

AREA-STATIONARY AND STABLE SURFACES OF CLASS C^1 IN THE SUB-RIEMANNIAN HEISENBERG GROUP \mathbb{H}^1

MATTEO GALLI AND MANUEL RITORÉ

ABSTRACT. We consider surfaces of class C^1 in the 3-dimensional sub-Riemannian Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^1 . Assuming the surface is area-stationary, i.e., a critical point of the sub-Riemannian perimeter under compactly supported variations, we show that its regular part is foliated by horizontal straight lines. In case the surface is complete and oriented, without singular points, and stable, i.e., a second order minimum of perimeter, we prove that the surface must be a vertical plane. This implies the following Bernstein type result: a complete locally area-minimizing intrinsic graph of a C^1 function in \mathbb{H}^1 is a vertical plane.

1. INTRODUCTION

Variational problems related to the sub-Riemannian perimeter introduced by Capogna, Danielli and Garofalo [10] (see also Garofalo and Nhieu [28] and Franchi, Serapioni and Serra-Cassano [25]) have received great interest recently, specially in the Heisenberg groups \mathbb{H}^n . The recent monograph [11] provides a quite complete survey of recent progress on the subject. Crucial open questions in this theory are the optimal regularity of minimizers, and their characterization under reasonable regularity assumptions.

The optimal regularity of perimeter minimizing sets in \mathbb{H}^n is still an open question. The boundaries of the conjectured solutions to the isoperimetric problem are of class C^2 , see [11], although there exist examples of area-minimizing horizontal graphs which are just Euclidean Lipschitz, see [16, 35, 39]. Cheng, Hwang and Yang [16, Thms. A, B] proved existence and uniqueness of t -graphs which are Lipschitz continuous solutions of the minimal surface equation in \mathbb{H}^n under given Dirichlet conditions in a p -convex domain. Pinamonti, Serra Cassano, Treu and Vittone [38, Thm. 1.1] have obtained existence and uniqueness of t -graphs on domains with boundary data satisfying a bounded slope condition. They also showed that, under this condition, Lipschitz regularity is optimal at least in the first Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^1 , [38, Example 6.5]. The bounded slope condition was also considered in a previous paper by Pauls [36]. Capogna, Citti and Manfredini [8] have proven that the intrinsic graph of a Lipschitz continuous function which is a vanishing viscosity solution of the sub-Riemannian minimal surface equation in \mathbb{H}^1 is of class $C^{1,\alpha}$ and it is foliated by characteristic straight lines. A vanishing viscosity solution is nothing but a uniform limit of Riemannian minimal graphs uniformly bounded in Lipschitz norm. The same authors obtained higher regularity in \mathbb{H}^n , $n > 1$, in [9].

Date: January 27, 2023.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C17, 49Q20.

Key words and phrases. Sub-Riemannian geometry, Heisenberg group, area-stationary surfaces, stable surfaces, flux formula, Bernstein's problem.

M. Galli has been supported by the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013/ under REA grant agreement n. 607643. M. Ritoré has been supported by Mec-Feder MTM2010-21206-C02-01 and Mineco-Feder MTM2013-48371-C2-1-P research grants.

Area-stationary surfaces of class C^2 in \mathbb{H}^1 are well understood. The regular set of such surfaces is known [14, 41] to be ruled by characteristic segments. The singular set was completely described by Cheng, Hwang, Malchiodi and Yang [14], who proved that it is the union of isolated points and C^1 curves. The area-stationarity condition implies, in addition to have mean curvature zero, that the characteristic curves meet orthogonally the singular curves. This was proved for area-minimizing t -graphs by Cheng, Hwang, and Yang [16], and for general area-stationary surfaces by Ritoré and Rosales [41], who used this condition to describe the C^2 critical surfaces of the sub-Riemannian area in \mathbb{H}^1 under a volume constraint.

Bernstein type problems for C^2 surfaces in \mathbb{H}^1 have also received a special attention. In [14], a classification of all complete C^2 solutions to the minimal surface equation for t -graphs in \mathbb{H}^1 was given. In [41], this classification was refined by showing that the only complete area-stationary t -graphs are Euclidean non-vertical planes or they are congruent to the hyperbolic paraboloid $t = xy$. By means of a calibration argument it is also proved in [41] that they are all area-minimizing. A classification of C^2 complete, connected, orientable, area-stationary surfaces with non-empty singular set was obtained in [41]: the only examples are, modulo congruence, non-vertical Euclidean planes, the hyperbolic paraboloid $t = xy$, and the classical left-handed minimal helicoids. In [18] and [3] the Bernstein problem for *intrinsic graphs* in \mathbb{H}^1 was considered. The notion of intrinsic graph is the one used by Franchi, Serapioni and Serra Cassano in [25]. Geometrically, an intrinsic graph is a normal graph over some Euclidean vertical plane with respect to the left invariant Riemannian metric g in \mathbb{H}^1 . A C^1 intrinsic graph has empty singular set and so the stationarity condition is equivalent to have the surface ruled by horizontal straight lines. Many examples of C^2 complete area-stationary intrinsic graphs different from vertical Euclidean planes were found in [18]. A remarkable difference with respect to the case of t -graphs is the existence of complete C^2 area-stationary intrinsic graphs which are not area-minimizing, see [18]. In [3], Barone Adesi, Serra Cassano and Vittone classified complete C^2 area-stationary intrinsic graphs. Then they computed the second variation formula of the area for such graphs to establish that the only stable ones, i.e., with non-negative second variation, are the Euclidean vertical planes. An interesting calibration argument, also given in [3], yields that the vertical planes are in fact area-minimizing surfaces in \mathbb{H}^1 . Later on, using similar techniques, Danielli, Garofalo, Nhieu and Pauls [20] proved that embedded stable complete surfaces without singular points were vertical planes. The following natural step was to consider complete stable surfaces in \mathbb{H}^1 . Finally in [30], Hurtado, Ritoré and Rosales proved that the only complete, orientable, connected, stable area-stationary surfaces in \mathbb{H}^1 of class C^2 are the Euclidean planes and the surfaces congruent to the hyperbolic paraboloid $t = xy$. General first and second variation formulas of the sub-Riemannian area, moving the singular set, in pseudo-hermitian 3-manifolds have been obtained by the first author in [26], also providing a classification [26, Thm. 10.10] of complete oriented C^2 stable surfaces in the roto-translational group, the group of rigid motions of the Euclidean plane. Classification results for C^2 complete oriented CMC stable surfaces without singular points in 3-dimensional Sasakian sub-Riemannian manifolds have been obtained by Rosales [42, Corollary 6.9]. A CMC stable surface is a constant mean curvature surface with non-negative second variation of the area under a volume constraint. The first author has also considered the case of the sub-Riemannian Sol manifold, providing several results concerning complete C^2 area-stationary and stable surfaces [27].

In recent papers, Cheng, Hwang and Yang [17] and Cheng, Hwang, Malchiodi and Yang [15] have considered the case of t -graphs in \mathbb{H}^1 of class C^1 which are weak solutions of the minimal

surface equation. This condition turns out to be equivalent to be a critical point of the sub-Riemannian perimeter under variations by t -graphs. In particular they showed that the regular set of such a graph is foliated by characteristic straight lines [17, Thm. A]. In the minimal case, this result generalizes a previous one by Pauls [37, Lemma 3.3] for H -minimal surfaces with components of the horizontal Gauss map are in the class $W^{1,1}$. A quite complete description of the singular set of a t -graph of class C^1 which is a weak solution of the minimal surface equation was given in [15, Thm. C]: it is composed of isolated points and continuous curves and it is locally path connected. Several curves may meet at a singular point. The key tool is the Codazzi type equation

$$DD'' = 2(D' - 1)(D' - 2)$$

satisfied by the sub-Riemannian area element $D = |N_h|/\langle N, T \rangle$ along characteristic curves, see Remark 4.7. Under non-degeneracy conditions, the regularity of the characteristic curves can be improved to C^1 and an equal angle condition for characteristic curves meeting the same singular (non-degenerate) point can be established, see [15, Thms. G, G'].

In this paper we are interested in the Bernstein problem for intrinsic graphs of class C^1 . First we need to extend Pauls and Cheng, Hwang and Yang characterization of characteristic curves to arbitrary area-stationary surfaces of class C^1 . Throughout this paper, an area-stationary surface will be a critical point of the perimeter under any variation induced by a vector field in \mathbb{H}^1 with compact support. After deriving the first variation formula for the sub-Riemannian area with a boundary term in Lemma 3.2, we use Killing fields for the left-invariant Riemannian metric in \mathbb{H}^1 (that preserve the sub-Riemannian perimeter) to obtain formula (3.6) in Lemma 3.6. Formula (3.6) is similar to the flux formula obtained by Korevaar, Kusner and Solomon for constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in Euclidean space [32], and can be thought of as a special geometric version of the classical Noether's Theorem in Calculus of Variations. We remark that a balancing law for t -graphs of class C^1 was obtained by Cheng, Hwang and Yang [17, Lemma 2.1], and that our arguments allow us to obtain theirs, see Remark 3.4. The authors tried to use formula (3.6) to extend [17, Thm. A] to arbitrary surfaces of class C^1 using different Killing vector fields. Unfortunately, the technique does not seem to work. A different proof, localizing the first variation formula on characteristic curves, is given in Theorem 3.5.

Once we know about the structure of the regular set of an area-stationary surface of class C^1 , we want to consider the second variation of these surfaces. Let us consider an oriented surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ of class C^2 with unit normal N and horizontal unit normal v_h , and let U be a horizontal vector field whose support does not contain singular points of Σ . Then the second variation of the sub-Riemannian area can be computed as in [30] to obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=0} A(\varphi_s(\Sigma)) = \int_{\Sigma} \{Z(f)^2 - qf^2\} (|N_h| d\Sigma),$$

where $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the flow generated by U , Z is the characteristic vector field on Σ , and $f = \langle U, v_h \rangle$. The function q is defined by

$$q := 4 \left(Z \left(\frac{\langle N, T \rangle}{|N_h|} \right) + \frac{\langle N, T \rangle^2}{|N_h|^2} \right).$$

Hence a first task is to extend the second variation formula to a C^1 surface is to prove that the function $\langle N, T \rangle/|N_h|$ is smooth when restricted to characteristic curves in Σ . This is done by showing in Lemma 4.4(3) that the function $\langle N, T \rangle/|N_h|$ restricted to a characteristic straight line

satisfies the ordinary differential equation (4.3)

$$u'' + 6u'u + 4u^3 = 0,$$

where the primes indicate derivatives with respect to the arc-length parameter on the characteristic curve. This allows us to check the validity of the second variation formula (4.1) in Theorem 4.1. It is important to mention that equation (4.3) is equivalent to the equation $DD'' = 2(D' - 1)(D' - 2)$ on t -graphs, see the discussion in Remark 4.7.

Once the validity of the second variation formula (4.1) is established, we define a stable surface as one satisfying inequality

$$\int_{\Sigma} \{Z(f)^2 - qf^2\} (|N_h| d\Sigma) \geq 0,$$

for any continuous function f with compact support in the regular set of Σ and smooth in the horizontal direction in Σ . Then we proceed as in the C^2 case to parameterize the surface using a seed curve $\Gamma(\varepsilon)$, orthogonal to the characteristic curves, and the orthogonal straight lines with vector $Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}$. This way we produce a continuous parameterization

$$(\varepsilon, s) \mapsto \Gamma(\varepsilon) + s Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)},$$

whose main properties are studied in Lemma 4.4: it is smooth in the s -direction and almost everywhere smooth in the ε -direction. Using this parameterization and the technical Lemma 4.5 we are able to prove in Theorem 5.1 that a complete oriented stable surface of class C^1 without singular points is a vertical plane. An immediate consequence is Corollary 5.2: a complete locally area-minimizing intrinsic graph of a C^1 function in \mathbb{H}^1 is a vertical plane.

We have organized this paper into five Sections. Some preliminary results, terminology and notation are in Section 2. The first variation formula and their consequences appear in Section 3, while the second variation is treated in Section 4. The proof of the Stability Theorem and the Bernstein result are in Section 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we gather some results to be used in later Sections

2.1. The Heisenberg group. The Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^1 is the Lie group $\mathbb{R}^3 \cong \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$, with product

$$[z, t] * [z', t'] := [z + z', t + t' + \text{Im}(z\bar{z}')], \quad [z, t], [z', t'] \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Any $p \in \mathbb{H}^1$ produces a *left translation* defined $l_p(q) = p * q$. A basis of left invariant vector fields is given by

$$X := \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad Y := \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - x \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad T := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

The *horizontal distribution* \mathcal{H} in \mathbb{H}^1 is the smooth planar distribution generated by X and Y .

We denote by $[U, V]$ the Lie bracket of two C^1 vector fields U and V on \mathbb{H}^1 . Note that $[X, T] = [Y, T] = 0$, while $[X, Y] = -2T$, so that \mathcal{H} is a bracket-generating distribution. Moreover, \mathcal{H} is nonintegrable by Frobenius theorem. The vector fields X and Y generate, at every point, the kernel of the (contact) 1-form $\omega = -y dx + x dy + dt$.

2.2. The left invariant metric. We shall consider on \mathbb{H}^1 the Riemannian metric $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ so that $\{X, Y, T\}$ is an orthonormal basis at every point. The restriction of g to \mathcal{H} coincides with the usual sub-Riemannian metric in \mathbb{H}^1 . The orthogonal *horizontal projection* of a tangent vector U onto \mathcal{H} will be denoted by U_h . A vector field U is *horizontal* if and only if $U = U_h$.

For any tangent vector U on \mathbb{H}^1 we define $J(U) = D_U T$, where D is the Levi-Civita connection associated to the Riemannian metric g . Then we have $J(X) = Y$, $J(Y) = -X$ and $J(T) = 0$, so that $J^2 = -\text{Id}$ when restricted to \mathcal{H} . It is also clear

$$(2.1) \quad \langle J(U), V \rangle + \langle U, J(V) \rangle = 0,$$

for any pair of tangent vectors U and V . The involution $J : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ together with the 1-form $\omega = -y dx + x dy + dt$, provides a pseudo-hermitian structure on \mathbb{H}^1 , see [7, Sect. 6.4].

2.3. The pseudo-hermitian connection. In the Riemannian manifold (\mathbb{H}^1, g) , we define the *pseudo-hermitian connection* ∇ as the unique metric connection, [12, (I.5.3)], with torsion tensor given by

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Tor}(X, Y) := 2\langle J(X), Y \rangle T.$$

For a more detailed introduction to ∇ and its properties see [22]. Here we only mention that $\nabla T \equiv 0$ and observe that the difference between ∇ and the Levi-Civita connection D can be computed using Koszul's formulas for D and ∇ to obtain

$$D_X Y - \nabla_X Y = \langle Y, T \rangle J(X) + \langle X, T \rangle J(Y) - \langle J(X), Y \rangle T.$$

2.4. Horizontal curves and Carnot-Carathéodory distance. Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1$ be a piecewise C^1 curve defined on a compact interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. The *length* of γ is the usual Riemannian length $L(\gamma) = \int_I |\dot{\gamma}(\varepsilon)| d\varepsilon$, where $\dot{\gamma}$ is the tangent vector of γ . A *horizontal curve* γ in \mathbb{H}^1 is a C^1 curve whose tangent vector always lies in the horizontal distribution. For two given points in \mathbb{H}^1 we can find, by Chow's connectivity theorem [29, Sect. 1.2.B], a horizontal curve joining these points. The *Carnot-Carathéodory distance* d_{cc} between two points in \mathbb{H}^1 is defined as the infimum of the length of horizontal curves joining the given points. The topology associated to d_{cc} coincides with the usual topology in \mathbb{R}^3 , see [4, Cor. 2.6].

2.5. Geodesics in (\mathbb{H}^1, g) . A *geodesic* in (\mathbb{H}^1, g) is a C^2 curve γ such that the covariant derivative of the tangent vector field $\dot{\gamma}$ vanishes along γ .

Let $\gamma(s) = (x(s), y(s), t(s))$ be a horizontal geodesic. Dots will indicate derivatives with respect to s . We write $\dot{\gamma} = \dot{x}X + \dot{y}Y + (\dot{t} - \dot{x}y + x\dot{y})T$. Since γ is a horizontal curve,

$$\dot{t} = \dot{x}y - x\dot{y}.$$

Then γ is a horizontal geodesic in (\mathbb{H}^1, g) if and only if

$$\ddot{x} = \ddot{y} = 0.$$

Solving these equations with initial conditions $(x(0), y(0), t(0)) = (x_0, y_0, t_0)$ and $(\dot{x}(0), \dot{y}(0)) = (A, B)$ we get

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} x(s) &= x_0 + As, \\ y(s) &= y_0 + Bs, \\ t(s) &= t_0 + (Ay_0 - Bx_0)s, \end{aligned}$$

which is a horizontal straight line.

2.6. Geometry of surfaces in \mathbb{H}^1 . Let Σ be a C^1 surface immersed in \mathbb{H}^1 . The *singular set* Σ_0 consists of those points $p \in \Sigma$ for which the tangent plane $T_p\Sigma$ coincides with \mathcal{H}_p . As Σ_0 is closed and has empty interior in Σ , the *regular set* $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$ of Σ is open and dense in Σ . It was proved in [21, Lemma 1], see also [2, Thm. 1.2], that, for a C^2 surface, the Hausdorff dimension of Σ_0 with respect to the Riemannian distance on \mathbb{H}^1 is less than or equal to one. In particular, the Riemannian area of Σ_0 vanishes. If N is a unit normal vector to Σ in (\mathbb{H}^1, g) , then we can describe the singular set as $\Sigma_0 = \{p \in \Sigma; N_h(p) = 0\}$, where $N_h = N - \langle N, T \rangle T$. In the regular part $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$, we can define the *horizontal Gauss map* ν_h and the *characteristic vector field* Z , by

$$(2.4) \quad \nu_h := \frac{N_h}{|N_h|}, \quad Z := J(\nu_h).$$

As Z is horizontal and orthogonal to ν_h , we conclude that Z is tangent to Σ . Hence Z_p generates $T_p\Sigma \cap \mathcal{H}_p$. The integral curves of Z in $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$ will be called (*oriented*) *characteristic curves* of Σ . They are both tangent to Σ and horizontal. If we define

$$(2.5) \quad S := \langle N, T \rangle \nu_h - |N_h| T,$$

then $\{Z_p, S_p\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_p\Sigma$ whenever $p \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$. Moreover, for any $p \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$ we have the orthonormal basis of $T_p\mathbb{H}^1$ given by $\{Z_p, (\nu_h)_p, T_p\}$. From here we deduce the following identities on $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$

$$(2.6) \quad |N_h|^2 + \langle N, T \rangle^2 = 1, \quad (\nu_h)^\top = \langle N, T \rangle S, \quad T^\top = -|N_h| S,$$

where U^\top stands for the projection of a vector field U onto the tangent plane to Σ . A *seed curve* in $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$ is an integral curve of the vector field S . Since S is continuous, existence of seed curves is guaranteed, but not their uniqueness.

Given a C^1 immersed surface Σ with a unit normal vector N , we define the *sub-Riemannian area* of Σ by

$$(2.7) \quad A(\Sigma) := \int_{\Sigma} |N_h| d\Sigma,$$

where $d\Sigma$ is the Riemannian area element on Σ . If Σ is a C^2 surface bounding a set Ω , then $A(\Sigma)$ coincides with all the notions of perimeter of Ω and area of Σ introduced by other authors, see [25, Prop. 2.14], [34, Thm. 5.1] and [25, Cor. 7.7].

We shall say that an immersed surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ is *complete* if it is complete in (\mathbb{H}^1, g) . The *t-graph* of a function $u : \Omega \subset \{t = 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the set $\{(x, y, u(x, y)) : (x, y) \in \Omega\}$. Given a vertical plane Π , the *intrinsic graph* of the function $u : \Omega \subset \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the Riemannian graph of u over $\Omega \subset \Pi$, see [1]. This means the set $\{\exp_p(u(p)N_p) : p \in \Omega\}$, where \exp_p is the Riemannian exponential map in (\mathbb{H}^1, g) at p , and N_p is a given unit normal to Π with respect to the Riemannian metric g .

Given a vector field U in \mathbb{H}^1 , its *horizontal tangent projection* is

$$U_{ht} := U - \langle U, \nu_h \rangle \nu_h - \langle U, T \rangle T.$$

It is clear that $U_{ht} = \langle Z, T \rangle Z$.

Given a vector field U in \mathbb{H}^1 , we define its *horizontal divergence* on $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$ by

$$(2.8) \quad \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma}^h U := \langle \nabla_Z U, Z \rangle,$$

where ∇ is the pseudo-hermitian connection. The horizontal divergence can be defined for any vector field smooth along horizontal curves in Σ .

3. FIRST VARIATION AND AREA-STATIONARY SURFACES

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be a C^1 surface. We shall say Σ is *area-stationary* if, for any vector field U in \mathbb{H}^1 with compact support such that $\text{supp}(U) \cap \partial\Sigma = \emptyset$, and associated one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms $\{\varphi_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$, we have

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} A(\varphi_s(\Sigma)) = 0,$$

where A is the sub-Riemannian area in \mathbb{H}^1 . The first variation is computable for any C^1 surface Σ

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be a C^1 surface, possibly with non-empty boundary, and U a vector field with compact support and associated one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms $\{\varphi_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} A(\varphi_s(\Sigma)) = \int_{\Sigma} \{ -S\langle U, T \rangle - 2\langle J(U), S \rangle + |N_h| \text{div}_{\Sigma}^h U \} d\Sigma.$$

We remark that formula (3.1) is valid for any variation, even for those moving the boundary of Σ .

Proof. Equation (3.1) is exactly [26, Lemma 3.4] for the case of the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^1 . \square

The following result will be very useful to obtain geometric properties of an area-stationary surface

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be an area-stationary surface of class C^1 without singular points, and let U any vector field in \mathbb{H}^1 . Then, for any subset Σ' compactly contained in Σ with piecewise C^1 boundary $\partial\Sigma'$,*

$$(3.2) \quad 0 = \int_{\partial\Sigma'} \langle \langle U, T \rangle S - |N_h| U_{ht}, \xi \rangle d(\partial\Sigma') + \int_{\Sigma} \{ -S\langle U, T \rangle - 2\langle J(U), S \rangle + |N_h| \text{div}_{\Sigma}^h U \} d\Sigma.$$

where ξ is the unit inner conormal to $\partial\Sigma'$.

Proof. Let U be a C^1 vector field in \mathbb{H}^1 , and f a smooth function in \mathbb{H}^1 with compact support, chosen so that $\text{supp}(f) \cap \partial\Sigma = \emptyset$. Since Σ is area-stationary, the first variation of the sub-Riemannian area with respect to the flow associated to fU is zero. From (3.1) this means

$$\int_{\Sigma} \{ -S\langle fU, T \rangle - 2\langle J(fU), S \rangle + |N_h| \text{div}_{\Sigma}^h(fU) \} d\Sigma = 0.$$

This formula is also valid by approximation for Lipschitz functions on Σ , and can be rewritten as

$$(3.3) \quad 0 = \int_{\Sigma} \langle W, \nabla_{\Sigma} f \rangle d\Sigma + \int_{\Sigma} f g d\Sigma,$$

where W is the tangent vector field $-\langle U, T \rangle S + |N_h| \langle U, Z \rangle Z = -\langle U, T \rangle S + |N_h| U_{ht}$, and g is the continuous function $-S\langle U, T \rangle - 2\langle J(U), S \rangle + |N_h| \text{div}_{\Sigma}^h U$.

Take now $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma$ relatively compact in Σ with C^1 boundary. Consider the sequence of Lipschitz functions f_{ε} on Σ so that f_{ε} equals 1 on Σ' , vanishes when the distance to Σ' is larger than or equal to ε and is a linear function of the distance to Σ' in between. A standard argument using the coarea formula for Lipschitz functions shows

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Sigma} \langle W, \nabla_{\Sigma} f_{\varepsilon} \rangle d\Sigma = \int_{\partial\Sigma'} \langle W, \xi \rangle d(\partial\Sigma'),$$

where ξ is the inner unit normal to $\partial\Sigma'$. As the function g is continuous in Σ and the functions f_ε are bounded, we can use the Dominated Convergence Theorem to show

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Sigma} f_\varepsilon g \, d\Sigma = \int_{\Sigma'} g \, d\Sigma,$$

since f_ε approaches the characteristic function of the set Σ' . Taking limits, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, in formula (3.3) applied to $f = f_\varepsilon$, and using (3.4) and (3.5) together with the first variation formula (3.1), we obtain (3.2). \square

Recall that right-invariant vector fields in \mathbb{H}^1 are Killing fields for the left-invariant Riemannian metric. They are linear combination, with constant coefficients, of the vector fields $X - 2yT$, $Y + 2xT$ and T . They induce one-parameter groups of isometries, for the left-invariant Riemannian metric in \mathbb{H}^1 , and are infinitesimal generators for one-parameter groups of contact transformations, see Liberman [33] and Korányi-Reimann [31, § 5.1]. Hence the associated one-parameter groups of diffeomorphisms preserve the sub-Riemannian perimeter, and we obtain

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be a C^1 area-stationary surface without singular points. If U is a Killing field and Σ' is compactly contained in Σ with C^1 boundary $\partial\Sigma'$, then*

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\partial\Sigma'} \langle \langle U, T \rangle S - |N_h| U_{ht}, \xi \rangle = 0,$$

where ξ is the unit inner conormal to $\partial\Sigma'$.

Remark 3.4. The main consequence of Lemma 3.3 is that the regular part of an area-stationary t -graph Σ is foliated by horizontal straight lines. This result was first proved by Cheng, Hwang and Yang [17], see Remark 3.4. We can use (3.6) to recover their result in the following way: taking as Killing field $U = T$ in Lemma 3.3, formula (3.6) reads

$$\int_{\partial\Sigma'} \langle S, \xi \rangle = 0.$$

Assume Σ is the graph of the C^1 function $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Simple computations allow us to write the above formula as

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega'} \langle V, \xi_0 \rangle d(\partial\Omega') = 0,$$

where $V = (\nabla u + F)/|\nabla u + F|$, ∇ is the gradient in \mathbb{R}^2 , $F(x, y) = (-y, x)$, $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ is relatively compact, and ξ_0 is the Euclidean unit normal to $\partial\Omega'$. Using that the Euclidean modulus of V is equal to 1, the classical Divergence Theorem, equality (3.7), and a calibration argument, we deduce that the trajectories of the vector field V^\perp locally minimize length. Hence they are Euclidean straight lines, and their horizontal liftings are horizontal geodesics.

The authors have tried to use formula (3.6) to extend Theorem A in [17] to arbitrary surfaces of class C^1 using different Killing vector fields. Unfortunately, the technique does not seem to work. A proof using Calculus of Variations arguments is given below.

Theorem 3.5. *The regular part of a C^1 area-stationary surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ is foliated by horizontal straight lines.*

Proof. Given a point $p \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$, there exists a vertical plane Π through the origin so that an open neighborhood $B \subset \Sigma$ of p is an intrinsic graph over an open subset $\Omega \subset \Pi$. Rotating Σ around the

t -axis we may assume that Π is the plane $y = 0$, and that B is the vertical graph G_u of a function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of class C^1 . This implies

$$G_u = \{(x, u(x, t), t - xu(x, t)) : (x, t) \in \Omega\}.$$

The tangent plane to any point in G_u is generated by the vectors

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &\mapsto (1, u_x, -u - xu_x) = X + u_x Y - 2uT, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} &\mapsto (0, u_t, 1 - xu_t) = u_t Y + T. \end{aligned}$$

The ‘‘downward’’ pointing unit normal vector is then given by $\tilde{N}/|\tilde{N}|$, where

$$\tilde{N} = (X + u_x Y - 2uT) \times (u_t Y + T) = (u_x + 2uu_t)X - Y + u_t T,$$

and \times denotes the cross product with respect to the oriented orthonormal basis $\{X, Y, T\}$. The horizontal unit normal is given by $\nu_h = \tilde{\nu}_h/|\tilde{\nu}_h|$, where

$$\tilde{\nu}_h = (u_x + 2uu_t)X - Y,$$

and the characteristic direction by $Z = \tilde{Z}/|\tilde{Z}|$, where

$$\tilde{Z} = J(\tilde{\nu}_h) = X + (u_x + 2uu_t)Y.$$

Assume now that $\gamma(s) = (x(s), t(s))$ is a curve in Ω . Then

$$\Gamma(s) = (x(s), u(x(s), t(s)), t(s) - x(s)u(x(s), t(s))) \subset G_u,$$

and we get

$$\Gamma'(s) = x'(X + u_x Y - 2uT) + t'(u_t Y + T) = x'X + (x'u_x + t'u_t)Y + (t' - 2ux')T.$$

In particular, horizontal curves in G_u satisfy the ordinary differential equation $t' = 2ux'$. Since $u \in C^1(\Omega)$, we have uniqueness of characteristic curves through any given point in G_u .

The jacobian of the map $(x, t) \mapsto (x, u(x, t), t - xu(x, t))$ can be easily computed and allows us to express the Riemannian area element $d\Sigma$ in terms of the Lebesgue measure $dx dt$ of the vertical plane

$$d\Sigma = (1 + u_t^2 + (u_x + 2uu_t)^2)^{1/2} dx dt = |\tilde{N}| dx dt.$$

On the other hand, since

$$|N_h| = \frac{(1 + (u_x + 2uu_t)^2)^{1/2}}{|\tilde{N}|},$$

we obtain the following expression for the sub-Riemannian area of the intrinsic graph of the C^1 function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(3.8) \quad A(G_u) = \int_{\Omega} (1 + (u_x + 2uu_t)^2)^{1/2} dx dt.$$

Choose a function φ with compact support in Ω and consider the variation of G_u by the intrinsic graphs $u + s\varphi$. This variation corresponds to moving the graph G_u under the action of the vector field φY , where φ is considered as a function in \mathbb{H}^1 by means of the intrinsic orthogonal projection on the plane Π . The derivative of the sub-Riemannian area is given by

$$(3.9) \quad 0 = \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} A(G_{u+s\varphi}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x + 2uu_t}{(1 + (u_x + 2uu_t)^2)^{1/2}} (\varphi_x + 2u\varphi_t + 2\varphi u_t) dx dt.$$

Assume the point $p \in G_u$ corresponds to the point (a, b) in the xt -plane. The curve $s \mapsto (s, t(s))$ is (a reparameterization of the projection of) a characteristic curve if and only if the function $t(s)$ satisfies the ordinary differential equation $t'(s) = u(s, t(s))$. For ε small enough, we consider

the solution t_ε of equation $t'_\varepsilon(s) = 2u(s, t_\varepsilon(s))$ with initial condition $t_\varepsilon(a) = b + \varepsilon$, and define $\gamma_\varepsilon(s) := (s, t_\varepsilon(s))$, with $\gamma = \gamma_0$. We may assume that, for small enough ε , the functions t_ε are defined in the interval $[a - r, a + r]$ for some $r > 0$. The function $\partial t_\varepsilon / \partial \varepsilon$ satisfies

$$(3.10) \quad \left(\frac{\partial t_\varepsilon}{\partial \varepsilon} \right)'(s) = 2u_t(s, t_\varepsilon(s)) \left(\frac{\partial t_\varepsilon}{\partial \varepsilon} \right)(s), \quad \frac{\partial t_\varepsilon}{\partial \varepsilon}(a) = 1.$$

where $'$ is the derivative with respect to the parameter s .

We consider the parameterization

$$F(\xi, \varepsilon) := (\xi, t_\varepsilon(\xi)) = (s, t)$$

near the characteristic curve through (a, b) . The jacobian of this parameterization is given by

$$\left| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t'_\varepsilon \\ 0 & \partial t_\varepsilon / \partial \varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \right| = \frac{\partial t_\varepsilon}{\partial \varepsilon},$$

which is positive because of the choice of initial condition for t_ε and the fact that the curves $\gamma_\varepsilon(s)$ foliate a neighborhood of (a, b) . Any function φ can be considered as a function of the variables (ξ, ε) by making $\tilde{\varphi}(\xi, \varepsilon) := \varphi(\xi, t_\varepsilon(\xi))$. Changing variables, and assuming the support of φ is contained in a sufficiently small neighborhood of (a, b) , we can express the integral (3.8) as

$$\int_I \left\{ \int_{a-r}^{a+r} \frac{\partial \tilde{u} / \partial \xi}{(1 + (\partial \tilde{u} / \partial \xi)^2)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \xi} + 2\tilde{\varphi} \tilde{u}_t \right) \frac{\partial t_\varepsilon}{\partial \varepsilon} d\xi \right\} d\varepsilon,$$

where I is a small interval containing 0. Instead of $\tilde{\varphi}$, we can consider the function $\tilde{\varphi} / (\partial t_\varepsilon / \partial \varepsilon)$. Using (3.10) we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}}{\partial t_\varepsilon / \partial \varepsilon} \right) = \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi} / \partial \xi}{\partial t_\varepsilon / \partial \varepsilon} - \frac{2\tilde{\varphi} \tilde{u}_t}{\partial t_\varepsilon / \partial \varepsilon},$$

and so the first variation for a function of the form $\tilde{\varphi} / (\partial t_\varepsilon / \partial \varepsilon)$ is given by

$$\int_I \left\{ \int_{a-r}^{a+r} \frac{\partial \tilde{u} / \partial \xi}{(1 + (\partial \tilde{u} / \partial \xi)^2)^{1/2}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \xi} d\xi \right\} d\varepsilon.$$

Let $\eta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive function with compact support in the interval I and consider the family $\eta_\rho(x) := \rho^{-1} \eta(x/\rho)$. Inserting a test function of the form $\eta_\rho(\varepsilon) \psi(\xi)$, where ψ is a C^∞ function with compact support in $(a - r, a + r)$, making $\rho \rightarrow 0$, and using that G_u is area-stationary we obtain

$$\int_{a-r}^{a+r} \frac{\partial \tilde{u} / \partial \xi}{(1 + (\partial \tilde{u} / \partial \xi)^2)^{1/2}}(0, \xi) \psi'(\xi) d\xi = 0$$

for any $\psi \in C_0^\infty((a - r, a + r))$. This implies that the quantity

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u} / \partial \xi}{(1 + (\partial \tilde{u} / \partial \xi)^2)^{1/2}}(0, \xi) = \frac{u_x + 2uu_t}{(1 + (u_x + 2uu_t)^2)^{1/2}}(s, t(s))$$

is constant along the curve $\gamma(s)$, and so it is $(u_x + 2uu_t)$. Hence Z is the restriction of a left-invariant horizontal vector field to the characteristic curve passing through p . This implies that the characteristic curve is a horizontal straight line. \square

Remark 3.6. From the proof of Theorem 3.5 it is easy to check that there exists a *unique* characteristic curve in a C^1 surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ passing through any point $p \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$, a result already proved in [17, Thm. B'].

Indeed, using a rotation around the t -axis we may assume that, near p , the surface Σ is the intrinsic graph of a C^1 function u defined on an open set Ω of the vertical plane $\{y = 0\}$. The computations in the first part of the proof of Theorem 3.5 imply that (a reparametrization of) the

projection of the characteristic curves to Ω satisfy the ordinary differential equation $t' = 2ux'$. This is equivalent to the system $t' = 2u, x' = 1$, whose solutions are integral curves of the C^1 vector field

$$W = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2u \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

This implies local uniqueness of the projection of the integral curves of Z and so local uniqueness of such curves. Global uniqueness follows from an standard connectedness argument.

If $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ is any immersed oriented C^1 surface such that the vectors Z and v_h are C^∞ along characteristic curves in the regular part of Σ , we define the *mean curvature* of Σ at any point $p \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$, by

$$(3.11) \quad H(p) := (\operatorname{div}_\Sigma^h v_h)(p),$$

where $\operatorname{div}_\Sigma^h(v_h)(p)$ is defined by $\langle \nabla_Z v_h, Z \rangle$ as in (2.8). Theorem 3.5 implies that, in an area-stationary surface, Z and v_h are C^∞ along characteristic curves in the regular part of Σ .

Under these regularity hypothesis, we can be able to perform the following first variation formula,

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be an immersed oriented C^1 surface. Assume v_h and Z are differentiable in the Z -direction. Then the first variation of the area induced by a smooth vector field U such that $\operatorname{supp}(U) \cap \Sigma \subset \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$ is given by*

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} A(\varphi_s(\Sigma)) = - \int_\Sigma \langle U, N \rangle H \, d\Sigma,$$

where H is defined in (3.11).

Proof. We decompose U as $U = \langle U, v_h \rangle v_h + \langle U, Z \rangle Z + \langle U, T \rangle T$, and observe that we can split the first variation (3.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} A(\varphi_s(\Sigma)) &= - \int_\Sigma S(\langle U, T \rangle) \, d\Sigma + \int_\Sigma |N_H| \operatorname{div}_\Sigma^h(\langle U, v_h \rangle v_h) \, d\Sigma \\ &\quad + \int_\Sigma \{-2\langle U, Z \rangle \langle J(Z), S \rangle + |N_H| \operatorname{div}_\Sigma^h(\langle U, Z \rangle Z)\} \, d\Sigma. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that all terms in the previous equation are well defined, as $U \in C^1$ and Z and v_h are C^1 in the Z -direction. We claim that the following integral equalities hold

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Sigma |N_H| \operatorname{div}_\Sigma^h(\langle U, v_h \rangle v_h) \, d\Sigma &= \int_\Sigma |N_H| \langle U, v_h \rangle \langle \nabla_Z v_h, Z \rangle \, d\Sigma, \\ \int_\Sigma S(\langle U, T \rangle) \, d\Sigma &= \int_\Sigma g(N, T) \langle U, T \rangle \langle \nabla_Z v_h, Z \rangle \, d\Sigma \\ \int_\Sigma \{-2\langle U, Z \rangle \langle J(Z), S \rangle + |N_H| \operatorname{div}_\Sigma^h(\langle U, Z \rangle Z)\} \, d\Sigma &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed we can argue as in the proof of [26, Proposition 6.3] since, by [26, Remark 6.1], we can approximate Σ by a family of smooth surfaces Σ_j such that N_j converges to N , $(v_h)_j$ converges to v_h and $\{Z_j, S_j\}$ converge to $\{Z, S\}$, in any compact subset of Σ , where the subscript j denotes the vectors in the surface Σ_j . Furthermore, we also have the convergence of the derivatives in the Z_j -direction of Z_j and $(v_h)_j$ to the derivatives in the Z -direction of Z and v_h on compact subsets of Σ . In this way we can easily conclude the statement. \square

Remark 3.8. Proposition 3.7 and the fact that characteristic curves are horizontal straight lines imply that the mean curvature H vanishes in any regular point of an area-stationary surface Σ .

The following Lemma will be needed in the next section.

Lemma 3.9 (Divergence Theorem). *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be an immersed oriented C^1 surface and $f, g \in C^0(\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0)$ with compact support such that f is differentiable in the horizontal direction and g twice differentiable in the horizontal direction. Assume Z and ν_h are also smooth in the horizontal direction. Then we have*

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \left\{ Z(f)Z(g) + fZ(Z(g)) + 2 \frac{\langle N, T \rangle}{|N_h|} fZ(g) \right\} (|N_h| d\Sigma) = 0.$$

Proof. When Σ is C^2 , it makes sense to compute from [26, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3]:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma}(|N_H|fZ(g)Z) &= Z(|N_H|)fZ(g) + |N_H|Z(f)Z(g) + |N_H|fZ(Z(g)) + 2\langle N, T \rangle |N_H|^2 fZ(g) \\ &\quad + 2\langle N, T \rangle^3 fZ(g) + \frac{\langle N, T \rangle}{|N_h|} Z(\langle N, T \rangle) fZ(g) \\ &= |N_H|Z(f)Z(g) + |N_H|fZ(Z(g)) + 2\langle N, T \rangle fZ(g). \end{aligned}$$

The general case follows by approximation as in the proof of [26, Proposition 6.3]. \square

4. THE SECOND VARIATION FORMULA FOR AREA-STATIONARY SURFACES

In this Section, we shall prove the following second variation formula for area-stationary C^1 surface

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be a complete oriented immersed area-stationary surface of class C^1 and let $U \in C_0^1(\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0)$ a horizontal vector field. Let by $\varphi_s(p) := \exp_p(sU_p)$ and extend the vector field U along $\varphi_s(p)$ by setting $U(\varphi_s(p)) = \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=s} \varphi_t(p)$. Then the second derivative of the sub-Riemannian area of the variation induced by U is given by*

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{d^2}{ds^2}\bigg|_{s=0} A(\varphi_s(\Sigma)) = \int_{\Sigma} \{Z(f)^2 - qf^2\} (|N_h| d\Sigma),$$

where $f = \langle U, \nu_h \rangle$ and

$$(4.2) \quad q := 4 \left(Z \left(\frac{\langle N, T \rangle}{|N_h|} \right) + \frac{\langle N, T \rangle^2}{|N_h|^2} \right).$$

Following the classical terminology, we shall say that a complete immersed oriented area-stationary surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ is *stable* if

$$\int_{\Sigma} \{Z(f)^2 - qf^2\} (|N_h| d\Sigma) \geq 0$$

holds for any function $f \in C_0^2(\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0)$. The stability condition means that the second derivative of the sub-Riemannian perimeter is non-negative for the given variations.

For a C^1 surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ is not guaranteed that q is well defined. We need to show first that the quantity $u = \langle N, T \rangle / |N_h|$ is smooth along horizontal lines.

Lemma 4.2. *Given $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, the only solution of equation*

$$(4.3) \quad u'' + 6u'u + 4u^3 = 0,$$

about the origin with initial conditions $u(0) = a$, $u'(0) = b$, is

$$(4.4) \quad u_{a,b}(s) := \frac{a + (2a^2 + b)s}{1 + 2as + (2a^2 + b)s^2}.$$

If $u_{a,b}(s)$ is defined for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ then either $a^2 + b > 0$ or $u_{a,b}(s) \equiv 0$. Moreover

$$(4.5) \quad u'_{a,b}(s) + u_{a,b}(s)^2 = \frac{a^2 + b}{(1 + 2as + (2a^2 + b)s^2)^2}.$$

Proof. The first part of the Lemma is just a direct computation using the uniqueness of solutions for ordinary differential equations. For the second part, let us write $u_{a,b}(s) = p(s)/r(s)$, where $p(s) = a + (2a^2 + b)s$, $r(s) = 1 + 2as + (2a^2 + b)s^2$. If $r(s)$ has no real zeroes, then the discriminant $-4a^2 - 4b$ of the polynomial $1 + 2as + (2a^2 + b)s^2$ must be negative. If $r(s)$ has a zero s_0 , then we must have $p(s_0) = 0$ in order to have $u_{a,b}(s)$ well defined at s_0 . Hence $u_{a,b}(s)$ can be expressed as the quotient of a constant over a degree one polynomial. From (4.4) we get $u_{a,b} = a(1 + 2as)^{-1}$, which has a pole unless $a = 0$, i.e., $u_{a,b}(s) \equiv 0$. Equation (4.5) is just a direct computation. \square

Lemma 4.3. Consider $a, b, a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $u_{a_i, b_i}, u_{a,b}$ are defined on the whole real line and the sequence u_{a_i, b_i} converges pointwise to $u_{a,b}$. Then $a = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i$, $b = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_i$.

Proof. We first observe $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} u_{a_i, b_i}(0) = u_{a,b}(0) = a$. For the second limit, we remark that the sequence b_i cannot have ∞ as an accumulation point since in this case $u_{a_i, b_i}(s)$ would converge to $1/s$ near 0. Assume c is a finite accumulation point of the sequence b_i , obtained as the limit, when $j \rightarrow \infty$, of the subsequence b_{i_j} . We first consider the case $a \neq 0$. Evaluating at $s = -1/(2a)$, we get

$$-\frac{2ab}{2a^2 + b} = u_{a,b}(-1/(2a)) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_{a_{i_j}, b_{i_j}}(-1/(2a)) = -\frac{2ac}{2a^2 + c},$$

what implies $b = c$. Hence $b = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_i$. In case $a = 0$,

$$\frac{b}{1+b} = u_{0,b}(1) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_{a_{i_j}, b_{i_j}}(1) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{i_j} + (2a_{i_j}^2 + b_{i_j})}{1 + 2a_{i_j} + (2a_{i_j}^2 + b_{i_j})} = \frac{c}{1+c},$$

what implies $b = c$. Hence $b = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_i$. \square

Lemma 4.4. Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be a complete oriented area-stationary surface of class C^1 without singular points, and let $\Gamma : I \rightarrow \Sigma$ be an integral curve of S . Define the parameterization $F(\varepsilon, s) = \Gamma(\varepsilon) + sZ_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}$ and let $\gamma_\varepsilon(s) = F(\varepsilon, s)$. Then we have

1. $Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}$ is smooth for almost every $\varepsilon \in I$.
2. If ε is a regular point of $Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}$, then F is differentiable at (ε, s) for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the vector fields $\partial F / \partial s$ and $\partial F / \partial \varepsilon$ are orthogonal with respect to the left-invariant Riemannian metric and

$$(4.6) \quad \text{Jac}(F)(\varepsilon, s) = |V_\varepsilon(s)| = |N_h(\gamma_\varepsilon(s))|^{-1} |\langle V_\varepsilon(s), T_{\gamma_\varepsilon(s)} \rangle|,$$

where $V_\varepsilon := \partial F / \partial \varepsilon$.

3. Along any geodesic $\gamma_\varepsilon(s)$, the function $u_\varepsilon(s) = (\langle N, T \rangle / |N_h|)(\gamma_\varepsilon(s))$ satisfies the ordinary differential equation (4.3).
4. If ε is a regular point of $Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}$, then $\langle V_\varepsilon(s), T_{\gamma_\varepsilon(s)} \rangle = a_\varepsilon + b_\varepsilon s + c_\varepsilon s^2$, where

$$(4.7) \quad a_\varepsilon = -|N_h|(\Gamma(\varepsilon)), \quad b_\varepsilon = -2\langle N, T \rangle(\Gamma(\varepsilon)), \quad c_\varepsilon = -|N_h| \left(Z \left(\frac{\langle N, T \rangle}{|N_h|} \right) + 2 \frac{\langle N, T \rangle^2}{|N_h|^2} \right) (\Gamma(\varepsilon)).$$

Proof. 1. Fix some $\varepsilon_0 \in I$. For some $s_0 > 0$, let us take a seed curve Γ_1 satisfying $\Gamma_1(\varepsilon_0) = \gamma(s_0)$. A continuity argument implies the existence of a small open interval $J \subset I$ containing ε_0 , and functions $s : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that f is monotone increasing and

$$\Gamma(\varepsilon) + s(\varepsilon)Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)} = \Gamma_1(f(\varepsilon)).$$

Hence $s(\varepsilon)Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)} = \Gamma_1(f(\varepsilon)) - \Gamma(\varepsilon)$ is smooth for almost every ε in a small interval near 0. Dividing $s(\varepsilon)Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}$ by its Heisenberg modulus, which only involves the coordinates of $Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}$ and the smooth components of the curve $\Gamma(\varepsilon)$, we conclude that $Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}$ is smooth for almost every $\varepsilon > 0$ in J . We conclude by covering I by a denumerable family of such intervals.

2. Let $\Gamma(\varepsilon) = (x(\varepsilon), y(\varepsilon), t(\varepsilon))$, and $Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)} = (a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon), c(\varepsilon))$, so that

$$F(\varepsilon, s) = (x(\varepsilon) + sa(\varepsilon), y(\varepsilon) + sb(\varepsilon), t(\varepsilon) + sc(\varepsilon)).$$

Observe that $(c - ay + bx)(\varepsilon) = 0$ since $Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}$ is horizontal. We always have

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(\varepsilon, s) = a(\varepsilon)X_{F(\varepsilon, s)} + b(\varepsilon)Y_{F(\varepsilon, s)}.$$

If $Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}$ is smooth at some value ε , we can compute

$$(4.8) \quad V_\varepsilon(s) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \varepsilon}(\varepsilon, s) = (x'(\varepsilon) + sa'(\varepsilon))X_{F(\varepsilon, s)} + (y'(\varepsilon) + sb'(\varepsilon))Y_{F(\varepsilon, s)} \\ + ((t' - x'y + xy')(\varepsilon) + 2(ay' - bx')(\varepsilon)s + (ab' - a'b)(\varepsilon)s^2)T_{F(\varepsilon, s)}.$$

The scalar product of $\partial F/\partial s$ and $\partial F/\partial \varepsilon$ can be easily seen to be equal to $(ax' + by')(\varepsilon) + (aa' + bb')(\varepsilon)s$, which is equal to 0 since $\Gamma'(\varepsilon) = S_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}$ is orthogonal to $Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}$ and $|Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}| = 1$. From these properties equality $\text{Jac}(F)(\varepsilon, s) = |V_\varepsilon(s)|$ in (4.6) follows.

Since $V_\varepsilon(s)$ is orthogonal to $Z_{\gamma_\varepsilon(s)}$, there exists a function f so that $V_\varepsilon = fS$ and so $|V_\varepsilon| = |f|$. On the other hand $\langle V_\varepsilon, T \rangle = -f|N_h|$, from where the last equation of (4.6) follows.

3. Assume first that ε is a regular point of $Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}$. The vector $V_\varepsilon(s)$ is proportional to $S_{F(\varepsilon, s)}$ since it is orthogonal to $(\partial F/\partial s)(\varepsilon, s) = Z_{F(\varepsilon, s)}$. Hence we have

$$\frac{\langle N, T \rangle}{|N_h|}(F(\varepsilon, s)) = \frac{\langle V_\varepsilon(s), J(Z_{F(\varepsilon, s)}) \rangle}{\langle V_\varepsilon(s), T_{\gamma_\varepsilon(s)} \rangle} \\ = \frac{(ay' - bx')(\varepsilon) + (ab' - a'b)(\varepsilon)s}{(t' - x'y + xy')(\varepsilon) + 2(ay' - bx')(\varepsilon)s + (ab' - a'b)(\varepsilon)s^2},$$

which is the function $u_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}}(s)$, for

$$(4.9) \quad \bar{a} = \frac{(ay' - bx')(\varepsilon)}{(t' - x'y + xy')(\varepsilon)}, \\ 2\bar{a}^2 + \bar{b} = \frac{(ab' - a'b)(\varepsilon)}{(t' - x'y + xy')(\varepsilon)},$$

and hence it satisfies equation (4.3).

For arbitrary ε , consider a sequence of regular values ε_i of $Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}$ with $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_i = \varepsilon$. For every i , there exist $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $u_{a_i, b_i}(s) = (\langle N, T \rangle / |N_h|)(\gamma_{\varepsilon_i}(s))$. Let $u(s) = (\langle N, T \rangle / |N_h|)(\gamma_\varepsilon(s))$. Since $u_i \rightarrow u$ pointwise, we have $a_i = u_i(0) \rightarrow u(0) = a$. If the sequence $\{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ were unbounded, then u_{a_i, b_i} should converge to $1/s$ near 0, which is clearly unbounded and yields a contradiction. Hence b_i is bounded, we can extract a convergent subsequence of u_{a_i, b_i} to some $u_{a, b}$, and the function $u(s) = u_{a, b}(s)$ is smooth along the horizontal line $\gamma(s)$ and satisfies the ordinary differential equation (4.3).

4. Observe that $\langle V_\varepsilon(s), T_{\gamma_\varepsilon(s)} \rangle$ can be computed from (4.8) with

$$a_\varepsilon = (t' - x'y + xy')(\varepsilon), \quad b_\varepsilon = 2(ay' - bx')(\varepsilon), \quad c_\varepsilon = (ab' - a'b)(\varepsilon).$$

We immediately obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_\varepsilon &= \langle S_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}, T_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)} \rangle = -|N_h|(\Gamma(\varepsilon)), \\ b_\varepsilon &= 2 \langle S_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}, J(Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}) \rangle = -2 \langle N, T \rangle(\Gamma(\varepsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

For c_ε , we have from (4.9)

$$\left(Z \left(\frac{\langle N, T \rangle}{|N_h|} \right) + 2 \frac{\langle N, T \rangle^2}{|N_h|^2} \right) (\Gamma(\varepsilon)) = \frac{(ab' - a'b)(\varepsilon)}{-|N_h|(\Gamma(\varepsilon))}.$$

□

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be a complete oriented area-stationary surface of class C^1 . Consider a characteristic geodesic $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Sigma$. Let $p(s) = a + bs + cs^2$, where*

$$a = -|N_h|(\gamma(0)), \quad b = -2 \langle N, T \rangle(\gamma(0)), \quad c = -|N_h| \left(Z \left(\frac{\langle N, T \rangle}{|N_h|} \right) + 2 \frac{\langle N, T \rangle^2}{|N_h|^2} \right) (\gamma(0)).$$

If $(q \circ \gamma)(s)$ is not identically zero, then $v(s) = |p(s)|^{1/2}$ is positive everywhere and satisfies

$$(4.10) \quad ((v(s)^{-1})')^2 - \frac{1}{2}(v(s)^{-2})'' - \frac{\langle N, T \rangle}{|N_h|}(\gamma(s))(v(s)^{-2})' = \frac{q(\gamma(s))}{4v(s)^2}.$$

Proof. Since $p(s)$ is a degree two polynomial, it has a zero if and only if $b^2 - 4ac < 0$. A simple computation shows

$$(4.11) \quad b^2 - 4ac = -4|N_h| \left(Z \left(\frac{\langle N, T \rangle}{|N_h|} \right) + \frac{\langle N, T \rangle^2}{|N_h|^2} \right) (\gamma(0)),$$

which is negative by Lemma 4.2 and (4.5).

To check equation (4.10), we compute $(\langle N, T \rangle / |N_h|)(\gamma(s))$ in terms of a, b, c , to obtain

$$\frac{\langle N, T \rangle}{|N_h|}(\gamma(s)) = \frac{(b/2) + cs}{a + bs + cs^2}.$$

A straightforward computation of the left side of (4.10), together with (4.11) and (4.5), yields

$$((v(s)^{-1})')^2 - \frac{1}{2}(v(s)^{-2})'' - \frac{\langle N, T \rangle}{|N_h|}(\gamma(s))(v(s)^{-2})' = \frac{b^2 - 4ac}{4(a + bs + cs^2)^3} = \frac{q(\gamma(s))}{4v(s)^2},$$

what implies (4.10). □

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be a complete oriented area-stationary surface of class C^1 , and $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a characteristic geodesic parameterized by arc-length.*

1. *Either $q(\gamma(s)) > 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ or $q(\gamma(s)) \equiv 0$. If $q(\gamma(s_0)) = 0$, then $T_{\gamma(s_0)}$ is tangent to Σ at $\gamma(s_0)$.*
2. *If $q \equiv 0$ on Σ then Σ is a vertical plane.*

Proof. To prove 1, observe that $q(\gamma(s)) = 4(u'(s) + u(s)^2)$. Equation (4.5) implies

$$u'(s) + u(s)^2 = \frac{a^2 + b}{(1 + 2as + (2a^2 + b)s^2)^2},$$

which is either positive or identically zero by Lemma 4.2. If $q(\gamma(s_0)) = 0$ then $\langle N, T \rangle(\gamma(s_0)) = 0$ and so $T_{\gamma(s_0)}$ is tangent to Σ .

To prove 2, represent Σ locally as the intrinsic graph of a function u of class C^1 over an open domain of the plane $y = 0$. Since T is tangent to Σ we have $u_t \equiv 0$. As Σ is foliated by characteristic geodesics, u_x is constant along the characteristic curves $t' = 2ux'$. Together with condition $u_t \equiv 0$, this implies that u_x is constant and so $u(x, t) = ax$, for some real constant a . This implies that Σ is locally the image of $(x, t) \mapsto (x, ax, t - ax^2)$, which is part of the vertical plane $y = ax$. \square

Remark 4.7. Given the t -graph of a C^1 function $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, Cheng, Hwang and Yang proved in [15, Thm. A] that the function $D = ((u_x - y)^2 + (u_y + x)^2)^{1/2}$ satisfies the differential equation

$$(4.12) \quad DD'' = 2(D' - 1)(D' - 2),$$

where the prime symbol $'$ denotes the derivative along the projection to $t = 0$ of the characteristic vector field in the surface. Since the Riemannian unit normal of the t -graph of u is given by

$$N = \frac{(u_x - y)X + (u_y + x)Y - T}{(1 + (u_x - y)^2 + (u_y + x)^2)^{1/2}},$$

one can immediately check that $D = |N_h|/\langle N, T \rangle = u^{-1}$ (in a t -graph, the Reeb vector field T is never tangent to the surface). A simple computation then shows that (4.3) implies (4.12). Equation 4.12 played a key role in [15] to obtain strong structure results of the singular set of an area-stationary C^1 surface and, in general, for weak solutions of the mean curvature equation.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Since we consider a variation along curves $\varphi_s(p)$, that are Riemannian geodesics, they satisfy $D_U U = 0$, where D is the Levi-Civita connection. We observe that $D_U U = \nabla_U U$ since U is horizontal, [26, (2.10)]. Arguing as in the proof of [26, Lemma 3.4], we have

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=0} A(\varphi_s(\Sigma)) = \int_{\Sigma} U(U(|V|)) d\Sigma,$$

where $V = \langle E_1, T \rangle E_2 - \langle E_2, T \rangle E_1$ is the horizontal Jacobian, see [26, Lemma 3.2]. Here, given a point p in Σ , the vector fields $E_1(s), E_2(s)$ are defined as extensions of Z, S along the curve $s \mapsto \varphi_s(p)$ so that $[E_i, U] = 0$. Since

$$U(|V|) = \frac{1}{|V|} \langle \nabla_U V, V \rangle,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U(U(|V|)) &= -\frac{1}{|V|^3} \langle \nabla_U V, V \rangle^2 + \frac{1}{|V|} \left(\langle \nabla_U \nabla_U V, V \rangle + |\nabla_U V|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|N_H|} \left(|N_H| \langle \nabla_U \nabla_U V, Z \rangle + \langle \nabla_U V, \nu_h \rangle^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

we only need to compute the expressions $\langle \nabla_U \nabla_U V, Z \rangle$ and $\langle \nabla_U V, \nu_h \rangle^2$ along the variation and evaluate them at $s = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_U V, \nu_h \rangle &= \langle \nabla_U E_1, T \rangle \langle S, \nu_h \rangle - \langle \nabla_U E_2, T \rangle \langle Z, \nu_h \rangle - \langle S, T \rangle \langle \nabla_U E_1, \nu_h \rangle \\ &= \langle N, T \rangle (\langle \nabla_Z U, T \rangle + 2\langle J(U), Z \rangle) + |N_H| \langle \nabla_Z U, \nu_h \rangle \\ &= |N_H| Z(\langle U, \nu_h \rangle) + 2\langle N, T \rangle \langle U, \nu_h \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

what implies

$$\frac{1}{|N_H|} \langle \nabla_U V, \nu_h \rangle^2 = |N_H| Z(\langle U, \nu_h \rangle)^2 + 4\langle N, T \rangle \langle U, \nu_h \rangle Z(\langle U, \nu_h \rangle) + 4 \frac{\langle N, T \rangle^2}{|N_H|} \langle U, \nu_h \rangle^2.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \nabla_U \nabla_U V, Z \rangle &= 2\langle \nabla_U E_1, T \rangle \langle \nabla_U E_2, Z \rangle - 2\langle \nabla_U E_2, T \rangle \langle \nabla_U E_1, Z \rangle \\
&\quad + |N_H| \langle \nabla_U \nabla_U E_1, Z \rangle - \langle \nabla_U \nabla_U E_2, T \rangle \\
&= 4\langle U, \nu_h \rangle \langle \nabla_S U, Z \rangle + 2\langle N, T \rangle Z(\langle U, Z \rangle^2) - \langle \nabla_U \nabla_U E_2, T \rangle \\
&= 2\langle U, \nu_h \rangle \langle \nabla_S U, Z \rangle + 2\langle N, T \rangle Z(\langle U, Z \rangle^2) + 2\langle U, Z \rangle \langle \nabla_S U, \nu_h \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \nabla_U \text{Tor}(U, E_1), Z \rangle &= \langle \nabla_U(2\langle J(U), E_1 \rangle T), Z \rangle = 2\langle J(U), Z \rangle \langle \nabla_U T, Z \rangle = 0, \\
\langle \nabla_Z \nabla_U U, Z \rangle &= 0, \\
\langle R(E_1, U)U, Z \rangle &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \nabla_U \nabla_U E_2, T \rangle &= \langle \nabla_U(\nabla_{E_2} U + \text{Tor}(U, E_2)), T \rangle \\
&= \langle \nabla_U \nabla_{E_2} U, T \rangle + 2U(\langle J(U), E_2 \rangle) \\
&= \langle R(E_2, U)U, T \rangle + 2\langle \nabla_U E_2, J(U) \rangle \\
&= 2\langle U, \nu_h \rangle \langle \nabla_S U, Z \rangle - 2\langle U, Z \rangle \langle \nabla_S U, \nu_h \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that \mathbb{H}^1 is a flat manifold with respect to the pseudo-hermitian connection ∇ and $\nabla T = 0$.

Let $\{\Sigma_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ the family of smooth surfaces approximating Σ already used in the proof of Proposition 3.7. First we note

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma} Z(\langle N, T \rangle \langle U, \nu_h \rangle^2) d\Sigma &= \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Sigma_j} Z_j(\langle N_j, T \rangle \langle U, (\nu_h)_j \rangle^2) d\Sigma_j \\
&= \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Sigma_j} \{ \langle N_j, T \rangle^2 \langle U, (\nu_h)_j \rangle^2 \langle \nabla_{S_j} (\nu_h)_j, Z_j \rangle - 4\langle N_j, T \rangle^2 |(N_h)_j| \langle U, (\nu_h)_j \rangle^2 \} d\Sigma_j \\
&= \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Sigma_j} \{ -\langle N_j, T \rangle^2 |(N_h)_j|^{-2} \langle U, (\nu_h)_j \rangle^2 Z_j(\langle N_j, T \rangle) - 4\langle N_j, T \rangle^2 |(N_h)_j|^{-1} \langle U, (\nu_h)_j \rangle^2 \} d\Sigma_j \\
&= \int_{\Sigma} \{ -\langle N, T \rangle^2 |N_h|^{-2} \langle U, \nu_h \rangle^2 Z(\langle N, T \rangle) - 4\langle N, T \rangle^2 |N_h|^{-1} \langle U, \nu_h \rangle^2 \} d\Sigma,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used [26, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3]. On the other hand, since

$$\langle N, T \rangle Z(\langle U, \nu_h \rangle^2) = Z(\langle N, T \rangle \langle U, \nu_h \rangle^2) - 2\langle U, \nu_h \rangle^2 Z(\langle N, T \rangle),$$

we can conclude

$$(4.13) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{|N_H|} \langle \nabla_U V, \nu_h \rangle^2 d\Sigma = \int_{\Sigma} \left\{ |N_H| Z(\langle U, \nu_h \rangle^2) - 2\langle U, \nu_h \rangle^2 Z\left(\frac{\langle N, T \rangle}{|N_h|}\right) \right\} |N_H| d\Sigma.$$

Finally we observe

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle U, \nu_h \rangle \langle \nabla_S U, Z \rangle + \langle N, T \rangle Z (\langle U, Z \rangle^2) + \langle U, Z \rangle \langle \nabla_S U, \nu_h \rangle = \\
& = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \{ \langle U, (\nu_h)_j \rangle \langle \nabla_{S_j} U, Z_j \rangle + \langle N_j, T \rangle Z_j (\langle U, Z_j \rangle^2) + \langle U, Z_j \rangle \langle \nabla_{S_j} U, (\nu_h)_j \rangle \} \\
& = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \{ \langle U, (\nu_h)_j \rangle^2 \langle \nabla_{S_j} (\nu_h)_j, Z_j \rangle + \langle N_j, T \rangle Z_j (\langle U, Z_j \rangle^2) \\
& \quad - \langle U, Z_j \rangle^2 \langle \nabla_{S_j} (\nu_h)_j, Z_j \rangle - S_j (\langle U, (\nu_h)_j \rangle \langle U, Z_j \rangle) \} \\
& = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \{ \langle U, (\nu_h)_j \rangle^2 \langle \nabla_{S_j} (\nu_h)_j, Z_j \rangle + \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma_j} (\langle N_j, T \rangle \langle U, Z_j \rangle^2 Z_j) + \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma_j} (\langle U, (\nu_h)_j \rangle \langle U, Z_j \rangle S_j) \},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used [26, Lemma 3.3] in the last equality, and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \{ \langle U, (\nu_h)_j \rangle^2 \langle \nabla_{S_j} (\nu_h)_j, Z_j \rangle \} = \\
& = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \left\{ - \langle U, (\nu_h)_j \rangle^2 |N_h| \left(Z_j \left(\frac{\langle N_j, T \rangle}{|N_h|} \right) + 2 \left(\frac{\langle N_j, T \rangle}{|N_h|} \right)^2 \right) \right\} \\
& = - \langle U, \nu_h \rangle^2 |N_h| \left(Z \left(\frac{\langle N, T \rangle}{|N_h|} \right) + 2 \left(\frac{\langle N, T \rangle}{|N_h|} \right)^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

that together with (4.13) proves the statement. \square

Now we introduce the stability operator $\mathcal{Q}(f, f)$.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be a complete oriented area-stationary surface of class C^1 . If Σ is stable, then the operator*

$$(4.14) \quad \mathcal{Q}(f, f) := \int_{\Sigma} \{ Z(f)^2 - qf^2 \} (|N_h| d\Sigma),$$

is non-negative for all functions $f \in C^0(\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0)$ with compact support of class C^1 in the horizontal direction in Σ , where q is the function defined in (4.2).

Proof. We observe that, since f and $Z(f)$ are continuous with compact support, we can consider a family of functions $\{f_\varepsilon\}$, with $f_\varepsilon \in C_0^1(\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0)$, such that $f_\varepsilon \rightarrow f$ and $Z(f_\varepsilon) \rightarrow Z(f)$ uniformly on compact subset of $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. A detailed construction of these functions is provided in [30, Proof of Lemma 2.3].

Now we express ν_h as $\nu_h = gX + hY$, with g, h continuous functions on Σ , differentiable in the Z -direction and satisfying $g^2 + h^2 = 1$. Then there exists two families of functions g_ε and h_ε such that $g_\varepsilon \rightarrow g$, $h_\varepsilon \rightarrow h$, $Z(g_\varepsilon) \rightarrow Z(g)$ and $Z(h_\varepsilon) \rightarrow Z(h)$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$.

Finally, since the vector $U_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon(g_\varepsilon X + h_\varepsilon Y) \in C_0^1(\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0)$ is horizontal, the non-negativity of the second variation induced by U_ε implies

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Sigma} \{ Z(f_\varepsilon(g_\varepsilon g + h_\varepsilon h))^2 - q(f_\varepsilon(g_\varepsilon g + h_\varepsilon h))^2 \} (|N_h| d\Sigma) \\
& = \int_{\Sigma} \{ Z(f(g^2 + h^2))^2 - q(f(g^2 + h^2))^2 \} (|N_h| d\Sigma) = \mathcal{Q}(f, f),
\end{aligned}$$

that allows us to conclude $\mathcal{Q}(f, f) \geq 0$. \square

5. STABLE C^1 SURFACES WITHOUT SINGULAR POINTS

In this Section we shall prove the following result

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be a complete oriented stable surface of class C^1 without singular points. Then Σ is a vertical plane.*

Proof. We shall follow the proof of [30, Thm. 4.7]. Inserting a test function of the form uv^{-1} in the index form (4.1) and using formula (3.12) with $f = u^2$, $g = v^{-2}$ we get

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}(uv^{-1}, uv^{-1}) &= \int_{\Sigma} v^{-2} Z(u^2) (|N_h| d\Sigma) \\ &+ \int_{\Sigma} u^2 \left\{ Z(v^{-1})^2 - \frac{1}{2} Z(Z(v^{-2})) - \frac{\langle N, T \rangle}{|N_h|} Z(v^{-2}) \right\} (|N_h| d\Sigma) \\ &- \int_{\Sigma} q (uv^{-1})^2 (|N_h| d\Sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Gamma : I \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a C^1 seed curve (integral curve of S) defined on an open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Consider the parameterization $F : I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Sigma$ given by $F(\varepsilon, s) := \Gamma(\varepsilon) + s Z_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)}$ introduced in Lemma 4.4, and let $\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s) = F(\varepsilon, s)$.

For every ε we consider the polynomial $p_{\varepsilon}(s) = p(\varepsilon, s) = a_{\varepsilon} + b_{\varepsilon}s + c_{\varepsilon}s^2$ defined in Lemma 4.5, with coefficients

$$a_{\varepsilon} = -|N_h|(\gamma_{\varepsilon}(0)), \quad b_{\varepsilon} = -2\langle N, T \rangle(\gamma_{\varepsilon}(0)), \quad c_{\varepsilon} = -|N_h| \left(Z \left(\frac{\langle N, T \rangle}{|N_h|} \right) + 2 \frac{\langle N, T \rangle^2}{|N_h|^2} \right) (\gamma_{\varepsilon}(0)).$$

The function $p(\varepsilon, s)$ is continuous since the coefficients a_{ε} , b_{ε} , c_{ε} depend continuously on ε . The first two ones because of the continuity of the unit normal vector N . The latter one because of Lemma 4.3.

Henceforth we assume $q \circ F > 0$ on $I \times \mathbb{R}$. Lemma 4.5 then implies $p_{\varepsilon} < 0$ for all ε . We define the function $v_{\varepsilon}(s) = v(\varepsilon, s) = |p_{\varepsilon}(s)|^{1/2}$. Lemma 4.5 implies that v_{ε} satisfies equation (4.10) on the geodesic $\gamma = \gamma_{\varepsilon}$. For this particular function v , (5.1) and (4.10) imply

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{Q}(uv^{-1}, uv^{-1}) = \int_{\Sigma} v^{-2} \left\{ Z(u^2) - \frac{3}{4} q u^2 \right\} (|N_h| d\Sigma).$$

For almost every ε , the Jacobian of F equals $|V_{\varepsilon}(s)| = |N_h(\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s))|^{-1} |\langle V_{\varepsilon}(s), T_{\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)} \rangle|$ by Lemma 4.4. Hence the sub-Riemannian area element on Σ takes the form

$$(5.3) \quad |N_h| d\Sigma = |\langle V_{\varepsilon}(s), T_{\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)} \rangle| d\varepsilon ds.$$

From Lemma 4.4(4), $|\langle V_{\varepsilon}(s), T_{\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)} \rangle| = |v|$ for almost all ε . Hence (5.2) can be written as

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{Q}(uv^{-1}, uv^{-1}) = \int_{I \times \mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial s} \right)^2 d\varepsilon ds - \frac{3}{4} \int_{I \times \mathbb{R}} q u^2 d\varepsilon ds.$$

Once we have obtained this second variation formula, we just make a choice of test function as in the proof of Theorem 4.7 in [30, p. 591]: take a non-negative C^{∞} function $\phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\phi(0) > 0$ and compact support contained inside a bounded interval $I' \subseteq I$. Let $\ell := \text{length}(I')$, and M a positive constant so that $|\phi'(\varepsilon)| \leq M$ for all $\varepsilon \in I$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the function

$$u_k(\varepsilon, s) := \phi(\varepsilon) \phi(s/k).$$

so that $u_k \in C_0(I' \times kI')$, and u_k is C^∞ with respect to s . By Fubini's Theorem

$$\int_{I \times \mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial s} \right)^2 d\varepsilon ds = \frac{1}{k^2} \left(\int_{I'} \phi(\varepsilon)^2 d\varepsilon \right) \left(\int_{kI'} \phi'(s/k)^2 ds \right) \leq \frac{\ell M^2}{k} \int_{I'} \phi(\varepsilon)^2 d\varepsilon,$$

which goes to 0 when $k \rightarrow \infty$. Note also that $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ pointwise converges when $k \rightarrow \infty$ to $u(\varepsilon, s) = \phi(0)\phi(\varepsilon)$. Since $q \geq 0$ by Lemma 4.6(1), we can apply Fatou's lemma to obtain

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{I \times \mathbb{R}} q u_k^2 d\varepsilon ds \geq \int_{I \times \mathbb{R}} q u^2 d\varepsilon ds.$$

We conclude from (5.4) that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{Q}(u_k v^{-1}, u_k v^{-1}) = -\frac{3}{4} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{I \times \mathbb{R}} q u_k^2 d\varepsilon ds \leq -\frac{3}{4} \int_{I \times \mathbb{R}} q u^2 d\varepsilon ds,$$

which is strictly negative by our assumption $q \circ F > 0$ on $I \times \mathbb{R}$. This way we obtain instability, a contradicting arising from the assumption $q \circ F > 0$. We conclude $q \equiv 0$ on Σ . By Lemma 4.6(2), Σ is a vertical plane. \square

A trivial consequence of Theorem 5.1 is the following

Corollary 5.2 (Bernstein Theorem for intrinsic graphs of C^1 functions). *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be a complete locally area-minimizing intrinsic graph of a C^1 function. Then Σ is a vertical plane.*

Remark 5.3. There are many examples of t -graphs of class C^1 with singular points which are area-minimizing in the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^1 [39].

Remark 5.4. Monti, Serra-Casanno and Vittone [35] gave an example of a complete area-minimizing C^1 surface, which is an intrinsic graph except over a vertical plane of a function C^∞ out of a given line, and it is not a vertical plane. Such a surface is one of the examples of area-minimizing t -graphs given in [39]: $u_\lambda(x, y) = xy - \lambda^{-1}x|x|$, $\lambda > 0$. It can be easily proved that such surfaces are the intrinsic graphs of the functions $v_\lambda(y, t) = \text{sgn}(t) \sqrt{\lambda|t|}$ of class $C^{0,1/2}$ over the vertical plane $x = 0$. The graph of v_λ is not \mathbb{H} -regular since its horizontal unit normal is not continuous at $t = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ambrosio, F. Serra Cassano, and D. Vittone. Intrinsic regular hypersurfaces in Heisenberg groups. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 16(2):187–232, 2006.
- [2] Z. M. Balogh. Size of characteristic sets and functions with prescribed gradient. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 564:63–83, 2003.
- [3] V. Barone Adesi, F. Serra Cassano, and D. Vittone. The Bernstein problem for intrinsic graphs in Heisenberg groups and calibrations. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 30(1):17–49, 2007.
- [4] A. Bellaïche. The tangent space in sub-Riemannian geometry. In *Sub-Riemannian geometry*, volume 144 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 1–78. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.
- [5] F. Bigolin and F. S. Cassano. Distributional solutions of Burgers' equation and intrinsic regular graphs in Heisenberg groups. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 366(2):561–568, 2010.
- [6] F. Bigolin and F. Serra Cassano. Intrinsic regular graphs in Heisenberg groups vs. weak solutions of non-linear first-order PDEs. *Adv. Calc. Var.*, 3(1):69–97, 2010.
- [7] D. E. Blair. *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*, volume 203 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- [8] L. Capogna, G. Citti, and M. Manfredini. Regularity of non-characteristic minimal graphs in the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^1 . *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 58(5):2115–2160, 2009.
- [9] L. Capogna, G. Citti, and M. Manfredini. Smoothness of Lipschitz minimal intrinsic graphs in Heisenberg groups \mathbb{H}^n , $n > 1$. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 648:75–110, 2010.

- [10] L. Capogna, D. Danielli, and N. Garofalo. The geometric Sobolev embedding for vector fields and the isoperimetric inequality. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 2(2):203–215, 1994.
- [11] L. Capogna, D. Danielli, S. D. Pauls, and J. T. Tyson. *An introduction to the Heisenberg group and the sub-Riemannian isoperimetric problem*, volume 259 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [12] I. Chavel. *Riemannian geometry*, volume 98 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2006. A modern introduction.
- [13] J.-H. Cheng and J.-F. Hwang. Properly embedded and immersed minimal surfaces in the Heisenberg group. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 70(3):507–520, 2004.
- [14] J.-H. Cheng, J.-F. Hwang, A. Malchiodi, and P. Yang. Minimal surfaces in pseudohermitian geometry. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 4(1):129–177, 2005.
- [15] J.-H. Cheng, J.-F. Hwang, A. Malchiodi, and P. Yang. A Codazzi-like equation and the singular set for C^1 smooth surfaces in the Heisenberg group. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 671:131–198, 2012.
- [16] J.-H. Cheng, J.-F. Hwang, and P. Yang. Existence and uniqueness for p -area minimizers in the Heisenberg group. *Math. Ann.*, 337(2):253–293, 2007.
- [17] J.-H. Cheng, J.-F. Hwang, and P. Yang. Regularity of C^1 smooth surfaces with prescribed p -mean curvature in the Heisenberg group. *Math. Ann.*, 344(1):1–35, 2009.
- [18] D. Danielli, N. Garofalo, and D. M. Nhieu. A notable family of entire intrinsic minimal graphs in the Heisenberg group which are not perimeter minimizing. *Amer. J. Math.*, 130(2):317–339, 2008.
- [19] D. Danielli, N. Garofalo, D. M. Nhieu, and S. D. Pauls. Instability of graphical strips and a positive answer to the Bernstein problem in the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^1 . *J. Differential Geom.*, 81(2):251–295, 2009.
- [20] D. Danielli, N. Garofalo, D.-M. Nhieu, and S. D. Pauls. The Bernstein problem for embedded surfaces in the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^1 . *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 59(2):563–594, 2010.
- [21] M. Derridj. Sur un théorème de traces. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 22(2):73–83, 1972.
- [22] S. Dragomir and G. Tomassini. *Differential geometry and analysis on CR manifolds*, volume 246 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2006.
- [23] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy. *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.
- [24] G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein. *Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups*, volume 28 of *Mathematical Notes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1982.
- [25] B. Franchi, R. Serapioni, and F. Serra Cassano. Rectifiability and perimeter in the Heisenberg group. *Math. Ann.*, 321(3):479–531, 2001.
- [26] M. Galli. First and second variation formulae for the sub-Riemannian area in three-dimensional pseudo-Hermitian manifolds. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 47(1-2):117–157, 2013.
- [27] M. Galli. On the classification of complete area-stationary and stable surfaces in the subriemannian Sol manifold. *Pacific J. Math.*, 271(1):143–157, 2014.
- [28] N. Garofalo and D.-M. Nhieu. Isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities for Carnot-Carathéodory spaces and the existence of minimal surfaces. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 49(10):1081–1144, 1996.
- [29] M. Gromov. Carnot-Carathéodory spaces seen from within. In *Sub-Riemannian geometry*, volume 144 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 79–323. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.
- [30] A. Hurtado, M. Ritoré, and C. Rosales. The classification of complete stable area-stationary surfaces in the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^1 . *Adv. Math.*, 224(2):561–600, 2010.
- [31] A. Korányi and H. M. Reimann. Foundations for the theory of quasiconformal mappings on the Heisenberg group. *Adv. Math.*, 111(1):1–87, 1995.
- [32] N. J. Korevaar, R. Kusner, and B. Solomon. The structure of complete embedded surfaces with constant mean curvature. *J. Differential Geom.*, 30(2):465–503, 1989.
- [33] P. Libermann. Sur les automorphismes infinitésimaux des structures symplectiques et des structures de contact. In *Colloque Géom. Diff. Globale (Bruxelles, 1958)*, pages 37–59. Centre Belge Rech. Math., Louvain, 1959.
- [34] R. Monti and F. Serra Cassano. Surface measures in Carnot-Carathéodory spaces. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 13(3):339–376, 2001.
- [35] R. Monti, F. Serra Cassano, and D. Vittone. A negative answer to the Bernstein problem for intrinsic graphs in the Heisenberg group. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. (9)*, 1(3):709–727, 2008.
- [36] S. D. Pauls. Minimal surfaces in the Heisenberg group. *Geom. Dedicata*, 104:201–231, 2004.
- [37] S. D. Pauls. H -minimal graphs of low regularity in \mathbb{H}^1 . *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 81(2):337–381, 2006.

- [38] A. Pinamonti, F. Serra Cassano, G. Treu, and D. Vittone. BV Minimizers of the area functional in the Heisenberg group under the bounded slope condition. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2013.
- [39] M. Ritoré. Examples of area-minimizing surfaces in the sub-Riemannian Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^1 with low regularity. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 34(2):179–192, 2009.
- [40] M. Ritoré and C. Rosales. Rotationally invariant hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n . *J. Geom. Anal.*, 16(4):703–720, 2006.
- [41] M. Ritoré and C. Rosales. Area-stationary surfaces in the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^1 . *Adv. Math.*, 219(2):633–671, 2008.
- [42] C. Rosales. Complete stable CMC surfaces with empty singular set in Sasakian sub-Riemannian 3-manifolds. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 43(3-4):311–345, 2012.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA, PIAZZA DI PORTA S. DONATO 5, 40126 BOLOGNA, ITALY
E-mail address: matteo.galli8@unibo.it

DEPARTAMENTO DE GEOMETRÍA Y TOPOLOGÍA, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, E-18071 GRANADA, ESPAÑA
E-mail address: ritore@ugr.es