

On twisted group C^* -algebras associated with FC-hypercentral groups and other related groups

Erik Bédos, Tron Omland

November 7, 2014

Abstract

We show that the twisted group C^* -algebra associated with a discrete FC-hypercentral group is simple (resp. has a unique tracial state) if and only if Kleppner's condition is satisfied. This generalizes a result of J. Packer for countable nilpotent groups. We also consider a larger class of groups, for which we can show that the corresponding reduced twisted group C^* -algebras have a unique tracial state if and only if Kleppner's condition holds.

MSC 2010: Primary: 46L55. Secondary: 22D25, 20C25, 46L05.

Keywords: twisted group C^* -algebra, simplicity, unique trace, FC-hypercentral group.

1 Introduction

In this article, all groups will be considered as discrete groups. Letting $\sigma : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ denote a normalized two-cocycle on a group G , in other words, $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$, we will say that (G, σ) is C^* -simple (resp. has the unique trace property) whenever the reduced twisted group C^* -algebra $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ is simple (resp. has a unique tracial state). If G is amenable, then we can equally consider the (full) twisted group C^* -algebra $C^*(G, \sigma)$, since $C^*(G, \sigma)$ is canonically isomorphic to $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ in this case (cf. [27]). It is well known that a necessary condition for (G, σ) to be C^* -simple (resp. have the unique trace property) is *Kleppner's condition* [16], which says that every nontrivial σ -regular conjugacy class in G is infinite. In general, Kleppner's condition is not sufficient for (G, σ) to be C^* -simple (resp. have the unique trace property). However, for certain classes of groups, Kleppner's condition is sufficient for both these properties to hold. We will therefore say that a group G belongs to the class \mathcal{K}_{C^*S} (resp. \mathcal{K}_{UT}) if, for every $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$, we have that (G, σ) is C^* -simple (resp. has the unique trace property) if and only if (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition. Moreover, we will let \mathcal{K} denote the intersection of \mathcal{K}_{C^*S} and \mathcal{K}_{UT} , while \mathcal{K}^{am} will denote the subclass of \mathcal{K} consisting of amenable groups.

It is a classical fact that the class of finite groups is contained in \mathcal{K}^{am} ; see for example [14] or [16]. J. Packer [22] has shown that all countable nilpotent groups belong to \mathcal{K}^{am} (see also [26] for the case of abelian groups). Large families of non-amenable groups in \mathcal{K} are described in [1, 2, 4]. We mention explicitly the class \mathcal{P} introduced in [4], as we will refer to it later: it consists of all PH groups [24] and of all groups with property (P_{com}) [5]. In particular, all weak Powers groups [13] belong to \mathcal{P} , and the class \mathcal{P} contains many amalgamated free products, HNN-extensions, hyperbolic groups, Coxeter groups, and lattices in semisimple Lie groups. Any group belonging to \mathcal{P} is ICC (meaning that all of its nontrivial conjugacy classes are infinite), so Kleppner's condition is trivially satisfied for any $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ when G lies in \mathcal{P} .

Our first aim in this paper is to show that the class \mathcal{K}^{am} contains all *FC-hypercentral* groups (cf. Theorem 3.1). The class of FC-hypercentral groups [25] is quite large: it contains for instance all groups that have only finite conjugacy classes (usually called FC-groups); moreover, it contains all virtually nilpotent groups and all FC-nilpotent groups. A simple way to describe that a group is FC-hypercentral is to say that it has no nontrivial ICC quotient group. We will recall the equivalent definitions in Section 2.3. We just mention here that if a group G is finitely generated, then G is FC-hypercentral if and only if G is virtually nilpotent, if and only if G has polynomial growth. An interesting open problem raised by our result is whether the class \mathcal{K}^{am} coincides with the class of FC-hypercentral groups.

In our proof of Theorem 3.1, we will use the observation that if a group G is amenable and (G, σ) has the unique trace property for some $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$, then (G, σ) is C^* -simple. This follows easily from the fact that $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ has the QTS property introduced by G. Murphy [19] whenever G is amenable. Thus, the main burden of our proof will be to show that for an FC-hypercentral group, the unique trace property follows from Kleppner's condition. This will be achieved by streamlining and generalizing the proof of the same implication given by J. Packer [22] in the case of a countable nilpotent group. For completeness, we will also give another proof that for an FC-hypercentral group, the C^* -simplicity can be deduced from Kleppner's condition, by making use of a deep result of S. Echterhoff in [9].

A consequence of Theorem 3.1 is that if G is a countable FC-hypercentral group, $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ and (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition, then $C^*(G, \sigma) \simeq C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ belongs to the class of separable simple nuclear C^* -algebras with a unique tracial state, a class that is of particular interest in the classification program for C^* -algebras.

In the second part of this paper, we consider a larger class of groups and show that it is contained in \mathcal{K}_{UT} . To describe this class, we first recall [25] that any group G has a canonical normal FC-hypercentral subgroup, $FCH(G)$, called its *FC-hypercenter*. The quotient group $G/FCH(G)$ is an ICC group, that we will denote by $ICC(G)$. We will say that G belongs to the class $ICCP$ when $ICC(G)$ belongs to the class \mathcal{P} mentioned above. As the trivial group is the only amenable group belonging to \mathcal{P} , we have that the class of FC-hypercentral groups is the intersection of $ICCP$ with the class of amenable groups. Our result is that the class $ICCP$ is contained in \mathcal{K}_{UT} (cf. Theorem 4.1). We believe that $ICCP$ is also contained in \mathcal{K}_{C^*S} , and include a result supporting this conjecture.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 On twisted group C^* -algebras

Let G denote a group with identity e and let $\sigma: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ denote a normalized two-cocycle (sometimes called a multiplier) on G with values in the circle group \mathbb{T} . We recall that σ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(g, h)\sigma(gh, k) &= \sigma(h, k)\sigma(g, hk), \\ \sigma(g, e) &= \sigma(e, g) = 1\end{aligned}$$

for all $g, h, k \in G$.

The set of all such two-cocycles will be denoted by $Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$, as in [27]. The trivial two-cocycle is simply written as 1. We will use the convention that when $\sigma = 1$, we just drop σ from all our notation.

The *left regular σ -projective unitary representation* λ_σ of G on $B(\ell^2(G))$ is given by

$$(\lambda_\sigma(g)\xi)(h) = \sigma(g, g^{-1}h)\xi(g^{-1}h)$$

for $\xi \in \ell^2(G)$ and $g, h \in G$. Note that

$$\lambda_\sigma(g)\delta_h = \sigma(g, h)\delta_{gh}$$

for all $g, h \in G$ (where $\delta_g(h) = 1$ if $g = h$ and $\delta_g(h) = 0$ otherwise). The *reduced twisted group C^* -algebra* and the *twisted group von Neumann algebra* of (G, σ) , $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ and $W^*(G, \sigma)$ are, respectively, the C^* -algebra and the von Neumann algebra generated by $\lambda_\sigma(G)$. The *(full) twisted group C^* -algebra* of (G, σ) , $C^*(G, \sigma)$, is the enveloping C^* -algebra of the Banach $*$ -algebra $\ell^1(G, \sigma)$, equipped with the twisted convolution and involution (see [27]).

The canonical tracial state on $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ will be denoted by τ ; it is simply given as the restriction to $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ of the vector state associated with δ_e . As is well-known, τ is faithful and satisfies $\tau(\lambda_\sigma(g)) = 0$ for every $g \neq e$.

We recall [16, 22, 20] that $g \in G$ is called *σ -regular* if

$$\sigma(g, h) = \sigma(h, g) \text{ for every } h \in G \text{ that commutes with } g.$$

If g is σ -regular, then hgh^{-1} is σ -regular for all h in G , so the notion of σ -regularity makes sense for conjugacy classes in G .

As mentioned in the Introduction, the pair (G, σ) will be said to satisfy *Kleppner's condition* if every nontrivial σ -regular conjugacy class of G is infinite. It is known [16, 22, 20] that (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition if and only if $W^*(G, \sigma)$ is a factor, if and only if $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ has trivial center, if and only if $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ is prime. It follows easily from these equivalences that Kleppner's condition is necessary for (G, σ) to be C^* -simple (resp. to have the unique trace property). On the other hand, if G is amenable, then $C_r^*(G) \simeq C^*(G)$ has a 1-dimensional $*$ -representation [23]. Hence, if G is a nontrivial amenable ICC group, then $(G, 1)$ satisfies Kleppner's condition, but neither is $(G, 1)$ C^* -simple, nor does it have the unique trace property.

The following lemma, which is a slight adaptation of a technical result due to Carey and Moran ([7, Lemma 4.1]), will be important in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a group and assume ψ is a tracial state on $C^*(G)$. Let $g \rightarrow u(g) \in C^*(G)$ denote the canonical embedding of G into $C^*(G)$ and let ψ_G be the function on G given by $\psi_G = \psi \circ u$. Assume that there exist $h \in G$ and a sequence $\{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in G such that*

$$\psi_G(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1} g_i h g_i^{-1}) = 0 \quad \text{for every } i \neq j \text{ in } \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then $\psi_G(h) = 0$.

Proof. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $a_N \in C^*(G)$ be defined by $a_N = I - \overline{\psi_G(h)} \sum_{i=1}^N u(g_i h g_i^{-1})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_N)^* a_N &= \left[I - \psi_G(h) \sum_{j=1}^N u(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1}) \right] \left[I - \overline{\psi_G(h)} \sum_{i=1}^N u(g_i h g_i^{-1}) \right] \\ &= I - \overline{\psi_G(h)} \sum_{i=1}^N u(g_i h g_i^{-1}) - \psi_G(h) \sum_{j=1}^N u(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1}) + |\psi_G(h)|^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N u(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1} g_i h g_i^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Using that ψ is a tracial state, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi((a_N)^* a_N) &= 1 - 2N |\psi_G(h)|^2 + |\psi_G(h)|^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N \psi_G(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1} g_i h g_i^{-1}) \\ &= 1 - N |\psi_G(h)|^2 + \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^N \psi_G(g_j h^{-1} g_j^{-1} g_i h g_i^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.1), we get

$$0 \leq \psi((a_N)^* a_N) = 1 - N |\psi_G(h)|^2,$$

hence $|\psi_G(h)| \leq \sqrt{1/N}$. Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the desired conclusion. \square

2.2 On the QTS property

Let A denote a unital C^* -algebra. Following G. Murphy [19], A is said to have the *QTS property* if, for each proper (closed two-sided) ideal J of A , the quotient A/J admits a tracial state. As observed by Murphy, if A has the QTS property, then A is simple if and only if all its tracial states are faithful. As an immediate consequence, we get:

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that A has the QTS property and a unique tracial state, which is faithful. Then A is simple.*

We recall (cf. [12]) that a unital C^* -algebra is simple with at most one tracial state if and only if it has the Dixmier property. Hence, the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 imply that A has the Dixmier property. In this connection, we remark that N. Ozawa has recently shown [21] that the QTS property may be characterized by a weaker Dixmier type property.

The following result will be useful to us:

Corollary 2.3. *Assume that G is amenable and let $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$. Then (G, σ) is C^* -simple whenever it has the unique trace property.*

Proof. It is known (cf. [19]) that a unital C^* -algebra A has the QTS property whenever A is hypertracial (as defined in [3]). Since G is amenable if and only if $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ is hypertracial (cf. [3]), the assertion follows from Theorem 2.2. For the ease of the reader, we sketch a direct proof that $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ has the QTS property when G is assumed to be amenable. Let J be a proper ideal of $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$, let π denote the canonical quotient map from $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ onto $B = C_r^*(G, \sigma)/J$, let φ denote a state on B , and set $v(g) = \pi(\lambda_\sigma(g))$ for each $g \in G$. For each $x \in B$, define $x_\varphi \in \ell^\infty(G)$ by

$$x_\varphi(g) = \varphi(v(g)xv(g)^*) \quad \text{for each } g \in G.$$

Now, let m be a right-invariant mean on $\ell^\infty(G)$ and define $\psi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\psi(x) = m(x_\varphi) \quad \text{for each } x \in B.$$

Then ψ is a state on B . Moreover, as $(v(h)xv(h)^*)_\varphi$ is the right-translate of x_φ by h for each $h \in G$, the invariance of m gives that $\psi(v(h)xv(h)^*) = \psi(x)$ for all $h \in G$ and $x \in B$. As $\{v(h) \mid h \in G\}$ generates B as a C^* -algebra, it follows readily that ψ is tracial. \square

Murphy also shows that a unital C^* -algebra A has the QTS property whenever A is exact [6] and has stable rank one (i.e., the invertible elements of A are dense in A). Now, it follows from [10] that $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ is exact whenever G is exact. Hence, another corollary of Theorem 2.2 is:

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$. Assume that G is exact and $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ has stable rank one. Then (G, σ) is C^* -simple whenever it has the unique trace property.*

Not much seems to be known about conditions ensuring that $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ has stable rank one. We plan to investigate this in a separate paper.

2.3 On FC-hypercentral groups

Let G be a group. We recall that the *FC-center* of G is given by

$$FC(G) = \{g \in G \mid \text{the conjugacy class of } g \text{ is finite}\}.$$

The FC-center of G is a normal subgroup of G , which is trivial if and only if G is ICC. The group G is said to be an *FC-group* when $FC(G) = G$.

The *upper (ascending) FC-central series* $\{F_\alpha\}_\alpha$ of G is a normal series of subgroups of G indexed by the ordinal numbers. It is defined as follows (cf. [25, Section 4.3]):

We set $F_0 = \{e\}$, $F_\alpha/F_\beta = FC(G/F_\beta)$ if $\alpha = \beta + 1$, and $F_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} F_\beta$ when α is a limit ordinal number. This series eventually stabilizes and $FCH(G) = \lim_\alpha F_\alpha = \bigcup_\alpha F_\alpha$ is called the *FC-hypercenter* of G . Note that $FCH(G)$ is a normal subgroup of G since it is a union of normal subgroups.

Since $F_1 = FC(G)$, we have that $FCH(G)$ is trivial if and only if $FC(G)$ is trivial if and only if G is ICC.

A group G is called *FC-hypercentral* [25] when $FCH(G) = G$. If the upper FC-central series stabilizes to G after a finite number of steps, then G is called *FC-nilpotent*. For example, G is FC-nilpotent whenever G is virtually nilpotent (i.e., it contains a nilpotent subgroup of finite index). If G is finitely generated and FC-hypercentral, then G is FC-nilpotent; further, if G is finitely generated and FC-nilpotent, then G is a finite extension of finitely generated nilpotent subgroup. (See [17, Theorem 2 and its proof]).

As observed by S. Echterhoff in [9], it follows that FC-hypercentral groups have polynomial growth and are therefore amenable. Moreover, a deep result proved by Echterhoff is that G is FC-hypercentral if and only if G is amenable and every prime ideal of $C^*(G)$ is maximal. This generalizes an earlier result of Moore and Rosenberg [18], which says that any countable amenable T_1 -group is FC-hypercentral. (We recall that G is called a *T_1 -group* when every primitive ideal of $C^*(G)$ is maximal).

To sum up, consider the following conditions for a group G :

- (i) G is virtually nilpotent.
- (ii) G is FC-nilpotent.
- (iii) G is FC-hypercentral.
- (iv) G is an amenable T_1 -group.
- (v) G has polynomial growth.

In general, we have (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv), and (iii) \Rightarrow (v). If G is countable, then (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv). (To our knowledge, it is open whether (iv) implies (iii) in general; it seems also to be unknown whether all T_1 -groups have polynomial growth.) Finally, for a finitely generated group, all the five conditions are equivalent, the implication (v) \Rightarrow (i) being a famous result due to M. Gromov [11].

Another condition one might consider is:

- (vi) G is elementary amenable with subexponential growth.

Then we have (v) \Rightarrow (vi). Indeed, Gromov's result gives that any finitely generated subgroup of a group with polynomial growth must be virtually nilpotent, and thus elementary amenable. Since a group is a direct limit of its finitely generated subgroups, this means that a group with polynomial growth is elementary amenable.

If G is a finitely generated group, we also have (vi) \Rightarrow (v), hence conditions (i)-(vi) are all equivalent in this case. This assertion is an immediate consequence of a result due to C. Chou [8], which says that a finitely generated elementary amenable group have either polynomial growth or exponential growth.

In [15], W. Jaworski defines a group G to be *identity excluding* if the only irreducible unitary representation of G which weakly contains the 1-dimensional identity representation is the 1-dimensional identity representation itself. An interesting result in our context is [15, Theorem 4.5], which says that a countable group is FC-hypercentral if and only if it is amenable and identity excluding.

The FC-hypercenter of a group G may be described as the smallest normal subgroup of G that produces an ICC quotient group. This fact is mentioned without proof in [15, Remark 4.1]. For completeness, we give a proof of this useful characterization.

Proposition 2.5. *Let G be a group. Then the quotient group $G/FCH(G)$ is ICC. Moreover, if N is a normal subgroup of G such that G/N is ICC, then $FCH(G) \subset N$.*

Proof. Since the upper FC-central series of G stabilizes at $FCH(G)$, the first assertion is clear. The second assertion also follows from the construction. Assume that N is a normal subgroup of G such that G/N is ICC. Then the quotient map $G \rightarrow G/N$ sends $FC(G)$ to a subgroup of $FC(G/N) = \{e\}$, so one has $F_1 = FC(G) \subset N$.

Next, suppose that α and β are ordinals such that $\alpha = \beta + 1$ and $F_\beta \subset N$. Then the quotient map $G/F_\beta \rightarrow (G/F_\beta)/(N/F_\beta) = G/N$ sends $FC(G/F_\beta) = F_\alpha/F_\beta$ to the identity, that is, $F_\alpha \subset N$.

Finally, if α is a limit ordinal and $F_\beta \subset N$ for all $\beta < \alpha$, then $F_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} F_\beta \subset N$. Hence, $F_\alpha \subset N$ for all ordinals α , so $FCH(G) = \bigcup_\alpha F_\alpha \subset N$. \square

Corollary 2.6. *A group G is FC-hypercentral if and only if G has no nontrivial ICC quotients, that is, if and only if $FC(G/N)$ is nontrivial for every proper normal subgroup N of G .*

We also mention some permanence properties of FC-hypercentrality.

We will say that G is an *FC-central extension* of a group K if there is a short exact sequence

$$e \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow e$$

such that $H \subset FC(G)$. In particular, H must be an FC-group. Note that FC-central extensions generalize both central and finite extensions. The class of FC-nilpotent groups forms the smallest class that is closed under FC-central extensions.

Similarly, we will say that G is an *FC-hypercentral extension* of K if $H \subset FCH(G)$. The class of FC-hypercentral groups is closed under FC-hypercentral extensions:

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose a group G is an FC-hypercentral extension of a group K . Then G is FC-hypercentral if and only if K is FC-hypercentral.*

Proof. Let $q: G \rightarrow K$ denote the canonical surjection. According to Lemma 2.5, we have to show that G has a nontrivial ICC quotient if and only if K has a nontrivial ICC quotient.

First, let N be a proper normal subgroup of K such that K/N is ICC. Then $q^{-1}(N)$ is a proper normal subgroup of G that contains H and $G/q^{-1}(N) \cong (G/H)/(q^{-1}(N)/H) \cong K/N$ is ICC.

For the converse implication, let N be a proper normal subgroup of G such that G/N is ICC. Then N must contain $FCH(G)$, in particular, N must contain H . Hence, $q(N) \cong N/H$ is a proper normal subgroup of K and $G/N \cong (G/H)/(N/H) \cong K/q(N)$, which is ICC. \square

It follows from Proposition 2.7 that the class of FC-hypercentral groups is closed under quotients and direct products. We also have:

Proposition 2.8. *Suppose H is a subgroup of G .*

- a) If G is FC-hypercentral, then H is FC-hypercentral.*
- b) If H is FC-hypercentral and of finite index in G , then G is FC-hypercentral.*

Proof. For a) we have that $FC(G) \cap H \subset FC(H)$ for any G , and a routine induction argument shows that $FC_\alpha(G) \cap H \subset FC_\alpha(H)$ for all ordinals. Hence, $FCH(H) \cap H \subset FCH(H)$, so if G is FC-hypercentral, then so is H .

To prove b), assume that G is not FC-hypercentral. Then there is a proper normal subgroup N of G such that G/N is ICC. Another routine argument gives that $H \cap N$ is a proper normal subgroup of H and $H/(H \cap N)$ is ICC. Hence, H is not FC-hypercentral. \square

3 On C^* -simplicity and the unique trace property for FC-hypercentral groups

This section is mainly devoted to the proof of the following result:

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that G is an FC-hypercentral group and let $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$.*

Then the following properties are equivalent:

- (i) (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition;*
- (ii) (G, σ) is C^* -simple;*
- (iii) (G, σ) has the unique trace property,*

This means the class of FC-hypercentral groups is contained in the class \mathcal{K}^{am} .

To simplify notation, we set $A = C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ and let A_{FC} denote the C^* -subalgebra of A generated by $\{\lambda_\sigma(g) \mid g \in FC(G)\}$.

A simple computation gives that for all $g, h \in G$, we have

$$\lambda_\sigma(g) \lambda_\sigma(h) \lambda_\sigma(g)^* = \tilde{\sigma}(g, h) \lambda_\sigma(ghg^{-1}),$$

where

$$\tilde{\sigma}(g, h) = \sigma(g, h) \overline{\sigma(ghg^{-1}, g)}.$$

Hence, we get an action γ of G on A_{FC} , given by

$$\gamma_g(a) = \lambda_\sigma(g) a \lambda_\sigma(g)^* \quad \text{for all } g \in G \text{ and } a \in A_{FC}.$$

We will let τ_{FC} denote the tracial state on A_{FC} obtained by restricting the canonical tracial state τ on A to A_{FC} . Clearly, τ_{FC} is γ -invariant.

Proposition 3.2. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition,*
- (ii) τ_{FC} is the unique γ -invariant tracial state of A_{FC} .*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Assume that (i) holds. If G is ICC, then $A_{FC} \simeq \mathbb{C}$, and (ii) is trivially satisfied in this case. We may therefore assume that G is not ICC, so $FC(G) \neq \{e\}$. Let φ be a γ -invariant state of A_{FC} .

Consider $h \in FC(G)$, $h \neq e$. As h is not σ -regular, there exists $g \in G$ such that $gh = hg$ and $\sigma(g, h) \neq \sigma(h, g)$. It clearly follows that $\tilde{\sigma}(g, h) \neq 1$ and

$$\gamma_g(\lambda_\sigma(h)) = \tilde{\sigma}(g, h) \lambda_\sigma(ghg^{-1}) = \tilde{\sigma}(g, h) \lambda_\sigma(h).$$

Thus, we get

$$\varphi(\lambda_\sigma(h)) = \varphi(\gamma_g(\lambda_\sigma(h))) = \tilde{\sigma}(g, h) \varphi(\lambda_\sigma(h)),$$

so $\varphi(\lambda_\sigma(h)) = 0$. This implies that φ agrees with τ_{FC} .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Assume that (i) does not hold. It is then known that the center Z of A is nontrivial. In fact, $Z_{FC} := A_{FC} \cap Z$ is nontrivial in this case (cf. [20, Proof of Theorem 2.7]). So we may pick a non-scalar positive element $z_0 \in Z_{FC}$ and define a tracial state on A_{FC} by

$$\varphi(a) = \frac{1}{\tau_{FC}(z_0)} \tau_{FC}(az_0), \quad \text{for } a \in A_{FC}.$$

As Z_{FC} is fixed by γ and τ_{FC} is γ -invariant, φ is also γ -invariant.

Now, observe that $\varphi(z_0) \neq \tau_{FC}(z_0)$. Indeed, assume (for contradiction) that this is not true. This means that $\tau_{FC}(z_0^2) = \tau_{FC}(z_0)^2$, hence

$$\tau_{FC}((z_0 - \tau_{FC}(z_0)I)^2) = 0.$$

Since τ_{FC} is faithful, we get that $z_0 = \tau_{FC}(z_0)I$, i.e., z_0 is a scalar, which gives a contradiction.

This shows that $\varphi \neq \tau_{FC}$, so τ_{FC} is not the only γ -invariant tracial state of A_{FC} . Thus, (ii) does not hold. \square

An immediate consequence of Proposition 3.2 is the following:

Corollary 3.3. *Assume that (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition and let φ be a tracial state of A . Then φ agrees with τ on A_{FC} .*

Let now D^σ denote the subgroup of \mathbb{T} generated by the image of σ , i.e., by the set $\sigma(G \times G)$. We consider D^σ as a discrete group and define the extension G^σ of G by D^σ as the set $G \times D^\sigma$ equipped with the product given by $(g, z)(h, w) = (gh, \sigma(g, h)zw)$. Moreover, we let $(g, z) \rightarrow u_\sigma(g, z) \in C^*(G^\sigma)$ denote the canonical embedding of G^σ into $C^*(G^\sigma)$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let ψ be a tracial state on $C^*(G^\sigma)$ and let $\tilde{\psi}$ be the function on G^σ given by $\tilde{\psi} = \psi \circ u_\sigma$. Assume that*

$$\tilde{\psi}(g, z) = z \tilde{\psi}(g, 1)$$

for all $g \in G$ and $z \in D^\sigma$, and

$$\tilde{\psi}(h, z) = 0$$

for all $h \in FC(G) \setminus \{e\}$ and $z \in D^\sigma$.

Then $\tilde{\psi}(h, z) = 0$ for all $h \in FCH(G) \setminus \{e\}$ and $z \in D^\sigma$.

Proof. If G is ICC, then $FCH(G) = FC(G) = \{e\}$, so there is nothing to show. Thus, suppose G is not ICC.

Let $\{F_\alpha\}_\alpha$ denote the upper FC-central series of G and let α be an ordinal. It suffices to show that $\tilde{\psi}(h, z) = 0$ for all $h \in F_\alpha \setminus \{e\}$ and all $z \in D^\sigma$.

For $\alpha = 1$ the result holds by assumption since $F_1 = FC(G)$. So let $\alpha > 1$ be an ordinal and suppose that $\tilde{\psi} = 0$ on $(F_\beta \setminus \{e\}) \times D^\sigma \subset G^\sigma$ for all $\beta < \alpha$.

If α is a limit ordinal, then by construction

$$(F_\alpha \setminus \{e\}) \times D^\sigma = \left(\left(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} F_\beta \right) \setminus \{e\} \right) \times D^\sigma = \left(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (F_\beta \setminus \{e\}) \right) \times D^\sigma.$$

By hypothesis, $\tilde{\psi} = 0$ on the right-hand side, hence also on the left-hand side.

If α is a successor ordinal, then $\alpha = \beta + 1$ for some ordinal β . Pick an element $h \in F_\alpha \setminus F_\beta$. Then the set $\{ghg^{-1} \mid g \in G\}$ is infinite since $FC(G) \subset F_\beta$, while the set $\{ghg^{-1}F_\beta \mid g \in G\}$ is finite in G/F_β since $F_\alpha/F_\beta = FC(G/F_\beta)$. Hence, there exists an infinite sequence $(g_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in G such that $g_ihg_i^{-1}F_\beta = g_jhg_j^{-1}F_\beta$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $g_ihg_i^{-1} \neq g_jhg_j^{-1}$ whenever $i \neq j$. This means that $g_jh^{-1}g_j^{-1}g_ihg_i^{-1} \in F_\beta \setminus \{e\}$ whenever $i \neq j$ in \mathbb{N} . Since

$$(g_j, 1)(h, 1)^{-1}(g_j, 1)^{-1}(g_i, 1)(h, 1)(g_i, 1)^{-1} = (g_jh^{-1}g_j^{-1}g_ihg_i^{-1}g_j, w)$$

for some $w \in D^\sigma$, and $\tilde{\psi} = 0$ on $(F_\beta \setminus \{e\}) \times D^\sigma$, we get

$$\tilde{\psi}\left((g_j, 1)(h, 1)^{-1}(g_j, 1)^{-1}(g_i, 1)(h, 1)(g_i, 1)^{-1}\right) = \tilde{\psi}(g_jh^{-1}g_j^{-1}g_ihg_i^{-1}, w) = 0$$

whenever $i \neq j$ in \mathbb{N} . We may now apply Lemma 2.1 and conclude that $\tilde{\psi}(h, 1) = 0$. Thus, $\tilde{\psi}(h, z) = z\tilde{\psi}(h, 1) = 0$ for all $h \in F_\alpha \setminus \{e\}$ and $z \in D^\sigma$. \square

Let A_{FCH} denote the C^* -subalgebra of A generated by $\{\lambda_\sigma(h) \mid h \in FCH(G)\}$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let φ be a tracial state on A which agrees with τ on A_{FC} . Then φ agrees with τ on A_{FCH} .*

Proof. As the map $(g, z) \mapsto z\lambda_\sigma(g)$ is a unitary representation of G^σ on $\ell^2(G)$, there exists a surjective $*$ -homomorphism $\pi: C^*(G^\sigma) \rightarrow A$ satisfying $\pi(u_\sigma(g, z)) = z\lambda_\sigma(g)$ for each $(g, z) \in G^\sigma$. Thus φ lifts to a tracial state $\psi = \varphi \circ \pi$ on $C^*(G^\sigma)$. For all $g \in G$ and $z \in D^\sigma$, we have

$$\psi(u_\sigma(g, z)) = \varphi(z\lambda_\sigma(g)) = z\varphi(\lambda_\sigma(g)) = z\psi(u_\sigma(g, 1)).$$

Since $\varphi(\lambda_\sigma(g)) = 0$ for all $g \in FC(G) \setminus \{e\}$ (by assumption), it follows that $\psi(u_\sigma(g, z)) = 0$ for all $g \in FC(G) \setminus \{e\}$ and $z \in D^\sigma$. Hence, using Lemma 3.4, we get that $\psi(u_\sigma(g, z)) = 0$ for all $g \in FCH(G) \setminus \{e\}$ and $z \in D^\sigma$. In particular, we get

$$\varphi(\lambda_\sigma(g)) = \psi(u_\sigma(g, 1)) = 0$$

for all $g \in FCH(G) \setminus \{e\}$. Thus, φ agrees with τ on A_{FCH} , as desired. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Assume that (i) holds and let φ be a tracial state on A . Corollary 3.3 tells us that φ agrees with τ on A_{FC} . Since G is FC-hypercentral, we have that $A = A_{FCH}$. Applying Lemma 3.5, we conclude that φ coincides with τ . Hence, (iii) holds. Since FC-hypercentral groups are amenable, we get from Corollary 2.3 that (ii) follows from (iii). Finally, we know that (ii) implies (i) in general. \square

We will also show how Echterhoff's characterization of FC-hypercentrality mentioned in Section 2.3 may be used to give a different proof of the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) in Theorem 3.1. We will need the following result:

Proposition 3.6. *Assume that every prime ideal of $C^*(G^\sigma)$ is maximal. Then (G, σ) is C^* -simple whenever (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition.*

Proof. Assume that (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition. Then $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ is prime [20, Theorem 2.7]. Let π denote the surjective $*$ -homomorphism $C^*(G^\sigma) \rightarrow C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ obtained in the proof of Lemma 3.5. The kernel \mathcal{J} of π is then a prime ideal of $C^*(G^\sigma)$. Hence, the assumption gives that \mathcal{J} is maximal, so $C^*(G^\sigma)/\mathcal{J} \simeq C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ is simple. \square

Another proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii) in Theorem 3.1. Using Proposition 2.7, we get that G^σ is also FC-hypercentral. Hence, [9, Corollary 3.2] tells us that every prime ideal of $C_r^*(G^\sigma)$ is maximal, so the assertion follows from Proposition 3.6. \square

We also record another consequence of Proposition 3.6.

Corollary 3.7. *Assume that G is a countable discrete group such that G^σ is a T_1 -group. Then (G, σ) is C^* -simple whenever (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition.*

Proof. Since G is countable, G^σ is also countable. Hence, $C^*(G^\sigma)$ is separable, so its prime ideals are primitive ideals, and the assumption in Proposition 3.6 is therefore satisfied. \square

We note that if G is amenable and it satisfies the assumptions in Corollary 3.7, then it is not difficult to deduce from the Moore-Rosenberg result cited in Section 2.3 that G is FC-hypercentral. Hence, Corollary 3.7 is covered by Theorem 3.1 in this case.

We do not know of any group in \mathcal{K}^{am} that is not FC-hypercentral. Thus, a natural question is:

Question 3.8. Does the class of FC-hypercentral groups coincide with \mathcal{K}^{am} ?

This question may be reformulated as follows. Assume that G is neither ICC, nor FC-hypercentral, but amenable. Can one always find $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \sigma)$ such that (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition, but (G, σ) is not C^* -simple or does not have the unique trace property ? It does not seem easy to answer this question positively.

4 On the unique trace property for a larger class of groups

In this section, we let G be a group and $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$. Motivated by Proposition 2.5, we define $ICC(G) = G/FCH(G)$. Moreover, we let \mathcal{P} denote the class of groups considered in [4], that consists of all PH groups [24] and of all groups satisfying property (P_{com}) [5]. The class \mathcal{P} is a large subclass of the class of ICC groups, and the only amenable group belonging to \mathcal{P} is the trivial group. The main purpose of this section is to show the following result:

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that $K = ICC(G)$ belongs to \mathcal{P} . Then we have:*

- a) (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition if and only if (G, σ) has the unique trace property.
- b) Set $H = FCH(G)$ and let σ_H denote the restriction of σ to $H \times H$. If (H, σ_H) satisfies Kleppner's condition, then (G, σ) is C^* -simple and has the unique trace property.

We set $A = C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ and let A_{FC} and A_{FCH} be defined as in the previous section. Since $FCH(G)$ is normal in G , the action γ of G on A_{FC} extends to an action $\tilde{\gamma}$ of G on A_{FCH} given by

$$\tilde{\gamma}_g(a) = \lambda_\sigma(g) a \lambda_\sigma(g)^*$$

for all $g \in G$ and $a \in A_{FCH}$. Let τ_{FCH} denote the restriction of the canonical tracial state τ on A to A_{FCH} . Clearly, τ_{FCH} is $\tilde{\gamma}$ -invariant. Analogously to Proposition 3.2, we have:

Proposition 4.2. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition,
- (ii) τ_{FCH} is the unique $\tilde{\gamma}$ -invariant tracial state of A_{FCH} .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Assume that (i) holds. If G is ICC, then $A_{FCH} \cong \mathbb{C}$, and (ii) is trivially satisfied in this case. We may therefore assume that G is not ICC, so $FC(G) \neq \{e\}$. Let ϕ be a $\tilde{\gamma}$ -invariant tracial state of A_{FCH} . As in Proposition 3.2, we compute that $\phi(\lambda_\sigma(h)) = 0$ for all $h \in FC(G) \setminus \{e\}$. Now, let E denote the canonical conditional expectation from A onto A_{FCH} . Then $\varphi := \phi \circ E$ is a tracial state on A such that $\varphi(\lambda_\sigma(h)) = 0$ for all $h \in FC(G) \setminus \{e\}$. Applying Lemma 3.5, we get that $\varphi = \phi|_{A_{FCH}} = \tau_{FCH}$.

Since $A_{FC} \subset A_{FCH}$, the proof of (ii) \Rightarrow (i) goes along the same lines as the one given for this implication in Proposition 3.2. \square

Set $H = FCH(G)$ and $K = ICC(G) = G/H$, and let q denote the canonical homomorphism from G onto K . Further, let $n: K \rightarrow G$ be a section for q satisfying $n(e) = e$, and define $m: K \times K \rightarrow H$ by $m(k, l) = n(k)n(l)n(kl)^{-1}$. Finally, let σ_H denote the restriction of σ to $H \times H$.

Moreover, define $\beta: K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A_{FCH})$ by $\beta = \tilde{\gamma} \circ n$ and $\omega: K \times K \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A_{FCH})$ by

$$\omega(k, l) = \sigma(n(k), n(l)) \sigma(m(k, l), n(kl))^* \lambda_\sigma(m(k, l)).$$

Then (β, ω) is a twisted action of K on A_{FCH} such that $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ is $*$ -isomorphic to the twisted crossed product $C_r^*(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$. This follows from [1] after noticing that A_{FCH} may be identified with $C_r^*(H, \sigma_H)$ via the $*$ -isomorphism sending $\lambda_\sigma(h)$ to $\lambda_{\sigma_H}(h)$ for each $h \in H$.

Since τ_{FCH} is $\tilde{\gamma}$ -invariant, τ_{FCH} is also β -invariant. Moreover, we have:

Proposition 4.3. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition,
- (ii) τ_{FCH} is the unique β -invariant tracial state of A_{FCH} .

Proof. Assume (i) holds. Proposition 4.2 gives that τ_{FCH} is the unique $\tilde{\gamma}$ -invariant tracial state on A_{FCH} . Consider now a β -invariant tracial state ω of A_{FCH} and let $g \in G$. Write $g = hn(k)$ where $k = q(g) \in K$ and $h = gn(k)^{-1} \in H$. Then, for each $s \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\tilde{\gamma}_g(\lambda_\sigma(s))) &= \omega(\tilde{\gamma}_h \beta_k(\lambda_\sigma(s))) \\ &= \omega(\lambda_\sigma(h) \beta_k(\lambda_\sigma(s)) \lambda_\sigma(h)^*) = \omega(\beta_k(\lambda_\sigma(s))) = \omega(\lambda_\sigma(s)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that ω is $\tilde{\gamma}$ -invariant. Hence, $\omega = \tau_{FCH}$. This shows that (ii) holds.

Conversely, if (ii) holds, then, as $\beta = \tilde{\gamma} \circ n$, it is clear that τ_{FCH} is the unique $\tilde{\gamma}$ -invariant tracial state of A_{FCH} , so (i) holds by using Proposition 4.2. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. a) From [4, Corollary 3.9], we know that when K belongs to the class \mathcal{P} , the tracial states of $C_r^*(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$ are in a one-to-one correspondence with the β -invariant tracial states of A_{FCH} . Hence, it follows from Proposition 4.3 that $C_r^*(G, \sigma) \cong C_r^*(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$ has a unique tracial state if and only if (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition.

b) Assume that (H, σ_H) satisfies Kleppner's condition. Since H is FC-hypercentral, we get from Theorem 3.1 that $A_{FCH} \simeq C_r^*(H, \sigma_H)$ is simple with a unique tracial state. This implies that A_{FCH} has a unique β -invariant tracial state and that the system $(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$ is minimal. Hence, it follows from [4, Corollary 3.11] that $C_r^*(G, \sigma) \cong C_r^*(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$ is simple with a unique tracial state. \square

Let \mathcal{ICCP} denote the class of groups satisfying the assumption in Theorem 4.1. Part a) of this theorem shows that \mathcal{ICCP} is contained in the class \mathcal{K}_{UT} . We believe that $\mathcal{ICCP} \subset \mathcal{K}$, i.e., that we also have $\mathcal{ICCP} \subset \mathcal{K}_{C^*S}$, but we have not been able to prove this. Part b) of Theorem 4.1 is a somewhat weaker statement; its proof shows that we would have $\mathcal{ICCP} \subset \mathcal{K}_{C^*S}$ if one could answer positively the following:

Question 4.4. Assume that (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition. Is the system $(A_{FCH}, K, \beta, \omega)$ always minimal? That is, is $\{0\}$ the only proper β -invariant ideal of A_{FCH} ?

In this regard, we also remark that if G belongs to \mathcal{ICCP} , G is exact, and $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ has stable rank one whenever (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition, then Corollary 2.4 and Theorem 4.1 a) together give that G belongs to \mathcal{K} .

Note that if $G/FC(G)$ belongs to \mathcal{P} , then $G/FC(G)$ is ICC, so the upper FC-central series of G stops at F_1 , i.e., $FCH(G) = FC(G)$. Hence, Theorem 4.1 gives:

Corollary 4.5. *Assume that $G/FC(G)$ belongs to \mathcal{P} . Then we have:*

- a) (G, σ) satisfies Kleppner's condition if and only if (G, σ) has the unique trace property.
- b) Set $H = FC(G)$ and let σ_H denote the restriction of σ to $H \times H$. If (H, σ_H) satisfies Kleppner's condition, then (G, σ) is C^* -simple and has the unique trace property.

Example 4.6. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$ and set $G = \langle a, b \mid ab^n = b^n a \rangle$. Then G is a so-called Baumslag-Solitar group, often denoted by $BS(n, n)$. We have

$$FCH(G) = FC(G) = Z(G) = \langle b^n \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}$$

and $ICC(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}_n \in \mathcal{P}$ (since $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}_n$ is a Powers group [13]), so $G \in \mathcal{ICCP}$.

Let f denote the surjective homomorphism $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$ satisfying $f(a) = (1, 0)$ and $f(b) = (0, 1)$. For $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$, let $\omega_\theta \in Z^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{T})$ be given by $\omega_\theta(m, n) = e^{2\pi i \theta m_2 n_1}$, and define $\sigma_\theta \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ by $\sigma_\theta(x, y) = \omega_\theta(f(x), f(y))$. It can be shown that every two-cocycle on G is cohomologous to one of this form.

Then one easily verifies that (G, σ_θ) satisfies Kleppner's condition if and only if θ is irrational. Hence, Theorem 4.1 a) gives that (G, σ_θ) has the unique trace property if and only if θ is irrational. Theorem 4.1 b) is not useful in this example since σ_θ restricts to 1 on $Z(G)$. However, it can be shown that (G, σ_θ) is C^* -simple if and only if θ is irrational. Hence, it follows that $G = BS(n, n)$ belongs to \mathcal{K} .

We will come back to this example and also discuss other conditions ensuring simplicity and/or uniqueness of the tracial state for reduced twisted group C^* -algebras in a subsequent paper.

References

- [1] E. Bédos: Discrete groups and simple C^* -algebras. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **109** (1991), 521–537.
- [2] E. Bédos: On the uniqueness of the trace of some simple C^* -algebras. *J. Operator Th.* **30** (1993), 149–160.
- [3] E. Bédos: Notes on hypertraces and C^* -algebras. *J. Operator Th.* **34** (1995), 285–306.
- [4] E. Bédos, R. Conti: On maximal ideals in certain reduced twisted C^* -crossed products. Preprint (2014).

- [5] M. Bekka, M. Cowling, P. de la Harpe: Some groups whose reduced C^* -algebra is simple. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No.* **80** (1994), 117–134.
- [6] N.P. Brown, N. Ozawa: C^* -algebras and finite-dimensional approximations. *Graduate Studies in Mathematics* **88**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [7] A.L. Carey and W. Moran: Characters on nilpotent groups. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **96** (1984), 123–137.
- [8] C. Chou: Elementary amenable groups. *Ill. J. Math.* **24** (1980), 396–407.
- [9] S. Echterhoff: On maximal prime ideals in certain group C^* -algebras and crossed product algebras. *J. Operator Th.* **23** (1990), 317–338.
- [10] R. Exel: Exact groups and Fell bundles. *Math. Ann.* **323** (2002), 259–266.
- [11] M. Gromov: Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No.* **53** (1981), 53–73.
- [12] U. Haagerup, L. Zsido: Sur la propriété de Dixmier pour les C^* -algèbres. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **298** (1984), 173–177.
- [13] P. de la Harpe: On simplicity of reduced group C^* -algebras. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **39** (2007), 1–26.
- [14] I.M. Isaacs: Character Theory of Finite Groups. Academic Press, New York-San Francisco-London, 1976.
- [15] W. Jaworski: Countable Amenable Identity Excluding Groups. *Canad. Math. Bull. Vol.* **47** (2004), 215–228.
- [16] A. Kleppner: The structure of some induced representations. *Duke Math. J.* **29** (1962), 555–572.
- [17] D.H. McLain: Remarks on the upper central series of a group. *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc.* **3** (1956), 38–44.
- [18] C.C. Moore, J. Rosenberg: Groups with T_1 primitive ideal space. *J. Funct. Anal.* **22** (1976), 204–224.
- [19] G.J. Murphy: Uniqueness of the trace and simplicity. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 3563–3570.
- [20] T. Omland: Primeness and primitivity conditions for twisted group C^* -algebras. *Math. Scand.* **114** (2014), 299–319.
- [21] N. Ozawa: Dixmier approximation and symmetric amenability for C^* -algebras. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **20** (2013), 349–374.
- [22] J.A. Packer: Twisted group C^* -algebras corresponding to nilpotent discrete groups. *Math. Scand.* **64** (1989), 109–122.

- [23] A. Paterson: Amenability. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* **29**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988.
- [24] S.D. Promislow: A class of groups producing simple, unique trace C^* -algebras. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **114** (1993), 223–233.
- [25] D.J.S. Robinson: Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups. Part 1. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1972.
- [26] J. Slawny: On factor representations and the C^* -algebra of canonical commutation relations. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **24** (1972), 151–170.
- [27] G. Zeller-Meier: Produits croisés d’une C^* -algèbre par un groupe d’automorphismes. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **47** (1968), 101–239.

E.B.’s address: Institute of Mathematics, University of Oslo, P.B. 1053 Blindern, 0316 Oslo, Norway. E-mail : bedos@math.uio.no.

T.O.’s address: School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, Arizona State University, P.O. Box 871804, Tempe, AZ 85287-1804, USA. E-mail : omland@asu.edu.