# Operator inequalities among arithmetic mean, geometric mean and harmonic mean

Shigeru Furuichi<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Information Science, College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University, 3-25-40, Sakurajyousui, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, 156-8550, Japan

Abstract. We give an upper bound for the weighted geometric mean using the weighted arithmetic mean and the weighted harmonic mean. We also give a lower bound for the weighted geometric mean. These inequalities are proven for two invertible positive operators.

**Keywords :** Operator inequality and operator mean

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification :** 15A39 and 15A45

### 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space. We represent the set of all bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $B(\mathcal{H})$ . If  $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$  satisfies  $A^* = A$ , then A is called a self-adjoint operator. If a self-adjoint operator A satisfies  $\langle x|A|x \rangle \geq 0$  for any  $|x \rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ , then A is called a positive operator. For two self-adjoint operators A and B,  $A \geq B$  means  $A - B \geq 0$ . The notation A > 0 means A is an invertible positive operator.

It is well-known that we have the following Young inequalities for invertible positive operators A and B:

$$(1-\nu)A + \nu B \ge A \#_{\nu} B \ge \left\{ (1-\nu)A^{-1} + \nu B^{-1} \right\}^{-1}, \tag{1}$$

where  $A \#_{\nu} B \equiv A^{1/2} (A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^{\nu} A^{1/2}$  represents the geometric mean for two positive operators A and B and a weighted parameter  $\nu \in [0, 1]$  [1]. (In this paper, we use the notation A # B instead of  $A \#_{1/2} B$  for the simplicity.)  $(1 - \nu)A + \nu B$  and  $\{(1 - \nu)A^{-1} + \nu B^{-1}\}^{-1}$  are called weighted arithmetic mean and harmonic mean for two positive operators, respectively. The simplified and elegant proof for the inequalities (1) was given in [2]. Recently, refinements of the inequalities (1) were given in our papers [3, 4]. It is also notable that improvements of [4] have been given in the paper [5]. And further improvements have been given in quite recent papers [6] and [7]. In this short note, we consider the relations among operator means for two positive operators.

We start from the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.1** Let A, B be invertible positive operators and r be a real number. Then we have the following inequalities.

(i) If 
$$r \ge 2$$
, then  $rA \# B + (1-r) \frac{A+B}{2} \le \left(\frac{A^{-1}+B^{-1}}{2}\right)^{-1}$ .

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail:furuichi@chs.nihon-u.ac.jp

(ii) If 
$$r \le 1$$
, then  $rA \# B + (1-r) \frac{A+B}{2} \ge \left(\frac{A^{-1}+B^{-1}}{2}\right)^{-1}$ .

*Proof*: In general, by using the notion of the representing function  $f_m(x) = 1mx$  for operator mean m, it is well-known [1] that  $f_m(x) \leq f_n(x)$  holds for x > 0 if and only if  $AmB \leq AnB$  holds for all positive operators A and B. Thus we can prove this proposition from the following scalar inequalities for t > 0.

(i)  $r\sqrt{t} + (1-r)\frac{t+1}{2} \le \frac{2t}{t+1}$ ,  $(r \ge 2)$ .

(ii) 
$$r\sqrt{t} + (1-r)\frac{t+1}{2} \ge \frac{2t}{t+1}$$
,  $(r \le 1)$ .

Actually (i) above can be proven in the following way. We set  $f_r(t) \equiv \frac{2t}{t+1} - r\sqrt{t} - (1-r)\frac{t+1}{2}$ , then  $\frac{df_r(t)}{dr} = -\sqrt{t} + \frac{t+1}{2} \ge 0$  implies  $f_r(t) \ge f_2(t)$  for  $r \ge 2$ . From the relation  $\frac{2t}{t+1} + \frac{t+1}{2} \ge 2\sqrt{t}$ , we have  $f_2(t) \ge 0$ . We also give the proof for (ii) above. We set  $g_r(t) \equiv r\sqrt{t} + (1-r)\frac{t+1}{2} - \frac{2t}{t+1}$ , then  $\frac{dg_r(t)}{dr} = \sqrt{t} - \frac{t+1}{2} \le 0$  implies  $g_r(t) \ge g_1(t)$  for  $r \le 1$ . From the relation  $\frac{2t}{t+1} \le \sqrt{t}$ , we have  $g_1(t) \ge 0$ .

**Remark 1.2** We have counter-examples of both inequalities (i) and (ii) in Proposition 1.1 for 1 < r < 2. For example, we take r = 1.5. Then we have the following computations.  $\frac{2t}{t+1} - r\sqrt{t} - (1-r)\frac{t+1}{2} \simeq 0.122302$  when t = 0.01 and  $\frac{2t}{t+1} - r\sqrt{t} - (1-r)\frac{t+1}{2} \simeq -0.037987$  when t = 2.

#### 2 Main results

Proposition 1.1 can be generalized by means of weighted parameter  $\nu \in [0, 1]$ , as the second inequality in (2) below.

**Theorem 2.1** If (i)  $0 \le \nu \le 1/2$  and  $0 < A \le B$  or (ii)  $1/2 \le \nu \le 1$  and  $0 < B \le A$ , then the following inequalities hold

$$A\#B + \left(\nu - \frac{1}{2}\right)(B - A) \le A\#_{\nu}B \le \frac{1}{2}\left\{(1 - \nu)A + \nu B\right\} + \frac{1}{2}\left\{(1 - \nu)A^{-1} + \nu B^{-1}\right\}^{-1}.$$
 (2)

**Remark 2.2** Under the same conditions as in Theorem 2.1, we have  $A\#B \ge A\#_{\nu}B$ .

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, we firstly prove the corresponding scalar inequalities, as it was similarly done in Proposition 1.1.

**Lemma 2.3** If (i)  $0 \le \nu \le 1/2$  and  $t \ge 1$  or (ii)  $1/2 \le \nu \le 1$  and  $0 < t \le 1$ , then the following inequalities hold

$$2\sqrt{t} + (2\nu - 1)(t - 1) \le 2t^{\nu} \le (1 - \nu) + \nu t + \left\{ (1 - \nu) + \frac{\nu}{t} \right\}^{-1}.$$
(3)

Proof: It is trivial for the case t = 1. For the cases  $\nu = 0$ , 1/2 or 1, the inequalities (3) hold. So we assume  $t \neq 1$  and  $\nu \neq 0, 1/2, 1$ . We firstly prove the first inequality of the inequalities (3), under the condition (i)  $0 < \nu < 1/2$  and t > 1 or (ii)  $1/2 < \nu < 1$  and 0 < t < 1. Here we put  $f_{\nu}(t) \equiv t^{\nu} - \sqrt{t} - (\nu - \frac{1}{2})(t - 1)$ . Then we have  $f'_{\nu}(t) = \nu t^{\nu - 1} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} - (\nu - \frac{1}{2})$  and  $f'_{\nu}(1) = 0$ . We also have  $f''_{\nu}(t) = -\nu(1 - \nu)t^{\nu - 2} + \frac{1}{4}t^{-3/2}$ . Thus we have  $f''_{\nu}(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = t_{\nu} \equiv \{4\nu(1-\nu)\}^{\frac{2}{1-2\nu}}$ . We find  $t_{\nu} < 1$  in the case  $0 < \nu < 1/2$  and t > 1. Then we find  $f''_{\nu}(t) \ge 0$  for  $t > 1(> t_{\nu})$ . So  $f'_{\nu}(t)$  is monotone increasing for t > 1 and we have  $f'_{\nu}(1) = 0$ . Thus we find  $f'_{\nu}(t) \ge 0$  for t > 1. So  $f_{\nu}(t)$  is monotone increasing for t > 1. Therefore we have  $f_{\nu}(t) \ge f_{\nu}(1) = 0$ . We also find  $t_{\nu} > 1$  in the case  $1/2 < \nu < 1$  and 0 < t < 1. Then we find  $f''_{\nu}(t) \ge 0$  for  $0 < t < 1(< t_{\nu})$ . So  $f'_{\nu}(t)$  is monotone increasing for 0 < t < 1 and we have  $f'_{\nu}(1) = 0$ . Thus we find  $f'_{\nu}(t) \le 0$  for 0 < t < 1. So  $f'_{\nu}(t)$  is monotone increasing for 0 < t < 1 and we have  $f'_{\nu}(1) = 0$ . Thus we find  $f'_{\nu}(t) \le 0$  for 0 < t < 1. So  $f_{\nu}(t)$  is monotone decreasing for 0 < t < 1. Therefore we have  $f_{\nu}(t) \ge f_{\nu}(1) = 0$ . Thus the proof for the first inequality of the inequalities (3) is done.

We prove the second inequality of the inequalities (3). We put  $g_{\nu}(t) \equiv (1-\nu) + \nu t + \frac{1}{1-\nu+\frac{\nu}{t}} - 2t^{\nu}$ . Then we have  $g_{\nu}(t) = (1-\nu) + \nu t + \frac{t}{(1-\nu)t+\nu} - 2t^{\nu} \ge 2\sqrt{\frac{\{(1-\nu)+\nu t\}t}{(1-\nu)t+\nu}} - 2t^{\nu}$ . Since  $g_{\nu}(t) \ge 0$  is equivalent to  $\frac{(1-\nu)+\nu t}{(1-\nu)t+\nu} \ge t^{2\nu-1}$ , we put again  $h_{\nu}(t) \equiv (1-\nu) + \nu t - \{(1-\nu)t+\nu\}t^{2\nu-1}$ . Then we prove  $h_{\nu}(t) > 0$  under the condition (i)  $0 < \nu < 1/2$  and t > 1 or (ii)  $1/2 < \nu < 1$  and 0 < t < 1. By the elementary calculations, we have  $h_{\nu}'(t) = \nu - 2\nu(1-\nu)t^{2\nu-1} - \nu(2\nu-1)t^{2\nu-2}$ ,  $h_{\nu}'(1) = 0$  and  $h_{\nu}''(t) = -2\nu(1-\nu)(2\nu-1)t^{2\nu-3}(t-1)$ . Then we find  $h_{\nu}''(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 1$ . In the case t > 1, we have  $h_{\nu}'(t) \ge 0$ . So  $h_{\nu}'(t)$  is monotone increasing for t > 1 and we have  $h_{\nu}'(1) = 0$ . Thus we have  $h_{\nu}'(1) = 0$ . In the case 0 < t < 1, we also have  $h_{\nu}''(t) \ge 0$ . So  $h_{\nu}'(t)$  is monotone increasing for t > 1. Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \ge h_{\nu}(1) = 0$ . In the case 0 < t < 1, we also have  $h_{\nu}''(t) \ge 0$ . So  $h_{\nu}'(t)$  is monotone increasing for t > 1. Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \ge h_{\nu}(1) = 0$ . Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \le 0$  for t < 1. Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \ge h_{\nu}(1) = 0$ . Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \ge 0$  for t < 1. Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \ge h_{\nu}(1) = 0$ . Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \ge 0$  for t < 1. Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \ge h_{\nu}(1) = 0$ . Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \ge 0$  for 0 < t < 1. Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \ge h_{\nu}(1) = 0$ . Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \ge 0$  for 0 < t < 1. Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \ge h_{\nu}(1) = 0$ . Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \ge 0$  for 0 < t < 1. Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \ge h_{\nu}(1) = 0$ . Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \ge 0$  for t < 1. Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \ge h_{\nu}(1) = 0$ . Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \ge 0$  for 0 < t < 1. Thus we have  $h_{\nu}(t) \ge h_{\nu}(1) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.4** Let  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the function  $k_{r,\nu}(t) \equiv rt^{\nu} + (1-r) \{(1-\nu) + \nu t\}, (0 \leq \nu \leq 1, t > 0)$ is monotone decreasing with respect to r. Therefore,  $k_{r,\nu}(t) \leq k_{2,\nu}(t)$  for  $r \geq 2$  and  $k_{r,\nu}(t) \geq k_{1,\nu}(t)$  for  $r \leq 1$ .

*Proof*: The proof is done by  $\frac{\partial k_{r,\nu}(t)}{\partial r} = t^{\nu} - \{(1-\nu) + \nu t\} \leq 0$ , for  $\nu \in [0,1]$  and t > 0.

Lemma 2.4 provides the following results.

**Lemma 2.5** Let  $r \ge 2$ . If (i)  $0 \le \nu \le 1/2$  and  $t \ge 1$  or (ii)  $1/2 \le \nu \le 1$  and  $0 < t \le 1$ , then

$$rt^{\nu} + (1-r)\left\{(1-\nu) + \nu t\right\} \le \left\{(1-\nu) + \frac{\nu}{t}\right\}^{-1}.$$

*Proof* : The proof follows directly from Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4.

**Lemma 2.6** Let  $r \leq 1$ . For  $0 < \nu \leq 1$  and t > 0, we have

$$rt^{\nu} + (1-r)\left\{(1-\nu) + \nu t\right\} \ge \left\{(1-\nu) + \frac{\nu}{t}\right\}^{-1}.$$

*Proof:* For  $r \leq 1$ , it follows from Lemma 2.4 that  $rt^{\nu} + (1-r)\{(1-\nu) + \nu t\} \geq t^{\nu}$ . Since we have  $t^{\nu} \geq \{(1-\nu) + \frac{\nu}{t}\}^{-1}$ , the proof is done.

Finally we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.7** Let  $r \ge 2$ . If (i)  $0 < \nu \le 1/2$  and  $0 < A \le B$  or (ii)  $1/2 \le \nu \le 1$  and  $0 < B \le A$ , then

$$rA\#_{\nu}B + (1-r)\left\{(1-\nu)A + \nu B\right\} \le \left\{(1-\nu)A^{-1} + \nu B^{-1}\right\}^{-1}.$$

Let  $r \leq 1$ . For  $0 < \nu < 1$  and t > 0, we have

 $rA\#_{\nu}B + (1-r)\left\{(1-\nu)A + \nu B\right\} \ge \left\{(1-\nu)A^{-1} + \nu B^{-1}\right\}^{-1}.$ 

*Proof:* The proof can be done applying Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 2.1.

### Acknowledgement

The author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24540146.

## References

- [1] F.Kubo and T.Ando, Means of positive operators, Math. Ann., Vol. 264(1980), pp. 205-224.
- [2] T.Furuta and M.Yanagida, Generalized means and convexity of inversion for positive operators, Amer.Math.Monthly, Vol.105 (1998), pp.258-259.
- [3] S.Furuichi, On refined Young inequalities and reverse inequalities, J. Math. Ineq., Vol.5(2011), pp.21-31.
- [4] S.Furuichi, Refined Young inequalities with Specht's ratio, J. Egypt. Math. Soc., Vol.20(2012), pp.46-49.
- [5] H.Zuo, G.Shi and M.Fujii, Refined Young inequality with Kantrovich constant, J. Math. Ineq., Vol.5(2011), pp.551-556.
- [6] M.Krnić, N.Lovcičević and J.Pečarić, Jensen's operator and applications to mean inequalities for operators in Hilbert space, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., Vol.35 (2012), pp.1-14.
- [7] F.Kittanech, M.Krnić, N.Lovcičević and J.Pečarić, Improved arithmetic-geometric and Heinz means inequalities for Hilbert space operators, Publ. Math. Debrecen, Vol.80(2012), pp.465-478.