

Cosmology in massive conformal gravity

F. F. Faria *

Centro de Ciências da Natureza,
Universidade Estadual do Piauí,
64002-150 Teresina, PI, Brazil

Abstract

In this paper we find the cosmological solutions of the massive conformal gravity field equations in the presence of matter fields. In particular, we show that the solution of negative curvature is in good agreement with our universe.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 04.20.Cv, 04.50.Kd

* felfracfar@hotmail.com

1 Introduction

The massive conformal gravity (MCG) is a conformally invariant theory of gravity in which the gravitational action is the sum of the Weyl action with the Einstein-Hilbert action conformally coupled to a scalar field. The MCG gravitational potential, which is composed by an attractive Newtonian potential and a repulsive Yukawa potential, describes well the rotation curves of galaxies without dark matter [1]. Furthermore, MCG is a consistent quantum theory of gravity [2, 3, 4] and is free of the vDVZ discontinuity [5].

Despite the promising results of MCG obtained so far, it is very important to test the theory with cosmological observations. The most accepted cosmological model to explain the current accelerated expansion of the universe is the Λ CDM model. This model is consistent with most of current cosmological observations, but suffers from the cosmological constant problem [6, 7]. Here we want to see if MCG explains the current accelerating universe without this important problem.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we describe the MCG cosmological field equations. In Sec. 3 we derive the energy-momentum tensor of a perfect fluid in MCG. In Sec. 4 we find the MCG cosmological solutions. In Sec. 5 we compare the cosmological solutions of MCG with cosmological observations. Finally, in Sec. 6 we present our conclusions.

2 Cosmological field equations

The total MCG action is given by [5]

$$S = \frac{1}{k^2 c} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\varphi^2 R + 6 \partial^\mu \varphi \partial_\mu \varphi - \alpha C^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \right] + \frac{1}{c} \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_m, \quad (1)$$

where $k^2 = 32\pi G/3c^4$, α is a constant with dimension of length², φ is a scalar field called dilaton,

$$C^\alpha{}_{\mu\beta\nu} = R^\alpha{}_{\mu\beta\nu} + \frac{1}{2} (\delta^\alpha{}_\nu R_{\mu\beta} - \delta^\alpha{}_\beta R_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\beta} R^\alpha{}_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} R^\alpha{}_\beta) + \frac{1}{6} (\delta^\alpha{}_\beta g_{\mu\nu} - \delta^\alpha{}_\nu g_{\mu\beta}) R \quad (2)$$

is the Weyl tensor, $R^\alpha{}_{\mu\beta\nu}$ is the Riemann tensor, $R_{\mu\nu} = R^\alpha{}_{\mu\alpha\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor, $R = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}$ is the scalar curvature, and $\mathcal{L}_m = \mathcal{L}_m(g_{\mu\nu}, \Psi)$ is the Lagrangian density of the matter field Ψ . It is worth noting that the action

(1) is invariant under the conformal transformations

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\theta(x)} g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \tilde{\varphi} = e^{-\theta(x)} \varphi, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_m = \mathcal{L}_m, \quad (3)$$

where $\theta(x)$ is an arbitrary function of the spacetime coordinates.

The variation of (1) with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$ and φ gives the MCG field equations

$$\varphi^2 G_{\mu\nu} + 6\partial_\mu\varphi\partial_\nu\varphi - 3g_{\mu\nu}\partial^\rho\varphi\partial_\rho\varphi + g_{\mu\nu}\nabla^\rho\nabla_\rho\varphi^2 - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\varphi^2 - 2\alpha W_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}k^2 T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4)$$

$$\left(\nabla^\mu\nabla_\mu - \frac{1}{6}R\right)\varphi = 0, \quad (5)$$

where

$$W_{\mu\nu} = \nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta C_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} - \frac{1}{2}R^{\alpha\beta}C_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \quad (6)$$

is the Bach tensor,

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R \quad (7)$$

is the Einstein tensor,

$$\nabla^\rho\nabla_\rho\varphi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial^\rho(\sqrt{-g}\partial_\rho\varphi) \quad (8)$$

is the generally covariant d'Alembertian for a scalar field, and

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_m}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \quad (9)$$

is the matter energy-momentum tensor.

Using the conformal invariance of the theory, we can impose the unitary gauge $\varphi = \varphi_0 = \text{constant}$. In this case, the field equations (4) and (5) become

$$\varphi_0^2 G_{\mu\nu} - 2\alpha W_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}k^2 T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (10)$$

$$R = 0. \quad (11)$$

In addition, in the unitary gauge, the MCG line element $ds^2 = (\varphi^2 g_{\mu\nu})dx^\mu dx^\nu$ reduces to

$$ds^2 = (\varphi_0^2 g_{\mu\nu})dx^\mu dx^\nu. \quad (12)$$

The full cosmological content of MCG can be obtained from (10)-(12) without loss of generality. We will address this issue in section 4.

3 Dynamical perfect fluid

We have seen in the previous section that the matter Lagrangian density has to be conformally invariant in MCG. Most of the general coordinate invariant Lagrangian densities of massless matter fields are conformally invariant too. In these cases we do not need to modify such Lagrangian densities. However, the same is not true for massive matter fields.

Let us consider the real valued general coordinate invariant Lagrangian density for a fermion field ψ coupled to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, which is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_m = \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{i}{2} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi - D_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi) - m \bar{\psi} \psi \right], \quad (13)$$

where m is the mass of the fermion field, $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$ is the adjoint fermion field, $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + [\gamma^\nu, \partial_\mu \gamma_\nu]/8 - [\gamma^\nu, \gamma_\lambda] \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu}/8$ ($\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ is the Levi-Civita connection), and γ^μ are the general relativistic Dirac matrices, which satisfy the anticommutation relation $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}$.

With the help of the conformal transformations

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\theta(x)} g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \tilde{\psi} = e^{-3\theta(x)/2} \psi, \quad \tilde{\gamma}^\mu = e^{-\theta(x)} \gamma^\mu, \quad (14)$$

it is possible to verify that the massless term of the Lagrangian density (13) is conformally invariant whereas the massive term is not. However, considering the coupling of the fermion field ψ with both the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and a scalar Higgs field S , we can generalize (13) to the conformally invariant Lagrangian density [8]

$$\mathcal{L}_m = -\sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{12} S^2 R + \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu S \partial_\mu S + \frac{1}{4!} \lambda S^4 + \frac{i}{2} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi - D_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi) + \mu S \bar{\psi} \psi \right], \quad (15)$$

where λ and μ are dimensionless coupling constants. Note that we have to use the additional conformal transformation $\tilde{S} = e^{-\theta(x)} S$ to show that (15) is conformally invariant.

The variation of (15) with respect to S , $\bar{\psi}$ and ψ gives the field equations

$$\left(\nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu - \frac{1}{6} R \right) S + \frac{1}{6} \lambda S^3 + \mu \bar{\psi} \psi = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$i \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi + \mu S \psi = 0, \quad (17)$$

$$iD_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu - \mu S \bar{\psi} = 0. \quad (18)$$

Substituting (15) into (9), and using (17) and (18), we obtain the energy-momentum tensor

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{6} g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\rho S \nabla_\rho S - \frac{2}{3} \nabla_\mu S \nabla_\nu S + \frac{1}{3} S \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu S - \frac{1}{3} g_{\mu\nu} S \nabla^\rho \nabla_\rho S \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6} S^2 G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4!} g_{\mu\nu} \lambda S^4 + T_{\mu\nu}^f, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where

$$T_{\mu\nu}^f = \frac{i}{4} (\bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu D_\nu \psi - D_\nu \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \psi + \bar{\psi} \gamma_\nu D_\mu \psi - D_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma_\nu \psi) \quad (20)$$

is the fermion energy-momentum tensor.

By imposing the unitary gauge $S = S_0$, where S_0 is a spontaneously broken constant expectation value for the Higgs field, and taking an incoherent average of $T_{\mu\nu}^f$ over all the modes propagating in a Robertson-Walker background, we find that (19) reduces to the energy-momentum tensor of a dynamical perfect fluid

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{6} S_0^2 G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4!} g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda + \left(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2} \right) u_\mu u_\nu + g_{\mu\nu} p, \quad (21)$$

where $\Lambda = \lambda S_0^4$ is an effective cosmological constant, ρ is the fluid density, p is the fluid pressure and u^μ is the fluid four-velocity, which is normalized to $u^\mu u_\mu = -c^2$.

Taking the trace of (21) and substituting into the trace of (10), we find

$$-\varphi_0^2 R = \frac{1}{2} k^2 \left(-\frac{1}{6} S_0^2 R + \frac{1}{6} \Lambda - c^2 \rho + 3p \right). \quad (22)$$

The additional use of (11) then gives the relation

$$\Lambda = 6(c^2 \rho - 3p). \quad (23)$$

Substituting this relation back into (21), we obtain

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{6} S_0^2 G_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu}^T, \quad (24)$$

where

$$T_{\mu\nu}^T = \left(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2} \right) u_\mu u_\nu + \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} (c^2 \rho + p) \quad (25)$$

is the traceless part of the kinematic perfect fluid energy-momentum tensor. Note that according to (24) the cosmological constant does not contribute to the dynamics of the MCG universe. This makes the theory free from the cosmological constant problem.

4 Cosmological solutions

By substituting (24) into (10), we find

$$(\varphi_0^2 - \omega_0^2) G_{\mu\nu} - \alpha^2 W_{\mu\nu} = \frac{16\pi G}{3c^4} T_{\mu\nu}^T, \quad (26)$$

where

$$\omega_0^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{9c^4} S_0^2. \quad (27)$$

Then, using (11), (25), the FriedmannLemaîtreRobertsonWalker (FLRW) line element

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + a(t)^2 \left(\frac{dr^2}{1 - Kr^2} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right), \quad (28)$$

and the fluid four-velocity $u^\mu = (\varphi_0 c, 0, 0, 0)$, the 00 and 11 components of (26) gives

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G_{\text{eff}}}{3c^2} (c^2 \rho + p), \quad (29)$$

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 + \frac{Kc^2}{a^2} = \frac{4\pi G_{\text{eff}}}{3c^2} (c^2 \rho + p), \quad (30)$$

where the dot denotes d/dt , $a = a(t)$ is the scale factor, $K = -1, 0$ or 1 is the spatial curvature, and

$$G_{\text{eff}} = \frac{G}{\varphi_0^2 - \omega_0^2} \quad (31)$$

is an effective gravitational constant.

The combination of (29) and (30) gives the energy continuity equation ($\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$) for the dynamical perfect fluid

$$\frac{d}{dt} [(c^2 \rho + p) a^4] = 0. \quad (32)$$

It follows from this equation that

$$c^2 \rho(t) + p(t) = (c^2 \rho_0 + p_0) \left(\frac{a_0}{a} \right)^4, \quad (33)$$

where, from now on, the subscript 0 denotes values at the present time t_0 . We can see from (33) that non-relativistic matter ($p = 0$) and radiation ($3p = c^2 \rho$) act the same way in the MCG universe.

We can write (30) in the usual form

$$\Omega + \Omega_K = 1, \quad (34)$$

where

$$\Omega = \frac{4\pi G_{\text{eff}}}{3c^2 H^2} (c^2 \rho + p), \quad \Omega_K = -\frac{Kc^2}{a^2 H^2}, \quad (35)$$

are dimensionless density parameters, and

$$H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \quad (36)$$

is the Hubble constant. By using (33) in (30), we find

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a_0 H_0}\right)^2 = \Omega_0 \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^2 + \Omega_{K,0}, \quad (37)$$

where

$$\Omega_0 = \frac{4\pi G_{\text{eff}}}{3c^2 H_0^2} (c^2 \rho_0 + p_0), \quad \Omega_{K,0} = -\frac{Kc^2}{a_0^2 H_0^2}. \quad (38)$$

The combination of (34) and (37) gives

$$dt = \frac{dx}{H_0(1 - \Omega_0 + \Omega_0 x^{-2})^{1/2}}, \quad (39)$$

where $x = a/a_0$. It follows from (39) that the time at which light emitted from a cosmological source reaches the earth with redshift z is given by

$$t = \frac{1}{H_0} \int_0^{1/(1+z)} \frac{dx}{(1 - \Omega_0 + \Omega_0 x^{-2})^{1/2}}, \quad (40)$$

where we considered that the zero of time corresponds to an infinite redshift, and

$$1 + z = \frac{a_0}{a}. \quad (41)$$

By considering the redshift equal to zero in (40), we find the present age of the MCG universe

$$t_0 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\Omega_0} - 1}{\Omega_0 - 1}\right) \frac{1}{H_0}. \quad (42)$$

It follows from this equation that

$$0 < t_0 < \frac{1}{2H_0} \quad (43)$$

for a closed universe ($\Omega_0 > 1$),

$$t_0 = \frac{1}{2H_0} \quad (44)$$

for a flat universe ($\Omega_0 = 1$), and

$$\frac{1}{2H_0} < t_0 < \frac{1}{H_0} \quad (45)$$

for an open universe ($\Omega_0 < 1$). We can see from (43)-(45) that only the open MCG universe is consistent with the available observational values of H_0 and t_0 .

Assuming that the MCG universe is open ($K = -1$), we can write (37) as

$$\dot{a}^2 = \frac{a_0^4 H_0^2 \Omega_0}{a^2} + c^2, \quad (46)$$

whose solution is given by

$$a(t) = \frac{a_0^2 H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_0}}{c} \left[\left(1 + \frac{c^2 t}{a_0^2 H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_0}} \right)^2 - 1 \right]^{1/2}. \quad (47)$$

Substituting (47) into the deceleration parameter

$$q = -\frac{\ddot{a}a}{\dot{a}^2}, \quad (48)$$

we find

$$q(t) = \frac{a_0^4 H_0^2 \Omega_0}{(a_0^2 H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_0} + c^2 t)^2}. \quad (49)$$

According to (47) and (49) the MCG universe begins with a big bang at $t = 0$ and continues to expand accelerated forever, becoming flat as $t \rightarrow \infty$. On scales above the electroweak scale, the Higgs field grows until ω acquires a value close to φ_0 near the Planck scale, which gives $G_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow \infty$ in the vicinity of the big bang. This behavior plays an essential role in the evolution of the early MCG universe, including the resolution of the big bang singularity.

5 Cosmological experimental tests

In order to compare the cosmological solution of MCG with cosmological observations, we must find the luminosity distance

$$d_L(z) = a_0 r(z)(1+z), \quad (50)$$

where $r(z)$ is the radial distance of a cosmological light source that is observed now on earth with redshift z .

In an open universe such as the MCG universe, the radial distance is determined by the equation of the radial worldline of a light ray

$$\int_0^{r(z)} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1+r^2}} = \int_{t(z)}^{t_0} \frac{c dt}{a(t)}. \quad (51)$$

By using (39) in (51), integrating both sides, substituting the result into (50), and making some algebra, we find

$$\frac{H_0 d_L}{c} = \frac{(1 + \sqrt{1 - \Omega_0})^2 (1+z)^2 - (\sqrt{1 - \Omega_0} + \sqrt{1 + 2\Omega_0 z + \Omega_0 z^2})^2}{(\sqrt{1 - \Omega_0} + \sqrt{1 + 2\Omega_0 z + \Omega_0 z^2}) (1 + \sqrt{1 - \Omega_0}) (\sqrt{1 - \Omega_0})}. \quad (52)$$

In order to estimate the values of H_0 and Ω_0 , we use the Union2.1 compilation with 580 SNIa data [9, 10, 11]. The procedure consists in compare, for each SNIa at redshift z_i , the observed distance modulus $\mu_{\text{obs}}(z_i)$ ($\equiv m_{\text{obs}} - M$, where M is the absolute magnitude) with the theoretical distance modulus $\mu_{\text{th}}(z)$ defined as

$$\mu_{\text{th}}(z) = 5 \log_{10} d_L(z) + 25, \quad (53)$$

where d_L is measured in Mpc. The best-fit values of H_0 and Ω_0 are determined by an iterative minimization of the function¹

$$\chi^2(\Omega_0, H_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{580} \frac{[\mu_{\text{obs}}(z_i) - \mu_{\text{th}}(z_i)]^2}{\sigma_i^2}, \quad (54)$$

where σ_i is the uncertainty on $\mu_{\text{obs}}(z_i)$.

¹Although the χ^2 procedure has a degeneracy between the Hubble constant and the absolute magnitude, it is useful to estimate the values of the cosmological parameters with a good confidence level. In order to find more accurate estimates, we must use model-independent procedures such as the Bayesian approach. However, due to the greater complexity of these procedures, we will leave them for future works.

The Hubble diagram with the 580 SNIa from the Union2.1 compilation and the best-fit MCG model is shown in FIG. 1. We find that the best-fit values of the MCG parameters are given by

$$H_0 = 67.48 \pm 0.29 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}, \quad (55)$$

$$\Omega_0 = 10^{-16}, \quad (56)$$

with $\chi_{\text{min}}^2/\text{dof} = 0.99$, where dof is the logogram of degree of freedom.




Figure 1: Hubble diagram for the Union2.1 compilation with 580 SNIa data. The red line represents the best-fitted MCG model.

Noting that the MCG gravitational potential describes well the rotation curves of galaxies without needing to appeal to dark matter, we can consider that the current MCG universe is dominated by baryonic matter with estimated mass density $\rho_0 \approx 4.19 \times 10^{-31} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ and pressure $p_0 \approx 0$. Using these values, (55), and (56) in (38), we find the effective gravitational constant

$$G_{\text{eff}} \approx 2.72 \times 10^{-25} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-2}, \quad (57)$$

and the current scale factor

$$a_0 \approx 1.37 \times 10^{26}. \quad (58)$$

We can see from (57) that the effective gravitational constant decreases the contribution of matter to the MCG cosmology.

The substitution of (55) and (56) into (42) gives the current age of the MCG universe

$$t_0 = 14.52 \pm 0.06 \text{ Gyr}, \quad (59)$$

which is consistent with the 14 Gyr estimated from old globular clusters [12]. Further analysis is needed to see if the MCG universe accommodates the age of the old quasar APM 08279+5255².

6 Final remarks

We have shown in this paper that the negative curvature cosmological solution of MCG is compatible with the current accelerating universe without needing to appeal to dark matter or dark energy. Additionally, the MCG cosmology is free of the cosmological constant and the big bang singularity problems. The early MCG cosmology, in particular CMB production and nucleosynthesis, and the compatibility of the current age of the MCG universe with the age of old high redshift objects will be investigated in the future.

References

- [1] F. F. Faria, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2014**, 520259 (2014).
- [2] F. F. Faria, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 188 (2016).
- [3] F. F. Faria, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, 11 (2017).
- [4] F. F. Faria, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 277 (2018).
- [5] F. F. Faria, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2019**, 7013012 (2019).

²The age determination of old high redshift objects such as APM 08279+5255 requires a rigorous statistical analysis involving many astrophysical constraints, which is beyond the scope of this paper.

- [6] S. Weinberg, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **61**, 1 (1989).
- [7] S. M. Carroll, *Living Rev. Rel.* **4**, 1 (2001).
- [8] P.D. Mannheim, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **22**, 289 (1990).
- [9] N. Suzuki, et al., *Astroph. J.* **746**, 85 (2012).
- [10] R. Amanullah et al., *Astroph. J.* **716**, 712 (2010).
- [11] M. Kowalski, et al., *Astroph. J.* **686**, 749 (2008).
- [12] F. Pont, M. Mayor, C. Turon and D. A. Vandenberg, *Astron. Astrophys.* **329**, 87 (1998).