RELATIVE DERIVED CATEGORY WITH RESPECT TO A SUBCATEGORY

ZHENXING DI¹, XIAOXIANG ZHANG¹, WEI REN² and JIANLONG CHEN^{1,*}

 Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, P. R. China
 Department of Mathematics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou 730070, P. R. China

Abstract

The notion of relative derived category with respect to a subcategory is introduced. A triangle-equivalence, which extends a theorem of Gao and Zhang [Gorenstein derived categories, *J. Algebra* **323** (2010) 2041-2057] to the bounded below case, is obtained. Moreover, we interpret the relative derived functor $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{XA}}(-,-)$ as the morphisms in such derived category and give two applications.

Keywords: Homotopy category; derived category; triangle-equivalence; semidualizing module; tate cohomology.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 18G25, 18E30, 16E30

1. Introduction

For an abelian category \mathcal{A} with enough projective objects, the Gorenstein (projective) derived category, which makes Gorenstein projective quasi-isomorphisms become isomorphisms, was introduced by Gao and Zhang [10] to close a gap of the corresponding version of derived category in so-called Gorenstein homological algebra. In particular, they established the triangle-equivalence

$$\mathbf{K}^{b}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P})) \cong \mathbf{D}^{b}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P})}(\operatorname{res}\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{P}))$$
(†)

where res $\widehat{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P})}$ denotes the full subcategory of objects in \mathcal{A} with finite Gorenstein projective dimension (see [10, Theorem 3.6]). Moreover, they showed, as one of the advantages of such relative derived category, the relative derived functor

 $[\]ast$ Corresponding author.

E-mail: dizhenxing19841111@126.com, z990303@seu.edu.cn, renwei@nwnu.enu.cn, and jlchen@seu.edu.cn

 $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P})}(-,-)$, which is derived from $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-,-)$ using proper $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P})$ -resolutions of the first variable, can be interpreted as the morphisms in $\mathbf{D}^{b}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P})}(\mathcal{A})$ (see [10, Theorem 3.12]).

Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{S} be subcategories of \mathcal{A} with \mathcal{S} closed under direct summands. We introduce in the paper the notion of relative derived category with respect to \mathcal{X} , $\mathbf{D}^*_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{S})$ for $* \in \{blank, -, b\}$, which is defined to be the Verdier quotient of the homotopy category $\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{S})$ with respect to the thick triangulated subcategory of all \mathcal{X} -acyclic complexes in $\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{S})$ (see Definition 3.4). Then we obtain in section 3 the following triangle-equivalence, which extends (†) to the bounded below case when we specially take $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P})$ (see Theorem 3.10). Also, it can be compared to [5, Proposition 3.5] (see Example 3.11).

Theorem I Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of \mathcal{A} . Assume that \mathcal{X} is exact and has an injective cogenerator. Then there exists a triangle-equivalence $\mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{X}) \cong \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-}(\operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{X}})$.

The study of relative homological algebra goes back to Butler and Horrocks [2], and Eilenberg and Moore [7]. It was reinvigorated recently by a number of authors (see, for example, Enochs and Jenda [6], and Avramov and Martsinkovsky [1]). Assume that M and N are objects in \mathcal{A} such that M admits a proper \mathcal{X} -resolution **X**. According to [20], the relative cohomology groups $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}^n(M,N)$ is defined as $\operatorname{H}^n(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{X},N))$. In section 4, inspired by [10, Theorem 3.12], we give the following new characterization of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}^n(M,N)$ (see Theorem 4.2).

Theorem II Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of \mathcal{A} and M, N objects in \mathcal{A} . Assume that M admits a proper \mathcal{X} -resolution. Then $\operatorname{Ext}^{n}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}(M, N) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}^{b}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{A})}(M, N[n])$.

Moreover, as an application of Theorem II, we give in derived category a new proof for the existence of the Avramov-Martsinkovsky type exact sequence appeared in [22, Theorem B] (see Corollary 4.6).

In the next section, we mainly review some definitions and notation. It should be pointed out that many of them were used by Sather-Wagstaff et al. [19-22].

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, \mathcal{A} denotes an abelian category with enough projective objects. The term *subcategory* stands for a full additive subcategory of \mathcal{A} closed under isomorphisms. Following [21], a subcategory of \mathcal{A} is called *exact* if it is closed under extensions and direct summands. We write $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ for the subcategory of projective objects in \mathcal{A} .

Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{W} be subcategories of \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. We write $\mathcal{X} \perp \mathcal{Y}$ in case $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\geq 1}(X, Y) = 0$ for each object $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and each object $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. When $\mathcal{X} = \{M\}$,

we use the notation $M \perp \mathcal{Y}$ instead of $\{M\} \perp \mathcal{Y}$. There is an analogue $\mathcal{X} \perp M$. According to [21], \mathcal{W} is said to be a *cogenerator* for \mathcal{X} if for each object $X \in \mathcal{X}$, there exists a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow W \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0$ such that $W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $X' \in \mathcal{X}$. \mathcal{W} is called an *injective cogenerator* for \mathcal{X} if \mathcal{W} is a cogenerator for \mathcal{X} and $\mathcal{X} \perp \mathcal{W}$. Generator and projective generator can be defined dually.

A complex **X** is often displayed as a sequence of objects in \mathcal{A}

$$\cdots \longrightarrow X^{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbf{X}}^{-1}} X^0 \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbf{X}}^0} X^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

with $\delta_{\mathbf{X}}^{n+1}\delta_{\mathbf{X}}^n = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The *n*th homology of the complex \mathbf{X} is defined as $\mathrm{H}^n(\mathbf{X}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\delta_{\mathbf{X}}^n)/\mathrm{Im}(\delta_{\mathbf{X}}^{n-1})$. We identify M with the complex $\cdots \to 0 \to M \to 0 \to \cdots$, where M is in degree zero and 0 elsewhere. For an integer n, $\mathbf{X}[n]$ denotes the complex \mathbf{X} shifting n degree, that is, $X[n]^m = X^{n+m}$ and $\delta_{\mathbf{X}[n]}^m = (-1)^n \delta_{\mathbf{X}}^{n+m}$. Given two complexes \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} , the complex $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ is defined with $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})^n = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X^k, Y^{k+n})$, and with differential $\delta^n((f^k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}) = (\delta_{\mathbf{Y}}^{k+n} f^k - (-1)^n f^{k+1} \delta_{\mathbf{X}}^k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for $(f^k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})^n$.

A morphism $f : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ of complexes is a family of morphisms $f = (f^n : X^n \to Y^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of objects in \mathcal{A} satisfying $\delta^n_{\mathbf{Y}} f^n = f^{n+1} \delta^n_{\mathbf{X}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Morphisms $f, g : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ are called *homotopic*, denoted by $f \sim g$, if there exists a family of morphisms $(s^n : X^n \to Y^{n-1})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of objects in \mathcal{A} satisfying $f^n - g^n = \delta^{n-1}_{\mathbf{Y}} s^n + s^{n+1} \delta^n_{\mathbf{X}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. A quasi-isomorphism is a morphism $f : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ with $\mathrm{H}^n(f) : \mathrm{H}^n(\mathbf{X}) \to \mathrm{H}^n(\mathbf{Y})$ bijective for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

A complex **X** is called an \mathcal{X} -resolution of M if $X^i \in \mathcal{X}$ for all $i \leq 0, X^i = 0$ for all $i > 0, H^i(\mathbf{X}) = 0$ for all i < 0 and $H^0(\mathbf{X}) \cong M$. In this case, the associated exact sequence

$$\dots \to X^{-1} \to X^0 \to M \to 0$$

is denoted by \mathbf{X}^+ . Sometimes we call the quasi-isomorphism $\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{\simeq} M$ an \mathcal{X} resolution of M. If \mathbf{X}^+ is $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, -)$ -exact, we say that \mathbf{X} is a *proper* \mathcal{X} -resolution
of M, and let res $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ denote the subcategory of objects in \mathcal{A} admitting a proper \mathcal{X} resolution.

The \mathcal{X} -projective dimension of M is the least non-negative n such that there exists an exact sequence $0 \to X^{-n} \to \cdots \to X^{-1} \to X^0 \to M \to 0$, where each $X^{-i} \in \mathcal{X}$. In this case, we write \mathcal{X} -pd(M) = n. If no such n exists, we write \mathcal{X} -pd $(M) = \infty$. The subcategory of objects in \mathcal{A} with finite \mathcal{X} -projective dimension is denoted by res $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$.

For $* \in \{blank, -, b\}$, $\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathbf{D}^*(\mathcal{A})$ stand for the corresponding homotopy category and derived category of \mathcal{A} , respectively. We will often use the standard formula $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}[n]) = \operatorname{H}^n(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{X}[-n], \mathbf{Y}).$ Let \mathcal{B} be a triangulated subcategory of a triangulated category \mathcal{K} , and let Σ be the compatible multiplicative system determined by \mathcal{B} . In the Verdier quotient [17, Chapter 2] $\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{B} = \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{K}$, each morphism $f: X \to Y$ is given by an equivalence class of right fractions a/s presented by $X \stackrel{s}{\longleftarrow} Z \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} Y$, where the double arrow means $s \in \Sigma$.

3. Relative Derived Categories

In what follows, we always assume that \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{S} are subcategories of \mathcal{A} with \mathcal{S} closed under direct summands. We begin with the following definition.

Definition 3.1. A complex **S** is called \mathcal{X} -acyclic if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, \mathbf{S})$ is acyclic for each object $X \in \mathcal{X}$. A morphism $f : \mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{T}$ of complexes is called an \mathcal{X} -quasi-isomorphism if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, f)$ is a quasi-isomorphism for each object $X \in \mathcal{X}$.

Let \mathcal{T} be a subcategory of \mathcal{A} . For $* \in \{blank, -, b\}$, we denote by $\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{T})$ the homotopy category with each complex constructed by objects in \mathcal{T} and by $\mathbf{K}^*_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{T})$ the subcategory of $\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{T})$ consisting of all \mathcal{X} -acyclic complexes.

Fact 3.2. By virtue of [4, Lemma 2.4], a complex \mathbf{S} is \mathcal{X} -acyclic if and only if Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{D} , \mathbf{S}) is acyclic for each complex $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{X})$. Moreover, it follows from [4, Proposition 2.6] that a morphism $f : \mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{T}$ of complexes is an \mathcal{X} -quasiisomorphism if and only if Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{D} , f) is a quasi-isomorphism for each complex $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{X})$.

Recall from [11] that a full triangulated subcategory \mathcal{C} of a triangulated category \mathcal{D} is said to be *thick* if it satisfies the following condition: assume that a morphism $f: X \to Y$ in \mathcal{D} can be factored through an object from \mathcal{C} , and enters a distinguished triangle $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \to Z \to X[1]$ with Z in \mathcal{C} , then X and Y are objects in \mathcal{C} . A standard example of thick subcategory is the subcategory of all acyclic complexes in $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})$, that is, $\mathbf{K}^*_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A})$.

The following result depends on an important characterization of thick subcategories due to Rickard, called *Rickard's criterion*: a full triangulated subcategory \mathcal{C} of a triangulated category \mathcal{D} is thick if and only if every direct summand of an object of \mathcal{C} is in \mathcal{C} (see [18, Proposition 1.3] or [16, Criterion 1.3]).

Lemma 3.3. For $* \in \{blank, -, b\}$, $\mathbf{K}^*_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{S})$ is a thick subcategory of $\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{S})$.

Proof. By Rickard's criterion, it suffices to show that $\mathbf{K}^*_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{S})$ is a full triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{S})$ and it is closed under direct summands. This is clear. \Box

Note that a morphism $f : \mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{T}$ of complexes is an \mathcal{X} -quasi-isomorphism if and only if its mapping cone cone(f) is \mathcal{X} -acyclic. Then, the collection of all \mathcal{X} quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{S})$, denoted by $\Sigma^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{X}}$, is a saturated multiplicative system corresponding to the subcategory $\mathbf{K}^*_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{S})$.

Definition 3.4. For $* \in \{blank, -, b\}$, the *relative derived category* $\mathbf{D}^*_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{S})$ is defined to be the Verdier quotient of $\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{S})$, that is,

$$\mathbf{D}^*_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{S}) := \mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{S}) / \mathbf{K}^*_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{S}) = \Sigma_{\mathcal{X}}^{-\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{S}).$$

Note that $\mathbf{D}^*_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{S})$ is the derived category in sense of Neeman [16], of the exact category $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{X}})$, where $\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{X}}$ consists of all short \mathcal{X} -acyclic sequences in \mathcal{S} .

The next result will be used in the proof of Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.5. Let **S** be a complex. Assume that there is a complex $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{X})$ and an \mathcal{X} -quasi-isomorphism $f : \mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{D}$. Then there exists a morphism $g : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{S}$ such that fg is homotopic to $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbf{D}}$.

Proof. In view of Fact 3.2, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{D}, f) : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{S}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})$ is a quasiisomorphism. Then by [1, (1.1)], for the morphism $\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbf{D}}$, there is a morphism $g : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{S}$ such that $fg \sim \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbf{D}}$.

By a slight modification of the proof of [10, Proposition 2.8], we can get the following result, which makes the morphisms in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-}(\mathcal{S})$ easier to understand.

Lemma 3.6. Let **D** be a complex in $\mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{X})$ and **S** a complex in $\mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{S})$. Assume $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$. Then $\varphi : f \to f/\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbf{D}}$ gives an isomorphism of abelian groups $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^{-}(S)}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{S}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-}(\mathcal{S})}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{S}).$

Proof. If $f/\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbf{D}} = 0$, then by the calculus of right fractions there is an \mathcal{X} -quasiisomorphism $s: \mathbf{Y} \to \mathbf{D}$ for some complex \mathbf{Y} such that $fs \sim 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.5 that there is a morphism $g: \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{Y}$ such that $sg \sim \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbf{D}}$. Thus, $f \sim fsg \sim 0$. Moreover, for each $f/s \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-}(\mathcal{S})}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{S})$ presented by $\mathbf{D} \stackrel{s}{\Leftarrow} \mathbf{Y} \stackrel{f}{\to} \mathbf{S}$, by Lemma 3.5 there is a morphism $g: \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{Y}$ such that $sg \sim \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbf{D}}$. This implies that $f/s = fg/\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbf{D}} = \varphi(fg)$. Thus, φ is an isomorphism, as desired.

The next result is given in service of Lemma 3.8.

Lemma 3.7. Let $\mathbb{L} = 0 \to \operatorname{Ker}(g) \to \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbf{N} \to 0$ be a short exact sequence of complexes such that $\operatorname{Ker}(g)$ is \mathcal{X} -acyclic and \mathbb{L} remains exact after applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, -)$, i.e., the sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, \operatorname{Ker}(g)) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, \mathbf{M}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, \mathbf{N}) \to 0 \qquad (\ddagger)$$

of complexes is exact for each object $X \in \mathcal{X}$. Then for each complex $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{X})$ and each morphism $\alpha : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{N}$, there exists a morphism $\beta : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{M}$ such that the following diagram



commutes.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume

$$\mathbf{D} = \cdots \to D^{-2} \to D^{-1} \to D^0 \to 0 \to \cdots.$$

To complete this proof, we need to construct a morphism $\beta = (\beta^k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{M}$ such that the diagram



commutes.

Let $\beta^k = 0$ for $k \ge 1$. Since (\ddagger) is exact, $0 \to \operatorname{Ker}(g^i) \to M^i \xrightarrow{g^i} N^i \to 0$ is $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, -)$ -exact for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and each object $X \in \mathcal{X}$. Hence, there exists $\gamma^i : D^i \to M^i$ such that $g^i \gamma^i = \alpha^i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that

$$\operatorname{Ker}(g) = \cdots \to \operatorname{Ker}(g^{-1}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1}} \operatorname{Ker}(g^{0}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbf{M}}^{0}} \operatorname{Ker}(g^{1}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbf{M}}^{1}} \operatorname{Ker}(g^{2}) \to \cdots$$

is \mathcal{X} -acyclic. As $D^0 \in \mathcal{X}$, we have an exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(D^0, \operatorname{Ker}(g^0)) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(D^0, \operatorname{Ker}(g^1)) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(D^0, \operatorname{Ker}(g^2)) \to \cdots$$

Since $g^1 \delta^0_{\mathbf{M}} \gamma^0 = \delta^0_{\mathbf{N}} g^0 \gamma^0 = \delta^0_{\mathbf{N}} \alpha^0 = 0, -\delta^0_{\mathbf{M}} \gamma^0 \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(D^0, \operatorname{Ker}(g^1))$. Now $\delta^1_{\mathbf{M}} \delta^0_{\mathbf{M}} \gamma^0 = 0$, and thus there exists $\mu^0 : D^0 \to \operatorname{Ker}(g^0)$ such that $\delta^0_{\mathbf{M}} \mu^0 = -\delta^0_{\mathbf{M}} \gamma^0$. Let $\beta^0 = \mu^0 + \gamma^0$. Then $\delta^0_{\mathbf{M}} \beta^0 = \delta^0_{\mathbf{M}} \mu^0 + \delta^0_{\mathbf{M}} \gamma^0 = 0$ and $g^0 \beta^0 = g^0 \mu^0 + g^0 \gamma^0 = \alpha^0$. Note that

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(D^{-1}, \operatorname{Ker}(g^{-1})) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(D^{-1}, \operatorname{Ker}(g^{0})) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(D^{-1}, \operatorname{Ker}(g^{1})) \to \cdots$$

is also exact. Since $g^{0}(\beta^{0}\delta_{\mathbf{D}}^{-1} - \delta_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1}\gamma^{-1}) = \alpha^{0}\delta_{\mathbf{D}}^{-1} - \delta_{\mathbf{N}}^{-1}g^{-1}\gamma^{-1} = \alpha^{0}\delta_{\mathbf{D}}^{-1} - \delta_{\mathbf{N}}^{-1}\alpha^{-1} = 0,$
 $\beta^{0}\delta_{\mathbf{D}}^{-1} - \delta_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1}\gamma^{-1} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(D^{-1}, \operatorname{Ker}(g^{0})).$ Moreover, since $\delta_{\mathbf{M}}^{0}(\beta^{0}\delta_{\mathbf{D}}^{-1} - \delta_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1}\gamma^{-1}) = 0$

$$\begin{split} \delta^0_{\mathbf{M}}\beta^0\delta^{-1}_{\mathbf{D}} &- \delta^0_{\mathbf{M}}\delta^{-1}_{\mathbf{M}}\gamma^{-1} = \delta^0_{\mathbf{M}}\beta^0\delta^{-1}_{\mathbf{D}} = 0, \text{ there exists } \mu^{-1} : D^{-1} \to \operatorname{Ker}(g^{-1}) \text{ such that } \delta^{-1}_{\mathbf{M}}\mu^{-1} = \beta^0\delta^{-1}_{\mathbf{D}} - \delta^{-1}_{\mathbf{M}}\gamma^{-1}. \text{ Now let } \beta^{-1} = \mu^{-1} + \gamma^{-1}, \text{ and then } \delta^{-1}_{\mathbf{M}}\beta^{-1} = \mu^{-1} + \gamma^{-1}. \end{split}$$
 $\delta_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \mu^{-1} + \delta_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \gamma^{-1} = \beta^0 \delta_{\mathbf{D}}^{-1} \text{ and } g^{-1} \beta^{-1} = g^{-1} \mu^{-1} + g^{-1} \gamma^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}.$

Continuing in this manner, we can get $\beta^k = \mu^k + \gamma^k$ such that $\beta^{k+1} \delta^k_{\mathbf{D}} = \delta^k_{\mathbf{M}} \beta^k$ and $g^k \beta^k = \alpha^k$ for $k = -2, -3, \cdots$. Thus, we obtain a morphism $\beta = (\beta^k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{M}$ such that $q\beta = \alpha$. This completes the proof.

Assume that \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions and has an injective cogenerator. Then according to [20, Lemma 3.3(b)], one has res $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \subseteq \operatorname{res} \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$, that is, every object in \mathcal{A} with finite \mathcal{X} -projective dimension admits a proper \mathcal{X} -resolution. This fact helps us to get the following result, which plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 3.10.

Lemma 3.8. Assume that \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions and has an injective cogenerator. Then for each object $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{K}^{-}(\operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{X}})$, there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{K} \to \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{T} \to 0$$

of complexes such that $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{X})$, \mathbf{K} is \mathcal{X} -acyclic and it remains exact after applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, -)$, i.e., the sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, \mathbf{K}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, \mathbf{D}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, \mathbf{T}) \to 0$$

of complexes is exact for each object $X \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume

$$\mathbf{T} = \cdots \to T^{-2} \to T^{-1} \to T^0 \to 0 \to \cdots$$

Let $\mathbf{T}(n) = \cdots \to 0 \to T^{-n} \to T^{-n+1} \to \cdots \to T^0 \to 0 \to \cdots$ for $n \ge 0$. We will divide the proof into three steps.

Step 1. There exists a short exact sequence $0 \to \mathbf{K}(0) \to \mathbf{D}(0) \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \mathbf{T}(0) \to 0$ of complexes such that $\mathbf{D}(0) \in \mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbf{K}(0)$ is \mathcal{X} -acyclic and it remains exact after applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, -)$ for each object $X \in \mathcal{X}$.

Indeed, since $T^0 \in \operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ it admits a proper \mathcal{X} -resolution

$$\mathbf{D}(0) = \cdots \to D_0^{-n} \to \cdots \to D_0^{-1} \to D_0^0 \to 0 \to \cdots$$

by [20, Lemma 3.3(b)], i.e., there is an associated exact sequence

$$\mathbf{D}(0)^{+} = \cdots \to D_{0}^{-n} \to \cdots \to D_{0}^{-1} \to D_{0}^{0} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{0}} T^{0} \to 0.$$

Hence, we obtain the following short exact sequence of complexes



It is obvious that

$$(\sharp) \quad 0 \to \mathbf{K}(0) \to \mathbf{D}(0) \xrightarrow{\underline{\alpha}_0} \mathbf{T}(0) \to 0$$

remains exact after applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, -)$ for each object $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathbf{K}(0)$ is \mathcal{X} -acyclic.

Step 2. For every $n \ge 1$, there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{K}(n) \xrightarrow{\lambda_n} \mathbf{D}(n) \xrightarrow{\underline{\alpha}_n} \mathbf{T}(n) \to 0 \quad (\natural_n)$$

of complexes such that $\mathbf{D}(n) \in \mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathbf{K}(n)$ is \mathcal{X} -acyclic and it remains exact after applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, -)$ for each object $X \in \mathcal{X}$.

To see this, we may assume that are done for n-1. By a similar argument as in Step 1, one has a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{L} \to \mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{\beta} T^{-n} \to 0$$

of complexes, where $\mathbf{L} = \cdots \to X^{-n} \to \cdots \to X^{-1} \to \operatorname{Ker}(\beta) \to 0 \to \cdots$ is \mathcal{X} acyclic and $\mathbf{X} = \cdots \to X^{-n} \to \cdots \to X^{-1} \to X^0 \to 0 \to \cdots$. Define a morphism $\sigma: T^{-n}[n-1] \to \mathbf{T}(n-1)$ of complexes as follows:



Then it follows from Lemma 3.7 that there exists a morphism $\psi : \mathbf{X}[n-1] \to \mathbf{D}(n-1)$ of complexes such that the following diagram

commutes. In particular, the diagram



commutes.

Let $\mathbf{D}(n)$ be the mapping cone of $\psi : \mathbf{X}[n-1] \to \mathbf{D}(n-1)$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{D}(n) = \dots \to X^{-1} \oplus D_{n-1}^{-n-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbf{D}(n)}^{-n-1}} X^0 \oplus D_{n-1}^{-n} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbf{D}(n)}^{-n}} D_{n-1}^{-n+1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbf{D}(n-1)}^{-n+1}} D_{n-1}^{-n+2} \to \dots$$

in which $\delta_{\mathbf{D}(n)}^{-n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \psi^{-n+1} & \delta_{\mathbf{D}(n-1)}^{-n} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\delta_{\mathbf{D}(n)}^{-n-i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^n \delta_{\mathbf{X}}^{-i+2} & 0 \\ \psi^{-n-i+2} & \delta_{\mathbf{D}(n-1)}^{-n-i+1} \end{pmatrix}$ for
 $i \ge 2$. It is trivial that $\mathbf{D}(n) \in \mathbf{K}^-(\mathcal{X})$ because \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions.

We now define a surjective morphism $\mathbf{D}(n) \to \mathbf{T}(n)$ of complexes as follows:

Then we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{K}(n) \to \mathbf{D}(n) \to \mathbf{T}(n) \to 0,$$

of complexes, which remains exact after applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, -)$ for each $X \in \mathcal{X}$.

Define a morphism $\varphi : \mathbf{K}(n-1) \to \mathbf{K}(n)$ of complexes as follows:

Then we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{K}(n-1) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{K}(n) \to \mathbf{L}[n] \to 0$$

of complexes, which remains exact after applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, -)$ for each object $X \in \mathcal{X}$. Consequently, $\mathbf{K}(n)$ is also \mathcal{X} -acyclic since $\mathbf{K}(n-1)$ is so by the induction hypothesis.

Step 3. Set

$$\mathbf{D}(n) = \dots \to D_n^{-n} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbf{D}(n)}^{-n}} D_n^{-n+1} \to \dots \to D_n^{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbf{D}(n)}^{-1}} D_n^0 \to 0 \to \dots$$

and

$$\mathbf{K}(n) = \dots \to K_n^{-n} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbf{K}(n)}^{-n}} K_n^{-n+1} \to \dots \to K_n^{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbf{K}(n)}^{-1}} K_n^0 \to 0 \to \dots$$

for each $n \ge 0$. Note that $D_n^{-n+1} = D_{n-1}^{-n+1}$ and $K_n^{-n+1} = K_{n-1}^{-n+1}$ for all $n \ge 1$. So we have the following complexes

$$\mathbf{D} = \dots \to D_n^{-n} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbf{D}}^{-n}} D_{n-1}^{-n+1} \to \dots \to D_1^{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbf{D}}^{-1}} D_0^0 \to 0 \to \dots$$

and

$$\mathbf{K} = \dots \to K_n^{-n} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbf{K}}^{-n}} K_{n-1}^{-n+1} \to \dots \to K_1^{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbf{K}}^{-1}} K_0^0 \to 0 \to \dots$$

where $\delta_{\mathbf{D}}^{-n} = \delta_{\mathbf{D}(n)}^{-n}$ and $\delta_{\mathbf{K}}^{-n} = \delta_{\mathbf{K}(n)}^{-n}$ for each $n \ge 0$. In addition, there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{K} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathbf{D} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbf{T} \to 0$$

of complexes, where $\lambda^{-n} = \lambda_n^{-n} : K_n^{-n} \to D_n^{-n}$ and $\alpha^{-n} = \underline{\alpha}_n^{-n} : D_n^{-n} \to T_n^{-n}$ for each $n \ge 0$ (see Step 2).

It is easy to see that $0 \to \mathbf{K} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathbf{D} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbf{T} \to 0$ is as desired. This completes the proof.

From [13, Theorem 4.6(1)] we know that res $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed under direct summands. This fact enables us to get the next result.

Lemma 3.9. Assume that \mathcal{X} is exact and has an injective cogenerator. Then res $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed under direct summands.

Proof. Let M be an object in \mathcal{A} such that $M \in \operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ and M' any direct summand of M. It suffices to prove that $M' \in \operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$. Note that M is also in $\operatorname{res} \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ (see [20, Lemma 3.3(b)]). It follows from [13, Theorem 4.6(1)] that $M' \in \operatorname{res} \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$. Since \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions and has an injective cogenerator, $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}^n(M, -) = 0$ for $n > \mathcal{X}$ -pd(M) by [20, Proposition 4.5(b)(2)]. Hence, $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}^n(M', -) = 0$ for $n > \mathcal{X}$ pd(M), and so $M' \in \operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ by [20, Proposition 4.5(a)] since \mathcal{X} is also closed under direct summands, as desired. Now let $H : \mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-}(\operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{X}})$ be the composition of the embedding $\mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{X}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{K}^{-}(\operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{X}})$ and the localization $Q : \mathbf{K}^{-}(\operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-}(\operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{X}})$. Clearly, H is a triangle functor. Moreover, Lemma 3.6 implies that H is fully faithful (the case $\mathcal{S} = \operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$), and from Lemma 3.8 we know that H is dense. Thus, we obtain the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.10. Assume that \mathcal{X} is exact and has an injective cogenerator. Then there exists a triangle-equivalence $\mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{X}) \cong \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-}(\operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{X}})$.

In [5, Section 3] Chen investigated the relative derived category of the case $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{A}$ in Definition 3.4. Let $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be a contravariantly finite subcategory. Assume that \mathcal{X} is admissible and \mathcal{X} -pd(\mathcal{A}) $< \infty$. Chen proved in [5, Propositin 3.5] that the natural composite functor $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{X}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{A})$ is a triangle-equivalence.

In view of the following example, we can conclude that Theorem 3.10 can not be deduced from [5, Propositin 3.5].

Example 3.11. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{P}_R$, where \mathcal{P}_R denotes the class of all projective *R*-modules. It is clear that \mathcal{X} is exact with itself as an injective cogenerator. Then it follows from Theorem 3.10 that there exists a triangle-equivalence $\mathbf{K}^-(\mathcal{X}) \cong \mathbf{D}^-_{\mathcal{X}}(\operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{X}})$. But here $\operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ is not an abelian category since the kernel of the morphism $f : \mathbb{Z}_4 \to \mathbb{Z}_4$ with f(x) = 2x is $2\mathbb{Z}_4$ and $2\mathbb{Z}_4$ has infinite projective dimension.

Recall from [21, Definition 4.1] that an acyclic complex of objects in \mathcal{X} is called totally \mathcal{X} -acyclic if it is $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, -)$ -exact and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, \mathcal{X})$ -exact. Let $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X})$ denote the subcategory of \mathcal{A} whose modules are of the form $M \cong \operatorname{Ker}(\delta^1_{\mathbf{X}})$ for some totally \mathcal{X} -acyclic complex \mathbf{X} . In the special case $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{P}$, the objects in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P})$ are called Gorenstein projective objects of \mathcal{A} .

Remark 3.12. Assume $\mathcal{X} \perp \mathcal{X}$. Then by virtue of [21, Theorem B], $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X})$ is an exact subcategory of \mathcal{A} , and it is closed under kernels of epimorphisms if \mathcal{X} is so. Moreover, it follows from [21, Corollary 4.7] that \mathcal{X} is both an injective cogenerator and a projective generator for $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X})$,

According to Remark 3.12, we can obtain the next result by Theorem 3.10.

Corollary 3.13. Assume $\mathcal{X} \perp \mathcal{X}$. Then there exists a triangle-equivalence $\mathbf{K}^-(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X})) \cong \mathbf{D}^-_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X})}(\operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X})})$.

It is obvious that $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{P}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Corollary 3.13. Hence, we have the next triangle-equivalence, which extends [10, Theorem 3.6(1)] to the bounded below case. Corollary 3.14. $\mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P})) \cong \mathbf{D}^{-}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P})}(\operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P})}).$

The notion of semidualizing module goes back to [8] (where the more general PGmodule are studied) and [9]. Christensen [3] extended this notion to semidualizing complex. Recently, it has already been defined over arbitrary associative rings [12, Definition 2.1]. In what follows we assume that R is a commutative ring. Recall from [24] that an R-module C is called *semidualizing* if C admits a degree-wise finite projective resolution, $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{\geq 1}(C, C) = 0$, and the natural homothety map $R \to$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, C)$ is an isomorphism. More examples of semidualizing module can be found in [3, 12, 14].

Following [24], an *R*-module is called *C*-projective (resp., *C*-flat) if it is isomorphic to an *R*-module of the form $C \otimes_R P$ for some projective (resp., flat) *R*-module *P*. An *R*-module is called *C*-injective if it is isomorphic to an *R*-module of the form $\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, I)$ for some injective *R*-module *I*. Let \mathcal{P}_C (resp., $\mathcal{F}_C, \mathcal{I}_C$) denote the subcategory of *C*-projective (resp., *C*-flat, *C*-injective) *R*-modules. A complete \mathcal{PP}_C -resolution is an exact and $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{P}_C)$ -exact complex **X** of *R*-modules with X_i projective for $i \leq 0$ and X_i *C*-projective for i > 0. An *R*-module *M* is \mathcal{G}_C -projective if there exists a complete \mathcal{PP}_C -resolution **X** such that $M \cong \operatorname{Ker}(\delta_{\mathbf{X}}^1)$. A complete \mathcal{FF}_C -resolution is an exact and $- \otimes_R \mathcal{I}_C$ -exact complex **Z** of *R*-modules with Z_i flat for $i \leq 0$ and Z_i *C*-flat for i > 0. An *R*-module *T* is \mathcal{G}_C -flat if there exists a complete \mathcal{FF}_C -resolution **Z** such that $T \cong \operatorname{Ker}(\delta_{\mathbf{Z}}^1)$. Let \mathcal{GP}_C (resp., \mathcal{GF}_C) denote the subcategory of \mathcal{G}_C -projective (resp., \mathcal{G}_C -flat) *R*-modules.

For more details about semidualizing modules and their related categories, we refer the reader to [12, 14, 19-24].

Corollary 3.15. Let R be a commutative ring and C a semidualizing R-module. Then there exists a triangle-equivalence $\mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{GP}_{C}) \cong \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{GP}_{C}}^{-}(\operatorname{res}\widehat{\mathcal{GP}_{C}}).$

Proof. From [24, Proposition 3.15] we know that \mathcal{GP}_C is exact. Moreover, according to [24, Propsition 2.6 and 2.7], $\mathcal{P}_C \subseteq \mathcal{GP}_C$ and $\mathcal{GP}_C \perp \mathcal{P}_C$. Hence, it follows from [24, Proposition 3.16] that \mathcal{P}_C is an injective cogenerator for \mathcal{GP}_C . Then the result follows by Theorem 3.10.

If R is commutative noetherian, [19, Theorem I] said that \mathcal{GF}_C is exact and $\mathcal{F}_C^{\text{cot}}$ is an injective cogenerator for \mathcal{GF}_C , where $\mathcal{F}_C^{\text{cot}}$ denotes the subcategory of R-modules $C \otimes_R H$ with H flat and cotorsion. Then by Theorem 3.10, we have

Corollary 3.16. Let R be a commutative noetherian ring and C a semidualizing R-module. Then there exists a triangle-equivalence $\mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{GF}_{C}) \cong \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{GF}_{C}}^{-}(\operatorname{res}\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_{C}})$.

4. Relative Cohomology and Applications

We begin this section with the following result, which will be used in the proofs of Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.5.

Proposition 4.1. $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-}(\mathcal{A})$ is a full triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{A})$. $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{b}(\mathcal{A})$ is a full triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-}(\mathcal{A})$, and hence of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. We only prove the first assertion, and the second one can be proved similarly. Let $\Sigma_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the compatible multiplicative system determined by $\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{A})$, that is, the collection of all \mathcal{X} -quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{A}) = \Sigma_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-}(\mathcal{A}) = (\Sigma_{\mathcal{X}} \cap \mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{A}))^{-1}\mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{A})$. By [11, Proposition (III) 2.10] it suffices to prove that for any \mathcal{X} -quasi-isomorphism $f : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ with $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{A})$, there is a morphism $g : \mathbf{X}' \to \mathbf{X}$ with $\mathbf{X}' \in \mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{A})$ such that fg is an \mathcal{X} -quasi-isomorphism. Then the canonical functor $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{X}} \cap \mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{A}))^{-1}\mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{A}) \to \Sigma_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})$ is fully faithful, and hence $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-}(\mathcal{A})$ is a full triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{A})$.

Suppose that there is an integer *i* such that $Y^k = 0$ for any k > i. Let \mathbf{X}' be the soft truncation $\mathbf{X}_{i \supset}$ of \mathbf{X} . Then there is a commutative diagram



It is easy to check that g is also an \mathcal{X} -quasi-isomorphism, and so is fg. This completes the proof.

Now we are in a position to give our anther main result, which is an analog of [10, Theorem 3.12].

Theorem 4.2. Let M and N be objects in \mathcal{A} . Assume that $M \in \operatorname{res} \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$. Then $\operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}(M,N) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}^b_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{A})}(M,N[n]).$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{\simeq} M$ be a proper \mathcal{X} -resolution of M. Then $\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{\simeq} M$ is an \mathcal{X} quasi-isomorphism, and so $\mathbf{X} \cong M$ in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-}(\mathcal{A})$. This yields the third isomorphism in

the following sequence

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}^{n}(M,N) = \operatorname{H}^{n}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{X},N))$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^{-}(\mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{X},N[n])$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-}(\mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{X},N[n])$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-}(\mathcal{A})}(M,N[n])$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{b}(\mathcal{A})}(M,N[n]),$$

while the second isomorphism follows from Lemma 3.6 (the case S = A), and the fourth one holds by Proposition 4.1. This completes the proof.

The next result follows directly from [20, Lemma 3.3(b)] and Theorem 4.2. It will be used in the proof of Proposition 4.5.

Corollary 4.3. Let M be an object in \mathcal{A} such that $M \in \operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$. Assume that \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions and has an injective cogenerator. Then $\operatorname{Ext}^{n}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}(M, N) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}^{b}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{A})}(M, N[n]).$

In the remainder of the paper, we display two applications of Theorem 4.2. Firstly, we give in the relative derived category a brief proof for the results of long exact sequences about relative derived functor $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{XA}}(-,-)$. For the similar results with different methods, we refer the reader to [20, Lemma 4.3] or [6, Lemma 8.2.3 and 8.2.5].

Proposition 4.4. Let M be an object in \mathcal{A} and $N^{\bullet} = 0 \to N \xrightarrow{f} N' \xrightarrow{g} N'' \to 0$ an \mathcal{X} -acyclic sequence in \mathcal{A} .

(1) If $M \in \operatorname{res} \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$, then there exist homomorphisms $\vartheta^n_{\mathcal{X}}(M, N^{\bullet})$, which are natural in M and N^{\bullet} , such that the sequence below is exact

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{n}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}(M,N) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ext}^{n}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}(M,f)} \operatorname{Ext}^{n}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}(M,N') \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ext}^{n}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}(M,g)} \operatorname{Ext}^{n}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}(M,N'')$$

$$\xrightarrow{\vartheta^n_{\mathcal{X}}(M,N^{\bullet})} \operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}(M,N) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}(M,f)} \operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}(M,N') \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}(M,g)} \cdots$$

(2) If N, N' and $N'' \in \operatorname{res} \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$, then there exist homomorphisms $\vartheta^n_{\mathcal{X}}(N^{\bullet}, M)$, which are natural in N^{\bullet} and M, such that the sequence below is exact

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}^{n}(N'',M) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}^{n}(g,M)} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}^{n}(N',M) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}^{n}(f,M)} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}^{n}(N,M)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\vartheta^n_{\mathcal{X}}(N^{\bullet},M)} \operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}(N'',M) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}(g,M)} \operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}(N',M) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}}(f,M)} \cdots$$

Proof. Consider all objects M, N, N' and N'' being complexes concentrated in 0th degree, and f, g being morphisms of such complexes. Since N^{\bullet} is \mathcal{X} -acyclic, g induces an \mathcal{X} -quasi-isomorphism $\operatorname{cone}(f) \to N''$ in $\mathbf{K}^{b}(\mathcal{A})$, where the mapping $\operatorname{cone}(f) = \cdots \to 0 \to N \xrightarrow{f} N' \to 0 \to \cdots$. Hence, $\operatorname{cone}(f) \cong N''$ in $\mathbf{D}^{b}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{A})$.

Consider the following commutative diagram in $\mathbf{D}^{b}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{A})$

$$N \xrightarrow{f} N' \longrightarrow \operatorname{cone}(f) \longrightarrow N[1]$$

$$\| \qquad \| \qquad \downarrow \qquad \|$$

$$N \xrightarrow{f} N' \xrightarrow{g} N'' \longrightarrow N[1].$$

This implies that $N \xrightarrow{f} N' \xrightarrow{g} N'' \to N[1]$ is a distinguished triangle in $\mathbf{D}^{b}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{A})$. Applying the functors $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}^{b}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{A})}(M, -)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}^{b}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{A})}(-, M)$ respectively to this distinguished triangle, and by Theorem 4.2, we get our desired long exact sequences.

In what follows, we pay our attention to ${}_{R}\mathcal{M}$ (the category of left *R*-modules), and then let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{W} denote subcategories of ${}_{R}\mathcal{M}$.

Recall from [22, Definition 3.1] that a *Tate* \mathcal{W} -resolution of an *R*-module *M* is a diagram $\mathbf{T} \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathbf{W} \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ of morphisms of complexes, where $\mathbf{W} \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ is a proper \mathcal{W} -resolution, \mathbf{T} is a totally \mathcal{W} -acyclic complex, and ν_i is bijective for all $i \ll 0$. For any *R*-module *N* and each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the *n*th *Tate cohomology group* is defined as

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^n_{\mathcal{WM}}(M,N) := \operatorname{H}^n(\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathbf{T},N)),$$

which is independent of choices of resolutions and lifting (see [22, Definition 4.1 and Lemma 3.8]).

In [15] Liang and Yang gave us another way to calculate such Tate cohomology group. Let M be an object in res $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$. If \mathcal{X} is exact and closed under kernels of epimorphisms, and \mathcal{W} is both an injective cogenerator and a projective generator for \mathcal{X} and closed under direct summands, then [22, Lemma 3.4] implies that M admits a Tate \mathcal{W} -resolution $\mathbf{T} \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathbf{W} \xrightarrow{\pi} M$. Moreover, M admits a proper \mathcal{X} -resolution $\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{\simeq} M$ by [20, Lemma 3.3(b)]. Let $f : \mathbf{W} \to \mathbf{X}$ be a lifting of the identity $\mathrm{Id}_M : M \to M$. Liang and Yang argued that, for $n \ge 1$, $\widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^n_{\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}}(M, N)$ is exactly $\mathrm{H}^{n+1}(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{cone}(f), N))$ (see [15, Proposition 3.13]). Hence, $\widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^n_{\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}}(M, N) \cong$ $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^{-}(R)}(\mathrm{cone}(f)[-n-1], N)$ for $n \ge 1$.

Next, we give another application of Theorem 4.2. Note that the following Avramov-Martsinkovsky type exact sequence follows directly from [22, Theorem 4.10]. But here we give also a proof in the relative derived category.

Proposition 4.5. Assume that \mathcal{X} is exact and closed under kernels of epimorphisms, and \mathcal{W} is both an injective cogenerator and a projective generator for \mathcal{X} and closed under direct summands. Let M and N be R-modules with $M \in \operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$. Set $d = \mathcal{X}$ -pd(M). Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{M}}(M,N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}}(M,N) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^{1}_{\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}}(M,N)$$
$$\longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{2}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{M}}(M,N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{2}_{\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}}(M,N) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^{2}_{\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}}(M,N) \longrightarrow$$
$$\cdots \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{d}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{M}}(M,N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{d}_{\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}}(M,N) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^{d}_{\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}}(M,N) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. For $f : \mathbf{W} \to \mathbf{X}$, there is a distinguished triangle

$$\mathbf{W} \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \operatorname{cone}(f) \longrightarrow \mathbf{W}[1]$$

in $\mathbf{K}^{-}(R)$. By applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^{-}(R)}(-, N)$ to it, we then get an exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^{-}(R)}(\mathbf{W}[-n+1], N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^{-}(R)}(\operatorname{cone}(f)[-n], N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^{-}(R)}(\mathbf{X}[-n], N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^{-}(R)}(\mathbf{W}[-n], N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^{-}(R)}(\operatorname{cone}(f)[-n-1], N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^{-}(R)}(\mathbf{X}[-n-1], N) \longrightarrow \cdots .$$

As $\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{\simeq} M$ is an \mathcal{X} -quasi-isomorphism, $\mathbf{X} \cong M$ in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-}(R)$. This yields the third isomorphism in the following sequence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^{-}(R)}(\mathbf{X}[-n], N) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^{-}(R)}(\mathbf{X}, N[n])$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-}(R)}(\mathbf{X}, N[n])$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-}(R)}(M, N[n])$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{b}(R)}(M, N[n])$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{XM}}^{n}(M, N),$$

while the second isomorphism follows from Lemma 3.6 (the case S = R), the fourth isomorphism is from Proposition 4.1, and the last one holds by Corollary 4.3. Similarly, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^-(R)}(\mathbf{W}[-n], N) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathcal{WM}}(M, N)$. By left exactness of Hom, it is easy to check that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^-(R)}(\operatorname{cone}(f)[-1], N) \cong \operatorname{H}^1(\operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{cone}(f), N)) = 0$. Moreover, $\operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}_{\mathcal{XM}}(M, N)$ vanishes by [20, Lemma 4.5.(b)(2)]. Thus, we have the desired exact sequence.

According to [22, Fact 2.6], we know that $\mathcal{P}_C \perp \mathcal{P}_C$, and \mathcal{P}_C is exact and closed under kernels of epimorphisms. Hence, it follows from Remark 3.12 that $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_C)$ is also exact and closed under kernels of epimorphisms, and \mathcal{P}_C is both an injective cogenerator and a projective generator for $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_C)$. Then we have the following result by Proposition 4.5. **Corollary 4.6.** [22, Theorem B] Let R be a commutative ring and C a semidualizing R-module. Assume that M and N are R-modules with $M \in \operatorname{res} \widehat{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_C)}$. Set $d = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_C)$ -pd(M). Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_{C})\mathcal{M}}(M,N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{P}_{C}\mathcal{M}}(M,N) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^{1}_{\mathcal{P}_{C}\mathcal{M}}(M,N)$$
$$\longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{2}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_{C})\mathcal{M}}(M,N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{2}_{\mathcal{P}_{C}\mathcal{M}}(M,N) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^{2}_{\mathcal{P}_{C}\mathcal{M}}(M,N) \longrightarrow$$
$$\cdots \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{d}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_{C})\mathcal{M}}(M,N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{d}_{\mathcal{P}_{C}\mathcal{M}}(M,N) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^{d}_{\mathcal{P}_{C}\mathcal{M}}(M,N) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Remark 4.7. Under appropriate dual hypotheses, all the results in this paper have their dual versions.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11201063) and (11371089), and the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (20120092110020).

References

- L. L. Avramov and A. Martsinkovsky, Absolute, relative, and Tate cohomology of modules of finite Gorenstein dimensions, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 85 (2002) 393-440.
- [2] M. C. R. Butler and G. Horrocks, Classes of extensions and resolutions, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser. A.* 254 (1961/1962) 155-222.
- [3] L. W. Christensen, Semi-dualizing complexes and their Auslander categories, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 353 (2001) 1839-1883.
- [4] L. W. Christensen, A. Frankild and H. Holm, On Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensions – A functorial description with applications, J. Algebra 302 (2006) 231-279.
- [5] X. W. Chen, Homotopy equivalences induced by balanced pairs, J. Algebra 324 (2010) 2718-2731.
- [6] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, Relative Homological Algebra, Vol. 30. de Gruyter, Berlin: de Gruyter Exp. Math. 2000.
- [7] S. Eilenberg and J. C. Moore, Foundations of relative homological algebra, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 55 (1965) 39.
- [8] H. B. Foxby, Gorenstein modules and related modules, Math. Scand. 31 (1972) 267-284.
- [9] E. S. Golod, G-dimension and generalized perfect ideals, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 165 (1984) 62-66.
- [10] N. Gao and P. Zhang, Gorenstein derived categories, J. Algebra 323 (2010) 2041-2057.
- [11] S. I. Gelfand and Y. I. Manin, Methods of Homological Algebra, (Second edition) Springer Monographsin Mathematics, Spring-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [12] H. Holm and D. White, Foxby equivalence over associative rings, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 47 (2007) 781-808.

- [13] Z. Y. Huang, Proper resolutions and Gorenstein categories, J. Algebra 393 (2013) 142-169.
- [14] D. A. Jorgensen, G. J. Leuschke and S. Sather-Wagstaff, Presentations of rings with non-trivial semidualizing modules, *Collect. Math.* 63 (2012) 165-180.
- [15] L. Liang and G. Yang, Balance for Tate cohomology with respect to semidualzing modules, J. Aust. Math. Soc. (2013) doi: 10.1017/S1446788713000232.
- [16] A. Neeman, The derived category of an exact category, J. Algebra 135 (1990) 388-394.
- [17] A. Neeman, Triangulated Categories. Ann. of Math. Stud. vol. 148, Princeton Univ. Press, 2001.
- [18] J. Rickard, Derived categories and stable equivalence, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 61 (1989) 303-317.
- [19] S. Sather-Wagstaff, T. Sharif and D. White, AB-contexts and stability for Gorenstein flat modules with respect to semidualizing modules, *Algebr. Represent Theor.* 14 (2011) 403-428.
- [20] S. Sather-Wagstaff, T. Sharif and D. White, Gorenstein cohomology in abelian categories, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 48 (2008) 571-596.
- [21] S. Sather-Wagstaff, T. Sharif and D. White, Stability of Gorenstein categories, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 77 (2008) 481-502.
- [22] S. Sather-Wagstaff, T. Sharif and D. White, Tate cohomology with respect to semidualizing modules, J. Algebra 324 (2010) 2336-2368.
- [23] R. Takahashi and D. White, Homological aspects of semidualizing modules, Math. Scand. 106 (2010) 5-22.
- [24] D. White, Gorenstein projective dimension with respect to a semidualizing module, J. Commut. Algebra 2 (2010) 111-137.