

The Lie group structure of the Butcher group

G. Bogfjellmo* and A. Schmeding†

May 4, 2019

The Butcher group is a powerful tool to analyse integration methods for ordinary differential equations, in particular Runge–Kutta methods. In the present paper, we complement the algebraic treatment of the Butcher group with a natural infinite-dimensional Lie group structure. This structure turns the Butcher group into a real analytic Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff Lie group modelled on a Fréchet space. In addition, the Butcher group is a regular Lie group in the sense of Milnor and contains the subgroup of symplectic tree maps as a closed Lie subgroup. Finally, we also compute the Lie algebra of the Butcher group and discuss its relation to the Lie algebra associated to the Butcher group by Connes and Kreimer.

Keywords: Butcher group, infinite-dimensional Lie group, Hopf algebra of rooted trees, regularity of Lie groups, symplectic methods

MSC2010: 22E65 (primary); 65L06, 58A07, 16T05 (secondary)

Contents

1. A natural Lie group structure for the Butcher group	5
2. The Lie algebra of the Butcher group	9
3. Regularity properties of the Butcher group	13
4. The Butcher group as an exponential Lie group	18
5. The subgroup of symplectic tree maps	21

*NTNU Trondheim, Norway geir.bogfjellmo@math.ntnu.no

†NTNU Trondheim, Norway alexander.schmeding@math.ntnu.no

Introduction and statement of results

In his seminal work [But72] J.C. Butcher introduced the Butcher group as a tool to study order conditions for a class of integration methods. Butcher’s idea was to build a group structure for mappings on rooted trees. The interplay between the combinatorial structure of rooted trees and this group structure enables one to handle formal power series solutions of non-linear ordinary differential equations. As a consequence, an efficient treatment of the algebraic order conditions arising in the study Runge–Kutta methods became feasible. Building on Butcher’s ideas, numerical analysts have extensively studied algebraic properties of the Butcher group and several of its subgroups. The reader is referred to [CHV10,HLW06,Bro04] and most recently the classification of integration methods in [MMMKV14].

The theory of the Butcher group developed in the literature is mainly algebraic in nature. However, it is striking that the theory of the Butcher group often builds on an intuition which involves a differentiable structure on the Butcher group. A good example for this kind of reasoning is [HLW06, IX Remark 9.4]. In loc.cit., a “derivative” of a mapping from the Butcher group into the real numbers is computed, without an attempt to construct a differentiable structure for the Butcher group. Even stronger, statements like “... the Butcher group is an infinite-dimensional group with an associated Lie algebra...” can be found in various places. Here, the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra is associated to the Butcher group via a link to a certain Hopf algebra (cf. [Bro04] and Remark 2.6) and is not derived from a differentiable structure. To the authors’ knowledge no attempt to rigorously construct and study the infinite-dimensional structures on the Butcher group and their connection with the associated Lie algebra has been made. The aim of the present paper is to remedy this situation.

Guiding our approach is the idea that a natural differentiable structure on the Butcher group should reproduce on the algebraic side the well known formulae for derivatives and objects considered in numerical analysis. To construct such a differentiable structure on the Butcher group we base our investigation on a concept of C^r -maps between locally convex spaces known as Keller’s C_c^r -theory [Kel74] (see [Mil83,Glö02,Nee06] for streamlined expositions and Appendix A for a quick reference). In the framework of this theory, we construct a differentiable structure which turns the Butcher group into a (locally convex) Lie group modelled on a Fréchet space. Then the Lie theoretic properties of the Butcher group and the subgroup of symplectic tree maps are investigated. In particular, we compute the Lie algebras of these Lie groups and relate them to the Lie algebra associated to the Butcher group.

We now go into some more detail and explain the main results of the present paper. Let us first recall some notation and the definition of the Butcher group.

Denote by \mathcal{T} the set of all rooted trees with a finite positive number of vertices (cf. Section 1). Furthermore, we let \emptyset be the empty tree. Then the Butcher group is defined to be the set of all tree maps which map the empty tree to 1, i.e.

$$G_{\text{TM}} = \{a: \mathcal{T} \cup \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid a(\emptyset) = 1\}.$$

To define the group operation of the Butcher group, one interprets the values of a tree map as coefficients of a (formal) power series. Via this identification, the composition law for power series induces a group operation on G_{TM} .¹ We refrain at this point from giving an explicit formula for the group operations and refer instead to Section 1.

Note that the Butcher group contains arbitrary tree maps, i.e. there is no restriction on the value a tree map can attain at a given tree. Thus the natural choice of model space for the Butcher group is the locally convex direct product $\mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{T}|} := \prod_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{R}$, where $|\mathcal{T}|$ denotes the cardinality of \mathcal{T} and the factors of the product are the range of possible values for a tree map on a given tree. Observe that \mathcal{T} is a countable (infinite) set. Hence we can choose an enumeration of \mathcal{T} identifying this set with the natural numbers. Now the results in Section 1 subsume the following theorem.

Theorem A *The Butcher group G_{TM} is a real analytic infinite dimensional Lie group modelled on the Fréchet space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. It admits a global parametrisation given by the map*

$$\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow G_{\text{TM}}, (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\tau \mapsto \begin{cases} a_n & \tau \text{ is the } n\text{th tree in the enumeration} \\ 1 & \tau = \emptyset \end{cases} \right).$$

Note that the topology on the model space of G_{TM} is the product topology. This topology is rather coarse, i.e. some subsets of G_{TM} considered in applications in numerical analysis will *not* be open with respect to this topology (see Remark 1.8). Nevertheless, we shall argue that the Lie group structure we constructed is the natural choice, i.e. it complements the intuition of numerical analysts and the known algebraic picture.

To illustrate our point let us now turn to the Lie algebra associated to the Butcher group. For a tree τ we denote by $\text{SP}(\tau)_1$ the set of non-trivial splittings of τ , i.e. non-empty subsets S of the nodes of τ such that the subgraphs S_τ (with set of nodes S) and $\tau \setminus S$ are non-empty subtrees. With this notation we can describe the Lie bracket obtained in Section 2 as follows.

Theorem B *The Lie algebra $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}})$ of the Butcher group is $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, [\cdot, \cdot])$. Fix an enumeration $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}, k \mapsto \tau_k$. Then the Lie bracket $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is given by*

$$[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] = \left(\sum_{S \in \text{SP}(\tau_k)_1} (\varphi(\mathbf{b})(S_{\tau_k})\varphi(\mathbf{a})(\tau_k \setminus S) - \varphi(\mathbf{b})(\tau_k \setminus S)\varphi(\mathbf{a})(S_{\tau_k})) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

¹The same construction can be performed for tree maps with values in the field of complex numbers. The group $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ of complex valued tree maps obtained in this way will be an important tool in our investigation. In fact, $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complex Lie group and the complexification (as a Lie group) of the Butcher group.

At this point, we have to digress to put our results into a broader perspective. Working in renormalisation of quantum field theories, Connes and Kreimer have constructed in [CK98] a Lie algebra associated to the Hopf algebra of rooted trees. Later in [Bro04] it was observed that the Butcher group can be interpreted as the character group of this Hopf algebra. In particular, one can view the Connes–Kreimer Lie algebra as a Lie algebra associated to the Butcher group. As a vector space the Connes–Kreimer Lie algebra is the direct sum $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R} = \{(a_n) \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid a_n \neq 0 \text{ for only finitely many } n\}$ endowed with a certain Lie bracket. Now the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ of $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}})$ in Theorem B restricts on $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ to the Lie bracket of the Connes–Kreimer Lie algebra. Thus we recover the Connes–Kreimer Lie algebra from our construction as a dense (topological) Lie subalgebra. Our Lie algebra is thus the “completion” of the Connes–Kreimer Lie algebra discussed in purely algebraic terms in the numerical analysis literature (see Remark 2.6). The authors view this as evidence that the Lie group structure for the Butcher group constructed in this paper is the natural choice for such a structure.

We then investigate the Lie theoretic properties of the Butcher group. To understand these results first recall the notion of regularity for Lie groups.

Let G be a Lie group modelled on a locally convex space, with identity element $\mathbf{1}$, and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. We use the tangent map of the right translation $\rho_g: G \rightarrow G, x \mapsto xg$ by $g \in G$ to define $v.g := T_{\mathbf{1}}\rho_g(v) \in T_g G$ for $v \in T_{\mathbf{1}}(G) =: \mathbf{L}(G)$. Following [Dah11] and [Glö12], G is called C^r -regular if for each C^r -curve $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow G$ the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \eta'(t) &= \gamma(t).\eta(t) \\ \eta(0) &= \mathbf{1} \end{cases}$$

has a (necessarily unique) C^{r+1} -solution $\text{Evol}(\gamma) := \eta: [0, 1] \rightarrow G$, and the map

$$\text{evol}: C^r([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G)) \rightarrow G, \quad \gamma \mapsto \text{Evol}(\gamma)(1)$$

is smooth. If G is C^r -regular and $r \leq s$, then G is also C^s -regular. A C^∞ -regular Lie group G is called *regular (in the sense of Milnor)* – a property first defined in [Mil83]. Every finite dimensional Lie group is C^0 -regular (cf. [Nee06]). Several important results in infinite-dimensional Lie theory are only available for regular Lie groups (see [Mil83, Nee06, Glö12], cf. also [KM97] and the references therein). Specifically, we mention that a regular Lie group possesses a smooth Lie group exponential map.

Concerning the Lie theoretic properties of the Butcher group our results subsume the following theorem.

Theorem C *The Butcher group is*

- (a) C^0 -regular and thus in particular regular in the sense of Milnor,
- (b) exponential and even a Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff Lie group, i.e. the Lie group exponential map $\exp_{G_{\text{TM}}}: \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}) \rightarrow G_{\text{TM}}$ is a real analytic diffeomorphism.

Finally, we consider in Section 5 the subgroup S_{TM} of symplectic tree maps studied in numerical analysis. The elements of S_{TM} correspond to integration methods which are symplectic for general Hamiltonian systems $y' = J^{-1}\nabla H(y)$ (cf. [HLW06, VI.]).

Our aim is to prove that S_{TM} is a Lie subgroup of G_{TM} . Using the algebraic characterisation of elements in S_{TM} it is easy to see that S_{TM} is a closed subgroup of G_{TM} . Recall from [Nee06, Remark IV.3.17] that contrary to the situation for finite dimensional Lie groups, closed subgroups of infinite dimensional Lie groups need not be Lie subgroups. Nevertheless, our results subsume the following theorem.

Theorem D *The subgroup S_{TM} of symplectic tree maps is a closed Lie subgroup of the Butcher group. Moreover, this structure turns the subgroup of symplectic tree maps into an exponential Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff Lie group.*

The characterisation of the Lie algebra of S_{TM} exactly reproduces the condition in [HLW06, IX. Remark 9.4], which characterises “the tangent space at the identity of S_{TM} ”. Note that in loc.cit. no differentiable structure on G_{TM} or S_{TM} is considered and a priori it is not clear whether S_{TM} is actually a submanifold of G_{TM} . The differentiable structure of the Butcher group allows us to exactly recover the intuition of numerical analysts.

For the readers convenience basic facts on the calculus in locally convex spaces and infinite dimensional manifolds are compiled in Appendix A.

1. A natural Lie group structure for the Butcher group

In this section we construct a Fréchet Lie group structure for the Butcher group. We recommend [HLW06, CHV10] for an overview of basic results and algebraic properties of the Butcher group. Let us first recall some notation.

1.1 Notation We write $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$, respectively $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. As usual \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} denote the fields of real and complex numbers, respectively.

The Butcher group is closely related to combinatorial structures of rooted trees.

1.2 Notation (a) Let \mathcal{T} be the set of all rooted trees with a finite number of vertices and denote by \emptyset the empty tree. We set $\mathcal{T}_0 := \mathcal{T} \cup \{\emptyset\}$. The *order* $|\tau|$ of a tree $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0$ is its number of vertices.

(b) An *ordered subtree*² of $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0$ is a subset s of all vertices of τ which satisfies

- (i) s is connected by edges of the tree τ ,
- (ii) if s is non-empty, it contains the root of τ .

²The term “ordered” refers to that the subtree remembers from which part of the tree it was cut.

The set of all ordered subtrees of τ is denoted by $\text{OST}(\tau)$. Associated to an ordered subtree $s \in \text{OST}(\tau)$ are the following objects:

- A forest (collection of rooted trees) denoted as $\tau \setminus s$. The forest $\tau \setminus s$ is obtained by removing the subtree s together with its adjacent edges from τ
- s_τ , the rooted tree given by vertices of s with root and edges induced by that of the tree τ .

1.3 (Butcher group) Define the *complex Butcher group* as the set of all tree maps

$$G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}} = \{ a: \mathcal{T}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid a(\emptyset) = 1 \}$$

together with the group multiplication

$$a \cdot b(\tau) := \sum_{s \in \text{OST}(\tau)} b(s_\tau) a(\tau \setminus s) \quad \text{with} \quad a(\tau \setminus s) := \prod_{\theta \in \tau \setminus s} a(\theta). \quad (1)$$

The identity element $e \in G_{\text{TM}}$ with respect to this group structure is

$$e: \mathcal{T}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, e(\emptyset) = 1, e(\tau) = 0, \forall \tau \in \mathcal{T}.$$

We define the (*real*) *Butcher group* as the real subgroup

$$G_{\text{TM}} = \{ a \in G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}} \mid \text{im } a \subseteq \mathbb{R} \}$$


of $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Note that the real Butcher group is referred to in the literature as “the Butcher group”. Hence by “the Butcher group” we shall always mean the real Butcher group.

The second part of (1) explains how to extend elements in the complex Butcher group to maps on (finite) forests. We will use this implicitly in Remark 2.6.

Let us now recall an explicit formula for the inverse of an element in the (complex) Butcher group. To state it, we need some more notation.

1.4 Notation A *partition* p of a tree $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0$ is a subset of edges of the tree. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(\tau)$ the set of all partitions of τ (including the empty partition). Associated to a partition $p \in \mathcal{P}(\tau)$ are the following objects

- A forest $\tau \setminus p$. The forest $\tau \setminus p$ is defined as the forest that remains when the edges of p are removed from the tree τ ,
- The *skeleton* p_τ , is the tree obtained by contracting each tree of $\tau \setminus p$ to a single vertex and by re-establishing the edges of p .



Example of a partition p of a tree τ , the forest $\tau \setminus p$ and the associated skeleton p_τ . In the picture, the edges in p are drawn dashed and roots are drawn at the bottom.

1.5 Remark (Inversion in the (complex) Butcher group) The inverse of an element in G_{TM} can be computed as follows (cf. [CHV10])

$$a^{-1}(\tau) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\tau)} (-1)^{|p_\tau|} a(\tau \setminus p) \quad (2)$$

Here we have used again the short notation $a(\tau \setminus p) := \prod_{\theta \in \tau \setminus p} a(\theta)$.

1.6 Observe that the set of rooted trees \mathcal{T} is a countable infinite set. Hence we identify the set \mathcal{T} bijectively with \mathbb{N} and denote the n th rooted tree by τ_n .

Note that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is only a finite number of rooted trees τ with $|\tau| = k$. Thus, we choose and fix an enumeration of \mathcal{T} which respects the order of trees, i.e. it satisfies the following property.

$$\text{For all } l, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } |\tau_k| < |\tau_l| \text{ we have } k < l.$$

This property will be crucial for our investigation of regularity properties in Section 3.

Before we state the first result of this paper, we urge the reader to review Appendix A for basic facts on infinite dimensional calculus and locally convex manifolds. For the readers convenience all facts used later on are compiled in the appendix.

1.7 Theorem *The group $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complex Fréchet Lie group modelled on the space $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}$. The complex Butcher group contains the Butcher group G_{TM} as a real analytic Lie subgroup modelled on the Fréchet space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. We consider the Fréchet space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$. Its complexification $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}})_{\mathbb{C}}$ (as a locally convex space) is the complex Fréchet space $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}$. We will from now on identify $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the real subspace $(\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Define a parametrization for the complex Butcher group as follows.

$$\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}: \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}, (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\tau \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \tau = \emptyset \\ a_n & \text{if } \tau = \tau_n \end{cases} \right) \quad (3)$$

By construction $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a bijective map, sending the zero-element $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ to the identity element $e \in G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Furthermore, the parametrization restricts to a parametrization of the Butcher group $\varphi = \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}|_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}$.

Endow $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with the unique topology turning $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}$ into a diffeomorphism. We claim that with respect to this manifold structure $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ becomes a complex Fréchet Lie group. To see this, we proceed in two steps.

Step 1: Multiplication in $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is holomorph. As $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a global parametrization for $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ we compute the local formula for the multiplication in $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}$:

$$*: \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, \quad (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \mapsto \mathbf{a} * \mathbf{b} = (\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{b}))$$

Recall that a mapping into the direct product $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is holomorph if and only if each of its components is holomorph, i.e. if the composition with each of the canonical projections $\text{pr}_k: \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorph. For fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the multiplication formula (1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_k(\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{b}) &= \sum_{s \in \text{OST}(\tau_k)} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{b})(s_{\tau}) \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a})(\tau_k \setminus s) \\ &= \sum_{s \in \text{OST}(\tau_k)} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{b})(s_{\tau}) \prod_{\theta \in \tau_k \setminus s} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a})(\theta). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We consider the summands in (4) independently and fix $s \in \text{OST}(\tau_k)$. Notice that by (3) there are two cases for the evaluation $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{b})(s_{\tau_k})$:

If s is empty then the map $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{b})(s_{\tau_k}) = \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{b})(\emptyset) \equiv 1$ is constant. Trivially in this case the map is holomorph in \mathbf{b} .

Otherwise s_{τ_k} equals a rooted tree, say $s_{\tau_k} = \tau_l$. In this case $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\cdot)(s_{\tau_k}) = \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\cdot)(\tau_l) = \text{pr}_l: \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorph in \mathbf{b} . Observe that the same analysis shows that each of the factors $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\cdot)(\theta)$ is holomorph in \mathbf{a} . Hence each summand in (4) is a holomorph map and in conclusion multiplication in the group $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is holomorph.

Step 2: Inversion in $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is holomorph. Computing again in the parametrization $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}$, we have to prove that $I: \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbf{a} \mapsto (\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}((\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a}))^{-1})$ is a holomorph mapping (where $(\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a}))^{-1}$ is the inverse in $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$). From (2) we derive for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the formula

$$\text{pr}_k \circ I(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\tau_k)} (-1)^{|p_{\tau_k}|} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a})(\tau_k \setminus p)$$

Reasoning as in Step 1, we see that each component of I is holomorph and thus inversion in $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is holomorph. Summing up $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complex Lie group modelled on the Fréchet space $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

By construction the Butcher group G_{TM} is a real subgroup of $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that the parametrization $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}$ restricts to a parametrization $\varphi = \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}|_{(\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}}$ of G_{TM} . The group operations of G_{TM} extend in the complexification of the model space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ to the holomorph operations of $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Thus the group operations of the Butcher group are real analytic, whence the Butcher group becomes a real analytic Lie group modelled on the Fréchet space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. \square

Let us put the construction of the Lie group structure on the Butcher group into the perspective of applications in numerical analysis.

1.8 Remark Originally the Butcher group was created by Butcher as a tool in the numerical analysis of Runge–Kutta methods. In particular, Butcher’s methods allow one to handle the combinatorial and algebraic difficulties arising in the analysis. How does the topology of the Lie group G_{TM} figure into this picture?

The topology on the Lie groups G_{TM} is the product topology of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. From the point of view in Numerical Analysis, it would be desirable to have a finer topology on G_{TM} .

Let us describe a typical example of a construction for which the product topology is too coarse:

Consider a differential equation $y' = f(y)$, where $f: \mathbb{R}^n \supseteq U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is real analytic and defined on an open subset U . Now the idea is to apply numerical methods to obtain an approximate solution of the differential equation and to compare the approximate solution to the exact solution. Recall that the elements in the Butcher group can be understood as coefficient vectors for numerical methods. In the topology of the Butcher group for every element associated to a Runge–Kutta method, every neighbourhood contains a method with infinitely many non-zero coefficients. To assure that the method converges (with infinitely many non-zero coefficients) one has to impose growth restrictions onto *infinitely many* coefficients (cf. [HLW06, Theorem IX.7.2]). The necessary conditions do not produce open sets in the product topology and we can not hope that the topology of the Lie group G_{TM} will aid in a direct way in this construction in numerical analysis.

As the product topology is too coarse, can we refine it to obtain the necessary open sets? The requirements of numerical analysis would produce open sets in the box-topology on $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. However, if we endow $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the box topology, it is easy to see that the inversion in $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ will not even be continuous. Thus the box topology is too fine to construct a Lie group structure on the group $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. One could of course try to avoid these problems by switching the model space of the Butcher group. The obvious choice here would be to switch to the locally convex direct sum $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}$ whose natural inductive limit topology coincides with the box-topology in this case. Again the inversion formula shows that the inversion will not be continuous with respect to the induced topology.

2. The Lie algebra of the Butcher group

In this section, the Lie algebra $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ of the complex Butcher group will be determined. Recall from the previous section the canonical parametrisation

$$\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}: \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\tau \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \tau = \emptyset \\ a_n & \text{if } \tau = \tau_n \end{cases} \right),$$

which is a diffeomorphism mapping $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ to the unit element e in $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Via the natural isomorphism $T_{\mathbf{0}}\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}$ we identify $T_e G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \cong T_{\mathbf{0}}\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Now we adapt the classical argument by Milnor to compute the Lie algebra via the adjoint action (see [Mil83, pp. 1035-1036]). Using the parametrisation $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}$, the product on $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ pulls back to a holomorph product operation on $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$

$$\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{b} = \left(\sum_{s \in \text{OST}(\tau_k)} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{b})(s_{\tau}) \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a})(\tau_k \setminus s) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

By construction, $\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0} * \mathbf{a}$ holds. Hence the constant term of the Taylor series of $*$ in $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$ (cf. [Glö02, Proposition 1.17]) vanishes. Following [Nee06, Example

II.1.8], the Taylor series is given as

$$\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + B(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) + \dots .$$

Here $B(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r \partial t} \Big|_{t,r=0} (t \mathbf{a} * r \mathbf{b})$ is a continuous $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -valued bilinear map and the dots stand for terms of higher degree. With arguments as in [Mil83, p. 1036], the adjoint action of $T_e G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ on itself is given by

$$\text{ad}(\mathbf{a}) \mathbf{b} = B(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) - B(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}).$$

In other words, the skew-symmetric part of the bilinear map B defines the adjoint action.

By [Mil83, Assertion 5.5] (or [Nee06, Example II.3.9]), $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}) \cong T_e G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ identifies the Lie bracket with $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] = \text{ad}(\mathbf{a}) \mathbf{b}$. To compute the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$, it is thus sufficient to compute the second derivative of $*$ in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

2.1 Fix $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and compute $B(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r \partial t} \Big|_{t,r=0} (t \mathbf{a} * r \mathbf{b})$. Since $(t \mathbf{a} * r \mathbf{b})$ takes its values in the locally convex product $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$, we can compute the derivatives component wise, i.e. for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\text{pr}_k(B(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r \partial t} \Big|_{t,r=0} \text{pr}_k(t \mathbf{a} * r \mathbf{b})$. Now fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and use the formula (4) to obtain a formula for the derivative.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r \partial t} \Big|_{t,r=0} \text{pr}_k(t \mathbf{a} * r \mathbf{b}) &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r \partial t} \Big|_{t,r=0} \sum_{s \in \text{OST}(\tau_k)} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(r \mathbf{b})(s_{\tau_k}) \prod_{\theta \in \tau_k \setminus s} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(t \mathbf{a})(\theta) \\ &= \sum_{s \in \text{OST}(\tau_k)} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=0} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(r \mathbf{b})(s_{\tau_k}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} \prod_{\theta \in \tau_k \setminus r} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(t \mathbf{a})(\theta) \\ &= \sum_{s \in \text{OST}(\tau_k), s \neq \emptyset} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{b})(s_{\tau_k}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} \prod_{\theta \in \tau_k \setminus s} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(t \mathbf{a})(\theta) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We apply the Leibniz-formula to compute the derivative of the product in (5)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} \prod_{\theta \in \tau_k \setminus s} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(t \mathbf{a})(\theta) = \sum_{\theta \in \tau_k \setminus s} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(t \mathbf{a})(\theta) \prod_{\gamma \in (\tau_k \setminus s) \setminus \{\theta\}} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{0})(\theta).$$

As $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{0}) = e$, each product (and thus each summand) such that there is a tree $\gamma \in (\tau_k \setminus s) \setminus \{\theta, \emptyset\}$ vanishes. Hence, if the sum is non-zero it contains exactly one summand, i.e. $\tau_k \setminus s$ must be a tree. Moreover, the derivative will only be non-zero if $\tau_k \setminus s$ is not the empty tree. Otherwise, $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\cdot)(\emptyset)$ is constant and we derive $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(t \mathbf{a})(\emptyset) = 0$. Before we insert these information in (5) to obtain a formula for $B(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ let us fix some notation.

2.2 Notation Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0$ be a rooted tree. We define the *set of all splittings* as

$$\text{SP}(\tau) := \{ s \in \text{OST}(\tau) \mid \tau \setminus s \text{ consists of only one element} \}$$

Furthermore, define the set of *non-trivial splittings* $\text{SP}(\tau)_1 := \{\theta \in \text{SP}(\tau) \mid \theta \neq \emptyset, \tau\}$. Observe that for each tree τ the order of trees in $\text{SP}(\tau)_1$ is strictly less than $|\tau|$. Thus for the tree \bullet with exactly one node $\text{SP}(\bullet)_1 = \emptyset$.

2.3 With the notation in place, we can finally insert the information obtained in 2.1 into (5) to obtain the following formula for the k th component of $B(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$:

$$\text{pr}_k B(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \sum_{s \in \text{SP}(\tau_k)_1} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{b})(s_{\tau_k}) \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a})(\tau_k \setminus s)$$

2.4 Theorem *The Lie algebra of the complex Butcher group is $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, [\cdot, \cdot])$, where the Lie bracket $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is given by*

$$[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] = \left(\sum_{S \in \text{SP}(\tau_k)_1} (\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{b})(S_{\tau}) \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a})(\tau_k \setminus S) - \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{b})(\tau_k \setminus S) \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a})(S_{\tau})) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \quad (6)$$

Note that by (6) $[\cdot, \cdot]$ restricts to a Lie bracket on $(\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$.

The Lie algebra of the Butcher group is $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, [\cdot, \cdot])$, with the bracket induced by $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ on the subspace $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \cong (\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof. Clearly (6) follows directly from the computation in 2.1 and 2.3 and the formula for the Lie bracket via the adjoint action on $T_e G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

From (6) we see that $[\cdot, \cdot]$ restricts to the subspace $(\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}$. In Theorem 1.7 we have seen that the Butcher group is contained as a real analytic subgroup of $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. In particular, using the canonical parametrization $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}|_{(\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}} = \varphi$ of the Butcher group, we see that $T_e G_{\text{TM}} \cong (\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}$. Clearly the calculation in 2.1 restrict to $(\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}$ and yield a Lie bracket for G_{TM} on $T_e G_{\text{TM}}$. \square

2.5 Remark (a) By construction the complexification of $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}})$ as a Lie algebra is the complex Lie algebra $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$. To see this observe that $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ is the complexification of $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}})$ as a topological vector space. Let i be the imaginary unit. We identify $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \oplus i\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}) \oplus i\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and split $(a_k + ib_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ into its real- and imaginary part. Then for elements $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_{\mathbb{R}} + i\mathbf{a}_I$ and $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_{\mathbb{R}} + i\mathbf{b}_I$ in $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ the real and imaginary parts are contained in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Hence, we can rewrite the Lie bracket on $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ as

$$[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] = [\mathbf{a}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbf{b}_{\mathbb{R}}] - [\mathbf{a}_I, \mathbf{b}_I] + i([\mathbf{a}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbf{b}_I] + [\mathbf{a}_I, \mathbf{b}_{\mathbb{R}}]).$$

Here the brackets on the right hand side are the Lie bracket of $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}})$ and thus $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}})_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ as Lie algebras.

(b) Note that the Lie algebra $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ admits an anti-holomorph involution $\sigma: \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ which is given by component wise complex conjugation. An easy computation shows that the involution satisfies the following properties:

- The fixed point set of σ is $(\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- For all $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ we have $\sigma(\mathbf{a}) * \sigma(\mathbf{b}) = \sigma(\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{b})$, i.e. σ commutes with the local multiplication induced by $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

The Lie algebra of the Butcher group is not the only Lie algebra closely connected to the Butcher group. To explain this connection we briefly recall some classical results by Connes and Kreimer:

2.6 Remark In [CK98] Connes and Kreimer consider a Hopf algebra \mathcal{H} of rooted trees. The algebra \mathcal{H} is the \mathbb{R} -algebra³ of polynomials on \mathcal{T}_0 together with the coproduct

$$\Delta: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}, \tau \mapsto \sum_{s \in \text{OST}(\tau)} (\tau \setminus s) \otimes s_{\tau}$$

and the antipode $S(\tau) := \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\tau)} (-1)^{|p_{\tau}|} (\tau \setminus p)$.

As observed by Brouder (cf. [Bro04]), the coproduct and antipode are closely related to the product and inversion in the Butcher group. Indeed the Butcher group corresponds to the group of \mathbb{R} -valued characters of the Hopf algebra \mathcal{H} (see [CHV10, 5.1]).

In [CK98, Theorem 3] Connes and Kreimer constructed a Lie algebra L_{CK} such that \mathcal{H} is the dual of the universal enveloping algebra of L_{CK} . From [CK98, Eq. (99)] we deduce that the Lie algebra L_{CK} is given by the vector space $\bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{R} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$ together with Lie bracket induced by (6) on the subspace $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. We conclude that the Lie algebra $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}})$ of the Butcher group contains the Connes-Kreimer Lie algebra L_{CK} as a subalgebra. Moreover, the subalgebra L_{CK} is a dense subset of $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}})$. Thus we can view the Lie algebra of the Butcher group as the completion of L_{CK} as a topological vector spaces. Note that this is the precise meaning of the term 'natural topological completion' used in [EFGBP07, p. 4]. In loc.cit. the Connes-Kreimer algebra is discussed only in algebraic terms, whence the above observations put the remark into the proper topological context.

Let us record a useful consequence of the computations in this section. Arguing as in (5) we can derive a formula for the tangent mapping of the right-translation.

2.7 Lemma Fix $b \in G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and denote by $\rho_b: G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}, x \mapsto x \cdot b$ the right translation. For any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we then obtain the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_k T_0((\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1} \circ \rho_b \circ \varphi^{\mathbb{C}})(\mathbf{a}) &= \text{pr}_k T_e((\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1} \circ \rho_b) \circ T_0 \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a}) \\ &= \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a})(\tau_k) + \sum_{s \in \text{SP}(\tau_k)_1} b(s_{\tau_k}) \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a})(\tau_k \setminus s). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

³In [CK98] the authors work over the field \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers. However, by applying $\cdot \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ to the \mathbb{Q} -algebras the same result holds for the field \mathbb{R} (cf. [CK98, p. 41]). The thesis of Mencattini, [Men05] contains an explicit computation for \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} .

Proof. Let $c:]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[\rightarrow G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be a smooth curve with $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} c(t) = T_{\mathbf{0}}(\varphi^{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{a}) \in T_e G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Computing as in (5) we obtain the desired formula

$$\begin{aligned} T_e \rho_b(T_{\mathbf{0}}(\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})(\mathbf{a})) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} c(t) \cdot b = T\varphi^{\mathbb{C}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} (\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1} \circ c(t) * (\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(b) \right) \\ &= T\varphi^{\mathbb{C}} \left(\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a})(\tau_k) + \sum_{s \in \text{SP}(\tau_k)_1} b(s_{\tau_k}) \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a})(\tau_k \setminus s) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

3. Regularity properties of the Butcher group

Finally we discuss regularity properties of the Lie group $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and the Butcher group. Since we also want to establish regularity properties for the complex Lie group $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ several comments are needed: Recall that holomorph maps are smooth with respect to the underlying real structure⁴, whence $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ carries the structure of a real Lie group. Now the complex Lie group $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is called regular, if the underlying real Lie group is regular. Thus for this section we fix the following convention.

Unless stated explicitly otherwise, all complex vector spaces in this section are to be understood as the underlying real locally convex vector spaces. Moreover, differentiability of maps is understood to be differentiability with respect to the field \mathbb{R} .

3.1 Define the mapping

$$f: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \times C^0([0, 1], L(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, (t, \mathbf{a}, \eta) \mapsto T_{\mathbf{0}}((\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1} \circ \rho_{\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a})} \circ \varphi^{\mathbb{C}})(\eta(t)).$$

We let $\text{pr}_i: \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the canonical projection onto the i th component for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we fix $f_i := \text{pr}_i \circ f$.

Let us first solve the differential equations for regularity with fixed parameters.

3.2 Proposition *Fix a continuous curve $\mathbf{a}: [0, 1] \rightarrow L(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and define the curve $a := T_{\mathbf{0}}(\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1} \circ \mathbf{a}: [0, 1] \rightarrow T_e G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Let f be defined as in 3.1.*

(a) *There exists a unique solution $\gamma_{0, \mathbf{a}}$ on $[0, 1]$ of the differential equation*

$$\begin{cases} \gamma'(t) &= f(t, \gamma(t), \mathbf{a}) = T_e((\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1} \rho_{\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma(t))})(a(t)) \\ \gamma(0) &= 0. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

(b) *The differential equation*

$$\begin{cases} b'(t) &= T\rho_{b(t)}(a(t)) = a(t) \cdot b(t) \\ b(0) &= e \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

on $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ admits a unique solution on $[0, 1]$ given by $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}} \circ \gamma_{0, \mathbf{a}}$.

⁴This follows from [Glö02, Remark 2.12 and Lemma 2.5] for manifolds modelled on Fréchet spaces.

Proof. (a) From (7) we deduce that the first line of (8) can be rewritten as

$$\gamma'(t) = \left(\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a}(t))(\tau_k) + \sum_{s \in \text{SP}(\tau_k)_1} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma(t))(s_\tau) \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a}(t))(\tau_k \setminus s) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \quad (10)$$

Recall that $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\cdot)(s_\tau): \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined as the projection pr_l onto the l th component for the unique $l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s_\tau = \tau_l$. For each rooted tree τ , the map $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\cdot)(\tau)$ is a projection onto a component of $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$, whence continuous and linear. We deduce that the right hand side of (10) is linear in γ . Note that for any fixed rooted tree τ , the number of nodes for trees in $\text{SP}(\tau)_1$ is strictly less than τ . As the enumeration of rooted trees (cf. 1.6) respects the order of the trees we rewrite the right hand side of (10) as

$$\gamma'(t) = \left(\left(\sum_{l < k} A_{kl}(t, \mathbf{a}) \text{pr}_l(\gamma(t)) \right) + \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a}(t))(\tau_k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}. \quad (11)$$

Here the coefficient $A_{kl}(t, \mathbf{a})$ for $l < k$ is a finite (possibly empty) sum of terms of the form $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a}(t))(\tau_k \setminus s)$ with $s_{\tau_k} = \tau_l$. Since $\mathbf{a}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is continuous, we see that $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a}(t))$ and A_{kl} depend continuously on t . Following [Dei77, §6] we interpret the differential equation (8) as a system of inhomogeneous linear differential equations

$$\gamma'_i := \text{pr}_i \circ \gamma' = \text{pr}_i \circ f(t, \gamma, \mathbf{a}) = f_i(t, \gamma, \mathbf{a}), \quad \gamma_i(0) = 0, \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{N} \quad (12)$$

From (11) we deduce that the system (12) is lower diagonal, i.e. the i th component f_i of f depends only on the first i variables. Such systems can be solved iteratively (cf. Remark 3.4 below). In particular, since (inhomogeneous) linear differential equations admit global solutions, we can adapt the argument in [Dei77, p. 78-79]: The countable lower diagonal system (12) admits a unique global solution $\gamma_{0, \mathbf{a}}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ which exists on $[0, 1]$.

- (b) As $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{0}) = e$ holds, we deduce that $b := \varphi^{\mathbb{C}} \circ \gamma_{0, \mathbf{a}}: [0, 1] \rightarrow G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ solves (9). Vice versa, if c is another solution of (9), then $(\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1} \circ c$ solves (8). We deduce that c and b must coincide, whence (9) admits a unique global solution which is exactly of the form we claimed. \square

3.3 Definition By Proposition 3.2 we can define the flow-map associated to (8) via

$$\text{Fl}^f: [0, 1] \times C^0([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, (t, \mathbf{a}) \mapsto \gamma_{0, \mathbf{a}}(t)$$

where $\gamma_{0, \mathbf{a}}$ is the unique solution to (8).

To prove regularity of the Butcher group, we will show that the flow-map Fl^f satisfies suitable differentiability properties. Let us review the construction of $\gamma_{0, \mathbf{a}}$.

3.4 Remark Consider f and f_i as in 3.1 and fix $\mathbf{a} \in C^0([0, 1], L(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}))$. We define $g_{k,\mathbf{a}}: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $g_{k,\mathbf{a}}(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = f_k(t, (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, 0, 0, \dots), \mathbf{a})$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (11) shows that the functions $g_{k,\mathbf{a}}$ are continuous. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The system of linear (inhomogeneous) initial value problems

$$x'_i = g_{i,\mathbf{a}}(t, x_1, \dots, x_i), \quad x_i(0) = 0, \quad i \leq n \quad (13)$$

admits a solutions on $[0, 1]$. Recall from [Dei77, p. 78] the following facts on its solution. If (x_1^n, \dots, x_n^n) is a solution to (13), then we may solve

$$y' = g_{n+1,\mathbf{a}}(t, x_1^n, x_2^n, \dots, x_n^n, y), \quad y(0) = 0$$

on $[0, 1]$. In particular, the map (x_1^n, \dots, x_n^n, y) solves the system (13) for $n + 1$. Continuing inductively, we obtain $\gamma_{0,\mathbf{a}}$ as the solution of (8).

Armed with this knowledge we can now discuss differentiability properties of the flow-map. To this end recall the following definition of $C^{r,s}$ -mappings from [AS14].

3.5 Definition Let E_1, E_2 and F be locally convex spaces, U and V open subsets of E_1 and E_2 , respectively, and $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$.

- (a) A mapping $f: U \times V \rightarrow F$ is called a $C^{r,s}$ -map if for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $i \leq r, j \leq s$, the iterated directional derivative

$$d^{(i,j)} f(x, y, w_1, \dots, w_i, v_1, \dots, v_j) := (D_{(w_1,0)} \cdots D_{(w_i,0)} D_{(0,v_j)} \cdots D_{(0,v_1)} f)(x, y)$$

exists for all $x \in U, y \in V, w_1, \dots, w_i \in E_1, v_1, \dots, v_j \in E_2$ and yields continuous maps

$$d^{(i,j)} f: U \times V \times E_1^i \times E_2^j \rightarrow F,$$

$$(x, y, w_1, \dots, w_i, v_1, \dots, v_j) \mapsto (D_{(w_1,0)} \cdots D_{(w_i,0)} D_{(0,v_j)} \cdots D_{(0,v_1)} f)(x, y).$$

- (b) In (a) all spaces E_1, E_2 and F were assumed to be modelled over the same $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. By [AS14, Remark 4.10] we can instead assume that E_1 is a locally convex space over \mathbb{R} and E_2, F are locally convex spaces over \mathbb{C} . Then a map $f: U \rightarrow F$ is called $C_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}}^{r,s}$ -map if the iterated differentials $d^{(i,j)} f$ (as in (a)) exist for all $0 \leq i \leq r, 0 \leq j \leq s$ and are continuous. Note that here the derivatives in the first component are taken with respect to \mathbb{R} and in the second component with respect to \mathbb{C} .

3.6 Remark (a) One can extend the definition of $C^{r,s}$ - and $C_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}}^{r,s}$ -maps to obtain $C^{r,s}$ - or $C_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}}^{r,s}$ -mappings on locally convex domains with dense interior. This works as in the case of C^r -maps (see Definition A.5 or cf. [AS14, Definition 3.2]).

- (b) The chain rules for $C^{r,s}$ -mappings allow us to extend the notion of $C^{r,s}$ -maps to maps on products of locally convex manifolds with values in a locally convex manifold.

For further results and details on the calculus of $C^{r,s}$ -maps we refer to [AS14].

In the next proposition we will explicitly consider $C^0([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}))$ as a locally convex vector space over \mathbb{C} .

3.7 Proposition *The flow-map $\text{Fl}^f : [0, 1] \times C^0([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is of class $C_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}}^{1, \infty}$.*

Proof. To prove the differentiability properties of Fl^f first consider a related finite dimensional problem. Define for $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the mapping

$$G_d : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{C}^d \times C^0([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d,$$

$$G_d(t, (x_1, \dots, x_d), \mathbf{a}) := (g_{1, \mathbf{a}}(t, x_1), g_{2, \mathbf{a}}(t, x_1, x_2), \dots, g_{d, \mathbf{a}}(t, x_1, \dots, x_d))$$

with $g_{k, \mathbf{a}}$ as in Remark 3.4. We claim that the map G_d is of class $C_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}}^{0, \infty}$ with respect to the splitting $[0, 1] \times (\mathbb{C}^d \times C^0([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})))$ for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$. If this is true, the proof can be completed as follows. Note that for fixed $\mathbf{a} \in C^0([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}))$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain an inhomogeneous linear initial value problem

$$x'(t) = G_d(t, x(t), \mathbf{a}), x(0) = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^d \quad (14)$$

on the finite dimensional vector space \mathbb{C}^d . Hence, for each fixed \mathbf{a} there is a global solution $x_{0, \mathbf{a}}^d : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$ of (14). We define the flow associated to (14) via

$$\text{Fl}^{G_d} : [0, 1] \times C^0([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d, (t, \mathbf{a}) \mapsto x_{0, \mathbf{a}}^d(t).$$

As the right-hand side of (14) is a $C_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}}^{0, \infty}$ -map, we deduce from [AS14, Proposition 5.9] that Fl^{G_d} is a mapping of class $C_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}}^{1, \infty}$. Define $\text{Pr}_d : \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$, $\text{Pr}_d := (\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2, \dots, \text{pr}_d)$ and conclude from Remark 3.4

$$(\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{Fl}^f, \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{Fl}^f, \dots, \text{pr}_d \circ \text{Fl}^f) = \text{Pr}_d \circ \text{Fl}^f = \text{Fl}^{G_d}.$$

Now Fl^{G_d} is a $C_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}}^{1, \infty}$ mapping, whence the components $\text{pr}_l \circ \text{Fl}^f$ for $1 \leq l \leq d$ are of class $C_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}}^{1, \infty}$. As $d \in \mathbb{N}$ was arbitrary, all components of Fl^f are of class $C_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}}^{1, \infty}$. The space $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ carries the product topology and thus [AS14, Lemma 3.10] shows that Fl^f is a $C_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}}^{1, \infty}$ -map as desired.

Proof of the claim G_d is $C_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}}^{0, \infty}$ for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$. By [AS14, Lemma 3.10], G_d will be of class $C_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}}^{0, \infty}$ if each of its components is a $C_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}}^{0, \infty}$ -map. From (7) (cf. (11)) we derive

$$\text{pr}_k \circ G_d(t, (x_1, \dots, x_d), \mathbf{a}) = g_{k, \mathbf{a}}(t, (x_1, \dots, x_d)) = \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a}(t))(\tau)_k + \sum_{l < k} A_{kl}(t, \mathbf{a})x_l.$$

The first summand $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a}(t))(\tau)_k$ is the projection of $\mathbf{a}(t)$ onto its k th-component. Recall that the evaluation map

$$\text{ev} : [0, 1] \times C^0([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}), (t, \mathbf{a}) \mapsto \mathbf{a}(t)$$

is a $C_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}}^{0,\infty}$ -map by [AS14, Proposition 3.20]. Hence $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a})(\tau_k) = \text{pr}_k \circ \text{ev}(t, \mathbf{a})$ is a map of class $C_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}}^{0,\infty}$ by the chain rule [AS14, Lemma 3.18].

Now consider the other summands. The chain rules for $C_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}}^{r,s}$ -mappings [AS14, Lemma 3.17 and Lemma 3.19] show that $A_{kl}(t, \mathbf{a}) \cdot x_l$ will be of class $C_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}}^{0,\infty}$ with respect to the splitting $[0, 1] \times (\mathbb{C}^d \times C^0([0, 1], L(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})))$ if $A_{kl}: [0, 1] \times C^0([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a $C_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}}^{0,\infty}$ -map. Recall from the proof of Proposition 3.2 (a) that the maps A_{kl} are a finite (possibly empty) sum of terms of the form $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a}(t))(\tau_k \setminus s)$ with $s_{\tau_k} = \tau_l$. As above, these maps are a composition of the evaluation map and a projection whence of class $C_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}}^{0,\infty}$. We conclude that the maps A_{kl} are of class $C_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}}^{0,\infty}$. Summing up, G_d is a $C_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}}^{0,\infty}$ -mapping with respect to the splitting $[0, 1] \times (\mathbb{C}^d \times C^0([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})))$. \square

3.8 Theorem (a) *The complex Butcher group $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is C^0 -regular and its evolution map*

$$\text{evol}_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}} : C^0([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})) \rightarrow G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$$

is even holomorph.

(b) *The Butcher group G_{TM} is C^0 -regular and its evolution map*

$$\text{evol}_{G_{\text{TM}}} : C^0([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}})) \rightarrow G_{\text{TM}}$$

is even real analytic.

In particular, both groups are regular in the sense of Milnor.

Proof. (a) By Proposition 3.2 the differential equation (9) admits a (unique) solution on $[0, 1]$ whence we obtain the flow of (9) as

$$\text{Fl}: [0, 1] \times C^0([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})) \rightarrow G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}, (t, \mathbf{a}) \mapsto \varphi^{\mathbb{C}} \circ \text{Fl}^f(t, \mathbf{a}).$$

The map $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}$ is parametrisation of the manifold $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and thus Fl is a $C_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}}^{1,\infty}$ -map by Proposition 3.7. In particular, for fixed $\mathbf{a} \in C^0([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}))$ we obtain a C^1 -curve $\text{Fl}(\cdot, \mathbf{a}): [0, 1] \rightarrow G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ which solves (9), whence $\text{Fl}(\cdot, \mathbf{a})$ is the right product integral of the curve \mathbf{a} . Fixing the time, we obtain a smooth and even holomorph mapping

$$\text{evol} := \text{Fl}(1, \cdot): C^0([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})) \rightarrow G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$$

sending a curve $\mathbf{a} \in C^0([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}))$ to the time 1 evolution of its right product integral. In summary, every continuous curve into $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ possesses a right product integral and the evolution map is smooth, i.e. $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is C^0 -regular. Taking the complex structure into account, the evolution map is even holomorph.

(b) By Theorem 1.7 the Butcher group G_{TM} is a real analytic subgroup of the complex Lie group $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, Remark 2.5 (a) yields $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}})_{\mathbb{C}}$

(as Lie algebras). By part (b) of Remark 2.5 we obtain an anti-holomorph group homomorphism

$$\varphi^{\mathbb{C}} \circ \sigma \circ (\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1} : G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}},$$

which fixes the subgroup G_{TM} . Summing up, the group $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is C^0 -regular and the real analytic subgroup G_{TM} satisfies the requirements of [Glö13, 1. (b)]. We conclude from loc.cit. that G_{TM} is C^0 -regular and its evolution map is real analytic. \square

4. The Butcher group as an exponential Lie group

In the last section we have seen that the Butcher group (and the complex Butcher group) are C^0 -regular. Restricting the evolution map to constant curves we obtain the exponential map. Namely, we have the following.

4.1 For the complex Butcher group $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ the Lie group exponential map is given by

$$\exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}} : \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}, \exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathbf{a}) = \text{evol}_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}((t \mapsto \mathbf{a})) = \varphi^{\mathbb{C}} Fl^f(1, (t \mapsto \mathbf{a}))$$

where $(t \mapsto \mathbf{a}) \in C^0([0, 1], L(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}))$ is the constant curve with image \mathbf{a} . By Theorem 3.8 $\exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}$ is holomorph and $\exp_{G_{\text{TM}}}$ is a real analytic map.

To ease the computation, we recall some notation from the proof of Proposition 3.2. For each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ the formula

$$(\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(\exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathbf{a})) = \gamma_{\mathbf{a}}(1).$$

Here the curve $\gamma_{\mathbf{a}} \in C^1([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}))$ is the solution to a countable system of differential equations. Describing the system component wise, we obtain for the k th component $\gamma_{k,\mathbf{a}}(t) = \text{pr}_k(\gamma_{\mathbf{a}}(t))$ of $\gamma_{\mathbf{a}}$ the differential equation

$$\gamma'_{k,\mathbf{a}}(t) = \text{pr}_k(\mathbf{a}) + \sum_{l < k} A_{kl}(\mathbf{a}, t) \gamma_{l,\mathbf{a}}(t), \quad \gamma_{k,\mathbf{a}}(0) = 0, \quad (15)$$

where $A_{kl}(\mathbf{a}, t)$ is a polynomial in $\{\text{pr}_1(\mathbf{a}(t)), \dots, \text{pr}_{k-1}(\mathbf{a}(t))\}$. In this case, $A_{kl}(\mathbf{a}, t)$ is constant in t and we will write $A_{kl}(\mathbf{a}) := A_{kl}(\mathbf{a}, t)$.

We have already seen that $\exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}$ is a holomorph and thus complex analytic mapping. Now we claim that $\exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}$ is a bijection whose inverse $\exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}^{-1} : G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ is complex analytic.

4.2 Proposition *For $b \in G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$, the equation $\exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathbf{a}) = b$ has exactly one solution $\text{Log}_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}(b) \in \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$. If b is contained in the subgroup G_{TM} , then $\text{Log}_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}(b)$ is contained in the real subalgebra $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}})$.*

Note that an algebraic formula for $\text{Log}_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}(b)$ is derived in [HLW06, IX.9.1] using similar methods as in the following proof.

Proof of 4.2. We seek $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ such that the C^1 -curve $\gamma_{\mathbf{a}}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ which solves (15) for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ also satisfies $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma_{\mathbf{a}}(1)) = b$. Writing $\mathbf{b} := (\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(b)$ the condition $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma_{\mathbf{a}}(1)) = b$ becomes $\gamma_{k,\mathbf{a}}(1) = \text{pr}_k(\mathbf{b})$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ in local coordinates.

Construct \mathbf{a} by induction over $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Specialising (15) and the above condition to $k = 1$ we obtain

$$\text{pr}_1(\mathbf{b}) = \gamma_{1,\mathbf{a}}(1) \text{ and } \begin{cases} \gamma'_{1,\mathbf{a}}(t) &= \text{pr}_1(\mathbf{a}) \\ \gamma_{1,\mathbf{a}}(0) &= 0, \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Thus we set $\gamma_{1,\mathbf{a}}(t) = t \text{pr}_1(\mathbf{b})$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ to obtain a C^1 -curve which satisfies (16). This entails $\text{pr}_1(\mathbf{a}) = \text{pr}_1(\mathbf{b})$.

Having dealt with the start of the induction, assume now that for $k > 1$ the first $k - 1$ components $\text{pr}_1(\mathbf{a}), \dots, \text{pr}_{k-1}(\mathbf{a})$ of \mathbf{a} are known. From the proof of Proposition 3.2, we then also know $\gamma_{1,\mathbf{a}}, \dots, \gamma_{k-1,\mathbf{a}}$. Now $\gamma_{k,\mathbf{a}}$ is determined by the two conditions

$$\gamma_{k,\mathbf{a}}(1) = \text{pr}_k(\mathbf{b}) \text{ and } \begin{cases} \gamma'_{k,\mathbf{a}}(t) &= \text{pr}_k(\mathbf{a}) + \sum_{l < k} A_{kl}(\mathbf{a})\gamma_{l,\mathbf{a}}(t), \\ \gamma_{k,\mathbf{a}}(0) &= 0 \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

The fundamental theorem of calculus allows us to rewrite the condition (17) as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_k(\mathbf{b}) = \gamma_{k,\mathbf{a}}(1) &= \gamma_{k,\mathbf{a}}(1) - \gamma_{k,\mathbf{a}}(0) = \int_0^1 \gamma'_{k,\mathbf{a}}(t) dt \\ &= \text{pr}_k(\mathbf{a}) + \sum_{l < k} A_{kl}(\mathbf{a}) \int_0^1 \gamma_{l,\mathbf{a}}(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Recall that the polynomials $A_{kl}(\mathbf{a})$ depend only on the value of the first $k - 1$ components of \mathbf{a} . As those together with $\gamma_{l,\mathbf{a}}$ for $1 \leq l < k$ are known, this defines $\text{pr}_k(\mathbf{a})$.

If b is contained in G_{TM} , then $\mathbf{b} = (\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(b)$ is contained in $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}) \subseteq \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$. Inductively, (16) and (18) show that then the components of \mathbf{a} are real numbers and each of the $\gamma_{r,\mathbf{a}}$ is real valued (cf. Proposition 3.2). We conclude that $\text{Log}_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}(b)$ is contained in $L(G_{\text{TM}})$ if b is in G_{TM} . \square

4.3 Proposition *With the notation of Proposition 4.2 we define maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}^{-1} : G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}} &\rightarrow \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}), b \mapsto \text{Log}_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}(b) \\ \exp_{G_{\text{TM}}}^{-1} : G_{\text{TM}} &\rightarrow \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}), b \mapsto \text{Log}_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}(b). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}^{-1}$ is holomorph and $\exp_{G_{\text{TM}}}^{-1}$ is real analytic.

Proof. To ease the proof first fix some notation. Recall that we can identify $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ via $T_e(\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}$ with $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Again we denote elements $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ in by $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \dots$. For an element $b \in G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ we abbreviate $\mathbf{b} := (\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(b)$.

Proposition 4.2 shows that it suffices to prove the assertions for $\exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}^{-1}$. We define for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ a map

$$\text{Log}_k: \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{Log}_k((z_1, \dots, z_k)) := \text{pr}_k \circ T_e(\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1} \circ \exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}^{-1}(\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k, 0, \dots)).$$

Recall from (18) that the value of $\text{pr}_k \circ T_e(\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1} \circ \exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}^{-1}(b)$ only depends on $\text{Pr}_k(\mathbf{b})$. We deduce $\text{Log}_k \circ \text{Pr}_k = \text{pr}_k \circ T_e(\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1} \circ \exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}^{-1}$, where $\text{Pr}_k: \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k, \text{Pr}_k := (\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2, \dots, \text{pr}_k)$ is holomorph. Thus it suffices to prove that Log_k is holomorph. We proceed by induction on $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $k = 1$ the identity (16) shows that Log_1 is $\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and thus holomorph.

Now let $k > 1$ and assume that for $l < k$ the mappings $\text{Log}_l: \mathbb{C}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are holomorph. We show that Log_k , implicitly defined by (18), splits into a composition of holomorph mappings. For $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $l < k$ let $\gamma_{l,\mathbf{a}}$ be the solution to the initial value problem (15). As $\gamma_{l,\mathbf{a}}$ depends only on $(z_1, \dots, z_l) \in \mathbb{C}^l$, we derive

$$\text{Log}_k(z_1, \dots, z_k) = z_k - \sum_{l < k} A_{kl}((a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, 0, \dots)) \int_0^1 \gamma_{l,(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, 0, \dots)}(t) dt.$$

with $a_l = \text{Log}_l(z_1, \dots, z_l)$ for all $1 \leq l < k$.

Here A_{kl} is a polynomial in the first l components of (a_1, \dots, a_k) . Consider

$$L: \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{k-1}, (z_1, \dots, z_k) \mapsto (\text{Log}_1(z_1), \dots, \text{Log}_{k-1}(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1})),$$

By the induction hypothesis, Log_l is holomorph for $l < k$, so L is holomorph. For $1 \leq r < k$ the map $\gamma_r: \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow C^1([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$ sending (a_1, \dots, a_r) to the solution $\gamma_{r,(a_1, \dots, a_r, 0, \dots)}$ of (15) is holomorph. Here $C^1([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$ is topologised with the topology of uniform convergence of the function and its derivative separately turning it into a complex Banach space. The exponential law [AS14, Theorem A] implies that γ_r will be holomorph if and only if $\gamma_r^{\vee}: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \gamma_r^{\vee}(t, z) := \gamma(z)(t)$ is a $C_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}}^{1, \infty}$ -map. By construction γ_r^{\vee} is the flow associated to the differential equation (15). Note that by the induction hypothesis the right hand side of the differential equation is a $C_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}}^{0, \infty}$ -mapping. From [AS14, Theorem C] we infer that γ_r^{\vee} is a $C_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}}^{1, \infty}$ -mapping and thus γ_r is holomorph. Define for $1 \leq l < k$ the map

$$\Gamma_l: \mathbb{C}^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, (z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}) \mapsto \int_0^1 \gamma_l(z_1, \dots, z_l)(t) dt.$$

Recall that the integral operator $\int_0^1: C^1([0, 1], \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous linear and γ_l is holomorph. Thus Γ_l is holomorph. Finally we can write

$$\text{Log}_k(z_1, \dots, z_k) = z_k + \sum_{1 \leq l < k} A_{kl} \circ L(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}) \cdot \Gamma_l \circ L(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1})$$

as a composition of holomorph maps, whence Log_k is holomorph. \square

From Proposition 4.3 we immediately deduce the following theorem.

4.4 Theorem *Let G be either the complex Butcher group $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ or the Butcher group G_{TM} . Then G is an exponential Lie group i.e. the exponential map $\exp_G: \mathbf{L}(G) \rightarrow G$ is a global diffeomorphism. Note that \exp_G is even an analytic diffeomorphism*

4.5 Remark Recall from [Nee06, Definition IV.1.9] that a Lie group whose associated exponential map is an analytic (local) diffeomorphism is a *Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff (BCH)* Lie group. Thus Theorem 4.4 shows that $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and G_{TM} are BCH Lie groups.

Note that this entails that $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}})$ are BCH Lie algebras, i.e. these Lie algebras admit a zero-neighbourhood U such that for all $x, y \in U$ the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff-series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n(x, y)$ converges (see [Nee06, Definition IV.1.3]) and defines an analytic product. In fact from [Nee06, Theorem IV.2.8] we derive that the BCH-series is the Taylor series of the local multiplication

$$*: \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}) \times \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}), \mathbf{a} * \mathbf{b} = (\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{b}))$$

(cf. Theorem 1.7 and Section 2).

5. The subgroup of symplectic tree maps

In this section we show that the subgroup of symplectic tree maps is a closed Lie subgroup of $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

5.1 Remark Recall from (cf. [But72, p.81]) the definition of the *Butcher product* (not to be confused with the product in the Butcher group):

For two trees u, v we denote by $u \circ v$ the Butcher product, defined as the rooted tree obtained by adding an edge from the root of v to the root of u , and letting the root of u be the root of the full tree.

Let us illustrate the Butcher product with some examples involving trees with one and two nodes (in the picture the node at the bottom is the root of the tree):

$$\bullet \circ \bullet = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array}, \quad \bullet \circ \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \circ \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \circ \bullet = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \circ \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \circ \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array}$$

5.2 Definition (a) A tree map a is called *symplectic* if it satisfies the condition

$$P_{u,v}(a) := a(u \circ v) + a(v \circ u) - a(u)a(v) = 0, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{T}. \quad (19)$$

(b) We let $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the *subset of all symplectic tree maps* in $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Note that the group multiplication of the Butcher group turns $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ into a subgroup (see Lemma 5.3).

For the readers convenience we recall the proof of the subgroup property for $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

5.3 Lemma *The set $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ of symplectic tree maps is a closed subgroup of $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Proof. Let us first establish the subgroup property. To see that $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a subgroup one uses [HLW06, Theorem VI.7.6] twice. First by [HLW06, Theorem VI.7.6] the Butcher series associated to a symplectic tree map preserves certain quadratic first integrals. Now the product in the Butcher group corresponds to the composition of Butcher series (cf. [HLW06, III.1.4]). As the Butcher series preserve the quadratic first integrals the same holds for their composition, whence by [HLW06, Theorem VI.7.6] the product of symplectic tree maps is a symplectic tree map.

To see that $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is closed, note that $P_{u,v} \circ \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}$ is continuous for all $u, v \in \mathcal{T}$. In fact we can write the maps $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\cdot)(u \circ v)$, $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\cdot)(v \circ u)$, $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\cdot)(u)$ and $\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\cdot)(v)$ as projections $\text{pr}_k: \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for suitable $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $P_{u,v} \circ \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}$ (and thus also $P_{u,v}$) is continuous. Now $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}} = \bigcap_{u,v \in \mathcal{T}} P_{u,v}^{-1}(0)$ is closed as an intersection of closed sets. \square

5.4 Remark Recall from [HLW06, Theorem VI.7.6] that the integration method associated to a symplectic tree map is symplectic for general Hamiltonian systems $y' = J^{-1}\nabla H(y)$. Thus it becomes clear why the tree maps which satisfy (19) are called symplectic.

5.5 Recall from [Nee06, Proposition II.6.3] that one can associate to the subgroup $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ the *differential tangent set*

$$\mathbf{L}^d(S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}) := \{\alpha'(0) \in T_e G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}} \mid \alpha \in C^1([0, 1], G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}), \alpha(0) = e \text{ and } \alpha([0, 1]) \subseteq S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}\}$$

which is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}) = T_e G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Identify each tangent space $T_a G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ via $T_a(\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}$ with $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. In the following we suppress the identification to keep the notation short.

Let us compute $\mathbf{L}^d(S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$. Consider $\gamma \in C^1([0, 1], G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$, $\gamma(0) = e$ and $\gamma([0, 1]) \subseteq S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Observe that for a tree u , the map $\gamma(\cdot)(u): [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $t \mapsto \gamma(t)(u)$ is smooth as $\gamma(\cdot)(u) = \text{pr}_k \circ (\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1} \circ \gamma$ for suitable $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thus, for all $u, v \in \mathcal{T}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_{u,v}(\gamma(t)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\gamma(t)(u \circ v)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\gamma(t)(v \circ u)) - \\ &\quad \gamma(t)(v) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\gamma(t)(u)) - \gamma(t)(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\gamma(t)(v)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular for $t = 0$ this entails $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\gamma(0)(u \circ v)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\gamma(0)(v \circ u)) = 0$. The differential tangent set for the subgroup $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is therefore given by

$$\mathbf{L}^d(S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}) = \{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}) \mid \underbrace{\varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{b})(u \circ v) + \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{b})(v \circ u)}_{Q_{u,v}(\mathbf{b})} = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in \mathcal{T}\}. \quad (20)$$

Since $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a closed subgroup of a (locally) exponential Lie group, [Nee06, Lemma IV.3.1.] shows that $\mathbf{L}^d(S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ is a closed Lie subalgebra and we can identify it with

$$\mathbf{L}^d(S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}) = \{x \in \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}) \mid \exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathbb{R}x) \subseteq S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}\}.$$

5.6 Remark The characterisation (20) of the differential tangent set of $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ exactly reproduces the condition in [HLW06, Remark IX.9.4]. There the condition (20) characterises an element “in the tangent space at the identity of $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ ”. Note that in loc.cit. no differentiable structure on $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ or $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is considered and a priori it is not clear whether $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is actually a submanifold of $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. The differentiable structure of the Butcher group allows us to exactly recover the intuition of numerical analysts. Indeed we will see that $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a submanifold of $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $T_1 S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbf{L}^d(S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$.

5.7 Proposition *Let $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the subgroup of symplectic tree maps, then*

$$\exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathbf{L}^d(S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})) = \exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})) \cap S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Proof. The characterisation of $\mathbf{L}^d(S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ in 5.5 shows that $\exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathbf{L}^d(S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})) \subseteq S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

For $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathbf{L}^d(S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}))$, let $a = \exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathbf{b}) \in S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$, and $b = \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{b})$. ($\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ is well defined since $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is exponential.) Also define $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ as $\gamma(t) = \exp_{G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}}(t\mathbf{b})$.

We must show that \mathbf{b} satisfies (20) for all pairs of trees u, v . As a side product of the proof, we get that $P_{u,v}(\gamma(t)) = 0$ for all t . Proceed by induction on $|u| + |v|$, and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_{u,v}(\gamma(t)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\gamma(t)(u \circ v)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\gamma(t)(v \circ u)) - \\ &\quad \gamma(t)(v) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\gamma(t)(u)) - \gamma(t)(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\gamma(t)(v)), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

which we evaluate for all trees τ_k using (15),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\gamma(t)(\tau_k)) = b(\tau_k) + \sum_{s \in \text{SP}(\tau_k)_1} \gamma(t)(s_{\tau_k}) b(\tau_k \setminus s). \quad (22)$$

First, let $|u| + |v| = 2$, i.e. we insert the single node tree $u = v = \bullet$ in (19). Now $P_{\bullet,\bullet}(a) = 2a(\mathfrak{I}) - a(\bullet)^2$ and (20) yields $Q_{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathbf{b}) = 2b(\mathfrak{I})$. Moreover, for the single node trees (21) with (22) yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_{\bullet,\bullet}(\gamma(t)) = 2b(\mathfrak{I}) + 2\gamma(t)(\bullet)b(\bullet) - 2\gamma(t)(\bullet)b(\bullet) = 2b(\mathfrak{I}).$$

We conclude that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_{\bullet,\bullet}(\gamma(t))$ is constant in t . Now $\gamma(0) = e$ implies $P_{\bullet,\bullet}(\gamma(0)) = 0$ and $P_{\bullet,\bullet}(\gamma(1)) = 0$ holds since $\gamma(1) \in S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore, the fundamental theorem of calculus yields $Q_{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathbf{b}) = 2b(\mathfrak{I}) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_{\bullet,\bullet}(\gamma(t)) dt = 0$. In addition, $P_{\bullet,\bullet}(\gamma(t)) = 0$ for all t .

Now, let u, v be arbitrary, and assume that $Q_{u',v'}(\mathbf{b}) = 0$ and $P_{u',v'}(\gamma(t)) = 0$ for all pairs of trees u', v' where $|u'| + |v'| < |u| + |v|$. For the first term in (21), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\gamma(t)(u \circ v)) = b(u \circ v) + \sum_{s \in \text{SP}(u \circ v)_1} \gamma(t)(s_{u \circ v}) b(u \circ v \setminus s). \quad (23)$$

Observe that the splittings $\text{SP}(u \circ v)_1$ can be divided into three parts. Namely, we have three disjoint cases for $s \in \text{SP}(u \circ v)_1$, either $s_{u \circ v} = u$ or $s_{u \circ v} = (s_1)_u \circ v$ where $s_1 \in \text{SP}(u)_1$ or $s_{u \circ v} = u \circ (s_2)_v$ where $s_2 \in \text{SP}(v)_1$. Therefore we can rewrite (23) as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\gamma(t)(u \circ v)) &= b(u \circ v) + \gamma(t)(u)b(v) + \\ &\quad \sum_{s_1 \in \text{SP}(u)_1} \gamma(t)((s_1)_u \circ v)b(u \setminus s_1) + \sum_{s_2 \in \text{SP}(v)_1} \gamma(t)(u \circ (s_2)_v)b(v \setminus s_2). \end{aligned}$$

For the second term in (21), we get the same expression with u and v interchanged, while for the last two terms, we can use (22) directly.

Now, we see that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_{u,v}(\gamma(t))$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_{u,v}(\gamma(t)) &= Q_{u,v}(\mathbf{b}) + \\ &\quad \sum_{s_1 \in \text{SP}(u)_1} P_{(s_1)_u,v}(\gamma(t))b(u \setminus s_1) + \sum_{s_2 \in \text{SP}(v)_1} P_{u,(s_2)_v}(\gamma(t))b(v \setminus s_2). \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis (since $|s_1| < |u|$ and $|s_2| < |v|$) the two sums disappear, and we are left with $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_{u,v}(\gamma(t)) = Q_{u,v}(\mathbf{b})$. Arguing as in the case $|u| + |v| = 2$, we derive $Q_{u,v}(\mathbf{b}) = 0$ and therefore also $P_{u,v}(\gamma(t)) = 0$ for all t . Thus $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{L}^d(S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ \square

5.8 Theorem *The subgroup $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a closed Lie subgroup of $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Its Lie algebra $\mathbf{L}(S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$ coincides with $\mathbf{L}^d(S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}})$. Moreover, this structure turns $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ into an exponential BCH Lie group.*

Proof. Proposition 5.7 shows that [Nee06, Theorem IV.3.3.] is applicable. Hence the subspace topology turns $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ into a locally exponential Lie subgroup of $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. However, since $G_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is exponential Proposition 5.7 indeed shows that $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is an exponential Lie group. Moreover, the exponential map and its inverse are again analytic mappings, whence by definition [Nee06, Definition IV.1.9] the group $S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ becomes a BCH Lie group. \square

5.9 Corollary *The subgroup of real symplectic tree maps $S_{\text{TM}} := S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap G_{\text{TM}}$ is a closed Lie subgroup of G_{TM} . Its Lie algebra $\mathbf{L}(S_{\text{TM}})$ coincides with $\mathbf{L}^d(S_{\text{TM}}^{\mathbb{C}}) \cap \mathbf{L}(G_{\text{TM}})$. Moreover, this structure turns S_{TM} into an exponential BCH Lie group.*

Acknowledgements

The research on this paper was partially supported by the projects *Topology in Norway* (Norwegian Research Council project 213458) and *Structure Preserving Integrators, Discrete Integrable Systems and Algebraic Combinatorics* (Norwegian Research Council project 231632). The second author would also like to thank Reiner Hermann for helpful discussions on Hopf algebras.

A. Locally convex differential calculus and manifolds

Basic references for differential calculus in locally convex spaces are [Glö02, Kel74]. For the reader's convenience, we recall various definitions and results:

A.1 Definition Let $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and E, F locally convex \mathbb{K} -vector spaces and $U \subseteq E$ open. Moreover we let $f: U \rightarrow F$ be a map. If it exists, we define for $(x, h) \in U \times E$ the directional derivative

$$df(x, h) := D_h f(x) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-1}(f(x + th) - f(x)).$$

We say that f is $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$ if the iterated directional derivatives

$$d^{(k)}f(x, y_1, \dots, y_k) := (D_{y_k} D_{y_{k-1}} \cdots D_{y_1} f)(x)$$

exist for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $k \leq r$, $x \in U$ and $y_1, \dots, y_k \in E$ and define continuous maps $d^{(k)}f: U \times E^k \rightarrow F$. If it is clear which \mathbb{K} is meant, we simply write C^r for $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$. If f is $C_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}$, we say that f is *holomorph* and if f is $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ we say that f is *smooth*.

A.2 Remark A map $f: U \rightarrow F$ is of class $C_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}$ if and only if it *complex analytic* i.e., if f is continuous and locally given by a series of continuous homogeneous polynomials (cf. [Dah11, Proposition 1.1.16]). We then also say that f is of class $C_{\mathbb{C}}^{\omega}$.

A.3 Definition Let E, F be real locally convex spaces and $f: U \rightarrow F$ defined on an open subset U . We call f *real analytic* (or $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$) if f extends to a $C_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}$ -map $\tilde{f}: \tilde{U} \rightarrow F_{\mathbb{C}}$ on an open neighbourhood \tilde{U} of U in the complexification $E_{\mathbb{C}}$.

A.4 Remark If E_1, E_2, F are locally convex spaces and $U \subseteq E_1, V \subseteq E_2$ open subsets together with a C^1 -map $f: U \times V \rightarrow F$, then one may compute the *partial derivative* $d_1 f$ with respect to E_1 . It is defined as

$$d_1 f: U \times V \times E_1 \rightarrow F, d_1 f(x, y; z) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-1}(f(x + tz, y) - f(x, y)).$$

Analogously one defines the partial derivative $d_2 f$ with respect to E_2 .

A.5 Definition (Differentials on non-open sets) (a) A subset U of a locally convex space E is called *locally convex* if every $x \in U$ has a convex neighbourhood $V \subseteq U$.

(b) Let $U \subseteq E$ be a locally convex subset with dense interior. A continuous mapping $f: U \rightarrow F$ is called C^r if $f|_{U^\circ}: U^\circ \rightarrow F$ is C^r and each of the maps $d^{(k)}(f|_{U^\circ}): U^\circ \times E^k \rightarrow F$ admits a continuous extension $d^{(k)}f: U \times E^k \rightarrow F$ (which is then unique).

For $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and a C^1 -map f , the map $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f: U \rightarrow E$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t) := df(t)(1)$ is continuous. If f is a C^r -map, define recursively $\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} f(t) := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\frac{\partial^{k-1}}{\partial t^{k-1}} f)(t)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $k \leq r$.

For $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$ the composition of $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$ -maps (if possible) is again a $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$ -map (cf. [Glö02, Propositions 2.7 and 2.9]).

A.6 Definition Fix a Hausdorff topological space M and a locally convex space E over $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. An (E) -manifold chart (U_κ, κ) on M is an open set $U_\kappa \subseteq M$ together with a homeomorphism $\kappa: U_\kappa \rightarrow V_\kappa \subseteq E$ onto an open subset of E . Two such charts are called C^r -compatible for $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$ if the change of charts map $\nu^{-1} \circ \kappa: \kappa(U_\kappa \cap U_\nu) \rightarrow \nu(U_\kappa \cap U_\nu)$ is a C^r -diffeomorphism. A $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$ -atlas of M is a family of pairwise C^r -compatible manifold charts, whose domains cover M . Two such C^r -atlases are equivalent if their union is again a C^r -atlas.

A *locally convex C^r -manifold* M modelled on E is a Hausdorff space M with an equivalence class of C^r -atlases of (E) -manifold charts.

Direct products of locally convex manifolds, tangent spaces and tangent bundles as well as C^r -maps of manifolds may be defined as in the finite dimensional setting.

A.7 Definition A *Lie group* is a group G equipped with a C^∞ -manifold structure modelled on a locally convex space, such that the group operations are smooth. If the group operations are in addition (\mathbb{K}) -analytic we call G a (\mathbb{K}) -analytic Lie group.

References

- [AS14] Alzaareer, H. and Schmeding, A. *Differentiable mappings on products with different degrees of differentiability in the two factors*. Expositiones Mathematicae (2014). doi:10.1016/j.exmath.2014.07.002
- [Bro04] Brouder, C. *Trees, renormalization and differential equations*. BIT Num. Anal. **44** (2004):425–438
- [But72] Butcher, J. C. *An algebraic theory of integration methods*. Math. Comp. **26** (1972):79–106
- [CHV10] Chartier, P., Hairer, E. and Vilmart, G. *Algebraic Structures of B-series*. Foundations of Computational Mathematics **10** (2010)(4):407–427. doi: 10.1007/s10208-010-9065-1
- [CK98] Connes, A. and Kreimer, D. *Hopf Algebras, Renormalization and Noncommutative Geometry*. Commun.Math.Phys. 199 203-242 (1998). doi:10.1007/s002200050499. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9808042v1>
- [Dah11] Dahmen, R. *Direct Limit Constructions in Infinite Dimensional Lie Theory*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Paderborn 2011. urn:nbn:de:hbz:466:2-239

- [Dei77] Deimling, K. *Ordinary Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*. No. 596 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics (Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 1977)
- [EFGBP07] Ebrahimi-Fard, K., Gracia-Bondia, J. M. and Patras, F. *A Lie theoretic approach to renormalization*. Commun.Math.Phys. 276 519-549 (2007). doi:10.1007/s00220-007-0346-8. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0609035v3>
- [Glö02] Glöckner, H. *Infinite-dimensional Lie groups without completeness restrictions*. In A. Strasburger, J. Hilgert, K. Neeb and W. Wojtyński (Eds.), *Geometry and Analysis on Lie Groups, Banach Center Publication*, vol. 55, pp. 43–59 (Warsaw, 2002)
- [Glö12] Glöckner, H. *Notes on regularity properties for infinite-dimensional Lie groups* 2012. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.0715>
- [Glö13] Glöckner, H. *Regularity properties of infinite-dimensional Lie groups*. In *Representations of Lie groups and Supergroups*, Oberwolfach Rep. 13, pp. 791–794 (2013)
- [HLW06] Hairer, E., Lubich, C. and Wanner, G. *Geometric Numerical Integration, Springer Series in Computational Mathematics*, vol. 31 (Springer Verlag, 2006)
- [Kel74] Keller, H. *Differential Calculus in Locally Convex Spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 417 (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1974)
- [KM97] Kriegl, A. and Michor, P. W. *The convenient setting of global analysis, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, vol. 53 (AMS, 1997)
- [Men05] Mencattini, I. *Structure of the insertion elimination Lie algebra in the ladder case* (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2005). Thesis (Ph.D.)–Boston University
- [Mil83] Milnor, J. *Remarks on infinite-dimensional Lie groups*. In B. DeWitt and R. Stora (Eds.), *Relativity, Groups and Topology II*, pp. 1007–1057 (North Holland, New York, 1983)
- [MMMKV14] McLachlan, R. I., Modin, K., Munthe-Kaas, H. and Verdier, O. *B-series methods are exactly the local, affine equivariant methods* 2014. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1019v1>
- [Nee06] Neeb, K. *Towards a Lie theory of locally convex groups*. Japanese Journal of Mathematics **1** (2006)(2):291–468