

Effects of quantum gravity on black holes

Deyou Chen^{1,2}, Houwen Wu¹, Haitang Yang^{1,3} and Shuzheng Yang²

¹*Center for Theoretical Physics,
College of Physical Science and Technology,
Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China*

²*College of Physics and Electronic Information,
China West Normal University,
Nanchong 637009, China*

³*Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics China (KITPC),
Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Beijing 100080, P.R. China*

dchen@cwnu.edu.cn, 2013222020003@stu.scu.edu.cn, hyanga@scu.edu.cn, szyang@cwnu.edu.cn

Abstract

In this review, we discuss effects of quantum gravity on black hole physics. After a brief review of the origin of the minimal observable length from various quantum gravity theories, we present the tunneling method. To incorporate quantum gravity effects, we modify the Klein-Gordon equation and Dirac equation by the modified fundamental commutation relations. Then we use the modified equations to discuss the tunneling radiation of scalar particles and fermions. The corrected Hawking temperatures are related to the quantum numbers of the emitted particles. Quantum gravity corrections slow down the increase of the temperatures. The remnants are observed as $M_{\text{Res}} \gtrsim \frac{M_p}{\sqrt{\beta_0}}$. The mass is quantized by the modified Wheeler-DeWitt equation and is proportional to n in quantum gravity regime. The thermodynamical property of the black hole is studied by the influence of quantum gravity effects.

Keywords: effects of quantum gravity; tunneling radiation; remnants, thermodynamics.

PACS numbers: 04.70.Cs, 04.70.Dy, 97.60.Lf

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	The minimal observable length	5
2.1	The viewpoint of mini black holes	5
2.2	The viewpoint of string theory	6
2.3	The viewpoint of loop quantum gravity	8
3	Review the tunneling method	11
3.1	The null geodesic method	11
3.2	The Hamilton-Jacobi method	14
3.3	Fermions' tunneling radiation	15
4	New derivation of the geodesic equation	17
5	Tunneling radiation with corrections of quantum gravity	20
5.1	Tunneling radiation of scalar particles	21
5.1.1	Quantum gravity corrections by GUP	21
5.1.2	The generalized Klein-Gordon equation	23
5.1.3	Scalar particles' tunneling radiation in the Schwarzschild black hole	24
5.2	Tunneling radiation of fermions	26
5.2.1	The generalized Dirac equation	26
5.2.2	Fermions' tunneling in the Schwarzschild black hole	28
5.2.3	Fermions' tunneling in the Reissner-Nordstrom spacetime	30
5.2.4	Fermions' tunneling in the 5-dimensional rotating black string	33
5.3	Remnants in black holes	37
6	The mass spectrum	38
7	Thermodynamics	40
8	Discussion and Conclusion	42

1 Introduction

The discovery of Hawking radiation is an important progress in gravitational physics [1]. It shows the existence of the thermodynamical property in black holes. The temperature of a black hole is proportional to its surface gravity. The entropy is equivalent to one-fourth of the horizon area. These results reveal the connection between relativity theory, statistical mechanics and quantum mechanics.

Hawking radiation is described as a tunneling effect of particles across the black hole's horizon. It has been deeply researched by different methods [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. The original research was carried out in the quantum field theory which is based on the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. It led to the standard Hawking formula and predicted the complete evaporation of black holes.

The tunneling method put forward by Kraus and Wilczek is an effective way to study the Hawking radiation and attracts many physicists attention [4, 5]. In this method, the derivation of Hawking radiation is based on the dynamical geometry approach and relies on the calculation of the imaginary part of the classically forbidden process [6, 8]. When energy conservation is taken into account, the black hole's spacetime should be varied with the emission of a particle. Considering the varied spacetime and the self-gravity interaction, Parikh and Wilczek researched the tunneling radiation of massless particles across the horizons of the spherically symmetric black holes. The result showed that tunneling rate is related to the change of Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. The corrected Hawking temperature is higher than the standard one and the leading correction is dependent on the emitted particle's energy. This result implies that the varied background spacetime accelerates the evaporation of the black hole. In this method, the key point is the derivation of the radial geodesic equation. Therefore, this method is also called as the null geodesic method. For the massless particle, its radial geodesic equation is derived by $ds^2 = 0$. The equation of motion of a massive particle is different from that of massless case. The trajectory of a massless particle is a null geodesic, while that of a massive particle obeys de Broglie wave function and is the phase velocity of the outgoing wave. The phase velocity is related to the the group velocity. Through solving the group velocity, Zhang and Zhao got the equation of motion of the massive particle [9, 10]. Then using the equation of motion, the tunneling radiation of massive particles was studied. The result is full in consistence with that derived in Ref. [6]. Extending this method to the general spacetimes, the tunneling radiation of the various spacetimes were discussed in Refs. [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24].

Another way to derive the action relies on solving the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, which is called as the Hamilton-Jacobi method [25, 26]. This method is a extension of the complex path integral method [7, 27, 28]. To derive the imaginary part, the action is separated by the radial and angular parts. In the calculation, it is not needed to assume a particle with the s-wave emission since the contribution of the angular part is canceled out. Using the Hamilton-Jacobi method and the Dirac equation in curved spacetime, the fermions' tunneling behaviors were discussed in Kerner and Mann's work [29, 30]. Due to the fixed background spacetimes, the standard Hawking formulae were recovered. The subsequent work in the various spacetimes can be found in Refs. [31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 37, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44].

The black hole entropy has been deeply studied. In the original derivation, the entropy is pro-

portional to the horizon area. However, there is a logarithmic correction to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy in other investigations [45, 46, 47]. This phenomenon may be caused by quantum gravity. An interest prediction of quantum gravity theory is the existence of the minimal observable length. This prediction was found in string theory, loop quantum gravity and doubly special relativity, respectively [48, 49, 50, 51]. This view was also supported by the Gedanken experiments in the spirit of black hole physics [52]. The generalized uncertainty principle (GUP) is an effective way to realize this length,

$$\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[1 + \beta (\Delta p)^2 + \beta \langle p \rangle^2 \right], \quad (1.1)$$

where $\beta = \beta_0 \ell_p^2 / \hbar^2 = \beta_0 c^2 / M_p^2$, $\beta_0 < 10^5$ is a dimensionless parameter [53], $\ell_p = \sqrt{G\hbar/c^3}$ is the Planck mass and $M_p = \sqrt{\hbar c/G}$ is the Planck mass. GUP was first derived in Kempf and Mann's work by the modified fundamental commutation relations $[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar\delta_{ij} [1 + \beta p^2]$, where x_i and p_i are operators of position and momentum defined by [54]

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= x_{0i}, \\ p_i &= p_{0i}(1 + \beta p_0^2), \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

$p_0^2 = \sum p_{0j} p_{0j}$, x_{0i} and p_{0j} satisfy the canonical commutation relations $[x_{0i}, p_{0j}] = i\hbar\delta_{ij}$. Thus the minimal position uncertainty is

$$\Delta x = \hbar\sqrt{\beta}\sqrt{1 + \beta \langle p \rangle^2}. \quad (1.3)$$

It implies the minimum measurable length $\Delta x_0 = \hbar\sqrt{\beta}$. When $\beta > 0$, Δx_0 has the physical meaning. Modifications of the commutation relations are not unique. Other modifications can be found in the related references [55, 56, 57].

These modifications play an important role in the researches of quantum gravity. Taking into account effects of quantum gravity, the Schrodinger equation, Klein-Gordon equations and Dirac equation were modified by the modified fundamental commutation relations [58, 59]. Applying these modifications to the superconductivity and quantum Hall effect, the authors computed the Planck scale corrections and showed that Planck scale effects may account for a part of the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon [60]. The effects of quantum gravity on compact star cores and on the Planck era of the universe were discussed in the papers [61, 62, 63, 64]. The treatment of hydrogen atom problems with a generalized uncertainty relation was present in the work of Bouaziz et al. [65, 66, 67]. Incorporating the GUP into black holes, the thermodynamical properties and the remnants were studied in recent researches [68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74]. The corrections of quantum gravity to the various quantum phenomena appeared [57].

In this paper, we review quantum gravity effects on the black holes. We first give a review of the minimal observable length derived in various quantum gravity theories in section 2. Then, the

tunneling method was discussed in section 3. This method contains the null geodesic method and the Hamilton-Jacobi method. In section 4, we adopt the new definition for the geodesic equation of a massive particle to discuss the tunneling radiation. In section 5, incorporating effects of quantum gravity into black holes' physics, we investigate the tunneling radiation of scalar particles and fermions. Effects of quantum gravity were introduced by the modified Klein-Gordon equation and Dirac equation. The corrected temperatures are affected by the quantum numbers of the emitted particles. Quantum corrections slow down the increase of the temperatures. The remnants are left during the evaporation. In Section 6, we first review the quantized mass of the black hole by the Wheeler-DeWitt (WDW) equation. Then modify WDW equation by the influence of the quantum gravity effects and use the modified equation to discuss the quantum black hole. The thermodynamics of the black holes is investigated in section 7. Section 8 is devoted to our discussion and conclusion.

2 The minimal observable length

In this section, we do not review the history of the minimal observable length, since it can be seen in the review paper [75]. Here we only present the motivation which inspired GUP.

2.1 The viewpoint of mini black holes

There are two critical scales in the modern physics. The first one is the Compton wavelength which marks the quantum property. The second one is the radius of a black hole. In this scale, there is a strong gravitational field. When the mass of the black hole approaches to the Planck mass, the radius and its Compton wavelength are comparable [75]. Thus we should consider the effects of quantum and strong gravitation together in this region. We first take into account the Compton wavelength, which is defined by

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{m}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\hbar = c$ are set to 1 and m is the rest mass of a given particle. It can be seen as the wavelength of a photon. Moreover, it is also the limitation measured a particle's position [76]. To see this point we use the Heisenberg uncertainty relation

$$\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2.5)$$

For a relativistic particle, we adopt $E \sim p \sim m$. If Δx is smaller than the Compton wavelength, namely $\Delta x \leq \frac{1}{E}$, we will find $\Delta p \geq \frac{1}{2}E$. This will create other particle and lead to the definition of a particle unclear. Therefore, the position uncertainty must satisfy the following inequality

$$\Delta x \geq \frac{1}{2m}, \quad (2.6)$$

which is larger than the Compton wavelength.

On the other hand, the radius of a Schwarzschild black hole is

$$r_H = 2GM, \quad (2.7)$$

where M is a mass of black hole. The uncertainty for the radius can be directly found as

$$\Delta r_H = 2G\Delta M. \quad (2.8)$$

Thus, we get the uncertainty of the position is longer than the Compton wavelength, namely, $\Delta x \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Delta M}$. When ΔM of a black hole approaches to the Planck mass or the radius reaches its Compton wavelength, the two terms become comparable $\frac{1}{\Delta M} \sim G\Delta M$, since $M_p = G^{-1/2}$. Therefore, the total uncertainty relation should be combined by these two contributions linearly

$$\Delta r_H \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta M} + \beta_0 G\Delta M \right), \quad (2.9)$$

where β_0 is a dimensionless constant to specify a certain theory. Therefore, the minimal length appears in the above equation.

2.2 The viewpoint of string theory

We first begin with a non-relativistic particle. The Feynman path integral of this particle can be achieved by considering its world line $x(\tau)$ [76, 77], which is given as follows

$$Z_{\text{particle}} \sim \int \mathcal{D}x \exp \left[\frac{i}{\lambda^2} \sum \epsilon \left(\frac{\delta_\tau x}{\epsilon} \right)^2 \right], \quad (2.10)$$

where λ is a dimensional constant and ϵ is a parameter which comes from that we divide a time interval into the equal pieces. For a piece, we find that the particle travels a distance

$$\langle (\delta x)^2 \rangle \sim \epsilon \lambda^2. \quad (2.11)$$

It implies that the resolution of the above equation can be arbitrarily high when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Now we back to the string theory and introduce a fundamental action in string theory. It is the well-known Polyakov action, which describes a dynamics on the world sheet in a target space. The action is

$$S = -\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{-g} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.12)$$

where $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is a metric on the worldsheet and $X^\mu(\tau, \sigma)$ is a surface which defines a map from the worldsheet to the target space. It should be noted that the prefactor of this action denotes the fundamental length of a string:

$$\ell_s^2 = \alpha', \quad (2.13)$$

where the Planck scale in 10 dimensions has $\ell_p^{(10)} \sim g^{1/4} \ell_s$, with the string coupling $g \sim e^\phi$. It implies that if the string coupling g is small enough, the string length is larger than the Planck length in the given dimensions. Now, we can get the path integral for this action as [76, 77]

$$Z_{\text{string}} \sim \int \mathcal{D}X \exp \left(\frac{i}{\ell_s^2} \sum \epsilon^2 \left[\left(\frac{\delta_\tau X}{\epsilon} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta_\sigma X}{\epsilon} \right)^2 \right] \right). \quad (2.14)$$

The resolution becomes

$$\langle (\delta X)^2 \rangle \sim \ell_s^2. \quad (2.15)$$

This formula is independent of the parameter ϵ , which implies that no matter how the parameter ϵ is, there is a minimum length ℓ_s . Moreover, when we consider the scattering amplitude of a string at high energy, it also gives us the same result. Since, the string theory is fuzzy below the scale $\ell_s = \sqrt{\alpha'}$, we can not use strings to probe the distance shorter than this scale [78].

The minimal length can be also seen in the T-duality of a closed string. We consider a closed string winding on the compact extra dimension. In this configuration, the closed string can not shrink to zero size. It is different from the closed string which moves in the Minkowski spacetime and gives us a remarkable property. In the 1 + 25 dimensional spacetime, we first compact the 25th extra dimension, which needs us to identify the coordinate of 25th dimension via

$$x \sim x + 2\pi R, \quad (2.16)$$

where R is a radius of the extra dimension. In this compact background, the periodicity condition of the closed string $X(\tau, \sigma + 2\pi) = X(\tau, \sigma)$ can be replaced by

$$X(\tau, \sigma + 2\pi) = X(\tau, \sigma) + m(2\pi R), \quad (2.17)$$

where m is called as the winding number. For convenience, we introduce the definition of the winding: $w \equiv mR/\alpha'$, which is born from the winding number. Therefore, the mode expansions for the left-moving and right-moving string coordinates are

$$\begin{aligned} X_L(\tau + \sigma) &= \frac{1}{2}x_0^L + \frac{\alpha'}{2}(p + w)(\tau + \sigma) + \text{oscillator modes}, \\ X_R(\tau - \sigma) &= \frac{1}{2}x_0^R + \frac{\alpha'}{2}(p - w)(\tau - \sigma) + \text{oscillator modes}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two coordinates, we find the full coordinates $X(\tau, \sigma)$, which take a form as

$$X(\tau, \sigma) = x_0 + \alpha' p \tau + \alpha' w \sigma + \text{oscillator modes}. \quad (2.18)$$

It is easy to see that the winding w has a same behavior with the momenta p . This is why we call it as winding momenta. To quantize this closed string, the spectrum of p around the circle direction can be obtained directly from e^{ipX} which looks like the unity operator. The spectrum is

$$p = \frac{n}{R}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.19)$$

In view of the relationship between the winding and winding number, we find the eigenvalues of w , which is

$$w = \frac{mR}{\alpha'}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.20)$$

After calculations, the formula of the squared mass is gotten as

$$M^2 = p^2 + w^2 + \frac{2}{\alpha'} (N + \tilde{N} - 2) = \frac{n^2}{R^2} + \frac{m^2 R^2}{\alpha'^2} + \frac{2}{\alpha'} (N + \tilde{N} - 2), \quad (2.21)$$

where N and \tilde{N} are integers and they satisfy the level matching condition: $N - \tilde{N} = nm$. It is easy to figure out that this formula is invariant under the exchange

$$R \longleftrightarrow \frac{\alpha'}{R}, \quad m \longleftrightarrow n. \quad (2.22)$$

This remarkable statement is named as T-duality in the closed string theory, and it tells us we can not distinguish the physics at very large or very small circle. It also exhibits a minimal length scale in string theory. Since, when a circle shrinks into the size of a critical scale $R = \sqrt{\alpha'}$, the theory will become one which is used to describe the physics in a growing circle. In other words, the modes of momenta will be replaced by winding modes. Therefore, it gives us the minimal length [78],

$$R_{\min} = \sqrt{\alpha'} = \ell_s, \quad (2.23)$$

where ℓ_s is a string length.

2.3 The viewpoint of loop quantum gravity

The discussion of this section is based on Refs. [79, 80]. Loop quantum gravity (LQG) is a candidate of quantum gravity theory. Unlike string theory, LQG does not need the supersymmetry and extra dimensions. It is only focused on quantizing gravity, but not other gauge fields. However, the motivation of LQG was born from gauge theories. Recall the Maxwell theory, the Stokes' theorem tells us

$$\int_C \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{s} = \int_S \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} \cdot \vec{n} d^2x, \quad (2.24)$$

where LHS describes the circulation of a vector potential \vec{A} along a closed curve C , and RHS is the curl of \vec{A} on the surface bounded by the closed curve. This equality tells us that if we find a circulation for all closed curves, we will find the curl of \vec{A} . Here, $\epsilon^{abc} F_{bc} = 2 (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A})^a$. The result shows that if we find the circulation for all closed curves, we can know all characteristics of Maxwell fields. This result can be naturally extended to the Yang-Mills theory. Since the Yang-Mills theory is controlled by non-Abelian groups, the Stokes' theorem becomes invalid and we should adopt non-Abelian Stokes' theorem. Roughly speaking, we can use the holonomy to replace the circulation in the Maxwell theory. The result is same to that in the Maxwell theory. If we know the trace of the holonomy for a vector

potential $\mathbf{A}_\mu = \sum A_\mu^i \sigma^i$ (can be seen as a connection in the Yang-Mills theory) along all loops, we can get all quantities of Yang-Mills fields. In other words, the trace of the holonomy can be used to construct a basis for all observable quantities in the Yang-Mills theory. In view of the new variables introduced by Ashtekar, the general relativity can be seen as the Yang-Mills theory in loop quantum gravity.

Now let us see how a minimal length emerges from LQG. We quantize gravity in the general relativity. The canonical quantization is adopted. Firstly, the Einstein-Hilbert action is rewritten in the Hamiltonian formulation. Secondly, the configuration variables and its conjugate momenta are expressed as operators. Then we impose the commutation relations. Since the space and time are treated as same footing in the general relativity, this does not work in the Hamiltonian formulation. We should carry out decomposition on the spacetime at first. In the decomposition, we assume the spacetime topology is $\Sigma \times R$, where Σ is a three dimensional manifold. When the time t is fixed, Σ can be seen as a spatial slice of the spacetime. To use triads formulation, the spatial metric on Σ is defined by the inner product between three vectors, namely,

$$q^{ab} = E_i^a E_j^b \delta^{ij}, \quad (2.25)$$

where E_i^a is triads, $i = 1, 2, 3$ denote the three-dimensional vector fields, a denotes coordinates of a curved space and i denotes coordinates of at space. The covariant derivative is $D_a G^i = \partial_a G^i + \omega_{aj}^i G^j$, where ω is a spin connection. To write the Einstein-Hilbert action in terms of triads, we should introduce the concept of triads density, $\tilde{E}_i^a = \sqrt{\det(q)} E_i^a$. Therefore, the spacetime metric can be written as

$$ds^2 = (-N^2 + N_a N^a) dt^2 + 2N_a dt dx^a + q_{ab} dx^a dx^b. \quad (2.26)$$

Now let us recall the Einstein-Hilbert action in the general relativity, which is expressed as follows

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\det(g)} R. \quad (2.27)$$

Using the Ashtekar's variables, we rewrite the action into the canonical formulation. The Ashtekar's variables are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Configuration variable : } & A_a^i, \quad SU(2) \text{ Yang - Mills like connection,} \\ \text{Conjugate momenta : } & \tilde{E}_i^a, \quad \text{triads density in GR.} \end{aligned}$$

The Poisson bracket for Ashtekar's variables is

$$\left\{ A_a^i(x), \tilde{E}_i^a(y) \right\} = 8\pi G \gamma \delta_b^a \delta_j^i \delta^3(x-y), \quad (2.28)$$

where γ is the Barbero-Immirzi parameter. Adopting the Ashtekar's variables and using the metric (2.26), the Einstein-Hilbert action is rewritten as

$$S = \frac{1}{8\pi G\gamma} \int dt \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \left[\tilde{E}_i^a \dot{A}_a^i + NH + N^a H_a + \lambda_i G^i \right], \quad (2.29)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \epsilon_{ijk} \tilde{E}_i^a \tilde{E}_j^b F_{ab}^k, \\ H_a &= \tilde{E}_i^b F_{ab}^i, \\ G^i &= D_a \tilde{E}_i^a, \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

where F_{ab} is a field strength of the connection A_a^i and N , N_a , λ_i are Lagrange multipliers. Since the general relativity is a totally constrained system, the Hamiltonian of the action equals zero and it is only a linear combination of some constraints. In Eq. (2.30), $H = 0$ is a Hamiltonian constraint, $H_a = 0$ is a momentum constraint and $G^i = 0$ is a Gauss' law. Keep in mind that Gauss' law generates gauge transformations, and the last two constraints of (2.30) manifest diffeomorphisms. In the quantization, these constraints control the behavior of the wave function Ψ and the dynamics of the system. We should note that the Hamiltonian constraint is not well-defined. The related discussions is referred to Refs.[79, 80]. In the following, we use the constraints to pick out the physical states.

To quantize this system, we adopt the procedure of the canonical quantization. After that the canonical variables is written to operators, the commutation relations are given by

$$\left[\hat{A}_a^i(x), \hat{\tilde{E}}_i^a(y) \right] = i\delta_b^a \delta_j^i \delta^3(x-y). \quad (2.31)$$

The natural wave function is based on the connection $\Psi(A_a^i)$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_a^i \Psi(A) &= A_a^i \Psi(A), \\ \hat{\tilde{E}}_i^a \Psi(A) &= -i \frac{\delta \Psi(A)}{\delta A_a^i}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

In order to satisfy the constraints, we use the holonomy to construct the wave function. The trace of the holonomy is a function of the connection and it is invariant under gauge transformations. Therefore, we expand the connection representation in a basis of the loop representation,

$$\text{loop transformation : } \Psi[A] = \sum_{\gamma} \Psi[\gamma] W_{\gamma}[A], \quad (2.33)$$

where $\Psi[\gamma]$ represents the loop basis and $W_{\gamma}[A]$ are the traces of the holonomies defined by

$$W_{\gamma}[A] = \text{Tr} \left(P \left[\exp \left(- \oint_{\gamma} \dot{\gamma}^a(s) \mathbf{A}_a(s) \right) ds \right] \right), \quad (2.34)$$

with a path ordered exponential P . There is a problem for the loop basis: it is over complete. To solve this problem, one introduces the spin network states ψ_s , which minimizes the loop basis. Adopting these appropriate states, we can construct the area operator and find its eigenvalues. The area of the surface Σ is rewritten in terms of the triad density

$$A_\Sigma = \int_\Sigma dx^1 dx^2 \sqrt{\det(q^{(2)})} = \int_\Sigma dx^1 dx^2 \sqrt{\tilde{E}_i^3 \tilde{E}^{3i}}. \quad (2.35)$$

To express this area as the area operator, we should express the triad \tilde{E}_i^3 as the operator \hat{E}_i^3 by Eq. (2.32) at first. Then the area operator takes a form as

$$\hat{A}_\Sigma = \int_\Sigma dx^1 dx^2 \sqrt{\left[\hat{E}_i^3\right]_f \left[\hat{E}_i^3\right]_f}. \quad (2.36)$$

In the above equation, f represents smearing functions. Impose this operator on the spin network states ψ_s . Thus we get

$$\hat{A}_\Sigma \psi_s = 8\pi \ell_p^2 \gamma \sum_I \sqrt{j_I(j_I + 1)} \psi_s, \quad (2.37)$$

where I denotes the edge of the spin networks and j_I is a positive half integer. In string theory, we quantize the world sheet in the Ricci flat target space. The result of the closed string includes the massless spin-2 graviton naturally. The interaction of these massless closed strings curves the spacetime background. However, in LQG, we do not quantize a field in any other backgrounds, but use a quanta of the space to construct the background itself. Therefore, the area of the space is discrete and there is the minimal area which can be seen as a ground state of the quantum system. The minimal area is

$$A_{\min} = 4\pi\sqrt{3}\gamma\ell_p^2. \quad (2.38)$$

It is an order of ℓ_p^2 , which implies that there is a minimal length $\sim \ell_p$. The review paper for loop quantum gravity and loop quantum cosmology can be seen in Refs. [81, 82, 83, 84, 85].

The minimal observable length derived from GUP has been discussed in the introduction. We do not need to review it here.

3 Review the tunneling method

3.1 The null geodesic method

In the null geodesic method, the imaginary part of the action is derived by the particle's geodesic [4, 5, 6]. The energy conservation is considered, thus the background spacetime is varied with the particle's emission. We take the tunneling radiation in the Schwarzschild black hole for instance to review this method. The Schwarzschild metric is given by

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{1}{g(r)}dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (3.39)$$

with $f(r) = g(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r}$, M is the black hole's mass. The event horizon is located at $r_E = 2M$. To avoid the singularity at the horizon, we perform the Painleve coordinate transformation

$$dt \rightarrow dt - \sqrt{\frac{1-g(r)}{f(r)g(r)}} dr, \quad (3.40)$$

on the metric (3.39) and get

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) dt^2 + 2\sqrt{\frac{2M}{r}} dt dr + dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2). \quad (3.41)$$

The above metric describes a stationary (not a static) spacetime and the singularity at the horizon is eliminated. We assume an s-wave outgoing positive energy particle. The radial null geodesics of the particle are defined by $ds^2 = 0$ from the metric (3.41), which are

$$\dot{r} = \frac{dr}{dt} = \pm 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2M}{r}}, \quad (3.42)$$

where $+$ ($-$) correspond to the outgoing (ingoing) geodesics. We fix the total energy of a spacetime and allow that of the black hole to fluctuate. When a particle with energy ω is emitted, the energy of the black hole's system should be reduced. Then the mass M should be replaced by $M - \omega$ in Eqs. (3.41) and (3.42). Thus the geodesics become

$$\dot{r} = \pm 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2(M - \omega)}{r}}. \quad (3.43)$$

The tunneling rate is determined by the imaginary part of the emitted particle's action. The imaginary part of the action can be expressed as

$$ImS = Im \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} p_r dr = Im \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} \int_0^{p_r} dp'_r dr, \quad (3.44)$$

where r_{in} and r_{out} are the initial and final radii of the black hole, respectively. To solve the above equation, we introduce the Hamilton's equation $\dot{r} = \frac{dH}{dp_r} |_{r}$, and $H = M - \omega'$. Then the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} ImS &= Im \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} \int_M^{M-\omega} \frac{dr}{\dot{r}} d(M - \omega') \\ &= Im \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} \int_M^{M-\omega} \frac{dr}{1 - \sqrt{\frac{2(M-\omega')}{r}}} d(M - \omega') \\ &= 4\pi M\omega \left(1 - \frac{\omega}{2M}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

Therefore, the tunneling rate of the massless particle across the horizon is

$$\Gamma \sim \exp(-2ImS) = \exp\left[-8\pi M\omega\left(1 - \frac{\omega}{2M}\right)\right] = \exp(\Delta S_{B-H}), \quad (3.46)$$

where ΔS_{B-H} is the change of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. This equation is the Boltzmann factor with the Hawking temperature at the horizon taking

$$T = \frac{1}{8\pi M\left(1 - \frac{\omega}{2M}\right)} = \left(1 + \frac{\omega}{2M}\right)T_0. \quad (3.47)$$

In the above equation, $T_0 = \frac{1}{8\pi M}$ is the original Hawking temperature derived without consideration of the varied background spacetime. Clearly, the true temperature is higher than the original one. The original Hawking formula predicts the complete evaporation of the black hole. Therefore, we can conclude that the varied spacetime accelerates the evaporation.

The above discussion is focused on the massless particle. Zhang and Zhao extended this work the case of massive particles [9, 10, 11]. For a massive particle, its equation of motion is different from that of the massless particle. According to de Broglies hypothesis and the definition of the phase velocity v_p and the group v_g velocity, we have the relationship

$$v_p = \frac{dr}{dt} = \dot{r}, \quad v_g = \frac{dr_c}{dt}, \quad v_p = \frac{1}{2}v_g, \quad (3.48)$$

where r_c is the radial position of the particle. We still carry out the discussion in the Painleve metric (3.41). Using Landau's theory of the coordinate clock synchronization, we get the coordinate time difference of these two events

$$dt = -\frac{g_{01}}{g_{00}}dr_c, \quad (d\theta = d\varphi = 0). \quad (3.49)$$

Then the group velocity is gotten as

$$v_g = \frac{dr_c}{dt} = -\frac{g_{00}}{g_{01}}, \quad (3.50)$$

and the phase velocity is

$$v_p = \dot{r} = \frac{1}{2}v_g = -\frac{g_{00}}{g_{01}} = \frac{1 - \frac{2M}{r}}{\sqrt{\frac{2M}{r}}}. \quad (3.51)$$

Inserting the above equation into the imaginary part (3.44) and adopting the Hamilton's equation $\dot{r} = \frac{dH}{dp_r} |_{r}$, we can get the same imaginary part as Eq. (3.45). Therefore, the tunneling rate and the Hawking temperature are recovered by the massive particle across the horizon.

3.2 The Hamilton-Jacobi method

The embryonic form of the Hamilton-Jacobi method is the complex integration method [7, 27, 25]. In this method, we don't need to assume that a particle moves radially. The action of the particle is derived by the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu I\partial_\nu I + m^2 = 0, \quad (3.52)$$

where m and I are the mass and the action of the particle. Here we adopt the Schwarzschild metric (3.39) to review this method. Inserting the inverse metric of the metric (3.39) into the Hamilton-Jacobi equation yields

$$-\frac{1}{f}(\partial_t I)^2 + g(\partial_r I)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}(\partial_\theta I)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta}(\partial_\phi I)^2 + m^2 = 0. \quad (3.53)$$

It is difficult to solve the above equation directly. Taking into account the properties of the metric, we carry out variables of separation as follows

$$I = -\omega t + W(r) + J(\theta, \phi), \quad (3.54)$$

where ω is the energy of the emitted particle. Substituting the separated variables into Eq. (3.53) and solving it yield

$$\begin{aligned} W_\pm &= \pm \int \frac{dr}{\sqrt{gf}} \sqrt{\omega^2 - f \left[\frac{1}{r^2}(\partial_\theta J)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta}(\partial_\phi J)^2 + m^2 \right]} \\ &= \pm i\pi 2M\omega, \end{aligned} \quad (3.55)$$

where $+$ ($-$) correspond to the outgoing (ingoing) solutions [22]. Thus the tunneling rate is

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \frac{P_{(emission)}}{P_{(absorption)}} = \frac{\exp(-2ImI_+)}{\exp(-2ImI_-)} = \frac{\exp[-2ImW_+ - 2ImJ]}{\exp[-2ImW_- - 2ImJ]} \\ &= \exp(-8\pi M\omega). \end{aligned} \quad (3.56)$$

In the above equation, the solution of J is the complex function, but the contribution was canceled in the calculation. Therefore, the tunneling rate is only related to the radial action of the particle. Thus the Hawking temperature of the black hole is gotten as

$$T = \frac{1}{8\pi M}. \quad (3.57)$$

In the discussion, since we neglected the varied background spacetime of the black hole, the standard Hawking temperature, which implies the complete evaporation of the black hole, was recovered. When the varied spacetime are taken into account, the corrected Hawking temperature (3.47) will be gotten [86, 87].

3.3 Fermions' tunneling radiation

In this subsection, we adopt the Hamilton-Jacobi method to review the tunneling radiation of fermions across the horizon of the Schwarzschild spacetime [29, 30]. The equation of motion of a spin-1/2 particle satisfies $i\gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu + \Omega_\mu) \psi + \frac{m}{\hbar} \psi = 0$, which is used in the signature metric $(+, -, -, -)$. When we use the signature $(-, +, +, +)$, the equation of motion should be ¹

$$\gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu + \Omega_\mu) \psi + \frac{m}{\hbar} \psi = 0, \quad (3.58)$$

where m is the mass of the fermion, $\Omega_\mu \equiv \frac{i}{2} \omega_\mu^{ab} \Sigma_{ab}$, ω_μ^{ab} is the spin connection and is defined by the ordinary connection $\omega_\mu^a{}_b = e_\nu^a e^\lambda{}_b \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\nu - e^\lambda{}_b \partial_\mu e_\lambda^a$, e_μ^a is a tetrad. The Greek indices are raised and lowered by the curved spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the Latin indices are governed by the flat metric η_{ab} . The construction of the tetrad relies on the definitions

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^a e_\nu^b \eta_{ab}, \quad \eta_{ab} = g_{\mu\nu} e^\mu{}_a e^\nu{}_b, \quad e^\mu{}_a e_\nu^a = \delta_\nu^\mu, \quad e^\mu{}_a e_\mu^b = \delta_a^b. \quad (3.59)$$

Σ_{ab} is the Lorentz spinor generator defined by

$$\Sigma_{ab} = \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^a, \gamma^b], \quad \{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\} = 2\eta^{ab}. \quad (3.60)$$

Then it is easily to construct the γ^μ in curved spacetime, which is

$$\gamma^\mu = e^\mu{}_a \gamma^a, \quad \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}. \quad (3.61)$$

To deal with Hawking radiation of fermions, one should first choose a tetrad. It is straightforward to guess the tetrad from the metric (3.39). It is

$$e_\mu^a = \text{diag} \left(\sqrt{f}, 1/\sqrt{g}, r, r \sin \theta \right). \quad (3.62)$$

Then the gamma matrices are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^t &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, & \gamma^\theta &= \frac{1}{r} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^1 \\ \sigma^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \gamma^r &= \sqrt{g} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^3 \\ \sigma^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \gamma^\phi &= \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^2 \\ \sigma^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.63)$$

Here, σ^i 's are the Pauli Sigma matrices given by $\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. The spin-1/2 fermion has two spin states, namely, spin up state and spin down state. Their wave functions are expressed as

¹Please see section 36: Lagrangians for spinor fields, "Quantum Field Theory", M. Srednicki. It was also discussed in Ref. [88].

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\uparrow} &= \begin{pmatrix} A(t, r, \theta, \phi) \\ 0 \\ B(t, r, \theta, \phi) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} I_{\uparrow}(t, r, \theta, \phi)\right), \\
\Psi_{\downarrow} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ C(t, r, \theta, \phi) \\ 0 \\ D(t, r, \theta, \phi) \end{pmatrix} \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} I_{\downarrow}(t, r, \theta, \phi)\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

where \uparrow denotes the case of the spin up state, \downarrow is for spin down state case, and I is the action of the fermion. In this paper, we only discuss the tunneling radiation of the fermion with spin up state. The process of the spin down state is parallel. Inserting the ansatz Eq. (3.64) for the spin up state into the Dirac equation, dividing the exponential term and multiplying by \hbar , we get four equations

$$-\left(\frac{iA}{\sqrt{f}}\partial_t I_{\uparrow} + B\sqrt{g}\partial_r I_{\uparrow}\right) + imA = 0, \tag{3.65}$$

$$\left(\frac{iB}{\sqrt{f}}\partial_t I_{\uparrow} - A\sqrt{g}\partial_r I_{\uparrow}\right) + imB = 0, \tag{3.66}$$

$$-B\left(\frac{1}{r}\partial_{\theta} I_{\uparrow} + \frac{i}{r\sin\theta}\partial_{\phi} I_{\uparrow}\right) = 0, \tag{3.67}$$

$$-A\left(\frac{1}{r}\partial_{\theta} I_{\uparrow} + \frac{i}{r\sin\theta}\partial_{\phi} I_{\uparrow}\right) = 0. \tag{3.68}$$

Our aim is to get the solution of the action. However, it is difficult to solve the action from the above equations. Considering the symmetries of the black hole spacetime, we carry out separation of variables for the action as

$$I_{\uparrow} = -\omega t + W(r) + J(\theta, \phi), \tag{3.69}$$

where ω is the energy of the emitted fermion. Eqs. (3.67) and (3.68) are the same equation and independent on A and B . Inserting Eq. (3.69) into Eqs. (3.67) and (3.68) yields a complex function solution J (other than a constant solution). Although J is solved as a complex function, and then would provide a contribution to the imaginary part of the action, its total contributions to the tunneling rate will be canceled out. Therefore, we neglect it here. Then substituting Eq. (3.69) into Eqs. (3.65) and (3.66), we get the radial function

$$\left(\frac{iA}{\sqrt{f}}\omega - B\sqrt{g}\partial_r W\right) + imA = 0, \tag{3.70}$$

$$\left(\frac{iB}{\sqrt{f}}\omega + A\sqrt{g}\partial_r W\right) - imB = 0. \tag{3.71}$$

At the outer horizon, $f(r) = g(r) = 0$. The solution of the radial function $W(r)$ can be gotten from the above equations and is

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{\pm} &= \pm \int \frac{\sqrt{\omega^2 - m^2 f}}{\sqrt{g f}} dr \\
&= \pm \int \frac{\sqrt{\omega^2 - m^2 (1 - 2M/r)}}{1 - 2M/r} dr \\
&= \pm i\pi 2M\omega,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.72}$$

where $+/-$ correspond to the outgoing/ingoing solutions [22]. So the tunneling rate of the fermion across the horizon is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma &= \frac{P_{(emission)}}{P_{(absorption)}} = \frac{\exp(-2\text{Im}I_{\uparrow+})}{\exp(-2\text{Im}I_{\uparrow-})} = \frac{\exp(-2\text{Im}W_+)}{\exp(-2\text{Im}W_-)} \\
&= \exp(-8\pi M\omega),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.73}$$

which means the Hawking temperature of the black hole taking

$$T = \frac{1}{8\pi M}. \tag{3.74}$$

Therefore, the Hawking temperature can be recovered by the fermion tunneling across the horizon of the black hole.

In this section, we have reviewed the tunneling method. Due to the varied background spacetime, the null geodesic method yielded the leading correction to the Hawking temperature. In the Hamilton-Jacobi method, we considered the fixed spacetime, thus the standard Hawking temperature were recovered. All of these results predicted the complete evaporation of the black hole. In next section, we adopt the new definition of the geodesic equation to discuss the tunneling radiation.

4 New derivation of the geodesic equation

The geodesic equation plays an important role in the null geodesic method to research on Hawking radiation. However, the derivation of the geodesic equation of the massive particle, which is different from that of the massless case, is unnatural. The geodesic equation of the massless particle is defined by $ds^2 = 0$, while that of the massive particle is defined by the relation between the group velocity and the phase velocity. This derivation is not in consistence with the first principle—the variation principle. General relativity tells us that both of the geodesic equations of massless and massive particles can be derived directly by the variation principle on the Lagrangian action. In this section, we derive the geodesic equations of the massless and massive particles from the variation principle, and then use these equations to derive the tunneling radiation of a black string. This method was first developed by Wu et al.. [91, 92].

The metric of the black string was obtained from Einstein field equations with a negative cosmological constant. It describes a cylindrically symmetric spacetime and is given by [93]

$$ds^2 = -\Delta dt^2 + \Delta^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + \alpha^2 r^2 dz^2, \quad (4.75)$$

where $\Delta = \alpha^2 r^2 - \frac{M}{r}$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, $0 \leq r < \infty$, $-\infty < z < \infty$, $\alpha^2 = -\Lambda/3$, Λ is the cosmological constant, and M is related to the ADM mass density. The event horizon locates at $r_+ = \left(\frac{M}{\alpha^2}\right)^{1/3}$. The entropy of the black string is $S = \frac{1}{2}\pi\alpha r_+^2$. In the following, we use the Lagrangian analysis on the action to get the geodesic equation of the emitted particle in the Painleve coordinate system. Therefore, the above metric should be rewritten as

$$ds^2 = -\Delta dt^2 + 2\sqrt{1-\Delta} dt dr + dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + \alpha^2 r^2 dz^2, \quad (4.76)$$

which was gotten by the Painleve coordinate transformation $dt \rightarrow dt - \sqrt{1-\Delta}\Delta^{-1}dr$. When a massive (massless) particle tunnels across the horizon of the black string (4.76), its Lagrangian quantity is

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \left[-\Delta \dot{t}^2 - 2\sqrt{1-\Delta} \dot{t} \dot{r} + \dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + \alpha^2 r^2 \dot{z}^2 \right]. \quad (4.77)$$

In the above equation, the dot expresses the differentiation with respect to the affine parameter τ . Then the canonical momenta of the particle are gotten as follows

$$\begin{aligned} p_t &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{t}} = -\Delta \dot{t} - \sqrt{1-\Delta} \dot{r}, \\ p_r &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{r}} = \dot{r} - \sqrt{1-\Delta} \dot{t}, \\ p_\theta &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = r^2 \dot{\theta}, \\ p_z &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{z}} = \alpha^2 r^2 \dot{z}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.78)$$

After the Legendre transformation, we get the Hamiltonian quantity

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left[-\Delta \dot{t}^2 - 2\sqrt{1-\Delta} \dot{t} \dot{r} + \dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + \alpha^2 r^2 \dot{z}^2 \right], \quad (4.79)$$

which is constrained to a constant $-k/2$. $k = 0$ corresponds to the case for the geodesic equation of the massless particle, while $k = 1$ denotes that of the massive particle. In the Lagrangian (4.77), t and z are the cyclic coordinates. Thus we get $\frac{dp_t}{d\tau} = \frac{dL}{dt} = 0$ and $\frac{dp_z}{d\tau} = \frac{dL}{dz} = 0$, which means that p_t and p_z should be constants. Let $p_t = E$ and $p_z = J$, where E and J are constants of integration. To solve \dot{t} , \dot{r} , without loss of generality, we let θ be fixed at a certain angular. Combining Eqs. (4.78) and (4.79), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{r} &= \pm \sqrt{E^2 - \Delta \left(k + \frac{J^2}{\alpha^2 r^2} \right)}, \\
\dot{t} &= \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[-E \pm \sqrt{1 - \Delta} \sqrt{E^2 - \Delta \left(k + \frac{J^2}{\alpha^2 r^2} \right)} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.80}$$

Thus the geodesic equation of the massive (massless) particle across the horizon can be easily gotten. It is

$$\bar{r} \equiv \frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{\dot{r}}{\dot{t}} = \frac{\Delta \sqrt{E^2 - \Delta \left(k + \frac{J^2}{\alpha^2 r^2} \right)}}{\pm E - \sqrt{1 - \Delta} \sqrt{E^2 - \Delta \left(k + \frac{J^2}{\alpha^2 r^2} \right)}}, \tag{4.81}$$

where $+$ ($-$) denote the (outgoing) ingoing geodesics. Let the particle cross the horizon with the s-wave way. When $k = 0$, we get $\bar{r} = 1 + \sqrt{1 - \Delta}$, which describes the geodesic equation of the massless particle and is full in consistence with that derived by $ds^2 = 0$. Following Parikh and Wilczek's work, we can easily get the tunneling rate of the massless particle across the horizon of the black string. The result is consistent with the results gotten in Parikh and Wilczek's work [6]. When $k = 1$, the geodesic equation of the massive particle is $\bar{r} = \Delta \left[-\sqrt{1 - \Delta} \pm \frac{E}{\sqrt{E^2 - \Delta}} \right]^{-1}$. Now we use the geodesic equation (4.81) to calculate the tunneling rate of the massive (massless) particle across the horizon. When a particle with energy ω is emitted, the energy of the system of the black string should be reduced as $M - \omega$. Taking into account the self-gravity of the particle, we should replace M with $M - \omega$ in the metric (4.76) and in the geodesic equation (4.81). Thus we rewrite Eq. (4.81) as

$$\bar{r} = \tilde{\Delta} \left[-\sqrt{1 - \tilde{\Delta}} \pm \frac{\tilde{E}}{\sqrt{\tilde{E}^2 - \tilde{\Delta}k}} \right]^{-1}, \tag{4.82}$$

where $\tilde{\Delta} = \alpha^2 r^2 - \frac{M - \omega}{r}$, \tilde{E} is the integration constant after the particle emission with consideration of the self-gravitation. The tunneling rate is determined by the imaginary part of the action of the particle. We follow the process in the section 2 and adopt the expression of Eq. (3.44) and the Hamilton's equation $\bar{r} = \frac{dH}{dp_r} \Big|_r = \frac{d(M - \omega')}{dp_r} \Big|_r$. The imaginary part is gotten as

$$\begin{aligned}
ImS &= Im \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} \int_0^{p_r} dp'_r dr = Im \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} \int_M^{M - \omega} \frac{dr}{\bar{r}} d(M - \omega') \\
&= Im \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} \int_M^{M - \omega} \frac{-\sqrt{1 - \tilde{\Delta}} \pm \frac{\tilde{E}}{\sqrt{\tilde{E}^2 - \tilde{\Delta}k}}}{\tilde{\Delta}} dr d(M - \omega') \\
&= -\frac{1}{4} \pi \alpha (r_{out}^2 - r_{in}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.83}$$

Clearly, the imaginary part was derived by the emissions of the massless and massive particles in the same equation. The imaginary part is irrelevant to the mass of the emitted particle. Therefore, the tunneling rate of the particle across the horizon is

$$\Gamma \sim \exp(-2\text{Im}S) = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\pi\alpha(r_{out}^2 - r_{in}^2)\right] = \exp(\Delta S_{B-H}), \quad (4.84)$$

which is related to the change of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, ΔS_{B-H} . This result is precisely in consistence with that derived in Refs. [6, 9, 10, 11] and leads to the correction to the pure thermal spectrum.

5 Tunneling radiation with corrections of quantum gravity

In fact, the standard Hawking temperature can be directly obtained by the Heisenberg uncertainty principle (HUP) [68, 94]. When a particle with positive energy E is emitted to infinity, a particle with negative energy $-E$ is absorbed by the black hole. We can estimate the characteristic energy E of the emitted particle across the horizon of the Schwarzschild black hole by HUP. In the vicinity of the black hole's surface, there is an position uncertainty of the order of the Schwarzschild radius, which is $\Delta x \simeq r_E = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$. Using the uncertainty principle

$$\Delta x \Delta p \sim \hbar, \quad (5.85)$$

we get the momentum uncertainty as $\Delta p \simeq \frac{\hbar}{r_E} = \frac{\hbar c^2}{2GM}$. Thus the uncertainty in the energy of the emitted particle is

$$\Delta E = c\Delta p = \frac{\hbar c^3}{2GM}. \quad (5.86)$$

We identify this energy as the characteristic energy of the emitted particle. Using a calibration factor 4π and setting $k_B = 1$, we can easily get the temperature as the following form

$$T = \frac{\Delta E}{4\pi} = \frac{\hbar c^3}{8\pi GM}, \quad (5.87)$$

which is the thermal spectrum of a black body radiation and shows the complete evaporation of the black hole. This result can be seen as a direct consequence of HUP. All the above results show the complete evaporation of the black holes.

However, various theories of quantum gravity predict the minimal observable length. When effects of quantum gravity are taken into account, there should be a minimal observable length for a black hole. It implies that the black hole can not evaporate completely. Introducing effects of quantum gravity by the modified de Broglie relation, the tunneling radiation of scalar particles in the varied

background spacetime has been discussed in the work [74]. The corrected tunneling temperature was gotten. Through the modified Dirac equation, fermions' tunneling radiation in the various spacetimes has been discussed in our work [95, 96, 97, 98]. It was showed that the corrected temperatures are related to the quantum numbers of the emitted fermions. In this section, we incorporate effects of quantum gravity into black hole physics and discuss the tunneling radiation of scalar particles and fermions. The remnants are observed.

5.1 Tunneling radiation of scalar particles

5.1.1 Quantum gravity corrections by GUP

Doubly Special Relativity shows that the existence of a minimal measurable length would restrict a maximal momentum uncertainty of a particle [99, 100, 101, 102]. This implies the existence of the maximal momentum since the minimal measurable length can be found at the Planck scale. Both of the minimal length and maximal momentum can be realized in the following DSR-GUP[56]

$$\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} (1 - \alpha_1 \Delta p + \alpha_2 \Delta p^2), \quad (5.88)$$

where $\alpha_1 = 2\alpha_0 l_p / \hbar$, $\alpha_2 = 4\alpha_0^2 l_p^2 / \hbar^2$, and α_0 is a dimensionless parameter. The above GUP is derived by the modified fundamental commutation relations

$$[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar \{ \delta_{ij} - \alpha_0 l_p (p \delta_{ij} + p_i p_j / p) + \alpha_0^2 l_p^2 (p^2 \delta_{ij} + 3p_i p_j) \}, \quad (5.89)$$

which predicts a minimum measurable length and a maximum measurable momentum as $\Delta x \geq (\Delta x)_{min} \approx \alpha_0 l_p$ and $\Delta p \leq (\Delta p)_{max} \approx M_p c / \alpha_0$, respectively. x_i and p_i are operators of position and momentum defined by [56]

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= x_{0i}, \\ p_i &= p_{0i} (1 - \alpha_1 p_0 + 2\alpha_1^2 p_0^2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.90)$$

In the above equation, x_{0i} , p_{0j} satisfy the canonical commutation relations. When $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = \beta$, the above DSR-GUP reduces to the ordinary GUP expressed as Eq. (1.1). Using the DSR-GUP, the semi-classical tunneling radiation was reprocessed in the work [74]. When the DSR-GUP is taken into account, the usual de Broglie relation should be modified as

$$\lambda \simeq \frac{1}{p} (1 - \alpha_1 p + \alpha_2 p^2), \quad (5.91)$$

or equivalently

$$\omega \simeq E (1 - \alpha_1 E + \alpha_2 E^2). \quad (5.92)$$

On the other hand, from the DSR-GUP expression, we modify the commutation relation between the radial coordinate and the conjugate momentum as $[r, p_r] = i\hbar (1 - \alpha_1 p + \alpha_2 p^2)$. When $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1^2 = \alpha_0^2 l_p^2 / \hbar^2$, the above relation is reduced into that gotten in the reference [74]. In the classical limit, the following poisson bracket

$$\{r, p_r\} = 1 - \alpha_1 p + \alpha_2 p^2, \quad (5.93)$$

is adopted to replace by the commutation relation. To get the imaginary part of the action, the following deformed Hamiltonian equation is adopted

$$\dot{r} = \{r, H\} = \{r, p_r\} \frac{dH}{dp_r} \Big|_r, \quad (5.94)$$

Following the work [74], we let $p_r = \omega'$ and $p_r^2 = \omega'^2$. To eliminate the momentum in the favor of the energy in integral Eq. (3.44), we insert Eqs. (5.94) and (3.43) into Eq. (3.44) and get

$$\begin{aligned} ImS &= Im \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} \int_M^{M-\omega} \frac{1 - \alpha_1 \omega + \alpha_2 \omega^2}{\dot{r}} dr dH' \\ &= Im \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{out}} \int_M^{M-\omega} \frac{1 - \alpha_1 \omega + \alpha_2 \omega^2}{1 - \sqrt{\frac{2(M-\omega')}{r}}} dr d(M - \omega') \\ &= 4\pi M \omega \left[1 - \frac{\omega}{2M} - \alpha_1 \omega \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\omega}{3M} \right) + \alpha_2 \omega^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{\omega}{4M} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.95)$$

Therefore, the tunneling rate of the particle across the horizon with effects of quantum gravity is gotten as

$$\Gamma \sim exp \left\{ -8\pi M \omega \left[1 - \frac{\omega}{2M} - \alpha_1 \omega \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\omega}{3M} \right) + \alpha_2 \omega^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{\omega}{4M} \right) \right] \right\}. \quad (5.96)$$

In the above equation, there are four terms in the exponential. The first term gives a thermal Boltzmannian spectrum. The other three terms are correction values. The second term is caused by the varied back ground spacetime, which was found in the work of Parikh and Wilczek. The third and fourth terms are caused by the effects of quantum gravity. When $\alpha_1 = 0$, the above result reflects the tunneling rate of the varied spacetime influenced by the quantum gravity correction with the minimal length. When $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$, the above result reduces to that of Eq. (3.45).

5.1.2 The generalized Klein-Gordon equation

In this subsection, we introduce GUP effects into Klein-Gordon equation in curved spacetime by the modified operators of position and momentum [103]. The derivation of GUP relies on the modified fundamental commutation relations. There are two representations to express the commutation relations. The first way is to modify the momentum operator in the position representation covariantly, which has been discussed in the introduction. The second way is to modify the position operator in the momentum representation. This will be given by Eq. (6.184). In this section, we adopt the position representation.

Using Eq. (1.2) and neglecting the higher order term of β , we get

$$\begin{aligned} p^2 &= p_i p^i = -\hbar^2 [1 - \beta\hbar^2 (\partial_j \partial^j)] \partial_i \cdot [1 - \beta\hbar^2 (\partial^j \partial_j)] \partial^i \\ &\simeq -\hbar^2 [\partial_i \partial^i - 2\beta\hbar^2 (\partial^j \partial_j) (\partial^i \partial_i)], \end{aligned} \quad (5.97)$$

To take into account effects of quantum gravity [104], we introduce the generalized frequency

$$\tilde{\omega} = E(1 - \beta E^2). \quad (5.98)$$

The energy operator in the above equation is defined by $E = i\hbar\partial_t$. Using the energy mass shell condition $p^2 + m^2 = E^2$, it is easy to derive the modified expression of energy [104, 74, 58, 59]

$$\tilde{E} = E[1 - \beta(p^2 + m^2)]. \quad (5.99)$$

In curved spacetime, the Klein-Gordon equation takes the form as

$$g^{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu \Psi = -m^2 \Psi, \quad (5.100)$$

To introduce the effects of quantum gravity, we rewrite the above equation as

$$-(i\hbar)^2 \partial^t \partial_t \Psi = \left[(i\hbar)^2 \partial^i \partial_i + m^2 \right] \Psi. \quad (5.101)$$

Substituting Eqs. (5.97) and (5.99) into Eq. (5.101) and keeping the leading order of β , we get the generalized Klein-Gordon equation

$$-(i\hbar)^2 \partial^t \partial_t \Psi = \left[(i\hbar)^2 \partial^i \partial_i + m^2 \right] \left[1 - 2\beta \left((i\hbar)^2 \partial^i \partial_i + m^2 \right) \right] \Psi. \quad (5.102)$$

The effect of an electromagnetic field was not considered in the above equation. If we consider this effect, the generalized Klein-Gordon equation (5.100) should be changed.

5.1.3 Scalar particles' tunneling radiation in the Schwarzschild black hole

In this subsection, we use the generalized Klein-Gordon equation to discuss the tunneling radiation of a scalar particle in the Schwarzschild black hole. The Schwarzschild metric is given by Eq. (3.39). The equation of motion of a scalar particle satisfies the generalized Klein-Gordon equation (5.102). To realize the quantum property of the scalar particle and utilize the WKB approximation, we assume that the wave function of the particle is

$$\Psi = \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} I(t, r, \theta, \phi) \right], \quad (5.103)$$

where I is the action of the scalar particle. To use the WKB approximation, we substitute the wave function (5.103) into the Klein-Gordon equation (5.102) and combine the metric in Eq. (3.39). When the higher orders of \hbar and β are neglected, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{f} (\partial_t I)^2 &= \left[\left(g (\partial_r I)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} (\partial_\theta I)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right) + m^2 \right] \times \\ &\quad \left(1 - 2\beta \left[g (\partial_r I)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} (\partial_\theta I)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} (\partial_\phi I)^2 + m^2 \right] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.104)$$

It is difficult to solve the above equation. Considering the properties of the spacetime, we carry out separation of variables on the action

$$I = -\omega t + W(r) + J(\theta, \phi), \quad (5.105)$$

where ω is the energy of the emitted particle. Following the previous work, we choose the s-wave [6], which means that $\frac{1}{r^2} (\partial_\theta I)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} (\partial_\phi I)^2 = 0$. Substituting Eq. (5.105) into Eq. (5.104), we get the equation for the radial part as

$$C_4 (\partial_r W)^4 + C_2 (\partial_r W)^2 + C_0 = 0, \quad (5.106)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_4 &= -2\beta g^2, \\ C_2 &= g(1 - 4\beta m^2), \\ C_0 &= m^2 - 2\beta m^4 - \frac{\omega^2}{f}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.107)$$

There are four roots for the above equation, but only two roots have the physical meaning. Solving the equation and finishing the integration at the event horizon yield

$$\begin{aligned} W_\pm &= \pm \int dr \frac{1}{\sqrt{fg}} \sqrt{\omega^2 - m^2 f + 2\beta m^4 f} \left[1 + \beta \left(m^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{f} \right) \right] \\ &= \pm i\pi 2M\omega \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\beta (m^2 + 4\omega^2) \right) + (\text{real part}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.108)$$

where $f = g = 1 - \frac{2M}{r}$, and $+/-$ represent the outgoing/ingoing solutions. In section (2.3), the expression of the tunneling rate is not invariant under canonical transformations. To consider an

invariance under canonical transformations, we follow the recent work [105, 106, 107] and adopt the expression $\oint p_r dr$. Thus the tunneling rate is

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma &\propto \exp[-Im \oint p_r dr] = \exp\left[-Im\left(\int p_r^{out} dr - \int p_r^{in} dr\right)\right] \\ &= \exp\left[\pm 2Im \int p_r^{out,in} dr\right].\end{aligned}\quad (5.109)$$

The expression of p_r in the above equation relates to $W(r)$ as $p_r = \partial_r W$. The solutions of $Im \int p_r^{out,in} dr$ were gotten in Eq. (5.108), which is

$$Im \oint p_r dr = 2Im W^{out} = 4\pi M\omega \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\beta(m^2 + 4\omega^2)\right). \quad (5.110)$$

If we use Eq. (5.110) to calculate the tunneling rate, we will obtain two times Hawking temperature [108, 109, 110]. This result is inconsistent with the standard Hawking temperature. After careful considerations, Akhmedova et al. found that the contribution of the temporal part of the action had been ignored in the calculation [105, 106, 107]. When this contribution is considered, the problem that there is a factor of two in the Hawking temperature would be resolved.

To find the contributions of the temporal part, we introduce the Kruskal coordinates (T, R) . In this coordinate system, the exterior region of the black hole ($r > r_+$) is described by

$$\begin{aligned}T &= e^{\kappa_+ r_*} \sinh(\kappa_+ t), \\ R &= e^{\kappa_+ r_*} \cosh(\kappa_+ t),\end{aligned}\quad (5.111)$$

where $r_* = r + \frac{1}{2\kappa_+} \ln \frac{r-r_+}{r_+}$ is the tortoise coordinate, and $\kappa_+ = \frac{1}{2r_+}$ is the surface gravity at the horizon. The interior region is given by

$$\begin{aligned}T &= e^{\kappa_+ r_*} \cosh(\kappa_+ t), \\ R &= e^{\kappa_+ r_*} \sinh(\kappa_+ t).\end{aligned}\quad (5.112)$$

To connect these two regions across the horizon, we need to rotate the time t to $t \rightarrow t - i\frac{\pi}{2\kappa_+}$. As pointed in Ref. [105], this "rotation" gives us an additional imaginary contribution of the temporal part, namely, $Im(\omega\Delta t^{out,in}) = \frac{\pi\omega}{2\kappa_+}$. Then the total temporal contribution is $Im(\omega\Delta t) = \frac{\pi\omega}{\kappa_+}$. Therefore, the tunneling rate of the scalar particle crossing the horizon is

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma &\propto \exp\left[-\left(Im(E\Delta t) + Im \oint p_r dr\right)\right] \\ &= \exp\left[-8\pi M\omega \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}\beta(m^2 + 4\omega^2)\right)\right].\end{aligned}\quad (5.113)$$

This is the Boltzmann factor with the Hawking temperature taking

$$T = \frac{1}{8\pi M \left[1 + \frac{1}{4}\beta(m^2 + 4\omega^2)\right]} = \left[1 - \frac{1}{4}\beta(m^2 + 4\omega^2)\right] T_0, \quad (5.114)$$

where $T_0 = \frac{1}{8\pi M}$ is the original Hawking temperature. Clearly, when quantum gravity effects are taken into account, there is a small correction to the Hawking temperature and the correction is not only affected by the quantum numbers (the mass and energy) of the emitted particle but also determined by the mass of the black hole. The corrected temperature is lower than the original one, which implies that quantum gravity correction slows down the increase of the Hawking temperature.

5.2 Tunneling radiation of fermions

5.2.1 The generalized Dirac equation

We study the tunneling radiation of fermions with effects of quantum gravity in this subsection. The fermion's equation of motion obeys Dirac equation. Therefore, we should first modify Dirac equation by the influence of quantum gravity effects. There are several ways to modify it.

The first way is to generalize GUP into the covariant form [89]. The modification of operators of positions and momenta are given as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}^\mu &= x^\mu, \\ \hat{p}_\mu &= -i(1 - \beta\partial^\rho\partial_\rho)\partial_\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (5.115)$$

where μ, ρ run from 0 to 3. Therefore, the modified Dirac equation is

$$i\gamma^\mu(1 - \beta\partial^\rho\partial_\rho)\partial_\mu\psi + m\psi = 0. \quad (5.116)$$

To consider this condition carefully, we should emphasize that the generalized energy under this modification becomes $\tilde{E} = E(1 - \beta\partial^\rho\partial_\rho)$, where $E = i\partial_t$.

In the second way, the invariance under gauge transformations is taken into account[89]. Thus, it leads us to modify the operator

$$(1 - \beta\partial^\rho\partial_\rho)\partial_\mu \rightarrow (1 - \beta D^\rho D_\rho)D_\mu, \quad (5.117)$$

where $D_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu - ieA_\mu$. Therefore, we can define the generalized covariant derivative as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\mu &\equiv (1 - \beta D^\rho D_\rho)D_\mu \\ &= [1 - \beta(\partial^\rho - ieA^\rho)(\partial_\rho - ieA_\rho)](\partial_\mu - ieA_\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (5.118)$$

Here the action of the Dirac field is invariant under global gauge transformations

$$i\beta\bar{\psi}D^\rho D_\rho \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi \rightarrow i\beta\bar{\psi}D^\rho D_\rho \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi, \quad (5.119)$$

with

$$\gamma^\mu \rightarrow U(x)\gamma^\mu U(x)^\dagger, \quad U(x)^\dagger U(x) = 1. \quad (5.120)$$

The generalized field strength tensor can be defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{e} [\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu]. \quad (5.121)$$

Then the generalized Dirac equation is gotten as

$$i\gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu \psi + m\psi = 0. \quad (5.122)$$

In the third way, the energy frequency and momentum operator are modified, respectively [90]. It is given as follows. Let us recall the Dirac equation with an electromagnetic field in curved spacetime

$$\gamma^\mu \left(\partial_\mu + \Omega_\mu + \frac{i}{\hbar} e A_\mu \right) \Psi + \frac{m}{\hbar} \Psi = 0, \quad (5.123)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$-\gamma^0 \partial_0 \Psi = \left(\gamma^i \partial_i + \gamma^\mu \Omega_\mu + \gamma^\mu \frac{i}{\hbar} e A_\mu + \frac{m}{\hbar} \right) \Psi. \quad (5.124)$$

To introduce the effects of quantum gravity, we substitute Eqs. (5.97) and (5.99) into Eq. (5.124) and keep the leading orders of β . Then the generalized Dirac equation in curved spacetime is obtained as

$$-\gamma^0 \partial_0 \Psi = \left(\gamma^i \partial_i + \gamma^\mu \Omega_\mu + \gamma^\mu \frac{i}{\hbar} e A_\mu + \frac{m}{\hbar} \right) (1 + \beta \hbar^2 \partial_j \partial^j - \beta m^2) \Psi, \quad (5.125)$$

namely,

$$\left[\gamma^0 \partial_0 + \gamma^i \partial_i (1 - \beta m^2) + \gamma^i \beta \hbar^2 (\partial_j \partial^j) \partial_i + \frac{m}{\hbar} (1 + \beta \hbar^2 \partial_j \partial^j - \beta m^2) + \gamma^\mu \frac{i}{\hbar} e A_\mu (1 + \beta \hbar^2 \partial_j \partial^j - \beta m^2) + \gamma^\mu \Omega_\mu (1 + \beta \hbar^2 \partial_j \partial^j - \beta m^2) \right] \Psi = 0. \quad (5.126)$$

The above equation describes the motion of an uncharged fermion when $e = 0$. In the following subsections, we use the generalized Dirac equation (5.126) to discuss the tunneling radiation of fermions with effects of quantum gravity.

5.2.2 Fermions' tunneling in the Schwarzschild black hole

We first review the fermion's tunneling across the horizon of the Schwarzschild black hole. The effects of quantum gravity are taken into account. The Schwarzschild metric is given by Eq. (3.39). The gamma matrices and the wave function with spin up state are also given by Eqs. (3.63) and (3.64), respectively. To consider the quantum gravity effects, we substitute the matrices (3.63) and the wave function (3.64) into the generalized Dirac equation (5.126). After the calculation, we find four decoupled equations

$$\begin{aligned}
& -iA\frac{1}{\sqrt{f}}\partial_t I - B(1-\beta m^2)\sqrt{g}\partial_r I \\
& -iAm\beta \left[g^{rr}(\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \\
& +B\beta\sqrt{g}\partial_r I \left[g^{rr}(\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] + iAm(1-\beta m^2) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.127}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& iB\frac{1}{\sqrt{f}}\partial_t I - A(1-\beta m^2)\sqrt{g}\partial_r I \\
& -iBm\beta \left[g^{rr}(\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \\
& +A\beta\sqrt{g}\partial_r I \left[g^{rr}(\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] + iBm(1-\beta m^2) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.128}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& A\{-i(1-\beta m^2)\sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}}\partial_\phi I \\
& +i\beta\sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}}\partial_\phi I[g^{rr}(\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2] \\
& -\sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}}\partial_\theta I[1-\beta m^2 - \beta g^{rr}(\partial_r I)^2 - \beta g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 - \beta g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2]\} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.129}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& B\{-i(1-\beta m^2)\sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}}\partial_\phi I \\
& +i\beta\sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}}\partial_\phi I[g^{rr}(\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2] \\
& -\sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}}\partial_\theta I[1-\beta m^2 - \beta g^{rr}(\partial_r I)^2 - \beta g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta I)^2 - \beta g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi I)^2]\} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.130}$$

$\sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}} = \frac{1}{r}$, $\sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}} = \frac{1}{r\sin\theta}$ in the above equations. The contributions from ∂A , ∂B and the high orders of \hbar were neglected. Moreover, since the metric has a time-like killing vector, the action I can be also separated by Eq. (3.69). Now, we insert Eq. (3.69) into Eqs. (5.129) and (5.130) and divide by A , B . Then, Eqs. (5.129) and (5.130) are reduced to the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}}\partial_\theta J + i\sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}}\partial_\phi J) \\
& \times [\beta g^{rr}(\partial_r W)^2 + \beta g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta J)^2 + \beta g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi J)^2 + \beta m^2 - 1] = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.131}$$

Since β is a small quantity represented the effects from quantum gravity, the value in the square bracket can not be vanished. Therefore, the above equation is simplified as

$$\sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}}\partial_\theta J + i\sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}}\partial_\phi J = 0. \quad (5.132)$$

As it is discussed in section (2.3), although the solution of J is the complex functions, its contribution would be canceled out in the calculation of the tunneling rate. Therefore, we can neglect it. Meanwhile, the square of Eq. (5.132) yields $g^{\theta\theta}(\partial_\theta J)^2 + g^{\phi\phi}(\partial_\phi J)^2 = 0$. Now, we focus our attention to the radial part of the action. Inserting Eq. (3.69) into Eqs. (5.127) and (5.128) and canceling A and B in these equations yield

$$A_6(\partial_r W)^6 + A_4(\partial_r W)^4 + A_2(\partial_r W)^2 + A_0 = 0, \quad (5.133)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_6 &= \beta^2 g^3 f, \\ A_4 &= \beta g^2 f (3m^2 \beta - 2), \\ A_2 &= gf \left[(1 - \beta m^2)^2 - \beta (2m^2 - 2m^4 \beta) \right], \\ A_0 &= m^2 (1 - \beta m^2)^2 f - \omega^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.134)$$

Neglecting the higher orders of β and solving the above equations at the event horizon, we get

$$\begin{aligned} W_\pm &= \pm \int dr \frac{1}{\sqrt{fg}} \sqrt{\omega^2 - m^2 f + 2\beta m^4 f} \left[1 + \beta \left(m^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{f} \right) \right] \\ &= \pm i\pi 2M\omega \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\beta (m^2 + 4\omega^2) \right) + (\text{real part}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.135)$$

In the above equation, $+/-$ signs are correspondent with outgoing/ingoing solutions and the real part is irrelevant to the tunneling rate [22]. To consider an invariance under canonical transformations, we follow the process in the above section [105] and derive the temporal contribution in the Kruskal coordinate system. Finally, the tunneling rate of the spin-1/2 fermion across the horizon is derived as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &\propto \exp \left[- \left(\text{Im}(E\Delta t) + \text{Im} \oint p_r dr \right) \right] \\ &= \exp \left[-8\pi M\omega \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}\beta (m^2 + 4\omega^2) \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.136)$$

which shows that the Hawking temperature is

$$T = \frac{1}{8\pi M \left[1 + \frac{1}{4}\beta (m^2 + 4\omega^2) \right]} = \left[1 - \frac{1}{4}\beta (m^2 + 4\omega^2) \right] \frac{1}{8\pi M}. \quad (5.137)$$

Clearly, the corrected temperature is lower than the original one and the correction value is affected by the quantum numbers (the mass and energy) of the emitted fermion. The quantum correction slows down the increase of the Hawking temperature caused by the evaporation.

5.2.3 Fermions' tunneling in the Reissner-Nordstrom spacetime

Now let us discuss the effect of an electromagnetic field on the tunneling radiation of an charged fermion with effects of quantum gravity. Here, we use the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole which describes a spherically symmetric static spacetime with a charge Q . The Reissner-Nordstrom metric is given by

$$ds^2 = -f(r) dt^2 + g(r)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (5.138)$$

with the electromagnetic potential

$$A_\mu = (A_t, 0, 0, 0) = \left(\frac{Q}{r}, 0, 0, 0 \right), \quad (5.139)$$

where $f(r) = g(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} = \frac{(r-r_+)(r-r_-)}{r^2}$, $r_\pm = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}$ are the locations of the outer/inner horizons. We also only consider the case of the spin up state. The discussion of the spin down is parallel. The wave function with spin up state is

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ 0 \\ B \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} I(t, r, \theta, \phi)\right), \quad (5.140)$$

where I is the action and A, B are functions of t, r, θ, ϕ . For the metric (5.138), one can easily construct the tetrad as $e_\mu{}^a = \text{diag}(\sqrt{f}, 1/\sqrt{g}, r, r \sin \theta)$. Then, the γ^μ matrices are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^t &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{f(r)}} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, & \gamma^\theta &= \sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^1 \\ \sigma^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \gamma^r &= \sqrt{g(r)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^3 \\ \sigma^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \gamma^\phi &= \sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^2 \\ \sigma^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.141)$$

where $\sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}} = \frac{1}{r}$ and $\sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}} = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta}$. To apply the WKB approximation, we substitute the wave function and gamma matrices into the generalized Dirac equation. Multiplying \hbar and considering the leading contribution, we get the equations of motion as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & -iA \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}} \partial_t I + iAm \left[1 - \beta m^2 - \beta g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 - \beta g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 - \beta g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \\ & \quad - B \sqrt{g} \partial_r I \left[1 - \beta m^2 - \beta g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 - \beta g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 - \beta g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \\ & \quad - iA \frac{eA_t}{\sqrt{f}} \left[1 - \beta m^2 - \beta g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 - \beta g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 - \beta g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.142)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& iB \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}} \partial_t I + iBm \left[1 - \beta m^2 - \beta g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 - \beta g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 - \beta g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \\
& \quad - A \sqrt{g} \partial_r I \left[1 - \beta m^2 - \beta g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 - \beta g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 - \beta g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \\
& \quad + iB \frac{eA_t}{\sqrt{f}} \left[1 - \beta m^2 - \beta g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 - \beta g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 - \beta g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.143}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& A \left\{ -(1 - \beta m^2) \sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}} \partial_\theta I + \beta \sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}} \partial_\theta I \left[g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. - i \sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}} \partial_\phi I \left[1 - \beta m^2 - \beta g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 - \beta g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 - \beta g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \right\} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.144}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& B \left\{ -(1 - \beta m^2) \sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}} \partial_\theta I + \beta \sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}} \partial_\theta I \left[g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. - i \sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}} \partial_\phi I \left[1 - \beta m^2 - \beta g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 - \beta g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 - \beta g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 \right] \right\} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.145}$$

However, it is difficult to solve the action from the above equations directly. We should follow the standard process to carry out separation of variables. The action becomes

$$I = -\omega t + W(r) + \Theta(\theta, \phi). \tag{5.146}$$

We first observe the last two equations in (5.142)-(5.145). Inserting Eq. (5.146) into Eqs. (5.144) and (5.145) and canceling A and B , we find the equation $\sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}} \partial_\theta \Theta + i \sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}} \partial_\phi \Theta = 0$. It finally yields an important relation: $g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 = 0$. Next, we process to consider Eqs. (5.142) and (5.143). Inserting Eq. (5.146) into Eqs. (5.142) and (5.143) and canceling A and B yield

$$A_6 (\partial_r W)^6 + A_4 (\partial_r W)^4 + A_2 (\partial_r W)^2 + A_0 = 0, \tag{5.147}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_6 &= \beta^2 g^3 f, \\
A_4 &= \beta g^2 f (3m^2 \beta - 2) - \beta^2 g^2 e^2 A_t^2, \\
A_2 &= gf \left[(1 - \beta m^2)^2 - 2\beta m^2 (1 - \beta m^2) \right] + 2\beta g e A_t [-\omega + e A_t (1 - \beta m^2)], \\
A_0 &= m^2 f (1 - \beta m^2)^2 - [-\omega + e A_t (1 - \beta m^2)]^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.148}$$

The particle's tunneling rate is determined by the imaginary part of the action. Neglecting higher order terms of β , the imaginary part of the radial action is solved as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
ImW_{\pm}(r) &= \pm Im \int dr \frac{1}{\sqrt{gf}} \sqrt{-m^2(1-2\beta m^2)f + (\omega - eA_t(1-\beta m^2))^2} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ 1 + \beta \left[m^2 - \frac{eA_t \tilde{\omega}_0}{f} + \frac{1}{f} (\omega - eA_t(1-\beta m^2))^2 \right] \right\} \\
&= \pm \pi \frac{r_+^2}{r_+ - r_-} (\omega - eA_{t+}) \times (1 + \beta \Xi), \tag{5.149}
\end{aligned}$$

where $+$ ($-$) represent the outgoing (ingoing) solutions, $\tilde{\omega}_0 = \omega - eA_t$ and $A_{t+} = \frac{Q}{r_+}$ is the electromagnetic potential at the event horizon. Ξ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi &= \frac{m^2}{2} + \frac{em^2 A_{t+}}{\omega - eA_{t+}} \\
&\quad + 2 \frac{4e\omega Q r_+ r_- + \omega^2 r_+^3 - 2e^2 Q^2 (r_+ + r_-) - e\omega Q r_+^2 - 2\omega^2 r_+^2 r_-}{(r_+ - r_-)^2 r_+}. \tag{5.150}
\end{aligned}$$

Utilizing $r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}$, the right hand side of Eq. (5.150) is reduced to $\frac{m^2}{2} + \frac{em^2 A_{t+}}{\omega - eA_{t+}} + 2 \frac{2eQ^2(\omega Q - eM) + \omega r_+ (\omega - eA_{t+})(r_+^2 - Q^2)}{(r_+ - r_-)^2 r_+}$. It is easy to find $\Xi > 0$.

We use the invariant expression of the tunneling rate under canonical transformations. Therefore, we should first find the contributions of the temporal part. Introducing the tortoise coordinate $r_* = r + \frac{1}{2\kappa_+} \ln \frac{r-r_+}{r_+} - \frac{1}{2\kappa_-} \ln \frac{r-r_-}{r_-}$, where $\kappa_{\pm} = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{2r_{\pm}^2}$ is the surface gravity at the outer (inner) horizons, we get the exterior region of the black hole in the Kruskal coordinates system, which is

$$T = e^{\kappa_+ r_*} \sinh(\kappa_+ t), \quad R = e^{\kappa_+ r_*} \cosh(\kappa_+ t), \tag{5.151}$$

The interior region is described as

$$T = e^{\kappa_+ r_*} \cosh(\kappa_+ t), \quad R = e^{\kappa_+ r_*} \sinh(\kappa_+ t). \tag{5.152}$$

We rotate the time t to $t \rightarrow t - \frac{i\pi}{2\kappa_+}$ to connect these two regions. This rotation produces an additional imaginary contribution, namely, $Im(E\Delta t^{out,in}) = \frac{\pi E}{2\kappa_+}$, where $E = \omega - eA_{t+}$. Considering that the total temporal contribution is $Im(E\Delta t) = \frac{\pi E}{\kappa_+}$, we get the expression of the tunneling rate as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma &\propto \exp \left[- \left(Im(E\Delta t^{out,in}) + Im \oint p_r dr \right) \right] \\
&= \exp \left[- (Im(E\Delta t) + 2ImW^{out}) \right] \\
&= \exp \left[-4\pi \frac{r_+^2}{r_+ - r_-} (\omega - eA_{t+}) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\beta \Xi \right) \right]. \tag{5.153}
\end{aligned}$$

This is the Boltzmann factor for an object with the effective temperature taking

$$T = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{4\pi r_+^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\beta\Xi\right)} = T_0 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\beta\Xi\right), \quad (5.154)$$

where $T_0 = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{4\pi r_+^2}$ is the original Hawking temperature of the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole. It is easily found that the corrected temperature is lower than the original one. The correction value relies on the quantum numbers (charge, mass, energy) of the emitted charged fermion. The quantum correction also slows down the increase of the Hawking temperature. When $\beta = 0$, the original Hawking temperature of the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole is recovered.

5.2.4 Fermions' tunneling in the 5-dimensional rotating black string

The Kerr metric describes a rotating black hole in four dimensions. When the Kerr metric is added to an extra compact spatial dimension, the metric takes a form

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & -\frac{\Delta}{\rho^2} (dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\varphi)^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} [adt - (r^2 + a^2)d\varphi]^2 \\ & + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} dr^2 + \rho^2 d\theta^2 + g_{zz} dz^2, \end{aligned} \quad (5.155)$$

where $\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2 = (r - r_+)(r - r_-)$, $\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta$, g_{zz} is set to be 1. The metric describes a rotating uniform black string. $r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$ locates at the outer (inner) horizons. M and a are the mass and the angular momentum unit mass of the string. The equation of motion of a fermion is determined by the generalized Dirac equation. For the investigation of the tunneling behavior of the fermion, we first construct a tetrad and it can be directly constructed from the metric (5.155). However, due to the rotation of the spacetime, the matter near the horizons is dragged by the spacetime (5.155), which is inconvenient for us to discuss the fermion's tunneling behavior. To eliminate this inconvenience, we carry out the dragging coordinate transformation

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi &= d\varphi - \Omega dt, \\ \Omega &= \frac{(r^2 + a^2 - \Delta) a}{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.156)$$

on the metric (5.155). Then the metric (5.155) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & -F(r)dt^2 + \frac{1}{G(r)}dr^2 + g_{\theta\theta}d\theta^2 + g_{\phi\phi}d\phi^2 + g_{zz}dz^2 \\ = & -\frac{\Delta\rho^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta} dt^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} dr^2 + g_{zz} dz^2 + \rho^2 d\theta^2 \\ & + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} [(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta] d\phi^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.157)$$

From the above metric, we construct the tetrad as follows

$$e_\mu^a = \text{diag}(\sqrt{F}, 1/\sqrt{G}, \sqrt{g_{\theta\theta}}, \sqrt{g_{\phi\phi}}, \sqrt{g_{zz}}). \quad (5.158)$$

Now it is easy to construct the following gamma matrices

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^t &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{F}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \gamma^\theta &= \sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^2 \\ \sigma^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \gamma^r &= \sqrt{G} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^3 \\ \sigma^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \gamma^\phi &= \sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^1 \\ \sigma^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \gamma^z &= \sqrt{g^{zz}} \begin{pmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.159)$$

There are also two states with spin up and spin down to measure the quantum property of the spin-1/2 fermion. We only investigate the tunneling radiation of the fermion with the spin up state. The analysis of spin down case is parallel. The wave function of the fermion with spin up state in the spacetime (5.157) are assumed as follows

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ 0 \\ B \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} I(t, r, \theta, \phi, z)\right), \quad (5.160)$$

where A, B, C, D are functions of (t, r, θ, ϕ, z) , and I is the action of the fermion. To use the WKB approximation, we adopt the same process as the above section and get four equations

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{B}{\sqrt{F}} \partial_t I - B\sqrt{G}(1 - \beta m^2) \partial_r I + A\sqrt{g^{zz}}(1 - \beta m^2) \partial_z I \\ & -iAm(1 - \beta m^2 - \beta Q) + B\beta\sqrt{G}Q \partial_r I - A\beta\sqrt{g^{zz}}Q \partial_z I = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.161)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{A}{\sqrt{F}} \partial_t I - A\sqrt{G}(1 - \beta m^2) \partial_r I - B\sqrt{g^{zz}}(1 - \beta m^2) \partial_z I \\ & -iBm(1 - \beta m^2 - \beta Q) + A\beta\sqrt{G}Q \partial_r I + B\beta\sqrt{g^{zz}}Q \partial_z I = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.162)$$

$$-B \left(i\sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}} \partial_\theta I + \sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}} \partial_\phi I \right) (1 - \beta m^2 - \beta Q) = 0, \quad (5.163)$$

$$-A \left(i\sqrt{g^{\theta\theta}} \partial_\theta I + \sqrt{g^{\phi\phi}} \partial_\phi I \right) (1 - \beta m^2 - \beta Q) = 0, \quad (5.164)$$

where $Q = g^{rr} (\partial_r I)^2 + g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta I)^2 + g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi I)^2 + g^{zz} (\partial_z I)^2$. Following the same process, we carry out separation of variables

$$I = -(\omega - j\Omega)t + W(r) + \Theta(\theta, \phi) + Jz, \quad (5.165)$$

where ω and j are the energy and angular momentum of the fermion, and J is a conserved momentum corresponding to the compact dimension. From Eqs. (5.163) and (5.164), we can get a complex function solution of Θ , and

$$g^{\theta\theta} (\partial_\theta \Theta)^2 + g^{\phi\phi} (\partial_\phi \Theta)^2 = 0. \quad (5.166)$$

Now we focus our attention on the radial part of the action. Insert Eq. (5.165) into Eqs. (5.161) and (5.162), cancel A and B and neglect the higher order terms of β . We get the solution of $\partial_r W$

$$A(\partial_r W)^4 + B(\partial_r W)^2 + C = 0, \quad (5.167)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -2\beta G^2 F, \\ B &= G, \\ C &= -\left(1 - 2\beta m^2 - 2\beta g^{zz} (\partial_z I)^2\right) \left(m^2 + g^{zz} (\partial_z I)^2\right) - \frac{1}{F} (\partial_t I)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.168)$$

Solving the above equation at the outer horizon yields the complex expression of the radial action. However, the tunneling rate is determined by the imaginary part of the action. Therefore, the imaginary part of W is derived as

$$\begin{aligned} ImW_{\pm} &= Im \int dr \frac{1}{\sqrt{GF}} \sqrt{(E - j\Omega)^2 + (1 - 2\beta m^2 - 2\beta g^{zz} J^2) (m^2 + g^{zz} J^2) F} \\ &\quad \times \left[1 + \beta \left(m^2 + g^{zz} J^2 + \frac{(E - j\Omega)^2}{F} \right) \right] \\ &= \pi \frac{(\omega - j\Omega_+)(r_+^2 + a^2)}{r_+ - r_-} [1 + \beta \Xi(J, \theta, r_+, j)], \end{aligned} \quad (5.169)$$

where $g^{zz} = 1$ and $\Omega_+ = \frac{a}{r_+^2 + a^2}$ is the angular velocity at the outer horizon. $\Xi(J, \theta, r_+, j)$ is a complicated function of J, θ, r_+, j and $\Xi(J, \theta, r_+, j) > 0$. To find the contributions of the temporal part, we should use the Kruskal coordinates (T, R) . In this coordinate system, the exterior region ($r > r_+$) of the Kerr string is described by

$$\begin{aligned}
T &= e^{\kappa_+ r_*} \sinh(\kappa_+ t), \\
R &= e^{\kappa_+ r_*} \cosh(\kappa_+ t),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.170}$$

where $r_* = r + \frac{1}{2\kappa_+} \ln \frac{r-r_+}{r_+} - \frac{1}{2\kappa_-} \ln \frac{r-r_-}{r_-}$, and $\kappa_{\pm} = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{2(r_{\pm}^2 + a^2)}$. The interior region of the string is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T &= e^{\kappa_+ r_*} \cosh(\kappa_+ t), \\
R &= e^{\kappa_+ r_*} \sinh(\kappa_+ t).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.171}$$

For connecting these two regions across the horizon, we need to rotate the time t to $t \rightarrow t - i \frac{\pi}{2\kappa_+}$. This rotation gives us an additional imaginary contribution of the temporal part [105], namely, $Im(E\Delta t^{out,in}) = \frac{\pi E}{2\kappa_+}$, where $E = \omega - j\Omega_+$. Then the total temporal contribution is $Im(E\Delta t) = \frac{\pi E}{\kappa_+}$. When all of the contributions are taken into account, the tunneling rate of the fermion across the horizon is derived as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma &\propto \exp \left[- \left(Im(E\Delta t) + Im \oint p_r dr \right) \right] \\
&= -4\pi \frac{(\omega - j\Omega_+)(r_+^2 + a^2)}{r_+ - r_-} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \beta \Xi(J, \theta, r_+, j) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.172}$$

This is the Boltzman factor corresponding to the Hawking temperature of the Kerr string

$$\begin{aligned}
T &= \frac{r_+ - r_-}{4\pi(r_+^2 + a^2) \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \beta \Xi(J, \theta, r_+, j) \right]} \\
&= T_0 \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \beta \Xi(J, \theta, r_+, j) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{5.173}$$

where $T_0 = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{4\pi(r_+^2 + a^2)}$ is the original Hawking temperature of the Kerr string, which shares the same expression as that of the 4-dimensional Kerr black hole. This modified temperature is not only determined by the mass, angular momentum and extra dimension of the string, but also affected by the quantum numbers (energy, mass and angular momentum) of the fermion. Meanwhile, a different phenomenon is that the temperature is affected by the angular θ . Due to the small β , the quantum gravity correction to the temperature is also a small value.

The metric (5.155) reduces to the Schwarzschild string metric when $a = 0$. Then the imaginary part of the radial action (5.169) reduces to

$$Im \oint p_r dr = 2\pi\omega r_+ \left[1 + \beta (2\omega^2 + m^2/2 + J^2/2) \right]. \tag{5.174}$$

Adopting the same process, the temperature of the Schwarzschild string is gotten

$$T = \frac{1}{8\pi M} [1 - \beta (\omega^2 + m^2/4 + J^2/4)]. \quad (5.175)$$

It shows that the temperature of the string is affected by the effect of the extra dimension and the quantum number (energy, mass and angular momentum) of the fermion. It is also easy to see that the quantum gravity correction slows down the increase of the temperature.

5.3 Remnants in black holes

Incorporating effects of quantum gravity, the tunneling radiation of scalar particles and fermions were discussed in the above subsections. The corrected temperatures are lower than the original temperatures and the correction values are related to the quantum numbers of the emitted particles. This result implies that quantum gravity corrections slow down the increases of the temperatures during the radiation. Finally, the quantum corrections and the traditional temperatures rising tendency are in balance. The radiation should be stopped at a certain point, which naturally leads to the existence of the remnants in the black holes.

It is of interest to discuss the scale of the remnants. When $Q = 0$ and $a = 0$, $J = 0$, the metrics of the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole and Kerr string reduce to that of the Schwarzschild black hole. Then Eqs. (5.137), (5.154) and (5.173) share an identical expression and show the same temperature. This temperature is

$$T = \left[1 - \frac{1}{4}\beta (m^2 + 4\omega^2) \right] \frac{1}{8\pi M}, \quad (5.176)$$

To determine the scale of the remnants, we only consider the tunneling radiation of a massless particle. When

$$(M - dM)(1 + \beta\omega^2) \simeq M, \quad (5.177)$$

the radiation stops, where $dM = \omega$, $\beta = \beta_0/M_p^2$, $\beta_0 < 10^5$ is a dimensionless parameter determined by quantum gravity effects [53]. To avoid the temperature T being negative, the value of ω should satisfy $1 - \beta\omega^2 > 0$, namely, $\omega < \frac{M_p}{\sqrt{\beta_0}}$. Then, it is easy to find the remnant and the corresponding temperature

$$M_{\text{Res}} \simeq \frac{M_p^2}{\beta_0\omega} \gtrsim \frac{M_p}{\sqrt{\beta_0}}. \quad (5.178)$$

This result is consistent with those derived in references [68, 69, 111, 112]. To compare with previous works, our process presents how the remnants of black holes arises by quantum gravity effects. Moreover, the singularity of black hole evaporation is also prevented by the quantum gravity correction.

6 The mass spectrum

Quantizing the geometry is an important topic of quantum effects of black holes. The horizon area was first quantized by Bekenstein [113]. The area spectrum satisfies the formula $A_n = n\gamma l_p^2$, where $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, γ is a dimensionless constant and needs to be fixed and l_p is the Planck length. Later Vaz et al. examined WDW equation for the Schwarzschild black hole and found that the mass is also quantized and the mass spectrum is proportional to \sqrt{n} [114, 115]. In this section, we first review the quantization of a Schwarzschild black hole by WDW equation, and then take into account quantum gravity effects to re-quantize the black hole [116, 117].

To get the energy of the Schwarzschild black hole, one can write down the time independent Schrodinger equation $\hat{H}\psi = E\psi$ of the black hole, where \hat{H} is the Hamiltonian operator and ψ is the wave function of the black hole. E_n are the eigenvalues of \hat{H} . The eigenfunctions ψ_n describe the corresponding probability amplitudes. WDW equation for the Schwarzschild black hole where the Hamiltonian involves momenta and coordinates (a, p_a) i.e. $H = \frac{p_a^2}{2a} + \frac{a}{2}$, can be written as [116, 117]

$$a^{-s-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left(a^s \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \right) \psi(a) = (a - r_E) \psi(a), \quad (6.179)$$

where p_a is momenta canonically conjugate to a , $p_a^2 = -a^{-s} \frac{d}{da} (a^s \frac{d}{da} \psi(a))$, $r_E = 2M$ is the location of the horizon and s is a factor ordering the parameter. To solve the above equation, we choose a particular value $s = 2$. Thus, the above equation is rewritten as follows

$$\frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a^2} + \frac{2}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \right) \psi(a) = (a - r_E) \psi(a). \quad (6.180)$$

We first perform the transformations $\psi(a) = \frac{U(a)}{a}$ and $\xi = a - r_E$, where ξ expresses the gravitational degrees of freedom of the black hole. Then define the appropriate constants and consider the fact that the energy of excitations associated with variable a is not positive. Thus, Eq. (6.180) becomes

$$-\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \xi^2} + \xi^2 U = \frac{1}{4} r_E^2 U, \quad (6.181)$$

which is the differential equation for a quantum harmonic oscillator. Its energy levels are easily derived as

$$\frac{1}{4} r_E^2 = 2n + 1, \quad (6.182)$$

where n is a non-negative integer. Using the relation between the radius and mass, we get the mass spectrum of the black hole as

$$M^2 = 2(n + 1/2). \quad (6.183)$$

From the above equation, we can find that the mass of the black hole is proportional to \sqrt{n} . This result is full in consistence with that of Bekenstein's argument [118, 119].

In the following, we investigate the mass spectrum by the modified WDW equation with effects of quantum gravity. WDW equation for a Schwarzschild black hole with a modified Heisenberg algebra which has a linear term in momentum was discussed in Ref.[120]. Here we adopt the expression of the GUP put forward by Mann et al. [54]. The position and momentum operators in position space were given in Eq. (1.2). They are represented in momentum space as follows

$$\begin{aligned} X &= i\hbar [(1 + \beta p^2)\partial p + \beta p], \\ P &= p. \end{aligned} \quad (6.184)$$

Then WDW equation for the Schwarzschild black hole with the GUP becomes [121]

$$\left\{ - [(1 + \beta p^2)\partial p + \beta p]^2 - \beta(1 + \beta p^2) + p^2 \right\} \psi(p) = \frac{r_E^2}{4} \psi(p), \quad (6.185)$$

where p is canonical momenta conjugate to ξ . The exact solution of the above equation was found in the work [122, 123], which is

$$M^2 = 2(n + 1/2) \sqrt{1 + (\beta/2)^2} + \beta(n^2 + n + 1/2). \quad (6.186)$$

The normalized energy eigenfunctions of Eq. (6.185) are gotten as

$$\psi_n(p) = 2^\nu \Gamma \sqrt{\frac{n!(n + \nu)\sigma^{1/2}}{2\pi\Gamma(n + 2\nu)}} c^{\nu+1} C_n^\nu(s), \quad (6.187)$$

where

$$C_n^\nu(s) = \frac{(-1)^n \Gamma(n + 2\nu)\Gamma(\nu + 1/2)}{2^n n! \Gamma(2\nu)\Gamma(n + \nu + 1/2)} (1 - s^2)^{1/2-\nu} \frac{d^n}{ds^n} (1 - s^2)^{n+\nu-1/2} \quad (6.188)$$

is the Gegenbauer polynomial, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $c = (1 + \beta p^2)^{-1/2}$, $s = \sqrt{\beta}pc$ and $2\nu = 1 + \sqrt{1 + 4/\beta^2}$. Comparing Eq. (6.186) with Eq. (6.183), we find that the correction value appeared when effects of quantum gravity are taken into account. The mass of the black hole is proportional to n in quantum gravity regime. When $\beta = 0$, Eq. (6.186) reduces to Eq. (6.183) and Bekenstein's result is recovered [118, 119].

7 Thermodynamics

In this section, we discuss the thermodynamics of the Schwarzschild black hole with effects of quantum gravity. The first law of thermodynamics of a black hole satisfies $dM = TdS - VdQ - \Omega dJ$. The entropy is one quarter of the horizon area, namely, $S = A/4$. To derive the entropy, we can directly solve Eq. (5.88) which is the modified Heisenberg algebra. Neglecting the factor "2" on the right hand side of the equation and solving it, we get the expression of Δp as follows

$$\Delta p = \frac{2M/(M_p^2 c) + \alpha_1 \pm \sqrt{(2M/(M_p^2 c) + \alpha_1)^2 - 4\alpha_2}}{2\alpha_2}. \quad (7.189)$$

In the above equation, the negative sign is chosen to get the temperature. The positive sign has no evident physical meaning. Then we use the calibration factor 4π and get the temperature as follows

$$T = \frac{c\Delta p}{4\pi} = \frac{2M/M_p^2 + \alpha_1 c}{8\pi\alpha_2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\alpha_2}{(2M/(M_p^2 c) + \alpha_1)^2}} \right). \quad (7.190)$$

When $\alpha_1 = 0$, $\alpha_2 = \beta$, we can get the correction to the temperature derived by Adler et al. [68]. Using the first law of thermodynamics $dS = c^2 dM/T$, we finish the integral and get the expression of the entropy as follows

$$\begin{aligned} S = & 2\pi M^2/M_p^2 + 2\alpha_1 \pi M c \\ & - 2\pi\alpha_2 M_p^2 c^2 \ln \left(\alpha_1 M_p^2 c + 2M + \sqrt{4M^2 + 4\alpha_1 M M_p^2 c + \alpha_1^2 M_p^4 c^2 - 4\alpha_2 M_p^4 c^2} \right) \\ & + \pi \left(2M/M_p^2 + \alpha_1 c/2 \right) \sqrt{4M^2 + 4\alpha_1 M M_p^2 c + \alpha_1^2 M_p^4 c^2 - 4\alpha_2 M_p^4 c^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.191)$$

The above entropy was derived by the DSR-GUP. A logarithmic correction to the entropy was recently derived in the theories of loop quantum gravity and string [124]. There is also a logarithmic correction term. When $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$, the above equation is reduced to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the Schwarzschild black hole.

Now we derive the thermodynamics from another aspect [72, 125, 126]. We rewrite Eq. (5.88) as follows

$$\Delta x \Delta p \geq \hbar (1 - \alpha_1 \Delta p + \alpha_2 \Delta p^2), \quad (7.192)$$

where $\alpha_1 = \sqrt{\alpha_2} = \alpha_0 l_p / \hbar$, α_0 is a dimensionless constant. Solving the above equation and Taylor expanding the solved equation, we rewrite the same equation after some simple manipulation as follows

$$\Delta p = \frac{1}{\delta x} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{2\delta x} + \frac{\alpha_1^2}{2(\delta x)^2} - \frac{\alpha_1^3}{2(\delta x)^3} + \frac{9\alpha_1^2}{16(\delta x)^2} - \dots \right). \quad (7.193)$$

In the above equation, we have chosen $\hbar = 1$. In the work [72, 125, 126], the authors discussed that the Heisenberg uncertainty principle could be translated to the lower bound $E\delta x \geq 1$, where E is the energy of a quantum particle. Here we adopt this consideration and take into account a photon as the quantum particle. Then the lower bound is rebuilt by the GUP, which is

$$E = \frac{1}{\delta x} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{2\delta x} + \frac{\alpha_1^2}{2(\delta x)^2} - \frac{\alpha_1^3}{2(\delta x)^3} + \frac{9\alpha_1^2}{16(\delta x)^2} - \dots \right). \quad (7.194)$$

When a classical particle with energy E and size R is absorbed by the black hole, the minimal increase in the area is expressed as

$$\Delta A_{min} \geq 8\pi l_p^2 ER \geq 8\pi l_p^2 E\delta x, \quad (7.195)$$

Now we follow the work of Majumder and rewrite the above equation, which is [72]

$$\Delta A_{min} \simeq \epsilon l_p^2 \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{2\delta x} + \frac{\alpha_1^2}{2(\delta x)^2} - \frac{\alpha_1^3}{2(\delta x)^3} + \frac{9\alpha_1^2}{16(\delta x)^2} - \dots \right), \quad (7.196)$$

where ϵ is a numerical value greater than the order of 8π . Let the uncertainty of the position be $\delta x \sim 2r_E = \sqrt{\frac{A}{\pi}}$, we get

$$\Delta A_{min} \simeq \epsilon l_p^2 \left(1 - \frac{\pi^{1/2}\alpha_1}{A^{1/2}} + \frac{\pi\alpha_1^2}{A} - \frac{\pi^{3/2}\alpha_1^3}{A^{3/2}} + \frac{9\pi^2\alpha_1^2}{16A^2} - \dots \right). \quad (7.197)$$

When the area is added, the entropy should be also added. We assume that the minimal increase of the entropy is one *bit* b and the value of b is $\ln 2$. Thus, there is

$$\frac{dS}{dA} \simeq \frac{\Delta S_{min}}{\Delta A_{min}} = \frac{b}{\epsilon l_p^2 \left(1 - \frac{\pi^{1/2}\alpha_1}{A^{1/2}} + \frac{\pi\alpha_1^2}{A} - \frac{\pi^{3/2}\alpha_1^3}{A^{3/2}} + \frac{9\pi^2\alpha_1^2}{16A^2} - \dots \right)}. \quad (7.198)$$

The entropy can be obtained by integrating the above equation. Finishing the integral and letting $b/\epsilon = 1/4$, we get

$$S = \frac{A}{4l_p^2} + \frac{\pi^{1/2}\alpha_0}{2} \sqrt{\frac{A}{4l_p^2}} - \frac{\pi\alpha_0^2}{16} \ln \frac{A}{4l_p^2} - \frac{\pi^{3/2}\alpha_0^3}{32} \left(\frac{A}{4l_p^2} \right)^{-1/2} + \frac{\pi^2\alpha_0^4}{128} \left(\frac{A}{4l_p^2} \right)^{-1} - \dots \quad (7.199)$$

It shows that the entropy is corrected by quantum gravity effects. The first term is the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy-area relation. The logarithmic correction appears in the expression, which is in consistence with that obtained in loop quantum gravity. For the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole with double horizons, the uncertainty of position is chosen as $\delta x = 2(r_+ - r_-)$. Following the above process, we can also get the corrected entropy.

8 Discussion and Conclusion

In this paper, we have reviewed the effects of quantum gravity on the black holes. We first discussed the tunneling radiation. In the derivation of the tunneling radiation, the calculation of the imaginary part of the emitted particle's action is a key point. There are two ways to derive the imaginary part, namely, the null geodesic method and the Hamilton-Jacobi method. In the first way, the background spacetimes were varied, therefore, the leading corrections to the Hawking temperatures appeared. The corrected temperatures were higher than the original temperatures. In the second way, the spacetimes were fixed, thus the standard Hawking temperatures were recovered. Both of these results predict the complete evaporation of the black holes.

We can directly derive the action of the massive and massless particles in the Hamilton-Jacobi method. However, we should distinguish the massless and massive particles in null geodesic method, since the derivations of their actions are different. Taking into account that the derivation of the geodesic equation of the massive particle was gotten unnaturally, we re-defined the geodesic equation by the variation principle on the Lagrangian action. Then we adopted the new definition to investigate the tunneling behavior of the scalar particles across the horizon of the black string. The result is full in consistence with that derived by Parikh and Zhang et al. [6, 9].

When effects of quantum gravity were taken into account, we modified Klein-Gordon equation and Dirac equation by the influence of GUP, then used these modified equations to discuss the tunneling radiation of scalar particles and fermions in various spacetimes. The result showed that the corrected temperatures are lower than the original temperatures. Quantum corrections slow down the increase of the temperatures duo to the radiation. Finally, at a certain point, the quantum corrections and the traditional temperatures rising tendency are in balance, which leads to the remnants. The scale of the remnants was gotten by the massless particles' tunneling across the horizons, which is $M_{\text{Res}} \gtrsim \frac{M_p}{\sqrt{\beta_0}}$. Then the singularities are avoided by the quantum gravity corrections. Our calculation explicitly showed how the remnants arises due to effects of quantum gravity. The tunneling radiation of scalar particles and fermions beyond the semi-classical approximation was also discussed. One can refer to Refs. [31, 32, 33] for detail.

Incorporating the quantum gravity effects into WDW equation, we quantized the Schwarzschild black hole's mass. The result showed that the mass of the black hole is proportional to n in quantum gravity regime. When $\beta = 0$, the result of Bekenstein is recovered. The thermodynamics of the Schwarzschild black hole were discussed with the influences of GUP. The corrected entropy was derived. There is also a logarithmic correction term, which is full in consistence with that derived in loop quantum gravity.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the NSFC (Grant Nos. 11205125, 11175039, 11375121) and Sichuan Province Science Foundation for Youths (Grant Nos. 2014JQ0040, 2012JQ0039).

References

- [1] S.W. Hawking, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**, 199 (1975) [Erratum *ibid.* **46**, 206 (1976)].
- [2] T. Damour and R. Ruffini, *Phys. Rev. D* **20**, 239 (1976)
- [3] S. Sannan, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **20**, 239 (1988)
- [4] P. Kraus, F. Wilczek, *Nucl. Phys. B* **437**, 231 (1995).
- [5] P. Kraus, F. Wilczek, *Nucl. Phys. B* **433**, 403 (1995).
- [6] M.K. Parikh and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 5042 (2000).
- [7] K. Srinivasan and T. Padmanabhan, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 024007 (1999).
- [8] M.K. Parikh, *Phys. Lett. B* **546**, 189 (2002).
- [9] J.Y. Zhang and Z. Zhao, *JHEP* **10**, 055 (2005).
- [10] J.Y. Zhang and Z. Zhao, *Phys. Lett. B* **638**, 110 (2006).
- [11] Q.Q. Jiang and S.Q. Wu and X. Cai, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 064003 (2006).
- [12] Q.Q. Jiang and S.Q. Wu, *Phys. Lett. B* **635**, 151 (2006).
- [13] E.C. Vagenas, *Phys. Lett. B* **503**, 399 (2001).
- [14] S. Hemming, E. Keski-Vakkuri, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 044006 (2001).
- [15] A.J.M. Medved, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 124009 (2002).
- [16] E.C. Vagenas, *Phys. Lett. B* **559**, 65 (2003).
- [17] J.Y. Zhang and Z. Zhao, *Phys. Lett. B* **618**, 14 (2005).
- [18] S.Q. Wu and Q.Q. Jiang, *JHEP* **0603**, 079 (2006).
- [19] S.P. Kim, *JHEP* **0711**, 048 (2007).
- [20] T. Pilling, *Phys. Lett. B* **660**, 402 (2008).
- [21] S. Sarkar and D. Kothawala, *Phys. Lett. B* **659**, 683 (2008).
- [22] P. Mitra, *Phys. Lett. B* **648**, 240 (2007).
- [23] R. Banerjee and B.R. Majhi, *Phys. Lett. B* **662**, 62 (2008).
- [24] R. Banerjee and S. Kulkarni, *Phys. Lett. B* **659**, 827 (2008).

- [25] M. Angheben, M. Nadalini, L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini, *JHEP* **05**, 014 (2005).
- [26] R. Kerner and R.B. Mann, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 104010 (2006).
- [27] S. Shankaranarayanan, T. Padmanabhan and K. Srinivasan, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19**, 2671 (2002).
- [28] S. Shankaranarayanan, K. Srinivasan and T. Padmanabhan, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **16**, 571 (2001).
- [29] R. Kerner and R.B. Mann, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **25**, 095014 (2008).
- [30] R. Kerner and R.B. Mann, *Phys. Lett. B* **665**, 277 (2008).
- [31] R. Banerjee and B.R. Majhi, *JHEP* **0806**, 095 (2008).
- [32] B.R. Majhi, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 044005 (2009).
- [33] D. Singleton, E.C. Vagenas, T. Zhu and J.R. Ren, *JHEP* **1008**, 089 (2010).
- [34] R.Di Criscienzo and L. Vanzo, *Europhys. Lett.* **82**, 60001 (2008).
- [35] R. Li, J.R Ren and S.W. Wei, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **25**, 125016 (2008).
- [36] D. Chen, Q.Q. Jiang and X.T. Zu, *Phys. Lett. B* **665**, 106 (2008).
- [37] D. Chen, Q.Q. Jiang and X.T. Zu, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **25**, 205022 (2008).
- [38] S.A. Hayward, R.D. Criscienzo, M. Nadalini, L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 062001 (2009).
- [39] Q.Q. Jiang, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 044009 (2008).
- [40] X.X. Zeng and S.Z. Yang, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **40**, 2107 (2008).
- [41] J. Ahmed and K. Saifullah, *JCAP* **1108**, 011 (2011).
- [42] M. Rehman and K. Saifullah, *JCAP* **1103**, 01 (2011).
- [43] D. Chen, H. Yang and X.T. Zu, *Phys. Lett. B* **681**, 463 (2009).
- [44] K. Lin and S.Z. Yang, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 064035 (2009).
- [45] B.R. Majhi and E.C. Vagenas, *Phys. Lett. B* **701**, 623 (2011).
- [46] D.V. Fursaev, *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 5352 (1995).
- [47] R. Banerjee, B. R. Majhi, *Phys. Lett. B* **674**, 218 (2009).
- [48] P.K. Townsend, *Phys. Rev. D* **15**, 2795 (1977).
- [49] D. Amati, M. Ciafaloni and G. Veneziano, *Phys. Lett. B* **216**, 41 (1989).

- [50] K. Konishi, G. Paffuti and P. Provero, *Phys. Lett. B* **234**, 276 (1999).
- [51] G. Amelino-Camelia, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **11**, 35 (2002).
- [52] F. Scardigli, *Phys. Lett. B* **452**, 39 (1999).
- [53] S. Liberati, L. Maccione and T.P. Sotiriou, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 151602 (2012).
- [54] A. Kempf, G. Mangano and R.B. Mann, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 1108 (1995).
- [55] F. Brau, *J. Phys. A* **32**, 7691 (1999).
- [56] A.F. Ali, S. Das and E.C. Vagenas, *Phys. Lett. B* **678**, 497 (2009).
- [57] S. Das and E.C. Vagenas, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 221301 (2008).
- [58] K. Nozari and M. Karami, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **20**, 3095 (2005).
- [59] S. Hossenfelder, M. Bleicher, S. Hofmann, et al., *Phys. Lett. B* **575**, 85 (2003).
- [60] S. Das and R.B. Mann, *Phys. Lett. B* **704**, 596 (2011).
- [61] P. Wang, H. Yang and X. Zhang, *JHEP* **1008**, 043 (2010).
- [62] P. Wang, H. Yang and X. Zhang, *Phys. Lett. B* **718**, 265 (2012).
- [63] S. Basilakos, S. Das and E.C. Vagenas, *JCAP* **1009**, 027 (2010).
- [64] W. Chemissany, S. Das, A.F. Ali and E.C. Vagenas, *JCAP* **1112**, 017 (2011).
- [65] D. Bouaziz and N. Ferkous, *Phys. Rev. A* **82**, 022105 (2010).
- [66] S. Benczik, L. N. Chang, D. Minic and T. Takeuchi, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 012104 (2005).
- [67] M.M. Stetsko and V.M. Tkachuk, *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 012101 (2006).
- [68] R.J. Adler, P. Chen and D.I. Santiago, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **33**, 2101 (2001).
- [69] R. Banerjee and S. Ghosh, *Phys. Lett. B* **688**, 224 (2010).
- [70] J.L. Said and K.Z. Adami, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 043008 (2011).
- [71] L. Xiang and X.Q. Wen, *JHEP* **0910**, 046 (2009).
- [72] B. Majumder, *Phys. Lett. B* **703**, 402 (2011).
- [73] K. Zeynali, F. Darabi and H. Motavalli, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **27**, 0227 (2012).
- [74] K. Nozari and S. Saghafi, *JHEP* **1211**, 005 (2012).
- [75] S. Hossenfelder, *Living Rev. Relativity* **16**, 2 (2013).

- [76] L. J. Garay, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **10**, 145 (1995).
- [77] G. Montani, M. V. Battisti, R. Benini and G. Imponente, “Primordial cosmology,” Hackensack, USA: World Scientific, p591 (2011).
- [78] D. Tong, “String Theory,” arXiv:0908.0333 [hep-th].
- [79] R. Gambini and J. Pullin, “A first course in loop quantum gravity,” Oxford, UK: Univ. Pr. p183 (2011).
- [80] C. Rovelli, “Quantum gravity,” Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. p455 (2004).
- [81] M. Bojowald, *Living Rev. Relativity* **11**, 4 (2008).
- [82] C. Rovelli, “Loop quantum gravity: the first twenty five years,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28**, 153002 (2011).
- [83] P. Dona and S. Speziale, “Introductory lectures to loop quantum gravity,” arXiv:1007.0402 [gr-qc].
- [84] K. Banerjee, G. Calcagni and M. Martin-Benito, *SIGMA* **8**, 016 (2012).
- [85] S. Bilson-Thompson and D. Vaid, “LQG for the Bewildered,” arXiv:1402.3586 [gr-qc].
- [86] D. Chen and S.Z. Yang, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **39**, 1503 (2007).
- [87] M.K. Parikh, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **13**, 2351 (2004).
- [88] Z.Y. liu and J.R. Ren, “Fermions tunnelling with quantum gravity correction,” arXiv:1403.7307[gr-qc].
- [89] M. Kober, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 085017 (2010).
- [90] D. Chen and Z.H. Li, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2014**, 620157 (2014).
- [91] D. Wu, Q.Q. Jiang, S.Q. Wu, et al., *Sci Sin-Phys Mech Astron*, **43**, 115-121 (2013).
- [92] Q.Q. Jiang, D. Chen and D. Wen, *JCAP*, **2013**, 027 (2013).
- [93] J.P.S. Lemos, *Phys. Lett. B* **353**, 46 (1995).
- [94] H. Ohanian and R. Ruffini, *Gravitation and Spacetime*, 2nd edition (W. W. Norton), p481 (1994).
- [95] D. Chen, H. Wu and H. Yang, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2013**, 432412 (2013).
- [96] D. Chen, H. Wu and H. Yang, *JCAP* **1403**, 036 (2014).
- [97] D. Chen, Q.Q. Jiang, P. Wang and H. Yang, *JHEP* **1311**, 176 (2013).
- [98] D. Chen, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 2687 (2014).

- [99] G. Amelino-Camelia, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **11**, 35 (2000).
- [100] G. Amelino-Camelia, *Nature* **418**, 34 (2002).
- [101] J. Kowalski-Glikman, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **669**, 131 (2005).
- [102] K. Imilkowska, J. Kowalski-Glikman, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **702**, 279 (2006).
- [103] P. Wang, H. Yang and S. Ying, “Quantum gravity corrections to the tunneling radiation of scalar particles.”
- [104] W. Greiner, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics: Wave Equation*, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [105] V. Akhmedova, T. Pilling, A. de Gill and D. Singleton, *Phys. Lett. B* **666**, 269 (2008).
- [106] E.T. Akhmedov, T. Pilling and D. Singleton, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **17**, 2453 (2008).
- [107] V. Akhmedova, T. Pilling, A. de Gill and D. Singleton, *Phys. Lett. B* **673**, 227 (2009).
- [108] E.T. Akhmedov, V. Akhmedova, T. Pilling and D. Singleton, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **22**, 1705 (2007).
- [109] B.D. Chowdhury, *Pramana* **70**, 593 (2008).
- [110] E.T. Akhmedov, V. Akhmedova and D. Singleton, *Phys. Lett. B* **642**, 124 (2006).
- [111] P. Chen and R.J. Adler, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **124**, 103 (2003).
- [112] L. Xiang, *Phys. Lett. B* **647**, 207 (2007).
- [113] J.D. Bekenstein, *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* **11**, 467 (1974).
- [114] C. Vaz and L. Witten, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 024009 (1999).
- [115] C. Vaz, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 064017 (2000).
- [116] J. Makela and P. Repo, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 4899 (1998).
- [117] O. Obregon, M. Sabido and V.I. Tkach, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **33**, 913 (2001).
- [118] J.D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 2333 (1973).
- [119] J.D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev. D* **9**, 3292 (1974).
- [120] B. Majumder, *Phys. Lett. B* **701**, 384 (2001).
- [121] A. Bina, S. Jalalzadeh and A. Moslehi, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 023528 (2010).
- [122] L.N. Chang, D. Minic, N. Okamura, and T. Takeuchi, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 125027 (2002).

- [123] I. Dadić, L. Jonke and S. Meljanac, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 087701 (2003).
- [124] R.K. Kaul, P. Majumdar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 5255 (2000).
- [125] E.M. Lifshitz, L.P. Pitaevskii and V.B. Berestetskii, *Landau-Lifshitz Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 4: Quantum Electrodynamics*, Reed Educational and Professional Publishing, Oxford (1982).
- [126] G.A. Camellia, M. Arzano and A. Procaccini, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 107501 (2004).