this case we already know the result is true. If $\alpha \neq 0$, then $C^*(\mathcal{Q}_p)$ is generated by C_{z_1} as $\frac{1}{\alpha} C_{z_1} = C_{z_2}$. Thus it is enough to study the operator C_{z_1} only. To this end, we assume that $|\alpha| > 1$. The case when $|\alpha| < 1$ can be treated similarly. Set $\beta := \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|^2}$, and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ set $c_n := (\sum_{i=0}^n |\beta|^{2i})^{1/2}$. Then a straight forward calculation confirms that the sequence of homogeneous polynomials

$$p_n = \frac{1}{c_n} \sum_{i=0}^n z_1^i (\beta z_2)^{n-i} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

gives an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{Q}_p . With respect to this orthonormal basis, the operator C_{z_1} has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{z_1}(p_n) &= P_{\mathcal{Q}_p} \left(\frac{1}{c_n} \sum_{i=0}^n z_1^{i+1} (\beta z_2)^{n-i} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{c_n} \left\langle p_{n+1}, \sum_{i=0}^n z_1^{i+1} (\beta z_2)^{n-i} \right\rangle p_{n+1} \\ &= \frac{c_n}{c_{n+1}} p_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The above equality is true for $n \geq 0$. Therefore, C_{z_1} is a weighted shift with weights $\{\frac{c_n}{c_{n+1}}\}_{n \geq 0}$. Since $\limsup_n \frac{c_n}{c_{n+1}} = \sup_n \frac{c_n}{c_{n+1}}$, the result now follows from [2, Corollary 2]. \square

THEOREM 5.9. *Let \mathcal{Q}_p be an essentially normal quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ for a homogeneous polynomial p . Then the identity representation of $C^*(\mathcal{Q}_p)$ is a boundary representation relative to $B(\mathcal{Q}_p)$ if and only if p is not of the following forms:*

- (i) $p = c(z_1^m - \alpha z_2^m)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $c \neq 0$ and $|\alpha| = 1$,
- (ii) $p = \alpha z_1 + \beta z_2$ with $|\alpha| \neq |\beta|$.

Proof. If $p = c(z_1^m - \alpha z_2^m)$, then \mathcal{Q}_p is of the form $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{\eta}}$, where $\underline{\eta} = (z_1^m, \alpha z_2^m)$. Now it follows from Theorem 5.4 that identity representation of $C^*(\mathcal{Q}_p)$ is not a boundary representation relative to $B(\mathcal{Q}_p)$. Also if $p = \alpha z_1 + \beta z_2$, then by Lemma 5.8 we have the desire conclusion.

For the other direction fist note that since \mathcal{Q}_p is essentially normal then p is of the form as in Theorem 5.5. Now by Lemma 5.7, is it enough to consider $p = p_1 p_2$, where $p_1 = \prod_{i=1}^m (z_1 - \alpha_i z_2)$ with α_i 's are all distinct scalar of modulus one and p_2 is as in Theorem 5.5. In view of the forms of p_2 in Theorem 5.5 we divide this family of polynomials in four subfamilies. For each of the subfamilies we establish that the identity representation is a boundary representation.

Case I: Let $p_2 = c$ and $p_1 = \prod_{i=1}^m (z_1 - \alpha_i z_2)$, for distinct scalars α_i 's with modulus one, such that $p = p_1 p_2$ is not of the form $c(z_1^m - \alpha z_2^m)$. Thus, in this case, $m > 1$. Consider the polynomial

$$(5.7) \quad q = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m (z_1 - \alpha_i z_2) - (-1)^m (\prod_{i=1}^m \alpha_i) z_2^m}{z_1} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \left(\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq m} \alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_k} \right) z_1^{m-k-1} z_2^k.$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$\|q\|_{Z(p) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}^2}^\infty = 1.$$

On the other hand

$$\|q(C_{z_1}, C_{z_2})\| \geq \|q\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)} = \sqrt{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \left| \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq m} \alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_k} \right|^2}.$$

Now if $\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \left| \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq m} \alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_k} \right|^2 = 0$, then by (5.7) $\prod_{i=1}^m (z_1 - \alpha_i z_2)$ can be written as $z_1^m - \alpha z_2^m$ for some α of unit modulus, which is a contradiction. Thus $\|q(C_{z_1}, C_{z_2})\| > 1$,

and therefore, by Lemma 5.1 the identity representation is a boundary representation in this case.

Case II: Let $p_2 = (z_1 - \gamma z_2)$, $|\gamma| \neq 1$ and $p_1 = \prod_{i=1}^m (z_1 - \alpha_i z_2)$ for distinct scalars α_i 's with modulus one. Without loss of generality we assume that $|\gamma| < 1$ (otherwise, by interchanging the role of z_1 and z_2 , one could consider $p_2 = (z_2 - \frac{1}{\gamma} z_1)$ and $p_1 = \prod_{i=1}^m (z_2 - \frac{1}{\alpha_i} z_1)$). Letting $\alpha_{m+1} = \gamma$, consider the polynomial q as in (5.7), that is,

$$q = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m+1} (z_1 - \alpha_i z_2) - (-1)^{m+1} \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \alpha_i z_2^{m+1}}{z_1}.$$

Again, by similar computations as in the previous case,

$$\|q\|_{Z(p) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}^2}^\infty = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|q(C_{z_1}, C_{z_2})\| \geq \sqrt{1 + \sum_{k=1}^m \left| \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq m+1} \alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_k} \right|^2}.$$

Now if $\sum_{k=1}^m \left| \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq m+1} \alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_k} \right|^2 = 0$, then $\prod_{i=1}^{m+1} (z_1 - \alpha_i z_2) = z_1^{m+1} - \alpha z_2^{m+1}$ for some scalar α with $|\alpha| \neq 1$. This implies that $|\alpha_1| = \dots = |\alpha_{m+1}| = |\alpha|^{1/(m+1)} \neq 1$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\|q(C_{z_1}, C_{z_2})\| > 1$, and the conclusion again follows from Lemma 5.1.

Case III: Let $p = p_2 = (z_1 - \gamma_1 z_2)(z_1 - \gamma_2 z_2)$ with $|\gamma_1| < 1$, $|\gamma_2| > 1$. In this case, for $\epsilon > 0$ is a positive real number we consider the polynomial $q_\epsilon := (z_1 - \epsilon \gamma_2 z_2)$. Set

$$V_1 := \{(\gamma_1 z_2, z_2) \in \partial \mathbb{D}^2 : |z_2| = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad V_2 := \{(\gamma_2 z_2, z_2) \in \partial \mathbb{D}^2 : |\gamma_2 z_2| = 1\}.$$

We now note that $Z(p) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}^2 = V_1 \cup V_2$, and the following equalities hold:

$$\|q_\epsilon\|_{V_1}^\infty = |\gamma_1 - \epsilon \gamma_2|, \quad \|q_\epsilon\|_{V_2}^\infty = (1 - \epsilon).$$

Thus, by choosing $0 < \epsilon < 1$ small enough, one can have $\|q_\epsilon\|_{Z(p) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}^2}^\infty < 1$. On the other hand, for any $0 < \epsilon < 1$

$$\|q_\epsilon(C_{z_1}, C_{z_2})\| \geq \sqrt{1 + |\epsilon \gamma_2|^2} > 1.$$

Now Lemma 5.1 applies.

Case IV: Let $p_2 = (z_1 - \gamma_1 z_2)(z_1 - \gamma_2 z_2)$, $|\gamma_1| < 1$, $|\gamma_2| > 1$ and $p_1 = \prod_{i=1}^m (z_1 - \alpha_i z_2)$ for distinct scalars α_i 's with modulus one. For notational simplicity we set

$$V_{\gamma_1} := \{(\gamma_1 z_2, z_2) \in \partial \mathbb{D}^2 : |z_2| = 1\}, \quad V_{\gamma_2} := \{(\gamma_2 z_2, z_2) \in \partial \mathbb{D}^2 : |\gamma_2 z_2| = 1\},$$

and

$$V_{\alpha_i} := \{(\alpha_i z_2, z_2) \in \partial \mathbb{D}^2 : |z_2| = 1\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Now for $\epsilon > 0$, consider the polynomial

$$q_\epsilon = z_2(z_1^m - \epsilon q'), \quad \text{where} \quad q' = \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq m} \alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_k} \right) z_1^{m-k} z_2^k.$$

Then it can be easily verified that

$$\|q_\epsilon\|_{V_{\gamma_1}}^\infty \leq |\gamma_1^m| - \epsilon M, \quad \|q_\epsilon\|_{V_{\gamma_2}}^\infty \leq \left| \frac{1}{\gamma_2} \right| - \epsilon M,$$

and

$$\|q_\epsilon\|_{V_{\alpha_i}}^\infty = |(1 - \epsilon)|, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

where $M = \max\{|q'(\gamma_1, 1)|, |q'(\frac{1}{\gamma_2}, 1)|\}$. We now choose $0 < \epsilon < 1$ for which $\|q_\epsilon\|_{Z(p) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^2}^\infty < 1$. On the other hand $\|q_\epsilon(C_{z_1}, C_{z_2})\| \geq \|q_\epsilon\| > 1$. This completes the proof. \square

APPENDIX: A MEASURE ON V_η

We first look at the holomorphic functions on V_η as given in [7]. By definition, a function f is holomorphic in an analytic variety V of a complex manifold M if for every $x \in V$, there is a neighbourhood $U_x \subset M$ and a holomorphic function F on U_x such that $F|_{V \cap U_x} = f|_{V \cap U_x}$. Clark [7] showed that a holomorphic function f on V_η can be recovered in following way: Define a function h on \mathbb{D}^{n+1} by

$$h(z_1, \dots, z_n; \lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^m f(b_k(\lambda)) \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(\lambda - \eta_j(z_j))}{((b_k(\lambda))_j - z_j) \eta_j'((b_k(\lambda))_j)},$$

where $b_k(\lambda)$ ($k = 1, \dots, m$) is a pre-image of $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ under the map $\phi : V_\eta \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, $\phi(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \eta_1(z_1)$, and $(b_k(\lambda))_j$ is the j -th coordinate of $b_k(\lambda)$. The following lemma is due to Clark [7].

LEMMA 5.10. ([7]) *As a function of λ , $h(z_1, \dots, z_n; \lambda)$ extends to a holomorphic $\mathcal{Q}_{\eta_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{Q}_{\eta_n}$ -valued function in \mathbb{D} , and $h(z_1, \dots, z_n; \eta_1(z_1)) = f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ for all $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in V$.*

A holomorphic function f on V_η can also be written as

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} f_\nu(z_1, \dots, z_n) (\eta_1(z_1))^\nu,$$

where $f_\nu \in \mathcal{Q}_{\eta_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{Q}_{\eta_n}$. In the same paper [7] Clark defined a class of norms in the space of holomorphic functions on V_η . In that process he defined

$$f_{[r]}(z_1, \dots, z_n) := \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} f_\nu(z_1, \dots, z_n) r^\nu (\eta_1(z_1))^\nu.$$

From the definition of the function h we have for $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \partial V_\eta$:

$$(5.8) \quad f_{[r]}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{k=1}^m f(b_k(r\eta_1(z_1))) \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{r\eta_1(z_1) - \eta_j(z_j)}{((b_k(r\eta_1(z_1)))_j - z_j) \eta_j'((b_k(r\eta_1(z_1)))_j)}.$$

The norm in the space $A^{2,n}(V_\eta)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{2,n}^2 = 2(n+1) \int_0^1 \|f_{[r]}(z_1, \dots, z_n)\|_2^2 (1-r^2)^n r dr,$$

where

$$\|f_{[r]}\|_2 = \sup_{0 \leq s < 1} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \int |f_{[rs]}(w_1, \dots, w_n)|^2 d\mu_{e^{i\theta}}(w) d\theta \right)^{1/2}.$$

Here the measure $d\mu_{e^{i\theta}}$ is a measure supported in the fibre over the point $e^{i\theta}$ under the map ϕ ; more precisely,

$$\mu_{e^{i\theta}}(b_k(e^{i\theta})) = |\eta'_1((b_k(e^{i\theta}))_1) \cdots \eta'_n((b_k(e^{i\theta}))_n)|^{-1}.$$

We can rewrite the norm of f as

$$(5.9) \quad \|f\|_{2,n}^2 = 2(n+1) \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \int |f_{[r]}(w_1, \dots, w_n)|^2 r(1-r^2)^n d\mu_{e^{i\theta}}(w) d\theta dr.$$

The space $A^{2,n}(V_{\underline{\eta}})$ is the collection of holomorphic functions on $V_{\underline{\eta}}$ such that $\|f\|_{2,n} < \infty$. From [7, Lemma 4.1] we can deduce that the constant function 1 lies in $A^{2,n}(V_{\underline{\eta}})$.

We can now define a measure μ on $V_{\underline{\eta}}$ as

$$\mu(E) = \|\chi_E\|_{2,n}^2,$$

where χ_E is the characteristic function of the Borel set $E \subset V_{\underline{\eta}}$. With this measure we have $A^{2,n}(V_{\underline{\eta}}) \subset L^2(\mu)$.

Acknowledgment: The first two authors are grateful to Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore Centre for warm hospitality. The first named author also acknowledges with thanks the partial financial support from the Department of Atomic Energy, India through N.B.H.M Post Doctoral Fellowship. The second named author is supported by an INSPIRE faculty fellowship (IFA-MA-02) funded by DST.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Arveson, *Subalgebras of C^* -algebras*, Acta Math. **123** (1969), 141-224.
- [2] W. Arveson, *Subalgebras of C^* -algebras II*, Acta Math. **128** (1972), 271-308.
- [3] L. Brown, R. Douglas and P. Fillmore, *Extension of C^* -algebras and K -homology*, Ann. of Math. **105** (1977), 265-324.
- [4] A. Chattopadhyay, B. K. Das and J. Sarkar, *Tensor product of quotient Hilbert modules*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **429** (2015), 727-747.
- [5] A. Chattopadhyay, B. K. Das and J. Sarkar, *Star-generating vectors of Rudin's quotient modules*, J. Funct. Anal. **267** (2014), 4341-4360.
- [6] X. Chen and K. Guo, *Analytic Hilbert modules*, π -Chapman & Hall/CRC Res. Notes Math. 433, 2003.
- [7] D. Clark, *Restrictions of H^p functions in the polydisk*, Amer. J. math. **110** (1988), 1119-1152.
- [8] B. K. Das and J. Sarkar, *Rudin's Submodules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **353** (2015), 51-55.
- [9] K. Davidson and M. Kennedy, *The Choquet boundary of an operator system*, arXiv:1303.3252.
- [10] K. Guo and K. Wang, *Essentially normal Hilbert modules and K -homology*, Math. Ann. **340** (2008), 907-934.
- [11] K. Guo and K. Wang, *Beurling type quotient modules over the bidisk and boundary representations*, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), 3218-3238.
- [12] K. Guo and P. Wang, *Essentially normal Hilbert modules and K -homology III: Homogeneous quotient modules on the bidisk*, Sci. China Ser. A **50** (2007), 387-411.
- [13] W. He, *Boundary representations on co-invariant subspaces of Bergman space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), 615-622.
- [14] K. Izuchi, T. Nakazi and M. Seto, *Backward shift invariant subspaces in the bidisc II*, J. Oper. Theory **51** (2004), 361-376.
- [15] W. Rudin, *Function theory in polydiscs*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.

- [16] J. Sarkar, *An introduction to Hilbert module approach to multivariable operator theory*, to appear in Handbook of Operator Theory, Springer. arXiv:1308.6103.
- [17] J. Sarkar, *Jordan blocks of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$* , J. Oper. Theory **72** (2014), 371-385.
- [18] J. Sarkar, *Submodules of the Hardy module over polydisc*, to appear in Israel J. Math. (2015). arXiv:1304.1564.
- [19] J. Sarkar, A. Sasane and B. Wick, *Doubly commuting submodules of the Hardy module over polydiscs*, Studia Mathematica **217** (2013), 179-192.
- [20] P. Wang, *The essential normality of $N_{\underline{n}}$ -type quotient module of Hardy module on the polydisc*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), 151-156.
- [21] K. Zhu, *Restriction of the Bergman shift to an invariant subspace*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **48** (1997), 519-532.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI, INDIA- 400076

E-mail address: dasb@math.iitb.ac.in, bata436@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH KOLKATA, MOHANPUR 741 246, WEST BENGAL, INDIA

E-mail address: sushil.gorai@iiserkol.ac.in

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, STATISTICS AND MATHEMATICS UNIT, 8TH MILE, MYSORE ROAD, BANGALORE, 560059, INDIA

E-mail address: jay@isibang.ac.in, jaydeb@gmail.com