Path Integral Monte Carlo study confirms a highly ordered snowball in ⁴He nanodroplets doped with an Ar⁺ ion

Filippo Tramonto,^{1,*} Paolo Salvestrini,² Marco Nava,³ and Davide E. Galli¹

¹Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano, via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy

²CNR-IFN - Sezione di Milano, P.zza L. da Vinci, 32, 20133 Milano, Italy

³Computational Science, Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences,

ETH Zurich, Campus, Via Giuseppe Buffi 13, CH-6900 Lugano, Switzerland

Abstract

By means of the exact Path Integral Monte Carlo method we have performed a detailed microscopic study of ⁴He nanodroplets doped with an argon ion, Ar^+ , at T = 0.5 K. We have computed density profiles, energies, dissociation energies and characterized the local order around the ion for nanodroplets with a number of ⁴He atoms ranging from 10 to 64 and also 128. We have found the formation of a stable solid structure around the ion, a "snowball", consisting of 3 concentric shells in which the ⁴He atoms are placed on at the vertices of platonic solids: the first inner shell is an icosahedron (12 atoms); the second one is a dodecahedron with 20 atoms placed on the faces of the icosahedron of the first shell; the third shell is again an icosahedron composed of 12 atoms placed on the faces of the dodecahedron of the second shell. The "magic numbers" implied by this structure, 12, 32 and 44 helium atoms, have been observed in a recent experimental study [1] of these complexes; the dissociation energy curve computed in the present work shows jumps in correspondence with those found in the nanodroplets abundance distribution measured in that experiment, strengthening the agreement between theory and experiment. The same structures were predicted in Ref. [2] in a study regarding Na⁺@⁴He_n when n > 30; a comparison between $Ar^+@^4He_n$ and Na⁺@⁴He_n complexes is also presented.

PACS numbers: 67.25.dw

^{*}Email: filippo.tramonto@unimi.it

I. INTRODUCTION

The use of charged impurities in bulk ⁴He has a long history as they constitute one of the first microscopic probes employed in the study of superfluid phenomena in liquid ⁴He [3]. In particular, positive ions are believed to generate in the solvent a region of increased density as a result of the electric field originating from its charge. The local density is estimated to be so large that ⁴He should solidify around the ion. This is the phenomenological "snow-ball" model developed by Atkins [4] and widely used in the interpretation of the earlier experiments of ions in bulk superfluid ⁴He. A similar snowball is expected to be present also if the ion is captured inside a droplet of ⁴He; in fact, many experiments performed with various positive ions have been interpreted in terms of the formation of a snowball [5–7]. Nanodroplets of helium have been extensively studied in the last two decades due to their fundamental properties and the applications that they provide; helium droplets can act as a matrix where neutral or charged molecules and atoms and chemical reactions [8, 9] can be investigated by means of high resolution spectroscopy [5, 10–13]. Moreover, they provide unique environments to investigate superfluidity in finite systems via rotation of embedded dopants [5, 6, 11–13].

Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) methods have been extensively used in the past to obtain microscopic studies of ions in bulk and in nanodroplets of ⁴He [2, 6, 14–19]. These studies confirmed the presence of a snowball around the ion characterized by the formation of welldefined shells of ⁴He atoms; the size of the snowball, the number of atoms in the shells and the kind of local order were found to depend on the specific ion. This behavior is different from the one predicted by the Atkins model, where the snowball depends only on the ionic charge.

A recent experimental study, of Bartl et al. [1], investigated ⁴He nanodroplets doped with noble gases ions, Ar^+ , Kr^+ and Xe^+ , and observed a rich spectrum of anomalies in the abundance distribution indicating the formation of different types of structures. In particular, the $Ar^+@^4He_n$ complexes showed evidence for three distinct solvation shells containing, respectively, 12, 20 and 12 helium atoms, going from the first to the third shell. We note, moreover, that the evidence of a first shell of 12 more strongly bonded helium atoms surrounding Ar^+ was also found in earlier experimental works [20–24]. The same three magic numbers have been observed in a path integral Monte Carlo (PIMC) study of $Na^+@^4He_n$ complexes with a number of atoms greater than 30 [2]; such numbers correspond to a highly ordered snowball with three ordered shells compatible with an icosahedron, in the first and third shells and a dodecahedron in the second shell. The purpose of the present work is to verify with a microscopic study the experimental evidences observed in Ref. [1]. To pursue our aim, we have performed PIMC simulations of the $Ar^+@^4He_n$ complexes at T = 0.5 K for numbers, n, of ⁴He atoms ranging from 10 to 64 and also 128; we derived density profiles, total and dissociation energies as function of the number of ⁴He atoms. We have also performed a microscopic analysis of the local order in the solvation shells.

The article is organized as follows: in Sec. 2 we give the Hamiltonian of the system and some details of our PIMC simulations; in Sec. 3 we present and discuss our results; in the last Section we draw our conclusions and perspectives.

II. MODEL AND METHODS

In this study we have employed the PIMC method [28, 29] using the canonical [30] Worm algorithm [31, 32]. As short-time density matrix we have utilized a pair approximation for the multi-product expansion density matrix (pair-MPE): this corresponds to a pair action obtained by using the multi-product expansion (MPE) approximation [33] for the two body propagator and by approximating the many-body density matrix as a product of two-body terms. As time step, we used $\delta \tau = 1/160 \,\mathrm{K}^{-1}$.

The Hamiltonian that describes the system has the form

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_4} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_i^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_{Ar}} \nabla_{Ar}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n v_{Ar} (|\vec{r}_{Ar} - \vec{r}_i|) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} v_4 (|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|)$$
(1)

where m_4 is the mass of a ⁴He atom, m_{Ar} is the mass of the ion Ar⁺, v_4 is the He–He interaction potential [27], v_{Ar} is the the Ar⁺–He interaction potential [25], $\{\vec{r}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and \vec{r}_{Ar} are the coordinates of the ⁴He atoms and of the Ar⁺ ion.

In Fig. 1a, we plot the adopted Ar^+ -He potential and, by comparison, also the Na⁺-He interaction potential used in Ref. [2]. It can be noticed that the two ion-He potentials have wells with similar depth and minimum position; the wells are more than 40 times deeper and placed at shorter distances than that of the He–He potential, which is shown in the inset.

The positions of the ⁴He atoms in the snowball are a result of the competition of the ion-He and He-He interactions against the zero point and thermal motion of the ⁴He atoms



FIG. 1: (a) $\operatorname{Ar}^+-\operatorname{He}[25]$ (solid line) and $\operatorname{Na}^+-\operatorname{He}[26]$ (dashed line) interaction potentials compared with the He–He interaction potential [27] shown in the inset. The dotted vertical lines show the positions of the minimum of the ion–He potentials (blue and red) and of the ideal "distance", r_{id} , (black) (see text). (b) Radial ⁴He density, $\rho(r)$, around Ar^+ and Na^+ ions in nanodroplets with 64 and 128 ⁴He atoms. (c) Number of ⁴He atoms, N(r), within a sphere of radius r around the ion for nanodroplets $\operatorname{Ar}^+@^4\operatorname{He}_n$ with n = 20, 32. (d) Radial ⁴He density, $\rho(r)$, around Ar^+ and Na^+ ions in nanodroplets with 20 and 32 ⁴He atoms. (color figure online)

and the ion. A specific solid structure in the first shell around the ion, with a given number of ⁴He atoms, corresponds to a minimum of the potential energy when the distances among ⁴He atoms and the distances among the ion and the ⁴He atoms correspond to the minimum of the respective interaction potentials. In a perfect icosahedron, with one ⁴He atom located at each vertex and in which the distance between adjacent ⁴He atoms is equal to the distance of the minimum of v_4 ($r_{\rm He} \simeq 2.97$ Å), the vertices would be placed at the "ideal" distance $r_{id} \simeq 2.82$ Å from the icosahedron center. As shown in Fig. 1a, we note that the position of the minimum of v_{Ar} ($r_{\rm Ar} \simeq 2.57$ Å) is closer to r_{id} than the minimum in the Na⁺–He potential ($r_{\rm Na} \simeq 2.31$ Å). Moreover, even if the Ar⁺–He potential well is about 12% less deep, its width at half depth is about 11% wider, and this should reduce the zero–point motion of the ⁴He atoms in the first shell. Thus, the overall effect should be the reinforcement of the stability of the icosahedral geometry in the first shell. During the simulations, we have verified that v_{Ar} is attractive enough to bind the ⁴He atoms to the nanodroplets when T = 0.5 K, therefore our results are not affected by any evaporation of ⁴He atoms.

III. RESULTS

In Fig. 1b we show the radial density profiles, $\rho(r)$, of the $\operatorname{Ar^+@^4He}_{n=128}$ complex and, by comparison, also that of the Na⁺@⁴He_{n=128} complex obtained in Ref. [2]. The density profiles for Ar⁺ and for Na⁺, apart from the position of the first radial peak, r^{peak} , are very similar. In the Ar⁺ case, the first peak is placed farther away from the ion, as an effect of the different position of the minimum of the potential well. However, the ratio $r_{\text{Ar}}^{peak}/r_{\text{Ar}} \simeq 1.05$ is closer to one than the ratio $r_{\text{Na}}^{peak}/r_{\text{Na}} \simeq 1.12$, indicating that the first shell in the Ar⁺@⁴He_{n=128} nanodroplet follows more closely the minimum of the He–ion potential. We note also that the shape of the second modulation in the density profile is almost identical to that obtained in the Na⁺ case. As in the Na⁺ case [2], this modulation turns out to correspond to two tightly neighboring shells, the second and the third.

To determine which type of local solid order characterizes the shells, we have investigated the spatial configurations of polymers produced during the simulations. From each configuration we have selected, by radial position, the polymers placed in one of the three shells. In the top panels of Fig. 2 we show the polymers associated with the Ar^+ ion and the ⁴He atoms belonging to the first (Fig. 2a), the second (Fig. 2b) and the third (Fig. 2c) shell for a selected configuration. In order to better identify the geometric shape of the shells we have also shown the centers of mass of the polymers of the same configuration in the bottom panels of Fig. 2. One can easily recognize an icosahedron in the first shell and a dodecahedron in the second shell; in the second shell, each atom turns out to be placed on the face of the icosahedron underneath. The third shell is again an icosahedron, where each atom turns out to be placed on the face of the dodecahedron beneath. From our PIMC simulations we have obtained evidence for a strong stability of the icosahedral geometry in the first shell of $Ar^+@^4He_n$ at low temperatures: we have found that the number of ⁴He atoms in the first shell is 12 for any studied $n \ge 12$. This is different from what was found for Na⁺ doped nanodroplets, where only 10 4 He atoms are present in the first shell for nbelow 30, while 12 atoms occur only for n above 30 [2]. This is visible in the panel (c) of



FIG. 2: Example of a spatial configuration of polymers for a simulated nanodroplet with 128 ⁴He atoms. In each of the top panels are shown the polymers associated to ⁴He atoms of the first (a), the second (b) or the third shell (c) and the polymer of the Ar^+ ion (red balls). In the bottom panels (d,e,f) are shown the same shell configurations of the top panels, but each polymer is replaced its center of mass. (color figure online)

Fig. 1, where we show the number of ⁴He atoms, N(r), contained in a sphere of radius r centered on the ion, obtained through a numerical integration of the radial density profiles (see Fig. 1d) for n = 20, 32.

To further characterize the microscopic nature of the solvation shells we have analysed also the radial localization of ⁴He atoms around the Ar⁺ ion by measuring the distance between the ⁴He atoms and the ion during a PIMC simulation. In Fig. 3 we show the Monte Carlo evolution of the radial positions of four atoms started at different distances from the Ar⁺ ion in a nanodroplet of 128 ⁴He atoms as function of the Monte Carlo steps; a density profile is also shown in order to easily recognize the presence of jumps among the shells. During our simulations we observed that atoms started in the first shell (around r = 2.7 Å) fluctuate around their starting values, without moving to an outer shell. Also most of the atoms started in the second ($4.6 \leq r \leq 5.5$ Å) or in the third shell ($5.5 \leq r \leq 6.3$ Å) fluctuate around their starting values, but sometimes (see Fig. 3b) an atom moves to another shell, or to the external region; conversely, an atom started in the external region can move to the



FIG. 3: Evolution of the distance of some ⁴He atoms from the Ar^+ ion during the MC simulation. Panels **a** and **b** show examples of such evolutions without (a) and in presence of (b) exchanges between the solid shells and the outer fluid part. (color figure online)

second or third shell. This analysis confirms the presence of local solid order in the two first modulations of the density profile, modulations which correspond to the first three shells around the Ar^+ ion. A very interesting issue for the future would be the measurement of the local superfluid density around the ion to investigate the simultaneous presence of solid order and superfluidity; we left such calculations for future investigations.

One of the experimental approaches to investigate the structure and the size of the snowball is to obtain information on the dissociation energies D_n , defined as $D_n = E_{n-1} - E_n$. Rapid drops in D_n indicates the closure of solvation shells. In order to obtain information on D_n , one can look for anomalies in the abundance distributions, I_n , of ⁴He_n X⁺ complexes by mass spectrometry [1]. In these experiments the observed complexes are products of dissociations caused by ionization of larger precursor ions. The dissociation is a statistical process and the final statistical distribution of the products versus their size, for small droplets, is related to the dissociation energies, D_n [34]. In this way the anomalies in D_n , signs of closures of the solvation shells, are reflected in the measured abundance distribution I_n . We have calculated the total, kinetic and potential energies E_n , K_n , V_n , of the Ar⁺@⁴He_n nanodroplets for different numbers of ⁴He atoms, n (see Fig. 4a). One can observe clearly three changes of slope exactly at the magic numbers found in Ref. [1], i.e. for n = 12, 32 and 44. From these energies we have also computed the dissociation energy D_n shown in Fig.4b. Here the magic numbers appear as discontinuity points. This result confirms the



FIG. 4: (a) Total $E_n - E_{10}$, kinetic $K_n - K_{10}$ and potential $V_n - V_{10}$ energies per particle of $\operatorname{Ar}^+@^4\operatorname{He}_n$ complexes with different number, n, of ⁴He atoms at T = 0.5 K. All these energies has been shifted by the respective energies obtained for n = 10: $E_{10} = -2976(1)$ K, $K_{10} = 614(1)$ K and $V_{10} = -3590.8(1)$ K. (b) Dissociation energy $D_n = E_{n-1} - E_n$.

formation of shells with the same number of ⁴He atoms discussed above, a structure which yields a very stable configuration for the complex.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have performed PIMC simulations of Ar^+ ion doped ⁴He nanodroplets at low temperatures and characterized the microscopic structure of the snowball. We find that the structure around the ion Ar^+ is a highly ordered solid, a *snowball*, composed of three shells in which ⁴He atoms are placed on the vertices of platonic solids: an icosahedron for the first and the third shells and a dodecahedron for the second shell. This solid structure was proposed in the interpretation of recent mass spectrometry measurements on $Ar^+@^4He_n$ complexes [1], where three magic numbers, 12, 32, 44, were found in the anomalies in the abundance distribution. The calculation of the total and the dissociation energy as a function of the size of the nanodroplets confirms the interpretation of the experimental data.

The same structure has been previously predicted in PIMC calculations for ⁴He_n nanodroplets doped with Na⁺ [2] when n > 30. In the Ar⁺@⁴He_n complexes the icosahedral order in the first shell has been found to be more stable and present for any $n \ge 12$ simulated in the present study. Remarkably, the analysis of the evolution of the radial positions of the ⁴He atoms during the PIMC simulations revealed the occurrence of exchanges of helium atoms of the second and third shell with the outer region of the system. This raises the question of whether or not these atoms participate in local superfluidity. It will be interesting in the future to calculate local superfluid densities around the ions, especially in the shells where solid order is present.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the CINECA and the Regione Lombardia award, under the LISA initiative, for the availability of high performance computing resources and support. We acknowledge Prof. Olof Echt for bringing to our attention the results of Ref. [1].

- [1] P. Bartl, C. Leidlmair, S. Denifl, P. Scheier, and O. Echt, J. Phys. Chem. A 118, 8050 (2014).
- [2] D. E. Galli, D. M. Ceperley, and L. Reatto, J. Phys. Chem. A 115, 7300 (2011).
- [3] A. L. Fetter, in *The Physics of Liquid Helium, Part I*, edited by K. H. Bennemann and J. B. Ketterson (Wiley, New York, 1976), p. 207.
- [4] K. R. Atkins, Phys. Rev. **116**, 1339 (1959).
- [5] J. P. Toennies and A. F. Vilesov, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 49, 1 (1998).
- [6] M. Barranco, R. Guardiola, S. Hernández, R. Mayol, J. Navarro, and M. Pi, J. Low Temp. Phys. 142, 1 (2006).
- [7] J. Tiggesbaumker and F. Stienkemeier, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 9, 4748 (2007).
- [8] E. Lugovoj, J. P. Toennies, and A. F. Vilesov, J. Chem. Phys. **112**, 8217 (2000).
- [9] S. A. Krasnokutski and F. Huisken, J. Phys. Chem. A 115, 7120 (2011).
- [10] K. B. Whaley, in Advances in molecular vibrations and collision dynamics, edited by J. M. Bowman and Z. Bačić (JAI, Stamford, CT, 1998), vol. 3, p. 397.
- [11] J. P. Toennies, A. F. Vilesov, and K. B. Whaley, Physics Today 54, 31 (2001).
- [12] C. Callegari, K. K. Lehmann, R. Schmied, and G. Scoles, J. Chem. Phys. 115, 10090 (2001).
- [13] F. Stienkemeier and A. F. Vilesov, J. Chem. Phys. 115, 10119 (2001).
- [14] M. Buzzacchi, D. E. Galli, and L. Reatto, Phys. Rev. B 64, 094512 (2001).
- [15] D. E. Galli, M. Buzzacchi, and L. Reatto, J. Chem. Phys. 115, 10239 (2001).
- [16] M. Rossi, M. Verona, D. E. Galli, and L. Reatto, Phys. Rev. B 69, 212510 (2004).

- [17] E. Coccia, E. Bodo, F. Marinetti, F. A. Gianturco, E. Yildrim, M. Yurtsever, and E. Yurtsever,
 J. Chem. Phys. **126**, 124319 (2007).
- [18] S. Paolini, F. Ancilotto, and F. Toigo, J. Chem. Phys. 126, 124317 (2007).
- [19] E. Coccia, E. Bodo, and F. A. Gianturco, EPL 82, 23001 (2008).
- [20] T. Kojima, N. Kobayashi, and Y. Kaneko, Z. Phys. D 22, 645 (1992).
- [21] B. E. Callicoatt, K. Förde, T. Ruchti, L. Jung, K. C. Janda, and N. Halberstadt, J. Chem. Phys. 108, 9371 (1998).
- [22] C. A. Brindle, M. R. Prado, K. C. Janda, N. Halberstadt, and M. Lewerenz, J. Chem. Phys. 123, 064312 (2005).
- [23] J. H. Kim, D. S. Peterka, C. C. Wang, and D. M. Neumark, J. Chem. Phys. 124, 214301 (2006).
- [24] F. Ferreira da Silva, P. Bartl, S. Denifl, O. Echt, T. D. Mark, and P. Scheier, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 11, 9791 (2009).
- [25] A. Carrington, C. A. Leach, A. J. Marr, A. M. Shaw, M. R. Viant, J. M. Hutson, and M. M. Law, J. Chem. Phys. 102, 2379 (1995).
- [26] R. Ahlrichs, H. J. Böhm, S. Brode, K. T. Tang, and J. P. Toennies, J. Chem. Phys. 88, 6290 (1988).
- [27] R. A. Aziz, V. P. S. Nain, J. S. Carley, W. L. Taylor, and G. T. McConville, J. Chem. Phys. 70, 4330 (1979).
- [28] E. L. Pollock and D. M. Ceperley, Phys. Rev. B 36, 8343 (1987).
- [29] D. M. Ceperley, Rev. Mod. Phys. 67, 279 (1995).
- [30] M. Rossi, M. Nava, L. Reatto, and D. E. Galli, J. Chem. Phys. 131, 154108 (2009).
- [31] M. Boninsegni, N. Prokof'ev, and B. Svistunov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 070601 (2006).
- [32] M. Boninsegni, N. V. Prokof'ev, and B. V. Svistunov, Phys. Rev. E 74, 036701 (2006).
- [33] R. E. Zillich, J. M. Mayrhofer, and S. A. Chin, J. Chem. Phys. **132**, 044103 (2010).
- [34] L. An der Lan, P. Bartl, C. Leidlmair, R. Jochum, S. Denifl, O. Echt, and P. Scheier, Chem. Eur. J. 18, 4411 (2012).