

Hyperspaces in topological Categories

René Bartsch

September 4, 2018

Abstract

Hyperspaces form a powerful tool in some branches of mathematics: lots of fractal and other geometric objects can be viewed as fixed points of some functions in suitable hyperspaces - as well as interesting classes of formal languages in theoretical computer sciences, for example (to illustrate the wide scope of this concept). Moreover, there are many connections between hyperspaces and function spaces in topology. Thus results from hyperspaces help to get new results in function spaces and vice versa.

Unfortunately, there is no natural hyperspace construction known for general topological categories (in contrast to the situation for function spaces).

We will shortly present a rather combinatorial idea for the transfer of structure from a set X to a subset of $\mathfrak{P}(X)$, just to motivate an interesting question in set theory.

Then we will propose and discuss a new approach to define hyperstructures, which works in every cartesian closed topological category, and so applies to every topological category, using its topological universe hull.

1 Preliminary Definitions and Results

For a given set X we denote by $\mathfrak{P}(X)$ the power set of X , by $\mathfrak{P}_0(X)$ the power set without the empty set. By $\mathfrak{F}(X)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{F}_0(X)$) we mean the set of all filters (resp. ultrafilters) on X ; if φ is a filter on X , the term $\mathfrak{F}_0(\varphi)$ denotes the set of all ultrafilters on X , which contain φ . For $x \in X$ we denote by $\dot{x} := \{A \subseteq X \mid x \in A\}$ the singleton filter on X , generated by $\{x\}$. With $\mathfrak{S}(X) := \{\dot{x} \mid x \in X\}$ we mean the family of all singleton filters on X . By $\mathcal{A}(X) := \{f : \mathfrak{P}_0(X) \rightarrow X \mid \forall A \in \mathfrak{P}_0(X) : f(A) \in A\}$ we denote the set of choice functions over X .

For a topological space (X, τ) we denote by $q_\tau := \{(\varphi, x) \in \mathfrak{F}(X) \times X \mid \varphi \supseteq \overset{\bullet}{x} \cap \tau\}$ the convergence induced by τ .

For families $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}(X)$ and any $M \subseteq X$ we set

$$M^{-\mathfrak{A}} := \{A \in \mathfrak{A} \mid A \cap M \neq \emptyset\}$$

and

$$M^{+\mathfrak{A}} := \{A \in \mathfrak{A} \mid A \cap M = \emptyset\} .$$

Then for a topological space (X, τ) on $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_0(X)$ the *lower Vietoris topology* $\tau_{l, \mathfrak{A}}$ is defined by the subbase $\{O^{-\mathfrak{A}} \mid O \in \tau\}$, whereas the *upper Vietoris topology* $\tau_{u, \mathfrak{A}}$ on \mathfrak{A} comes from the subbase $\{(X \setminus O)^{+\mathfrak{A}} \mid O \in \tau\}$. The *Vietoris topology* on \mathfrak{A} is $\tau_{V, \mathfrak{A}} := \tau_{l, \mathfrak{A}} \vee \tau_{u, \mathfrak{A}}$. In most cases \mathfrak{A} is chosen as the family $Cl(X)$ of the closed, or $K(X)$ of the compact subsets of a topological space (X, τ) , or as the entire $\mathfrak{P}_0(X)$. Whenever there is no doubt about \mathfrak{A} , we will omit it as sub- and superscript.

We will need some basic facts about Stone-Čech-compactification of discrete spaces.

A discrete space (D, δ) clearly is T_4 and Hausdorff, so its Stone-Čech-compactification is homeomorphic to its Wallman extension, consisting in this case just of the set $\mathfrak{F}_0(D)$, where the singleton filters are identified with their generating points via $w : D \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_0(D) : w(x) := \overset{\bullet}{x}$, endowed with the topology generated from the base consisting of all sets $\mathfrak{F}_0(M)$, with $M \subseteq D$ (see [4], p.176ff).

Proposition 1.1. *Let (D, δ) be a discrete topological space. Then for its Stone-Čech-compactification (B, σ) hold*

- (a) *For all $M \subseteq D$ in B the closure \overline{M} is clopen.*
- (b) *σ has a base consisting of clopen sets.*
- (c) *All clopen sets C in (B, σ) are of the form $C = \overline{C \cap D}$.*

Proof. We use the homeomorphy of (B, σ) to the Wallman extension.

(a) We have $\overline{w(M)} = \mathfrak{F}_0(M)$: from $\mathfrak{F}_0(M) = \mathfrak{F}_0(D) \setminus \mathfrak{F}_0(D \setminus M)$ we conclude, that $\mathfrak{F}_0(M)$ is closed and of course it contains $w(M)$. So, $\overline{w(M)} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_0(M)$ follows. If there would be a filter $\varphi \in \mathfrak{F}_0(M)$ which belongs not to $\overline{w(M)}$, then there would exist a base set $\mathfrak{F}_0(S)$, $S \subseteq D$, of σ s.t. $\varphi \in \mathfrak{F}_0(S)$ and $\mathfrak{F}_0(S) \cap w(M) = \emptyset$. But this implies $M \cap S = \emptyset$, and thus $\mathfrak{F}_0(S) \cap \mathfrak{F}_0(M) = \emptyset$ - in contradiction to $\varphi \in \mathfrak{F}_0(S) \cap \mathfrak{F}_0(M)$. So, we have indeed $\overline{w(M)} = \mathfrak{F}_0(M)$, which is also open, because it belongs to our defining base of σ .

(b) Follows immediately from (a).

(c) Let $C \subseteq B$ be clopen. Then for all $c \in C$ there exists a basic open set $\mathfrak{F}_0(M_c)$ with $M_c \subseteq D$, s.t. $c \in \mathfrak{F}_0(M_c) \subseteq C$, because C is open. From closedness of C automatically follows compactness, because (B, σ) is compact, thus there are finitely many M_{c_1}, \dots, M_{c_n} with $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{F}_0(M_{c_i})$. Now, for such finite union we have generally $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{F}_0(M_{c_i}) = \mathfrak{F}_0(\bigcup_{i=1}^n M_{c_i})$ and it is clear, that $w(\bigcup_{i=1}^n M_{c_i}) = C \cap w(D)$ holds. \square

2 Choice Functions

Lemma 2.1. *Let (X, τ) be a topological space, $\hat{\varphi}$ an ultrafilter on $\mathfrak{P}_0(X)$ and let $P := \{p \in X \mid \exists f \in \mathcal{A}(X) : f(\hat{\varphi}) \xrightarrow{\tau} p\}$. Then $\hat{\varphi}$ converges to the τ -closure $\overline{P} \in \mathfrak{P}(X)$ w.r.t. τ_l .*

Proof. Let $O \in \tau$ be given with $\overline{P} \in O^-$, i.e. $\exists x \in O \cap \overline{P}$ and therefore $\exists p \in O \cap P$. So, there exists a choice function $f \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ s.t. $f(\hat{\varphi}) \rightarrow p$, implying $\exists \mathfrak{C} \in \hat{\varphi} : f(\mathfrak{C}) \subseteq O$, thus $\forall C \in \mathfrak{C} : C \cap O \neq \emptyset$. So, we have $\mathfrak{C} \subseteq O^- \in \hat{\varphi}$. \square

Obviously, every filter converging to a subset $B \subseteq X$ w.r.t. τ_l , converges to every $A \subseteq B$, too.

Proposition 2.2. *Let (X, τ) be a locally compact topological space, $P := \{p \in X \mid \exists f \in \mathcal{A}(X) : f(\hat{\varphi}) \xrightarrow{\tau} p\}$ and let $\hat{\varphi}$ be an ultrafilter on $\mathfrak{P}_0(X)$ with $\hat{\varphi} \xrightarrow{\tau} A \in \mathfrak{P}(X)$. Then $A \subseteq \overline{P}$ holds.*

Proof. For every $a \in A$ and every open neighbourhood $U \in \mathring{a} \cap \tau$ there is a compact $K \subseteq X$ and $O \in \tau$ with $a \in O \subseteq K \subseteq U$. Now, $A \in O^-$ and consequently $O^- \in \hat{\varphi}$. There exists a choice function $f \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ s.t. $\forall M \in O^- : f(M) \in O$, yielding $f(O^-) \subseteq O$. Thus we find $O \subseteq K \in f(\hat{\varphi})$. But $\hat{\varphi}$ is an ultrafilter and so $f(\hat{\varphi})$ is. Consequently, by the compactness of K , $f(\hat{\varphi})$ converges to some $k \in K \subseteq U$ and we have now $k \in U \cap P \neq \emptyset$. This yields $a \in \overline{P}$. \square

So, for locally compact spaces (X, τ) , every ultrafilter on $\mathfrak{P}(X)$ converges w.r.t. τ_l exactly to the subsets of its corresponding \overline{P} .

In general, it seems not so easy to guarantee that a single choice function yields a convergent image near a point of the limit of a τ_l -convergent ultrafilter. To give us some more latitude, we try now filters on $\mathcal{A}(X)$ instead.

Proposition 2.3. *Let (X, τ) be a nested neighbourhood space, let $\hat{\varphi}$ be an ultrafilter on $\mathfrak{P}_0(X)$ with $\hat{\varphi} \xrightarrow{\tau} A \in \mathfrak{P}(X)$ and let*

$$P := \{p \in X \mid \exists \mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{A}(X)) : \mathcal{F}(\hat{\varphi}) \xrightarrow{\tau} p\}.$$

Then $A \subseteq P$ holds.

Proof. Let $a \in A$ be given. Let $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \tau \cap \dot{a}$ be a neighbourhood base of a which is totally ordered by inclusion. For every $U \in \mathfrak{B}$ let $\mathcal{A}_U := \{f \in \mathcal{A}(X) \mid \forall H \in U^- : f(H) \in U\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U_1, \dots, U_n \in \mathfrak{B}$ with $U_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq U_n$ we have $U_1^- \subseteq \dots \subseteq U_n^-$. Then for every $H \in U_n^-$ there is a unique $k(H) := \min\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid H \cap U_i \neq \emptyset\}$. Now, we see $\mathcal{A}_{U_1, \dots, U_n} := \{f \in \mathcal{A}(X) \mid \forall H \in U_n^- : f(H) \in U_{k(H)}\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{A}_{U_1, \dots, U_n} \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_{U_i}$. So, the family $\{\mathcal{A}_U \mid U \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ forms a subbase for a filter \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{A} and obviously $\mathcal{A}_U(U^-) \subseteq U$ holds. From $\hat{\varphi} \xrightarrow{\tau} A \ni a$ follows $\forall U \in \mathfrak{B} : U^- \in \hat{\varphi}$ and so we find $\forall U \in \mathfrak{B} : U \in \mathcal{F}(\hat{\varphi})$, yielding $\mathcal{F}(\hat{\varphi}) \rightarrow a$. \square

Concerning the idea to describe hyperstructures via choice functions, a simple question arises immediately: if we start, as very simple case, with a discrete space and require an extremely hard condition about choice functions - will we get then a discrete hyperspace?

This simple question leads at once to much too hard problems in our set theoretical background, as we will see now.

Let X be a set and denote by $\mathfrak{P}_0(X) := \{M \subseteq X \mid M \neq \emptyset\}$ the set of all nonempty subsets of X . For $x \in X$ let $\dot{x} := \{A \subseteq X \mid x \in A\}$ the singleton filter generated by $\{x\}$. Further let $\mathcal{A} := \{f \in X^{\mathfrak{P}_0(X)} \mid \forall A \in \mathfrak{P}_0(X) : f(A) \in A\}$ the set of such functions from $\mathfrak{P}_0(X)$ to X , which assign to every nonempty subset A of X an element of A .

Now, let be given a filter $\hat{\varphi}$ on $\mathfrak{P}_0(X)$ with the property $\forall f \in \mathcal{A} : \exists x_f \in X : f(\hat{\varphi}) = \dot{x}_f$.

We claim, that $\hat{\varphi}$ is an ultrafilter on $\mathfrak{P}_0(X)$.

Proof. We show, that for every subset of $\mathfrak{P}_0(X)$ either this subset itself or its complement belongs to $\hat{\varphi}$.

Case 1: $\exists a \in X : \forall f \in \mathcal{A} : f(\hat{\varphi}) = \dot{a}$

This implies, that even a choice function, that avoids the point a wherever possible, would map our $\hat{\varphi}$ to \dot{a} . Then $\hat{\varphi}$ contains $\{\{a\}\}$, and so it is a singleton filter, thus an ultrafilter.

Case 2: $\exists a, b \in X, f, g \in \mathcal{A} : a \neq b \wedge f(\hat{\varphi}) = \dot{a} \wedge g(\hat{\varphi}) = \dot{b}$.

It follows $f^{-1}(\{a\}) \subseteq \{A \in \mathfrak{P}_0(X) \mid a \in A\} =: \mathfrak{A} \in \hat{\varphi}$ and $g^{-1}(\{b\}) \subseteq \{A \in \mathfrak{P}_0(X) \mid b \in A\} =: \mathfrak{B} \in \hat{\varphi}$, thus $\mathfrak{C} := \mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{B} \in \hat{\varphi}$. So, it suffices to show, that for every subset \mathfrak{D} of \mathfrak{C} either \mathfrak{D} is an element of $\hat{\varphi}$, or its complement in \mathfrak{C} , $\mathfrak{C} \setminus \mathfrak{D}$.

Assume, this would not hold, i.e.

$$\exists \mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{D} \notin \hat{\varphi} \wedge \mathfrak{D}^c \notin \hat{\varphi} \quad (1)$$

(with $\mathfrak{D}^c := \mathfrak{C} \setminus \mathfrak{D}$). This implies

$$\forall \mathfrak{M} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{F}} : \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{D} \neq \emptyset \wedge \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{D}^c \neq \emptyset . \quad (2)$$

Now, every element of \mathfrak{C} (and so every element of \mathfrak{D} as well as of \mathfrak{D}^c contains a and b . Consequently, there exists a choice function $h \in \mathcal{A}$ s.t.

$$h(M) := \begin{cases} a & ; & \text{if } M \in \mathfrak{D} \\ b & ; & \text{if } M \in \mathfrak{D}^c \\ f(M) & ; & \text{if } M \notin \mathfrak{C} \end{cases}$$

(Rem.: The designation $h(M) = f(M)$ for $M \notin \mathfrak{C}$ is not essential, we just have to make any choice – and $f(M)$ is anyhow possible, because of the existence of f .)

Because of (2) it follows $h(\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}) := [\{a, b\}]$, which is not a singleton filter – in contradiction to our precondition. \square

Now, the question struggles, whether or not such a filter $\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}$ must be a singleton filter on $\mathfrak{P}_0(X)$.

In order to characterize the Lindelöf-property by convergence of filters, as proposed in [3], lemma 5.1.20, the notion of „countable completeness“ was introduced for filters; it means, that the intersection of every at most countable family of elements of a filter is an element of the filter again.

Let X be a set.

We say, a filter Φ has the *property (A) w.r.t. X* iff Φ is a filter on $\mathfrak{P}_0(X)$ and fulfills

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{A}(X) : \exists x_f \in X : f(\Phi) = \dot{x}_f . \quad (3)$$

Proposition 2.4. *Every filter Φ with property (A) w.r.t. a set X is countably complete.*

Proof. Let's make a few observations at first:

- (a) As shown before, Φ is an ultrafilter.
- (b) If Φ has a countable subset, whose intersection does'nt belong to Φ , then Φ has also a countable subset with empty intersection.
- (c) Let $P := \{n \in X \mid \exists f \in \mathcal{A}(X) : f(\Phi) = \dot{n}\}$. Obviously, $\mathfrak{P}_0(P) \in \Phi$ holds - otherwise we would have $\mathfrak{P}_0(X) \setminus \mathfrak{P}_0(P) \in \Phi$ and thereon a choice function exists, which misses P completely. If P is finite, then $\mathfrak{P}_0(P)$, too - and then Φ must be a singleton filter, which is trivially countably complete.
- (d) For every $p \in P$ holds $\dot{p} \in \Phi$.
- (e) If P is infinite, there exists a countably infinite subset $P' := \{p_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of P , s.t. always $n \neq m \implies p_n \neq p_m$ holds.

So, let P be infinite and let P' be given as mentioned in (e).

Assume, there exists a countable infinite subset $\mathfrak{A} := \{A_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of Φ with $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \emptyset$.

In an usual manner, we construct a strictly decreasing sequence of elements of Φ : we define at first $A'_0 := A_0 \cap \overset{\bullet}{p}_0$ continue inductively from given A'_0, \dots, A'_n with $A'_{n+1} := (A_{n+1} \cap \overset{\bullet}{p}_{n+1}) \cap \bigcap_{i=0}^n A'_i$.

Then the set $\mathfrak{A}' := \{A'_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is again a subset of Φ and also countably infinite (otherwise the intersection about all of its elements would be nonempty, in contrast to being contained in the intersection of all A_n). Furthermore, the sequence $(A'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing and we have $\bigcap_{i=0}^n A'_i = \emptyset$. By removing of possible doublets we get a strongly decreasing sequence $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, which exhausts \mathfrak{A}' : we define $B_0 := A'_0$ (and $p'_0 := p_0$) and then inductively from B_0, \dots, B_i always $n_{i+1} := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} | A'_n \not\subseteq B_i\}$ and $B_{i+1} := A'_{n_{i+1}}$ (and $p'_{i+1} := p_{n_{i+1}}$).

So we get $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \emptyset$.

Consequently the mapping $\kappa : B_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N} : \kappa(M) := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} | M \notin B_n\} - 1$ is well defined.

Now consider any $g \in \mathcal{A}(X)$.

We define the choice function

$$f : \mathfrak{P}_0(X) \rightarrow X : f(M) := \begin{cases} p'_{\kappa(M)} & ; \quad M \in B_0 \\ g(M) & ; \quad \text{sonst} \end{cases}$$

We find for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$: $f(B_n) = \{p'_i | i \geq n\}$, thus $f(\Phi)$ includes the cofinite filter on $P'' := \{p'_i | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ - so Φ cannot be a singleton filter, in contradiction to our precondition on Φ . \square

Proposition 2.5. *On $\mathfrak{P}_0(\mathbb{N})$ there exists no countably complete free ultrafilter.*

Proof. Assume, Φ would be a free, countably complete ultrafilter on $\mathfrak{P}_0(\mathbb{N})$. Let h be any bijection from $\mathfrak{P}_0(\mathbb{N})$ to the real interval $[0, 1]$. Then $h(\Phi)$ is a free countably complete ultrafilter on $[0, 1]$. As ultrafilter on this euclidian compact interval it converges to an element $r \in [0, 1]$, i.e. $h(\Phi) \supseteq \{U_{\perp}(r) | n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 0\}$. Because $h(\Phi)$ is free, it holds $[0, 1] \setminus \{r\} \in h(\Phi)$, so ${}^n h(\Phi) \supseteq \mathfrak{A} := \{U_{\perp}(r) \setminus \{r\} | n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 0\}$ follows. From countable completeness we get now $\emptyset = \bigcap_{M \in \mathfrak{A}} M \in h(\Phi)$ - in contradiction to the filter property. \square

From this follows immediatly:

Corollary 2.6. *The filters having property (A) w.r.t. \mathbb{N} are exactly the singleton filters on $\mathfrak{P}_0(\mathbb{N})$.*

Remark: Unfortunately, at this point it's over with provable answers to the questions whether or not a filter with property (A) must be a singleton filter (in our set theoretic realm). The colleagues concerned with axiomatics, model theory etc. showed

- (1) Countable complete free ultrafilters exist (anywho), iff measurable cardinals exist.
- (2) Every measurable cardinal is inaccessible.

Okay, but now:

- (3) If we add to our set theoretic axiomatic (ZFC) the axiom „There exists an inaccessible cardinal.“, the new system does prove the consistency of ZFC.
- (4) Consistency of ZFC implies consistency of ZFC + "there are no inaccessible cardinals".

The reader may find some useful explications and references here:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inaccessible_cardinal

We find, unless some characterizations via choice functions may be sometimes useful, it seems to be not the best idea to use them for definition of hyperstructures in a general setting - just for instance, because they have a tendency to lead rapidly to highly inconvenient set theoretic trouble.

So, let's try another way.

3 Vietoris Hyperstructure as final w.r.t. Function Spaces

Remember a wide class of function space structures, defined for Y^X or $C(X, Y)$: the so called set-open topologies, examined in [1], [5]. According to [5], we use the following convention: Let X and Y be sets and $A \subseteq X$, $B \subseteq Y$; then let be $(A, B) := \{f \in Y^X \mid f(A) \subseteq B\}$. Now let X be a set, (Y, σ) a topological space and $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_0(X)$. Then the topology $\tau_{\mathfrak{A}}$ on Y^X (resp. $C(X, Y)$), which is defined by the open subbase $\{(A, W) \mid A \in \mathfrak{A}, W \in \sigma\}$ is called the *set-open topology, generated by \mathfrak{A}* , or shortly the *\mathfrak{A} -open topology*.

We know

Lemma 3.1 (cf. [2], lemma 3.4). *Let $(X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)$ be topological spaces, let $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_0(X)$ contain the singletons and $\mathcal{H} \subseteq Y^X$ be endowed with $\tau_{\mathfrak{A}}$.*

Then the map

$$\mu_X : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_0(Y)^{\mathfrak{A}} : f \rightarrow \mu_X(f) : \forall A \in \mathfrak{A} : \mu_X(f)(A) := f(A),$$

is open, continuous and bijective onto its image, for $\mathfrak{P}_0(Y)$ is equipped with Vietoris topology σ_V , and $\mathfrak{P}_0(Y)^{\mathfrak{A}}$ with the generated pointwise topology.

Now, the pointwise topology on $\mathfrak{P}_0(Y)^{\mathfrak{A}}$ is just the product topology on $\prod_{A \in \mathfrak{A}} \mathfrak{P}_0(Y)_A$ (with all $\mathfrak{P}_0(Y)_A$ being just copies of $\mathfrak{P}_0(Y)$). By choosing $\mathcal{H} := C(X, Y)$, $\mathfrak{A} := K(X)$ and consequently replacing $\mathfrak{P}_0(Y)$ by $K(Y)$, we have the following situation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & K(Y)^{K(X)} \cong \prod_{A \in K(X)} K(Y)_A \\ & & \downarrow \pi_A \\ & & (K(Y), \sigma_V) \end{array}$$

Of course, by π_A we mean the canonical projection from the product to the factor $K(Y)_A = K(Y)$.

From lemma 3.1 we get the continuity of μ_X , if $C(X, Y)$ is equipped with compact-open topology, thus in this case all compositions $\pi_A \circ \mu_X$ are continuous, too.

Moreover, μ_X is even a homeomorphism onto its image and the product structure is initial w.r.t. the projections. So, the question arises, whether or not the Vietoris topology σ_V on $K(Y)$ is final w.r.t. all $\pi_A \circ \mu_X$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (X, τ) , (Y, σ) be topological spaces and let σ_V be the Vietoris topology on $K(Y)$. Then for every $\mathfrak{D} \in \sigma_V$ and every $A \in K(X)$ the set $(\pi_A \circ \mu_X)^{-1}(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq C(X, Y)$ is open w.r.t. the compact-open topology.*

Proof. Let $A \in K(X)$ be given and let $F \in Cl(Y)$ be a closed subset of Y . Then $(\pi_A \circ \mu_X)^{-1}(F^+) = \{f \in C(X, Y) \mid f(A) \subseteq Y \setminus F\} = (A, Y \setminus F) \in \tau_{co}$. Let now $O \in \sigma$ be given, then

$$(\pi_A \circ \mu_X)^{-1}(O^-) = \{f \in C(X, Y) \mid f(A) \cap O \neq \emptyset\} = \bigcup_{a \in A} (\{a\}, O) \in \tau_{co}.$$

So, because the F^+ and O^- form a subbase of σ_V , for $\mathfrak{D} \in \sigma_V$ the preimage $(\pi_A \circ \mu_X)^{-1}(\mathfrak{D})$ is an element of τ_{co} . \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let (Y, σ) be a topological space. For every topological space let $C(X, Y)$ be equipped with compact-open topology.*

Then the Vietoris topology σ_V on $K(Y)$ is contained in the final topology w.r.t. all $\pi_A \circ \mu_{(X, \tau)}$, $(X, \tau) \in \mathcal{B}$, $A \in K(X, \tau)$, for every class \mathcal{B} of topological spaces.

Proposition 3.4. *Let (Y, σ) be a topological T_3 -space, $K \subseteq Y$ compact and $O \subseteq Y$ open with $K \subseteq O$. Then an open set U exists with $K \subseteq U \subseteq \overline{U} \subseteq O$. Especially, $\overline{K} \subseteq O$ holds.*

Proof. $K \subseteq O$ just means $K \cap (Y \setminus O) = \emptyset$ and $(Y \setminus O)$ is closed. Thus, by T_3 , for every element $k \in K$ there are $U_k, V_k \in \sigma$ s.t. $k \in U_k, Y \setminus O \subseteq V_k$ and $U_k \cap V_k = \emptyset$. The U_k 's cover K , so by compactness a finite subcover U_{k_1}, \dots, U_{k_n} exists. Let $U := \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{k_i}$ and $V := \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{k_i}$, so U, V are open, $U \cap V = \emptyset$, $K \subseteq U$ and $Y \setminus O \subseteq V$ hold, i.e.

$$K \subseteq U \subseteq Y \setminus V \subseteq O .$$

Now, $Y \setminus V$ is closed, so we get

$$\overline{K} \subseteq \overline{U} \subseteq \overline{Y \setminus V} = Y \setminus V \subseteq O .$$

□

Lemma 3.5. *Let (Y, σ) be an infinite¹ Hausdorff T_3 -space and let $(K(Y), \sigma_V)$ be its Vietoris Hyperspace of compact subsets. Let furthermore δ be the discrete topology on $Y \times Y$ and denote by (Z, ζ) the Stone-Čech-compactification of $(Y \times Y, \delta)$.*

Then σ_V is the final topology on $K(Y)$ w.r.t. $\pi_Z \circ \mu_Z : C(Z, Y) \rightarrow K(Y)$, where $C(Z, Y)$ is endowed with compact-open topology τ_{co} .

Proof. From Lemma 3.2 we know that σ_V is contained in the final topology w.r.t. $\pi_Z \circ \mu_Z$, so we only have to show, that every open set of the final topology also belongs to σ_V . Let \mathfrak{D} be an open set of the final topology, i.e. $(\pi_Z \circ \mu_Z)^{-1}(\mathfrak{D}) \in \tau_{co}$, and let $A \in \mathfrak{D}$.

We want to show, that there exist finitely many open sets $U_1, \dots, U_m \in \sigma$ s.t. $A \in \langle U_1, \dots, U_m \rangle_{K(Y)} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$.

At first, chose any surjection s from Y onto $A \subseteq Y$. Then extend it to a surjection $f_A : Y \times Y \rightarrow A$ by $f_A(y_1, y_2) := s(y_1)$, just meaning, that f_A maps such pairs with equal first component to the same image.

Now, endowing $Y \times Y$ with discrete topology, we get f_A being continuous. So, if (Z, ζ) denotes the Stone-Čech-compactification of the discrete $Y \times Y$, there exists a continuous extension $F_A : Z \rightarrow A$ of f_A .

Because F_A is an extension of f_A , we have

$$\forall (a, b), (c, d) \in Y \times Y : a = c \implies F_A(a, b) = F_A(c, d) . \quad (4)$$

Because \mathfrak{D} is open in the final topology, there are finitely many compact subsets $K_1, \dots, K_n \in K(Z)$ and open subsets $O_1, \dots, O_n \in \sigma$ s.t. $F_A \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n (K_i, O_i) \subseteq (\pi_X \circ \mu_X)^{-1}(\mathfrak{D})$.

We will improve the sets K_i and O_i a little in an appropriate manner.

¹A finite Hausdorff (or even T_1 -) space Y would be discrete and the Vietoris hypertopology on $K(Y) = \mathfrak{P}_0(Y)$ would become discrete, too. That is not such an exorbitant interesting case here for me.

- (a) For each K_i and every $k \in K_i$ there is an open neighbourhood U_k of k , s.t. $F_A(U_k) \subseteq O_i$, because F_A is continuous. Now, ζ has a base consisting of clopen sets B of the form $B = \overline{B} \cap (Y \times Y)$. So, there exist always such a clopen $B_k \subseteq U_k$ with $k \in B_k$ and $F_A(B_k) \subseteq O_i$. The family of all $B_k, k \in K_i$ is an open cover of K_i and consequently there is a finite subcover $\{B_{k_1}, \dots, B_{k_l}\}$, by compactness of K_i . Now let

$$K'_i := \bigcup_{j=1}^l B_{k_j}$$

and observe, that K'_i as a finite union of clopen sets is clopen again, hence it is compact and of the form $K'_i = \overline{K'_i} \cap (Y \times Y)$. Furthermore we have $K_i \subseteq K'_i$ and consequently

$$F_A \in (K'_i, O_i) \subseteq (K_i, O_i) .$$

- (b) We want to have our K 's saturated in the sense, that whenever $(a, b) \in K \cap (Y \times Y)$ holds, then $\{a\} \times Y \subseteq K$ also holds. So, let us define

$$D_i := \bigcup_{\substack{a \in Y, \exists b \in Y: \\ (a, b) \in K'_i \cap (Y \times Y)}} \{a\} \times Y$$

and then $K''_i := \overline{D_i}$. From the continuity of F_A follows

$$F_A(K''_i) = F_A(\overline{D_i}) \subseteq \overline{F_A(D_i)} \quad (5)$$

and from (4) we get

$$F_A(D_i) = F_A(K'_i \cap (Y \times Y)) . \quad (6)$$

Of course, $F_A(K'_i \cap (Y \times Y)) \subseteq F_A(K'_i)$ and $F_A(K'_i)$ is compact and fulfills $F_A(K'_i) \subseteq O_i$, so by proposition 3.4 we get from (Y, σ) being T_3

$$F_A(K''_i) \subseteq \overline{F_A(D_i)} \subseteq \overline{F_A(K'_i)} \subseteq O_i . \quad (7)$$

Note, that all K''_i are compact and clopen again, by construction as a closure of a subset of $Y \times Y$ in the Stone-Ćech-compactification (Z, ζ) of the discrete $Y \times Y$. Clearly, $K''_i \supseteq K'_i$ holds, yielding $(K''_i, O_i) \subseteq (K'_i, O_i)$, thus

$$F_A \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n (K''_i, O_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n (K'_i, O_i) . \quad (8)$$

- (c) To cover Z (resp. A) with our compact sets, we add $K''_0 := Z$ (resp. $O_0 := Y$) and find of course

$$F_A \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n (K''_i, O_i) = \bigcap_{i=0}^n (K''_i, O_i) .$$

For each $z \in Z$ define

$$I(z) := \{i \in \{0, \dots, n\} \mid z \in K_i''\}$$

and then

$$C(z) := \bigcap_{i \in I(z)} K_i'' \setminus \left(\bigcup_{j \in \{0, \dots, n\} \setminus I(z)} K_j'' \right) \quad (9)$$

as well as

$$V(z) := \bigcap_{i \in I(z)} O_i. \quad (10)$$

Obviously for every $z \in Z$ we have

$$F_A(C(z)) \subseteq F_A \left(\bigcap_{i \in I(z)} K_i'' \right) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I(z)} O_i = V(z)$$

implying $F_A \in (C(z), V(z))$.

The family of all $C(z)$ covers Z , because every $z \in Z$ is contained at least in it's own $C(z)$. Observe, that different $C(z_1)$ and $C(z_2)$ are disjoint: if $y \in C(z_1) \cap C(z_2)$ exists, then $I(z_1) = I(y) = I(z_2)$ follows, implying $C(z_1) = C(z_2)$ by (9).

Obviously, there are only finitely many different sets $C(z), V(z)$, because they are uniquely determined by $I(z)$, which is a subset of $\{0, \dots, n\}$ and this set has just finitely many subsets. So, for simplicity, let us denote them by C_1, \dots, C_m and V_1, \dots, V_m , respectively.

It is clear, that the C_j 's are clopen (thus compact) and saturated in the sense of paragraph (b), by construction (9) from just clopen saturated K_i'' 's.

For $G \in \bigcap_{j=1}^m (C_j, V_j) = \bigcap_{z \in Z} (C(z), V(z))$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i \in \{0, \dots, n\} \quad &: \forall z \in K_i'' \quad &: i \in I(z) \\ &\implies &: G(z) \in V(z) \subseteq O_i \\ \implies &: G(K_i'') \subseteq O_i. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$F_A \in \bigcap_{j=1}^m (C_j, V_j) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=0}^n (K_i'', O_i) \quad (11)$$

- (d) At last, let us chose for every $j = 1, \dots, m$ an open set $U_j \in \sigma$ s.t. $F_A(C_j) \subseteq U_j \subseteq \overline{U_j} \subseteq V_j$ holds, as provided by proposition 3.4. Of course, we have then automatically $F_A \in (C_j, U_j) \subseteq (C_j, V_j)$. So, because the C_j 's cover Z , the $F_A(C_j)$'s cover A , and so the U_j 's do.

With these U_j , $j = 1, \dots, m$ we show $A \in \langle U_1, \dots, U_j \rangle_{K(Y)} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$.

$A \in \langle U_1, \dots, U_m \rangle_{K(Y)}$ is clear, because the U_j 's cover A , as seen in paragraph (d), and $\emptyset \neq F_A(C_j) \subseteq A \cap U_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Let

$$B \in \langle U_1, \dots, U_j \rangle_{K(Y)} \quad (12)$$

be given.

Because every C_j is nonempty clopen and saturated in the sense of paragraph (b), $C_j \cap (Y \times Y)$ has the cardinality of Y . So, there exists a surjection $t_j : C_j \cap (Y \times Y) \rightarrow U_j \cap B$ (the range is not empty by (12)).

Now, define

$$t : (Y \times Y) \rightarrow B : t(x, y) := t_j(x, y) \text{ for } (x, y) \in C_j$$

This t is well defined, because the C_j 's are pairwise disjoint and cover Z by paragraph (c), and it is a surjection onto B , because the U_j 's cover B by (12) and the t_j are surjections onto $U_j \cap B$. Our t is continuous w.r.t. the discrete topology on $Y \times Y$, so it extends to a continuous $T : Z \rightarrow B$.

By construction we have for each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

$$T(C_j \cap (Y \times Y)) \subseteq U_j, \quad (13)$$

implying $T(C_j) = T(\overline{C_j \cap (Y \times Y)}) \subseteq \overline{T(C_j \cap (Y \times Y))} \subseteq \overline{U_j}$ by continuity, thus $T(C_j) \subseteq U_j$ by choice of U_j in paragraph (d).

We find $T \in \bigcap_{j=1}^m (C_j, V_j) \subseteq (\pi_Z \circ \mu_Z)^{-1}(\mathfrak{D})$, yielding $B = \pi_Z \circ \mu_Z(T) \in \mathfrak{D}$. This works for every $B \in \langle U_1, \dots, U_m \rangle_{K(Y)}$, thus we have indeed $\langle U_1, \dots, U_m \rangle_{K(Y)} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$. Consequently, \mathfrak{D} is a union of Vietoris-open subsets of $K(Y)$, just meaning $\mathfrak{D} \in \sigma_V$. \square

Remark 3.6

- (1) Of course, $Y \times Y$ with discrete topology is homeomorphic to Y with discrete topology for infinite Y . So, we used $Y \times Y$ here just for convenience concerning the description of the „saturated“ subsets within the proof. Nevertheless, even for finite Y this proof works fine, but wouldn't do so with Y instead of $Y \times Y$.
- (2) In Lemma 3.5, we required T_2 for Y , because we use the classical version of the Stone-Ćech theorem here. This can be weakened, because we need only the extendability of continuous functions to the compactification - and not the uniqueness of the extension.

Corollary 3.7. *Let (Y, σ) be a Hausdorff T_3 -space. For every topological space let $C(X, Y)$ be equipped with compact-open topology. Let \mathcal{B} be a class of topological spaces, that contains the Stone-Ćech-compactification of a*

discrete space with cardinality at least $\text{card}(Y)$.
Then the Vietoris topology σ_V on $K(Y)$ is the final topology w.r.t. all $\pi_A \circ \mu_{(X,\tau)}$, $(X,\tau) \in \mathcal{B}$, $A \in K(X,\tau)$.

References

- [1] ARENS, R. ; DUGUNDJI, J. : Topologies for function spaces. In: *Pac. J. Math.* 1 (1951), S. 5–31. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1951.1.5>. – DOI 10.2140/pjm.1951.1.5. – ISSN 0030–8730
- [2] BARTSCH, R. : On a nice embedding and the Ascoli theorem. In: *N. Z. J. Math.* 33 (2004), Nr. 1, S. 25–39. – ISSN 1179–4984/e
- [3] BARTSCH, R. : *Allgemeine Topologie I*. Oldenbourg, 2007
- [4] ENGELKING, R. : *General topology. Rev. and compl. ed.* Rev. and compl. ed. Berlin: Heldermann Verlag, 1989. – viii + 529 S. – ISBN 3–88538–006–4
- [5] POPPE, H. : *Compactness in general function spaces*. Berlin: VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1974

René Bartsch
TU Darmstadt, Dept. of Math.
Schlossgartenstr. 7
D-64289 Darmstadt (Germany)
email: rbartsch@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de