

# Generalized Hamming Weights of Irreducible Cyclic Codes

Minghui Yang, Jin Li, Keqin Feng, Dongdai Lin

**Abstract**-The generalized Hamming weight (GHW)  $d_r(C)$  of linear codes  $C$  is a natural generalization of the minimum Hamming distance  $d(C)(=d_1(C))$  and has become one of important research objects in coding theory since Wei's originary work [23] in 1991. In this paper two general formulas on  $d_r(C)$  for irreducible cyclic codes are presented by using Gauss sums and the weight hierarchy  $\{d_1(C), d_2(C), \dots, d_k(C)\}$  ( $k = \dim C$ ) are completely determined for several cases.

**keywords**-generalized Hamming weight, irreducible cyclic code, Gauss sum.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be the finite field with  $q$  elements. A linear code  $C$  with parameters  $[n, k]_q$  means that  $C$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  with dimension  $k = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} C$ . For each  $r$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq k$ , we denote the set of all  $r$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspaces of  $C$  by  $\begin{bmatrix} C \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q$ . For each  $V \in \begin{bmatrix} C \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q$ , the support of  $V$  is defined by

$\text{Supp}(V) = \{i : 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{there exists } c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in V \text{ such that } c_i \neq 0\}$ .

The  $r$ -th generalized Hamming weight (GHW) of a  $q$ -ary linear code  $C$  is defined by

$$d_r(C) = \min \left\{ |\text{Supp}(V)| : V \in \begin{bmatrix} C \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \right\}$$

and  $\{d_1(C), d_2(C), \dots, d_k(C)\}$  is called the weight hierarchy of  $C$  ( $d_0(C) = 0$ ). It is obvious that  $d_1(C)$  is just the minimum Hamming distance  $d(C)$ .

The concept on GHW has appeared as early as in 1970's ([12,17]) and has become an important research object in coding theory after Wei's paper [23] in 1991, where Wei gives a series of beautiful results on GHW and indicates that it completely characterizes the performance of a linear code when it is used on wire-tap channel of type II which has connection with cryptography. GHW is also used to deal with  $t$ -resilient functions and trellis or branch complexity of linear codes [20].

In past two decades the value of GHWs has been determined or estimated for many series of linear codes (RM codes [10,23], BCH codes [4,8,9], trace codes [19], cyclic codes [6,16], AG codes [2,5,7,15,18,25,26], binary Kasami codes [13] and other codes

[22,24]) and the weight hierarchy is totally determined in several cases. The bounds, asymptotic behaviour and duality of GHWs have been found [1,11,17,20,23]. But in general speaking, to determine the weight hierarchy is a difficult problem.

In this paper we deal with GHWs of  $q$ -ary irreducible cyclic codes. For binary case ( $q = 2$ ) there exist several results in [14,20,21]. We consider the general case where  $q$  is any power of a prime number. We firstly present two general formulas on  $d_r(C)$  ((3.3) and (3.4) in Theorem 3.2) which involves Gauss sums and character sum  $\sum_{\beta \in H \setminus \{0\}} \varphi(\beta)$ , where  $\varphi$  is a multiplicative character of  $\mathbb{F}_Q$  ( $Q = q^k$ ) and  $H$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_Q$  with dimension  $r$  or  $k - r$ . When involved Gauss sums can be calculated and have the same values, the character sum is reduced to be the size of  $H \cap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle$ , where  $\langle \theta^{e'} \rangle$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}_Q^* = \langle \theta \rangle$ . Then we can determine  $d_r(C)$  for smaller  $r$  by (3.3) and larger  $r$  by (3.4). And the weight hierarch  $\{d_r(C) : 1 \leq r \leq k\}$  can be totally determined in several cases.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce several basic facts on Gauss sums and previously known results on GHWs. Then we present two general formulas on  $d_r(C)$  and their direct consequences in Section 3. In Section 4 we obtain more results on  $d_r(C)$  for several particular cases. Section 5 is conclusion.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Gauss sums

We introduce several basic facts on Gauss sums used in this paper. For more details on Gauss sums we refer the book [3].

Let  $q = p^l$  where  $p$  is a prime number and  $l \geq 1$ ,  $\theta$  be a primitive element of the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , namely  $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \langle \theta \rangle$ . Let  $\zeta_m = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{m}}$  for any positive integer  $m$ . The group of additive characters of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is

$$\hat{\mathbb{F}}_q = \{\lambda_b : b \in \mathbb{F}_q\},$$

where

$$\lambda_b(x) = \zeta_p^{T(bx)} \quad (x \in \mathbb{F}_q)$$

and  $T$  is the trace mapping from  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . The group of multiplicative characters of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is

$$\hat{\mathbb{F}}_q^* = \{\psi^i : 0 \leq i \leq q - 2\} = \langle \psi \rangle$$

where  $\psi$  is defined by  $\psi(\theta) = \zeta_{q-1}$ .

For each  $\chi \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q^*$  and  $\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q$  we define the Gauss sum on  $\mathbb{F}_q$  by

$$G(\chi, \lambda) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi(x)\lambda(x) \in Z[\zeta_{p(q-1)}].$$

*Lemma 2.1:* (1) (trivial cases)

$$G(\chi, \lambda) = G_q(\chi, \lambda) = \begin{cases} q-1, & \text{if } \chi = 1 (= \psi^0) \text{ and } \lambda = 1 (= \lambda_0) \\ -1, & \text{if } \chi = 1 \text{ and } \lambda \neq 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } \chi \neq 1 \text{ and } \lambda = 1. \end{cases}$$

(2) For  $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  (namely,  $\lambda_b \neq 1$ )

$$G(\chi, \lambda_b) = \bar{\chi}(b)G(\chi), \quad G(\bar{\chi}) = \chi(-1)\overline{G(\chi)},$$

where  $\bar{\chi}$  is the conjugate character of  $\chi$  and

$$G(\chi) = G(\chi, \lambda_1) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi(x) \zeta_p^{T(x)}.$$

(3) If  $\chi \neq 1$ , then

$$|G(\chi)| = \sqrt{q}.$$

From Lemma 2.1(3) we know that  $G(\chi) = \sqrt{q}e^{i\theta_\chi}$  ( $0 \leq \theta_\chi < 2\pi$ ) if  $\chi \neq 1$ . The exact value of Gauss sums  $G(\chi)$  has been calculated for several particular cases. The following two cases will be used in this paper.

*Lemma 2.2:* (quadratic case, [3, Theorem 11.5.4]) Suppose that  $q = p^l$ ,  $p \geq 3$ ,  $l \geq 1$ ,  $\eta$  is the quadratic multiplicative character of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then

$$G_q(\eta) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{l-1} \sqrt{q}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ (-1)^{l-1} (\sqrt{-1})^l \sqrt{q}, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

*Lemma 2.3:* (semiprimitive case, [3, Theorem 11.6.3]) Let  $p$  be a prime number,  $e \geq 3$ . Suppose that there exists a positive integer  $t$  such that  $p^t \equiv -1 \pmod{e}$ . Let  $t$  be the smallest positive integer satisfying  $p^t \equiv -1 \pmod{e}$  (so that the multiplicative order of  $p$  in  $Z_e^*$  is  $2t$ ). For  $l = 2ts$  ( $s \geq 1$ ),  $q = p^l$  and a multiplicative character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with order  $e$ , we have

$$G_q(\chi) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{q}(-1)^{s-1}, & \text{if } p = 2 \\ \sqrt{q}(-1)^{s-1 + \frac{(p^t+1)s}{e}}, & \text{if } p \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

## 2.2 Bounds and duality of GHWs

Several bounds on GHWs of linear codes have been given in [20]. We list three of them.

*Lemma 2.4:* Let  $C$  be a linear code with parameters  $[n, k]_q$ . For  $1 \leq r \leq k$ ,

(1) (Singleton type bound, [20, Corollary 3.1])

$$r \leq d_r(C) \leq n - k + r \quad (2.1)$$

$C$  is called  $r$ -MDS code if  $d_r(C) = n - k + r$ .

(2) (Plotkin like bound, [20, Theorem 3.1])

$$d_r(C) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n(q^r - 1)q^{k-r}}{q^k - 1} \right\rceil. \quad (2.2)$$

(3) (Griesmer like bound, [20, Corollary 3.3])

$$d_r(C) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \left\lceil \frac{d_1(C)}{q^i} \right\rceil. \quad (2.3)$$

The following beautiful result on dual relationship of GHWs has been given by Wei [23].

*Lemma 2.5:* Let  $C$  be a linear  $[n, k]_q$  code,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $d_r(C)$  ( $1 \leq r \leq k$ ) and  $d_s(C^\perp)$  ( $1 \leq s \leq n - k$ ) be the general Hamming weights of  $C$  and its dual code  $C^\perp$  respectively. Then

$$(1) \quad 1 \leq d_1(C) < d_2(C) < \cdots < d_k(C) \leq n,$$

$$(2) \quad \{d_r(C) : 1 \leq r \leq k\} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{n + 1 - d_s(C^\perp) : 1 \leq s \leq n - k\}.$$

### 3 General Results

From now on we fix the following notations.

(A)  $q = p^l$ , where  $p$  is a prime number and  $l \geq 1$ .

(B)  $n \geq 1$ ,  $(n, q) = 1$ ,  $k$  is the order of  $q$  modulo  $n$ . Namely,  $k$  is the least positive integer such that  $q^k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

(C)  $Q = q^k$ ,  $Q - 1 = en$ ,  $\theta$  is a primitive element of  $\mathbb{F}_Q$ . Namely,  $\mathbb{F}_Q^* = \langle \theta \rangle$ .

(D)  $\alpha = \theta^e$  so that  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  is the subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}_Q^*$  with order  $n$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha) = \mathbb{F}_Q$ .

(E) For each integer  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\zeta_m = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{m}}$ .

(F)  $T_q^Q$  is the trace mapping from  $\mathbb{F}_Q$  to  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

(G) For a vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q$  denotes the set of all  $r$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspaces of  $V$ .

For a monic polynomial  $f(x)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ ,  $f(0) \neq 0$ , the reciprocal polynomial of  $f(x)$  is the monic polynomial  $\hat{f}(x) = f(0)^{-1}x^d f(x^{-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ . Let  $h(x)$  be the minimum polynomial of  $\alpha^{-1}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then  $h(x)$  is an irreducible polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ ,  $\deg(h(x)) = k$  and

$$x^n - 1 = h(x)g(x), \quad g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x], \quad \deg g(x) = n - k.$$

In this paper we deal with the irreducible cyclic code  $C = C(\alpha)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with generating polynomial  $g(x)$ . Namely,

$$C = (g(x)) \subseteq \frac{\mathbb{F}_q[x]}{(x^n - 1)}.$$

The parity-check polynomial of  $C$  is the irreducible polynomial of  $h(x)$ . The parameters of  $C$  are  $[n, k]_q$  where  $n$  is the length of codewords and  $k = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} C$ . It is well-known that the dual code  $C^\perp$  of  $C$  is also cyclic with parameters  $[n, n - k]_q$  and the generating polynomial of  $C^\perp$  is  $\hat{h}(x)$ .

Our starting point in this paper is the following trace expression of  $C = C(\alpha)$ : each codeword in  $C$  can be uniquely expressed by

$$c(\beta) = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) = (T_q^Q(\beta), T_q^Q(\beta\alpha), \dots, T_q^Q(\beta\alpha^{n-1})) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \quad (\beta \in \mathbb{F}_Q) \quad (3.1)$$

$$C(\alpha) = \{c(\beta) : \beta \in \mathbb{F}_Q\}. \quad (3.2)$$

From uniqueness of trace expression (3.1), we know that for  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r \in \mathbb{F}_Q$ , the codewords  $c(\beta_1), \dots, c(\beta_r)$  are  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear independent if and only if  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r$  are  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear independent. Therefore, for  $1 \leq r \leq k$ , the mapping

$$\Phi : \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} C \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q, \quad \Phi(H_r) = \{c(\beta) : \beta \in H_r\} \left( H_r \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \right)$$

is  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear one-to-one corresponding.

With above preparation, we can get the following two general formulas on generalized Hamming weight  $d_r(C)$  of irreducible cyclic codes  $C = C(\alpha)$ .

*Theorem 3.1:* Let  $q = p^l$ ,  $(n, q) = 1$ ,  $Q = q^k$  where  $k$  is the order of  $q$  in  $Z_n^*$ ,  $Q - 1 = en$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_Q^* = \langle \theta \rangle$ ,  $\alpha = \theta^e$  and  $e' = (e, \frac{Q-1}{q-1})$ . Let  $C = C(\alpha)$  be the irreducible  $[n, k]_q$  cyclic code defined by (3.1) and (3.2). Then for each  $r$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq k$ ,  $d_r(C) = n - N_r$  and

(1)

$$N_r = \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} + \frac{1}{eq^r} \max \left\{ \sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1} G_Q(\varphi^\tau) \sum_{\beta \in H \setminus \{0\}} \bar{\varphi}^\tau(\beta) : H \in \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q \right\} \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\varphi$  is the multiplicative character of  $\mathbb{F}_Q$  defined by  $\varphi(\theta) = \zeta_{e'}$ , and  $G_Q(\varphi^\tau)$  ( $1 \leq \tau \leq e' - 1$ ) is the Gauss sums over  $\mathbb{F}_Q$ .

(2)

$$N_r = \frac{e'}{e} \max \left\{ |H \cap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle| : H \in \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ k-r \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q \right\}. \quad (3.4)$$

*Proof:* (1). For each  $C_r \in \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} C \\ r \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q$ , let  $H_r = \Phi^{-1}(C_r)$  be the corresponding subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_Q$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_r(C) &= \min \left\{ |\text{Supp}(C_r)| : C_r \in \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} C \\ r \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q \right\} \\ &= n - N_r, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$N_r = \max \left\{ N(C_r) : C_r \in \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} C \\ r \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q \right\} \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N(C_r) &= \#\{i : 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{ for each } c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in C_r, c_i = 0\} \\ &= \#\{i : 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{ for each } \beta \in H_r, T_q^Q(\beta \alpha^i) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -basis of  $H_r$ . It is easy to see that for each  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,  $T_q^Q(\beta \alpha^i) = 0$  for all  $\beta \in H_r$  if and only if  $T_q^Q(\beta_\lambda \alpha^i) = 0$  ( $1 \leq \lambda \leq r$ ). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
N(C_r) &= \frac{1}{q^r} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{x_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_p^{T_p^q(T_q^Q(\beta_1 \alpha^i) x_1)} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{x_r \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_p^{T_p^q(T_q^Q(\beta_r \alpha^i) x_r)} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{q^r} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta_p^{T_p^Q(\alpha^i(\beta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \beta_r x_r))} \\
&= \frac{1}{q^r} \sum_{\beta \in H_r} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta_p^{T_p^Q(\beta \alpha^i)} \\
&= \frac{n}{q^r} + \frac{1}{q^r} \sum_{\beta \in H_r \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta_p^{T_p^Q(\beta \alpha^i)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\chi$  be the multiplicative character of  $\mathbb{F}_Q^* = \langle \theta \rangle$  defined by  $\chi(\theta) = \zeta_e$ . From  $\alpha = \theta^e$  we know that for each  $x \in \mathbb{F}_Q^*$ ,

$$\sum_{\lambda=0}^{e-1} \chi^\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} e, & \text{if } x \in \langle \alpha \rangle \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
N(C_r) &= \frac{n}{q^r} + \frac{1}{eq^r} \sum_{\beta \in H_r \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_Q^*} \zeta_p^{T_p^Q(\beta x)} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{e-1} \chi^\lambda(x) \\
&= \frac{n}{q^r} + \frac{1}{eq^r} \sum_{\beta \in H_r \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{e-1} \bar{\chi}^\lambda(\beta) G_Q(\chi^\lambda) && \text{(by Lemma 2.1(2))} \\
&= \frac{n}{q^r} + \frac{1}{eq^r} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{e-1} G_Q(\chi^\lambda) \sum_{\beta \in H_r \setminus \{0\}} \bar{\chi}^\lambda(\beta). && (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $H_r$  is  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space, for each  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ ,  $a(H_r \setminus \{0\}) = H_r \setminus \{0\}$ . If there exists  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  such that  $\bar{\chi}^\lambda(a) \neq 1$ , then

$$\sum_{\beta \in H_r \setminus \{0\}} \bar{\chi}^\lambda(\beta) = \sum_{\beta \in H_r \setminus \{0\}} \bar{\chi}^\lambda(\beta a) = \bar{\chi}^\lambda(a) \sum_{\beta \in H_r \setminus \{0\}} \bar{\chi}^\lambda(\beta)$$

we get  $\sum_{\beta \in H_r \setminus \{0\}} \bar{\chi}^\lambda(\beta) = 0$ . Thus the formula (3.7) becomes

$$N(C_r) = \frac{n}{q^r} + \frac{1}{eq^r} \sum_{\substack{\lambda=0 \\ \chi^\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q^*)=1}}^{e-1} G_Q(\chi^\lambda) \sum_{\beta \in H_r \setminus \{0\}} \bar{\chi}^\lambda(\beta).$$

From  $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \langle \theta^{\frac{Q-1}{q-1}} \rangle$  and  $\chi(\theta) = \zeta_e$  we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q^*) = 1 &\iff 1 = \chi^\lambda(\theta^{\frac{Q-1}{q-1}}) = \zeta_e^{\lambda \cdot \frac{Q-1}{q-1}} \iff e \mid \lambda \cdot \frac{Q-1}{q-1} \\ &\iff \frac{e}{e'} \mid \lambda \left( e' = \left( e, \frac{Q-1}{q-1} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $e = e'd$ . By  $\varphi(\theta) = \zeta_{e'}$  and  $\chi(\theta) = \zeta_e$  we know that  $\varphi = \chi^d$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \{\chi^\lambda : 0 \leq \lambda \leq e-1, \chi^\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q^*) = 1\} &= \{\chi^{d\tau} : 0 \leq \tau \leq e'-1\} \\ &= \{\varphi^\tau : 0 \leq \tau \leq e'-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

And then

$$\begin{aligned} N(C_r) &= \frac{n}{q^r} + \frac{1}{eq^r} \sum_{\tau=0}^{e'-1} G_Q(\varphi^\tau) \sum_{\beta \in H_r \setminus \{0\}} \bar{\varphi}^\tau(\beta) \\ &= \frac{n}{q^r} + \frac{1}{eq^r} \left[ -(|H_r| - 1) + \sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1} G_Q(\varphi^\tau) \sum_{\beta \in H_r \setminus \{0\}} \bar{\varphi}^\tau(\beta) \right] \\ &= \frac{q^k - 1}{eq^r} - \frac{q^r - 1}{eq^r} + \frac{1}{eq^r} \sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1} G_Q(\varphi^\tau) \sum_{\beta \in H_r \setminus \{0\}} \bar{\varphi}^\tau(\beta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

The formula (3.3) is derived from (3.5), (3.6) and (3.8).

(2). It is well-known that for  $x \in \mathbb{F}_Q$ ,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in H_r^\perp} \zeta_p^{T_p^Q(\gamma x)} = \begin{cases} |H_r^\perp| = q^{k-r}, & \text{if } x \in H_r \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $H_r^\perp$  is the dual of  $H_r$  in  $C$ . Therefore for  $\varphi^\tau \neq 1$  (we assume  $\varphi^\tau(0) = 0$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta \in H_r \setminus \{0\}} \bar{\varphi}^\tau(\beta) &= \sum_{\beta \in H_r} \bar{\varphi}^\tau(\beta) = \frac{1}{q^{k-r}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_Q} \sum_{\gamma \in H_r^\perp} \zeta_p^{T_p^Q(\beta\gamma)} \bar{\varphi}^\tau(\beta) \\ &= \frac{1}{q^{k-r}} \sum_{\gamma \in H_r^\perp \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_Q^*} \zeta_p^{T_p^Q(\beta\gamma)} \bar{\varphi}^\tau(\beta) \\ &= \frac{1}{q^{k-r}} \sum_{\gamma \in H_r^\perp \setminus \{0\}} G_Q(\bar{\varphi}^\tau) \varphi^\tau(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
N(C_r) &= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} + \frac{1}{eq^k} \sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1} G_Q(\varphi^\tau) G_Q(\overline{\varphi}^\tau) \sum_{\gamma \in H_r^\perp \setminus \{0\}} \varphi^\tau(\gamma) \\
&= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} + \frac{1}{e} \sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1} \varphi^\tau(-1) \sum_{\gamma \in H_r^\perp \setminus \{0\}} \varphi^\tau(\gamma) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1(2)}) \\
&= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} + \frac{1}{e} \sum_{\gamma \in H_r^\perp \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1} \varphi^\tau(\gamma) \quad (\text{since } -H_r^\perp = H_r^\perp) \\
&= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} - \frac{|H_r^\perp| - 1}{e} + \frac{1}{e} \sum_{\gamma \in H_r^\perp \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{e'-1} \varphi^\tau(\gamma) \\
&= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} - \frac{q^{k-r} - 1}{e} + \frac{e'}{e} |H_r^\perp \cap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle| = \frac{e'}{e} |H_r^\perp \cap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle|.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get (3.4) from (3.6). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1, we consider the case  $e' = 1$ . In this case, either by (3.3), where the summation in right-hand side is zero, or by (3.4) where  $H_r^\perp \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_Q^* = \langle \theta \rangle$  and  $|H_r^\perp \cap \langle \theta \rangle| = |H_r^\perp \setminus \{0\}| = q^{k-r} - 1$ , we get the following simple result.

*Corollary 3.2:* (case  $e' = 1$ ) Let  $q = p^l$ ,  $Q = q^k$ ,  $Q - 1 = en$ , and  $C$  be the irreducible cyclic code in Theorem 3.1. If  $e' = (e, \frac{Q-1}{q-1}) = 1$  (which means that  $e \mid q - 1$  and  $(e, k) = 1$ ), then

$$d_r(C) = \frac{q^k - q^{k-r}}{e} \quad (0 \leq r \leq k).$$

*Remark:* (1) From  $d_r(C) = \frac{n(q^r-1)q^{k-r}}{q^k-1}$  we know that in the case  $e' = 1$ ,  $d_r(C)$  meets the Plotkin type bound and the Griesmer type bound for all  $r$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq k$ . Namely, both of (2.2) and (2.3) in Lemma 2.4 are equality.

(2) From Lemma 2.5(2) we know that for case  $e' = 1$  and  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{d_1(C^\perp), \dots, d_{n-k}(C^\perp)\} \\
&= \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{n+1 - d_k(C), \dots, n+1 - d_1(C)\} \\
&= \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \left\{ n+1 - n, n+1 - \left( n - \frac{q^k - q^{k-1}}{eq^{k-1}} \right), \dots, n+1 - \left( n - \frac{q^k - q}{eq} \right) \right\} \\
&= \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \left\{ 1, 1 + \frac{q-1}{e}, \dots, 1 + \frac{q^{k-1} - 1}{e} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$d_{n-k-i}(C^\perp) = n - i \quad \left( 0 \leq i \leq n - \left( \frac{q^k - 1}{e} + 2 \right) \right).$$

Namely,  $d_r(C^\perp) = n - (n - k) + r$  for  $\frac{q^{k-1}-1}{e} + 2 - k \leq r \leq n - k$ . By (2.1) in Lemma 2.4 we know that  $C^\perp$  is  $r$ -MDS code for  $r$  satisfying  $\frac{q^{k-1}-1}{e} + 2 - k \leq r \leq n - k$ .

From now on we assume  $e' \geq 2$ . This can be divided into two subcases:

(A)  $e' | q - 1$ . In this case,  $e' = (e, \frac{q^k-1}{q-1}) | \frac{q^k-1}{q-1}$ , we get  $e' | k$ .

(B)  $e' \nmid q - 1$ .

For case (A) we can determine  $d_r(C)$  for larger  $r$ .

*Theorem 3.3:* Suppose that  $e' = (e, \frac{q-1}{q-1}) | q - 1$  and  $e' \geq 2$ .  $C$  is the irreducible cyclic code in Theorem 3.1 with parameters  $[n, k]_q$ . Let  $k = e'm$ . Then for  $k - m \leq r \leq k$ ,

$$d_r(C) = n - \frac{e'}{e}(q^{k-r} - 1).$$

*Proof:* From  $e' | q - 1$  we get

$$\left( e', \frac{q^k - 1}{q^m - 1} \right) = (e', q^{(e'-1)m} + q^{(e'-2)m} + \dots + q^m + 1) = (e', e') = e'.$$

Namely,  $e' | \frac{q^k-1}{q^m-1}$ . Consider the subfield  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$ . From  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^* = \langle \theta^{\frac{q^k-1}{q^m-1}} \rangle$  we get  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^* \subseteq \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle$ . For  $k - m \leq r \leq k$ , we have  $0 \leq k - r \leq m$  so that we can take  $H$  as a  $(k - r)$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ . Then  $|H \cap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle| = |H \setminus \{0\}| = q^{k-r} - 1$  reaches the maximum value in the right-hand side of (3.4). Therefore  $N_r = \frac{e'}{e}(q^{k-r} - 1)$  and  $d_r(C) = n - N_r = n - \frac{e'}{e}(q^{k-r} - 1)$ .  $\square$

For case (B), the following result can be proved in similar way.

*Theorem 3.4:* Let  $C$  be the irreducible cyclic code with parameter  $[n, k]_q$ . Suppose that  $e' = (e, \frac{q-1}{q-1}) \nmid q - 1$ . Let  $m$  be a positive factor of  $k$  such that  $e' | \frac{q^k-1}{q^m-1}$ . Then for  $k - m \leq r \leq k$ ,  $d_r(C) = n - \frac{e'}{e}(q^{k-r} - 1)$ .

Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 are general results for case  $e' \geq 2$  by using formula (3.4). On the other hand, formula (3.3) involves related Gauss sums  $G_Q(\varphi^\tau)$  ( $1 \leq \tau \leq e' - 1$ ). In next section we will get further results on  $d_r(C)$  for smaller  $r$  by using (3.3) in several particular cases where the Gauss sums  $G_Q(\varphi^\tau)$  ( $1 \leq \tau \leq e' - 1$ ) have the same value.

## 4 Further Results on $d_r(C)$

In Section 3 we have determined the weight hierarchy of the irreducible cyclic code  $C$  in case  $e' = 1$  and the values of  $d_r(C)$  for larger  $r$  in case  $e' \geq 2$  by (3.4). In this section we determine  $d_r(C)$  for smaller  $r$  by using formula (3.3) for case  $e' = 2$  and semiprimitive case.

(I)  $e' = 2$  case

*Theorem 4.1:* Let  $q = p^l, Q = q^k, Q - 1 = en$  and  $C$  be the irreducible cyclic code with parameters  $[n, k]_q$  given in Theorem 3.1. Suppose that  $e' = (e, \frac{Q-1}{q-1}) = 2$  so that  $p$  is an odd prime number and  $k = 2s$  is even. Then the weight hierarchy of  $C$  is

$$d_r(C) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{e}(q^s - 1)(q^{s-r} + 1), & \text{for } 0 \leq r \leq s \\ \frac{1}{e}(q^{2s} - 2q^{2s-r} + 1), & \text{for } s \leq r \leq 2s = k. \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* Let  $\eta$  be the quadratic multiplicative character of  $\mathbb{F}_Q$ . Namely,  $\eta(\langle \theta^2 \rangle) = 1$  and  $\eta(\theta \langle \theta^2 \rangle) = -1$ . We have  $d_r(C) = n - N_r$  and formula (3.3) becomes

$$N_r = \frac{q^{2s} - q^r}{eq^r} + \frac{1}{eq^r} \max \left\{ G_Q(\eta) \sum_{\beta \in H \setminus \{0\}} \eta(\beta) : H \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \\ q \end{bmatrix} \right\}. \quad (4.1)$$

By Lemma 2.2,  $G_Q(\eta) = \varepsilon \sqrt{Q} = \varepsilon q^s$  where  $\varepsilon = 1$  or  $-1$ . Now we consider the subfield  $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2s}} = \mathbb{F}_Q$ . From  $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}^* = \langle \theta^{\frac{q^{2s}-1}{q^s-1}} \rangle = \langle \theta^{q^s+1} \rangle \subseteq \langle \theta^2 \rangle$  we get  $\eta(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}^*) = 1$ . For  $0 \leq r \leq s$ , if  $\varepsilon = 1$ , we take any  $r$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace  $H$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}$ . We have  $G_Q(\eta) \sum_{\beta \in H \setminus \{0\}} \eta(\beta) = \sqrt{Q} \sum_{\beta \in H \setminus \{0\}} \eta(\beta) = \sqrt{Q} |H \setminus \{0\}| = (q^r - 1)q^s$ . If  $\varepsilon = -1$ , we take  $H' = \theta H \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \\ q \end{bmatrix}$ . Since  $\eta(H') = -1$  we have  $G_Q(\eta) \sum_{\beta \in H' \setminus \{0\}} \eta(\beta) = -\sqrt{Q} (-(q^r - 1)) = (q^r - 1)q^s$ . Therefore the maximal value in right-hand side of (4.1) is  $(q^r - 1)q^s$  so that

$$d_r(C) = \frac{q^{2s} - q^r}{eq^r} + \frac{(q^r - 1)q^s}{eq^r} = \frac{1}{e}(q^s - 1)(q^{s-r} + 1) \quad (\text{for } 0 \leq r \leq s).$$

On the other hand,  $e' = 2 \mid \frac{q^{2s}-1}{q^s-1}$ . By Theorem 3.4 we get

$$d_r(C) = n - \frac{2}{e}(q^{k-r} - 1) = \frac{1}{e}(q^{2s} - 2q^{2s-r} + 1) \quad (\text{for } s \leq r \leq 2s = k).$$

(II) Semiprimitive Case

From now on we assume that  $e' \geq 3$ . The semiprimitive (or called ‘‘self-conjugated’’) case means that the following condition (\*) is satisfied.

(\*) There exists integer  $t$  such that  $p^t \equiv -1 \pmod{e'}$ .

We always assume that  $t$  is the smallest positive integer satisfying  $p^t \equiv -1 \pmod{e'}$ . Then the order of  $p$  in  $Z_e^*$  is  $2t$  and for  $q = p^l, Q = q^k = p^{lk}$ , from  $e' \mid Q - 1$  we get  $2t \mid lk$ . Namely,  $lk = 2ts$  ( $s \in Z$ ).

As in Section 3, for  $e' \geq 3$  we divide the following two subcases:

(A)  $e' \mid q - 1$ , namely  $2t \mid l$ . In this case  $e' \mid k$ .

(B)  $e' \nmid q - 1$ , namely  $2t \nmid l$ .

Theorem 3.1 shows that  $d_r(C) = n - N_r$  and  $N_r$  has the expression (3.3). Namely,

$$N_r = \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} + \frac{1}{eq^r} \max \left\{ \sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1} G_Q(\varphi^\tau) \sum_{\beta \in H \setminus \{0\}} \varphi^\tau(\beta) : H \in \left[ \begin{matrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{matrix} \right]_q \right\} \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\varphi$  is the multiplicative character of  $\mathbb{F}_Q^* = \langle \theta \rangle$  with order  $e'$  defined by  $\varphi(\theta) = \zeta_{e'}$ .

For each  $\tau$ ,  $1 \leq \tau \leq e' - 1$ , the order of  $\varphi^\tau$  is  $e_\tau = \frac{e'}{(e', \tau)}$ . From the semiprimitive condition (\*) we have  $p^t \equiv -1 \pmod{e_\tau}$ . Let  $t_\tau$  be the least positive integer satisfying  $p^{t_\tau} \equiv -1 \pmod{e_\tau}$ . Then the order of  $p$  in  $Z_{e_\tau}^*$  is  $2t_\tau$  so that  $2t_\tau | 2t$ , and  $t = t_\tau m_\tau$  ( $m_\tau \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). Then  $lk = 2ts = 2t_\tau m_\tau s$ . By Lemma 2.3, for  $1 \leq \tau \leq e' - 1$  we have

$$G_Q(\varphi^\tau) = \sqrt{Q} \varepsilon_\tau,$$

where

$$\varepsilon_\tau = \begin{cases} (-1)^{m_\tau s - 1}, & \text{for } p = 2 \\ (-1)^{m_\tau s - 1 + \frac{(p^{t_\tau} + 1)m_\tau s}{e_\tau}}, & \text{for } p \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

Firstly we consider the subcase (A).

*Theorem 4.2:* Let  $q = p^l$ ,  $Q = q^k$ ,  $Q - 1 = en$ ,  $e' = (e, \frac{Q-1}{q-1}) \geq 3$ . Assume that the semiprimitive condition (\*) holds where  $t$  is the least positive integer satisfying  $p^t \equiv -1 \pmod{e'}$ , so that  $lk = 2ts$ . Let  $C$  be the irreducible cyclic code in Theorem 3.1 with parameters  $[n, k]_q$ . If  $e' | q - 1$  (which means  $2t | l$ ) so that  $k = k'e'$  ( $k' \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). Then

(1) For  $k - k' \leq r \leq k$ ,  $d_r(C) = n - \frac{e'}{e}(q^{k-r} - 1)$ .

(2) If  $s$  is even, then for  $1 \leq r \leq k'$ ,

$$d_r(C) = n - \frac{1}{eq^r}(q^k - q^r + q^{k/2}(q^r - 1)).$$

(3) If  $2 \nmid se'$ , then for  $1 \leq r \leq k'$ ,

$$d_r(C) = n - \frac{1}{eq^r}(q^k - q^r + (e' - 1)q^{k/2}(q^r - 1)).$$

*Proof:* (1). For  $k - k' \leq r \leq k$  we have  $0 \leq k - r \leq k'$ . Let  $Q' = q^{k'}$ . From  $e' | q - 1 \mid Q' - 1$  we know that  $(e', \frac{Q-1}{Q'-1}) = (e', \frac{k}{k'}) = (e', e') = e'$ . Therefore  $e' | \frac{Q-1}{Q'-1}$  and the conclusion of (1) can be derived from Theorem 3.4.

(2). If  $2|s$ , then all  $G(\varphi^\tau)$  ( $1 \leq \tau \leq e' - 1$ ) are  $-\sqrt{Q}$  by formula (4.3). Then formula (4.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
N_r &= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} - \frac{q^{k/2}}{eq^r} \min \left\{ \sum_{\beta \in H \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1} \varphi^\tau(\beta) : H \in \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q \right\} \\
&= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} + \frac{q^{k/2}(q^r - 1)}{eq^r} - \frac{q^{k/2}}{eq^r} \min \left\{ \sum_{\beta \in H \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{e'-1} \varphi^\tau(\beta) : H \in \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q \right\} \\
&= \frac{q^k - q^r + q^{k/2}(q^r - 1)}{eq^r} - \frac{e'q^{k/2}}{eq^r} \min \left\{ |H \cap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle| : H \in \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q \right\}. \quad (4.4)
\end{aligned}$$

For  $1 \leq r \leq k'$ , we take an  $r$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace  $H'$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{Q'}$ . Then for  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace  $H = \theta H'$  of  $\mathbb{F}_Q$  we get the minimum value  $|H \cap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle| = 0$  in the right-hand side of (4.4). Therefore

$$d_r(C) = n - N_r = n - \frac{q^k - q^r + q^{k/2}(q^r - 1)}{eq^r}.$$

(3) If  $2 \nmid se'$ , then  $s$ , all  $e_\tau(|e'|)(1 \leq \tau \leq e' - 1)$  are odd. From  $p^t \equiv -1 \pmod{e'}$  and  $p^{t\tau} \equiv -1 \pmod{e_\tau}$ , we know that all  $m_\tau = t/t_\tau(1 \leq \tau \leq e' - 1)$  are odd. By (4.3) we get  $\varepsilon_\tau = 1$  and  $G_Q(\varphi^\tau) = q^{k/2}$  for all  $\tau, 1 \leq \tau \leq e' - 1$ . Then formula (4.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
N_r &= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} + \frac{q^{k/2}}{eq^r} \max \left\{ \sum_{\beta \in H \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1} \varphi^\tau(\beta) : H \in \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q \right\} \\
&= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} - \frac{q^{k/2}(q^r - 1)}{eq^r} + \frac{q^{k/2}}{eq^r} \max \left\{ \sum_{\beta \in H \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{e'-1} \varphi^\tau(\beta) : H \in \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q \right\} \\
&= \frac{q^k - q^r - q^{k/2}(q^r - 1)}{eq^r} + \frac{e'q^{k/2}}{eq^r} \max \left\{ |H \cap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle| : H \in \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q \right\}. \quad (4.5)
\end{aligned}$$

For  $1 \leq r \leq k'$ , we take  $H$  as a  $r$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_{Q'}$ . Then  $|H \cap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle| = q^r - 1$  is the maximal value in the right-hand side of (4.5). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
d_r(C) &= n - N_r = n - \frac{1}{eq^r} [q^k - q^r - q^{k/2}(q^r - 1) + e'q^{k/2}(q^r - 1)] \\
&= n - \frac{1}{eq^r} [q^k - q^r + (e' - 1)q^{k/2}(q^r - 1)]. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the subcase (B).

*Theorem 4.3:* Let  $q = p^l, Q = q^k, Q - 1 = en$  and  $e' = (e, \frac{Q-1}{q-1}) \geq 3$ . Suppose that the semiprimitive condition (\*) holds where  $t$  is the least positive number satisfying  $p^t \equiv -1 \pmod{e'}$ , so that  $lk = 2ts$ . Let  $C$  be the irreducible cyclic code in Theorem 3.1 with parameters  $[n, k]_q$ . Suppose that  $e' \nmid q - 1$  which means that  $2t \nmid l$ . Let

$$l = 2^a l', \quad k = 2^b k', \quad t = 2^c t', \quad 2 \nmid l' k' t' \quad (4.6)$$

and assume that  $c \geq a$ . Let  $m = 2^{c-a} k'$ . Then

(1) For  $k - m \leq r \leq k$ ,  $d_r(C) = n - \frac{e'}{e}(q^{k-r} - 1)$ .

(2) If  $2|s$ , then for  $1 \leq r \leq m$ ,

$$d_r(C) = n - \frac{1}{eq^r}(q^k - q^r + q^{k/2}(q^r - 1)).$$

(3) If  $2 \nmid se'$ , then for  $1 \leq r \leq m$ ,

$$d_r(C) = n - \frac{1}{eq^r}(q^k - q^r + (e' - 1)q^{k/2}(q^r - 1)).$$

*Proof:* (1). Consider the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{Q'}$  where  $Q' = q^m = p^{lm}$ . From (4.6) and  $lk = 2ts$  we know that

$$lm = l \cdot 2^{c-a} k' = 2^c l' k' = 2^c t' s' = ts'$$

where  $s'$  is the odd part of  $s$ . From  $lm = ts'|2ts = lk$  we know that  $\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{Q'} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_Q$ . Since  $s'$  is odd, we have

$$Q' = p^{ts'} \equiv -1 \pmod{e'}. \quad (4.7)$$

Moreover, from  $lk = 2ts$  and (4.6) we get  $a + b \geq c + 1$ . Let  $\tau = \frac{k}{m} = \frac{2^b k'}{2^{c-a} k'} = 2^{a+b-c}$ , then  $2|\tau$  and by (4.7),

$$\frac{Q-1}{Q'-1} = \frac{p^{lk}-1}{p^{lm}-1} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\tau-1} p^{lm\lambda} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\tau-1} p^{ts'\lambda} \equiv \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\tau-1} (-1)^\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{e'}$$

which means that  $\mathbb{F}_{Q'}^* = \langle \theta^{\frac{Q-1}{Q'-1}} \rangle \subseteq \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle$ . Then the conclusion of (1) can be derived from Theorem 3.4.

(2) and (3) can be proved by the same way as Theorem 4.2(2) and (3).  $\square$

In fact, under the condition  $2 \nmid se'$ , we can determine the total weight hierarchy of  $C$ .

*Corollary 4.4:* Under the assumptions  $c \geq a$  and  $2 \nmid se'$ , the weight hierarchy of  $C$  is

$$d_r(C) = \begin{cases} n - \frac{1}{e^{q^r}}(q^k - q^r + (e' - 1)q^{k/2}(q^r - 1)), & \text{for } 0 \leq r \leq k/2 \\ n - \frac{e'}{e}(q^{k-r} - 1), & \text{for } \frac{k}{2} \leq r \leq k. \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* In this case,  $b = c - a + 1$  and  $m = 2^{c-a}k' = 2^{b-1}k' = k/2$ . The conclusion can be derived from (1) and (3) of Theorem 4.3.  $\square$

*Remark:* In binary case ( $p = 2$ ),  $e'$  is always odd. The assumption of Corollary 4.4 becomes to be  $c \geq a$  and  $2 \nmid s$ . Particularly for  $q = 2, l = 1$  so that  $a = 0$ , the assumption becomes to be  $2 \nmid s$ , since  $c \geq 0 (= a)$  is always true. This is just the Theorem 3 of [14], but two proofs are quite different.

## 5 Conclusion

By using Gauss sums, we present two formulas (3.3) and (3.4) on generalized Hamming weight  $d_r(C)$  for irreducible cyclic code  $C$ . For cases  $e' = 1$  and 2, the weight hierarchy  $\{d_r(C) : 1 \leq r \leq k\}$  has been completely determined, so that the weight hierarchy of the dual code  $C^\perp$  can also be determined by Lemma 2.5(2). In general case we can determine  $d_r(C)$  for smaller  $r$  by (3.3) and bigger  $r$  by (3.4). For intermediate values of  $r$ , formulas (3.3) or (3.4) involve the extreme value of character sums  $\sum_{x \in H \setminus \{0\}} \varphi^\tau(x)$  or  $|H \cap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle|$  where  $H$  pass through all  $r$ -dimensional or  $(k - r)$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  which may have their own interests in finite field theory.

The generalized Hamming weights of reducible cyclic codes will be the next topic for further research. Helleseth and Kumar [13] have determined the weight hierarchy for binary Kasami codes which are cyclic codes  $C$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}(q = 2^m)$  such that  $C^\perp$  has two roots  $\theta$  and  $\theta^{q+1}$  where  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* = \langle \theta \rangle$ . Recently many results on weight distribution of reducible cyclic codes for ordinary Hamming weight  $d(C) = d_1(C)$  have been developed with various techniques. We hope that such techniques may help to determine generalized Hamming weight  $d_r(C)$  for  $r \geq 2$ .

## References

- [1] A. Ashikhmin, A. Barg and S. Litsyn, "New Upper bounds on generalized weights," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 1258-1263, May 1999.
- [2] A. Barbero and C. Munuera, "The weight hierarchy of Hermitan codes," *SIAM J. Discr. Math.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 79-104, Jan. 2000.
- [3] B. C. Berndt, R. J. Evans, and K. S. Williams, *Gauss and Jacobi Sums*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, 1997.

- [4] J. Cheng and C-c. Chao, "On generalized Hamming weights of binary primitive BCH codes with minimum distance one less than a power of two," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 294-299, Jan. 1997.
- [5] M. Delgado, J. I. Farrán, P. A. García-Sánchez, and D. Llena, "On the weight hierarchy of codes coming from semigroups with two generators," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 282-295, Jan. 2014.
- [6] G. L. Feng, K. K. Tzeng, and V. K. Wei, "On the generalized Hamming weights of several classes of cyclic codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 1125-1130, Jan. 1992.
- [7] G. van der Geer, "Fibre Products of Artin-Schreier curves and generalized Hamming weights of codes," *JCT(A)*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 337-348, Jan. 1995.
- [8] G. van der Geer and M. van der Vlugt, "On generalized weights of BCH codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 543-546, Mar. 1994.
- [9] G. van der Geer and M. van der Vlugt, "Generalized Hamming weights of BCH (3) revisited," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 300-301, Jan. 1995.
- [10] P. Heijnen and R. Pellikaan, "Generalized Hamming weights of  $q$ -ary Reed-Muller codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 181-196, Jan. 1998.
- [11] T. Helleseth, T. Kløve, V. I. Levenshtein, and Ø. Ytrehus, "Bounds on the minimum support weights," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 432-440, Mar. 1995.
- [12] T. Helleseth, T. Kløve, and J. Mykkeltveit, "The weight distribution of irreducible cyclic codes with block lengths  $n_1((q^l - 1)/N)$ ," *Discr. Math.*, vol. 18, pp. 179-211, 1977.
- [13] T. Helleseth and P. V. Kumar, "The weight hierarchy of the Kasami codes," *Discr. Math.*, vol. 145, no. 1-3, pp. 133-143, Oct. 1995.
- [14] T. Helleseth and P. V. Kumar, "On the weight hierarchy of the semiprimitive codes," *Discr. Math.*, vol. 152, no. 1-3, pp. 185-190, May, 1996.
- [15] J. W. P. Hirschfeld, M. A. Tsfasman, and S. G. Vlădut, "The weight hierarchy of higher dimensional Hermitian codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 275-278, Jan. 1994.
- [16] H. Janwa and A. K. Lal, "On the generalized Hamming weights of cyclic codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 299-308, Jan. 1997.

- [17] T. Kløve, “The weight distribution of linear codes over  $GF(q^l)$  having generator matrices over  $GF(q)$ ,” *Discr. Math.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 159-168, 1978.
- [18] C. Munuera, “On the generalized Hamming weights of geometric Goppa codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 2092-2099, Nov. 1994.
- [19] H. Stichtenoth and C. Voss, “Generalized Hamming weights of trace codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 554-558, Mar. 1994.
- [20] M. A. Tsfasman and S. G. Vlădut, “Geometric approach to higher weights,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 41, no. 11, pp. 1564-1588, Nov. 1995.
- [21] M. van der Vlugt, “On the weight hierarchy of irreducible cyclic codes,” *JCT(A)*, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 159-167, July, 1995.
- [22] Z. Wan, “The weight hierarchies of the projective codes from nondegenerate quadrics,” *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 283-300, Oct. 1994.
- [23] V. K. Wei, “Generalized Hamming weights for linear codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 1412-1418, Sep. 1991.
- [24] V. K. Wei and K. Yang, “On the generalized Hamming weights of product codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 39, no. 9, pp. 1709-1713, Sep. 1993.
- [25] K. Yang, P. V. Kumar, and H. Stichtenoth, “On the weight hierarchy of geometric Goppa codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 913-920, May 1994.
- [26] M. Bras-Amorós, K. Lee, and A. Vico-Oton, “New lower bounds on the generalized Hamming weights of AG codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 60, no. 10, pp. 5930-5937, Oct. 2014.