# CATEGORICAL RESOLUTIONS OF A CLASS OF DERIVED CATEGORIES

PU ZHANG

Department of Mathematics Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

Abstract. By using the relative derived categories, we prove that if an Artin algebra A has a module T with inj.dim $T < \infty$  such that  $\perp T$  is finite, then the bounded derived category  $D^{b}(A\text{-mod})$  admits a categorical resolution in the sense of [Kuz], and a categorical desingularization in the sense of [BO]. For CM-finite Gorenstein algebra, such a categorical resolution is weakly crepant. The similar results hold also for  $D^b(A\text{-Mod})$ .

Key words: (weakly crepant) categorical resolution, derived category, relative derived category, Gorenstein-projective object, CM-finite algebra

### 1. Introduction

1.1. A categorical resolution of an algebraic variety comes from looking for a minimal resolution of singularities. The functor  $D^b(\tilde{X}) \to D^b(X)$  induced by a resolution of singular variety  $X$  enjoys some remarkable properties. This motivates the study of a categorical resolution of a triangulated category. A. Bondal and D. Orlov [BO, Section 5] define a categorical desingularization of triangulated category D to be a pair  $(D^b(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{K}),$ where  $A$  is an abelian category of finite homological dimension, and  $K$  a thick subcategory, such that  $D \cong D^b(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{K}$ . A. Kuznetsov [Kuz] defines a categorical resolution of  $\mathcal D$  to be a triple  $(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \pi_*, \pi^*)$ , where  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  is an admissible subcategory of  $D^b(\tilde{X})$  with  $\tilde{X}$  a smooth variety,  $\pi_* : \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \to \mathcal{D}$  and  $\pi^* : \mathcal{D}_{\text{perf}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  are triangle functors satisfying (*ii*) and (*iii*) in Definition [2.3](#page-4-0) below. If  $\pi^*$  is right adjoint to  $\pi_*$ , then it is called weakly crepant. M. Van den Bergh [Van] defines a non-commutative crepant resolution, this induces a categorical desingularization and a weakly crepant categorical resolution. For some of the other influential works in this area we refer to [Ab], [BKR], [BLV], [Kal], [Lun], and [SV].

1.2. In this paper we combine Kuznetsov's definition with Bondal-Orlov's one. See Definition [2.3.](#page-4-0) The reasons are: this is the case for a proper birational resolution of an algebraic variety of rational singularity, it contains more information, and applies to our purpose.

Two comments on Definition [2.3](#page-4-0) are in order. First, we usually need to explicitly determine the perfect subcategory  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{perf}}$  for a work. For an abelian category  $\mathcal A$  with enough projective objects, we have  $D^b_{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A}) := D^b(\mathcal{A})_{\text{perf}} \supseteq K^b(\mathcal{P})$ , and  $D^b_{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A}) = K^b(\mathcal{P})$ in many important cases, where  $P$  is the full subcategory of  $A$  consisting of projective

Supported by NSF China (Grant No. 11271251 and 11431010).

### $2\,$  PU ZHANG  $\,$

objects. For examples, if  $A$  is the module category of a ring, or the finitely generated module category of an Artin algebra. Propositions [3.2](#page-7-0) and [3.3](#page-7-1) below say that this is also the case if  $A$  is finitely filtrated, or  $A$  is cocomplete.

Second, there are several ways for defining the smoothness of a triangulated category ([BO], [KS], [Kuz], [Lun], and [TV]). In this paper a triangulated category is smooth, if it is triangle-equivalent to  $D^b(\mathcal{A})$  with  $\mathcal{A}$  an abelian category such that  $D^b_{sg}(\mathcal{A}) :=$  $D^{b}(A)/D_{\text{perf}}^{b}(A) = 0$  (see Definition [2.2\)](#page-4-1). This singularity category  $D_{sg}^{b}(A)$  is in the sense of R.O.Buchweitz [Buch] and D.Orlov [O2]. It is invariant under triangle-equivalences. An algebraic variety X is smooth if and only if  $D_{sg}^b(X) = 0$  ([O2]). Definition [2.2](#page-4-1) is almost same with the one in [BO, Section 5]. The reason for a replacement of finite homological dimension by  $D_{sg}^{b}(\mathcal{A})=0$  is that in general the invariance of finite homological dimension under derived equivalences seems to be not known, although this is true in many important cases. Definition [2.2](#page-4-1) is also a slight change of [Kuz, Definition 3.1], since in this paper we do not need to consider admissible subcategories. We hope that such a small change will not affect the minimality.

1.3. Given a full subcategory  $\mathcal C$  of an abelian category  $\mathcal A$ , the C-relative derived category  $D_{\mathcal{C}}^{b}(\mathcal{A})$  is the Verdier quotient of the homotopy category  $K^{b}(\mathcal{A})$  by the thick subcategory  $K_{Cac}^{b}(\mathcal{A})$  consisting of bounded C-acyclic complexes. It is in fact a kind of the derived category of an exact category in the sense of A. Neeman [N], and has been studied in different setups, see for examples A. B. Buan [Bu], [GZ], X.W.Chen [C2], and J.Asadollahi, R.Hafezi, and R.Vahed [AHV]. If C is contravariantly finite in A, then  $D_{\mathcal{C}}^{b}(\mathcal{A}) \cong K^{-,\mathcal{C}b}(\mathcal{C})$ . See Proposition [5.6](#page-14-0) for the details. We observe that the derived category  $D^b(\mathcal{A})$  can be described via the relative derived category  $D_{\mathcal{C}}^{b}(\mathcal{A})$  (see Theorem [6.2](#page-15-0) below).

**Theorem 1** Let A be an abelian category with enough projective objects, and  $\mathcal{C}$  a resolving contravariantly finite subcategory of A. Then we have a triangle-equivalence  $D^b(\mathcal{A}) \cong$  $D^b_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})/K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})$ .

Thus, we get a functor  $\pi_* : D^b_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A}) \to D^b(\mathcal{A})$ , given by the Verdier functor. As we mentioned before, one can roughly think  $D^b_{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A})$  as  $K^b(\mathcal{P})$ . Since  $D^b_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A}) \cong K^{-,\mathcal{C}b}(\mathcal{C})$ and  $K^b(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq K^{-,cb}(\mathcal{C})$ , we have another functor  $\pi^*: K^b(\mathcal{P}) \to D^b_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$ , given by the embedding. So, if  $D_{\mathcal{C}}^{b}(\mathcal{A})$  is smooth, plus some other required properties of  $\pi_{*}$  and  $\pi_{*}$ , then the triple  $(D_{\mathcal{C}}^{b}(\mathcal{A}), \pi_*, \pi^*)$  can be served as a categorical resolution of  $D^{b}(\mathcal{A})$  in our consideration. We will see below that for some kinds of  $A$  and  $C$ , this machinery works.

1.4. Let A be an Artin algebra, A-mod (resp. A-Mod) the category of left A-modules (resp. finitely generated left A-modules). We say that A is representation-finite, if A-mod has only finite many pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable objects. By a theorem of M. Auslander, in this case the indecomposable objects in A-Mod coincide with the ones indecomposable objects in A-mod ([A2]). For  $T \in A$ -mod, let  $\perp T$  denote the full subcategory of A-mod consisting of A-modules X such that  $\text{Ext}_{A}^{i}(X,T) = 0, \forall i \geq 1$ . By addT we denote the full subcategory of A-mod consisting of the direct summands of finite direct sums of copies of  $T$ , and by  $\text{Add}T$  the full subcategory of  $A$ -Mod consisting of the direct summands of arbitrary direct sums of copies of T. We say that  $\perp T$  is finite, if there are only finitely many pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable A-modules in  $^{\perp}T$ , or equivalently, there is a module M in A-mod such that  $\perp T = \text{add}M$ . The following result on the endomorphism algebras of finite global dimension is another key step for the categorical resolution in this paper.

**Theorem 2** Let A be an Artin algebra, T and M modules in A-mod such that  $\perp T$  = addM. Put  $B := (\text{End}_A M)^{op}$ . Then for each positive integer  $r \geq 2$ , gl.dim $B \leq r$  if and only if inj.dim $T \leq r$ .

Two special cases of Theorem 1 are well-known. If  $A$  is representation-finite and  $T$  is an injective A-module, then the corresponding  $B$  is the Auslander algebra ([ARS]). If  $A$ is CM-finite Gorenstein algebra and  $T = A$ , then the corresponding B is the relative Auslander algebra of A (see [LZ], [Bel2], and [Leu]).

1.5. Assume that  $A$  is of infinite global dimension. If there exist modules  $T$  and  $M$ in A-mod with inj.dim  $T < \infty$ , such that  $\perp T = \text{add}M$ , then  $D^b(B\text{-mod})$  is smooth by Theorem 2, where  $B = (\text{End}_A M)^{op}$ . While  $D^b(B\text{-mod})$  is triangle-equivalent to the relative derived category  $D_{\text{add }M}^b(A\text{-mod})$ , so by the comment after Theorem 1 we have a triple  $(D^{b}(B\text{-mod}), \pi_*, \pi^*)$ . The main results (Theorems [7.1,](#page-18-0) [7.2](#page-19-0) and [7.5\)](#page-20-0) of this paper say that this triple gives a categorical resolution of  $D^b(A\text{-mod})$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let A be an Artin algebra with  $\text{gl.dim }A = \infty$ .

(i) Assume that there are modules T and M in A-mod with inj.dim  $T < \infty$ , such that  $\perp^{\perp}T = \text{add}M$ . Then  $D^b(B \text{-mod})$  is a categorical resolution  $D^b(A \text{-mod})$ , where  $B =$  $(\text{End}_A M)^{op}.$ 

(ii) Assume that there are modules T and M in A-mod with inj.dimT  $< \infty$ , such that  $\perp$ big(AddT) = AddM. Then  $D^b(B\text{-Mod})$  is a categorical resolution  $D^b(A\text{-Mod})$ , where  $B = (\text{End}_A M)^{op}.$ 

(iii) If  $A$  is a CM-finite Gorenstein algebra, and  $B$  the relative Auslander algebra of  $A$ , then  $D^b(B \text{-mod})$  is a weakly crepant categorical resolution of  $D^b(A \text{-mod})$ , and  $D^b(B \text{-Mod})$ is a weakly crepant categorical resolution of  $D^b(A\text{-Mod})$ .

We remark that in Theorem  $3(iii)$  if A is in addition a commutative local ring, then G. J. Leuschke [Leu, Section 3] has observed a connection with non-commutative crepant resolution in the sense of M. Van den Bergh [Van].

1.6. The paper is organized as follows. In §2 we recall the main definitions and facts used. For an abelian category  $A$  with enough projective objects, in §3 we give two frequently used cases of A, such that  $D_{\text{perf}}^b(\mathcal{A}) = K^b(\mathcal{P})$ . In §4 we prove Theorem 2. In §5 we recall some points of the relative derived categories; and in §6 we prove Theorem 1 and other facts, which provide the adjointness of the functors appeared in the categorical resolution. In §7 we prove the main results with some consequences.

### 4 PU ZHANG

### 2. Preliminaries

2.1. The key formula. Let A be an abelian category. For  $* \in \{\text{blank}, -, b\}$ , let  $K^*(\mathcal{A})$ and  $D^*(A)$  be the corresponding homotopy category and the derived category of  $A$ , respectively. For complexes X and Y, let  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$  be the Hom complex. Then we have the key formula  $\text{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y[n]) = H^n \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y), \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$ 

2.2. Verdier quotients. Let  $\beta$  be a triangulated subcategory of triangulated category K. Thus, in particular, B is a full subcategory of K, and closed under isomorphisms ([N]). By definition a morphism  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  of the Verdier quotient  $\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{B}$  is an equivalence class of right fractions  $a/s$ , where  $s: Z \Longrightarrow X$  and  $a: Z \longrightarrow Y$  are morphisms of K, such that " the mapping cone" of s belongs to B. Let  $Q : K \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{B}$  be the localization functor sending an object X to X itself, and sending a morphism  $a: X \longrightarrow Y$  to  $a/\text{Id}_X$ . Then Q is a triangle functor with  $Q(\mathcal{B}) = 0$ ; and if  $F : \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is a triangle functor with  $G(\mathcal{B}) = 0$ , then there is a unique triangle functor  $G : \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$  such that  $F = GQ$ . Thus  $Q(X) \cong 0$  if and only if X is a direct summand of an object in B. If B is thick in K (i.e.,  $\beta$  is a triangulated subcategory of  $\mathcal K$  which is closed under direct summands), then  $Q(X) \cong 0$  if and only if  $X \in \mathcal{B}$ .

We also need the left fraction construction of  $K/B$ : a morphism  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{B}$  is an equivalence class of left fractions s\a, where  $a: X \longrightarrow Z$  and  $s: Y \Longrightarrow Z$  are morphisms of  $K$ , such that " the mapping cone" of s belongs to  $B$ . The localization functor sends a morphism  $a: X \longrightarrow Y$  to Id<sub>Y</sub> \a. Then the Verdier quotient K/B constructed via right fractions is isomorphic to the one constructed via left fractions.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Lemma 2.1.** ([Ver, Corollary 4-3]) Let  $\mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2$  be triangulated subcategories of triangulated category C, and  $\mathcal{D}_1$  a subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}_2$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}_2/\mathcal{D}_1$  is a triangulated subcategory of  $C/D_1$ , and  $(C/D_1)/(D_2/D_1) \cong C/D_2$  as triangulated categories.

2.3. Perfect objects of a triangulated category. Let  $D$  be a triangulated category, with shift functor denoted by [1]. Following [Kuz], an object  $P \in \mathcal{D}$  is perfect, provided that for each object Y of D, there are only finitely many  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(P, Y[i]) \neq 0$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{perf}}$  the full subcategory of  $\mathcal D$  consisting of perfect objects, which is called the perfect subcategory of  $D$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{perf}}$  is a thick subcategory of  $D$ .

This definition comes from the intrinsic characterization of a perfect complex of  $D^b(X)$ of coherent sheaves on algebraic variety  $X$  ([O1, Proposition 1.11]). Its advantage is that a triangle-equivalence  $\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'$  restricts to a triangle-equivalence  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{perf}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{\text{perf}}$ and hence induces a triangle-equivalence  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}_{\text{perf}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'/\mathcal{D}'_{\text{perf}}$ . For an abelian category A with enough projective objects, one has  $D^b_{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A}) := D^b(\mathcal{A})_{\text{perf}} \supseteq K^b(\mathcal{P})$ , and  $D_{\text{perf}}^b(\mathcal{A}) = K^b(\mathcal{P})$  in many important cases, where  $\mathcal P$  is the full subcategory of  $\mathcal A$  consisting of projective objects. For examples, this is the case when  $A = R$ -Mod for ring R, or  $\mathcal{A} = A$ -mod for an Artin algebra A. For the details see §3. However, in general we do not know whether  $D^b_{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A}) = K^b(\mathcal{P})$ .

2.4. Smooth triangulated categories. Let  $X$  be an algebraic variety. D. Orlov called the Verdier quotient  $D^b_{sg}(X) := D^b(X)/D^b_{\text{perf}}(X)$  the singularity category of X, where  $D_{\text{perf}}^b(X) := (D^b(X))_{\text{perf}}$ . Then X is smooth if and only if  $D_{sg}^b(X) = 0$ .

For any abelian category A, this kind of Verdier quotient was introduced by R. O. Buchweitz [Buch, 1.2.2], under the name the stabilized derived category of  $A$ . For short, following [O2], in this paper we call  $D_{sg}^b(\mathcal{A}) := D^b(\mathcal{A})/D_{\text{perf}}^b(\mathcal{A})$  the singularity category of A. If A has enough projective objects and  $D^b_{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A}) = K^b(\mathcal{P})$ , then  $D^b_{sg}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$  if and only if each object of A has a finite projective dimension. Thus, if  $A = A$ -mod for an Artin algebra A, then  $D_{sg}^b(\mathcal{A})=0$  if and only if the global dimension of A is finite.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Definition 2.2.** A triangulated category D is smooth, if it is triangle-equivalent to  $D<sup>b</sup>(\mathcal{A})$ with  $D^b_{sg}(\mathcal{A})=0$ , where  $\mathcal A$  is an abelian category.

Thus, by the remark at the previous subsection, if a triangulated category  $D$  is smooth, then  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{\text{perf}}$ . In particular,  $D^b(R\text{-Mod})$  is smooth if and only if each R-module has a finite projective dimension.

Definition [2.2](#page-4-1) is almost same with a smooth triangulated category in the sense of A. Bondal and D. Orlov [BO, Section 5], where it is triangle-equivalent to  $D^b(\mathcal{A})$  with  $\mathcal A$  an abelian category of finite homological dimension (i.e., for each object  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , there are only finite many integers i such that  $\text{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(X, -) \neq 0$ . In fact, if A has enough projective objects, then a smooth triangulated category in the sense of [BO] is smooth in the sense of Definition [2.2;](#page-4-1) and the converse is also true if  $D^b_{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A}) = K^b(\mathcal{P})$ . The reason to make such a minor change is that we do not know in general the invariance of finite homological dimension under derived equivalences, although this is true in many important cases.

Definition [2.2](#page-4-1) is also a slight modification of [Kuz, Definition 3.1], where a smooth triangulated category is triangle-equivalent to an admissible subcategory of  $D^b(X)$  with  $D_{sg}^{b}(X) = 0$ , where X is an algebraic variety. For our purpose we do not need to consider admissible subcategories.

2.5. Categorical resolution of a triangulated category. For a triangle functor  $F$ :  $\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}'$ , let KerF denote the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}$  consisting of objects K with  $F(K) \cong$ 0. The following definition is due to A. Bondal and D. Orlov [BO, Section 5] and A. Kuznetsov [Kuz, Definition 3.2].

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Definition 2.3.** A categorical resolution of a non-smooth triangulated category  $D$  is a smooth triangulated category  $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ , or more precisely, a triple  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}, \pi_*, \pi^*)$ , where  $\pi_* : \widetilde{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and  $\pi^* : \mathcal{D}_{\text{perf}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  are triangle functors, such that

(i)  $\pi_*$  induces a triangle-equivalence  $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ /Ker $\pi_* \cong \mathcal{D}$ ;

(ii)  $\pi^*$  is left adjoint to  $\pi_*$  on  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{perf}}$ , that is, there is a functorial isomorphism  $\eta_{P,X}$ :  $\text{Hom}_{\tilde{D}}(\pi^*P, X) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(P, \pi_*X), \ \ \forall \ P \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{perf}}, \ \forall \ X \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}};$ 

(iii) The unit  $\eta = (\eta_P)_{P \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{perf}}} : \text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{perf}}} \longrightarrow \pi_* \pi^*$  is a natural isomorphism of functors, where  $\eta_P$  is the morphism  $\eta_{P,\pi^*P}(\mathrm{Id}_{\pi^*P}) : P \longrightarrow \pi_*\pi^*P$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Note that  $(ii)$  implies that  $\pi^*: \mathcal{D}_{\text{perf}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$  is fully faithful.

If  $\pi_* : \widetilde{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is full and dense, then (i) in Definition [2.3](#page-4-0) holds automatically. However  $\pi_*$  usually can not be full.

It is well-known that for a complex singular variety  $X$  there is a proper birational resolution of singularities  $\tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ ; and that if  $\tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$  is a proper birational resolution

#### $6\,$  PU ZHANG  $\,$

of algebraic variety X of rational singularity, then  $D^b(\tilde{X})$  is a categorical resolution of  $D^{b}(X)$  in the sense of Definition [2.3.](#page-4-0)

**Definition 2.4.** ([Kuz], Definition 3.4) A categorical resolution  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}, \pi_*, \pi^*)$  of a triangulated category D is weakly crepant if  $\pi^*$  is right adjoint to  $\pi_*$  on  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{perf}}$ , that is, there is a functorial isomorphism Hom $\tilde{\rho}(X, \pi^*P) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\pi_*X, P), \forall P \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{perf}}, \forall X \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}.$ 

A non-commutative crepant resolution ([Van]) induces a weakly crepant categorical resolution of a triangulated category.

2.6. Gorenstein-projective objects. Let  $A$  be an abelian category with enough projective objects, and  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of projective objects. A complete A-projective resolution is an exact sequence  $P^{\bullet} = \cdots \longrightarrow P^{-1} \stackrel{d^{-1}}{\longrightarrow} P^0 \stackrel{d^0}{\longrightarrow}$  $P^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$  with each  $P^i \in \mathcal{P}$ , such that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P^{\bullet}, P)$  stays exact for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . An object G of A is Gorenstein-projective if there is a complete A-projective resolution  $P^{\bullet}$ such that  $G \cong \text{Im}d^{0}$  (E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda [EJ]). Denote by  $\mathcal{GP}(\mathcal{A})$  the full subcategory of  $A$  consisting of Gorenstein-projective objects.

A full subcategory X of A is resolving ([AB]), provided that  $\mathcal{X} \supseteq \mathcal{P}$ , X is closed under extensions and direct summands, and that  $X$  is closed under the kernels of epimorphisms. A resolving subcategory is of course additive. Then  $\mathcal{GP}(\mathcal{A})$  is resolving; and  $\mathcal{GP}(\mathcal{A})$  is closed under arbitrary direct sums if  $A$  is *cocomplete*, i.e.,  $A$  has arbitrary direct sums (see  $[AR]$  and  $[Hol]$ ).

A Frobenius category  $\beta$  is an exact category ([Q, §2]) with enough projective objects and enough injective objects, such that an object is projective if and only if it is injective (see [K1]). An important feature is that  $\mathcal{GP}(\mathcal{A})$  is a Frobenius category, where the projectiveinjective objects of  $\mathcal{GP}(\mathcal{A})$  are exactly the projective objects of  $\mathcal{A}$  (see [Bel1]). Thus the stable category  $\mathcal{GP}(\mathcal{A})$  of  $\mathcal{GP}(\mathcal{A})$  modulo  $\mathcal P$  is triangulated ([Hap, p.16]).

Recall that an Artin algebra  $A$  is  $CM$ -finite, if  $\mathcal{GP}(A\text{-mod})$  has only finitely many pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable objects; and that A is Gorenstein, if inj.dim  $_A A < \infty$ and inj.dim $A_A < \infty$ . If this is the case, then inj.dim  $_A A = \text{inj.dim} A_A$  ([I]). For a Gorenstein algebra A, we have  $\mathcal{GP}(A\text{-mod}) = \perp(A)$  (see [EJ, Corollary 11.5.3]; or [Z, Lemma  $2.4(iii)$  for a short argument). If A is a CM-finite Gorenstein algebra, then the indecomposable objects of  $\mathcal{GP}(A\text{-Mod})$  coincide with the indecomposable objects of  $\mathcal{GP}(A\text{-mod})$ , by X. W. Chen  $([C1])$ .

2.7. Contravariantly finite subcategories. Let  $\beta$  be an additive category,  $\beta$  a full additive subcategory of B, and  $X \in \mathcal{B}$ . A morphism  $f: C \longrightarrow X$  with  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  is a right *C*-approximation of X, if  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(C', f) : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(C', C) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(C', X)$  is surjective for each  $C' \in \mathcal{C}$ . If each object  $X \in \mathcal{B}$  admits a right C-approximation, then C is said to be contravariantly finite in  $\mathcal{B}$  ([AR]).

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Example 2.5. Recall the contravariantly finite subcategories used in this paper.

(i) Let A be an Artin algebra and  $M \in A$ -mod. Then add M is contravariantly finite in  $A$ -mod; and  $AddM$  is contravariantly finite in  $A$ -Mod (here  $M$  is also assumed to be finitely generated).

(ii) If each object of A has a finite Gorenstein-projective dimension, then  $\mathcal{GP}(A)$  is contravariantly finite in  $\mathcal{A}$  ([EJ, Theorem 11.5.1], or [Hol, Theorem 2.10]).

(iii) For an Artin algebra A,  $\mathcal{GP}(A\text{-Mod})$  is contravariantly finite in A-Mod ([Bel1, Theorem 3.5]).

(iv) An Artin algebra A is Gorenstein if and only if each A-module has a finite Gorensteinprojective dimension in A-Mod, also if and only if each finitely generated A-module has a finite Gorenstein-projective dimension in  $A$ -mod ([Hos]). Thus, if  $A$  is Gorenstein, then  $\mathcal{GP}(A\text{-mod})$  is contravariantly finite in A-mod.

(v) A. Beligiannis [Bel1] introduced virtually Gorenstein algebras. A Gorenstein algebra is virtually Gorenstein, but the converse is not true. However, for a virtually Gorenstein algebra A,  $\mathcal{GP}(A\text{-mod})$  is contravariantly finite in A-mod ([Bel1, Theorem 8.2(ix)]).

(vi) For examples of CM-finite non-Gorenstein algebras we refer to [Rin]. For a CMfinite algebra  $A$ ,  $\mathcal{GP}(A\text{-mod})$  is contravariantly finite in  $A\text{-mod}$ .

### 3. Perfect subcategory of a triangulated category

Throughout this section,  $A$  is an abelian category with enough projective objects,  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  the full subcategory of A consisting of projective objects. We give two classes of A, such that  $D_{\text{perf}}^b(\mathcal{A}) = K^b(\mathcal{P})$ .

3.1. The following characterization of objects in  $K^b(\mathcal{P})$  is due to Buchweitz. It also implies that  $K^b(\mathcal{P})$  is thick in  $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ .

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Lemma 3.1.** ([Buch, Lemma 1.2.1]) Let A be an abelian category with enough projective objects, and  $P \in D^b(\mathcal{A})$ . Then the following are equivalent

 $(i)$   $P \in K^b(\mathcal{P});$ 

(ii) there is an integer  $i(P)$  such that  $\text{Hom}_{D^b(A)}(P,M[i]) = 0$  for each  $i \geq i(P)$  and for each object M of A;

(iii) there is a finite subset  $I(P) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ , such that  $\text{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(P,M[j]) = 0$  for each  $j \notin I(P)$  and for each object M of A.

**Proof.** For convenience of the reader, we include an argument for  $(iii) \implies (i)$ . Let  $Q \longrightarrow P$  be a quasi-isomorphism with  $Q \in K^{-,b}(\mathcal{P})$ . Then there is an integer N such that H<sup>n</sup>Q = 0 for all  $n \leq N$ . We claim that there exists an integer n with  $n \leq N$  such that  $\text{Im}d_{Q}^{n} \in \mathcal{P}$ . If this claim is true, then there is a quasi-isomorphism



Therefore we have isomorphisms  $P \cong Q \cong \tau_{\geq n+1} Q \in K^b(\mathcal{P})$  in  $D^-(\mathcal{A})$ , and hence we have an isomorphism  $P \cong \tau_{\geq n+1} Q \in K^b(\mathcal{P})$  in  $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ .

8 PU ZHANG

Assume that the claim is not true. Then there exists  $-n \notin I(P)$  such that  $M :=$ Im $d_Q^n \notin \mathcal{P}$ . Denote by  $d^n : Q^n \longrightarrow M = \text{Im}d_Q^n$  the epimorphism induced by  $d_Q^n$ . Then

$$
\widetilde{d^n} \in \text{Ker}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Q^n, M) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(d^{n-1}, M)} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Q^{n-1}, M));
$$

but

$$
\widetilde{d^n} \notin \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Q^{n+1},M) \overset{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(d^n,M)}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Q^n,M))
$$

(otherwise Im $d^n \hookrightarrow Q^{n+1}$  splits, which contradicts the assumption  $M \notin \mathcal{P}$ ). This implies  $H^{-n}Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(Q, M) \neq 0$ . Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(P, M[-n]) &\cong \text{Hom}_{D^-(\mathcal{A})}(Q, M[-n]) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{A})}(Q, M[-n]) \\ &= \text{H}^{-n} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Q, M) \neq 0. \end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts the assumption *(iii)*.

3.2. We say that  $A$  is a finitely filtrated category, if there exists finitely many objects  $S_1, \dots, S_m$ , such that for any non-zero object X of A, there exists a sequence of monomorphisms

$$
0 = X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} \cdots \longrightarrow X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n = X
$$

such that  $\text{Coker} f_i \in \{S_1, \cdots, S_m\}, 0 \leq i \leq n-1.$ 

For example, for an Artin algebra A, A-mod is finitely filtrated.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Proposition 3.2.** Let A be a finitely filtrated category. Then  $D_{\text{perf}}^b(\mathcal{A}) = K^b(\mathcal{P})$ .

**Proof.** We only justify  $D_{\text{perf}}^b(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq K^b(\mathcal{P})$ . Let  $P \in D_{\text{perf}}^b(\mathcal{A})$ . By assumption  $\mathcal A$  is finitely filtrated by some objects  $S_1, \dots, S_m$ . Put  $S := S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_m$ . Since  $P \in D_{\text{perf}}^b(\mathcal{A})$ , there are only finitely many  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\text{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(P, S[i]) \neq 0$ . Denote by  $I(P)$  the finite set of such integers is. Then  $\text{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(P, S[j]) = 0$  for  $j \notin I(P)$ . Since each object  $M \in \mathcal{A}$  has a filtration with factors belonging to  $\{S_1, \dots, S_m\}$ , and since each short exact sequence in A gives rise a distinguished triangle in  $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ , it follows that  $\text{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(P,M[j])=0$  for each  $j \notin I(P)$  and for each object M of A. Thus  $P \in K^b(\mathcal{P})$ by Lemma [3.1.](#page-6-0)

3.3. If A has arbitrary direct sums, then we have the same conclusion as in Proposition [3.2.](#page-7-0) It in particular say  $D^b_{\text{perf}}(R\text{-Mod}) = K^b(\mathcal{P}(R\text{-Mod}))$ , where R is a ring.

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $A$  be a cocomplete abelian category with enough projective objects. Then  $D^b_{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A}) = K^b(\mathcal{P})$ .

**Proof.** We only prove  $D^b_{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq K^b(\mathcal{P})$ . The idea of the proof could be found from J. Rickard [Ric, Proposition 6.2]. Let  $P \in D_{\text{perf}}^b(\mathcal{A})$ . Take a quasi-isomorphism  $Q \longrightarrow P$ with  $Q \in K^{-,b}(\mathcal{P})$ . Thus, there is an  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $H^{n}P = 0$ ,  $\forall n \leq N$ . As in the proof of Proposition [3.1](#page-6-0) it suffices to prove that there exists an integer n with  $n \leq N$  such that  $\text{Im}d_{Q}^{n} \in \mathcal{P}$ .



Otherwise, Im $d_Q^n \notin \mathcal{P}$  for each  $n \leq N$ . Since A has infinite direct sums, we could put  $M := \bigoplus_{n \leq N} \text{Im} d_{Q}^{n} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\text{Im} d_{Q}^{n} \neq 0$ , we have a non-zero epimorphism  $\widetilde{d^{n}} : Q^{n} \longrightarrow$  $\text{Im}d_Q^n$ , which induces a non-zero morphism

$$
f: Q^n \longrightarrow M = \bigoplus_{j \leq N} \mathrm{Im} d^j_Q = \mathrm{Im} d^n_Q \oplus (\bigoplus_{j \leq N, j \neq n} \mathrm{Im} d^j_Q).
$$

Clearly f induces a chain map  $Q \longrightarrow M[-n]$ . Since Im $d_Q^n \notin \mathcal{P}$ , it follows that this chain map is not null homotopic. This shows  $\text{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{A})}(Q, M[-n]) \neq 0$  for each integer n with  $n \leq N$ , and hence

$$
\text{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(P, M[-n]) \cong \text{Hom}_{D^-(\mathcal{A})}(Q, M[-n])
$$
  

$$
\cong \text{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{A})}(Q, M[-n]) \neq 0.
$$

In other words, we get infinitely many integers i such that  $\text{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(P, M[i]) \neq 0$ . This contradicts the assumption  $P \in D^b_{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A}).$ 

### 4. Global dimension of a class of endomorphism algebras

4.1. Let  $A$  be an abelian category with enough projective objects, and  $X$  an object of A. The global dimension gl.dim $\mathcal A$  is the supreme of the projective dimension proj.dim $X$ , where X runs over all the objects of A. For a ring  $R$ , gl.dim(R-Mod) is exactly the supreme of proj. $\dim M$ , where M runs over all the cyclic left R-modules (see [A, Theorem 1]). Thus, if R is left noetherian, then  $\text{gl.dim}(R\text{-Mod}) = \text{gl.dim}(R\text{-mod})$ , which will be denoted by gl.dimR. Thus, for Artin algebra  $A$ , gl.dim $A$  is just the maximum of proj.dim $S(i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $\{S(1), \dots, S(n)\}\$ is a complete set of pairwise nonisomorphic simple A-modules.

4.2. Let A be an Artin algebra, and  $M \in A$ -mod. The functor  $\text{Hom}_A(M, -) : A$ -mod  $\longrightarrow$ B-mod induces an equivalence between add M and  $P(B\text{-mod})$ , where  $B = (\text{End}_A M)^{op}$ ([ARS, p.33]). If M is a generator (i.e.,  $_A A \in \text{add}M$ ), then we have

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Lemma 4.1.** Let M be a generator of A-mod. Then  $Hom_A(M, -):$  A-mod  $\longrightarrow B$ -mod is fully faithful.

**Proof.** Since M is a generator, for any  $X \in A$ -mod there is a surjective A-map  $M^m \to X$ for some positive integer m. This implies that  $\text{Hom}_A(M, -)$  is faithful.

Let  $X, Y \in A$ -mod, and  $f : Hom_A(M, X) \longrightarrow Hom_A(M, Y)$  be a B-map. By taking right add M-approximations, we get exact sequences  $T_1 \xrightarrow{u} T_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} X \longrightarrow 0$  and  $T'_1 \xrightarrow{u'}$  $T'_0 \stackrel{\pi'}{\longrightarrow} Y \longrightarrow 0$  with  $T_0, T_1, T'_0, T'_1 \in \text{add } M$  (since M is a generator,  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  are surjective). Applying  $\text{Hom}_A(M, -)$  we have the following diagram with exact rows

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\operatorname{Hom}\nolimits_{A}(M, T_{1}) \stackrel{\operatorname{Hom}\nolimits_{A}(M, u)}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Hom}\nolimits_{A}(M, T_{0}) \stackrel{\operatorname{Hom}\nolimits_{A}(M, \pi)}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Hom}\nolimits_{A}(M, X) \longrightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \down
$$

Then f induces  $f_1$  and  $f_0$  such that the above diagram commutes. Thus  $f_i = \text{Hom}_A(M, f'_i)$ for some  $f_i' \in \text{Hom}_A(T_i, T_i'), i = 0, 1$ . So we get the following diagram

$$
T_1 \xrightarrow{u} T_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} X \longrightarrow 0
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow f'_1 \qquad \qquad \downarrow f'_0 \qquad \qquad \downarrow f'
$$
  
\n
$$
T'_1 \xrightarrow{u'} T'_0 \xrightarrow{\pi'} Y \longrightarrow 0
$$

with commutative left square. So there exists  $f' \in \text{Hom}_A(X, Y)$  such that the above diagram commutes. Thus  $f \text{Hom}_A(M, \pi) = \text{Hom}_A(M, f') \text{Hom}_A(M, \pi)$ . Since  $\text{Hom}_A(M, \pi)$ is surjective, it follows that  $f = \text{Hom}_A(M, f')$ , i.e.,  $\text{Hom}_A(M, -)$  is full. ■

## 4.3. The following Auslander-Bridger Lemma is very useful.

**Lemma 4.2.** ( $[AB, Lemma 3.12]$ ) Let A be an abelian category with enough projective objects,  $X$  a resolving subcategory of  $A$ . Assume that

$$
0 \longrightarrow X_n \longrightarrow X_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
0 \longrightarrow Y_n \longrightarrow Y_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow Y_0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0
$$

are exact sequences in A, such that  $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $Y_i \in \mathcal{X}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Then  $X_n \in \mathcal{X}$ if and only if  $Y_n \in \mathcal{X}$ .

**Proof.** We include a shorter proof . Assume  $X_n \in \mathcal{X}$ . Take an exact sequence in A

$$
0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} A \longrightarrow 0
$$

such that  $P_i$  are projective,  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ . Then we get chain map  $f^{\bullet}$ :

$$
P^{\bullet}: 0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} A \longrightarrow 0
$$
  

$$
f^{\bullet} \downarrow \qquad f_n \downarrow \qquad f_{n-1} \downarrow \qquad f_0 \downarrow \qquad \qquad f_0 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \parallel
$$
  

$$
X^{\bullet}: 0 \longrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow X_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} A \longrightarrow 0.
$$

Consider the short exact sequence of complexes over A

$$
0 \longrightarrow X^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\binom{0}{1}} \text{Con}(f^{\bullet}) \xrightarrow{(1,0)} P^{\bullet}[1] \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where  $Con(f^{\bullet})$  denotes the mapping cone of  $f^{\bullet}$ , which is by definition the complex

$$
\text{Con}(f^{\bullet}): \quad 0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -d_n \\ f_n \end{pmatrix}} P_{n-1} \oplus X_n \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -d_{n-1} & 0 \\ f_{n-1} & \partial_n \end{pmatrix}} P_{n-2} \oplus X_{n-1}
$$
\n
$$
\longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_0 \oplus X_1 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -d_0 & 0 \\ f_0 & \partial_1 \end{pmatrix}} A \oplus X_0 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_{A}, \partial_0 \end{pmatrix}} A \longrightarrow 0.
$$

Then Con( $f^{\bullet}$ ) is again acyclic. Since  $(\text{Id}_A, \partial_0)$  is a splitting epimorphism, it follows that we get an acyclic complex

$$
0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow P_{n-1} \oplus X_n \longrightarrow P_{n-2} \oplus X_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_0 \oplus X_1 \stackrel{(f_0, \partial_1)}{\longrightarrow} X_0 \longrightarrow 0
$$

where  $X_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $P_{i-1} \oplus X_i \in \mathcal{X}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since X is closed under taking kernels of epimorphisms, it follows that  $K \in \mathcal{X}$ .

By the similar way we get an acyclic complex

$$
0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow P_{n-1} \oplus Y_n \stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} P_{n-2} \oplus Y_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots P_0 \oplus Y_1 \longrightarrow Y_0 \longrightarrow 0
$$

where  $Y_0 \in \mathcal{X}, P_{i-1} \oplus Y_i \in \mathcal{X}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , and Im $\alpha \in \mathcal{X}$ . Since X is closed under taking extensions, by the short exact sequence  $0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow P_{n-1} \oplus Y_n \longrightarrow \text{Im}\alpha \longrightarrow 0$ with  $K \in \mathcal{X}$  and Im $\alpha \in \mathcal{X}$ , we know  $P_{n-1} \oplus Y_n \in \mathcal{X}$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}$  is closed under taking direct summands, we have  $Y_n \in \mathcal{X}$ .

4.4. The following result is one of the key steps in our categorical resolutions.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Theorem 4.3.** Let A be an Artin algebra, T and M modules in A-mod such that  $^{\perp}T =$ addM. Put  $B := (\text{End}_A M)^{op}$ . Then for each positive integer  $r \geq 2$ , gl.dim $B \leq r$  if and only if inj.dim $T \leq r$ .

**Proof.** Assume that gl.dim $B \leq r$ . Let  $X \in A$ -mod. Consider a right addM-approximation  $f_0: M_0 \longrightarrow X$ . Since M is a generator,  $f_0$  is surjective. Again considering a right addMapproximation  $M_1 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } f_0$  and continuing this process we get an exact sequence in A-mod

$$
M_{r-1} \xrightarrow{f_{r-1}} M_{r-2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X \longrightarrow 0
$$

with  $M_i \in \text{add}M$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq r-1$ . Put  $K := \text{Ker}f_{r-1}$ . By construction we get an exact sequence

$$
0 \to \text{Hom}(M, K) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M_{r-1}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M_0) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M, X) \to 0.
$$

Since by assumption proj.dim<sub>B</sub> Hom $(M, X) \leq r$ , it follows from Auslander-Bridger Lemma that  $\text{Hom}_A(M, K)$  is projective as a B-module. Thus there is a B-isomorphism s:  $Hom_A(M, K) \longrightarrow Hom_A(M, M')$  with  $M' \in \text{add}M$ . By Lemma [4.1](#page-8-0) there exists  $f: K \longrightarrow$  $M'$  and  $g: M' \longrightarrow K$  such that  $s = \text{Hom}_A(M, f)$  and  $s^{-1} = \text{Hom}_A(M, g)$ . Therefore

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_A(M, fg) = \operatorname{Id}_{\operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M')} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, \operatorname{Id}_{M'}).
$$

Since Hom<sub>A</sub>(M, –) is faithful, it follows that  $fg = Id_{M'}$ . Thus  $K \in \text{add}M$ , and hence we have an exact sequence in A-mod

 $0 \longrightarrow M_r \longrightarrow M_{r-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0$ 

with  $M_i \in \text{add}M$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq r$ . For  $i \geq 1$ , by dimension shift we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_A^{i+r}(X,T) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_A^i(M_r,T) = 0
$$

since  $M \in {}^{\perp}T$ . This shows inj.dim $T \leq r$ .

Note that the argument above holds also for  $r \leq 1$ .

Conversely, assume that inj.dim  $T \leq r$  with  $r \geq 2$ . Let  $\ _{B}Y \in B$ -mod. Taking a projective presentation of  $BY$ 

$$
\text{Hom}_A(M, M_1) \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \text{Hom}_A(M, M_0) \longrightarrow BY \longrightarrow 0
$$

with  $M_i \in \text{add}M$ ,  $i = 0,1$ . Then there exists an A-map  $f : M_1 \longrightarrow M_0$  such that  $d = \text{Hom}_{A}(M, f)$ . Considering a right addM-approximation  $M_2 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } f$  and continuing this process, we get an exact sequence in A-mod

 $0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow M_{r-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_2 \longrightarrow M_1 \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} M_0$ 

with  $M_i \in \text{add}M$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ . For  $i \geq 1$ , by dimension shift we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(K, T) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i+r-2}(\operatorname{Ker} f, T) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i+r-1}(\operatorname{Im} f, T) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i+r}(\operatorname{Coker} f, T) = 0
$$

since inj.dim $T \leq r$ . Thus  $K \in {}^{\perp}T = \text{add}M$ . By construction we get an exact sequence

- $0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{A}(M, K) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{A}(M, M_{r-1}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{A}(M, M_{2})$  $\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, M_1) \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \text{Hom}_A(M, M_0) \longrightarrow BY \longrightarrow 0.$
- This gives a projective resolution of  ${}_BY$ , and hence  $\text{proj.dim}_BY \leq r$ . This proves gl.dim $B \leq r$ .

**Remark 4.4.** (i) If A is representation-finite and T an injective module, then Theorem [4.3](#page-10-0) is Auslander's result, and the corresponding B is the Auslander algebra ([ARS]).

(ii) If A is CM-finite Gorenstein algebra and  $T = A$ , then Theorem [4.3](#page-10-0) is also wellknown (see [LZ] and [Bel2]; also [Leu]), and the corresponding B is the relative Auslander algebra of A.

### 5. Relative derived categories

For our purpose we recall some points of relative derived categories. Let  $\mathcal C$  be a full additive subcategory of an abelian category A.

5.1. A complex  $M^{\bullet}$  over A is C-acyclic, if  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(C, M^{\bullet})$  is acyclic for all objects C in C. A chain map  $f^{\bullet}: X^{\bullet} \longrightarrow Y^{\bullet}$  is a C-quasi-isomorphism, if  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(C, f^{\bullet})$ :  $Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(C, X^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(C, Y^{\bullet})$  is a quasi-isomorphism for all objects C in C. Then  $f^{\bullet}$  is a C-quasi-isomorphism if and only if the mapping cone  $Con(f^{\bullet})$  is C-acyclic.

For  $*\in \{b, -\}$ , blank $\}$ , let  $K_{\mathcal{C}ac}^*(\mathcal{A})$  denote the full subcategory of the homotopy category  $K^*(\mathcal{A})$  consisting of  $\mathcal{C}$ -acyclic complexes. Then

 $K_{Cac}^*(\mathcal{A}) = {}^{\perp} \mathcal{C} := \{ X^{\bullet} \in K^*(\mathcal{A}) \mid \text{Hom}_{K^*(\mathcal{A})}(C, X^{\bullet}[n]) = 0, \ \forall \ n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \forall \ C \in \mathcal{C} \}.$ 

Thus  $K_{\text{Cac}}^*(\mathcal{A})$  is a thick subcategory of  $K^*(\mathcal{A})$ . The Verdier quotient

$$
D_{\mathcal{C}}^*(\mathcal{A}) := K^*(\mathcal{A})/K_{\mathcal{C}ac}^*(\mathcal{A})
$$

is called the C-relative derived category. See [GZ], [C2] and [AHV].

**Example 5.1.** (i) If A has enough projective objects with P the full subcategory consisting of projective objects, and  $C = P$ , then  $D_c^*(A)$  is just the derived category  $D^*(A)$ .

(ii) Let A be as in (i). If  $C = \mathcal{GP}(\mathcal{A})$ , the full subcategory of the Gorenstein-projective objects of A, then  $D_c^*(\mathcal{A})$  is the Gorenstein derived category in [GZ].

(iii) Let A be an Artin algebra, and  $M \in A$ -mod. Then we have the M-relative derived categories  $D_{\mathrm{add}M}^*(A\text{-mod})$  and  $D_{\mathrm{Add}M}^*(A\text{-Mod})$ . See [AHV].

5.2. It is important that the upper bounded derived category  $D^{-}(\mathcal{A})$  is a triangulated subcategory of the unbounded derived category  $D(\mathcal{A})$ , and that the bounded derived category  $D^{b}(\mathcal{A})$  is a triangulated subcategory of the  $D^{-}(\mathcal{A})$ . The C-relative derived category enjoy this property. The proof is similar as the Gorenstein derived category ([GZ, 2.5]), with a minor change. For the convenience of the reader we include the proof.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Lemma 5.2.** ([K2], Lemma 10.3) Let B and D be triangulated subcategories of triangulated category  $C$ . If one of the following conditions is satisfied, then the canonical triangle functor  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{B}$  is fully faithful.

(i) Each morphism  $X \longrightarrow B$  with  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $X \in \mathcal{D}$  admits a factorization  $X \longrightarrow$  $B' \longrightarrow B$  with  $B' \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{B}$ .

(ii) Each morphism  $B \longrightarrow Y$  with  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{D}$  admits a factorization  $B \longrightarrow$  $B' \longrightarrow Y$  with  $B' \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{B}$ .

<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Proposition 5.3.** Let  $C$  be a full additive subcategory of an abelian category  $A$ . Then  $D_{\mathcal{C}}^-(\mathcal{A})$  is a triangulated subcategory of  $D_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$ ; and  $D_{\mathcal{C}}^b(\mathcal{A})$  is a triangulated subcategory of  $D_{\mathcal{C}}^-(\mathcal{A})$ , and hence of  $D_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Proof.** We prove the first assertion by Lemma  $5.2(i)$ , the second one can be proved by Lemma [5.2\(](#page-12-0)*ii*). Let  $f^{\bullet}: X^{\bullet} \longrightarrow B^{\bullet}$  be a chain map with  $B^{\bullet} \in K_{Cac}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $X^{\bullet} \in K^{-}(\mathcal{A})$ . We may assume that  $X^i = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . Then  $f^{\bullet}$  admits the following natural factorization:



We need to prove that  $B^{\prime\bullet}$  is C-acyclic. Since  $B^{\bullet}$  is C-acyclic, it suffices to prove that

$$
\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(C, B^{-1}) \xrightarrow{d_{\ast}^{-1}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(C, B^{0}) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{d}^{0}_{\ast}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(C, \text{Ker } d^{1}) \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact for each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\tilde{d}^0 : B^0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } d^1$  is induced by  $d^0$ . Since  $0 \longrightarrow$  $Hom(C, \text{Ker } d^1) \overset{\sigma}{\hookrightarrow} Hom(C, B^1) \longrightarrow Hom(C, B^2)$  is exact, by the commutative diagram

$$
\text{Hom}(C, B^{-1}) \xrightarrow{d_*^{-1}} \text{Hom}(C, B^0) \xrightarrow{d_*^0} \text{Hom}(C, B^1) \xrightarrow{d_*^1} \text{Hom}(C, B^2)
$$
\n
$$
\overbrace{d^0}_\text{Hom}(C, \text{Ker } d^1)
$$

we have Ker  $\tilde{d}^0_* = \text{Ker } d_0^* = \text{Im } d_*^{-1}$ , and  $\text{Im } \tilde{d}^0_* = \text{Im } d_*^0 = \text{Ker } d_*^1 = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(C, \text{Ker } d^1)$ .

The natural functor  $\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow D_{\mathcal{C}}^{b}(\mathcal{A}),$  which is the composition of the embedding  $\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow$  $K^b(\mathcal{A})$  and the localization functor  $K^b(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$ , is fully faithful. The proof is as [GZ, 2.9].

5.3. If A has enough projective objects and  $P \subseteq C$ , then  $K_{Cac}^*(A)$  is a thick subcategory of  $K_{ac}^{*}(\mathcal{A})$ , where  $K_{ac}^{*}(\mathcal{A})$  is the full subcategory of the homotopy category  $K^{*}(\mathcal{A})$  consisting of acyclic complexes. By Lemma [2.1](#page-3-0) there is a triangle-equivalence

$$
D^*(\mathcal{A}) \cong D^*_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})/(K^*_{ac}(\mathcal{A})/K^*_{\mathcal{C}ac}(\mathcal{A})),
$$

and we have the localization functor  $\pi_*: D^*_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow D^*(\mathcal{A})$ . Note that  $\pi_*$  is an equivalence if and only if  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}$ .

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Lemma 5.4.** Let  $C$  be a full additive subcategory of abelian category  $A$ . Then we have

(i) ([CFH], Proposition 2.6) A chain map  $f^{\bullet}: X^{\bullet} \longrightarrow Y^{\bullet}$  is a C-quasi-isomorphism if and only if there are isomorphisms of abelian groups for any  $C^{\bullet} \in K^{-}(\mathcal{C})$ :

 $\text{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}(C^{\bullet}, f^{\bullet}[n]) : \text{ Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}(C^{\bullet}, X^{\bullet}[n]) \cong \text{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}(C^{\bullet}, Y^{\bullet}[n]), \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$ 

(ii) ([GZ], Lemma 2.2) Let  $C^{\bullet} \in K^-(\mathcal{C})$ , and  $f^{\bullet} : X^{\bullet} \longrightarrow C^{\bullet}$  be a  $\mathcal{C}\text{-quasi-}$ isomorphism. Then there is  $g^{\bullet}: C^{\bullet} \longrightarrow X^{\bullet}$  such that  $f^{\bullet}g^{\bullet}$  is homotopic to  $\mathrm{Id}_{C^{\bullet}}$ .

Thus, if in addition  $X^{\bullet} \in K^{-}(\mathcal{C})$ , then  $f^{\bullet}$  is a homotopy equivalence.

(iii) ([GZ], Proposition 2.8) Let  $C^{\bullet} \in K^{-}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $Y^{\bullet}$  be an arbitrary complex. Then  $Q: f^{\bullet} \mapsto f^{\bullet}/\mathrm{Id}_{C^{\bullet}}$  gives an isomorphism  $\mathrm{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}(C^{\bullet}, Y^{\bullet}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{D_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})}(C^{\bullet}, Y^{\bullet})$  of abelian groups.

In particular,  $K^b(\mathcal{C})$  can be viewed as a triangulated subcategory of  $D^b_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$ ; and  $K^-(\mathcal{C})$ can be viewed as a triangulated subcategory of  $D_{\mathcal{C}}^{-}(\mathcal{A})$ .

5.4. Let  $K^{-,\mathcal{C}b}(\mathcal{C})$  denote the full subcategory of  $K^{-}(\mathcal{C})$  given by

$$
K^{-,Cb}(\mathcal{C}) := \{ X^{\bullet} \in K^{-}(\mathcal{C}) \mid \exists N \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } H^i \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(C, X^{\bullet}) = 0, \forall i \le N, \forall C \in \mathcal{C} \}.
$$

Then  $K^{-,\mathcal{C}b}(\mathcal{C})$  is a thick triangulated subcategory of  $K^{-}(\mathcal{C})$ .

<span id="page-13-1"></span>**Lemma 5.5.** Let  $\mathcal C$  be a contravariantly finite subcategory of abelian category  $\mathcal A$ . Then for each  $X^{\bullet} \in K^b(\mathcal{A})$  there is a C-quasi-isomorphism  $C_{X^{\bullet}} \longrightarrow X^{\bullet}$  with  $C_{X^{\bullet}} \in K^{-,cb}(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Proof.** The proof is similar as [GZ, Proposition 3.4]. Use induction on the width  $w(X^{\bullet})$ , the number of i such that  $X^i \neq 0$ . Assume that  $w(X^{\bullet}) = 1$ . Then  $X^{\bullet}$  is the stalk complex of object X, say at degree 0. Since C is contravariantly finite in  $A$ , there exists a right C-approximation  $d^0: C^0 \longrightarrow X$  of X. Taking a right C-approximation  $C^{-1} \longrightarrow \text{Ker}d^0$ , and continuing this process we get a complex

$$
C^{\bullet} : \cdots \longrightarrow C^{-1} \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} C^{0} \xrightarrow{d^{0}} X \longrightarrow 0
$$

with each  $C^i \in \mathcal{C}$ , such that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(C, C^{\bullet})$  is acyclic for each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ . Put  $C_{X^{\bullet}}$  to be the complex obtained from  $C^{\bullet}$  by deleting X. By construction we get a C-quasi-isomorphism  $\phi_{X^{\bullet}}: C_{X^{\bullet}} \longrightarrow X^{\bullet}$  with  $C_{X^{\bullet}} \in K^{-, \mathcal{C}b}(\mathcal{C}).$ 

Assume  $w(X^{\bullet}) \geq 2$  with  $X^{j} \neq 0$  and  $X^{i} = 0$  for  $i < j$ . Then we have a distinguished triangle  $X_1^{\bullet} \stackrel{u}{\longrightarrow} X_2^{\bullet} \longrightarrow X^{\bullet} \longrightarrow X_1^{\bullet}[1]$  in  $K^b(\mathcal{A})$ , where  $X_1^{\bullet} := X^j[-j-1]$  and  $X_2^{\bullet}$  is the brutal truncated complex  $X_{>j}^{\bullet}$ . By induction there exist  $\mathcal{C}$ -quasi-isomorphisms

$$
\phi_1: C_{X_1^{\bullet}} \longrightarrow X_1^{\bullet}, \quad \phi_2: C_{X_2^{\bullet}} \longrightarrow X_2^{\bullet}
$$

with  $C_{X_1^{\bullet}}, C_{X_2^{\bullet}} \in K^{-, Cb}(\mathcal{C})$ . By Lemma [5.4\(](#page-13-0)*i*)  $\phi_2$  induces an isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{A})}(C_{X_1^\bullet}, C_{X_2^\bullet}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{A})}(C_{X_1^\bullet}, X_2^\bullet).
$$

Thus there is a unique chain map  $f^{\bullet}: C_{X_1^{\bullet}} \longrightarrow C_{X_2^{\bullet}}$  such that  $\phi_2 \circ f^{\bullet} = u \circ \phi_1$ . Embedding  $f^{\bullet}$  into a distinguished triangle in  $K^{-, Cb}(\mathcal{C})$ 

$$
C_{\mathbf{x}_1^\bullet}\stackrel{f^\bullet}{\longrightarrow} C_{\mathbf{x}_2^\bullet}\longrightarrow C_{\mathbf{x}^\bullet}\longrightarrow C_{\mathbf{x}_1^\bullet}[1]
$$

we get a unique complex  $C_{\mathbf{x}}\bullet$  in  $K^{-,Cb}(\mathcal{C})$ . By the axiom of a triangulated category, there is  $\phi_{X^{\bullet}}: C_{X^{\bullet}} \longrightarrow X^{\bullet}$  such that the diagram commutes

$$
C_{X_1^{\bullet}} \xrightarrow{f^{\bullet}} C_{X_2^{\bullet}} \longrightarrow C_{X^{\bullet}} \longrightarrow C_{X_1^{\bullet}}[1]
$$
\n
$$
\phi_1 \downarrow \qquad \phi_2 \downarrow \qquad \phi_{X^{\bullet}} \downarrow \qquad \phi_1[1] \downarrow
$$
\n
$$
X_1^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{u} X_2^{\bullet} \longrightarrow X^{\bullet} \longrightarrow X_1^{\bullet}[1].
$$

By using cohomological functors and the Five-Lemma it is easy to know that  $\phi_{x^{\bullet}}$  is a C-quasi-isomorphism.

The following result is due to J.Asadollahi, R.Hafezi, and R.Vahed [AHV, Theorem 3.3] (see also [GZ, Theorem 3.6] for the Grorenstein derived category). Since we need the equivalence  $F: K^{-, Cb}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow D_{\mathcal{C}}^{b}(\mathcal{A})$  in its proof, and since the proof was omitted in [AVH], so we include a proof.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Proposition 5.6.** ([AHV]) Let C be a contravariantly finite subcategory of abelian category A. Then there is a triangle-equivalence  $D_{\mathcal{C}}^{b}(\mathcal{A}) \cong K^{-,Cb}(\mathcal{C})$ , which fixes objects in  $K^b(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Proof.** Let  $F: K^{-,cb}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow D_{\mathcal{C}}^{-}(\mathcal{A})$  be the composite of the embedding  $K^{-,cb}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow$  $K^{-}(\mathcal{A})$  and the localization functor  $Q: K^{-}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow D_{\mathcal{C}}^{-}(\mathcal{A})$ . For each complex  $X^{\bullet} \in$  $K^{-,Cb}(\mathcal{C})$ , by definition there is an  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $H^i$  Hom $_A(C, X^{\bullet}) = 0$ ,  $\forall i \leq N, \forall C \in$  $\mathcal C$ . Since the following chain map is a  $\mathcal C$ -quasi-isomorphism



it follows that there is an isomorphism  $F(X^{\bullet}) \cong \tau_{\geq N} X^{\bullet}$  in  $D_{\mathcal{C}}^-(\mathcal{A})$  with  $\tau_{\geq N} X^{\bullet} \in D_{\mathcal{C}}^b(\mathcal{A})$ . Thus the image of F falls in  $D_{\mathcal{C}}^{b}(\mathcal{A})$ , and hence F induces a triangle functor  $K^{-,\mathcal{C}b}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow$  $D_{\mathcal{C}}^{b}(\mathcal{A})$ , again denoted by F (here we need to use Proposition [5.3\)](#page-12-1). In particular, F fixes objects in  $K^b(\mathcal{C})$ , i.e.,  $F(X^{\bullet}) = X^{\bullet}$  for  $X^{\bullet} \in K^b(\mathcal{C})$ .

By Lemma [5.5](#page-13-1)  $F$  is dense; and by Lemma [5.4\(](#page-13-0)*iii*)  $F$  is fully faithful.

### 6. A relative description of bounded derived category

6.1. Let  $A$  be an abelian category with enough projective objects, and  $C$  a resolving subcategory of A. By  $K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{C})$  we denote the full subcategory of  $K^-(\mathcal{C})$  consisting of those complexes which are homotopy equivalent to bounded acyclic complexes over  $C$ . It is clear that  $K_{ac}^{b}(\mathcal{C})$  is a triangulated subcategory of  $K^{-}(\mathcal{C})$ .

<span id="page-15-1"></span>**Lemma 6.1.** Let  $C^{\bullet} \in K^{-, \mathcal{C}b}(\mathcal{C})$ . If  $C^{\bullet}$  is acyclic, then  $C^{\bullet} \in K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{C})$ .

Proof. Since  $C^{\bullet} = (C^i, d^i)$  is upper bonded acyclic complex over C, and C is closed under kernels of epimorphisms, it follows that  $\text{Im } d^i \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $C^{\bullet} \in K^{-, Cb}(\mathcal{C})$ , by definition there exists an integer N such that  $H^n$  Hom $_A(C, C^{\bullet}) = 0$ ,  $\forall n \leq N$ ,  $\forall C \in \mathcal{C}$ . In particular H<sup>n</sup> Hom<sub>A</sub>(Im  $d^{n-1}$ ,  $C^{\bullet}$ ) = 0. This implies that the induced epimorphism  $\widetilde{d^{n-1}}: C^{n-1} \longrightarrow \text{Im } d^{n-1}$  splits for  $n \leq N$ , and hence there is an isomorphism  $C^{\bullet} \cong C^{'\bullet}$ in  $K^-({\mathcal C})$ , where  $C'^{\bullet}$  is the complex

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im} d^{N-1} \hookrightarrow C^N \longrightarrow C^{N+1} \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

with  $C^{\prime\bullet} \in K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{C})$ . Thus  $C^{\bullet} \in K_a^b$  $_{ac}^b(\mathcal{C}).$ 

6.2. The following result is another key step in proving Theorem [7.1,](#page-18-0) and also it seems to be of independent interest. If one takes  $\mathcal C$  to be  $\mathcal P$ , then it read as the well-known triangle equivalence  $D^{b}(\mathcal{A}) \cong K^{-,b}(\mathcal{P})$ . If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{GP}(\mathcal{A})$ , then it is Theorem 5.1 of [KZ].

<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Theorem 6.2.** Let  $A$  be an abelian category with enough projective objects, and  $C$  a resolving contravariantly finite subcategory of A. Then  $K_{ac}^b(C)$  is a thick subcategory of  $K^{-,\mathcal{C}b}(\mathcal{C})$ , and we have a triangle-equivalence

$$
G: D^b(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow K^{-, \mathcal{C}b}(\mathcal{C})/K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{C})
$$

such that G sends an object  $C \in K^b(\mathcal{C})$  to  $C \in K^{-,cb}(\mathcal{C})/K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Proof.** Lemma [6.1](#page-15-1) implies that  $K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{C})$  is a thick subcategory of  $K^{-,cb}(\mathcal{C})$ .

Let  $F' : K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{A})/K_{Cac}^b(\mathcal{A})$  be the composite of the embedding functor  $K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{A})$  and the Verdier functor  $Q: K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{A})/K_{Cac}^b(\mathcal{A})$ . We first claim that  $F'$  is a triangle equivalence.

Since  $K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{A})$  is a triangulated subcategory of  $K^b(\mathcal{A})$ , it follows that  $K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{A})/K_{Cac}^b(\mathcal{A})$ is a triangulated subcategory of  $K^b(\mathcal{A})/K^b_{Cac}(\mathcal{A})$ . By definition  $K^b(\mathcal{A})/K^b_{Cac}(\mathcal{A})$  is the C-relative derived category  $D_{\mathcal{C}}^{b}(\mathcal{A})$ . By Lemma [5.4\(](#page-13-0)*iii*)  $F'$  is fully faithful.

For each complex  $X^{\bullet} \in K_{ac}^{b}(\mathcal{A})$ , by Lemma [5.5](#page-13-1) there is a  $\mathcal{C}\text{-quasi-isomorphism } C^{\bullet} \longrightarrow$  $X^{\bullet}$  with  $C^{\bullet} \in K^{-, \mathcal{C}b}(\mathcal{C})$ . Since  $\mathcal{C} \supseteq \mathcal{P}$ , it follows that a  $\mathcal{C}$ -quasi-isomorphism is a quasiisomorphism. Since  $X^{\bullet}$  is acyclic, it follows that  $C^{\bullet}$  is acyclic. By Lemma [6.1](#page-15-1)  $C^{\bullet} \in$  $K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{C})$ . By  $X \cong F'(C^{\bullet})$  in  $K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{A})/K_{Cac}^b(\mathcal{A})$  with  $C^{\bullet} \in K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{C})$  we know that  $F'$  is dense. This proves the claim.

By construction  $F'$  is just the restriction of F to  $K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{C})$ , where F is the triangleequivalence  $K^{-,cb}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow D_{\mathcal{C}}^{b}(\mathcal{A}) := K^{b}(\mathcal{A})/K_{Cac}^{b}(\mathcal{A})$  given in the proof of Proposition

$$
\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{r}}
$$

[5.6.](#page-14-0) Hence we have a commutative diagram



where the horizontal functors are embeddings, and the vertical ones are triangle-equivalences. Thus  $F$  induces a triangle-equivalence

$$
K^{-,cb}(\mathcal{C})/K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{C}) \cong (K^b(\mathcal{A})/K_{Cac}^b(\mathcal{A}))/(K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{A})/K_{Cac}^b(\mathcal{A}))
$$
(\*)

While by Lemma [2.1](#page-3-0) we have a triangle-equivalence

the right hand side of  $(*) \cong K^b(\mathcal{A})/K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{A}) = D^b(\mathcal{A}).$ 

This proves  $D^b(\mathcal{A}) \cong K^{-\partial b}(\mathcal{C})/K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{C})$ . Since this equivalence is induced by F, and F fixes objects in  $K^b(\mathcal{C})$  by Proposition [5.6,](#page-14-0) it follows that it sends an object  $C \in K^b(\mathcal{C})$  to  $C \in K^{-, \mathcal{C}b}(\mathcal{C})/K_a^b$  $a_c^b(\mathcal{C}).$ 

From the proof above, we see that the assumption  $\mathcal{C}$  is a resolving contravariantly finite subcategory" is used.

6.3. We need the following fact in the next section.

<span id="page-16-0"></span>**Proposition 6.3.** Let A be an abelian category with enough projective objects, and  $\mathcal{C}$ a full additive subcategory of A with  $P \subseteq C$ . Then there is a functorial isomorphism of abelian groups for each  $P \in K^-(\mathcal{P})$  and  $C \in K^-(\mathcal{C})$ 

$$
\mathrm{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{C})}(P,C) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{C})/K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})}(P,C)
$$

given by  $f \mapsto f/\mathrm{Id}_P$ ,  $\forall f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{C})}(P, C)$ .

Proof. The proof is similar as in the case of derived category. Since this assertion will be used, for the completeness we include a justification.

Recall a well-known fact: if  $t : Z \longrightarrow P$  is a quasi-isomorphism with  $P \in K^-(\mathcal{P})$ , then there is  $g: P \longrightarrow Z$  such that tg is homotopic to Id<sub>P</sub> (cf. Lemma [5.4\(](#page-13-0)*ii*)).

Now assume  $f/\mathrm{Id}_P = 0$ . By definition we have a commutative diagram in  $K^-(\mathcal{C})$ 



where  $t: Z \longrightarrow P$  a chain map such that  $Con(t) \in K_{ac}^{b}(\mathcal{C})$ . Thus t is a quasi-isomorphism, and hence there is  $g : P \longrightarrow Z$  such that tg is homotopic to Id<sub>P</sub>. Thus by  $ft = 0$  we have  $f = f(tg) = 0.$ 

Assume  $f/s \in \text{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{C})/K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})}(P, C)$ , where  $s: Z \longrightarrow P$  with  $\text{Con}(s) \in K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})$ , and  $f: Z \longrightarrow C$ . Since s is quasi-isomorphism, there is  $g: P \longrightarrow Z$  such that sg is homotopic to  $\mathrm{Id}_P$ , and hence we get a commutative diagram



This means  $f/s = fg/\mathrm{Id}_P$ .

6.4. For later use, we need to investigate  $K^-(\mathcal{C})/K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{C})$  in more details.

Let  $A$  be an abelian category with enough projective objects, and  $C$  a resolving subcategory of A. An object  $I \in \mathcal{C}$  is a (relative) injective object of C, provided that the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, I)$  sends any short exact sequence  $0 \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow X_3 \longrightarrow 0$  with  $X_i \in \mathcal{C}, i = 1, 2, 3$ , to an exact sequence. Clearly, I is an injective object of C if and only if  $\text{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{A}}(X,I) = 0$  for each  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , also if and only if  $\text{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(X,I) = 0$  for each  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  and for  $i \geq 1$ ,

<span id="page-17-0"></span>**Lemma 6.4.** Let C be a resolving subcategory of A, and  $G = (G^i, d_G^i) \in K_{ac}^{-}(\mathcal{C})$ . Assume that  $I = (I^i, d_I^i)$  is a bounded complex such that all  $I^i$  are injective objects of C. Then  $\text{Hom}_{K^-(A)}(G,I) = 0.$ 

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the case of  $C = A$ , which is well-known. For the completeness we include a proof.

Let  $f : G \longrightarrow I$  be a chain map. We need to show that f is null-homotopic. We construct a homotopy  $s = (s^i)$  by induction. Assume that we have constructed  $s^i$ :  $G^i \longrightarrow I^i$  for  $i \leq m$ , such that  $f^{i-1} = d_I^{i-2} s^{i-1} + s^i d_G^{i-1}$  for  $i \leq m$ . Since G is an upper bounded acyclic complex with all  $G^i \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under the kernels of epimorphisms, it follows that  $\text{Im}d_G^j \in \mathcal{C}, \ \forall \ j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since

$$
(f^{m} - d_{I}^{m-1} s^{m}) d_{G}^{m-1} = 0
$$

it follows that  $f^m - d_I^{m-1} s^m$  factors through  $\text{Coker} d_G^{m-1} = \text{Im} d_G^m$ . Since  $I^m$  is an injective object of C, it follows that there is  $s^{m+1}: G^{m+1} \longrightarrow I^m$  such that

$$
f^{m} - d_{I}^{m-1} s^{m} = s^{m+1} d_{G}^{m}.
$$

This completes the proof.

<span id="page-17-1"></span>**Lemma 6.5.** Let C be a resolving subcategory of A, and  $C \in K^-(\mathcal{C})$ . Assume that I is a bounded complex such that all  $I^i$  are injective objects of C. If  $t : I \longrightarrow C$  a quasiisomorphism, then there exists a chain map  $s: C \longrightarrow I$  such that  $st = Id_I$  in  $K^-(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma [6.4](#page-17-0) Hom<sub>K</sub>-<sub>(A)</sub>(Con(t), I) = 0 = Hom<sub>K</sub>-<sub>(A)</sub>(Con(t)[-1], I). Applying Hom<sub>K<sup>-</sup>(A)</sub>(-, I) to the distinguished triangle  $I \stackrel{t}{\longrightarrow} C \longrightarrow \text{Con}(t) \longrightarrow I[1]$  we see that  $\text{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{A})}(C,I) \stackrel{\text{Hom}(t,I)}{\longrightarrow} \text{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{A})}(I,I)$  is an isomorphism, from which the assertion follows.

<span id="page-17-2"></span>**Proposition 6.6.** Let  $A$  be an abelian category with enough projective objects, and  $C$ a resolving subcategory of A. Assume that  $I$  is a bounded complex such that all  $I^i$  are

injective objects of C. Then for each  $C \in K^-(\mathcal{C})$  there is a functorial (in C and in I) isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{C})}(C,I) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{C})/K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})}(C,I).
$$

**Proof.** Here we need to use the left fraction construction of  $K^-(\mathcal{C})/K_{ac}^b(\mathcal{C})$ . The isomorphism is given by  $f \mapsto \text{Id}_I \backslash f$ ,  $\forall f \in \text{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{C})}(C, I)$ . The proof is dual to the one of Proposition [6.3,](#page-16-0) by using Lemma [6.5.](#page-17-1) We omit the details.

### 7. Main results

7.1. Now we are in position to prove

<span id="page-18-0"></span>**Theorem 7.1.** Let A be an Artin algebra with  $gl.dim A = \infty$ . Assume that there are modules T and M in A-mod with inj.dim $T < \infty$ , such that  $\pm T = \text{add}M$ . Then  $D^b(A\text{-mod})$ admits a categorical resolution  $D^b(B\text{-mod})$  with  $B = (\text{End}_A M)^{op}$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem [4.3](#page-10-0) gl.dim $B < \infty$ , i.e.,  $D^b(B\text{-mod})$  is smooth.

The equivalence  $\text{Hom}_{A}(M, -)$ : add $M \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(B\text{-mod})$  of categories induces pointwisely a triangle-equivalence  $K^{-,\text{addM }b}$  (addM)  $\cong K^{-,b}(\mathcal{P}(B\text{-mod}))$ . Since  $D^{b}(B\text{-mod}) \cong$  $K^{-,b}(\mathcal{P}(B\text{-mod}))$ , we have a triangle-equivalence

$$
F: D^b(B\text{-mod}) \cong K^{-, \text{addM } b}(\text{addM}).
$$

Since addM =  $\pm T$ , it follows that addM is a resolving contravariantly finite subcategory of A-mod, and hence by Theorem [6.2](#page-15-0) we have a triangle-equivalence

$$
G: D^b(A\text{-mod}) \longrightarrow K^{-,\text{addM } b}(\text{addM})/K^b_{ac}(\text{addM})
$$

such that G sends an object  $P \in K^b(\mathcal{P}(A\text{-mod}))$  to  $P \in K^{-,\text{addM}} b(\text{addM})/K_{ac}^b(\text{addM}),$ i.e.,  $GP = P$ . Thus, we get a triangle functor

$$
\pi_* := G^{-1}VF : D^b(B\text{-mod}) \longrightarrow D^b(A\text{-mod}),
$$

where  $V: K^{-, \text{addM}}$   $\rightarrow$   $K^{-, \text{addM}}$   $\rightarrow$   $K^{-, \text{addM}}$ )  $/K_{ac}^{b}$  (addM) is the Verdier functor.

On the other hand, by Proposition [3.2](#page-7-0)  $D^{b}(A\text{-mod})^{\text{perf}} = K^{b}(\mathcal{P}(A\text{-mod}))$ . Thus we have a triangle functor

$$
\pi^* := F^{-1}\sigma : D^b(A\text{-mod})^{\text{perf}} \longrightarrow D^b(B\text{-mod})
$$

where  $\sigma$  is the embedding  $\sigma: K^b(\mathcal{P}(A\text{-mod})) \hookrightarrow K^{-,\text{addM } b}(\text{addM}).$ 

The diagram

$$
D^{b}(B\text{-mod}) \xrightarrow{\pi_{*}} D^{b}(A\text{-mod})
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow F \qquad \qquad \downarrow G
$$
\n
$$
K^{-,\text{addM } b}(\text{addM}) \xrightarrow{V} K^{-,\text{addM } b}(\text{addM}) / K_{ac}^{b}(\text{addM})
$$

commutes. Since  $K_{ac}^{b}$  (addM) is thick in  $K^{-,\text{addMb}}(\mathcal{C})$  (cf. Theorem [6.2\)](#page-15-0), we have KerV =  $K_{ac}^{b}$ (addM). It follows that  $\text{Ker}\pi_{*} = F^{-}(K_{ac}^{b}(\text{addM})),$  and  $\pi_{*}$  induces a triangle-equivalence  $D^b(B\text{-mod})/\text{Ker}\pi_* \cong D^b(A\text{-mod}).$ 

Notice that  $\pi^*$  is left adjoint to  $\pi_*$  on  $K^b(\mathcal{P}(A\text{-mod}))$ . In fact, for  $P \in K^b(\mathcal{P}(A\text{-mod}))$ and  $X \in D^b(B\text{-mod})$  we have

$$
\text{Hom}_{D^b(B\text{-}\text{mod})}(\pi^*P, X) \cong \text{Hom}_{K^{\perp,\text{addM}}} \iota_{(\text{addM})}(\sigma P, FX)
$$

$$
\cong \text{Hom}_{K^{\perp,\text{addM}}} \iota_{(\text{addM})}(P, FX);
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(A\text{-}\mathrm{mod})}(P,\pi_*X)&\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K^{-,\mathrm{addM}}}\; {}_{b(\mathrm{addM})/K^b_{ac}(\mathrm{addM})}(GP,VFX)\\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K^{-,\mathrm{addM}}}\; {}_{b(\mathrm{addM})/K^b_{ac}(\mathrm{addM})}(P,FX) \end{aligned}
$$

(note that  $GP = P$  and  $VFX = FX$ ). So, it suffices to prove that there is a functorial isomorphism

$$
\zeta_{P,FX}:{\rm Hom}_{K^{-,{\rm addM}}}\;\iota_{({\rm addM})}(P,FX)\cong {\rm Hom}_{K^{-,{\rm addM}}}\;\iota_{({\rm addM})/K^b_{ac}({\rm addM})}(P,FX).
$$

This follows from Proposition [6.3](#page-16-0) by taking  $C = \text{addM}$ .

Finally, saying that the unit  $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}^{\text{perf}}} \longrightarrow \pi_* \pi^* = G^{-1} V \sigma$  is a natural isomorphism of functors amounts to saying that

$$
\zeta_P = \zeta_{P,P}(\text{Id}_P) = \text{Id}_P/\text{Id}_P : P \longrightarrow P
$$

is an isomorphism in  $K^{-, \text{addM}}$  b(addM)/ $K_{ac}^{b}$ (addM) for each  $P \in K^{b}(\mathcal{P}(A\text{-mod}))$ . This is trivially true.

All together triple  $(D^b(B\text{-mod}), \pi_*, \pi^*)$  is a categorical resolution of  $D^b(A\text{-mod})$ .

7.2. Theorem [7.1](#page-18-0) is stated for the finitely generated module category A-mod. By the similar argument with a minor change we can prove its version for A-Mod. For the contravariantly finiteness of AddM in A-Mod, we need the assumption that " $M$  is finitely gen-erated" (cf. Example [2.5\(](#page-5-0)i)). For  $T \in A$ -mod, let <sup>⊥big</sup> (AddT) denote the full subcategory of A-Mod given by <sup>⊥</sup>big (AddT) = { $X \in A$ -Mod | Ext<sup>i</sup><sub>A</sub> $(X, T') = 0$ ,  $\forall i \ge 1$ ,  $\forall T' \in \text{Add}T$ } (here "big" refers to work in A-Mod). Note that there is no a module  $T' \in A$ -Mod such that  ${}^{\perp_{\text{big}}}(\text{Add}T) = {}^{\perp_{\text{big}}}T'.$ 

<span id="page-19-0"></span>**Theorem 7.2.** Let A be an Artin algebra with gl.dim $A = \infty$ . Assume that there are modules T and M in A-mod with inj.dimT <  $\infty$ , such that <sup>⊥big</sup> (AddT) = AddM. Then  $D^{b}(A \text{-Mod})$  admits a categorical resolution  $D^{b}(B \text{-Mod})$  with  $B = (\text{End}_A M)^{op}$ .

**Proof.** First, the condition <sup>⊥</sup>big(AddT) = AddM implies <sup>⊥</sup>T = addM. The argument is as follows:

 ${}^{\perp}T = {}^{\perp_{\text{big}}}(\text{Add}T) \cap A\text{-mod} = \text{Add}M \cap A\text{-mod} = \text{add}M.$ 

By Theorem [4.3](#page-10-0) gl.dim $B < \infty$ , i.e.,  $D^b(B\text{-Mod})$  is smooth. Since M is finitely generated,  $Hom_A(M, -): AddM \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(B\text{-Mod})$  is again an equivalence of categories. Since

 $Hom_A(X, M') \cong Hom_B(Hom_A(M, X), Hom_A(M, M')), \forall X \in AddM, \forall M' \in AddM,$ 

it follows that this equivalence induces pointwisely a triangle-equivalence  $K^{-, \text{AddM } b}(\text{AddM}) \cong$  $K^{-,b}(\mathcal{P}(B\text{-Mod}))$ , and hence we get a triangle-equivalence

$$
F: D^b(B\text{-Mod}) \cong K^{-, \text{AddM } b}(\text{AddM}).
$$

Since AddM  $=$   $\perp$ big (AddT), it follows that AddM is a resolving subcategory of A-Mod. Also, AddM is contravariantly finite in A-Mod by Example  $2.5(i)$ .

The rest of the proof is similar with the one for Theorem [7.1,](#page-18-0) just replacing addM by AddM, A-mod by A-Mod, and B-mod by B-Mod. We omit the details.

7.3. Let us see some special cases of Theorems [7.1](#page-18-0) and [7.2.](#page-19-0) We have a reformulation of the Auslander algebra:

**Corollary 7.3.** Let A be a representation-finite Artin algebra with gl.dim  $A = \infty$ , and B its Auslander algebra. Then

- (i)  $D^b(B\text{-mod})$  is a categorical resolution  $D^b(A\text{-mod})$ .
- (ii)  $D^b(B\text{-Mod})$  is a categorical resolution  $D^b(A\text{-Mod})$ .

**Proof.** Put T to be an injective module in  $A$ -mod, and M to be the direct sum of all the pairwise non-isomorphic finitely generated indecomposable modules.

By Theorem [7.1](#page-18-0) we get  $(i)$ .

Since A is representation-finite, any A-module is a direct sum of finitely generated indecomposable modules (see [A2]). It follows that <sup>⊥big</sup> T = A-Mod = AddM. By Theorem [7.2](#page-19-0) we get  $(ii)$ .

7.4. A module  $T \in A$ -mod is a cotiling module ([AR]), if

- (*i*) inj.dim $T \leq 1$ ;
- $(ii) \operatorname{Ext}_A^1(T,T) = 0;$  and

(*iii*) There is an exact sequence  $0 \to T_0 \to T_1 \to D(A_A) \to 0$  with  $T_i \in \text{addT}, i = 0, 1$ .

An module  $X \in A$ -mod *is cogenerated by* T, if X can be embedded as an A-module into a finite direct sum of copies of  $T$ . Then  $X$  is cogenerated by a cotilting module  $T$  if and only if  $X \in {}^{\perp}T$  ([HR]). By Theorem [7.1](#page-18-0) we have

Corollary 7.4. Let A be an Artin algebra with gl.dim $A = \infty$ . Assume that A has a cotilting module  $T$  such that there are only finitely many pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable A-modules which are cogenerated by T. Then  $D^b(A\text{-mod})$  admits a categorical resolution.

7.5. Finally, we consider CM-finite Gorenstein algebras.

<span id="page-20-0"></span>**Theorem 7.5.** Let A be a CM-finite Gorenstein algebra with  $\text{gl.dim }A = \infty$ , and B its relative Auslander algebra. Then

- (i)  $D^b(B\text{-mod})$  is a weakly crepant categorical resolution  $D^b(A\text{-mod})$ .
- (ii)  $D^b(B\text{-Mod})$  is a weakly crepant categorical resolution  $D^b(A\text{-Mod})$ .

**Proof.** Take  $T = A A$ , and M to be the direct sum of all the pairwise non-isomorphic finitely generated indecomposable Gorenstein-projective modules, in Theorem [7.1](#page-18-0) and [7.2.](#page-19-0)

(i) Since A is CM-finite, we have  $M \in A$ -mod and  $\mathcal{GP}(A\text{-mod}) = \text{add}M$ . Since A is Gorenstein, it follows from [EJ, Corollary 11.5.3] that  $\mathcal{GP}(A\text{-mod}) = \perp_{A}A$ . Thus  $\perp T =$ 

addM. Then  $D^b(A \text{-mod})$  has a categorical resolution  $(D^b(B \text{-mod}), \pi_*, \pi^*)$  by Theorem [7.1.](#page-18-0) It remains to see that  $\pi^*$  is right adjoint to  $\pi_*$  on  $K^b(\mathcal{P}(A\text{-mod}))$ . As in the proof of Theorem [7.1](#page-18-0) it suffices to prove that there is a functorial isomorphism

 $\text{Hom}_{K^-,\text{addM}}\ _{b\,(\text{addM})}(FX,P)\cong \text{Hom}_{K^-,\text{addM}}\ _{b\,(\text{addM})/K^b_{ac}(\text{addM})}(FX,P).$ 

This follows from Proposition [6.6](#page-17-2) by taking  $C = \text{addM} = \mathcal{GP}(A\text{-mod})$ , since projective modules are injective objects of  $\mathcal{GP}(A\text{-mod})$ .

 $(ii)$  Since A is a CM-finite Gorenstein algebra, any Gorenstein-projective A-module is a direct sum of finitely generated indecomposable Gorenstein-projective modules (see [C1]). It follows that  $\mathcal{GP}(A\text{-Mod}) = \text{Add}M$ . Since A is Gorenstein, it follows from [EJ, Corollary 11.5.3] (or [Bel1, Proposition 3.10]) that  $\mathcal{GP}(A\text{-Mod}) = \perp_{\text{big}}(\text{Add }_{A}A)$ . Thus  $\perp_{\text{big}}(\text{Add}T) = \text{Add}M$ . Then  $D^b(B\text{-Mod})$  is a categorical resolution of  $D^b(A\text{-Mod})$  by Theorem [7.2.](#page-19-0) By the similar argument as in  $(i)$  we know that it is weakly crepant.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [Ab] R. Abuaf, Wonderful resolutions and categorical crepant resolutions of singularities, arXiv: 1209.1564v2 [math.AG].
- [AHV] J. Asadollahi, R. Hafezi, R. Vahed, Gorenstein derived equivalences and their invariants, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 218(5) (2014), 888-903.
- [A1] M. Auslander, On the dimension of modules and algebras (III), Global dimension, Nagoya Math. J. 9 (1955), 67-77.
- [A2] M. Auslander, Representation theory of artin algebras II, Comm. Algebra (1974), 269-310.
- [AB] M. Auslander, M. Bridger, Stable module theory, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 94., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1969.
- [AR] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, Applications of contravariantly finite subcategories, Adv. Math. 86(1991), 111-152.
- [ARS] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, S. O. Smalø, Representation Theory of Artin Algebras, Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math. 36., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [Bel1] A. Beligiannis, Cohen-Macaulay modules, (co)tosion pairs and virtually Gorenstein algebras, J. Algebra 288(1)(2005), 137-211.
- [Bel2] A. Beligiannis, On rings and algebras of finite Cohen-Macaulay type, Adv. Math. 226 (2) (2011), 1973-2019.
- [BO] A. Bondal, D.Orlov, Derived categories of coherent sheaves, In: Proc. ICM 2002 Beijing, Vol. II, Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2002, 47-56.
- [BKR] T. Bridgeland, A. King, M. Ried, The McKay correspondence as an equivalence of derived categories, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14(3)(2001), 535-554.
- [Bu] A. B. Buan, Closed subfunctors of the extension functor, J. Algebra 244(2001), 407-428.
- [Buch] R.-O. Buchweitz, Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and Tate cohomology over Gorenstein rings, Unpublished manuscript, Hamburg (1987), 155pp.
- [BLV] R.-O. Buchweitz, G. J. Leuschke, M. Van den Bergh, Non-commutative desingularization of determinantal varieties I, Invent. Math. 182(2010), 47-115.
- [C1] X. W. Chen, An Auslander-type result for Gorenstein-projective modules, Adv. Math. 218(2008), 2043-2050.
- [C2] X. W. Chen, Homotopy equivalence induced by blanced pairs, J. Algebra 324(2010), 2718-2731.
- [CFH] L. W. Christensen, A. Frankild, H. Holm, On Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensionsa functorial description with applications, J. Algebra 302(1)(2006), 231-279.
- [EJ] E. E. Enochs, O. M. G. Jenda, Relative homological algebra, De Gruyter Exp. Math. 30, Walter De Gruyter Co., 2000.
- [GZ] N. Gao, P. Zhang, Gorenstein derived categories, J. Algebra 323(2010), 2041-2057.
- [Hap] D. Happel, Triangulated categories in representation theory of finite dimensional algebras, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser. 119, Cambridge Uni. Press, 1988.
- [HR] D. Happel, C. M. Ringel, Tilted algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 274(2)(1982), 399-443.
- [Hol] H. Holm, Gorenstein homological dimensions, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 189(1-3)(2004), 167-193.
- [Hos] M. Hoshino, Algebras of finite self-injective dimension, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 112(3)(1991), 619-622.
- [I] Y. Iwanaga, On rings with finite self-injective dimension II, Tsukuba J. Math. 4(1)(1980), 107-113.
- [Kal] D. Kaledin, Derived equivalences by quantization, Geom. Funct. Anal. 17(6) (2008), 1968-2004.
- [K1] B. Keller, Chain complexes and stable categories, Manuscripta Math. 67 (1990), 379-417.
- [K2] B. Keller, Derived categories and their uses, in: Handbook of Algebra Vol. 1 (Ed. M. Hazewinkel), 671-701, Elsevier, 1996.
- [KZ] F. Kong, P. Zhang, From CM-finite to CM-free, [arXiv:1212.6184v](http://arxiv.org/abs/1212.6184)2.
- [KS] M. Kontsevich, Y.Soibelman, Notes on  $A_{\infty}$ -algebras,  $A_{\infty}$ -categories and non-commutative geometry, Homological mirror symmetry, 153-219, Lecture Notes in Phys., 757, Springer, Berlin, 2009.
- [Kuz] A. Kuznetsov, Lefschetz decompositions and categorical resolutions of singularities, Selecta Math. New Ser. 13(2008), 661-696.
- [Leu] G. J. Leuschke, Endomorphism rings of finite global dimension, Canad. J. Math.  $59(2)(2007)$ , 332-342.
- [LZ] Z. W. Li, P. Zhang, Gorenstein algebras of finite Cohen-Macaulay type, Adv. Math. 223 (2010), 728-734.
- [Lun] V. A. Lunts, Categorical resolutions of singularities, J. Algebra 323(2010), 2977-3003.
- [N] A. Neeman, Triangulated Categories, Annals of Math. Studies 148, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 2001.
- [O1] D. Orlov, Triangulated categories of singularities, and equivalences between Landau-Ginzburg models, English translation in Sb. Math. 197 (2006), 1827-1840.
- [O2] B. Orlov, Derived categories of coherent sheaves and triangulated categories of singularities, In: Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry, Vol. II, 503-531, Progr. Math. 270, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009.
- [Q] D. Quillen, Higher algebraic K-theory I, In: Lecture Notes in Math. 341, 85-147, Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [Ric] J. Rickard, Morita theory for derived categories, J. London Math. Soc. (2)39(1989), 436-456.
- [Rin] C. M. Ringel, The Gorenstein-projective modules for the Nakayama algebras I, J. Algebra 385(2013), 241-261.
- [SV] J. T. Stafford, M. Van den Bergh, Noncommutative resolutions and rational singularities, Michigan Math. J. 57(2008), 659-674.
- [TV] B. Toën, M. Vaquié, Moduli of objects in dg-categories, Ann.Sci. École Norm. Sup. 40 (2007), 387-444.
- [Van] M. Van den Bergh, Non-commutative crepant resolutions, In: The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, 749-770.
- [Ver] J. L. Verdier, Catégories dérivées, etat 0, in: Lecture Notes in Math. 569, 262-311, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [Z] P. Zhang, Gorenstein-projective modules and symmetric recollements, J. Algebra 388(2013), 65-80.