

# THE CONGRUENCE $\eta^*$ ON SEMIGROUPS

M.H. SHAHZAMANIAN

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we define a congruence  $\eta^*$  on semigroups. For the finite semigroups  $S$ ,  $\eta^*$  is the smallest congruence relation such that  $S/\eta^*$  is a nilpotent semigroup (in the sense of Mal'cev). In order to study the congruence relation  $\eta^*$  on finite semigroups, we define a **CS**-diagonal finite regular Rees matrix semigroup. We prove that, if  $S$  is a **CS**-diagonal finite regular Rees matrix semigroup then  $S/\eta^*$  is inverse. Also, if  $S$  is a completely regular finite semigroup, then  $S/\eta^*$  is a Clifford semigroup.

We show that, for every non-null principal factor  $A/B$  of  $S$ , there is a special principal factor  $C/D$  such that every element of  $A \setminus B$  is  $\eta^*$ -equivalent with some element of  $C \setminus D$ . We call the principal factor  $C/D$ , the  $\eta^*$ -root of  $A/B$ . All  $\eta^*$ -roots are **CS**-diagonal. If certain elements of  $S$  act in the special way on the **R**-classes of a **CS**-diagonal principal factor then it is not an  $\eta^*$ -root. Some of these results are also expressed in terms of pseudovarieties of semigroups.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The relation $\eta^*$ on finite semigroups	3
3. An approach to $\eta^*$ through principal series	11
References	19

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Freni in [4], for a semigroup  $S$ , defined a congruence relation  $\gamma^*$  as follows: Let  $\gamma_1 = \{(x, x) \mid x \in S\}$  and, for every integer  $n > 1$ ,  $x$  is in the relation  $\gamma_n$  with  $y$  if and only if there exist elements  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  in  $S$  and a permutation  $\sigma$  in symmetric group of order  $n$  such that  $x = \prod_{i=1}^n z_i$  and  $y = \prod_{i=1}^n z_{\sigma(i)}$ . Let  $\gamma^*$  be the transitive closure of  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \gamma_n$ . He proved that the congruence  $\gamma^*$  is the smallest congruence relation of  $S$  such that the quotient  $S/\gamma^*$  is a commutative semigroup.

Mal'cev [10] and independently Neumann and Taylor [11] have shown that nilpotent groups can be defined by using semigroup identities (that is,

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20F19, 20M07, Secondary: 20F18.  
Keywords and phrases: nilpotent semigroup, nilpotent group, principal series, finite semigroup, pseudovariety.

without using inverses). This leads to the notion of a nilpotent semigroup (in the sense of Mal'cev).

For a semigroup  $S$  with elements  $x, y, z_1, z_2, \dots$  one recursively defines two sequences

$$\lambda_n = \lambda_n(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_n = \rho_n(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

by

$$\lambda_0 = x, \quad \rho_0 = y$$

and

$$\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_n z_{n+1} \rho_n, \quad \rho_{n+1} = \rho_n z_{n+1} \lambda_n.$$

A semigroup is said to be *nilpotent* (in the sense of Mal'cev [10]) if there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that

$$\lambda_n(a, b, c_1, \dots, c_n) = \rho_n(a, b, c_1, \dots, c_n)$$

for all  $a, b$  in  $S$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  in  $S^1$ . The smallest such  $n$  is called the nilpotency class of  $S$ . Clearly, null semigroups are nilpotent in the sense of Mal'cev. Recall ([11]) that a semigroup  $S$  is said to be *Neumann-Taylor* (NT) if, for some  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\lambda_n(a, b, 1, c_2, \dots, c_n) = \rho_n(a, b, 1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$$

for all  $a, b \in S$  and  $c_2, \dots, c_n$  in  $S^1$ . A semigroup  $S$  is said to be *positively Engel* (PE) if, for some  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\lambda_n(a, b, 1, 1, c, c^2, \dots, c^{n-2}) = \rho_n(a, b, 1, 1, c, c^2, \dots, c^{n-2})$$

for all  $a, b$  in  $S$  and  $c \in S^1$ . Recall that a pseudovariety of semigroups is a class of finite semigroups closed under taking subsemigroups, homomorphic images and finite direct products. It is easy to verify that the finite nilpotent semigroups, finite Neumann-Taylor semigroups and finite positively Engel semigroups, separately constitute pseudovarieties. We denoted them respectively by **MN**, **NT** and **PE**.

In this paper, a congruence  $\eta^*$  is defined that for a finite semigroup  $S$ ,  $\eta^*$  is the smallest congruence relation of  $S$  such that  $S/\eta^*$  is a nilpotent semigroup. In order to study the congruence relation  $\eta^*$  on finite semigroups, we define a **CS**-diagonal finite regular Rees matrix semigroup and the quotient group  $G_{\eta^*}$  of  $G/\eta^*$  for the finite regular Rees matrix semigroup where  $G$  is the maximal subgroup of it. We prove that, if  $S$  is a **CS**-diagonal finite regular Rees matrix semigroup with the maximal subgroup  $G$  then  $S/\eta^*$  is an inverse Rees matrix semigroup with maximal subgroup  $G_{\eta^*}$ . Also if  $S$  is a finite completely simple semigroup, then  $S/\eta^* \cong G_{\eta^*}$ . Moreover, if  $S$  is a completely regular finite semigroup, then  $S/\eta^*$  is a Clifford semigroup.

In the section 3, we investigate the congruence relation  $\eta^*$  on finite semigroups through their principal series. We define the  $\eta^*$ -root, for every non-null principal factor of a finite semigroup  $S$ . Let  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  be a principal factor of  $S$ . If there exists an element in  $S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$  such that there is an

$\eta^*$ -relation between it and  $\theta$ , the  $\eta^*$ -root of  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  is  $\theta$ . Otherwise its  $\eta^*$ -root is the **CS**-diagonal principal factor  $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$  of  $S$  such that there is an  $\eta^*$ -relation between at least two elements of them and there is no  $\eta^*$ -relation between any elements of the  $\eta^*$ -root and  $S_{p'+1}$ . We prove that if  $\theta$  is the  $\eta^*$ -root of  $S_p/S_{p+1}$ , then the subset  $S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$  is in the class of  $\theta$  of  $\eta^*$ . All  $\eta^*$ -roots are **CS**-diagonal. If certain elements of  $S$  act in the special way on the **R**-classes of a **CS**-diagonal principal factor  $S_p/S_{p+1}$ , then  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  is not an  $\eta^*$ -root. Although it remains as open problem the conditions of acting of elements of  $S \setminus S_{p+1}$  on the **R**-classes of  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  when it is not its  $\eta^*$ -root. In the special case, when  $S$  is semisimple, the classes of  $S/\eta^*$  are  $\{\theta\}$  (if  $S$  has  $\theta$ ) and the  $\eta^*$ -classes of  $\eta^*$ -root principal factors of  $S$ .

Finally we compare the pseudovariety **MN** with the pseudovarieties **BG**<sub>nil</sub> and **BI** and got that **MN**  $\subset$  **BG**<sub>nil</sub> but **MN**  $\not\subset$  **BI** and **BI**  $\not\subset$  **MN**.

For standard notations and terminology of finite semigroups, refers to [3]. A completely 0-simple finite semigroup  $S$  is isomorphic with a regular Rees matrix semigroup  $\mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$ , where  $G$  is a maximal subgroup of  $S$ ,  $P$  is the  $m \times n$  sandwich matrix with entries in  $G^\theta$  and  $n$  and  $m$  are positive integers. The nonzero elements of  $S$  is denoted by  $(g; i, j)$ , where  $g \in G$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$ ; the zero element is simply denoted  $\theta$ . The element of  $P$  on the  $(j, i)$ -position is denoted by  $p_{ji}$ . The set of non zero elements is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}(G, n, m; P)$ . If all elements of  $P$  are non zero then this is a semigroup and every completely simple finite semigroup is of this form. If  $P = I_n$ , the identity matrix, then  $S$  is an inverse semigroup. Jespers and Okninski prove that a completely 0-simple semigroup  $\mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$  is nilpotent if and only if  $n = m$ ,  $P = I_n$  and  $G$  is a nilpotent group [[6], Lemma 2.1].

Let  $S = \mathcal{M}^0(G, A, B; P)$  be a Rees matrix semigroup. We assume  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint. The incidence graph of  $S$ , denoted  $\Gamma(S)$ , has vertex set  $V = A \cup B$ . The edge set is given by

$$E = \{(a, b), (b, a) \in (A \times B) \cup (B \times A) \mid p_{b,a} \neq \theta\}.$$

## 2. THE RELATION $\eta^*$ ON FINITE SEMIGROUPS

The following lemma gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a finite semigroup not to be nilpotent [8].

**Lemma 2.1.** *A finite semigroup  $S$  is not nilpotent if and only if there exists a positive integer  $m$ , distinct elements  $x, y \in S$  and elements  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m \in S^1$  such that  $x = \lambda_m(x, y, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$ ,  $y = \rho_m(x, y, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$ .*

This lemma motivates the definition of a congruence relation  $\eta^*$  on finite semigroups which is refinement of  $\gamma^*$ .

Let  $S$  be a semigroup. Let  $\eta_1 = \{(x, x) \mid x \in S\}$  and, for every integer  $n > 1$ ,  $x$  has a relation  $\eta_n$  with  $y$  if and only if there exist elements  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  in  $S^1$  such that  $x = \lambda_n(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n)$  and  $y = \rho_n(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ . Let  $\eta$

be the transitive closure of  $\bigcup_{i \geq 1} \eta_i$  and  $\eta^*$  the smallest congruence relation including  $\eta$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $S$  be a finite semigroup. The relation  $\eta^*$  is the smallest congruence relation of  $S$  such that  $S/\eta^*$  is a nilpotent semigroup.*

*Proof.* First we prove that  $S/\eta^*$  is nilpotent.

Suppose the contrary. Since  $S/\eta^*$  is finite, by Lemma 2.1, there exist distinct classes  $\eta^*(x), \eta^*(y) \in S/\eta^*$  and elements  $\eta^*(w_1), \eta^*(w_2), \dots, \eta^*(w_m) \in (S/\eta^*)^1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\eta^*(x) &= \lambda_m(\eta^*(x), \eta^*(y), \eta^*(w_1), \eta^*(w_2), \dots, \eta^*(w_m)), \\ \eta^*(y) &= \rho_m(\eta^*(x), \eta^*(y), \eta^*(w_1), \eta^*(w_2), \dots, \eta^*(w_m)).\end{aligned}$$

Then for every elements  $x' \in \eta^*(x), y' \in \eta^*(y)$ , there exists element  $x'' \in \eta^*(x), y'' \in \eta^*(y)$ , such that

$$x'' = \lambda_m(x', y', w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m) \text{ and } y'' = \rho_m(x', y', w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m).$$

Since  $|S \times S|$  is finite, there exist elements  $\alpha \in \eta^*(x), \beta \in \eta^*(y)$ , an integer  $k = k'm$  and elements  $z_1, \dots, z_k \in S^1$  such that

$$\alpha = \lambda_k(\alpha, \beta, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \text{ and } \beta = \rho_k(\alpha, \beta, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k).$$

Then  $\eta^*(\alpha) = \eta^*(\beta)$ , a contradiction.

Now suppose that  $\rho$  is a congruence relation on  $S$  such that  $R = S/\rho$  is nilpotent and  $x\eta_n y$  for some  $x, y \in S$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus there exist elements  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  in  $S^1$  such that

$$x = \lambda_n(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n) \text{ and } y = \rho_n(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

If  $\rho(x) \neq \rho(y)$ , then Lemma 2.1 yields

$$\langle \rho(x), \rho(y), \rho(z_1), \dots, \rho(z_n) \rangle$$

is a non-nilpotent subsemigroup of  $R$  and thus  $R$  is not nilpotent, a contradiction. Therefore  $x\rho y$  and thus  $\eta^* \subseteq \rho$ .  $\square$

Neumann and Taylor prove that a group  $G$  is nilpotent if and only if it is nilpotent in the sense of Mal'cev [[11], Corollary 1].

**Remark.** Aghabozorgi, Davvaz and Jafarpour in [1] introduce the smallest equivalence relation  $\nu^*$  on a hypergroup  $H$ . We mention this definition limited to the case of semigroups.

Let  $L_0(H) = H$  and

$$L_{k+1}(H) = \{h \in H \mid xy = hyx \text{ such that } x \in L_k(H) \text{ and } y \in H\},$$

for all  $k \geq 0$ . Suppose that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\nu_n = \bigcup_{m \geq 1} \nu_{m,n}$ , where  $\nu_{1,n}$  is the diagonal relation and for every integer  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\nu_{m,n}$  is the relation defined as follows:

$$x\nu_{m,n}y \Leftrightarrow \exists(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in H^m, \exists \sigma \in \mathbb{S}_m : \sigma(i) = i \text{ if } z_i \notin L_n(H)$$

$$\text{such that } x = \prod_{i=1}^m z_i \text{ and } y = \prod_{i=1}^m z_{\sigma(i)}.$$

Let  $\nu_n^*$  be the transitive closure of  $\nu_n$  and the relation  $\nu^*$  as follows:

$$\nu^* = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \nu_n^*.$$

They prove that the relation  $\nu^*$  is the smallest congruence relation on a finite group  $H$  such that  $H/\nu^*$  is a nilpotent group. Thus Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 1 of [11], follow that  $\nu^* = \eta^*$  for the case of finite groups.

In general, the relations  $\nu^*$  and  $\eta^*$  are not equal. For example, let  $M$  be a regular Rees matrix semigroup  $M = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, 2, 2; I_2)$ . Based of Lemma 2.1 of [6],  $M$  is nilpotent. So  $M/\eta^* = M$  (Lemma 2.2).

Since  $(1; 1, 1), (1; 2, 2) \in L_i(M)$  for all  $1 \leq i$ ,


$$(1; 1, 1) = (1; 1, 2)(1; 2, 2)(1; 2, 1)(1; 1, 1) \text{ and}$$

$$\theta = (1; 1, 2)(1; 1, 1)(1; 2, 1)(1; 2, 2),$$

we have  $(1; 1, 1)\nu^*\theta$ . Then  $M/\nu^* \neq M$  and thus  $M/\eta^* \neq M/\nu^*$ .

Let  $M = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$  be a finite regular Rees matrix semigroup with the sandwich matrix  $P$ . We call  $M$  is **CS**-diagonal, if  $p_{r,t}, p_{r',t}$  and  $p_{r,t'}$  are non zero then  $p_{r',t'}$  is non zero for all  $1 \leq r, r' \leq m$  and  $1 \leq t, t' \leq n$ .

The above assumption let us to define the following equivalence relation on the sets  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . The integers  $1 \leq i_\alpha, i_\beta \leq n$  are in the same class if and only if there exists an integer  $1 \leq j \leq m$  such that  $p_{j,i_\alpha}$  and  $p_{j,i_\beta}$  are non zero. Suppose that the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  partition to  $t$  classes  $\mathbb{I}_1, \dots, \mathbb{I}_t$ . We define the set  $\mathbb{I}'_l$  for  $1 \leq l \leq t$ . If  $p_{j,i} \neq \theta$  for  $i \in \mathbb{I}_l$ , then  $j \in \mathbb{I}'_l$ . It is easy to verify that the set  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  partitions to the  $t$  classes  $\mathbb{I}'_l$  for  $1 \leq l \leq t$ . Also it is easy to verify that if  $i \in \mathbb{I}_l$  and  $j \in \mathbb{I}'_l$  for  $1 \leq l \leq t$  then  $p_{j,i} \neq \theta$  and if  $i \in \mathbb{I}_g, j \in \mathbb{I}'_h$  and  $g \neq h$  then  $p_{j,i} = \theta$ , for every  $1 \leq g, h \leq t$ . We show the number of classes of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , by  $n_{\eta^*}$ .



Suppose that  $S$  is a semigroup. The subsemigroup  $\langle E(S) \rangle$  denotes the subsemigroup generated by  $E(S)$ , the set of idempotents of  $S$ . For a pseudovariety  $\mathbf{V}$ , recall from [2] the pseudovariety

$$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{V} = \{S \in \mathbf{S} \mid \langle E(S) \rangle \in \mathbf{V}\}.$$

The pseudovariety **DS** can be specified,  $S \notin \mathbf{DS}$  if and only if there exist idempotents  $e, f \in S$  in the same **J**-class such that  $ef$  and  $fe$  are not both in that **J**-class ([2, Exercise 8.1.6]). Then

$$\mathbf{EDS} = \{S \in \mathbf{S} \mid \langle E(S) \rangle \in \mathbf{DS}\}.$$

It is well known that (for example [12, Exercise 4.13.38]), a semigroup  $S$  belongs to **EDS** if and only if, for each regular **J**-class  $J$ , the connected components of the incidence graph  $\Gamma(J^0)$  are complete bipartite graphs. Suppose that the finite regular Rees matrix semigroup  $M = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$  is **CS**-diagonal. Since the connected component  $\mathbb{I}_i \cup \mathbb{I}'_i$  is a complete bipartite graph for every  $1 \leq i \leq n_{\eta^*}$ ,  $M \in \mathbf{EDS}$ .

Let  $G_{\eta^*}$  be the smallest quotient group of  $G/\eta^*$  such that for every integers  $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$

$$p_{x,c'} p_{x,c} = p_{\beta,c'} p_{\beta,c} \text{ and } p_{d,y} p_{d',y}^{-1} = p_{d,\alpha} p_{d',\alpha}^{-1}$$

for every integers  $c, c' \in \mathbb{I}_a$ ,  $\beta, x \in \mathbb{I}'_a$ ,  $\alpha, y \in \mathbb{I}_b$  and  $d, d' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$ . We denote its quotient map by  $\phi_{\eta^*} : G/\eta^* \rightarrow G_{\eta^*}$ , the equivalence relation class of  $p_{\beta,c'} p_{\beta,c}$  by  $\beta_{c',c}$  and the equivalence relation class of  $p_{d,\alpha} p_{d',\alpha}^{-1}$  by  $\alpha_{d',d}$  in  $G_{\eta^*}$ .

It is easy to verify that for every  $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$

$$\beta_{c',c'} = \beta_{c',c} \beta_{c,c'} = 1, \alpha_{d,d} = \alpha_{d',d} \alpha_{d,d'} = 1,$$

$$\beta_{c'',c'} \beta_{c',c} = \beta_{c'',c} \text{ and } \alpha_{d',d} \alpha_{d'',d'} = \alpha_{d'',d}$$

for every integers  $c, c', c'' \in \mathbb{I}_a$ , and  $d, d', d'' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $M = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$  be a finite regular Rees matrix semigroup with the sandwich matrix  $P$ . If  $M$  is not **CS**-diagonal, then  $M/\eta^* = \{\theta\}$ . Otherwise  $M/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}})$ .*

*Proof.* First suppose that  $M$  is nilpotent. Then  $n = m$  and

$$M \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, n; I_n),$$

where  $I_n$  denotes the identity  $n$ -by- $n$  matrix [[6], Lemma 2.1]. Since  $M$  is nilpotent then Lemma 2.2 follows that  $M = M/\eta^*$  and thus  $M/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, n; I_n)$ .

Suppose that  $M$  is not nilpotent. If  $p_{j,i}$  and  $p_{j',i}$  are non zero and  $j \neq j'$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j, j' \leq m$ , then

$$(p_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j) = \lambda_2((p_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j), (p_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j'), 1, 1),$$

$$(p_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j') = \rho_2((p_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j), (p_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j'), 1, 1)$$

and thus  $(p_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j) \eta_2 (p_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j')$ . Let  $1 \leq i' \leq n$  such that  $p_{j',i'} = \theta$  and  $p_{j,i'} \neq \theta$ . Since the relation  $\eta^*$  is congruence, by  $(p_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j) \eta_2 (p_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j')$ ,

$$(p_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j) (p_{j,i'}^{-1} p_{j,i}; i', j) \eta_2 (p_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j') (p_{j',i'}^{-1} p_{j',i}; i', j)$$

and thus  $(1; i, j) \eta^* \theta$ . Hence for every  $1 \leq a \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq b \leq m$  and  $g \in G$ , we have

$$(g; a, b) = (g p_{j',i}^{-1}; a, j') (1; i, j) (p_{j,i}^{-1}; i, b) \eta^* \theta.$$

Therefore  $M/\eta^* = \{\theta\}$ .

Now suppose that  $M$  is **CS**-diagonal. We define the relation  $\kappa$  on  $M$  as follows:

$$\theta \kappa \theta$$

and for every integers  $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$ , integers  $c, c' \in \mathbb{I}_a$ ,  $d, d' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$  and  $g \in G$ ,

$$(g; c, d) \kappa (\beta_{c',c} g' \alpha_{d',d}; c', d') \text{ if and only if } \phi_{\eta^*}(g) = \phi_{\eta^*}(g').$$

We claim that the relation  $\kappa$  is congruence.

Suppose that  $(g; c, d)$  is a non zero element of  $M$ . Then there exist integers  $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$  such that  $c \in \mathbb{I}_a$  and  $d \in \mathbb{I}'_b$ . Since  $\beta_{c,c} = \alpha_{d,d} = 1$ ,  $(g; c, d) \kappa (\beta_{c,c} g \alpha_{d,d}; c, d)$ . Then  $(g; c, d) \kappa (g; c, d)$  and thus  $\kappa$  is reflexive.

Now suppose that  $(g; c, d) \kappa (g'; c', d')$ . Then there exist integers  $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$  such that  $c, c' \in \mathbb{I}_a$ ,  $d, d' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$  and

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g') = \beta_{c',c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d',d}.$$

Then

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g) = \beta_{c',c}^{-1} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{d',d}^{-1} = \beta_{c,c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{d,d'}$$

and thus  $(g'; c', d') \kappa (g; c, d)$ . Therefore the relation  $\kappa$  is symmetric.

If  $(g; c, d) \kappa (g'; c', d')$  and  $(g'; c', d') \kappa (g''; c'', d'')$  then there exist integers  $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$ , such that  $c, c', c'' \in \mathbb{I}_a$ ,  $d, d', d'' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$ ,

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g') = \beta_{c',c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d',d}$$

and

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g'') = \beta_{c'',c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{d'',d'}.$$

Then

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g'') = \beta_{c'',c'} \beta_{c',c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d',d} \alpha_{d'',d'} = \beta_{c'',c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d'',d}$$

and thus  $(g; c, d) \kappa (g''; c'', d'')$ . Therefore the relation  $\kappa$  is transitive.

Then the relation  $\kappa$  is an equivalence relation. Now we investigate that  $\kappa$  is congruence. Suppose that  $(g; c, d) \kappa (g'; c', d')$  and  $(g''; c'', d'') \in M$ . Then there exist integers  $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$  such that  $c, c' \in \mathbb{I}_a$ ,  $d, d' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$  and

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g') = \beta_{c',c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d',d}.$$

If  $d'' \notin \mathbb{I}'_a$  then  $(g''; c'', d'')(g; c, d) = (g''; c'', d'')(g'; c', d') = \theta$ . Otherwise  $d'' \in \mathbb{I}'_a$ . Since  $\phi_{\eta^*}(g') = \beta_{c',c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d',d}$ ,

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g') = \phi_{\eta^*}(p_{d'',c'}^{-1}) \phi_{\eta^*}(p_{d'',c}) \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d',d}$$

and thus

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g'' p_{d'',c'} g') = \phi_{\eta^*}(g'' p_{d'',c} g) \alpha_{d',d}.$$

Then

$$(g'' p_{d'',c} g; c'', d) \kappa (g'' p_{d'',c'} g'; c'', d').$$

Hence

$$(g''; c'', d'')(g; c, d) \kappa (g''; c'', d'')(g'; c', d').$$

Similarly

$$(g; c, d)(g''; c'', d'') \kappa (g'; c', d')(g''; c'', d'').$$

Therefore the relation  $\kappa$  is congruence.

Let  $i_{\eta^*}$  and  $i'_{\eta^*}$  are the smallest integers of  $\mathbb{I}_i$  are  $\mathbb{I}'_i$ , respectively for every  $1 \leq i \leq n_{\eta^*}$ . We claim that  $M/\kappa \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; P')$  with  $P' = [p'_{i,j}]$  is a  $n_{\eta^*} \times n_{\eta^*}$  matrix such that if  $i \neq j$ , then  $p'_{i,j} = \theta$  otherwise  $p'_{i,j} = p_{i'_{\eta^*}, i_{\eta^*}}$  for every  $1 \leq i, j \leq n_{\eta^*}$ . We define the homomorphism  $\phi : M/\kappa \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; P')$ , as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\theta) &= \theta, \\ \phi((g; c, d)) &= (\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d}; a_{\eta^*}, b'_{\eta^*}) \end{aligned}$$

such that  $c \in \mathbb{I}_a$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{I}'_b$  for every  $(g; c, d) \in M/\kappa$ .

First we prove that  $\phi$  is well-defined. Suppose that  $(g; c, d)\kappa(g'; c', d')$ . Then there exist integers  $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$  such that  $c, c' \in \mathbb{I}_a$ ,  $d, d' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$  and

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g') = \beta_{c', c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d', d}.$$

Then

$$\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'} = \beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c'} \beta_{c', c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d', d} \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'},$$

and thus

$$\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'} = \beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d}.$$

Therefore  $\phi((g; c, d)) = \phi((g'; c', d'))$ . Then  $\phi$  is well-defined.

Now suppose that  $g, g' \in G$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{I}_a$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{I}'_e$ ,  $c' \in \mathbb{I}_e$  and  $d' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \phi((g; c, d)(g'; c', d')) &= \phi((gp_{d, c'} g'; c, d')) = \\ &= (\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c} \phi_{\eta^*}(gp_{d, c'} g') \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'}; a_{\eta^*}, b'_{\eta^*}). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{e'_{\eta^*}, d} \phi_{\eta^*}(p_{e'_{\eta^*}, e_{\eta^*}}) \beta_{e_{\eta^*}, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') &= \\ \phi_{\eta^*}(gp_{d, e_{\eta^*}} p_{e'_{\eta^*}, e_{\eta^*}}^{-1} p_{e'_{\eta^*}, e_{\eta^*}} p_{d, e_{\eta^*}}^{-1} p_{d, c'} g') & \end{aligned}$$

the last statement is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{e'_{\eta^*}, d} \phi_{\eta^*}(p_{e'_{\eta^*}, e_{\eta^*}}) \beta_{e_{\eta^*}, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'}; a_{\eta^*}, b'_{\eta^*}) &= \\ (\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{e'_{\eta^*}, d}; a_{\eta^*}, e'_{\eta^*}) (\beta_{e_{\eta^*}, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'}; e_{\eta^*}, b'_{\eta^*}) &= \\ \phi((g; c, d)) \phi((g'; c', d')). & \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\phi$  is a homomorphism.

If  $\phi((g; c, d)) = \phi((g'; c', d'))$ , then there exist  $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$  such that  $c, c' \in \mathbb{I}_a$ ,  $d, d' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$  and

$$(\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d}; a_{\eta^*}, b'_{\eta^*}) = (\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'}; a_{\eta^*}, b'_{\eta^*}).$$

Hence

$$\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d} = \beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'}$$

and thus

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g) = \beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c}^{-1} \beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'} \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d}^{-1} = \beta_{c, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{d, d'}.$$

Then  $(g; c, d)\kappa(g'; c', d')$  and thus  $\phi$  is one to one.

Obviously  $\phi$  is onto. Therefore  $\phi$  is isomorphism.



Therefore  $M/\kappa \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; P')$  and it is easy to verify that  $M/\kappa \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}})$ .

Since the group  $G/\eta^*$  is nilpotent, the quotient group  $G_{\eta^*}$  is nilpotent and by [[6], Lemma 2.1],  $M/\kappa$  is nilpotent and thus Lemma 2.2 follows that  $\eta^* \subseteq \kappa$ .

Suppose that  $c, c' \in \mathbb{I}_a$  and  $d, d' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$  for integers  $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$ . Let integers  $e, e' \in \mathbb{I}'_a$  and  $f, f' \in \mathbb{I}_b$ . Thus

$$(p_{d,f}^{-1}; f, d)\eta_2(p_{d',f'}^{-1}; f', d') \text{ and } (p_{e',c'}^{-1}; c, e')\eta_2(p_{e',c'}^{-1}; c', e').$$

Since the relation  $\eta^*$  is congruence,

$$(g; c, d)(p_{d,f}^{-1}; f, d)\eta^*(g; c, d)(p_{d',f'}^{-1}; f', d') \text{ and } \\ (p_{e',c'}^{-1}; c, e')(gp_{d,f}p_{d',f'}^{-1}; c, d')\eta^*(p_{e',c'}^{-1}; c', e')(gp_{d,f}p_{d',f'}^{-1}; c, d')$$

and thus

$$(g; c, d)\eta^*(gp_{d,f}p_{d',f'}^{-1}; c, d') \text{ and } (gp_{d,f}p_{d',f'}^{-1}; c, d')\eta^*(p_{e',c'}^{-1}pe',cgp_{d,f}p_{d',f'}^{-1}; c', d')$$

for every  $g \in G$ . However, as  $\eta^*$  is transitive,

$$(g; c, d)\eta^*(p_{e',c'}^{-1}pe',cgp_{d,f}p_{d',f'}^{-1}; c', d')$$

for every  $g \in G$ .

Now suppose that  $(h; r, t)\kappa(h'; r', t')$ . Then there exist integers  $1 \leq o, p \leq n_{\eta^*}$  such that  $r, r' \in \mathbb{I}_o$ ,  $t, t' \in \mathbb{I}'_p$  and  $\phi_{\eta^*}(h') = \beta_{r',r}\phi_{\eta^*}(h)\alpha_{t',t}$ . Then there exist integers  $w \in \mathbb{I}_p$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{I}'_o$  such that  $h'\eta^*p_{v,r'}^{-1}p_{v,r}hp_{t,w}p_{t',w}^{-1}$  and hence

$$(h'; r', t')\eta^*(p_{v,t'}^{-1}p_{v,t}hp_{t,w}p_{t',w}^{-1}; r', t').$$

Now, by above  $(h; r, t)\eta^*(p_{v,t'}^{-1}p_{v,t}hp_{t,w}p_{t',w}^{-1}; r', t')$  and thus

$$(h; r, t)\eta^*(h'; r', t').$$

Hence  $\kappa \subseteq \eta^*$ .

Therefore  $M/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}})$  and the result follows.  $\square$

The following result can be seen as an immediate corollary of Proposition 2.3.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $M = \mathcal{M}(G, n, m; P)$  be a finite completely simple semigroup with the sandwich matrix  $P$ . Then  $M/\eta^* \cong G_{\eta^*}$ .*

Now we recall some definitions (see for example [3] and [5]).

Suppose  $S$  is a semigroup such that  $S = \cup\{S_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega\}$ , a disjoint union of subsemigroups  $S_\alpha$ , and such that for every pair of elements  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$  we have  $S_\alpha S_\beta \subseteq S_\gamma$  for some  $\gamma \in \Omega$ . One then has a product in  $\Omega$  defined by  $\alpha\beta = \gamma$  if  $S_\alpha S_\beta \subseteq S_\gamma$  and one says that  $S$  is the union of the band  $\Omega$  of semigroups  $S_\alpha$ , with  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . If  $\Omega$  is commutative, then one obtains a partial order relation  $\leq$  on  $\Omega$  with  $\beta \leq \alpha$  if  $\alpha\beta = \beta$ . In this case  $\Omega$  is a semilattice and one says that  $S$  is the semilattice  $\Omega$  of semigroups  $S_\alpha$ .

A semigroup  $S$  is a completely regular semigroup in which every element is in some subgroup of the semigroup. Therefore every  $H$ -class in  $S$  is a group.

One important class of completely regular semigroups, namely the class of completely simple semigroups. Completely 0-simple semigroups are not in general completely regular, since not every  $H$ -class in such a semigroup is a group. If  $S$  is completely simple then  $S$  is completely regular and simple. Now suppose that  $S$  is a completely regular semigroup. The green relation  $J$  is a congruence and we denote the semilattice  $S/J$  by  $Y$ . For each  $a \in Y$ ,  $S_a$  is a  $J$ -class of  $S$  and is a completely simple subsemigroup. Thus  $S$  is the disjoint union of the completely simple semigroups and the congruence property of  $J$  gives us that  $S_a S_b \subseteq S_{ab}$ . We say that  $S$  is a semilattice of completely simple semigroups. A Clifford semigroup is a semigroup that is both an inverse semigroup and a completely regular semigroup.

The following lemma is the preliminary result toward the identification of the  $\eta^*$ -quotient of completely regular semigroups.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $S$  be a semilattice of completely simple semigroups  $M_i = M(G_i, n_i, m_i; P_i)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then there exist the groups  $G'_i$  such that  $G'_i$  is a quotient group of the group  $G_{i, \eta^*}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $S/\eta^*$  is the semilattice of them.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $a\eta^*b$ . Then there exist elements  $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k \in S$  such that  $a = a_1 \dots a_k$ ,  $b = b_1 \dots b_k$  and  $a_q \eta b_q$ , for  $1 \leq q \leq k$ . As  $a_q \eta b_q$ , there exist integers  $n_q, q_1, \dots, q_{n_q}$  and elements  $c_{q,0}, c_{q,1}, \dots, c_{q,n_q}$  in  $S$  such that

$$a_q = c_{q,0} \eta_{q_1} c_{q,1}, c_{q,1} \eta_{q_2} c_{q,2}, \dots, c_{q,n_q-1} \eta_{q_{n_q}} c_{q,n_q} = b_q$$

for  $1 \leq q \leq k$ . Since  $c_{q,p-1} \eta_{q_p} c_{q,p}$ , there exist elements  $d_{q,p,1}, \dots, d_{q,p,q_p}$  in  $S^1$  such that

$$c_{q,p-1} = \lambda_{q_p}(c_{q,p-1}, c_{q,p}, d_{q,p,1}, \dots, d_{q,p,q_p}) \text{ and} \\ c_{q,p} = \rho_{q_p}(c_{q,p-1}, c_{q,p}, d_{q,p,1}, \dots, d_{q,p,q_p}),$$

for every  $1 \leq q \leq k$  and  $1 \leq p \leq n_q$ .

Suppose that  $x \in M_i$ ,  $y \in M_j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  and  $x\eta_m y$ , for some  $x, y \in S$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $m$  and elements  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m \in S^1$  such that  $x = \lambda_m(x, y, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$ ,  $y = \rho_m(x, y, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$ . Since  $S$  is semilattice of  $M_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there exists  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{m'} \leq n$  such that  $m' \leq m$  and  $i = i_j i_1 \dots i_{m'}$  and  $j = i_j i_1 \dots i_{m'}$ . Then  $i = j$ .

Now by above, there exists an integer  $1 \leq i_q \leq n$  such that  $a_q, b_q \in M_{i_q}$  for every  $1 \leq q \leq k$ . Again as  $S$  is semilattice of  $M_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there exists an integer  $1 \leq g \leq n$  such that  $a, b \in M_g$ .

As every  $M_i$  is a completely simple semigroup, for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , by Corollary 2.4,  $M_i/\eta^* = G_{i, \eta^*}$ .

Finally as above results, there exist the groups  $G'_i$  such that  $G'_i$  is a quotient group of the group  $G_{i, \eta^*}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $S/\eta^* = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} G'_i$ .  $\square$

In [8], Jaspers and the author investigated the upper non-nilpotent graph  $\mathcal{N}_S$  of a finite semigroup  $S$ . Recall that the vertices of  $\mathcal{N}_S$  are the elements of  $S$  and there is an edge between  $x$  and  $y$  if the subsemigroup generated by  $x$  and  $y$ , denoted by  $\langle x, y \rangle$ , is not nilpotent. Now, let  $S_i$ , with  $1 \leq i \leq$

$n$ , denote the connected  $\mathcal{N}_S$ -components. If  $|S_i| > 1$ , for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and if each connected  $\mathcal{N}_S$ -component is complete then each connected  $\mathcal{N}_S$ -component is a completely simple semigroup with the trivial maximal group and the semigroup  $S$  is a semilattice  $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$  of its  $\mathcal{N}_S$ -components ([8, Theorem 3.7]). In the view of Lemma 2.5, it follows that  $S/\eta^* = \Omega$ .

The following theorem can be seen as an immediate result of the Lemma 2.5.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $S$  be a completely regular finite semigroup. Then  $S/\eta^*$  is a Clifford semigroup.*

### 3. AN APPROACH TO $\eta^*$ THROUGH PRINCIPAL SERIES

Every finite semigroup admits at least one principal series. In this section we suppose that  $S$  is a finite semigroup with the principal series:

$$S = S_1 \supset S_2 \supset \dots \supset S_o \supset S_{o+1} = \emptyset.$$

That is, each  $S_p$  is an ideal of  $S$  and there is no ideal of  $S$  strictly between  $S_p$  and  $S_{p+1}$  (for convenience we call the empty set an ideal of  $S$ ). Each principal factor  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  ( $1 \leq p \leq o$ ) of  $S$  is either completely 0-simple, completely simple or null. Every completely 0-simple factor semigroup is isomorphic with a regular Rees matrix semigroup over a finite group  $G$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  is a non-null principal factor of  $S$  and has an element  $a$  such that  $a\eta^* \theta$ . Then there exists an integers  $p \leq p' \leq o$  such that the principal factor  $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$  satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) *For every  $x \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$  there exists an element  $y \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$  such that  $x\eta^*y$ .*
- (2) *For every  $z \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1} \cup S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$  and  $z' \in S_{p'+1}$ ,  $z\eta^*z'$ .*
- (3) *The principal factor  $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$  is a **CS**-diagonal regular Rees matrix semigroup.*
- (4) *If  $(g; \alpha, \beta), (g'; \gamma, \lambda) \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1} = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$  such that  $p_{\beta, \gamma} \neq \theta$  and  $(h; i, j), (h'; k, l) \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1} = \mathcal{M}^0(H, n', m'; Q)$  such that  $(g; \alpha, \beta)\eta^*(h; i, j)$  and  $(g'; \gamma, \lambda)\eta^*(h'; k, l)$  then  $k \in \mathbb{I}_r$  and  $j \in \mathbb{I}'_r$  for some  $1 \leq r \leq n'_{\eta^*}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  is not null,  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  is completely simple or completely 0-simple. If  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  is completely simple, then trivially the results is easy to verify. Then we suppose that  $S_p/S_{p+1} = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$  is a completely 0-simple and  $x \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$ . We denote  $\mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$  by  $M$ .

Let  $p'$  be the biggest integer that there exists an element  $b \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$  such that  $a\eta^*b$ .

Since  $a, x \in M$ , there exist integers  $1 \leq \alpha, \gamma \leq n, 1 \leq \beta, \lambda \leq m$  and elements  $g, h \in G$  such that  $a = (g; \alpha, \beta)$  and  $x = (h; \gamma, \lambda)$ . Also since  $M$  is regular, for every  $1 \leq l \leq m$  and  $1 \leq r \leq n$  there exist integers  $1 \leq l' \leq n$  and  $1 \leq r' \leq m$  such that  $p_{l, l'}, p_{r', r} \neq \theta$ .

Let  $A = (hg^{-1}p_{\alpha', \alpha}^{-1}; \gamma, \alpha')$ ,  $A' = (gh^{-1}p_{\gamma', \gamma}^{-1}; \alpha, \gamma')$ ,  $B = (p_{\beta, \beta'}^{-1}; \beta', \lambda)$  and  $B' = (p_{\lambda, \lambda'}^{-1}; \lambda', \beta)$ . Since  $S_{p'}$  is an ideal,  $AbB \in S_{p'}$ . If  $AbB \in S_{p'+1}$ , then

$A'AbBB' \in S_{p'+1}$ . Since  $a = A'AaBB'$ ,  $a\eta^*A'AbBB'$ , a contradiction with the assumption. Therefore  $AbB \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$ . Since  $(h; \gamma, \lambda) = AaB$ ,  $x\eta^*AbB$  and as  $x$  is taken as an arbitrary element of  $S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$ , for every element of  $c \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$  there exists an element of  $d \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$  such that  $c\eta^*d$ . In a similar way as above  $p'$  is the biggest integer that there exists an element  $e \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$  such that  $c\eta^*e$ .

Suppose that  $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$  is null. Let  $(1; \alpha, \alpha') \in M$ . By above, there exists an element  $v \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$  such that  $(1; \alpha, \alpha')\eta^*v$ . Since  $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$  is null,  $vv \in S_{p'+1}$  and thus  $(1; \alpha, \alpha')(1; \alpha, \alpha')$  in the  $\eta^*$ -class of an element of  $S_{p'+1}$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$  is not null.

If there exist elements  $z \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$  and  $z' \in S_{p'+1}$  such that  $z\eta^*z'$ , then, in a similar way as above,  $a$  in the  $\eta^*$ -class of an element of  $S_{p'+1}$ , a contradiction with the assumption. Then for every  $z \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$  and  $z' \in S_{p'+1}$ ,  $z\eta^*z'$ .

Now suppose that  $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$  is completely 0-simple. Then there exists a completely 0-simple semigroup  $\mathcal{M}^0(H, n', m'; Q)$  such that  $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$  is isomorphic with it. We denote  $\mathcal{M}^0(H, n', m'; Q)$  by  $M'$ .

If  $q_{j,i}$  and  $q_{j',i}$  are non zero and  $j \neq j'$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n'$  and  $1 \leq j, j' \leq m'$ , then

$$(q_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j) = \lambda_2((q_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j), (q_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j'), 1, 1),$$

$$(q_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j') = \rho_2((q_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j), (q_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j'), 1, 1)$$

and thus  $(q_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j)\eta_2(q_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j')$ . Suppose that there exists  $1 \leq i' \leq n'$  such that  $q_{j',i'} = \theta$  and  $q_{j,i'} \neq \theta$ . Since the relation  $\eta^*$  is congruence,

$$(q_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j)(q_{j',i'}^{-1}q_{j,i}; i', j)\eta_2(q_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j')(q_{j',i'}^{-1}q_{j,i}; i', j)$$

and thus  $(1; i, j)\eta^*\theta$ . Therefore  $(1; i, j)$  is in the  $\eta^*$ -class an element of  $S_{p'+1}$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $M'$  is **CS**-diagonal.

Suppose that  $(g; \alpha, \beta), (g'; \gamma, \lambda) \in M$  such that  $p_{\beta, \gamma} \neq \theta$  and elements  $(h; i, j), (h'; k, l) \in M'$  such that  $(g; \alpha, \beta)\eta^*(h; i, j)$  and  $(g'; \gamma, \lambda)\eta^*(h'; k, l)$ . Since  $(g; \alpha, \beta)(g'; \gamma, \lambda) \neq \theta$ , we get that  $(h; i, j)(h'; k, l) \neq \theta$  and thus  $k \in \mathbb{I}_r$  and  $j \in \mathbb{I}'_r$  for some  $1 \leq r \leq n'_{\eta^*}$ .

If  $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$  is completely simple, then  $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$ , trivially is **CS**-diagonal and the last result is easy to verify.  $\square$

The following result can be seen as an immediate corollary of Lemma 3.1.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Suppose that  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  is a non-null principal factor of  $S$ . The following properties hold.*

- (1) *If the principal factor  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  is not **CS**-diagonal, then the subset  $S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$  is in the class of  $\theta$  of  $\eta^*$  or in the  $\eta^*$ -class of some principal factor  $S_q/S_{q+1}$  such that  $q > p$ .*
- (2) *If there exists an element  $a \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$  such that  $a\eta^*\theta$ , then the subset  $S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$  is in the class of  $\theta$  of  $\eta^*$ .*
- (3) *If every principal factor  $S_q/S_{q+1}$  of  $S$  such that  $q \geq p$  is null or is not **CS**-diagonal, then the subset  $S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$  is in the class of  $\theta$  of  $\eta^*$ .*

For every principal factor  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  of a finite semigroup  $S$  that it is not null, we say a principal factor  $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$  is the  $\eta^*$ -root of  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  if it satisfies the properties of Lemma 3.1. If there exists an element  $a \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$  such that  $a\eta^*\theta$ , then we say that  $\theta$  is the  $\eta^*$ -root of  $S_p/S_{p+1}$ . If  $\theta$  is the  $\eta^*$ -root of  $S_p/S_{p+1}$ , by Corollary 3.2, the subset  $S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$  is in the class of  $\theta$  of  $\eta^*$ .

Suppose that  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  isomorphic with a regular Rees matrix semigroup  $\mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$  and  $S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$  isomorphic with a regular Rees matrix semigroup  $\mathcal{M}^0(H, n', m'; Q)$ . We define two functions

$$\phi_{\eta^*} : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{\mathbb{I}_1, \dots, \mathbb{I}_{n'}\}$$

and

$$\phi'_{\eta^*} : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{\mathbb{I}'_1, \dots, \mathbb{I}'_{n'}\}$$

such that if  $(g; \alpha, \beta)\eta^*(h; i, j)$  for  $(g; \alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$  and  $(h; i, j) \in \mathcal{M}^0(H, n', m'; Q)$ , then

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(\alpha) = \mathbb{I}_r \text{ and } \phi'_{\eta^*}(\beta) = \mathbb{I}'_s$$

if  $i \in \mathbb{I}_r$  and  $j \in \mathbb{I}'_s$  for some  $1 \leq r, s \leq n'$ . By Lemma 3.1.(1) and 3.1.(4)  $\phi_{\eta^*}$  and  $\phi'_{\eta^*}$  are function.

Jespersen and the author [9] have defined a minimal non-nilpotent representation of  $S$  when  $S$  has a proper inverse Rees matrix semigroup ideal. In this paper, we extend this definition to the following case.

Suppose that  $S$  has a proper **CS**-diagonal ideal  $M = \mathcal{M}^0(G, m, n; P)$  such that

$$M/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}}).$$

We define the representation (a semigroup homomorphism)

$$\Gamma : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\{1, \dots, n_{\eta^*}\} \cup \{\theta\}},$$

where  $\mathcal{T}$  denotes the full transformation semigroup  $\mathcal{T}_{\{1, \dots, n_{\eta^*}\} \cup \{\theta\}}$  on the set  $\{1, \dots, n_{\eta^*}\} \cup \{\theta\}$ . The definition is as follows, for  $1 \leq i \leq n_{\eta^*}$  and  $s \in S$ ,

$$\Gamma(s)(i) = \begin{cases} i' & \text{if } s(g; \alpha, \beta) = (g'; \alpha', \beta) \text{ for some } g, g' \in G, \alpha \in \mathbb{I}_i, \alpha' \in \mathbb{I}_{i'}, \\ & 1 \leq \beta \leq m \\ \theta & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Gamma(s)(\theta) = \theta.$$

The representation  $\Gamma$  is called the minimal non-nilpotent representation of  $S$ .

We prove that  $\Gamma(s)$  is well-defined for every  $s \in S$ . Suppose that  $s(g; \alpha, \beta) = (g'; \alpha', \beta)$  for some  $g, g' \in G$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{I}_i, \alpha' \in \mathbb{I}_{i'}, 1 \leq \beta \leq m$  and  $s(h; \gamma, \lambda) = (h'; \gamma', \lambda)$  for some  $h, h' \in G$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{I}_i, \gamma' \in \mathbb{I}_{i'}, 1 \leq \lambda \leq m$ . Let  $\kappa \in \mathbb{I}'_{i'}$ . As  $(1; \alpha, \kappa)(g'; \alpha', \beta) \neq \theta$ ,  $(1; \alpha, \kappa)s \neq \theta$  and thus  $(1; \alpha, \kappa)s = (k; \alpha, \kappa')$  for some  $k \in G$  and  $\kappa' \in \mathbb{I}'_i$ . Now as  $\gamma \in \mathbb{I}_i$ ,  $(1; \alpha, \kappa)s(h; \gamma, \lambda) \neq \theta$  and thus  $(1; \alpha, \kappa)(h'; \gamma', \lambda) \neq \theta$ . Therefore  $\gamma' \in \mathbb{I}_{i'}$  and  $i' = i''$ .

We also claim that for  $s \in S$  the map  $\Gamma(s)$  restricted to the domain  $S \setminus \Gamma(s)^{-1}(\theta)$  is injective. Indeed, suppose  $\Gamma(s)(i_1) = \Gamma(s)(i_2) = i$  for  $1 \leq$

$i_1, i_2, i \leq n_{\eta^*}$ . Then  $s(g; \alpha, \beta) = (g'; \alpha', \beta)$  for some  $g, g' \in G$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{I}_{i_1}, \alpha' \in \mathbb{I}_i, 1 \leq \beta \leq m$  and  $s(h; \gamma, \lambda) = (h'; \gamma', \lambda)$  for some  $h, h' \in G$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{I}_{i_2}, \gamma' \in \mathbb{I}_i, 1 \leq \lambda \leq m$ . Let  $\kappa \in \mathbb{I}'_i$ . As  $(1; \alpha, \kappa)(g'; \alpha', \beta) \neq \theta$ ,  $(1; \alpha, \kappa)s \neq \theta$  and thus  $(1; \alpha, \kappa)s = (k; \alpha, \kappa')$  for some  $k \in G$  and  $\kappa' \in \mathbb{I}'_{i_1}$ . Now as  $(1; \alpha, \kappa)(h'; \gamma', \lambda) \neq \theta$ ,  $(1; \alpha, \kappa)s(h; \gamma, \lambda) \neq \theta$  and thus  $(k; \alpha, \kappa')(h; \gamma, \lambda) \neq \theta$ . Therefore  $\gamma \in \mathbb{I}_{i_1}$  and thus  $i_1 = i_2$ .

For every  $s \in S$ ,  $\Gamma(s)$  can be written as a product of orbits of the form  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$  or of the form  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k, \theta)$ , where  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n_{\eta^*}$ . The notation for the latter orbit means that  $\Gamma(s)(i_j) = i_{j+1}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ ,  $\Gamma(s)(i_k) = \theta$ ,  $\Gamma(s)(\theta) = \theta$  and there does not exist  $1 \leq r \leq n_{\eta^*}$  such that  $\Gamma(s)(r) = i_1$ . The convention, it is not written orbits of the form  $(i, \theta)$  in the decomposition of  $\Gamma(s)$  if  $\Gamma(s)(i) = \theta$  and  $\Gamma(s)(j) \neq i$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n_{\eta^*}$  (this is the reason for writing orbits of length one). If  $\Gamma(s)(i) = \theta$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n_{\eta^*}$ , then it simply is denoted  $\Gamma(s)$  as  $\theta$ .

If  $\Gamma(s)(i_1) = i'_1$  and  $\Gamma(s)(i_2) = i'_2$ , then it will be shown by

$$[\dots, i_1, i'_1, \dots, i_2, i'_2, \dots] \in \Gamma(s).$$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $p$  be an integer less or equal to  $o$  such that the principal factor  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  is  $\mathbf{CS}$ -diagonal,  $S_p/S_{p+1} \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$  and  $(S_p/S_{p+1})/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}})$ . If there exist integers  $k_1, k_2, k_3$  and  $k_4$  between 1 and  $n_{\eta^*}$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in S \setminus S_{p+1}$  which  $k_1 \neq k_3$  and*

$$[\dots, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_3, k_4, \dots] \in \Gamma(v_1), [\dots, k_1, k_4, \dots, k_3, k_2, \dots] \in \Gamma(v_2)$$

where the representation  $\Gamma$  is a minimal non-nilpotent representation of  $S/S_{p+1}$ , then one of the following properties hold:

- (1) The  $\eta^*$ -root  $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$  of the principal factor  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  is such that  $p' \neq p$ , and  $\phi_{\eta^*}(k_1) = \phi_{\eta^*}(k_3)$ ,  $\phi_{\eta^*}(k_2) = \phi_{\eta^*}(k_4)$ .
- (2) The  $\eta^*$ -root of the principal factor  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  is  $\theta$ .

*Proof.* First suppose that there exists an element  $a \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$  such that  $a\eta^*\theta$ . Then by Corollary 3.2.(2),  $\theta$  is the  $\eta^*$ -root of  $S_p/S_{p+1}$ .

Now suppose that there exists an element  $a \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$  such that  $a\eta^* \theta$ . Then by Lemma 3.1.(1),  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  has a  $\eta^*$ -root  $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$ . Let  $x = (1; a_3, a_2)$  and  $y = (1; a_1, a_4)$  such that  $a_1 \in \mathbb{I}_{k_1}, a_3 \in \mathbb{I}_{k_3}, a_2 \in \mathbb{I}'_{k_2}$  and  $a_4 \in \mathbb{I}'_{k_4}$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(x) &= \lambda_2(\Gamma(x), \Gamma(y), \Gamma(v_1), \Gamma(v_2)), \\ \Gamma(y) &= \rho_2(\Gamma(x), \Gamma(y), \Gamma(v_1), \Gamma(v_2)) \end{aligned}$$

and since  $n_{\eta^*} \times n_{\eta^*}$  and  $G \times G$  are finite, there exist positive integers  $t$  and  $r$  such that  $t < r$  and

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda_t(x, y, v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2, \dots), \rho_t(x, y, v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2, \dots)) \\ &= (\lambda_r(x, y, v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2, \dots), \rho_r(x, y, v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2, \dots)). \end{aligned}$$

Put  $w = \lambda_t(x, y, v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2, \dots)$ ,  $z = \rho_t(x, y, v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2, \dots)$  and  $m = r - t$ . Then  $w = \lambda_m(w, z, v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2, \dots) \neq z = \rho_m(w, z, v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2, \dots)$  or  $w = \lambda_m(w, z, v_2, v_1, v_2, v_1, \dots) \neq z = \rho_m(w, z, v_2, v_1, v_2, v_1, \dots)$  and thus  $w\eta^*z$ . Since  $x = (1; a_3, a_2)$  and  $y = (1; a_1, a_4)$ , there exist elements  $g, g' \in G$ ,  $b_1 \in$

$\mathbb{I}_{k_1}, b_3 \in \mathbb{I}_{k_3}, b_2 \in \mathbb{I}'_{k_2}$  and  $b_4 \in \mathbb{I}'_{k_4}$  such that  $w = (g; b_3, b_2)$  and  $z = (g'; b_1, b_4)$  or  $w = (g; b_3, b_4)$  and  $z = (g'; b_1, b_2)$ .

We suppose that  $w = (g; b_3, b_2)$  and  $z = (g'; b_1, b_4)$ . Let  $b'_3 \in \mathbb{I}'_{k_3}$ . Since the relation  $\eta^*$  is congruence,

$$(g^{-1}; b_1, b'_3)(g; b_3, b_2)\eta^*(g^{-1}; b_1, b'_3)(g'; b_1, b_4).$$

As  $(g^{-1}; b_1, b'_3)(g'; b_1, b_4) \notin S_p/S_{p+1}$  and  $(p_{b'_3, b_3}; b_1, b_2)\eta^*(g^{-1}; b_1, b'_3)(g'; b_1, b_4)$ ,  $p \neq p'$ .

Now by Lemma 3.1.(1), there exist elements  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$  such that  $(g^{-1}; b_1, b'_3)\eta^*\alpha_1$  and  $(g'; b_1, b_4)\eta^*\alpha_2$ . Since

$$(p_{b'_3, b_3}; b_1, b_2) = (g^{-1}; b_1, b'_3)(g; b_3, b_2) \neq \theta$$

and  $(p_{b'_3, b_3}; b_1, b_2)\eta^*\alpha_1\alpha_2$ ,  $\phi_{\eta^*}(b_1) = \phi_{\eta^*}(b_3)$ . Similarly  $\phi_{\eta^*}(b_2) = \phi_{\eta^*}(b_4)$ .

If  $w = (g; b_3, b_4)$  and  $z = (g'; b_1, b_2)$ , in the similar way, the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $S = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P) \cup T$  be a finite semigroup that is the union of the ideal  $M = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$  and the subsemigroup  $T$ . If the ideal  $M$  is **CS**-diagonal,  $M/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}})$  and there exist integers  $k_1, k_2, k_3$  and  $k_4$  between 1 and  $n_{\eta^*}$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in T$  which  $k_1 \neq k_3$  and*

$$[\dots, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_3, k_4, \dots] \in \Gamma(v_1), [\dots, k_1, k_4, \dots, k_3, k_2, \dots] \in \Gamma(v_2),$$

then  $\theta$  is the  $\eta^*$ -root of the subsemigroup  $M$ .

*Proof.* This follows at once from Theorem 3.3.  $\square$


Note that if a principal factor  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  of a finite semigroup  $S$  is **CS**-diagonal,  $(S_p/S_{p+1})/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}})$  and there exist integers  $k_1, k_2, k_3$  and  $k_4$  between 1 and  $n_{\eta^*}$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in S \setminus S_{p+1}$  such that

$$[\dots, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_3, k_4, \dots] \in \Gamma(v_1), [\dots, k_1, k_4, \dots, k_3, k_2, \dots] \in \Gamma(v_2),$$

then in general the subset  $S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$  is not in the class of  $\theta$  of  $\eta^*$ .

For example, let  $S$  be a finite semigroup with principal series:

$$S = S_1 \supset S_2 \supset S_3 \supset S_4 \supset S_5 = \emptyset$$



whose principal factors are as follows:

$$S_4 = \{\theta\}, S_3/S_4 = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b\}; I_2),$$

$$S_2/S_3 = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, \{i, j, k, l\}, \{i, j, k, l\}; I_4)$$

and a null principal factor  $S_1/S_2 = \{v_1, v_2\}$ . As the maximal groups of  $M_1 = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b\}; I_2)$  and  $M_2 = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, \{i, j, k, l\}, \{i, j, k, l\}; I_4)$  are trivial, we write the elements of  $M_1$  as  $(\alpha, \beta)$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \{a, b\}$  and those of  $M_2$  as  $[\alpha, \beta]$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \{i, j, k, l\}$ . Let the function  $\phi: \{i, j, k, l\} \rightarrow \{a, b\}$  be given by  $\phi(i) = \phi(j) = a, \phi(k) = \phi(l) = b$ . We impose the following relations on  $S$ :

- for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \{a, b\}$  and  $\gamma, \lambda \in \{i, j, k, l\}$ ,

$$(\alpha, \beta)[\gamma, \lambda] = (\alpha, \beta)(\phi(\gamma), \phi(\lambda)),$$

$$[\gamma, \lambda](\alpha, \beta) = (\phi(\gamma), \phi(\lambda))(\alpha, \beta),$$

- for every  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda \in \{i, j, k, l\}$  if  $\beta \neq \gamma$ ,

$$[\alpha, \beta][\gamma, \lambda] = (\phi(\alpha), \phi(\beta))(\phi(\gamma), \phi(\lambda)),$$

- for every  $\beta \in \{a, b\}$ ,

$$v_1^2 = v_1 v_2 = v_2 v_1 = v_2^2 = \theta,$$

$$v_1(a, \beta) = v_2(a, \beta) = (b, \beta), v_1(b, \beta) = v_2(b, \beta) = \theta,$$

$$(\beta, a)v_1 = (\beta, a)v_2 = \theta, (\beta, b)v_1 = (\beta, b)v_2 = (\beta, a),$$

- for every  $\alpha \in \{i, j, k, l\}$ ,  $\beta \in \{k, l\}$  and  $\gamma \in \{i, j\}$ ,

$$v_1[i, \alpha] = [l, \alpha], v_1[j, \alpha] = [k, \alpha], v_1[\beta, \alpha] = \theta,$$

$$v_2[i, \alpha] = [k, \alpha], v_2[j, \alpha] = [l, \alpha], v_2[\beta, \alpha] = \theta,$$

$$[\alpha, k]v_1 = [\alpha, j], [\alpha, l]v_1 = [\alpha, i], [\alpha, \gamma]v_1 = \theta,$$

$$[\alpha, k]v_2 = [\alpha, i], [\alpha, l]v_2 = [\alpha, j], [\alpha, \gamma]v_2 = \theta.^1$$

Since  $\Gamma'(v_1) = (i, l, \theta)(j, k, \theta)$  and  $\Gamma'(v_2) = (i, k, \theta)(j, l, \theta)$ ,  $[i, k]\eta^*[j, l]$  where the representation  $\Gamma'$  is a minimal non-nilpotent representation of  $S/S_3$ . Then  $[i, i][i, k]\eta^*[i, i][j, l] = (a, b)$  and thus  $[i, k]\eta^*(a, b)$ . Similarly, it is easy to verify that the  $\eta^*$ -root of principal factor  $S_2/S_3$  is the principal factor  $S_3/S_4$  and  $\phi_{\eta^*}(i) = \phi_{\eta^*}(j) = a, \phi_{\eta^*}(k) = \phi_{\eta^*}(l) = b$ .

Then by Lemma 3.1 follows that  $\eta^*(S_2 \setminus S_3) \subseteq \eta^*(S_3 \setminus S_4)$ . Since  $\Gamma(v_1) = \Gamma(v_2) = (a, b, \theta)$ , it is easy to verify that  $\mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b\}; I_2) \cup \{v_1, v_2\}$  is nilpotent, where the representation  $\Gamma$  is a minimal non-nilpotent representation of  $S$ . The  $\eta^*$ -classes of  $S$  are singletons except for the elements  $[\gamma, \lambda], \gamma, \lambda \in \{i, j, k, l\}$  and  $\theta$  which constitutes a class.

Note that the elements  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  satisfy the condition of Theorem 3.3 of the principal factor  $S_2/S_3$ , but  $S_2 \setminus S_3$  is not in the class of  $\theta$ .

**Open Problem.** Does there exist a finite semigroup  $S$  such that it has a **CS**-diagonal principal factor  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  that

$$(S_p/S_{p+1})/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}})$$

with the following properties:

<sup>1</sup>In order to check the associativity law for the constructed example, we used software developed in C++.



- (1) The principal factor  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  has a  $\eta^*$ -root principal factor  $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$  such that  $p \neq p'$ .
- (2) There do not exist exist integers  $k_1, k_2, k_3$  and  $k_4$  between 1 and  $n_{\eta^*}$  with  $k_1 \neq k_3$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in S \setminus S_{p+1}$  which
 
$$[\dots, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_3, k_4, \dots] \in \Gamma(v_1), [\dots, k_1, k_4, \dots, k_3, k_2, \dots] \in \Gamma(v_2),$$
 that the representation  $\Gamma$  is a minimal non-nilpotent representation of  $S/S_{p+1}$ .

We recall that a semigroup  $S$  is semisimple, if every principal factor of  $S$  is 0-simple or simple ([3]). If the answer to the above open problem is negative, then every  $\eta^*$ -root principal factor  $S_p/S_{p+1}$  of a finite semisimple semigroup  $S$ , is a **CS**-diagonal and such that  $(S_p/S_{p+1})/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}})$  and there do not exist exist integers  $k_1, k_2, k_3$  and  $k_4$  between 1 and  $n_{\eta^*}$  with  $k_1 \neq k_3$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in S \setminus S_{p+1}$  which

$$[\dots, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_3, k_4, \dots] \in \Gamma(v_1), [\dots, k_1, k_4, \dots, k_3, k_2, \dots] \in \Gamma(v_2)$$

where the representation  $\Gamma$  is a minimal non-nilpotent representation of  $S/S_p$ .

If a finite semigroup  $S$  is semisimple, then the classes of  $S/\eta^*$  are  $\{\theta\}$  (if  $S$  has  $\theta$ ) and the  $\eta^*$ -classes of  $\eta^*$ -root principal factors of  $S$ . Using Proposition 2.3 can be seen as a result consequence.

Recall that a semigroup is called a block group if each element has at most one inverse (for example [12]). For instance, an inverse semigroup is the same thing as a regular block group. The collection of all finite block groups whose subgroup are nilpotent is a pseudovariety denoted **BG**<sub>nil</sub>. Also we recall the pseudovariety

$$\mathbf{BI} = \{S \in \mathbf{S} \mid S \text{ is block group and all subgroups of } S \text{ are trivial}\}$$

where **S** is all finite semigroups. The following theorem can be seen as a consequence of Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 3.1.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $S$  be a finite semigroup. The quotient  $S/\eta^*$  is in the pseudovariety **BG**<sub>nil</sub>.*

Note that every finite inverse semigroup whose maximal subgroups are nilpotent may not be nilpotent [8]. Recall the semigroup **F**<sub>7</sub> in [7] which is the disjoint union of the completely 0-simple semigroup  $\mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, 2, 2; I_2)$  and the cyclic group  $\{1, u\}$  of order 2:

$$\mathbf{F}_7 = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, 2, 2; I_2) \cup \{1, u\}.$$

The multiplication of **F**<sub>7</sub> is defined by extending that of the defining sub-semigroups via  $\Gamma(1) = (1)(2)$  and  $\Gamma(u) = (1, 2)$ . The semigroup **F**<sub>7</sub> is inverse but it is not nilpotent.

From Theorem 3.5 it follows that the pseudovariety **MN** is a subpseudovariety of the pseudovariety **BG**<sub>nil</sub>.

In fact the collection of finite semigroup with empty upper non-nilpotent graph is a pseudovariety. We denoted it by **EUNNG**.

**Theorem 3.6.** *The collection **EUNNG** is a pseudovariety.*

*Proof.* Suppose that the finite semigroup  $S$  has empty upper non-nilpotent graph. If  $S'$  is a subsemigroup of  $S$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{S'}$  is not empty, then there exist elements  $a, b \in S'$  such that  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is not nilpotent. As  $S' \subseteq S$ ,  $a, b \in S$  and thus  $\mathcal{N}_S$  is not empty, a contradiction.

Suppose that  $f : S \rightarrow S'$  is a onto homomorphism and  $\mathcal{N}_{S'}$  is not empty. Then there exist elements  $a, b \in S'$  such that  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is not nilpotent. Therefore by Lemma 2.1, there exists a positive integer  $m$ , distinct elements  $x, y \in \langle a, b \rangle$  and elements  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m \in \langle a, b \rangle^1$  such that  $x = \lambda_m(x, y, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$ ,  $y = \rho_m(x, y, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$ . Then for every integer  $t > 0$ , we also have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \lambda_{tm}(x, y, w_1'', \dots, w_{tm}''), \\ y &= \rho_{tm}(x, y, w_1'', \dots, w_{tm}'') \end{aligned}$$

that  $w_1'', \dots, w_{tm}''$  is repeating  $w_1, \dots, w_m$ ,  $t$  times. Since  $f$  is onto, there exists elements  $a', b' \in S$  such that  $f(a') = a, f(b') = b$  and thus  $f(\langle a', b' \rangle) = \langle f(a'), f(b') \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle$ . Then there exists elements  $x', y' \in \langle a', b' \rangle$  and elements  $w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_m \in \langle a', b' \rangle^1$  such that  $f(x') = x, f(y') = y, f(w'_1) = w_1, f(w'_2) = w_2, \dots, f(w'_m) = w_m$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x') &= \lambda_{tm}(f(x'), f(y'), f(w'_1), f(w'_2), \dots, f(w'_m), \dots), \\ f(y') &= \rho_{tm}(f(x'), f(y'), f(w'_1), f(w'_2), \dots, f(w'_m), \dots) \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} f(x') &= f(\lambda_{tm}(x', y', w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_m, \dots)), \\ f(y') &= f(\rho_{tm}(x', y', w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_m, \dots)) \end{aligned}$$

for every positive integer  $t$ . Since the upper non-nilpotent graph of  $S$  is empty,  $\langle a', b' \rangle$  is nilpotent and thus there exist a positive integer  $t$  such that

$$\lambda_{tm}(x', y', w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_m, \dots) = \rho_{tm}(x', y', w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_m, \dots).$$

Then  $f(x') = f(y')$  and thus  $x = y$ , a contradiction.

Now suppose that the semigroup  $T$  has empty upper non-nilpotent graph. If  $\mathcal{N}_{S \times T}$  is not empty, then there exist elements  $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in S \times T$  such that  $\langle (a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \rangle$  is not nilpotent. Therefore by Lemma 2.1, there exists a positive integer  $m$ , distinct elements  $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in \langle (a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \rangle$  and elements  $(w_1, w'_1), \dots, (w_m, w'_m) \in \langle (a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \rangle^1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, x_2) &= \lambda_m((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (w_1, w'_1), \dots, (w_m, w'_m)), \\ (y_1, y_2) &= \rho_m((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (w_1, w'_1), \dots, (w_m, w'_m)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \lambda_m(x_1, y_1, w_1, \dots, w_m), x_2 = \lambda_m(x_2, y_2, w'_1, \dots, w'_m), \\ y_1 &= \rho_m(x_1, y_1, w_1, \dots, w_m) \text{ and } y_2 = \rho_m(x_2, y_2, w'_1, \dots, w'_m). \end{aligned}$$

Now as  $(x_1, x_2) \neq (y_1, y_2)$ ,  $x_1 \neq y_1$  or  $x_2 \neq y_2$ , a contradiction with the upper non-nilpotent graphs of  $S$  and  $T$  are empty. The result follows.  $\square$

It is easy to verify that if the upper non-nilpotent graph of the subgroup is empty, then the subgroup is nilpotent. Combining with [8, Lemma 2.5], it follows that  $\mathbf{EUNNG}$  is a subpseudovariety of  $\mathbf{BG}_{nil}$  and since  $\mathbf{MN}$  is also a subpseudovariety of  $\mathbf{EUNNG}$ , in particular  $\mathbf{MN}$  is a subpseudovariety of  $\mathbf{BG}_{nil}$ . Note that the pseudovarieties  $\mathbf{MN}$  and  $\mathbf{EUNNG}$  are distinct [8]. By [8, Theorem 2.6],  $\mathbf{EUNNG} \subseteq \mathbf{PE}$ . Also by [7, Corollary 8], a finite semigroup  $S$  is positively Engel if and only if all its principal factors are either null semigroups or inverse semigroups over nilpotent groups, and  $S$  does not have an epimorphic image with  $\mathbf{F}_7$  as a subsemigroup. Then  $\mathbf{PE} \subset \mathbf{BG}_{nil}$  and thus we have

$$\mathbf{MN} \subset \mathbf{EUNNG} \subseteq \mathbf{PE} \subset \mathbf{BG}_{nil}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $\mathbf{BI} \subset \mathbf{PE} \subset \mathbf{BG}_{nil}$  but  $\mathbf{MN} \not\subset \mathbf{BI}$ . Note that  $\mathbf{BI} \not\subset \mathbf{MN}$  [8].

**Acknowledgments.** This work was supported, in part, by CMUP (UID/MAT/00144/2013), which is funded by FCT (Portugal) with national (MCTES) and European structural funds through the programs FEDER, under the partnership agreement PT2020. It was also supported by the FCT post-doctoral scholarship SFRH/BPD/89812/ 2012. The author thanks Prof. Jorge Almeida and Prof. Bijan Davvaz for their scientific suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Aghabozorgi, B. Davvaz, and M. Jafarpour. Nilpotent groups derived from hypergroups. *J. Algebra*, 382:177–184, 2013.
- [2] J. Almeida. *Finite semigroups and universal algebra*, volume 3 of *Series in Algebra*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1994. Translated from the 1992 Portuguese original and revised by the author.
- [3] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston. *The algebraic theory of semigroups. Vol. I*. Mathematical Surveys, No. 7. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1961.
- [4] D. Freni. A new characterization of the derived hypergroup via strongly regular equivalences. *Comm. Algebra*, 30(8):3977–3989, 2002.
- [5] J. M. Howie. *Fundamentals of semigroup theory*, volume 12 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995. Oxford Science Publications.
- [6] E. Jespers and J. Okniński. Nilpotent semigroups and semigroup algebras. *J. Algebra*, 169(3):984–1011, 1994.
- [7] E. Jespers and D. Riley. Nilpotent linear semigroups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 16(1):141–160, 2006.
- [8] E. Jespers and M. H. Shahzamanian. The non-nilpotent graph of a semigroup. *Semigroup Forum*, 85(1):37–57, 2012.
- [9] E. Jespers and M. H. Shahzamanian. Finite semigroups that are minimal for not being Malcev nilpotent. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 13(8):1450063, 22, 2014.
- [10] A. I. Mal'cev. Nilpotent semigroups. *Ivanov. Gos. Ped. Inst. Uč. Zap. Fiz.-Mat. Nauki*, 4:107–111, 1953.
- [11] B. H. Neumann and T. Taylor. Subsemigroups of nilpotent groups. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A*, 274:1–4, 1963.
- [12] J. Rhodes and B. Steinberg. *The q-theory of finite semigroups*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2009.

M.H. SHAHZAMANIAN, CENTRO DE MATEMATICA UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO, RUA DO  
CAMPO ALEGRE 687, 4169-007 PORTO, PORTUGAL  
*E-mail address:* `m.h.shahzamanian@fc.up.pt`