

# UNIQUENESS, UNIVERSALITY, AND HOMOGENEITY OF THE NONCOMMUTATIVE GURARIJ SPACE

MARTINO LUPINI

ABSTRACT. We realize the noncommutative Gurarij space  $\mathbb{NG}$  defined by Oikhberg as the Fraïssé limit of the class of finite-dimensional 1-exact operator spaces. As a consequence we deduce that the noncommutative Gurarij space is unique up to completely isometric isomorphism, homogeneous, and universal among separable 1-exact operator spaces. We also prove that  $\mathbb{NG}$  is the unique separable nuclear operator space with the property that the canonical triple morphism from the universal TRO to the triple envelope is an isomorphism. We deduce from this fact that  $\mathbb{NG}$  does not embed completely isometrically into an exact  $C^*$ -algebra, and it is not completely isometrically isomorphic to a  $C^*$ -algebra or to a TRO. We also provide a canonical construction of  $\mathbb{NG}$ , which shows that the group of surjective complete isometries of  $\mathbb{NG}$  is universal among Polish groups. Analog results are proved in the commutative setting and, more generally, for  $M_n$ -spaces. In particular, we provide a new characterization and canonical construction of the Gurarij Banach space.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The *Gurarij space*  $\mathbb{G}$  is a Banach space first constructed by Gurarij in [43]. It has the following universal property: whenever  $X \subset Y$  are finite-dimensional Banach spaces,  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  is a linear isometry, and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an injective linear map  $\psi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  extending  $\phi$  such that  $\|\psi\| \|\psi^{-1}\| < 1 + \varepsilon$ . The uniqueness of such an object was proved by Lusky [62]. A short proof was later provided by Kubiś and Solecki [56]. The Gurarij space was realized as a Fraïssé limit by Ben Yaacov in [4].

*Fraïssé theory* is a subject at the border between model theory and combinatorics originating from the seminar work of Fraïssé [39]. Broadly speaking, Fraïssé theory studies homogeneous structures and ways to construct them. In the discrete setting Fraïssé established in [39] a correspondence between countable homogeneous structures and what are now called Fraïssé classes. Let the *age* of a countable structure  $\mathbb{S}$  be the collection of finitely generated substructures of  $\mathbb{S}$ . Any Fraïssé class is the age of a countable homogeneous structure. Conversely from any Fraïssé class one can build a countable homogeneous structure that has the given class as its age. Moreover such a structure is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by this property.

This correspondence has been recently generalized in [4] by Ben Yaacov from the purely discrete setting to the setting where metric structures are considered; see also [78]. The main results of discrete Fraïssé theory are recovered in this more general framework. In particular any Fraïssé class of metric structures is the age of a separable homogeneous structure, which is unique up to isometric isomorphism. An alternative category-theoretic approach to Fraïssé limits in the metric setting has been developed by Kubiś [55].

The Gurarij space is the limit of the Fraïssé class of finite-dimensional Banach spaces. This has been showed in [4] building on previous work of Henson. In particular this has yielded an alternative proof of the uniqueness of the Gurarij space up to isometric isomorphism. Other naturally occurring examples of Fraïssé limits are the Urysohn universal metric space [64], the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor, the infinite type UHF  $C^*$ -algebras, the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_2$  [25], and the Jiang-Su algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$  [63].

In this paper we consider a noncommutative analog of the Gurarij space introduced by Oikhberg in [66] within the framework of operator spaces. Operator spaces can be regarded as noncommutative Banach spaces. In fact Banach spaces can be concretely defined as closed subspaces of  $C(K)$  spaces, where  $K$  is a compact Hausdorff space. These are precisely the *abelian* unital  $C^*$ -algebras. Replacing abelian  $C^*$ -algebras with arbitrary  $C^*$ -algebras or—equivalently—the algebra  $B(H)$  of bounded linear operators on some Hilbert space  $H$  provides the notion of an *operator space*.

An operator space  $X \subset B(H)$  is endowed with matricial norms on the algebraic tensor product  $M_n \otimes X$  obtained by the inclusion  $M_n \otimes X \subset M_n \otimes B(H) \cong B(H \oplus \cdots \oplus H)$ . A linear operator  $\phi$  between operator spaces is completely bounded with norm at most  $M$  if all its amplifications  $id_{M_n} \otimes \phi$  are bounded with norm

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L07; Secondary 03C30.

*Key words and phrases*. Operator space, 1-exact operator space, noncommutative Gurarij space, Fraïssé class, Fraïssé limit. The author was supported by the York University Susan Mann Dissertation Scholarship.

at most  $M$ . The notion of complete isometry is defined similarly. Operator spaces form then a category with completely bounded (or completely isometric) linear maps as morphisms. Any Banach space  $X$  has a canonical operator space structure induced by the inclusion  $X \subset C(\text{Ball}(X^*))$  where  $\text{Ball}(X^*)$  is the unit ball of the dual of  $X$ . However in this case the matricial norms do not provide any new information, and any linear map  $\phi$  between Banach spaces is automatically completely bounded with same norm. For more general operator spaces it is far from being true that any bounded linear map is completely bounded. The matricial norms play in this case a crucial role.

According to [66] an operator space is *noncommutative Gurarij* if it satisfies the same universal property of the Gurarij Banach space, where finite-dimensional Banach spaces are replaced with arbitrary *finite-dimensional 1-exact operator spaces*, and the operator norm is replaced by the *completely bounded norm*. The restriction to 1-exact spaces is natural since a famous result of Junge and Pisier implies that there is no separable operator space containing all the finite-dimensional operator spaces as subspaces [50, Theorem 2.3]; see also [72, Chapter 21]. The existence of a noncommutative Gurarij space has been established in [66]. In this paper, we prove that such a space is in fact unique, and satisfies the natural noncommutative analogs of the uniqueness and universality property of the Gurarij Banach space. We also provide a characterization and canonical construction of the noncommutative Gurarij space using the theory of *ternary ring of operators* (TRO) [13, §4.4]. TROs are a natural nonselfadjoint generalization of  $C^*$ -algebras, and play a key role in the study of operator spaces [30, 49]. A TRO is, briefly, an off-diagonal corner of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Equivalently, TROs can be defined as the closed subspaces of  $B(H)$  that are invariant under the *ternary product*  $(x, y, z) \mapsto xy^*z$ . There are two canonical TROs associated with an operator space: the universal TRO  $\mathcal{T}_u(X)$ —see §5.1—and the triple envelope  $\mathcal{T}_e(X)$  [44]. These are, respectively, the largest and the smallest (in a projective sense) TROs containing a completely isometric copy of  $X$  as a generating set. The triple envelope  $\mathcal{T}_e(X)$  is also known as the *noncommutative Shilov boundary* of  $X$ , and can be regarded as the noncommutative generalization of the Shilov boundary of a function system [10]. The universal property of these objects yields a canonical morphism  $\sigma_X : \mathcal{T}_u(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_e(X)$ .

The following statements summarizes the main results of the present paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\text{NG}$  denote the noncommutative Gurarij space as defined by Oikhberg.*

- (1) *There exists a unique noncommutative Gurarij space up to completely isometric isomorphism (uniqueness); see §4.5.*
- (2) *Every separable 1-exact operator space embeds completely isometrically into  $\text{NG}$  (universality); see §4.5.*
- (3) *Every complete isometry between finite-dimensional subspaces of  $\text{NG}$  extends to a surjective complete isometry of  $\text{NG}$  (homogeneity). More precisely, if  $E \subset \text{NG}$  is a finite-dimensional subspace and  $\phi : E \rightarrow \text{NG}$  is an injective linear map such that  $\|\phi\|_{cb} < 1 + \delta$  and  $\|\phi^{-1}\|_{cb} < 1 + \delta$ , then there exists a surjective complete isometry  $\alpha$  of  $\text{NG}$  such that  $\|\alpha|_E - \phi\| < \delta$ ; see §4.5.*
- (4)  *$\text{NG}$  is the unique separable nuclear operator space of dimension at least 1 with the property that the canonical triple morphism from the universal TRO to the triple envelope is injective; see §5.1 and §5.2.*
- (5) *Suppose that  $X$  is any separable  $M_n$ -space of dimension at least 1. Define recursively for  $k > n$ ,  $X_{k+1}$  to be the universal  $k$ -minimal TRO of  $X_k$ . Then the limit of the inductive sequence  $(X_k)$  is completely isometric to  $\text{NG}$ , any surjective complete isometry  $\alpha$  of  $X$  extends to a surjective complete isometry  $\hat{\alpha}$  of  $\text{NG}$ , and the map  $\alpha \mapsto \hat{\alpha}$  is a group homomorphism and a topological embedding; see §5.4.*
- (6) *Every Polish group is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of the group  $\text{Aut}(\text{NG})$  of surjective complete isometries of  $\text{NG}$ ; see §5.5.*
- (7)  *$\text{NG}$  does not embed completely isometrically into an exact  $C^*$ -algebra or an exact TRO; see §5.1.*
- (8)  *$\text{NG}$  is not completely isometrically isomorphic to a  $C^*$ -algebra or a TRO; see §5.1.*

The Gurarij operator space  $\text{NG}$  is the first example of a separable 1-exact operator space that contains a completely isometric copy of any other separable 1-exact operator space. It is also the first example of a separable 1-exact operator space that does not embed completely isometrically into an exact  $C^*$ -algebra, and the first example of a separable 1-exact operator space that is not an  $M_n$ -space for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and whose automorphism group is universal among Polish groups. Item (8) of Theorem 1.1 answers a question of Oikhberg from [66].

The analogous statements as in Theorem 1.1 are also proved for the class of  $M_n$ -spaces. We say that an  $M_n$ -space is Gurarij if it satisfies the same property as the noncommutative Gurarij space, where  $X \subset Y$  are only assumed to be  $M_n$ -spaces. When  $n = 1$  one recovers the classical Gurarij Banach space. A TRO is  $n$ -minimal if it is an  $M_n$ -space. To any  $M_n$ -space  $X$  one can associate the  $n$ -minimal analog of the universal TRO, which we call the universal  $n$ -minimal TRO  $\mathcal{T}_u^n(X)$ . Again, one has a canonical morphism  $\sigma_X^n : \mathcal{T}_u^n(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_e(X)$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\mathbb{G}_n$  be a Gurarij  $M_n$ -space.*

- (1) *There exists a unique Gurarij  $M_n$ -space up to completely isometric isomorphism (uniqueness); see §3.3.*
- (2) *Every separable  $M_n$ -space embeds completely isometrically into  $\mathbb{G}_n$  (universality); see §3.3.*
- (3) *Every complete isometry between finite-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{G}_n$  extends to a surjective complete isometry of  $\mathbb{G}_n$  (homogeneity); see §3.3.*
- (4)  *$\mathbb{G}_n$  is the unique separable nuclear  $M_n$ -space with the property that the canonical triple morphism from the universal  $n$ -minimal TRO to the triple envelope is injective; see §5.3.*
- (5) *Suppose that  $X$  is any separable  $M_n$ -space of dimension at least 1. Define recursively  $X_{k+1}$  to be the universal  $n$ -minimal TRO of  $X_k$ . Then the limit of the inductive sequence  $(X_k)$  is completely isometric to  $\mathbb{G}_n$ , any surjective complete isometry  $\alpha$  of  $X$  extends to a surjective complete isometry  $\hat{\alpha}$  of  $\mathbb{G}_n$ , and the map  $\alpha \mapsto \hat{\alpha}$  is a group homomorphism and a topological embedding; see §5.4.*
- (6) *Every Polish group is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_n)$  of surjective complete isometries of  $\mathbb{G}_n$ ; see §5.5;*
- (7)  *$\mathbb{G}_n$  can not be written in a nontrivial way as a tensor product of two rigid rectangular  $\mathcal{OL}_{\infty,1+}$  spaces.*

Items (4),(5) of Theorem 1.2 are new even in the case  $n = 1$ . They provide a new characterization of the Gurarij Banach space  $\mathbb{G}$  among separable Lindenstrauss space, and an explicit canonical construction of  $\mathbb{G}$ . The fact that the group of surjective linear isometries of the *real* Gurarij Banach space is a universal Polish group is a result of Ben Yaacov from [5]. Ben Yaacov’s proof seems to make an essential use of the fact that the scalars are real. Item (6) of Theorem 1.2 for  $n = 1$  shows in particular that the same conclusion holds over the complex field.

The operator system analog of Theorem 1.1, where one replaces operator spaces with operator systems and TROs with unital  $C^*$ -algebras, also holds. The Gurarij operator system  $\mathbb{GS}$  is the unique nuclear operator system that is universal in the sense of sense of Kirchberg and Wasserman [54, §6]. It is also the unique nuclear operator system whose matrix state space has dense matrix extreme boundary in the sense of [37]. This shows that the matrix state space of  $\mathbb{GS}$  can be regarded as the noncommutative analog of the Poulsen simplex [59, 73]. These results will be included in [24], where many classical results from the theory of simplices are generalized to the noncommutative setting.

This rest of this paper is divided into four sections. Section 2 contains some background material on Fraïssé theory and operator spaces. We follow the presentation of Fraïssé theory for metric structures as introduced by Ben Yaacov in [4]. Similarly as [64] we adopt the slightly less general point of view—sufficient for our purposes—where one considers only structures where the interpretation of function and relation symbols are Lipschitz with a constant that does not depend on the structure. The material on operator spaces is standard and can be found for example in the monographs [33, 69, 72]. The topic of  $M_n$ -spaces is perhaps less well known and can be found in Lehrer’s PhD thesis [57] as well as in [66, 68].

In Section 3 we show that the class of finite-dimensional  $M_n$ -spaces is a Fraïssé class. This can be seen as a first step towards proving that the class of finite-dimensional 1-exact operator spaces is a Fraïssé class. Any  $M_n$ -space can be canonically endowed with a compatible operator space structure. Therefore in principle it is possible to rephrase all the arguments and results in terms of operator spaces. Nonetheless we find it more convenient and enlightening to deal with  $M_n$ -space. This allows one to recognize and use the analogy with the Banach space case.

Section 4 contains the proof that the class of finite-dimensional 1-exact operator spaces is a Fraïssé class, and the limit is the noncommutative Gurarij space as defined by Oikhberg. Finally Section 5 contains the proof of further properties of the noncommutative Gurarij space  $\mathbb{NG}$  and the Gurarij  $M_n$ -spaces  $\mathbb{G}_n$ , as stated in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

*Acknowledgments.* We would like to thank Kenneth Davidson, Ilijas Farah, Isaac Goldbring, Michael Hartz, Marius Junge, Alexander Kechris, Matthew Kennedy, Jorge López-Abad, Wiesław Kubiś, Timur Oikhberg, and Todor Tsankov for many helpful comments and suggestions. Many thanks are due to the anonymous referee, whose suggestions and remarks significantly contributed to improve the present paper. We are also grateful to Nico Spronk for the inspiring course “Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes Algebras, and their Operator Space Structure” that he gave at the Fields Institute in March-April 2014. Finally, we would like to thank Caleb Eckhardt for suggesting the proof of Proposition 5.15, and for letting us include it here.

## 2. BACKGROUND MATERIAL

**2.1. Approximate isometries.** Suppose that  $A, B$  are complete metric spaces. A *Katetov function* on  $A$  is a 1-Lipchitz map  $f : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  satisfying  $d(x, y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ . An *approximate isometry* from  $A$  to  $B$  is a map  $\psi : A \times B \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  that is a Katetov function in each variable. If  $\psi$  is an approximate isometry from  $A$

to  $B$ , then we write  $\psi : A \rightsquigarrow B$ . The set of all approximate isometries from  $A$  to  $B$  is denoted by  $\text{Apx}(A, B)$ . This is a compact space endowed with the product topology from  $[0, +\infty]^{A \times B}$ . A *partial isometry*  $f$  from  $A$  to  $B$  is an isometry from a subset  $\text{dom}(f)$  of  $A$  to  $B$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Any partial isometry  $f$  will be identified with the approximate isometry  $\psi_f$  given by the distance function from the graph of  $f$ .

If  $\psi : A \rightsquigarrow B$  one can consider its *pseudo-inverse*  $\psi^* : B \rightsquigarrow A$  defined by  $\psi^*(b, a) = \psi(a, b)$ . Moreover one can take *composition* of approximate isometries  $\psi : A \rightsquigarrow B$  and  $\phi : B \rightsquigarrow C$  by setting  $(\phi\psi)(a, c)$  to be the infimum of  $\psi(a, b) + \phi(b, c)$  for  $b \in B$ . These definitions are consistent with composition and inversion of partial isometries when regarded as approximate isometries.

If  $A_0 \subset A$ ,  $B_0 \subset B$ , and  $\psi : A \rightsquigarrow B$  then one can define the *restriction*  $\psi|_{A_0 \times B_0} = j^*\psi i : A_0 \rightsquigarrow B_0$  where  $i$  and  $j$  are the inclusion maps of  $A_0$  into  $A$  and  $B_0$  into  $B$ . Conversely if  $\phi : A_0 \rightsquigarrow B_0$  then one can consider its *trivial extension*  $j\phi i^* : A \rightsquigarrow B$ . This allows one to regard  $\text{Apx}(A_0, B_0)$  as a subset of  $\text{Apx}(A, B)$  by identifying an approximate isometry with its trivial extension.

For approximate isometries  $\phi, \psi : A \rightsquigarrow B$  we say that  $\phi$  *refines*  $\psi$  and  $\psi$  *coarsens*  $\phi$ —written  $\phi \leq \psi$ —if  $\phi(a, b) \leq \psi(a, b)$  for every  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . The set of approximate isometries that refine  $\psi$  is denoted by  $\text{Apx}^{\leq \psi}(A, B)$ . The interior of  $\text{Apx}^{\leq \psi}(A, B)$  is denoted by  $\text{Apx}^{< \psi}(A, B)$ . The *closure under coarsening*  $\mathcal{A}^\dagger$  of a set  $\mathcal{A} \subset \text{Apx}(A, B)$  is the collection of  $\phi \in \text{Apx}(A, B)$  that coarsen some element of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**2.2. Languages and structures.** A *language*  $\mathcal{L}$  is given by sets of predicate symbols and of function symbols. Every symbol has two natural numbers attached: its arity and its Lipschitz constant. An  $\mathcal{L}$ -*structure*  $\mathfrak{A}$  is given by: a complete metric space  $A$ ; a  $c_B$ -Lipschitz function  $B^{\mathfrak{A}} : A^{n_B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for every predicate symbol  $B$ , where  $c_B$  is the Lipschitz constant of  $B$  and  $n_B$  is the arity of  $B$ ; a  $c_f$ -Lipschitz function  $f^{\mathfrak{A}} : A^{n_f} \rightarrow A$  for every function symbol  $f$ , where  $c_f$  is the Lipschitz constant of  $f$  and  $n_f$  is the arity of  $f$ .

Here and in the following we assume the power  $A^n$  to be endowed with the max metric  $d(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = \max_i d(a_i, b_i)$ . An *embedding* of  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures  $\phi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$  is a function that commutes with the interpretation of all the predicate and function symbols. An *isomorphism* is a surjective embedding. An *automorphism* of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an isomorphism from  $\mathfrak{A}$  to  $\mathfrak{A}$ . If  $\bar{a}$  is a finite tuple in  $\mathfrak{A}$  then  $\langle \bar{a} \rangle$  denotes the smallest substructure of  $\mathfrak{A}$  containing  $\bar{a}$ . A *partial isomorphism*  $\phi : \mathfrak{A} \dashrightarrow \mathfrak{B}$  is an embedding from  $\langle \bar{a} \rangle$  to  $\mathfrak{B}$  for some finite tuple  $\bar{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . An  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  is *finitely generated* if  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle \bar{a} \rangle$  for some finite tuple  $\bar{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

We will assume that the language  $\mathcal{L}$  contains a distinguished binary predicate symbol to be interpreted as the metric. In particular this ensures that all the embeddings and (partial) isomorphisms are (partial) isometries. Therefore consistently with the convention from Remark 2.1 partial isomorphisms will be regarded as approximate isometries.

**Definition 2.2.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a class of finitely-generated  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure. We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies

- the *hereditary property* (HP) if  $\langle \bar{a} \rangle \in \mathcal{C}$  for every  $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{C}$  and finite tuple  $\bar{a} \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,
- the *joint embedding property* (JEP) if for any  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{C}$  there is  $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathcal{C}$  and embeddings  $\phi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\psi : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ ,
- the *near amalgamation property* (NAP) if, whenever  $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{B}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_1$  are elements of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\phi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_1$  is an embedding,  $\bar{a}$  is a finite tuple in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathcal{C}$  and embeddings  $\psi_0 : \mathfrak{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\psi_1 : \mathfrak{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$  such that  $d(\psi_0(\bar{a}), (\psi_1 \circ \phi)(\bar{a})) \leq \varepsilon$ .
- the *amalgamation property* (AP) if it satisfies (NAP) even when one takes  $\varepsilon = 0$ .

**2.3. Fraïssé classes and limits.** Suppose in the following that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a class of finitely generated  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures satisfying (HP), (JEP), and (NAP).

**Definition 2.3.** A  $\mathcal{C}$ -structure is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\langle \bar{a} \rangle \in \mathcal{C}$  for every finite tuple  $\bar{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be  $\mathcal{C}$ -structures. Define  $\text{Apx}_{1, \mathcal{C}}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}) \subset \text{Apx}(A, B)$  to be the set of all partial isomorphisms from  $\mathfrak{A}$  to  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Define  $\text{Apx}_{2, \mathcal{C}}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$  to be the set of approximate isometries  $\phi : A \rightsquigarrow B$  of the form  $\phi = g^*f$ , where  $f \in \text{Apx}_{1, \mathcal{C}}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{C})$  and  $g \in \text{Apx}_{1, \mathcal{C}}(\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C})$  for some  $\mathcal{C}$ -structure  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Finally set  $\text{Apx}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}) \subset \text{Apx}(A, B)$  to be  $\text{Apx}_{2, \mathcal{C}}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})^\dagger$ . Elements of  $\text{Apx}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$  are called ( $\mathcal{C}$ -intrinsic) *approximate morphism*. A ( $\mathcal{C}$ -intrinsic) *strictly approximate morphism* from  $\mathfrak{A}$  to  $\mathfrak{B}$  is an approximate morphism  $\phi$  such that the interior  $\text{Apx}_{\mathcal{C}}^{< \phi}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$  of  $\text{Apx}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\leq \phi}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$  is nonempty. The set of strictly approximate morphisms from  $\mathfrak{A}$  to  $\mathfrak{B}$  is denoted by  $\text{Stx}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ .

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and denote by  $\mathcal{C}(k)$  the set of pairs  $(\bar{a}, \mathfrak{A})$  where  $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\bar{a}$  is a finite tuple in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle \bar{a} \rangle$ . Two such pairs  $(\bar{a}, \mathfrak{A})$  and  $(\bar{b}, \mathfrak{B})$  are identified if there is an isomorphism  $\phi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\phi(\bar{a}) = \bar{b}$ . By abuse of notation we will denote  $(\bar{a}, \mathfrak{A})$  simply by  $\bar{a}$ .

**Definition 2.4.** The Fraïssé metric  $d_{\mathcal{C}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$  on  $\mathcal{C}(k)$  is defined to be the infimum of  $\max_i \phi(a_i, b_i)$ , where  $\phi$  ranges in  $\text{Apx}_{\mathcal{C}}(\langle \bar{a} \rangle, \langle \bar{b} \rangle)$  or, equivalently, in  $\text{Stx}_{\mathcal{C}}(\langle \bar{a} \rangle, \langle \bar{b} \rangle)$ .

Such a metric can be equivalently described in terms of embeddings: it is the infimum of  $d(f(\bar{a}), g(\bar{b}))$ , where  $f, g$  range over all the embeddings of  $\langle \bar{a} \rangle$  and  $\langle \bar{b} \rangle$  into a third structure  $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a class of finitely-generated  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures satisfying (HP), (JEP), and (NAP) from Definition 2.2. We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a *Fraïssé class* if the metric space  $(\mathcal{C}(k), d_{\mathcal{C}})$  is complete and separable for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Remark 2.6.** In [4, Definition 2.12] a Fraïssé class is moreover required to satisfy the Continuity Property. Such a property is automatically satisfied in our more restrictive setting, where we assume that the interpretation of any symbol from  $\mathcal{L}$  is a Lipschitz function with Lipschitz constant that does not depend from the structure.

**Definition 2.7.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a Fraïssé class. A limit of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a separable  $\mathcal{C}$ -structure  $\mathfrak{M}$  satisfying the following property: For every  $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ , finite tuple  $\bar{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , embedding  $\phi : \langle \bar{a} \rangle \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an embedding  $\psi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  such that  $d(\psi(\bar{a}), \phi(\bar{a})) < \varepsilon$ .

The definition given above is equivalent to [4, Definition 2.14] in view of [4, Corollary 2.20].

**Definition 2.8.** An  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathfrak{M}$  is *homogeneous* if for every finite tuple  $\bar{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , embedding  $\phi : \langle \bar{a} \rangle \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an automorphism  $\psi$  of  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that  $d(\phi(\bar{a}), \psi(\bar{a})) < \varepsilon$ .

The following theorem is a combination of the main results from [4].

**Theorem 2.9** (Ben Yaacov). *Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a Fraïssé class. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  has a limit  $\mathfrak{M}$ . If  $\mathfrak{M}'$  is another limit of  $\mathcal{C}$  then  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}'$  are isomorphic as  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures. Moreover  $\mathfrak{M}$  is homogeneous and contains any separable  $\mathcal{C}$ -structure as a substructure.*

**2.4. Operator spaces.** An operator space is a closed subspace of  $B(H)$ . Here and in the following we denote by  $M_n$  the algebra of  $n \times n$  complex matrices. If  $X$  is a complex vector space, then we denote by  $M_n \otimes X$  the algebraic tensor product. Observe that this can be canonically identified with the space  $M_n(X)$  of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries from  $X$ . If  $X \subset B(H)$  is an operator space, then  $M_n(X)$  is naturally endowed with a norm given by the inclusion  $M_n(X) \subset B(H \oplus \dots \oplus H)$ . If  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a linear map between operator spaces, then its  $n$ -th amplification is the linear map  $id_{M_n} \otimes \phi : M_n \otimes X \rightarrow M_n \otimes Y$ . Under the identification of  $M_n \otimes X$  with  $M_n(X)$  and  $M_n \otimes Y$  with  $M_n(Y)$ , the map  $id_{M_n} \otimes \phi$  is defined by applying  $\phi$  to a matrix  $[x_{ij}]$  entrywise. We say that  $\phi$  is completely bounded if the norms of its amplifications are uniformly bounded. In such case we define its completely bounded norm to be the least uniform upper bound for the norms of its amplifications. A linear map  $\phi$  is a complete contraction if  $id_{M_n} \otimes \phi$  is a contraction for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is a complete isometry if  $id_{M_n} \otimes \phi$  is an isometry for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Finally it is a completely isometric isomorphism if  $id_{M_n} \otimes \phi$  is an isometric isomorphism for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Operator spaces admit an abstract characterization due to Ruan [75]. A vector space  $X$  is matrix-normed if for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the space  $M_n(X)$  is endowed with a norm such that whenever  $\alpha \in M_{k,n}$ ,  $x \in M_n(X)$ , and  $\beta \in M_{n,k}$ ,  $\|\alpha.x.\beta\| \leq \|\alpha\| \|x\| \|\beta\|$ , where  $\alpha.x.\beta$  denotes the matrix product, and  $\|\alpha\|, \|\beta\|$  are the norms of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  regarded as operators between finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. A matrix-normed vector space is  $L^\infty$ -matrix-normed provided that  $\|x \oplus y\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$  for  $x \in M_n(X)$  and  $y \in M_m(X)$ , where  $x \oplus y$  is the block-diagonal  $(n+m) \times (n+m)$  matrix having  $x, y$  in the diagonal. Every operator space  $X \subset B(H)$  is canonically an  $L^\infty$ -matrix-normed space. Ruan's theorem asserts that, conversely, any  $L^\infty$ -matrix-normed space is completely isometrically isomorphic to an operator space [69, Theorem 13.4].

Equivalently one can think of an operator space  $X$  as a structure on  $\mathcal{K}_0 \otimes X$ ; see [72, Section 2.2]. Suppose that  $H$  is the separable Hilbert space with a fixed orthonormal basis  $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Let  $P_n$  be the orthogonal projection of  $\text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can identify  $M_n$  with the subspace of  $A \in B(H)$  such that  $AP_n = P_n A = A$ . The direct union  $\mathcal{K}_0 = \bigcup_n M_n$  is a subspace of  $B(H)$ . We can identify  $\bigcup_n M_n(X)$  with  $\mathcal{K}_0[X] \cong \mathcal{K}_0 \otimes X$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}_0[X]$  is a complex vector space with a natural structure of  $\mathcal{K}_0$ -bimodule. The metric on  $\mathcal{K}_0[X]$  is not complete. Nonetheless one can pass to the completion  $\overline{\mathcal{K}_0[X]}$  and extend all the operations. (Here  $\mathcal{K}$  is the closure of  $\mathcal{K}_0$  inside  $B(H)$ , i.e. the ideal of compact operators.)

The abstract characterization of operator spaces mentioned above allows one to regard operator spaces as  $\mathcal{L}_{OS}$ -structures for a suitable language  $\mathcal{L}_{OS}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{K}_0(\mathbb{Q}(i))$  the space of matrices of arbitrarily large finite size and with coefficients in the field of Gauss rationals  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}_{OS}$  contains, in addition to the special symbol  $d$  for the metric, a symbol  $+$  for the addition in  $\overline{\mathcal{K}_0[X]}$ , a constant  $0$  for the zero vector in  $\overline{\mathcal{K}_0[X]}$ , function symbols  $\sigma_{\alpha, \beta}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{K}_0(\mathbb{Q}(i))$  for the bimodule operation. The Lipschitz constant for the

symbol  $+$  is 2, while the Lipschitz constant of  $\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}$  is  $\|\alpha\| \|\beta\|$ . An alternative description of operator spaces as metric structures—which fits in the framework of continuous logic [7, 36]—has been provided in [35, Section 3.3] and [42, Appendix B].

It is worth noting that the space  $X$  can be described as the set of  $x \in \mathcal{K} \overline{\otimes} X$  such that  $1.x = x$ . Moreover a linear map  $\phi : \mathcal{K} \overline{\otimes} X \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \overline{\otimes} Y$  that respects the  $\mathcal{K}_0$ -bimodule operations is the amplification of a linear map from  $X$  to  $Y$ . Hence when operator spaces are regarded as  $\mathcal{L}_{OS}$ -structures, embeddings and isomorphisms as defined in Subsection 2.2 correspond, respectively, to completely isometric linear maps and completely isometric linear isomorphisms.

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are operator spaces, then the space  $CB(X, Y)$  of completely bounded linear maps from  $X$  to  $Y$  is canonically endowed with an operator space structure given by identifying isometrically  $M_n(CB(X, Y))$  with  $CB(M_n(X), M_n(Y))$  with the completely bounded norm. Any linear functional  $\phi$  on an operator space  $X$  is automatically completely bounded with  $\|\phi\|_{cb} = \|\phi\|$ . Therefore the dual space  $X^*$  of  $X$  can be regarded as the operator space  $CB(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are operator spaces, then their  $\infty$ -sum  $X \oplus^\infty Y$  is the operator space supported on the algebraic direct sum  $X \oplus Y$  endowed with norms  $\|(x, y)\|_{M_n(X \oplus^\infty Y)} = \max\{\|x\|_{M_n(X)}, \|y\|_{M_n(Y)}\}$ . The  $\infty$ -sum of a sequence of operator spaces is defined analogously. The 1-sum  $X \oplus^1 Y$  is the operator space obtained by identifying  $X \oplus Y$  with  $(X^* \oplus^\infty Y^*)^*$ . The norm  $\|(x, y)\|_{M_n(X \oplus^1 Y)}$  can be described as the supremum of  $\|(id_{M_n} \otimes u)(x) + (id_{M_n} \otimes v)(y)\|$ , where  $u, v$  range over all completely contractive maps from  $X$  and  $Y$  into  $B(H)$ ; see [72, Section 2.6]. In analogous fashion one can define the 1-sum and the  $\infty$ -sum of a sequence of operator spaces.

We denote the  $n$ -fold 1-sum of  $\mathbb{C}$  by itself  $\ell_n^1$ , and the  $\infty$ -sum of  $\mathbb{C}$  by itself by  $\ell_n^\infty$ . Moreover we denote by  $\bar{e} = (e_i)$  the canonical basis of  $\ell_n^1$  and by  $\bar{e}^*$  its dual basis of  $\ell_n^\infty$ . More generally, if  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we let  $\ell_n^\infty(M_k)$  be the  $n$ -fold  $\infty$ -sum of copies of  $M_k$ .

**2.5.  $M_n$ -spaces.** In this subsection we recall the definition and basic properties of  $M_n$ -spaces as defined in [57, Chapter I]. A *matricial  $n$ -norm* on a space  $X$  is a norm on  $M_n(X)$  such that  $\|\alpha.x.\beta\| \leq \|\alpha\| \|x\| \|\beta\|$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in M_n$  and  $x \in M_n(X)$ . Such a norm induces a norm on  $M_k(X)$  for  $k \leq n$  via the upper-right corner inclusion. An  *$L^\infty$ -matrix- $n$ -norm* is a matricial  $n$ -norm satisfying moreover  $\|x \oplus y\| = \max\{x, y\}$  for  $k \leq n$ ,  $x \in M_k(X)$ , and  $y \in M_{n-k}(X)$ .

Observe that  $M_n$  has a natural  $n$ -norm obtained by identifying  $M_n(M_n)$  with  $M_n \otimes M_n$  (spatial tensor product). More generally if  $K$  is a compact Hausdorff space then  $C(K, M_n)$  is  $n$ -normed by identifying  $M_n(C(K, M_n))$  with  $C(K, M_n \otimes M_n)$ . In particular  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M_n)$  has a natural  $n$ -norm obtained by the identification with  $C(\beta\mathbb{N}, M_n)$ .

If  $X, Y$  are  $n$ -normed spaces, then a linear map  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  is  *$n$ -bounded* if  $id_{M_n} \otimes \phi : M_n(X) \rightarrow M_n(Y)$  is bounded, and  $\|\phi\|_n$  is by definition  $\|id_{M_n} \otimes \phi\|$ . The notions of  $n$ -contraction and  $n$ -isometry are defined similarly. Define  $nB(X, Y)$  to be the space of  $n$ -bounded linear functions from  $X$  to  $Y$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|_n$ . Identifying  $M_n(X^*)$  with  $nB(X, \mathbb{C})$  isometrically defines an  $L^\infty$ -matrix- $n$ -norm on the dual  $X^*$  of  $X$ . The same argument allows one to define an  $L^\infty$ -matrix- $n$ -norm on the second dual  $X^{**}$ .

An  $L^\infty$ -matricially- $n$ -normed space is called an  *$M_n$ -space* if it satisfies any of the following equivalent definitions—see [57, Théorème I.1.9]:

- (1) There is an  $n$ -isometry from  $X$  to  $B(H)$ ;
- (2) The canonical inclusion of  $X$  into  $X^{**}$  is isometric;
- (3)  $\|\sum_i \alpha_i x_i \beta_i\| \leq \|\sum_i \alpha_i \alpha_i^*\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \max_i \|x_i\| \|\sum_i \beta_i^* \beta_i\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for any  $x_i \in M_n(X)$ ,  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in M_n$ ;
- (4) there is an  $n$ -isometry from  $X$  to  $C(X, M_n)$  for some compact Hausdorff space  $K$ .

Clearly the case  $n = 1$  gives the usual notion of Banach space. Characterization (3) allows one to show that  $M_n$ -spaces can be seen as structures in a suitable language  $\mathcal{L}_{M_n}$ . This is the same as the language for operator space described in Subsection 2.4 where one replaces  $\mathcal{K}_0$  with  $M_n$ . When  $M_n$ -spaces are regarded as  $\mathcal{L}_{M_n}$ -spaces, embeddings and isomorphisms as defined in Subsection 2.2 correspond, respectively, to  $n$ -isometric linear maps and  $n$ -isometric linear isomorphisms.

The notions of quotient and subspace of an  $M_n$ -space can be defined analogously as in the case of operator spaces. For instance, if  $N$  is a subspace of  $X$ , then the quotient of  $X$  by  $N$  is the  $M_n$ -space structure supported on the algebraic quotient  $X/N$  defined by  $\|x + M_n(N)\| = \sup_\phi \|(id_{M_n} \otimes \phi)(x)\|$  for every  $x \in M_n(X)$ , where  $\phi$  ranges among all the  $n$ -contractions from  $X$  to  $M_n$  whose kernel contains  $N$ . Similarly the constructions of 1-sum and  $\infty$ -sum can be performed in this context. More details can be found in [57, Section I.2]. We will use the same notations for the 1-sum and  $\infty$ -sum of operator spaces and  $M_n$ -spaces. This will be clear from the context and no confusion should arise.

For later use we recall the following observation; see [57, Remarque I.1.5]. Suppose that  $X$  is a finite-dimensional  $M_n$ -space, and that  $\bar{b}, \bar{b}^*$  is a bi-orthonormal system for  $X$ . Then the  $n$ -norm on  $X$  admits the following expression:  $\|\sum_i \alpha_i \otimes b_i\|$  is the supremum of  $\|\sum_i \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i\|$  where the  $\beta_i$ 's range among the elements of  $M_n$  such that  $\|\sum_i \beta_i \otimes b_i^*\| \leq 1$ . In particular if  $\bar{e}$  is the canonical basis of  $\ell^1(n)$  with dual basis  $\bar{e}^*$  of  $\ell^\infty(n)$ , then we obtain that  $\|\sum_i \alpha_i \otimes b_i\|$  is the supremum of  $\|\sum_i \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i\|$  where the  $\beta_i$ 's range among the elements of  $M_n$  of operator norm at most 1. Similar expressions hold for the matrix norms in operator spaces; see [72].

In the following we will often use tacitly the fact that finite-dimensional  $M_n$ -spaces can be approximated by subspaces of finite  $\infty$ -sums of copies of  $M_n$ . We let  $\ell_k^\infty(M_n)$  denote the  $\infty$ -sum of  $k$  copies of  $M_n$ .

**Lemma 2.10.** Suppose that  $X$  is a finite-dimensional  $M_n$ -space and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and an injective linear  $n$ -contraction  $\phi : X \rightarrow \ell_k^\infty(M_n)$  such that  $\|\phi^{-1}\|_n \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ .

In Lemma 2.10 the map  $\phi$  is not assumed to be surjective. The expression  $\|\phi^{-1}\|_n$  denotes the  $n$ -norm of  $\phi^{-1}$  when regarded as a map from the range of  $\phi$  to  $X$ . Similar conventions will be adopted in the rest of the present paper. We conclude by recalling that the natural analog of the Hahn-Banach theorem holds for  $M_n$ -spaces. Such an analog asserts that  $M_n$  is an injective element in the category of  $M_n$ -spaces with  $n$ -contractive maps as morphisms; see [57, Proposition I.1.16].

### 3. THE FRAÏSSÉ CLASS OF FINITE-DIMENSIONAL $M_n$ -SPACES

The purpose of this section is to show that the class  $\mathcal{M}_n$  of finite-dimensional  $M_n$ -spaces is a complete Fraïssé class as in Definition 2.5. This will allow us to consider the corresponding Fraïssé limit as in Theorem 2.9. The case  $n = 1$  of these results recovers the already known fact that finite-dimensional Banach spaces form a complete Fraïssé class. This has been shown by Ben Yaacov [4, Section 3.3] building on previous works of Henson (unpublished) and Kubis-Solecki [56]. For Banach spaces the limit is the Gurarij Banach space, introduced by Gurarij in [43] and proved to be unique up to isometric isomorphism by Lusky in [62].

**3.1. Amalgamation property.** The properties (JEP) and (HP) as in Definition 2.2 are clear for  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . We now show that  $\mathcal{M}_n$  has (AP). The proof is analogous to the one for Banach spaces, and consists in showing that the category of finite-dimensional  $M_n$ -spaces has pushouts; see [40, Lemma 2.1] and also [58, Lemma 4.5].

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose that  $X_0 \subset X$  and  $Y$  are  $M_n$ -spaces,  $\delta \geq 0$ , and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a linear injective map such that  $\|f\|_n \leq 1 + \delta$  and  $\|f^{-1}\|_n \leq 1 + \delta$ . Then there is an  $M_n$ -space  $Z$  and  $n$ -isometric linear maps  $i : X \rightarrow Z$  and  $j : Y \rightarrow Z$  such that  $\|j \circ f - i|_{X_0}\|_n \leq \delta$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\delta X_0$  to be the  $M_n$ -space structure on  $X_0$  given by the norm  $\|[x_{ij}]\|_{M_n(\delta X)} = \delta \|[x_{ij}]\|_{M_n(X)}$ . Let  $\widehat{Z}$  be the 1-sum  $X \oplus^1 Y \oplus^1 \delta X_0$ , and  $Z$  be the quotient of  $\widehat{Z}$  by the subspace  $N = \{(-z, f(z), z) \in \widehat{Z} : z \in X_0\}$ . Finally let  $i : X \rightarrow Z$  and  $j : Y \rightarrow Z$  the embeddings given by  $x \mapsto (x, 0, 0) + N$  and  $y \mapsto (0, y, 0) + N$ . We claim that  $i$  and  $j$  satisfy the desired conclusions. In fact it is clear that  $i$  and  $j$  are  $n$ -contractions such that  $\|i \circ f - j\|_n \leq \delta$ . We will now show that  $i$  is an  $n$ -isometry. The proof that  $j$  is an  $n$ -isometry is similar. Suppose that  $x \in M_n(X)$  consider a linear  $n$ -contraction  $\phi : X \rightarrow M_n$  such that  $\|\phi(x)\|_{M_n \otimes M_n} = \|x\|_{M_n(X)}$ . Observe that  $(1 + \delta)^{-1}(\phi \circ f^{-1}) : f[X] \rightarrow M_n$  is a linear  $n$ -contraction and hence it extends to a linear  $n$ -contraction  $\psi : f[X] \rightarrow M_n$ . Similarly the map  $\delta(1 + \delta)^{-1}\phi : \delta X_0 \rightarrow M_n$  is a linear  $n$ -contraction. Therefore we have that for every  $z \in M_n(X_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x - z, f(z), z)\|_{M_n(X \oplus^1 Y \oplus^1 \delta X_0)} &\geq \left\| \phi(x - z) + \psi(z) + \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \phi(z) \right\|_{M_n \otimes M_n} \\ &= \left\| \phi(x) - \phi(z) + \frac{1}{1 + \delta} \phi(z) + \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \phi(z) \right\|_{M_n \otimes M_n} = \|\phi(x)\|_{M_n \otimes M_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|\phi(x)\|_{M_n \otimes M_n} = \|x\|_{M_n(X)}$ , this concludes the proof that  $i$  is an  $n$ -isometry.  $\square$

In particular Lemma 3.1 for  $\delta = 0$  shows that the class  $\mathcal{M}_n$  has (AP).

**3.2. The Fraïssé metric space.** We fix now  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and consider the space  $\mathcal{M}_n(k)$  of pairs  $(\bar{a}, X)$  such that  $X$  is a  $k$ -dimensional  $M_n$ -space and  $\bar{a}$  is a linear basis of  $X$ . Two such pairs  $(\bar{a}, X)$  and  $(\bar{b}, Y)$  are identified if there is an  $n$ -isometry  $\phi$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  such that  $\phi(\bar{a}) = \bar{b}$ . For simplicity we will write an element  $(\bar{a}, X)$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n(k)$  simply by  $\bar{a}$ , and denote  $X$  by  $\langle \bar{a} \rangle$ . Our goal is to compute the Fraïssé metric in  $\mathcal{M}_n(k)$  as in Definition 2.4. The following result gives an equivalent characterization of such a metric. The case  $n = 1$  is a result of Henson (unpublished) that can be found in [4, Fact 3.2]. We denote by  $\ell^1(k)$  the  $k$ -fold 1-sum of  $\mathbb{C}$  by itself

in the category of  $M_n$ -spaces with canonical basis  $\bar{e}$ . An explicit formula for the corresponding norm has been recalled at the end of Section 2.5.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose that  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathcal{M}_n(k)$  and  $M > 0$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $d_{\mathcal{M}_n}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \leq M$ ;
- (2) *For every  $n$ -contractive  $u : \langle \bar{a} \rangle \rightarrow M_n$  there is an  $n$ -contractive  $v : \langle \bar{b} \rangle \rightarrow M_n$  such that the linear function  $w : \ell^1(k) \rightarrow M_n$  defined by  $w(e_i) = u(a_i) - v(b_i)$  has  $n$ -norm at most  $M$ , and vice versa.*

*Proof.* After normalizing we can assume that  $M = 1$ . We will denote  $\langle \bar{a} \rangle$  by  $X$  and  $\langle \bar{b} \rangle$  by  $Y$ .

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose that  $d_{\mathcal{M}_n}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \leq 1$ . Then there are  $n$ -isometries  $\phi : X \rightarrow Z$  and  $\psi : Y \rightarrow Z$  for some  $M_n$ -space  $Z$  such that  $\|\phi(a_i) - \psi(b_i)\| \leq 1$  for every  $i \leq k$ . Suppose that  $u : X \rightarrow M_n$  is  $n$ -contractive. Consider the  $n$ -contractive map  $u \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi[X] \rightarrow M_n$ . By injectivity of  $M_n$  there is an  $n$ -contractive map  $\eta : Z \rightarrow M_n$  extending  $u \circ \phi^{-1}$ . Define  $v = \eta \circ \psi : Y \rightarrow M_n$  and observe that it is  $n$ -contractive. Define now  $w : \ell^1(k) \rightarrow M_n$  by  $w(e_i) = u(a_i) - v(b_i)$ . We claim that  $w$  is  $n$ -contractive. In fact  $\|\eta(\phi(e_i) - \psi(e_i))\| \leq 1$  for every  $i \leq k$ . Therefore if  $\alpha_i \in M_n$ , then

$$\left\| (id_{M_n} \otimes w) \left( \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes e_i \right) \right\| = \left\| \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes \eta(\phi(e_i) - \psi(e_i)) \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes e_i \right\|_{M_n(\ell^1(k))}.$$

The vice versa is proved analogously.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose that for every  $n$ -contractive  $u : X \rightarrow M_n$  there is an  $n$ -contractive  $v : Y \rightarrow M_n$  such that the linear function  $w : \ell^1(k) \rightarrow M_n$  defined by  $w(e_i) = u(a_i) - v(b_i)$  is  $n$ -contractive, and vice versa. Define  $\widehat{Z}$  to be  $X \oplus^1 Y \oplus^1 \ell^1(k)$ . Denote by  $N$  the closed subspace

$$\left\{ \left( -\sum_i \lambda_i a_i, \sum_i \lambda_i b_i, \sum_i \lambda_i e_i \right) : \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

of  $\widehat{Z}$ . Define  $Z$  to be the quotient of  $\widehat{Z}$  by  $N$ . Let  $\phi$  be the composition of the canonical inclusion of  $X$  into  $\widehat{Z}$  with the quotient map from  $\widehat{Z}$  to  $Z$ . Similarly define  $\psi : Y \rightarrow Z$ . By the properties of 1-sums and quotients,  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are  $n$ -contractions. We claim that they are in fact  $n$ -isometries. We will only show that  $\phi$  is an  $n$ -isometry, since the proof for  $\psi$  is entirely analogous. Suppose that  $x \in M_n(X)$ . Pick an  $n$ -contraction  $u : X \rightarrow M_n$  such that  $\|x\| = \|(id_{M_n} \otimes u)(x)\|$ . By hypothesis there are  $n$ -contractions  $v : Y \rightarrow M_n$  and  $w : \ell^1(k) \rightarrow M_n$  such that  $w(e_i) = u(a_i) - v(b_i)$ . Therefore if  $\alpha_i \in M_n$  then the norm of

$$\left( x - \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes a_i, \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes b_i, \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes e_i \right)$$

in  $M_n(\widehat{Z})$  is bounded from below by the norm of

$$(id_{M_n} \otimes u) \left( x - \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes a_i \right) + (id_{M_n} \otimes v) \left( \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes b_i \right) + (id_{M_n} \otimes w) \left( \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes e_i \right) = (id_{M_n} \otimes u)(x)$$

which equals  $\|x\|$ . Since this is true for every  $\alpha_i \in M_n$ ,  $\phi$  is an  $n$ -isometry. Similarly  $\psi$  is an  $n$ -isometry. The proof is concluded by observing that  $\|\phi(a_i) - \psi(b_i)\| \leq 1$  for every  $i \leq k$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathcal{M}_n(k)$  and  $d_{\mathcal{M}_n}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \leq M$  then for every  $\alpha_i \in M$ , the distance between  $\|\alpha_1 \otimes a_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \otimes a_n\|$  and  $\|\alpha_1 \otimes b_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \otimes b_n\|$  is at most  $M\|\alpha_1 \otimes e_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \otimes e_n\|$ , where  $\bar{e}$  is the canonical basis of  $\ell^1(k)$ .*

An *Auerbach system* in a Banach space is a basis  $\bar{a}$  with dual basis  $\bar{a}^*$  such that  $\|a_i\| = \|a_i^*\| = 1$ . By analogy we say that an element  $\bar{a}$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n(k)$  is  *$N$ -Auerbach* if  $\|a_i\| \leq N$  and  $\|a_i^*\| \leq N$  for every  $i \leq k$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{M}_n(k, N)$  the set of  $N$ -Auerbach  $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{M}_n(k)$ . It follows from Corollary 3.3 that the set  $\mathcal{M}_n(k, N)$  is closed in  $\mathcal{M}_n(k)$ . It can be easily verified that if  $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{M}_n(k, N)$  and  $\alpha_i \in M_n$  then  $\|\alpha_1 \otimes a_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \otimes a_n\| \leq N\|\alpha_1 \otimes e_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \otimes e_n\|$ , and  $\|\alpha_1 \otimes e_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \otimes e_n\| \leq kN\|\alpha_1 \otimes a_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \otimes a_n\|$ , where  $\bar{e}$  is the canonical basis of  $\ell^1(k)$ .

If  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathcal{M}_n(k)$ , denote by  $\iota_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}}$  the linear isomorphism from  $\langle \bar{a} \rangle$  to  $\langle \bar{b} \rangle$  such that  $\iota_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}}(a_i) = b_i$  for  $i \leq k$ . Define the  *$n$ -bounded distance*  $d_{nb}(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$  to be  $\|\iota_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}}\|_n \|\iota_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}}^{-1}\|_n$ . (Observe that this is not an actual metric, but  $\log(d_{nb})$  is a metric.)

In the following lemma we establish a precise relation between the  $n$ -bounded distance  $d_{nb}$  and the Fraïssé metric  $d_{nb}$  on  $\mathcal{M}_n(k, N)$ .



**Proposition 3.4.** *Suppose that  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathcal{M}_n(k, N)$ . Then  $d_{nb}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \leq (1 + kNd_{\mathcal{M}_n}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}))^2$  and  $d_{\mathcal{M}_n}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \leq d_{nb}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) - 1$ .*

*Proof.* The first assertion can be easily deduced from Corollary 3.3, while the other inequality is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

We can finally show that the space  $(\mathcal{M}_n(k), d_{\mathcal{M}_n})$  is separable and complete and, in fact, compact. In view of Proposition 3.4 this can be proved by a standard argument; see for example [72, Theorem 21.1 and Remark 21.2]. A proof is included for the sake of completeness.

**Proposition 3.5.** *The space  $(\mathcal{M}_n(k), d_{\mathcal{M}_n})$  is compact.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(\bar{a}^{(m)})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{M}_n(k)$ . If  $\alpha_i \in M_n$  then the sequence  $\|\alpha_1 \otimes a_1^{(m)} + \cdots + \alpha_n \otimes a_n^{(m)}\|$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  is a bounded sequence of complex numbers. Therefore after passing to a subsequence we can assume that such a sequence converges for any choice of  $\alpha_i \in M_n(\mathbb{Q}(i))$ . This is easily seen to imply that in fact such a sequence convergence for any choice of  $\alpha_i \in M_n$ . Moreover the functions  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \mapsto \|\alpha_1 \otimes a_1^{(m)} + \cdots + \alpha_n \otimes a_n^{(m)}\|$  are equiuniformly continuous on the unit ball of  $M_n$ . Therefore, by the Ascoli-Arzelá theorem, after passing to a further subsequence we can assume that the convergence is uniform on the unit ball of  $M_n$ . We can now define an element  $\bar{a}$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n(k)$  by setting  $\|\alpha_1 \otimes a_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \otimes a_n\|$  to be the limit of  $\|\alpha_1 \otimes a_1^{(m)} + \cdots + \alpha_n \otimes a_n^{(m)}\|$  for  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ . The abstract characterization of  $M_n$ -spaces shows that  $\bar{a}$  is indeed an element of  $\mathcal{M}_n(k)$ . By uniform convergence in the unit ball the sequence  $(\bar{a}^{(m)})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is such that  $d_{nb}(\bar{a}^{(m)}, \bar{a}) \rightarrow 1$ . Therefore  $d_{\mathcal{M}_n}(\bar{a}^{(m)}, \bar{a}) \rightarrow 0$  by Proposition 3.4.  $\square$

This concludes the proof that  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is a complete Fraïssé class.

**3.3. The Fraïssé limit.** We have verified that the class  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is a Fraïssé class in the sense of Definition 2.5. Therefore by Theorem 2.9 we can consider its Fraïssé limit. Observe that the  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -structures are precisely the  $M_n$ -spaces. We first provide a characterization of the Fraïssé limit of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  similar in spirit to the universal property defining the Gurarij Banach space.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Suppose that  $Z$  is a separable  $M_n$ -space. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$Z$  is the Fraïssé limit of the class  $\mathcal{M}_n$ ;*
- (2) *If  $X \subset Y$  are finite-dimensional  $M_n$ -spaces,  $\phi : X \rightarrow Z$  is a linear  $n$ -isometry, and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there is a linear function  $\hat{\phi} : Y \rightarrow Z$  extending  $\phi$  such that  $\|\hat{\phi}\|_n \|\hat{\phi}^{-1}\|_n < 1 + \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is entirely analogous to the proof of [4, Theorem 3.3], and is presented here for convenience of the reader.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose that  $Z$  is the Fraïssé limit of the class  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . Suppose that  $X \subset Y$  are finite-dimensional  $M_n$ -spaces,  $\phi : X \rightarrow Z$  is a linear  $n$ -isometry, and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Fix  $\delta > 0$  small enough. Consider also a basis  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  of  $X$  and a basis  $(b_1, \dots, b_m)$  of  $Y$  such that  $b_i = a_i$  for  $i \leq k$ . Since  $Z$  is by assumption the Fraïssé limit of the class  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , there is a linear  $n$ -isometry  $\psi : Y \rightarrow Z$  such that  $\|\phi(a_i) - \psi(a_i)\| \leq \delta$  for every  $i \leq k$ . Define now  $\hat{\phi} : Y \rightarrow Z$  by setting  $\hat{\phi}(b_i) = \phi(a_i)$  for  $i \leq k$  and  $\hat{\phi}(b_i) = \psi(b_i)$  for  $k < i \leq m$ . A routine calculation shows that, for  $\delta$  small enough,  $\psi$  satisfies the desired inequality.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose now that  $Z$  satisfies condition (2). Consider  $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(k)$ , a finite subset  $B$  of  $X$ ,  $\psi \in \text{Stx}_{\mathcal{M}_n}(X, Z)$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By [4, Lemma 2.16] in order to show that  $Z$  is the Fraïssé limit of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  it is enough to find  $\varphi \in \text{Stx}_{\mathcal{M}_n}^{\leq \psi}(X, Z)$  with the following property: for every  $b \in B$  there is  $y \in Z$  such that  $\varphi(b, y) < \varepsilon$ . By [4, Lemma 2.8(iii)] after enlarging  $X$ , and decreasing  $\varepsilon$  we can assume that there is a finite subset  $A$  of  $X$  and an  $n$ -isometric linear map  $f : \text{span}(A) \rightarrow Z$  such that  $\psi \geq f|_A + \varepsilon$ . (Recall our convention of identifying partial isomorphisms between  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures with the corresponding approximate isomorphisms.) Denote by  $\bar{c}$  a linear basis of  $\text{span}(A \cup B)$ . By assumption if  $\delta > 0$ , then we can extend  $f$  to a linear map  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  satisfying  $\|f\|_n \|f^{-1}\|_n < 1 + \delta$ . This implies that  $d_{\mathcal{M}_n}(\bar{c}, f(\bar{c})) < \delta$  by Proposition 3.4. Therefore there exist a finite-dimensional  $M_n$ -space  $Y$  and unital complete isometries  $\phi_0 : \langle \bar{c} \rangle \rightarrow F$  and  $\phi_1 : \langle f(\bar{c}) \rangle \rightarrow F$  such that  $\|(\phi_0 - (\phi_1 \circ f))(c_i)\| \leq \delta$  for every  $i$ . Let  $\phi : \langle \bar{c} \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle f(\bar{c}) \rangle$  be the composition of  $\phi_1^{-1}$  and  $\phi_0$  as approximate morphisms. It is clear that by choosing  $\delta$  small enough we can ensure that  $\phi(x, f(x)) \leq \varepsilon$  for every  $x \in A \cup B$ . Observe finally that  $\phi$  is an approximate morphism from  $E$  to  $Z$  such that  $\psi \geq f|_A + \varepsilon \geq \phi$ .  $\square$

In view of Proposition 3.6, items (1),(2),(3) of Theorem 1.2 are an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.9 and the fact that  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is a complete Fraïssé class.

**Remark 3.7.** It follows from [6, Lemma 3.17] and Lemma 2.10 that one can realize  $\mathbb{G}_n$  as the limit of an inductive sequence  $(X_k)$  of  $M_n$ -spaces with  $n$ -isometric connective maps  $\phi_k : X_k \rightarrow X_{k+1}$  such that  $X_k$  is  $n$ -isometrically isomorphic to a finite  $\infty$ -sum of copies of  $M_n$ .

Clearly for  $n = 1$  one obtains a Banach space which is isometrically isomorphic to the Gurarij space. One might wonder whether  $\mathbb{G}_n$  is simply the tensor product of  $M_n$  with the Gurarij Banach space  $\mathbb{G}$ . We will prove in §5.7 that this is not the case.

#### 4. THE NONCOMMUTATIVE GURARIJ SPACE

**4.1. MIN and MAX spaces.** Clearly any operator space can be canonically regarded as an  $M_n$ -space. Conversely if  $X$  is an  $M_n$ -space, then there are two canonical ways to regard  $X$  as an operator space. It is natural to call an operator space structure  $\widehat{X}$  on  $X$  *compatible* if the map  $X \mapsto \widehat{X}$  is an  $n$ -isometry. The *minimal and maximal* compatible operator space structures  $\text{MIN}_n(X)$  and  $\text{MAX}_n(X)$  on an  $M_n$ -space are defined by letting  $\|x\|_{M_k(\text{MIN}_n(X))}$  to be the supremum of  $\|(id_{M_k} \otimes \phi)(x)\|_{M_k \otimes M_n}$ , where  $\phi$  varies among all  $n$ -contractions from  $X$  to  $M_n$ . Similarly,  $\|x\|_{M_k(\text{MAX}_n(X))}$  is defined to be the supremum of  $\|(id_{M_k} \otimes u)(x)\|_{M_k(B(H))}$ , where  $u$  varies among all  $n$ -contractions from  $X$  to  $B(H)$ . These are introduced in [57, Section I.3] as a generalization of the minimal and maximal quantization of a Banach space as in [33, Section 3.3]; see also [68, Section 2]. If  $X$  is an operator space then we define  $\text{MIN}_n(X)$  and  $\text{MAX}_n(X)$  to be the structures defined above starting from  $X$  regarded just as  $M_n$ -space. This is consistent with the terminology used in [66, 68].

The names MIN and MAX are suggestive of the following property; see [57, Proposition I.3.1]. If  $\widehat{X}$  is a compatible operator space structure on  $X$  then the identity maps  $\text{MAX}_n(X) \rightarrow \widehat{X} \rightarrow \text{MIN}_n(X)$  are completely bounded. The operator space structures  $\text{MIN}_n$  and  $\text{MAX}_n$  are characterized by the following universal property; see [57, Proposition I.3.6 and Proposition I.3.7]. If  $Z$  is an operator space and  $u : Z \rightarrow X$  is a linear map, then  $u : Z \rightarrow X$  is  $n$ -bounded if and only if  $u : Z \rightarrow \text{MIN}_n(X)$  is completely bounded, and in such case  $\|u : Z \rightarrow \text{MIN}_n(X)\|_{cb} = \|u : Z \rightarrow X\|_n$ . Similarly if  $Z$  is an operator space and  $u : X \rightarrow Z$  is a linear map, then  $u : X \rightarrow Z$  is  $n$ -bounded if and only if  $u : \text{MAX}_n(X) \rightarrow Z$  is completely bounded, and in such case  $\|u : \text{MAX}_n(X) \rightarrow Z\|_{cb} = \|u : X \rightarrow Z\|_n$ .

**Remark 4.1.** In the following we will always consider an  $M_n$ -space  $X$  as an operator spaces endowed with its minimal compatible operator space structure.

It is worth noting at this point that all the proofs of Section 3 go through without change when  $M_n$ -spaces are regarded as operator spaces with their minimal compatible operator space structure. This easily follows from the properties of the minimal quantization recalled above.

**4.2. Exact and 1-exact operator spaces.** Suppose that  $E$  and  $F$  are two finite-dimensional operator spaces. Define  $d_{cb}(E, F)$  to be the infimum of  $\|\phi\|_{cb} \|\phi^{-1}\|_{cb}$  when  $\phi$  ranges over all linear isomorphisms from  $E$  to  $F$ . The *exactness constant*  $ex(E)$  of a finite-dimensional operator space is the infimum of  $d_{cb}(E, F)$  where  $F$  ranges among all subspaces of  $M_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Equivalently one can define  $ex(E)$  to be the limit for  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  of the decreasing sequence  $\|id_E : \text{MIN}_n(E) \rightarrow E\|_{cb}$ , where  $id_E$  denotes the identity map of  $E$ . If  $X$  is a not necessarily finite-dimensional operator space, then its exactness constant  $ex(X)$  is the supremum of  $ex(E)$  where  $E$  ranges over all finite-dimensional subspaces of  $E$ .

An operator space is *exact* if it has finite exactness constant, and *1-exact* if it has exactness constant 1. For C\*-algebras exactness is equivalent to 1-exactness, which is in turn equivalent to several other properties; see [8, Section IV.3.4]. Exactness is a fundamental notion in the theory of C\*-algebras and operator spaces. It is a purely noncommutative phenomenon: there is no Banach space analog of nonexactness. In fact every Banach space—and in fact every  $M_n$ -space—is 1-exact. More information and several equivalent characterizations of exactness can be found in [71] and [72, Chapter 17].

In the following we will denote by  $\mathcal{E}_1$  the class of finite-dimensional 1-exact operator spaces. Moreover we will denote by  $\mathcal{M}_\infty^0 \subset \mathcal{E}_1$  the class of operator spaces that admit a completely isometric embedding into  $M_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Our goal is to show that  $\mathcal{E}_1$  is a Fraïssé class.

**4.3. Amalgamation of 1-exact operator spaces.** It is clear that  $\mathcal{E}_1$  has (HP) from Definition 2.2. It remains to verify that  $\mathcal{E}_1$  satisfies (AP). This will give (JEP) as consequence, since the trivial operator space  $\{0\}$  embeds in every element of  $\mathcal{E}_1$ .

We recall that if  $(Z_n)$  is a direct sequence of operator spaces with completely isometric linear maps  $\phi_n : Z_n \rightarrow Z_{n+1}$  one can define the *direct limit*  $\lim_{(\phi_n)} Z_n$  with canonical completely isometric linear maps  $\sigma_k : Z_k \rightarrow \lim_{(\phi_n)} Z_n$  in the following way. Let  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, (Z_n))$  be the space of sequences  $(z_n) \in \prod_n Z_n$  with  $\sup_n \|z_n\| < +\infty$ .

Define an operator seminorm structure on  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, (Z_n))$  in the sense of [13, 1.2.16] by setting  $\rho_k((z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|z_n\|_{M_k(Z_n)}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $z_n \in M_k(Z_n)$ . Finally define  $W$  to be the operator space associated with such an operator seminorm structure on  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, (Z_n))$ . For  $n, m$  let  $\phi_{n,n} = id_{Z_n}$ ,  $\phi_{n,m} = \phi_{m-1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_n$  if  $n < m$ , and  $\phi_{n,m} = 0$  otherwise. Define the maps  $\sigma_k : Z_k \rightarrow W$  by  $\sigma_k(x) = (\phi_{k,n}(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Finally set  $\lim_{(\phi_n)} Z_n$  to be the closure inside  $W$  of the union of the images of  $Z_k$  under  $\sigma_k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is clear that if for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the space  $Z_k$  is 1-exact, then  $\lim_{(\phi_n)} Z_n$  is 1-exact.

The proof of the following proposition is inspired by [30, Theorem 4.7] and [66, Theorem 1.1].

**Proposition 4.2.** *Suppose that  $X_0 \subset X$  and  $Y$  are finite-dimensional 1-exact operator spaces,  $\delta > 0$ , and  $f : X_0 \rightarrow Y$  is such that  $\|f\|_{cb} < 1 + \delta$  and  $\|f^{-1}\|_{cb} < 1 + \delta$ . Then there exists a 1-exact separable operator space  $Z$  and linear complete isometries  $j : Y \rightarrow Z$  and  $i : X \rightarrow Z$  such that  $\|j \circ f - i|_{X_0}\|_{cb} < \delta$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\delta' < \delta$  such that  $\|f\|_{cb} < 1 + \delta'$  and  $\|f^{-1}\|_{cb} < 1 + \delta'$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\delta' + 2\varepsilon < \delta$ . We will construct by recursion on  $k$  sequences  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(Z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $i_k : X \rightarrow Z_k$ ,  $j_k : Y \rightarrow Z_k$ ,  $\phi_k : Z_k \rightarrow Z_{k+1}$  such that

- (1)  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is nondecreasing,
- (2)  $Z_k$  is an  $M_{n_k}$ -space,
- (3)  $i_k$  and  $j_k$  are injective completely contractive linear maps,
- (4)  $\phi_k$  is a completely isometric linear map,
- (5)  $\|i_k^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq 1 + \varepsilon 2^{-k}$ ,  $\|j_k^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq 1 + \varepsilon 2^{-k}$ ,
- (6)  $\|\phi_k \circ i_k - i_{k+1}\|_{cb} \leq 1 + \varepsilon 2^{-k}$ ,  $\|\phi_k \circ j_k - j_{k+1}\|_{cb} \leq 1 + \varepsilon 2^{-k}$ , and
- (7)  $\|j_k \circ f - i_k|_{X_0}\|_{cb} \leq \delta' + \varepsilon \sum_{i < k} 2^{-i+1}$ .

We can apply Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 2.10 to define  $n_1, Z_1, i_1$ , and  $j_1$ . Suppose that  $n_k, Z_k, i_k, j_k$ , and  $\phi_{k-1}$  have been defined for  $k \leq m$ . By Lemma 2.10 we can pick  $n_{m+1} \geq n_m$  and injective completely contractive maps  $\theta_X : X \rightarrow M_{n_{m+1}}$  and  $\theta_Y : Y \rightarrow M_{n_{m+1}}$  such that  $\|\theta_X^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq 1 + \varepsilon 2^{-2(m+1)}$  and  $\|\theta_Y^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq 1 + \varepsilon 2^{-(m+1)}$ . By injectivity of  $M_{n_{m+1}}$  there are complete contractions  $\alpha_X, \alpha_Y : Z_m \rightarrow M_{n_{m+1}}$  such that  $\alpha_X \circ i_m = \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon 2^{-m}} \theta_X$  and  $\alpha_Y \circ j_m = \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon 2^{-m}} \theta_Y$ . Define  $W$  to be  $\text{MIN}_{n_{m+1}}(Z_m \oplus^\infty M_{n_{m+1}})$ . Define linear maps  $\hat{\theta}_X : X \rightarrow W$ ,  $x \mapsto (i_m(x), \theta_X(x))$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_Y : Y \rightarrow W$ ,  $y \mapsto (j_m(y), \theta_Y(y))$ ,  $\hat{\alpha}_X : Z_m \rightarrow W$ ,  $z \mapsto (z, \alpha_X(z))$ , and  $\hat{\alpha}_Y : Z_m \rightarrow W$ ,  $z \mapsto (z, \alpha_Y(z))$ . Observe that  $\hat{\alpha}_X, \hat{\alpha}_Y$  are completely isometric, while  $\hat{\theta}_X$  and  $\hat{\theta}_Y$  are completely contractive with  $\|\hat{\theta}_X^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq \|\theta_X^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq 1 + \varepsilon 2^{-(m+1)}$  and  $\|\hat{\theta}_Y^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq \|\theta_Y^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq 1 + \varepsilon 2^{-(m+1)}$ . Note also that  $\|\hat{\theta}_X - \hat{\alpha}_X \circ i_m\|_{cb} \leq \varepsilon 2^{-m}$  and  $\|\hat{\theta}_Y - \hat{\alpha}_Y \circ j_m\|_{cb} \leq \varepsilon 2^{-m}$ . Define now

$$N = \{(- (z_0 + z_1), \hat{\alpha}_X(z_0), \hat{\alpha}_Y(z_1)) \in Z_m \oplus W \oplus W : z_0, z_1 \in Z_m\}.$$

Let  $Z_{m+1}$  be  $\text{MIN}_{n_{m+1}}((Z_m \oplus^1 W \oplus^1 W)/N)$ . Consider the first coordinate inclusion  $\phi_m : Z_m \rightarrow Z_{m+1}$  of  $Z_m$  into  $Z_{m+1}$ . Similarly define  $\psi_X, \psi_Y : W \rightarrow Z_{m+1}$  to be the second and third coordinate inclusions. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 one can verify directly that  $\phi_m, \psi_X, \psi_Y$  are complete isometries. Alternatively one can use [66, Lemma 2.4] together with the properties of  $\text{MIN}$ . Observe that  $\phi_m = \psi_X \circ \hat{\alpha}_X = \psi_Y \circ \hat{\alpha}_Y$ . Define now linear complete contractions  $i_{m+1} := \psi_X \circ \hat{\theta}_X : X \rightarrow Z_{m+1}$  and  $j_{m+1} := \psi_Y \circ \hat{\theta}_Y : Y \rightarrow Z_{m+1}$ . Observe that  $\|i_{m+1}^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq \|\hat{\theta}_X^{-1}\|_{cb} < 1 + \varepsilon 2^{-(m+1)}$  and  $\|j_{m+1}^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq \|\hat{\theta}_Y^{-1}\|_{cb} < 1 + \varepsilon 2^{-(m+1)}$ . Moreover

$$\|\phi_m \circ i_m - i_{m+1}\|_{cb} = \left\| \phi_m \circ i_m - \psi_X \circ \hat{\theta}_X \right\|_{cb} \leq \|\phi_m \circ i_m - \psi_X \circ \hat{\alpha}_X \circ i_m\|_{cb} + \varepsilon 2^{-m} = \varepsilon 2^{-m}.$$

Similarly,  $\|\psi_m \circ j_m - j_{m+1}\|_{cb} \leq \varepsilon 2^{-m}$ . Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|i_{m+1} - j_{m+1} \circ f\|_{cb} &= \left\| \psi_X \circ \hat{\theta}_X - \psi_Y \circ \hat{\theta}_Y \circ f \right\|_{cb} \leq \|\psi_X \circ \hat{\alpha}_X \circ i_m - \psi_Y \circ \hat{\alpha}_Y \circ j_m \circ f\|_{cb} + \varepsilon 2^{-m+1} \\ &\leq \|\phi_m \circ i_m - \phi_m \circ j_m \circ f\|_{cb} + \varepsilon 2^{-m+1} \leq \|i_m - j_m \circ f\|_{cb} + \varepsilon 2^{-m+1} \leq \delta' + \varepsilon \sum_{i \leq m} 2^{-i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the recursive construction. Let now  $Z$  be  $\lim_{(\phi_k)} Z_k$  with canonical linear complete isometries  $\sigma_k : Z_k \rightarrow Z$ . Consider also the embeddings  $i : X \rightarrow Z$  and  $j : Y \rightarrow Z$  defined by  $i := \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_k \circ i_k$  and  $j := \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_k \circ j_k$ . It is easily seen as in the proof of [30, Theorem 4.7] that  $Z$  is a 1-exact separable operator space, and  $i, j$  are well defined completely isometric linear maps such that  $\|j \circ f - i|_{X_0}\|_{cb} \leq \delta + 2\varepsilon$ .  $\square$

In particular Proposition 4.2 for  $\delta = 0$  shows that the class  $\mathcal{E}_1$  has (NAP). It is not difficult to modify the proof above to show that the conclusions of Proposition 4.2 hold even when  $X$  and  $Y$  are (not necessarily finite-dimensional) separable 1-exact operator spaces.

**Remark 4.3.** The existence of the noncommutative Gurarij  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  can be deduced by a repeated application of Proposition 4.2 to the class of finite-dimensional operator spaces that embed completely isometrically into  $M_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The following lemma can be easily obtained from Proposition 4.2, similarly as Lemma 2.2 is derived from Lemma 2.1 in [56].

**Lemma 4.4.** If  $Z$  is a Gurarij operator space,  $E \subset Z$  is finite-dimensional,  $Y$  is finite-dimensional and 1-exact, and  $f : E \rightarrow F$  is an invertible linear map such that  $\|f\|_{cb} < 1 + \delta$  and  $\|f^{-1}\|_{cb} < 1 + \delta$  then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $g : F \rightarrow Z$  such that  $\|g\|_{cb} < 1 + \varepsilon$ ,  $\|g^{-1}\|_{cb} < 1 + \varepsilon$ , and  $\|g \circ f - id_E\|_{cb} < \delta$ .

**4.4. The Fraïssé metric space.** Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and denote by  $\mathcal{E}_1(k)$  the space of pairs  $(\bar{a}, X)$  such that  $X$  is a  $k$ -dimensional 1-exact operator space and  $\bar{a}$  is a basis of  $X$ . Two such pairs  $(\bar{a}, X)$  and  $(\bar{b}, Y)$  are identified if there is a complete isometry  $\phi$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  such that  $\phi(\bar{a}) = \bar{b}$ . To simplify the notation the pair  $(\bar{a}, X)$  will be simply denoted  $\bar{a}$ , where we set  $X = \langle \bar{a} \rangle$ . Denote by  $d_{\mathcal{E}_1}$  the Fraïssé metric on  $\mathcal{E}_1(k)$  as in Definition 2.4. We further denote by  $\mathcal{M}_\infty^0(k)$  the subspace of  $\mathcal{E}_1(k)$  consisting of pairs  $(\bar{a}, X)$  such that  $X$  admits a completely isometric embedding into  $M_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As in Subsection 3.2 we define an element  $\bar{a}$  of  $\mathcal{E}_1$  to be  $N$ -Auerbach if  $\|a_i\| \leq N$  and  $\|a_i^*\| \leq N$  for every  $i \leq k$ , where  $a_i^*$  denotes the dual basis of  $\bar{a}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{E}_1(k, N)$  the set of  $N$ -Auerbach  $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{E}_1(k)$ . Observe that the set  $\mathcal{E}_1(k, N)$  is closed in  $\mathcal{E}_1(k)$ . If  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathcal{E}_1(k)$ , denote as in Subsection 3.2 by  $\iota_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}}$  the linear isomorphism from  $\langle \bar{a} \rangle$  to  $\langle \bar{b} \rangle$  such that  $\iota_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}}(a_i) = b_i$  for  $i \leq k$ . Define the completely bounded distance  $d_{cb}(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$  to be  $\|\iota_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}}\|_{cb} \|\iota_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}}^{-1}\|_{cb}$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** Suppose that  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathcal{E}_1(k, N)$ . Then  $d_{\mathcal{E}_1}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \leq d_{cb}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) - 1$ , and  $d_{cb}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \leq \frac{1+kNd_{\mathcal{E}_1}(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}{1-kNd_{\mathcal{E}_1}(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}$ .

*Proof.* The first inequality follows from Proposition 4.2. Suppose now that  $d_{\mathcal{E}_1}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) < \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Then one can realize  $\langle \bar{a} \rangle, \langle \bar{b} \rangle$  as subspaces of a 1-exact operator space  $Z$  in such a way that  $\|a_i - b_i\| < \delta$  for every  $i \leq k$ . The conclusion then follows from the small perturbation lemma [72, Lemma 2.13.1].  $\square$

Using Proposition 4.5 one can show that  $(\mathcal{E}_1(k), d_{\mathcal{E}_1})$  is a separable metric space. Recall that  $\mathcal{M}_\infty^0 \subset \mathcal{E}_1$  denotes the class of operator spaces that admit a completely isometric embedding into  $M_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\mathcal{E}_1(k), d_{\mathcal{E}_1})$  is a complete metric space, and  $\mathcal{M}_\infty^0(k)$  is a dense subset of  $\mathcal{E}_1(k)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(\bar{a}^{(m)})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{E}_1(k)$ . Applying Proposition 4.2 one can construct a sequence  $(X_n)$  of separable 1-exact operator spaces such that  $X_n \subset X_{n+1}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\langle \bar{a}^{(m)} \rangle \subset X_n$  for  $m \leq n$  in such a way that  $\max_i \|a_i^{(m_0)} - a_i^{(m_1)}\| \leq d_{\mathcal{E}_1}(\bar{a}^{(m_0)}, \bar{a}^{(m_1)})$  for  $m_0, m_1 \leq n$ . One can then let  $X$  be the inductive limit of the sequence  $(X_n)$ , and  $\bar{a}_i$  for  $i \leq k$  be the limit of the sequence  $(\bar{a}_i^{(m)})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$ . This defines an element  $\bar{a}$  of  $\mathcal{E}_1(k)$  that is the limit of  $(\bar{a}^{(m)})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Observe now that by Proposition 4.5 and by the definition of 1-exact operator space,  $\mathcal{M}_\infty^0(k)$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{E}_1(k), d_{\mathcal{E}_1})$ . It follows from Proposition 3.5 that  $\mathcal{M}_\infty^0(k)$  is a separable subspace of  $\mathcal{E}_1(k)$ .  $\square$

**4.5. The noncommutative Gurarij space as a Fraïssé limit .** We have shown in Subsection 4.3 that  $\mathcal{E}_1$  is a Fraïssé class. Therefore we can consider its corresponding Fraïssé limit according to Theorem 2.9. The following definition has been suggested in [66].

**Definition 4.7.** A separable 1-exact operator space  $Z$  is (*noncommutative*) *Gurarij* if for any finite-dimensional 1-exact operator spaces  $X \subset Y$ , complete isometry  $\phi : X \rightarrow Z$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an injective linear map  $\psi : Y \rightarrow Z$  extending  $\phi$  such that  $\|\psi\|_{cb} \|\psi^{-1}\|_{cb} < 1 + \varepsilon$ .

**Proposition 4.8.** Suppose that  $Z$  is a separable 1-exact. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $Z$  is a Fraïssé limit of the class  $\mathcal{E}_1$ ;
- (2) For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , subspace  $X$  of  $M_n$ , complete isometry  $\phi : X \rightarrow Z$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a complete isometry  $\psi : M_n \rightarrow Z$  such that  $\|\psi|_X - \phi\| < \varepsilon$ ;
- (3)  $Z$  is Gurarij;
- (4)  $Z$  satisfies the same property as in Definition 4.7 when  $Y = M_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* The implications (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) are obvious. The implications (2) $\Rightarrow$ (4) and (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) can be proved using Proposition 4.5 similarly as the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) in Proposition 3.6. The implication (4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) can be proved as (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) of Proposition 3.6, or [4, Theorem 3.3], with the extra ingredient of [4, Lemma 2.16] and the fact that  $\mathcal{M}_\infty^0(k)$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{E}_1(k), d_{\mathcal{E}_1})$ .  $\square$

With such a characterization of a limit of the Fraïssé class  $\mathcal{E}_1$  at hand, one can prove the existence, uniqueness, homogeneity, and universality properties of  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  as stated in Theorem 1.1 (1),(2),(3). Indeed the existence, uniqueness, and universality statements follow from Theorem 2.9 and Proposition 4.8. The homogeneity property of  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  can be deduced from Lemma 4.4 via an intertwining argument, similarly as Theorem 1.1 is deduced from Lemma 2.1 in [56].

**Remark 4.9.** It follows from the proof of [6, Lemma 3.17] and density of  $\mathcal{M}_\infty^0(k)$  inside  $\mathcal{E}_1(k)$  that  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  can be realized as the limit of an inductive sequence  $(X_n)$  of operator spaces with completely isometric connective maps  $\phi_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$  such that  $X_n$  is completely isometric to  $M_{d_n}$  for some  $d_n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This shows that  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  is a *rigid*  $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{L}_{\infty,1+}$  as introduced in [32, 49]. In particular, it is *nuclear* and it has the *weak expectation property* [30, Theorem 4.5].

**Remark 4.10.** It also follows from the proof of [6, Lemma 3.17] and the fact that, for every  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_n(k) \subset \mathcal{E}_1(k)$  and  $\bigcup_n \mathcal{M}_n(k)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}_1(k)$ , that one can realize  $\mathcal{E}_1(k)$  as the limit of an inductive sequence  $(X_n)$  of operator spaces with completely isometric connective maps  $\phi_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$  such that  $X_n$  is completely isometric to  $\mathbb{G}_n$  endowed with its canonical operator space structure. In this sense  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  can be regarded as the limit of the spaces  $\mathbb{G}_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

One can regard  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  as the operator space analog of the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . Indeed, it is observed in [25] that  $\mathcal{O}_2$  is the Fraïssé limit of the class of finitely generated exact C\*-algebras. This is indeed an immediate consequence of Kirchberg's exact embedding theorem [53, Theorem 2.8] asserting that every separable exact C\*-algebra admits an embedding into  $\mathcal{O}_2$ , and any two such embeddings are approximately unitarily conjugate. The universality and homogeneity properties of  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  can be seen as the natural operator space analogs of these properties of  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . Kirchberg's nuclear embedding theorem asserts furthermore that a separable C\*-algebra is nuclear if and only if it is \*-isomorphic to a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  that is the range of a conditional expectation of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  [74, Theorem 6.3.12]. The operator space version of this fact has been proved in [60]: a separable operator space is nuclear if and only if it is completely isometrically isomorphic to the range of a completely contractive projection of  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$ .

Since a C\*-algebra is exact if and only if it is 1-exact as an operator space [72, Corollary 17.5], it follows that  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  contains a completely isometric copy of any separable exact C\*-algebra. Particularly,  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  contains a completely isometric copy of  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . However,  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  is "larger" than  $\mathcal{O}_2$ , as we will prove in §5.1:  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  does not embed completely isometrically into  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . In fact,  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  does not admit any completely isometric embedding into any exact C\*-algebra. This seems to be the first example of a separable nuclear operator space that does not embed into an exact C\*-algebra. An example of a separable nuclear operator system that does not admit any *unital* completely isometric embedding into a unital exact C\*-algebra has been constructed in [54].

Since  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  is itself 1-exact, one can conclude that  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  is not completely isometric to a C\*-algebra or to a ternary ring of operators. This answers a question of Oikhberg from [66]. An explicit example of a separable nuclear operator system that is not *unitally* completely isometrically isomorphic to a unital C\*-algebra is provided in [45].

## 5. FURTHER PROPERTIES OF THE NONCOMMUTATIVE GURARIJ SPACE

**5.1. The triple envelope of the Gurarij operator space.** A *ternary ring of operators* (TRO) is a subspace  $V$  of  $B(H, K)$  for some Hilbert spaces  $H, K$  such that  $xy^*z \in V$  for any  $x, y, z \in V$ . The operation  $(x, y, z) \mapsto xy^*z$  on  $V$  is called *triple product*. A *triple morphism* between TROs is a linear map that preserves the triple product. Observe that (the restriction of) a \*-homomorphism is in particular a triple morphism. A TRO has a canonical operator space structure, where the matrix norms are uniquely determined by the triple product [51, Proposition 2.1]. A triple morphism between TROs is automatically completely contractive [44, 46], and it is injective if and only if it is completely isometric [13, Lemma 8.3.2]. The range of a completely contractive projection  $P$  of a TRO  $X$  is also a TRO when endowed with the triple product  $(x, y, z) \mapsto P(xy^*z)$ , where  $xy^*z$  is the triple product evaluated in  $X$  [83]. A *representation* of a TRO  $X$  is a triple morphism from  $X$  to  $B(H, K)$  for some Hilbert spaces  $H, K$ .

A  $W^*$ -TRO is a TRO  $V \subset B(H, K)$  that is closed in the weak operator topology. This is equivalent to the assertion that  $V$  is a dual Banach space [30, Theorem 2.6]. Every triple isomorphism between  $W^*$ -TROs is automatically  $w^*$ -continuous. In the language of Hilbert modules, TROs correspond precisely to the *full Hilbert modules* over C\*-algebras, while  $W^*$ -TRO correspond to *self-dual and weakly full Hilbert modules* over von Neumann algebras; see [77, 84].

In the following, we denote by  $\mathcal{L}(V)$  the *linking* C\*-algebra of  $V$ , and by  $e$  the canonical projection such that  $e\mathcal{L}(V)e^\perp = V$ . Following [51], we also let  $\mathcal{R}(V)$  be the weak\*-closure of  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ . (It is proved in [51]

that this is well defined, and does not depend from the concrete representation of  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ .) The corner  $e\mathcal{L}(V)e$  coincides with the closed linear span  $V^*V$  of operators of the form  $x^*y$  for  $x, y \in V$ . One can similarly identify  $e^\perp\mathcal{L}(V)e^\perp$  with  $VV^*$ . It is observed in [51, page 269] that  $e \in \mathcal{R}(V)$ , and if  $V$  is a  $W^*$ -TRO, then  $\mathcal{R}(V)$  is a von Neumann algebra called the *linking von Neumann algebra* of  $V$ , and  $V = e\mathcal{R}(V)e^\perp$ . For an arbitrary TRO  $V$ , the linking von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{R}(V^{**})$  of the second dual of  $V$  can be identified with the weak\*-closure of the linking  $C^*$ -algebra of  $V$  [51, Proposition 2.4].

A TRO is injective (resp. nuclear, exact) if and only if its linking  $C^*$ -algebra is injective (resp. nuclear, exact) [51, Theorem 6.3, Theorem 6.5]. A finite-dimensional operator space  $X$  is a TRO if and only if it is injective [79]. In this case,  $X$  is the finite  $\infty$ -sum of spaces of matrices  $M_{n,m}$  for  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will use repeatedly the following result of Hamana [44, Theorem 3.2(ii)], which can be regarded as a nonunital analog of a well known result of Choi and Effros [23, Theorem 4.1].

**Proposition 5.1** (Hamana). *Suppose that  $V, W$  are TROs and  $\theta : V \rightarrow W$  is a linear complete isometry. Then there exists a triple morphism  $\eta : \mathcal{T}(\theta[V]) \rightarrow V$  such that  $\eta \circ \theta = \text{id}_V$ , where  $\mathcal{T}(\theta[V])$  is the subTRO of  $W$  generated by the image of  $V$  under  $\theta$ .*

We will call a TRO which is an  $M_n$ -space an  $n$ -minimal TRO. The 1-minimal TROs are precisely the commutative TROs in the sense of [13, §8.6.4], and are usually called  $C_\sigma$ -spaces in the Banach space literature. More information on the theory of TROs can be found in [11, 46–49, 51, 65, 77, 84].

Suppose that  $X$  is an operator space. A *triple cover* of  $X$  is a pair  $(\phi, V)$  where  $V$  is a TRO and  $\phi : X \rightarrow V$  is a linear complete isometry. Triple covers naturally form a category, where a morphism from  $(\phi_0, V_0)$  to  $(\phi_1, V_1)$  is a triple morphism  $\psi : V_0 \rightarrow V_1$  such that  $\psi \circ \phi_0 = \phi_1$ . The (unique up to isomorphism) terminal object in such a category is called the *triple envelope*  $\mathcal{T}_e(X)$  of  $X$ . The existence of such an object has been proved independently by Ruan [76] and Hamana [44].

The same proof as [54, Proposition 8], where [54, Lemma 6] is replaced by Proposition 5.1, shows that any operator space  $X$  has also a (unique) *universal TRO*. This is a triple cover  $(u_X, \mathcal{T}_u(X))$  of  $X$  that satisfies the following universal property: whenever  $\phi$  is a complete contraction from  $X$  to a TRO  $W$ , there exists a (necessarily unique) triple morphism  $\theta : \mathcal{T}_u(X) \rightarrow W$  such that  $\theta \circ u_X = \phi$ . The proof also shows that  $\mathcal{T}_u(X)$  has a faithful family of finite-dimensional representations. Indeed one can consider the collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of all surjective completely contractive maps  $s : X \rightarrow V_s$  where  $V_s$  is a finite-dimensional TRO. Let  $W$  be the  $\infty$ -sum of  $V_s$  for  $s \in \mathcal{F}$ . One can then define  $\mathcal{T}_u(X)$  to be the subTRO of  $W$  generated by the elements of the form  $(s(x))_{s \in \mathcal{F}}$  for  $x \in X$ . The completely isometric map  $u_X : X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_u(X)$  is given by  $x \mapsto (s(x))_{s \in \mathcal{F}}$ .

In the following, we will identify an operator space with a subspace of its triple envelope. We will denote the canonical inclusion of an operator space  $X$  into its universal TRO by  $u_X$ . The universal property of  $\mathcal{T}_u(X)$  shows that there exists a unique (necessarily surjective) triple morphism  $\sigma_X : \mathcal{T}_u(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_e(X)$  such that  $\sigma_X \circ u_X$  is the inclusion map from  $X$  into  $\mathcal{T}_e(X)$ .

The same proof as [54, Proposition 9]—where one replaces Arveson’s extension theorem [3, Theorem 1.2.3] with the Haagerup-Paulsen-Wittstock extension theorem [69, Theorem 8.2]—shows that a complete isometry between operator spaces “lifts” to an injective triple morphism between the corresponding universal TROs. The precise statement is the following:

**Lemma 5.2.** *If  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a linear complete isometry, then there exists a unique injective triple morphism  $\bar{\phi} : \mathcal{T}_u(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_u(Y)$  such that  $\bar{\phi} \circ u_X = u_Y \circ \phi$ .*

Our goal is to prove that the canonical triple morphism  $\sigma_{\text{NG}} : \mathcal{T}_u(\text{NG}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_e(\text{NG})$  is injective and, hence, a triple isomorphism.

**Theorem 5.3.** *The canonical triple morphism  $\sigma_{\text{NG}} : \mathcal{T}_u(\text{NG}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_e(\text{NG})$  is a triple isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma_{\text{NG}}$  is a surjective triple morphism, it only remains to prove that  $\sigma_{\text{NG}}$  is injective. As observed in Remark 4.9,  $\text{NG}$  is the limit of an inductive sequence  $(X_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  with unital completely isometric connective maps  $\phi_k : X_k \rightarrow X_{k+1}$ , where  $X_k$  is completely isometrically isomorphic to a full matrix algebra. Denote by  $\iota_k : X_k \rightarrow \text{NG}$  the canonical inclusion. Here and below we denote by  $\bar{\iota}_k$  the unique triple morphism from  $\mathcal{T}_u(X_k)$  to  $\mathcal{T}_u(\text{NG})$  that “lifts”  $\iota_k$ , in the sense that  $\bar{\iota}_k \circ u_{X_k} = u_{\text{NG}} \circ \iota_k$ . Observe that the set of elements of the form  $\bar{\iota}_k(z)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $z \in \mathcal{T}_u(X_k)$  is dense in  $\text{NG}$ . Suppose that  $\bar{\iota}_k(z)$  is such an element. Fix  $\delta > 0$  small enough. As observed above,  $\mathcal{T}_u(X_k)$  has a faithful family of finite-dimensional representations. Therefore there exist  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and a triple morphism  $\pi : \mathcal{T}_u(X_k) \rightarrow M_d$  such that  $\pi \circ u_{X_k}$  is a complete isometry and  $\|\pi(z)\| \geq (1 - \delta)\|z\|$ . Set  $\theta := \pi \circ u_{X_k} : X_k \rightarrow M_d$ , and observe that  $\pi$  is the unique triple morphism from  $\mathcal{T}_u(X_k)$  to  $M_d$  such that  $\pi \circ u_{X_k} = \theta$ . By the homogeneity property of  $\text{NG}$ , there is a unital complete isometry

$\eta : M_d \rightarrow \mathbb{G}\mathbb{S}$  such that  $\|\eta \circ \theta - \iota_k\|_{cb} \leq \delta$ . Observe that, for  $\delta$  small enough, we have  $\|(\overline{\eta \circ \theta})(z) - \overline{\iota_k}(z)\| \leq \varepsilon$ . By Proposition 5.1 there is a triple morphism  $\mu : \mathcal{T}(\eta[M_d]) \subset \mathcal{T}_u(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow M_d$  such that  $\mu \circ \eta = id_{M_d}$ . Observe now that  $\mu \circ \sigma_{\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}} \circ \overline{\eta \circ \theta} : \mathcal{T}_u(X_k) \rightarrow Y$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism such that

$$\mu \circ \sigma_{\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}} \circ \overline{\eta \circ \theta} \circ u_{X_k} = \mu \circ \sigma_{\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}} \circ u_{\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}} \circ \eta \circ \theta = \mu \circ \eta \circ \theta = \theta.$$

Therefore  $\mu \circ \sigma_{\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}} \circ \overline{\eta \circ \theta} = \pi$ . Hence we have that

$$\|\sigma_{\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}}(\overline{\iota_k}(z))\| \geq \|(\sigma_{\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}} \circ \overline{\eta \circ \theta})(z)\| - \varepsilon \geq \|(\mu \circ \sigma_{\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}} \circ \overline{\eta \circ \theta})(z)\| - \varepsilon = \|\pi(z)\| - \varepsilon \geq \|\overline{\iota_k}(z)\| - 2\varepsilon.$$

This concludes the proof that  $\sigma$  is injective.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** The noncommutative Gurarij space  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  does not admit any unital completely isometric embedding into an exact  $C^*$ -algebra. Moreover if  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G} \subset B(H)$  is a unital completely isometric representation of  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  then the TRO generated by  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  inside  $B(H)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{T}_e(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G})$ .

*Proof.* The second assertion is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.3. We now prove the first assertion. As recalled above, a TRO is exact if and only if it is 1-exact as an operator space, if and only if its linking  $C^*$ -algebra is exact [51, Theorem 4.4]. Moreover a (surjective) triple morphism between TROs induces a (surjective)  $*$ -homomorphism between the corresponding linking algebras. Since the class of exact  $C^*$ -algebras is closed under quotients [21, Corollary 9.4.3], it follows that the image of an exact TRO under a triple morphism is exact. Observe now that if  $X$  is an operator system,  $C_u^*(X)$  is its universal  $C^*$ -algebra as defined in [54, §3], and  $\mathcal{T}_u(X)$  is the triple envelope of  $X$ , then the universal property of  $\mathcal{T}_u(X)$  implies the existence of a surjective triple morphism from  $\mathcal{T}_u(X)$  to  $C_u^*(X)$ . Therefore if  $C_u^*(X)$  is not exact, then  $\mathcal{T}_u(X)$  is not exact as well. Since the universal  $C^*$ -algebra of  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  is not exact [54, Section 5], it follows that the universal TRO of  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  is not exact. Since  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  embeds completely isometrically into  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$ , it follows from Lemma 5.2 that  $\mathcal{T}_u(M_2(\mathbb{C}))$  embeds as a subTRO of  $\mathcal{T}_u(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}) \cong \mathcal{T}_e(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G})$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{T}_e(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G})$  is not exact. Now, if  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  embeds completely isometrically into a TRO  $V$ , then the universal property of  $\mathcal{T}_e(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G})$  implies that  $\mathcal{T}_e(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G})$  is the image of under a triple morphism of the subTRO of  $V$  generated by (the image of)  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$ . Hence  $V$  is not exact.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.5.** The noncommutative Gurarij space  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  is not completely isometric to a TRO or a  $C^*$ -algebra.

**5.2. Characterization of the noncommutative Gurarij space.** Theorem 5.3 asserts that the canonical triple morphism  $\sigma_{\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}} : \mathcal{T}_u(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_e(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G})$  is injective. We will now prove that such a property characterizes  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  among the separable nuclear operator spaces of dimension at least 1.

**Theorem 5.6.** *If  $X$  is a separable nuclear operator space of dimension at least 1 such that  $\sigma_X : \mathcal{T}_u(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_e(X)$  is injective, then  $X$  is completely isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$ .*

The following notion as been consider in [49]: an operator space  $X$  is a *rigid rectangular*  $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{L}_{\infty,1+}$  space if for any finite subset  $F$  of  $X$  there exist a finite-dimensional TRO  $V$  and a linear complete isometry  $\phi : V \rightarrow F$  such that any element of  $F$  is at distance at most  $\varepsilon$  from the range of  $\phi$ . Any rigid  $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{L}_{\infty,1+}$  space is, in particular, a rigid rectangular  $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{L}_{\infty,+1}$  space. We have observed in Remark 4.9 that  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  is a rigid  $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{L}_{\infty,+1}$  space. Using Proposition 4.2 together with an approximate intertwining argument one obtains the following characterization of  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  among the separable rigid rectangular  $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{L}_{\infty,1+}$  spaces.

**Lemma 5.7.** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $X$  is a separable rigid  $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{L}_{\infty,1+}$  operator space containing an isometric copy of  $M_n$ . Assume that, if  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $V$  is a finite-dimensional TRO containing a completely isometric copy of  $M_n$ ,  $\phi : V \rightarrow M_d$  and  $f : V \rightarrow X$  are complete isometries, and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there exists an injective complete contraction  $\hat{f} : M_d \rightarrow X$  such that  $\|\hat{f}^{-1}\|_{cb} < 1 + \varepsilon$  and  $\|\hat{f} \circ \phi - f\| < \varepsilon$ . Then  $X$  is completely isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$ .

Let  $X$  be a nuclear operator space. Since  $X$  is nuclear, its second dual  $X^{**}$  is an injective operator space [30, Theorem 4.5], and hence a dual TRO [30, Proposition 3.2]. We will identify  $X$  with its image under the canonical embedding inside  $X^{**}$ . The same argument as [54, Proposition 10], where one replaces [54, Lemma 6] with Proposition 5.1, shows that one can identify  $\mathcal{T}_e(X)$  with the subTRO of  $X^{**}$  generated by  $X$ .

As mentioned in §5.3, we denote by  $\mathcal{R}(X^{**})$  the linking von Neumann algebra of  $X^{**}$ . Then there is a projection  $e \in \mathcal{R}(X^{**})$  with central cover 1 such that  $X^{**} = e\mathcal{R}(X^{**})e^\perp$ , where  $e^\perp = 1 - e$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{R}(X^{**})_{I_{<\infty}}$  the sum of the type  $I_n$  parts of  $\mathcal{R}(X^{**})$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $z_{I_{<\infty}}$  be the corresponding central projection, and  $e_{I_{<\infty}} = ez_{I_{<\infty}}$ . We abbreviate  $e_{I_{<\infty}}\mathcal{R}(X^{**})e_{I_{<\infty}}^\perp$  by  $X_{I_{<\infty}}^{**}$ . It is showed in [77] that the type decomposition of von Neumann algebras can be generalized to dual TROs. Referring to such a type decomposition,  $X_{I_{<\infty}}^{**}$  is the sum of the type  $I_{n,m}$  parts of  $X^{**}$  for  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

It will be crucial in the following a lifting theorem of Effros and Ruan for quotients of operator spaces by  $M$ -ideals. The theory of  $M$ -ideals for Banach spaces was initiated by Alfsen and Effros in the influential papers [1, 2]. The generalization of this theory to operator spaces has been initially considered by Effros and Ruan [31], where *complete  $M$ -ideals* are introduced. A subspace  $J$  of an operator space  $X$  is a complete  $M$ -ideal if the weak\*-closure  $L$  of  $J$  inside  $X^{**}$  is a complete  $L$ -summand. This means that there exists a completely contractive projection  $P$  of  $X^{**}$  onto  $L$  such that  $\|x\| = \max \{\|P(x)\|, \|x - P^{(n)}(x)\|\}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in M_n(X^{**})$ .

A one-sided theory that studies left  $M$ -ideals and right  $M$ -ideals in operator spaces has later been developed [12, 14–20]. In the following we will only consider (bilateral) complete  $M$ -ideals in operator spaces. These coincide with the subspaces that are simultaneously left  $M$ -ideals and right  $M$ -ideals. A subspace  $X$  of a TRO  $V$  is called a *triple ideal* if it is a  $V^*V$ - $VV^*$ -bimodule [13, §8.3.1]. The complete  $M$ -ideals of a TRO  $V$  are precisely the triple ideals, and any  $M$ -ideal is automatically a complete  $M$ -ideal [13, §8.5.20]. The proof of [31, Theorem 5.2] starting from [31, Lemma 5.1] can be easily adapted to show the following slightly more general statement. Recall that an operator space  $X$  satisfies the operator metric approximation property if the identity map of  $X$  is the pointwise limit of finite-rank completely contractive maps from  $X$  to  $X$ .

**Theorem 5.8** (Effros-Ruan). *Suppose that  $A$  is an operator space that satisfies the operator metric approximation property,  $F \subset A$  is a finite-dimensional subspace,  $Z, X$  are operator spaces, and  $P : Z \rightarrow X$  is a complete quotient mapping whose kernel is a complete  $M$ -ideal of  $Z$ . If  $\phi : A \rightarrow X$  and  $\psi : A \rightarrow Z$  are complete contractions such that  $\|(P \circ \psi - \phi)|_F\| < \delta$ , then there exists a complete contraction  $\tilde{\psi} : A \rightarrow Z$  such that  $P \circ \tilde{\psi} = \phi$  and  $\|\tilde{\psi}|_F - \psi\| < 6\epsilon$ .*

From now until the end of the section we will assume that  $X$  is a nuclear operator space such that the map  $\sigma_X : \mathcal{T}_u(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_e(X)$  is injective. In particular this implies that for any completely isometric inclusion  $X \subset V$  inside a TRO  $V$  such that  $X$  generates  $V$  as a TRO, the canonical morphisms from  $\mathcal{T}_u(X)$  to  $V$  and from  $V$  to  $\mathcal{T}_u(X)$  are both isomorphism. We will denote  $\mathcal{T}_u(X) \cong \mathcal{T}_e(X)$  simply by  $\mathcal{T}(X)$ , and will identify it with the subTRO of  $X^{**}$  generated by  $X$ . Matrix convexity is a noncommutative generalization of the usual theory of convexity developed in [28, 29, 34, 37, 38, 80–82]. Compact matrix convex sets provide the natural geometric counterpart of operator systems, generalizing the classical correspondence between compact convex sets and function system. It is proved in [80, Proposition 3.5] that compact matrix convex sets are in natural 1:1 correspondence with matrix state spaces of operator systems. On the operator space side, it is natural to consider matrix convex sets where not necessarily square matrices are considered. These are introduced and studied in [61] under the name of *compact rectangular convex sets*. It is proved there that any compact rectangular convex set arises as the space of completely contractive maps from an operator space to  $M_{n,m}$  for  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . The natural analog of the Krein-Milman theorem in this context is also proved in [61]. In the proof of Lemma 5.9, we will use a consequence of such a fact as stated in [61, Corollary 1.10].

**Lemma 5.9.** The map  $x \mapsto e_{I_{<\infty}} x e_{I_{<\infty}}^\perp$  from  $X$  to  $X_{I_{<\infty}}^{**}$  is a complete isometry.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_0 \in M_{n,m}(X)$  is such that  $\|x_0\| = 1$ . By [61, Corollary 1.10] there exists completely contractive map  $\phi : X \rightarrow M_{n,m}$  such that  $\|\phi^{(n,m)}(x_0)\| = 1$  and that is a rectangular extreme point of the space of completely contractive maps from  $X$  into matrix algebras. In particular,  $\phi$  can not be written as the direct sum of completely contractive maps  $\psi_i : X \rightarrow M_{n_i, m_i}$  for  $n_i \leq n$  and  $m_i \leq m$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_u(X) = \mathcal{T}(X)$  is the subTRO of  $X^{**}$  generated by  $X$ , there exists a triple morphism  $\pi : \mathcal{T}(X) \rightarrow M_{n,m}$  that extends  $\phi$ . Consider now the second dual  $\pi^{**} : \mathcal{T}(X)^{**} \rightarrow M_{n,m}$ . We have that  $X \subset \mathcal{T}(X) \subset X^{**} \subset \mathcal{T}(X)^{**}$ . We can consider the restriction  $\rho$  of  $\pi^{**}$  to  $X^{**}$ . Observe that  $\rho$  is a normal representation of  $X^{**}$  that extends  $\phi$ . Since  $\phi$  is a rectangular extreme point,  $\rho$  is irreducible. Therefore we have that  $\|(I_{n,m} \otimes e_{I_{<\infty}})x(I_{n,m} \otimes e_{I_{<\infty}}^\perp)\| \geq \|\rho^{(n,m)}(x_0)\| = 1$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.10.** The operator space  $X$  is a rigid rectangular  $\mathcal{OL}_{\infty,1+}$  space.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $E \subset X$  is a finite-dimensional subspace. Since the map  $x \mapsto e_{I_{<\infty}} x e_{I_{<\infty}}^\perp$  from  $X$  to  $e_{I_{<\infty}} A(X^{**}) e_{I_{<\infty}}^\perp$  is completely isometric, there exists a finite rank central projection  $q \in A(X^{**})$  with the following property. Let  $\pi : X^{**} \rightarrow X^{**}$  the triple morphism  $x \mapsto qx$ . Then  $\pi|_E$  is injective and  $\|\pi|_E^{-1}\|_{cb} < 1 + \delta$ . Observe that, since  $q$  has finite rank,  $qX^{**} = qX$  is a finite-dimensional TRO. Let  $J$  be the kernel of  $\pi|_X$ , which is a complete  $M$ -ideal of  $X$ . Indeed the w\*-closure of  $X$  inside  $X^{**}$  is the kernel of  $\pi$ , which is a complete  $M$ -summand of  $X^{**}$  since  $q$  is a central projection. Therefore by Theorem 5.8 there exists a complete isometry  $\rho : qX \rightarrow X$  such that  $\pi \circ \rho = id_X$  and  $\|\rho \circ \pi - id_E\| < 6\delta$ .  $\square$



**Lemma 5.11.** Suppose that  $E$  is a finite-dimensional operator space,  $W$  is a finite-dimensional TRO,  $\eta : E \rightarrow W$  is an injective complete contraction such that the range of  $\eta$  generates  $W$  as a TRO, and  $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ . If  $\|\eta^{-1}\|_{cb} < 1 + \delta$  and  $f : E \rightarrow X$  is a complete isometry, then there exists a complete contraction  $g : W \rightarrow X$  such that  $\|g \circ \eta - f\| < 6\delta$  and  $\|g^{-1}\|_{cb} < \varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* By the universal property of the universal triple cover, there exists a surjective triple morphism  $P : \mathcal{T}_u(E) \rightarrow W$  such that  $P \circ u_E = \eta$ . The kernel of  $P$  is a complete  $M$ -ideal of  $\mathcal{T}_u(E)$ . Therefore by Theorem 5.8 there exists a completely isometric map  $\phi : W \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_u(E)$  such that  $P \circ \phi$  is the identity of  $W$  and  $\|P \circ u_E - \phi \circ \eta\| < 6\delta$ . Suppose now that the linear complete isometry  $\bar{f} : \mathcal{T}_u(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_u(X) = \mathcal{T}(X)$  is obtained from  $f$  as in Lemma 5.2. Then  $\bar{f} \circ \phi : W \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(X)$  is a linear complete isometry such that  $\|\bar{f} \circ \phi \circ \eta - f|_E\| < 6\delta$ . One can then proceed as in Lemma 5.10 to obtain a finite rank central projection  $q \in A(X^{**})$  and a complete isometry  $\rho : qX \rightarrow X$  such that the following holds: if  $\pi : X \rightarrow qX = qX^{**}$  is the map  $x \mapsto qx$ , and  $g = \rho \circ \pi \circ \bar{f} \circ \phi$ , then  $g$  is an injective complete contraction with  $\|g^{-1}\|_{cb} < \varepsilon$  such that  $\|g \circ \eta - f\| < 6\delta$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

The same proof as Lemma 5.11 with the extra ingredient of Proposition 5.15 shows that, if  $\delta$  is obtained from  $\varepsilon$  and  $W$  as in Proposition 5.15, then one can find a completely isometric  $g : W \rightarrow X$  such that  $\|g \circ \eta - f\| < 6\delta$ .

It is easy to see that for any finite-dimensional nonzero TRO  $V$  one has that  $\mathcal{T}_u(V)$  is infinite-dimensional; see [54, §5.1]. This fact together with Lemma 5.10 shows that  $X$  is infinite-dimensional. In particular, since  $X$  is a rigid rectangular  $\mathcal{OL}_{\infty,1+}$  space by Lemma 5.10, it contains a completely isometric copy of the unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $V = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ . Since the  $C^*$ -envelope of  $V$  is not exact [54, §5.4], it follows that  $\mathcal{T}_u(V)$  is not exact as well. Since  $V \subset X$  completely isometrically,  $\mathcal{T}_u(V) \subset \mathcal{T}_u(X)$  and hence  $\mathcal{T}_u(X)$  is not exact. Thus the proof of Lemma 5.9 shows that  $X$  contains a completely isometric copy of  $M_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We now verify that  $X$  satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 5.7 for  $n = 3$ .

If  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$  we denote by  $e_{ij}$  the matrix units of  $M_{r,s}$ . We canonically identify  $M_{n_1, m_1} \oplus M_{n_2, m_2}$  with a subspace of  $M_{n_1+n_2, m_1+m_2}$ , via the usual identification of  $B(H_1, K_1) \oplus B(H_2, K_2)$  with  $B(H_1 \oplus H_2, K_1 \oplus K_2)$  for Hilbert spaces  $H_1, H_2, K_1, K_2$ . A similar convention will apply to sums with more addends. It follows from Proposition 5.1 and [18, Lemma 3.2.4] that if  $n := n_1 + \dots + n_\ell$ ,  $m := m_1 + \dots + m_\ell$ , and  $\phi : M_{n_1, m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus M_{n_\ell, m_\ell} \rightarrow M_{r,s}$  is a linear complete isometry, then  $r \geq n$ ,  $s \geq m$ , and  $\phi$  has the form  $x \mapsto u(x \oplus \psi(x))v$ , where  $v \in M_s$  and  $u \in M_r$  are unitaries, and  $\psi : M_{n_1, m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus M_{n_\ell, m_\ell} \rightarrow M_{r-n, s-m}$  is a complete contraction.

Suppose that  $V$  is a finite-dimensional TRO containing an isometric copy of  $M_3$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\phi : V \rightarrow M_d$  and  $f : V \rightarrow X$  are complete isometries, and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Set  $\delta := \varepsilon/14$ . After replacing  $V$  with its image inside  $M_d$ , we can assume that  $V \subset M_d$ . Our goal is to prove that there exists an injective complete contraction  $g : M_d \rightarrow X$  such that  $\|g \circ \eta - d\| < \varepsilon$  and  $\|g^{-1}\|_{cb} < \varepsilon$ . If  $d = 3$ , then  $V = M_d$  and there is nothing to prove, so we can assume that  $d \geq 4$ . Let  $\iota : V \rightarrow M_d$  be the inclusion map. After replacing  $V$  with  $uVw$  for some unitaries  $u, w \in M_d$  we can assume that  $V$  contains the matrix units  $e_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ . Fix an element  $a \in M_d$  such that  $C^*(a) = \text{span}\{e_{ij} : 3 \leq i, j \leq d\}$ , and  $t > 0$ . Let  $J = e_{33} + \dots + e_{dd}$  and  $L = e_{14} + \dots + e_{1d}$ . Define  $\eta_t : V \rightarrow M_d$  by setting  $\eta_t(e_{12}) = e_{12} + tJ$ ,  $\eta_t(e_{21}) = e_{21} + ta$ ,  $\eta_t(e_{33}) = e_{33} + tL$ , and  $\eta_t(e_{ij}) = e_{ij}$  whenever  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$  and  $(i, j) \notin \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (3, 3)\}$ . Let  $T$  be the subTRO of  $M_d$  generated by the range of  $\eta_t$ . Observe that  $T = M_d$ . Indeed by the choice of  $a$  and  $J$  and the definition of  $\eta_t$  at  $e_{12}$  and  $e_{21}$  we have that for any  $b \in \text{span}\{e_{ij} : 3 \leq i, j \leq d\}$  there exist  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\lambda e_{12} + \mu e_{21} + b \in T$ . Since  $e_{11}, e_{22} \in T$  we conclude that  $e_{12}, e_{21} \in T$  and hence  $\text{span}\{e_{ij} : 3 \leq i, j \leq d\} \subset T$ . In particular  $e_{jj} \in T$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq d$ . Therefore for  $4 \leq j \leq d$  we have  $e_{1j} = t^{-1}e_{11}\eta_t(e_{33})e_{jj} \in T$  and  $e_{2j} = e_{21}e_{11}e_{1j} \in T$ . This concludes the proof that  $T = M_d$ .

Fix  $t > 0$  such that  $\|\eta_t - \iota\|_{cb} < \delta$ . Let now  $\eta = \frac{1}{1+\delta}\eta_t$  and observe that  $\eta$  is an injective complete contraction such that  $\|\eta^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq \frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta} < 1 + 2\delta$  and  $\|\eta - \iota\| < 2\delta$ . Therefore by Lemma 5.11 there exists a completely contractive map  $g : M_d \rightarrow X$  such that  $\|g \circ \eta - f\| < 12\delta$  and  $\|g^{-1}\|_{cb} < \varepsilon$ . As a consequence we also have  $\|g \circ \eta_t - f\| < 14\delta = \varepsilon$ . This concludes the proof that  $X$  is completely isometric to  $\mathbb{NG}$ .

**5.3. The triple envelope of  $\mathbb{G}_n$ .** In this sections we consider  $M_n$ -spaces as operator spaces endowed with their canonical minimal operator space structure. An  $M_n$ -space is a rigid rectangular  $\mathcal{OL}_{\infty,1+}$  space if and only if for any finite subset  $F$  of  $X$  there exist a finite-dimensional  $n$ -minimal TRO  $V$  and a linear complete isometry  $\phi : V \rightarrow F$  such that any element of  $F$  is at distance at most  $\varepsilon$  from the range of  $\phi$ . In particular, as pointed out in [49], a Banach space is a rigid (rectangular)  $\mathcal{OL}_{\infty,1+}$  space if and only if it is a Lindenstrauss space.

It follows from Hamana's proof of the existence of the triple envelope [44] that, if  $X$  is an operator space and  $I(X)$  is its injective envelope, then  $\mathcal{T}_e(X)$  is the subTRO of  $I(X)$  generated by  $X$ ; see also [13, §4.4.2]. (An injective operator space has a canonical operator space structure, since the range of a complete contractive

projection of  $B(H)$  is a TRO [13, Theorem 4.4.9].) If  $X$  is a  $M_n$ -space, then  $X \subset \ell^\infty(\kappa, M_n)$  for some index set  $\kappa$ , and the latter space is injective. Therefore  $\mathcal{T}_e(X) \subset I(X) \subset \ell^\infty(\kappa, M_n)$ , and hence  $\mathcal{T}_e(X)$  is an  $n$ -minimal TRO.

The universal triple envelope  $\mathcal{T}_u(X)$  of an  $M_n$ -space is in general not an  $M_n$ -space. Indeed as observed above it is nonexact whenever  $X$  is a nonzero finite-dimensional TRO. However one can consider the  $n$ -minimal analog of the universal triple envelope.

**Definition 5.12.** The (necessarily unique)  $n$ -minimal universal TRO  $\mathcal{T}_u^n(X)$  of an  $M_n$ -space  $X$  is a pair  $(u_X^n, \mathcal{T}_u^n(X))$  where  $\mathcal{T}_u^n(X)$  is an  $n$ -minimal TRO and  $u_X^n : X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_u^n(X)$  is a complete isometry such that the image of  $u_X^n$  generates  $\mathcal{T}_u^n(X)$  as a TRO, satisfying the following universal property: whenever  $\phi$  is a complete contraction from  $X$  to an  $n$ -minimal TRO  $W$ , there exists a (necessarily unique) triple morphism  $\theta : \mathcal{T}_u^n(X) \rightarrow W$  such that  $\theta \circ u_X^n = \phi$ .

The same argument as for the existence of  $\mathcal{T}_u(X)$  shows that the  $n$ -minimal universal TRO exists. Indeed let  $\mathcal{F}^n$  be the collection of all the completely contractive maps  $\phi : X \rightarrow M_n$ , and  $W$  be the  $\infty$ -sum of copies of  $M_n$  indexed by  $\mathcal{F}^n$ . One can then define  $u_X^n : X \rightarrow W$  to be the map  $x \mapsto (s(x))_{s \in \mathcal{F}^n}$ , and  $\mathcal{T}_u^n(X)$  to be the subTRO of  $W$  generated by the range of  $u_X^n$ .

The universality property of  $\mathcal{T}_u(X)$  shows that there exists a unique surjective triple morphism  $\sigma_X^n : \mathcal{T}_u^n(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_e(X)$ . The arguments from §5.1 and §5.2 can be easily adapted to prove the following characterization of  $\mathbb{G}_n$ .

**Theorem 5.13.** *For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{G}_n^u$  is the unique separable nuclear  $M_n$ -space of dimension at least 1 with the property that the canonical map  $\sigma_{\mathbb{G}_n}^u : \mathcal{T}_u^n(\mathbb{G}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_e(\mathbb{G}_n)$  is injective.*

In particular, when  $n = 1$ , Theorem 5.13 provide the following new characterization of the Gurarij Banach space  $\mathbb{G}$ . Recall that a TRO is 1-minimal if and only if it is a commutative TRO in the sense of [13, Proposition 8.6.5], and a Banach space is a nuclear when endowed with its canonical minimal operator space structure if and only if it is a Lindenstrauss space [13, §8.6.4].

**Corollary 5.14.** The Gurarij Banach space  $\mathbb{G}$  is the unique separable Lindenstrauss space of dimension at least 1 with the property that the canonical map  $\sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^1$  from the universal commutative TRO  $\mathcal{T}_u^1(\mathbb{G})$  to the triple envelope  $\mathcal{T}_e(\mathbb{G})$  is injective.

**5.4. A canonical construction of  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_n$ .** The characterizations of  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_n$  provided by Theorem 5.6 and Theorem 5.13 can be used to provide a new canonical construction of  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In the particular case  $n = 1$  this provides a new construction of the Gurarij space  $\mathbb{G}$ .

Start with a separable  $M_n$  space  $X_n$  of dimension at least 1 and then define for  $k > n$ ,  $X_{k+1} = \mathcal{T}_u^{k+1}(X_k)$ . One obtains an inductive sequence  $(X_k)_{k > n}$  of TROs with completely isometric connective maps  $u_{X_k}^{k+1} : X_k \rightarrow X_{k+1}$ . Let  $X_\infty$  be the corresponding limit in the category of operator spaces, and let  $\iota_k : X_k \rightarrow X_\infty$  be the canonical inclusions. Observe that  $X_\infty$  is a rigid rectangular  $\mathcal{OL}_{\infty,1+}$  space and, in particular, nuclear. We will now show that  $\sigma_{X_\infty} : \mathcal{T}_u(X_\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_e(X_\infty)$  is injective. In view of Theorem 5.6, this will prove that  $X_\infty$  is completely isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$ . We let  $\iota_{i,j} : X_i \rightarrow X_j$  for  $j > i$  be  $u_{X_{j-1}}^j \circ \dots \circ u_{X_i}^{i+1}$ .

We will identify  $X_\infty$  with a subspace of  $\mathcal{T}_e(X_\infty)$ . Suppose that  $k \geq n$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{T}_u(X_k)$ , and  $\bar{\iota}_k(z) \in \mathcal{T}_u(X)$ . The set of such elements is dense in  $\mathcal{T}_u(X_\infty)$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_u(X_n)$  has a separating family of finite-dimensional representations, there exists  $m > k$  such that  $\|z\| \leq \|\bar{\iota}_{km}(z)\| + \varepsilon$ , where  $\bar{\iota}_{km} : \mathcal{T}_u(X_k) \rightarrow X_m$  is the triple morphism obtained from  $\iota_{km} : X_k \rightarrow X_m$  by applying the universal property of the universal TRO. By Proposition 5.1, there exists a triple morphism  $\mu$  from the subTRO  $Z$  of  $\mathcal{T}_e(X)$  generated by the image of  $\iota_m$  such that  $\mu \circ \iota_m = id_{X_m}$ . We now have

$$\mu \circ \sigma_{X_\infty} \circ \bar{\iota}_k \circ u_{X_k} = \mu \circ \sigma_{X_\infty} \circ u_{X_\infty} \circ \iota_k = \mu \circ \iota_k = \iota_{km}.$$

Therefore  $\mu \circ \sigma_{X_\infty} \circ \bar{\iota}_k = \bar{\iota}_{km}$ . Hence

$$\|(\sigma_{X_\infty} \circ \bar{\iota}_k)(z)\| \geq \|(\mu \circ \sigma_{X_\infty} \circ \bar{\iota}_k)(z)\| \geq \|\bar{\iota}_{km}(z)\| \geq \|\bar{\iota}_k(z)\| - \varepsilon.$$

This concludes the proof that  $\sigma_{X_\infty}$  is injective, and hence  $X_\infty$  is completely isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$ .

One can similarly give a canonical construction of  $\mathbb{G}_n$ . Suppose that  $X$  is any separable  $M_n$ -space. Define recursively  $X_0 := X$  and  $X_{k+1} = \mathcal{T}_u^n(X_k)$ . This gives an inductive sequence  $(X_k)_{k \geq 0}$  of  $n$ -minimal TROs with completely isometric connective maps  $u_{X_k}^n : X_k \rightarrow X_{k+1}$ . Reasoning as before, one can see that the corresponding limit  $X_\infty$  in the category of operator spaces is completely isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_n$ .

**5.5. Universal automorphism group.** It is clear from the definition of the universal TRO  $\mathcal{T}_u(X)$  that the assignment  $X \mapsto \mathcal{T}_u(X)$  is a *functor* from the category of operator spaces and completely contractive maps to the category of TROs and triple morphisms, which maps completely isometric maps to injective triple morphisms. In particular any surjective linear complete contraction  $\alpha$  of  $X$  admits a unique “lift”  $\mathcal{T}_u(\alpha)$  to a triple automorphism of  $\mathcal{T}_u(X)$  with the property that  $\mathcal{T}_u(\alpha) \circ u_X = u_X \circ \alpha$ .

If  $X$  is an operator space, then we denote by  $\text{Aut}(X)$  the group of surjective complete isometries from  $X$  to itself. When  $X$  is a TRO, this coincides with the group of triple automorphisms of  $X$ . We consider  $\text{Aut}(X)$  as a topological group endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence. It is easy to see that whenever  $X$  is separable,  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is a Polish group. The assignment  $\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{T}_u(\alpha)$  defined above is an injective group homomorphism from  $\text{Aut}(X)$  to  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_u(X))$ . Since the range of  $u_X$  generates  $\mathcal{T}_u(X)$  as a TRO, the map  $\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{T}_u(\alpha)$  is continuous and, in fact, a homeomorphism onto its image. The same argument applies with no change to the functor  $\mathcal{T}_u^n$  from the category of  $M_n$ -spaces to the category of  $n$ -minimal TROs.

It follows from these remarks together with the canonical construction of  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  from §5.4 that any separable  $M_n$ -space  $X$  can be realized completely isometrically as a subspace of  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  in such a way that every surjective complete isometry  $\alpha$  of  $X$  extends to a surjective complete isometry  $\hat{\alpha}$  of  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$ , and the map  $\alpha \mapsto \hat{\alpha}$  is a topological embedding of Polish groups from  $\text{Aut}(X)$  to  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G})$ . Since any Polish group is isomorphic to the group of surjective isometries of a Banach space [70, Theorem 2.2.1], it follows in particular that any Polish group is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G})$ .

Similar conclusions apply to the spaces  $\mathbb{G}_n$ . If  $X$  is a separable  $M_n$ -space, then it can be realized completely isometrically as a subspace of  $\mathbb{G}_n$  in such a way that every surjective complete isometry  $\alpha$  of  $X$  extends to a surjective complete isometry  $\hat{\alpha}$  of  $\mathbb{G}_n$ , and the map  $\alpha \mapsto \hat{\alpha}$  is a topological embedding of Polish groups from  $\text{Aut}(X)$  to  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_n)$ . Again, this entails that the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_n)$  of surjective complete isometries of  $\mathbb{G}_n$  is a universal Polish groups. These observations provide the proof of item (6) in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

**5.6. Perturbation.** The same proof as [52, Lemma 7.1] allows one to deduce from [9, 4.2.8] the following perturbation result: for any finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  (depending on  $A$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ) such that for any finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  and any injective unital linear map  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\|\phi\|_{cb}\|\phi^{-1}\|_{cb} < 1 + \delta$ , there exists a unital linear complete isometry  $\psi : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\|\phi - \psi\| < \varepsilon$ . In this section, we present the proof of the natural nonunital analog of such a perturbation result, concerning approximately completely isometric linear maps between finite-dimensional TROs. This result will be used in §5.7 to prove an indecomposability result for the spaces  $\mathbb{G}_n$ . One can also use Proposition 5.15 to give an alternative proof of Theorem 5.6.

**Proposition 5.15.** *Suppose that  $L$  is a finite-dimensional  $q$ -minimal TRO and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists  $\delta$  (depending on  $\varepsilon$  and  $L$ ) such that whenever  $H_1, K_1, \dots, H_\ell, K_\ell$  are Hilbert spaces,  $V$  is the sum  $B(H_1, K_1) \oplus^\infty \dots \oplus^\infty B(H_\ell, K_\ell)$ , and  $\phi : L \rightarrow V$  is a linear map such that  $\|\phi\|_q \|\phi^{-1}\|_q < 1 + \delta$ , then there exists a linear complete isometry  $\psi : L \rightarrow V$  such that  $\|\phi - \psi\| < \varepsilon$ .*

**Remark 5.16.** It follows from Proposition 5.15 that a separable operator space is a rigid rectangular  $\mathcal{OL}_{\infty,1+}$  space if and only if it can be realized as the direct limit of a sequence  $(X_n)$  of finite-dimensional TROs with completely isometric connective maps  $\phi_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ . It also follows from Proposition 5.15 and the small perturbation lemma [72, Lemma 2.13.2] that for any  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and finite-dimensional  $q$ -minimal TRO  $L$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  (depending on  $L$  and  $\varepsilon$ ) such that if  $\psi : L \rightarrow B(H)$  is a linear map such that  $\|\phi\|_q \|\phi^{-1}\|_q < 1 + \delta$ , then  $\|\phi\|_{cb} \|\phi^{-1}\|_{cb} < 1 + \varepsilon$ .

The following proof of Proposition 5.15 has been suggested by Caleb Eckhardt. The proof of [27, Corollary 3.7] can be easily adapted to prove the following:

**Lemma 5.17.** *Suppose that  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $W$  is a finite  $\infty$ -sum of TROs of the form  $B(H, K)$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any index set  $I$ , if  $\phi : M_{n,m} \rightarrow \ell^\infty(I) \otimes W$  is a linear map such that  $\|\phi\|_{cb} < 1 + \delta$  and  $\|\phi^{-1}\|_{cb} < 1 + \delta$ , then there exists  $i \in I$  such that the  $i$ -th coordinate map  $\phi_i$  satisfies  $\|\phi_i^{-1}\|_{cb} < 1 + \varepsilon$ .*

The proof of the following lemma is similar to the proof of [27, Theorem 3.2] from [27, Corollary 3.7].

**Lemma 5.18.** *Suppose that  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $q = \max\{n, m\}$ . There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $H_1, K_1, \dots, H_\ell, K_\ell$  are Hilbert spaces,  $V$  is the sum  $B(H_1, K_1) \oplus^\infty \dots \oplus^\infty B(H_\ell, K_\ell)$ , and  $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_\ell) : M_{n,m} \rightarrow V$  is an injective linear map such that  $\|\phi\|_q < 1 + \delta$  and  $\|\phi^{-1}\|_{cb} < 1 + \delta$ , then there exist  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ , projections  $p \in B(H_i)$  and  $q \in B(K_i)$  of rank  $n$  and  $m$  and a triple isomorphism  $\theta : M_{n,m} \rightarrow pB(H_i, K_i)q$  such that, if  $\psi$  is the map  $x \mapsto \theta(x) + p\phi(x)q$ , then  $\|\phi_i - \psi\| < \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  be small enough (depending on  $\varepsilon, n, m$ ). By compactness there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that whenever  $s, t \leq q$  and  $f : M_{n,m} \rightarrow M_{s,t}$  is a complete contraction such that  $\|f^{-1}\|_{cb} < 1 + \eta$ , then  $n \leq s$ ,  $m \leq t$ , and there exist projections  $p \in M_s$  and  $q \in M_t$  of rank  $n$  and  $m$  respectively and a triple isomorphism  $\theta : M_{n,m} \rightarrow pM_{s,t}q$  such that  $\|\theta - p\phi q\| < \varepsilon_0$ . We set  $H = H_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_\ell$ ,  $K = K_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_\ell$ . We will identify  $H_i$  with a subspace of  $H$ ,  $K_i$  with a subspace of  $K$ , and  $V$  with a subspace of  $B(H, K)$ . Consider the set  $I$  of pairs  $(p, q)$  such that  $p \in B(H_i)$  and  $q \in B(K_i)$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$  are projections of rank at most  $\max\{n, m\}$ . Let  $W$  be the  $\infty$ -sum of  $pVq$  for  $(p, q) \in I$ , and  $s : V \rightarrow W$  be the map  $y \mapsto (pyq)_{(p,q) \in I}$ . Observe that  $S$  is a  $q$ -isometry. It follows from this and Smith's lemma [33, Proposition 2.2.2] together with Lemma 5.17 that choosing  $\delta > 0$  small enough guarantees that there exists  $(p_0, q_0) \in I$  such that the completely bounded norm of the inverse of the map  $x \mapsto p\phi(x)q$  is at least  $1 - \eta$ . Suppose that  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$  is such that  $p_0 \in B(H_i)$  and  $q_0 \in B(K_i)$ . By the choice of  $\eta$  this implies that there exist subprojections  $p \leq p_0$  and  $q \leq q_0$  of rank  $n$  and  $m$  and a triple isomorphism  $\theta : M_{n,m} \rightarrow pB(H_i, K_i)q$  such that  $\|\theta - p\phi q\| < \varepsilon_0$ . It is clear that choosing  $\varepsilon_0$  small enough ensures that the conclusion holds.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 5.15.* Let us use the notation from the statement of Proposition 5.15. Let  $L = M_{n_1, m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n_k, m_k}$ . We identify canonically  $M_{n_i, m_i}$  with a subTRO of  $T$ . We let  $\phi_{ij}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $j = 1, \dots, \ell$  be the  $j$ -th component of the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $M_{n_i, m_i}$ . In view of Lemma 5.18, up to replacing  $\delta$  with a smaller strictly positive real number and after perturbing  $\phi$  we can assume that for every  $i$  there exists  $j_i$  and projections  $p_i \in B(H_{j_i})$  and  $q_i \in B(K_{j_i})$  such that  $\phi_{ij} = \theta_{ij}(x) + p_i\phi_{ij}q_i$  for some triple isomorphism  $\theta_{ij} : M_{n_i, m_i} \rightarrow p_iB(H_{j_i}, K_{j_i})q_i$ . Suppose that  $i_0, i_1$  are distinct elements of  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that  $j_{i_1} = j_{i_2}$ . We claim that the corresponding projections  $q_{i_1}, q_{i_2} \in B(K_{j_i})$ , as well as the projection  $p_{i_1}, p_{i_2} \in B(H_{j_i})$ , are approximately orthogonal. For simplicity of notation we can assume that  $i_0 = 1$  and  $i_1 = 2$ . Suppose that  $v_i \in M_{n_i, m_i}$  is a partial isometry such that  $\theta(v_i^*v_i) \leq q_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Consider the element  $a$  of  $M_{2,1}(L)$  such that  $a_{11} = (v_1, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $a_{21} = (0, v_2, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Then we have that  $\|a\|^2 = 1$  and hence

$$(1 + \delta)^2 \geq \|(id_{M_{2,1}} \otimes S)(a)\|^2 \geq \|\theta(v_1^*v_1) + \theta(v_2^*v_2)\|.$$

Since this is true for any such pair of partial isometries, we can conclude that  $\|q_1 + q_2\| \leq (1 + \delta)^2$ . A similar argument involving  $1 \times 2$  matrices rather than  $2 \times 1$  matrices shows that  $\|p_1 + p_2\| \leq (1 + \delta)^2$ . Therefore after further perturbing the map  $\phi$ —with an error which is arbitrarily small for  $\delta$  small enough—we can assume that  $q_{i_1}$  and  $q_{i_2}$  and  $p_{i_1}$  and  $p_{i_2}$  are pairwise orthogonal whenever  $i_0, i_1$  are distinct elements of  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that  $j_{i_1} = j_{i_2}$ . One can then easily further perturb such a map to get a complete isometry from  $L$  to  $V$ .  $\square$

### 5.7. Indecomposability.

**Proposition 5.19.** *Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are rigid rectangular  $\mathcal{OL}_{\infty, 1+}$ -spaces of dimension at least 2. If  $n \geq 2$ , then  $X \otimes Y$  is not completely isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_n$ .*

*Proof.* We denote by  $\mathbb{E}_{D_n}$  the conditional expectation onto the diagonal subalgebra of  $M_n$ . Suppose by contradiction that  $X \otimes Y$  is completely isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_n$  for some  $n \geq 2$ . In particular  $X$  contains a copy of  $M_{d_0, d_1}$  and  $Y$  contains a copy of  $M_{e_0, e_1}$  where  $e_0d_0 = e_1d_1 = n$ , and both  $(d_0, d_1)$  and  $(e_0, e_1)$  are different from  $(1, 1)$ . For simplicity of notation, we will assume that  $d_0 = e_1 = n$  and  $d_1 = e_0 = 1$ . In the general case one can proceed analogously, by replacing the operator  $\mathbb{E}_{D_n}$  with another completely contractive projection depending on  $d_0, d_1$ . In view of Remark 5.16, we can write  $X$  and  $Y$  as the direct limit of sequences  $(X_k)$  and  $(Y_k)$  of finite-dimensional  $n$ -minimal TROs with completely isometric connective maps  $\phi_k : X_k \rightarrow X_{k+1}$  and  $\psi_k : Y_k \rightarrow Y_{k+1}$  such that  $X_1 = M_{n,1}$  and  $Y_1 = M_{1,n}$ . We let  $\phi_{k,d}$  be  $\phi_{d-1} \circ \phi_{d-2} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_k$  for  $k < d$  and similarly define  $\psi_{k,d}$ . We will identify  $X_k$  and  $Y_k$  with subspaces of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. Let  $f : M_n \rightarrow X_1 \otimes Y_1 \subset X \otimes Y$  be the complete isometry given by the canonical identification of  $M_n$  with  $M_{n,1} \otimes M_{1,n}$ . Let  $\phi : M_n \rightarrow M_n \oplus^\infty M_n$  be defined by  $a \mapsto (a, \mathbb{E}_{D_n}(a))$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  small enough. By the homogeneity property of  $\mathbb{G}_n$ , there exist  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and a complete isometry  $g : M_n \oplus^\infty M_n \rightarrow X_k \otimes Y_k$  such that  $\|g \circ \phi - (\phi_{1,k} \otimes \psi_{1,k}) \circ f\| < \delta$ . We have that  $X_k = M_{s_1, t_1} \oplus^\infty \cdots \oplus^\infty M_{s_\ell, t_\ell}$  and  $Y_k = M_{v_1, w_1} \oplus^\infty \cdots \oplus^\infty M_{v_m, w_m}$  for some  $s_i, t_i, v_i, w_i \in \mathbb{N}$ . When  $\delta$  is small enough, there exist  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , completely contractive maps  $\eta : M_{n,1} \rightarrow M_{s_i, t_i}$  and  $\rho : M_{1,n} \rightarrow M_{v_j, w_j}$ , and unitaries  $u, v \in M_m$  such that  $s_i v_j = t_i w_j = n$ , and  $\|u^*(\eta(a) \otimes \rho(b))v - \mathbb{E}_{D_n}(a \otimes b)\| < \varepsilon$  for every  $a \in M_{n,1}$  and  $b \in M_{1,n}$  such that  $\|a\| \leq 1$  and  $\|b\| \leq 1$ . This leads to a contradiction when  $\varepsilon$  is small enough.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.20.**  $\mathbb{G}_n$  is not completely isometrically isomorphic to  $M_n \otimes \mathbb{G}$ .

A similar argument can be used to prove that the Gurarij Banach space can not be written in a nontrivial way as a tensor product of Lindenstrauss spaces. Indeed, suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are Lindenstrauss spaces of

dimension at least 2 such that  $X \otimes Y$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}$ . Then  $X$  and  $Y$  contain an isometric copy of  $\ell_2^\infty$ . One then reason as in the proof of Proposition 5.19 where  $X_1 = Y_1 = \ell_2^\infty$ ,  $f : \ell_4^\infty \rightarrow X_1 \otimes Y_1$  is the canonical isometric isomorphism, and  $\phi : \ell_4^\infty \rightarrow \ell_5^\infty$  is defined by  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \mapsto (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, (z_1 + z_4)/2)$ . This generalizes a result of Oikhberg, who showed that the Gurarij space  $\mathbb{G}$  is not isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G} \otimes \mathbb{G}$  [67].

One can also prove with similar methods that  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  is not completely isometrically isomorphic to  $V \otimes X$  for any  $\mathcal{OL}_{\infty,1+}$ -space  $X$  and finite-dimensional TRO  $V$  of dimension at least 2. We do not know whether  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G} \otimes \mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  is completely isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$ .

**5.8. The model theory of  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$ .** Here we want to observe that the noncommutative Gurarij space, from the model-theoretic perspective, plays a canonical role within the class of operator spaces, in close similarity with the commutative case. A good introduction to the logic for metric structures can be found in [7]. We will regard operator spaces as structures in the language considered in [42, Appendix B], where it is observed that—in view of Ruan’s characterization—operator spaces form an axiomatizable class. The arguments from [41], where Choi’s theorem [22, Theorem 2] is replaced by Proposition 5.15 and Remark 5.16, can be easily adapted to prove the following result.

**Theorem 5.21.** *Let  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  be the noncommutative Gurarij space, regarded as a structure in the language for operator spaces.*

- (1)  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  is the unique separable 1-exact existentially closed operator space.
- (2)  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  is the unique separable nuclear model of its first-order theory,
- (3) Every embedding of  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  into its ultrapower  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}^\omega$  admits a lift of surjective complete isometries of  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  and, in particular, it is elementary;
- (4)  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  is the prime model of its first-order theory;
- (5)  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  does not have quantifier elimination, hence the theory of operator spaces does not have a model companion;
- (6) A 1-exact operator space is nuclear if and only if it is positively existentially closed.

The analog of Theorem 5.21 for the spaces  $\mathbb{G}_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  has been proved in [41]. It is worth remarking that the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_2$  satisfies similar properties within the class of unital  $C^*$ -algebras: it is the prime model of its first-order theory [41, Proposition 5.1], does not have quantifier elimination [26, Theorem 2.7], and it is the only possible existentially closed nuclear unital separable  $C^*$ -algebra [42, Proposition 2.18]. The assertion that  $\mathcal{O}_2$  is indeed existentially closed is equivalent to Kirchberg’s embedding problem, asking whether every unital  $C^*$ -algebra embeds into an ultrapower of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  [41, Theorem 3.3].

The remarks above allow one to conclude that, also from the model-theoretic perspective,  $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{G}$  can be regarded as the operator-space analog of the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_2$ .

## REFERENCES

1. Erik M. Alfsen and Edward G. Effros, *Structure in real Banach spaces. I*, Annals of Mathematics. Second Series **96** (1972), 98–128.
2. ———, *Structure in real Banach spaces. II*, Annals of Mathematics. Second Series **96** (1972), 129–173.
3. William B. Arveson, *Subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Acta Mathematica **123** (1969), no. 1, 141–224.
4. I. Ben Yaacov, *Frass limits of metric structures*, J. Symbolic Logic **80** (2015), no. 1, 100–115.
5. Itai Ben Yaacov, *The linear isometry group of the Gurarij space is universal*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **142** (2014), no. 7, 2459–2467.
6. Itai Ben Yaacov, *Frassé limits of metric structures*, Journal of Symbolic Logic **80** (2015), no. 1, 100–115.
7. Itai Ben Yaacov, Alexander Berenstein, C. Ward Henson, and Alexander Usvyatsov, *Model theory for metric structures*, Model theory with applications to algebra and analysis. Vol. 2, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 350, Cambridge University Press, 2008, pp. 315–427.
8. Bruce Blackadar, *Operator algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 122, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
9. Bruce Blackadar and Eberhard Kirchberg, *Generalized inductive limits of finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras*, Mathematische Annalen **307** (1997), no. 3, 343–380.
10. David P. Blecher, *The Shilov Boundary of an Operator Space and the Characterization Theorems*, Journal of Functional Analysis **182** (2001), no. 2, 280–343.
11. ———, *Multipliers,  $C^*$ -modules, and algebraic structure in spaces of Hilbert space operators*, Operator algebras, quantization, and noncommutative geometry, Contemp. Math., vol. 365, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 85–128.
12. ———, *One-sided ideals and approximate identities in operator algebras*, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society **76** (2004), no. 3, 425–447.
13. David P. Blecher and Christian Le Merdy, *Operator algebras and their modules—an operator space approach*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 30, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.

14. David P. Blecher and Vern I. Paulsen, *Multipliers of operator spaces, and the injective envelope*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **200** (2001), no. 1, 1–17.
15. David P. Blecher, Roger R. Smith, and Vrej Zarikian, *One-sided projections on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Journal of Operator Theory **51** (2004), no. 1, 201–219.
16. David P. Blecher and Vrej Zarikian, *Multiplier operator algebras and applications*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **101** (2004), no. 3, 727–731.
17. ———, *The calculus of one-sided  $M$ -ideals and multipliers in operator spaces*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society **179** (2006), no. 842, viii+85.
18. Dennis Bohle,  *$K$ -theory for ternary structures*, Ph.D. thesis, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, 2011.
19. Dennis Bohle and Wend Werner, *The universal enveloping ternary ring of operators of a  $JB^*$ -triple system*, Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society. Series II **57** (2014), no. 2, 347–366.
20. ———, *A  $K$ -theoretic approach to the classification of symmetric spaces*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **219** (2015), no. 10, 4295–4321.
21. Nathaniel P. Brown and Narutaka Ozawa,  *$C^*$ -algebras and finite-dimensional approximations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 88, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
22. Man Duen Choi, *Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices*, Linear Algebra and its Applications **10** (1975), 285–290.
23. Man-Duen Choi and Edward G. Effros, *The completely positive lifting problem for  $C^*$ -algebras*, Annals of Mathematics **104** (1976), no. 3, 585–609.
24. Kenneth Davidson, Matthew Kennedy, and Martino Lupini, *Noncommutative Choquet simplices*, in preparation, 2016.
25. Christopher J. Eagle, Ilijas Farah, Bradd Hart, Boris Kadets, Vladyslav Kalashnyk, and Martino Lupini, *Fraïssé limits of  $C^*$ -algebras*, arXiv:1411.4066 (2014).
26. Christopher J. Eagle, Ilijas Farah, Eberhard Kirchberg, and Alessandro Vignati, *Quantifier elimination in  $C^*$ -algebras*, arXiv:1502.00573 (2015).
27. Caleb Eckhardt, *Perturbations of completely positive maps and strong  $NF$  algebras*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **101** (2010), no. 3, 795–820.
28. Edward G. Effros, *Aspects of noncommutative order*,  $C^*$ -algebras and applications to physics (Proc. Second Japan-USA Sem., Los Angeles, Calif., 1977), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 650, Springer, Berlin, 1978, pp. 1–40.
29. ———, *A matrix convexity approach to some celebrated quantum inequalities*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **106** (2009), no. 4, 1006–1008.
30. Edward G. Effros, Narutaka Ozawa, and Zhong-Jin Ruan, *On injectivity and nuclearity for operator spaces*, Duke Mathematical Journal **110** (2001), no. 3, 489–521.
31. Edward G. Effros and Zhong-Jin Ruan, *Mapping spaces and liftings for operator spaces*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **s3-69** (1994), no. 1, 171–197.
32. ———,  *$\mathcal{OL}_p$  spaces*, Operator algebras and operator theory, Contemp. Math., vol. 228, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 51–77.
33. ———, *Operator spaces*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 23, Oxford University Press, 2000.
34. Edward G. Effros and Soren Winkler, *Matrix convexity: operator analogues of the bipolar and Hahn-Banach theorems*, Journal of Functional Analysis **144** (1997), no. 1, 117–152.
35. George A. Elliott, Ilijas Farah, Vern Paulsen, Christian Rosendal, Andrew S. Toms, and Asger Törnquist, *The isomorphism relation for separable  $C^*$ -algebras*, Mathematical Research Letters **20** (2013), no. 6, 1071–1080.
36. Ilijas Farah, Bradd Hart, and David Sherman, *Model theory of operator algebras II: model theory*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **201** (2014), no. 1, 477–505.
37. Douglas Farenick, *Extremal matrix states on operator systems*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **61** (2000), no. 3, 885–892.
38. ———, *Pure matrix states on operator systems*, Linear Algebra and its Applications **393** (2004), 149–173.
39. Roland Fraïssé, *Sur l'extension aux relations de quelques propriétés des ordres*, Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure. Troisième Série **71** (1954), 363–388.
40. Joanna Garbulińska-Węgrzyn and Wiesław Kubiś, *A universal operator on the Gurarii space*, Journal of Operator Theory **73** (2015), no. 1, 143–158.
41. Isaac Goldbring and Martino Lupini, *Model-theoretic aspects of the Gurarii operator space*, arXiv:1501.04332 (2015).
42. Isaac Goldbring and Thomas Sinclair, *On Kirchberg's embedding problem*, Journal of Functional Analysis **269** (2015), no. 1, 155–198.
43. Vladimir I. Gurarii, *Spaces of universal placement, isotropic spaces and a problem of Mazur on rotations of Banach spaces*, Akademija Nauk SSSR. Sibirskoe Otdelenie. Sibirskii Matematičeskii Žurnal **7** (1966), 1002–1013.
44. Masamichi Hamana, *Triple envelopes and  $\tilde{\text{shilov}}$  boundaries of operator spaces*, Mathematics Journal of Toyama University **22** (1999), 77–93.
45. Kyung Hoon Han and Vern I. Paulsen, *An approximation theorem for nuclear operator systems*, Journal of Functional Analysis **261** (2011), no. 4, 999–1009.
46. Lawrence A. Harris, *A generalization of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society. Third Series **42** (1981), no. 2, 331–361.
47. Magnus R. Hestenes, *A ternary algebra with applications to matrices and linear transformations*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis **11** (1962), 138–194.
48. Marius Junge, Niels J. Nielsen, Zhong-Jin Ruan, and Quan H. Xu,  *$CO\mathcal{L}_p$  spaces—the local structure of non-commutative  $L_p$  spaces*, Advances in Mathematics **187** (2004), no. 2, 257–319.
49. Marius Junge, Narutaka Ozawa, and Zhong-Jin Ruan, *On  $\mathcal{OL}_\infty$  structures of nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras*, Mathematische Annalen **325** (2003), no. 3, 449–483.

50. Marius Junge and Gilles Pisier, *Bilinear forms on exact operator spaces and  $B(H) \otimes B(H)$* , Geometric and Functional Analysis **5** (1995), no. 2, 329–363.
51. Manmohan Kaur and Zhong-Jin Ruan, *Local properties of ternary rings of operators and their linking  $C^*$ -algebras*, Journal of Functional Analysis **195** (2002), no. 2, 262–305.
52. David Kerr and Hanfeng Li, *On Gromov-Hausdorff convergence for operator metric spaces*, Journal of Operator Theory **62** (2009), no. 1, 83–109.
53. Eberhard Kirchberg and N. Christopher Phillips, *Embedding of exact  $C^*$ -algebras in the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_2$* , Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **525** (2000), 17–53.
54. Eberhard Kirchberg and Simon Wassermann,  *$C^*$ -algebras generated by operator systems*, Journal of Functional Analysis **155** (1998), no. 2, 324–351.
55. Wiesław Kubiś, *Fraïssé sequences: category-theoretic approach to universal homogeneous structures*, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic **165** (2014), no. 11, 1755–1811.
56. Wiesław Kubiś and Sławomir Solecki, *A proof of uniqueness of the Gurarij space*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **195** (2013), no. 1, 449–456.
57. Franz Lehner,  *$M_n$ -espaces, sommes d’unitaires et analyse harmonique sur le groupe libre*, Ph.D. thesis, Université de Paris 6, 1997.
58. Hanfeng Li, *Order-unit quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance*, Journal of Functional Analysis **231** (2006), no. 2, 312–360.
59. Joram Lindenstrauss, Gunnar Olsen, and Yaki Sternfeld, *The Poulsen simplex*, Annales de l’Institut Fourier **28** (1978), no. 1, 91–114.
60. Martino Lupini, *Operator space and operator system analogs of Kirchberg’s nuclear embedding theorem*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **431** (2015), no. 1, 47–56.
61. ———, *Rectangular convex sets*, research notes. Available at <https://sites.google.com/site/martinolupini/rectangular-convex-sets4.pdf>, 2016.
62. Wolfgang Lusky, *The Gurarij spaces are unique*, Archiv der Mathematik **27** (1976), no. 6, 627–635.
63. S. Masumoto, *Jiang-Su algebra as a Fraïssé limit*, arXiv:1602.00124 (2016).
64. Julien Melleray and Todor Tsankov, *Extremely amenable groups via continuous logic*, arXiv:1404.4590 (2014).
65. Matthew Neal and Bernard Russo, *Operator space characterizations of  $C^*$ -algebras and ternary rings*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **209** (2003), no. 2, 339–364.
66. Timur Oikhberg, *The non-commutative Gurarij space*, Archiv der Mathematik **86** (2006), no. 4, 356–364.
67. ———, personal communication, 2015.
68. Timur Oikhberg and Éric Ricard, *Operator spaces with few completely bounded maps*, Mathematische Annalen **328** (2004), no. 1-2, 229–259.
69. Vern Paulsen, *Completely bounded maps and operator algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 78, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
70. Vladimir Pestov, *Topological groups: where to from here?*, Topology Proceedings, vol. 24, 1999, pp. 421–502 (2001).
71. Gilles Pisier, *Exact operator spaces*, Astérisque (1995), no. 232, 159–186, Recent advances in operator algebras (Orléans, 1992).
72. ———, *Introduction to operator space theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 294, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
73. Ebbe T. Poulsen, *A simplex with dense extreme points*, Annales de l’institut Fourier **11** (1961), 83–87.
74. Mikael Rørdam and Erling Størmer, *Classification of nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras. Entropy in operator algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
75. Zhong-Jin Ruan, *Subspaces of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Journal of Functional Analysis **76** (1988), no. 1, 217–230.
76. ———, *Injectivity of operator spaces*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **315** (1989), no. 1, 89–104.
77. ———, *Type decomposition and the rectangular AFD property for  $W^*$ -TRO’s*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics **56** (2004), no. 4, 843–870.
78. Konstantinos Schoretsanitis, *Fraïssé theory for metric structures*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2007.
79. Roger R. Smith, *Finite dimensional injective operator spaces*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **128** (2000), no. 11, 3461–3462.
80. Corran Webster and Soren Winkler, *The Krein-Milman theorem in operator convexity*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **351** (1999), no. 1, 307–322.
81. Soren Winkler, *The non-commutative Legendre-Fenchel transform*, Mathematica Scandinavica **85** (1999), no. 1, 30–48.
82. Gerd Wittstock, *On matrix order and convexity*, Functional analysis: surveys and recent results, III (Paderborn, 1983), North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 90, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 175–188.
83. Martin A. Youngson, *Completely contractive projections on  $C^*$ -algebras*, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics. Oxford. Second Series **34** (1983), no. 136, 507–511.
84. Heinrich Zettl, *A characterization of ternary rings of operators*, Advances in Mathematics **48** (1983), no. 2, 117–143.

MARTINO LUPINI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, N520 ROSS, 4700 KEELE STREET, TORONTO ONTARIO M3J 1P3, CANADA.

*Current address:* Mathematics Department California Institute of Technology 1200 E. California Blvd MC 253-37 Pasadena, CA 91125

*E-mail address:* lupini@caltech.edu

*URL:* <http://www.lupini.org/>