Sparse approximations of fractional Matérn fields

October 9, 2014

LASSI ROININEN, SARI LASANEN, MIKKO ORISPÄÄ

University of Oulu, Sodankylä Geophysical Observatory Tähteläntie 62 FI-99600 Sodankylä, FINLAND

Simo Särkkä

Aalto University, Department of Biomedical Engineering and Computational Science P.O. Box 12200 FI-00076 AALTO, FINLAND

(Communicated by the associate editor name)

Abstract

We consider a fast approximation method for a solution of a certain stochastic non-local pseudodifferential equation. This equation defines a Matérn class random field. The approximation method is based on the spectral compactness of the solution. We approximate the pseudodifferential operator with a Taylor expansion. By truncating the expansion, we can construct an approximation with Gaussian Markov random fields. We show that the solution of the truncated version can be constructed with an over-determined system of stochastic matrix equations with sparse matrices. We solve the system of equations with a sparse Cholesky decomposition. We consider the convergence of the discrete approximation of the solution to the continuous one. Finally numerical examples are given.

1 Introduction

We are interested in studying generalised Gaussian Markov random fields on \mathbb{R}^d . A typical – and often studied – example of a Gaussian Markov random field is the Matérn field with the covariance function

$$C_{\mathcal{X}}(x,y) = \frac{2^{1-\alpha+d/2}\sigma^2\ell^{2(\alpha-d/2)}}{(4\pi)^{d/2}\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\ell^d}\right)^{\alpha-d/2} K_{\alpha-d/2}\left(\frac{|x-y|}{\ell^d}\right), \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$
(1)

where $\alpha - d/2 > 0$, $\sigma^2 > 0$ and $\ell > 0$ are smoothness parameter, scaling factor, and correlation length, respectively. $K_{\alpha-d/2}$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind and Γ is the gamma function. Studying the generalised Matérn field is equivalent to the study of the weak solution of the stochastic partial differential equation

$$\left(-\Delta + \kappa^2\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{W},\tag{2}$$

where $\kappa = \ell^{-d}$ and \mathcal{W} is white noise on \mathbb{R}^d with a covariance operator $\sigma^2 I$, where I is the identity operator [13, 16, 19, 21]. For integer α , fast numerical approximations of (2) are well-known, see for example Lindgren et al. 2011 [13] or Simpson 2009 [21]. However, an open question is how to efficiently approximate Matérn fields with non-integer α . Our work contributes to this area. The case of non-integer alpha was also briefly considered on page 493 of the discussion part of Lindgren et al. [13], where the proposed approximation is based on minimising an error functional in spectral domain. Although that approach differs both in the used discretisation method as well as in the respect that we formally show when the Taylor approximation lead to a valid non-degenerate covariance function.

Instead of the continuous Matérn fields, we focus on a band-limited version of the Matérn fields, that is, we make a spectral truncation. In order to make the spectral truncation, we replace the white noise \mathcal{W} in Equation (2) with a spectrally truncated noise W, which has a covariance function

$$C_W(x,y) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{|\xi| \le \kappa} \exp\left(-i(x-y) \cdot \xi\right) d\xi.$$

The corresponding stochastic partial differential equation is then

$$\left(-\Delta + \kappa^2\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} X = W,\tag{3}$$

where α is non-integer. We call the solution X of (3) band-limited fractional Matérn field, because the covariance function of X is

$$C_X(x,y) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{|\xi| \le \kappa} \frac{\exp\left(-i(x-y) \cdot \xi\right)}{(\kappa^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\alpha}} d\xi.$$
 (4)

We choose the spectral truncation rule from the radius of convergence of power series expansion for the Fourier transformed operator

$$\mathcal{F}(-\Delta + \kappa^2)^{-\alpha}(\xi),$$

which, we later verify, is κ . This truncation makes the sample paths infinitely smooth with probability one, as we will also show later.

For practical computations, we may use any discretisation scheme, such as finite differences [17] or finite element methods [19]. From now on, we use finite differences as our discretisation scheme, because the discretised formulas are simpler than the ones obtained via finite element methods. In the case of the integer α , the finite difference approximation of the Equation (2) leads to a sparse matrix presentation of the corresponding Matérn field [13, 19]. The approximation is typically written as a linear stochastic matrix equation

$$\mathbf{LX} = \mathbf{W},\tag{5}$$

where \mathbf{L} is a sparse matrix approximating the linear operator in Equation (2) and \mathbf{W} is discrete white noise. The covariance of the discrete random field \mathbf{X} is then

$$\mathbf{C} = \left(\mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{L}\right)^{-1}.\tag{6}$$

We note that the covariance matrix \mathbf{C} is a full matrix when $\alpha > 0$, while the precision matrix $\mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{L}$ is a sparse matrix. Hence, it is appealing from the computational point of view to work with formulations of type (5) rather than with the full covariance matrices. For non-integer α and fractional-order difference approximations [11, 14], the matrix \mathbf{L} is a full matrix, hence computational efficiency is lost. Thus, the question is raised of whether it is possible to find fast approximation, which is close enough to the original \mathbf{L} . This paper aims to address this question. We aim to do this by studying the approximations of certain random fields closely related to Matérn fields with power spectrum defined by truncated Taylor expansions and their numerical approximations.

Our main motivation for studying band-limited fractional Matérn fields is in applying them as prior distributions in Bayesian statistical inverse problems [9]. In our earlier studies, we have considered Gaussian Markov random fields within the framework of Bayesian statistical inverse problems (Roininen et al. 2011 and 2013 [17, 18]) and applied the methodology to an electrical impedance tomography problem (Roininen et al. 2014 [19]). Studies of very high dimensional prior distributions arising from spatially sampled values of random fields in Bayesian inversion are reported by Lasanen 2012 [12] and Stuart 2010 [23]. In Särkkä et al. 2013 [20] and Solin et al. 2013 [22] we also applied Matérn and other types of spatio-temporal Gaussian random fields to fMRI brain imaging and prediction of local precipitation, and in Hiltunen et al. 2011 [8] to diffuse optical tomography. Other applications of Matérn fields include for example spatial interpolation [13] and machine learning [16].

This paper is organised as follows: In Section 2, we consider the approximation of the fractional spectrum with truncated Taylor expansion and discuss corresponding discrete approximations with sparse matrices. In Section 3, we construct upper triangular matrix \mathbf{L} (see Equation (5)) with Cholesky decomposition. In Section 4, we further consider Taylor expansion of power spectrum in more detail. The convergence of the discrete approximations to the continuous ones will be considered in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6, we numerically study the accuracy of the approximation in the case of 2-dimensional Matérn field.

2 Approximating band-limited covariances

Our aim, in this section, is to study approximations of band-limited Matérn fields (4) in two steps: First we approximate the fractional spectrum $(\kappa^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\alpha}$ with truncated Taylor series. Then we study discrete approximations of the corresponding random field via trigonometric polynomials, which lead to matrix covariance formulas of type (6).

Let us denote

$$P(t) := \left(\kappa^2 + t^2\right)^{\alpha},\tag{7}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The function P has the well-known Taylor series

$$\left(\kappa^2 + t^2\right)^{\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} t^{2k},\tag{8}$$

where

$$a_0 = 1,$$

$$a_k = \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)\cdots(\alpha - k + 1)}{k!} \quad \text{for } k \ge 1.$$
(9)

We note that the series (8) converges for $|t| \leq \kappa$ and diverges for $|t| > \kappa$. In Section 4, we verify that the divergence is due to unlimitedness of the partial sums.

We apply the Taylor series (8) to the covariance function in Equation (4) and obtain

$$C_X(x,y) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{|\xi| \le \kappa} \frac{\exp\left(-i\left(x-y\right) \cdot \xi\right)}{P(|\xi|)} d\xi = \frac{\sigma^2}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{|\xi| \le \kappa} \frac{\exp\left(-i\left(x-y\right) \cdot \xi\right)}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} |\xi|^{2k}} d\xi.$$
(10)

As our objective is to find a Gaussian Markov random field approximation, we truncate the Taylor series in (10), and set

$$C_X^K(x,y) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(2\pi)^d} \int \frac{\exp\left(-i\left(x-y\right)\cdot\xi\right)}{\sum_{k=0}^K a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} |\xi|^{2k}} d\xi.$$
 (11)

We choose the truncation level K in such a way that $a_K > 0$. This guarantees the positivity of the denominator (see Section 4 for detailed discussion).

The band-limited spectral density in Equation (4) as such can result in quite large differences to the covariance function due to the missing tails. However, it often turns out that the truncated series in Equation (11) is positive in a considerably larger area $|\xi| \leq \kappa'$ with $\kappa' > \kappa$ even though the Taylor series converges only in $|\xi| \leq \kappa$. Quite often it is even valid in the whole \mathbb{R}^d . In those cases, by extending the integration area as done in Equation (11), we can better retain the tails of the spectral density which leads to a considerably more accurate approximation to the covariance function.

As an example, we choose d = 1, $\sigma^2 = 1$, $\alpha = 3/2$ and $\kappa = 1$ with truncation parameter K = 4, and set

$$P(t) = 1 + \frac{3}{2}t^2 + \frac{3}{8}t^4 - \frac{1}{16}t^6 + \frac{3}{128}t^8.$$
 (12)

The polynomial is clearly everywhere positive and hence the spectral density is valid in the whole \mathbb{R} . Thus we can extend the integration area to the whole space. Figure 1 illustrates the resulting approximation. The general case is studied in Lemma (4.2) (Section 4).

We give the discrete approximation on lattice $h(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})^d$, where h > 0 is discretisation step and $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then the discrete approximation of the continuous covariance (11) can be written with the discrete Fourier transform and trigonometric polynomials [17] as

$$C(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{(-\pi, \pi)^d} \frac{\exp\left(-i(\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{j}) \cdot \xi\right)}{\sum_{k=0}^K a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} h^{d-2k} \left(\sum_{p=1}^d (2 - 2\cos(\xi_p))^k\right)} d\xi.$$
 (13)

We emphasise that the integrand is not band-limited to $|\xi| \leq \kappa$, because of the approximations applied.



Figure 1: (a) Covariance function of a band-limited approximation to onedimensional Matérn spectral density. (b) Covariance function of a $4^{(th)}$ order Taylor series expansion. Although the plain band-limited approximation is quite inaccurate, the truncated Taylor series on the whole \mathbb{R} is quite accurate.

For the relationship between polynomials corresponding to continuous covariance (11) and trigonometric polynomials corresponding to discrete covariance (13), see Section 5. The difference between our earlier study [17] and this paper, is that here we let the terms $c_k := a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)}$ to be also negative. However, as mentioned earlier, we require that the sum is strictly positive, as is the case in the formulation in Equation (12). In Section 3, we will consider a technique for constructing matrix \mathbf{L} in Equation (5) for the cases $c_k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Studying the trigonometric polynomial

$$2 - 2\cos(\xi_p) = |1 - \exp(i\xi_p)|^2 \tag{14}$$

in Equation (13) is related to the study of difference matrices [17]. For example, let us choose d = 1. Then we can write a stochastic first order difference matrix equation as

$$\mathbf{L}_{1}\mathbf{X} = \frac{\delta_{0} - \delta_{-1}}{h} * \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{W} \Leftrightarrow \frac{1 - \exp(i\xi)}{h} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{W}), \tag{15}$$

where δ is the Kronecker delta, white noise **W** has covariance $\Sigma_1 = \frac{1}{hc_1} \mathbf{I}$. We can write $k^{(\text{th})}$ -order difference matrix \mathbf{L}_k similarly to Equation (15). The corresponding covariance matrices Σ_k are obtained from the constants $c_k h^{d-2k}$ in Equation (13) and they are $\Sigma_k = \frac{h^{2k-1}}{c_k} \mathbf{I}$. Using the additivity property of the precision matrix [17], we may then write the discrete covariance with matrix equations as

$$\mathbf{C} = \left(\mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{L}\right)^{-1} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^K \mathbf{L}_k^T \Sigma_k^{-1} \mathbf{L}_k\right)^{-1} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^K a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} h^{1-2k} \mathbf{L}_k^T \mathbf{L}_k\right)^{-1}.$$
 (16)

3 Cholesky decomposition

Given the matrices \mathbf{L}_k and Σ_K in Equation (16), our aim is to construct an upper triangular sparse matrix \mathbf{L} . The full covariance matrix \mathbf{C} in Equation (16), has both $c_k > 0$ and $c_k < 0$ terms, which we relate to constructing \mathbf{L} with Cholesky decomposition. We choose this construction, as we aim to construct the upper triangular matrix \mathbf{L} term-by-term, that is, we recursively apply the Cholesky decomposition in order to get the wanted presentation. Cholesky decomposition algorithms are covered in standard literature [6], and they are extensively used in square root Kalman filtering (see, e.g., [2, 7]).

An alternative to Cholesky decomposition is the QR decomposition with Givens rotations and anti-rotations [15]. We note that mathematically, from the perspective of this paper, Cholesky and QR methods are equivalent.

In the computation of estimators to inverse problems with prior covariance \mathbf{C} as well as in simulation of the random field we are interested in performing matrixvector operators of the form $\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{v}$, where \mathbf{v} is some given vector. When \mathbf{L} is a sparse matrix, this can be efficiently evaluated without explicitly computing the (full) matrix inverse \mathbf{L}^{-1} . Although the matrix \mathbf{L} can be computed via factoring \mathbf{C}^{-1} , for maximal numerical accuracy it beneficial to compute it directly without computing \mathbf{C}^{-1} . This is because the number of bits required for a given floating point precision for constructing \mathbf{C}^{-1} is twice the required bits for \mathbf{L} [2].

We start by partitioning the precision matrix \mathbf{C}^{-1} as

$$\mathbf{P}_{+} - \mathbf{P}_{-} := \sum_{a_{k} \ge 0} a_{k} \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} h^{1-2k} \mathbf{L}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{L}_{k} - \sum_{a_{k} < 0} |a_{k} \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)}| h^{1-2k} \mathbf{L}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{L}_{k}, \qquad (17)$$

where the partitioned precision matrices \mathbf{P}_+ and \mathbf{P}_- correspond to the parts to be sequentially updated with positive and negative signs, respectively. When making the Cholesky decomposition, we first loop over the positive c_k coefficients and do Cholesky updates with $\sqrt{a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} h^{1-2k}} \mathbf{L}_k$. Then we do the same for the negative coefficients with the so-called Cholesky downdates. We note that it advisable not to mix the updates with positive and negative signs, because this might break the positive-definiteness property of the covariance matrix. This might break the algorithm and hence, we propose to carry out updates with positive signs first and downdates with negative signs at the last part of the algorithm.

A further development of the matrix factorisation is to use the $\mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{L}$ decomposition, where \mathbf{D} is a diagonal matrix and the diagonal elements of the \mathbf{L} are all ones. Hence, this allows the presentation of the form

$$\mathbf{LX} = \mathbf{W} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{D}^{-1}). \tag{18}$$

The inverse \mathbf{D}^{-1} is fast to compute as it is a diagonal matrix. Figure 2 shows an example of a covariance function approximation formed with the above procedure (using the SuiteSparse¹ library [4, 5]) as well as example realisations of the process.

4 Band-limited fractional Matérn fields

In this section, we discuss the power spectrum of the band-limited Matérn fields with certain expansion schemes. We first note a fundamental property of the band-

¹For SuiteSparse software package, see http://faculty.cse.tamu.edu/davis/suitesparse.html.



Figure 2: (a) Exact covariance function of the one-dimensional example of Equation (12) ($\sigma^2 = 1$, $\alpha = 3/2$, $\kappa = 1$, K = 4), the truncated Taylor series approximation and its finite-difference approximation with discretisation step h = 0.1. In the finite-difference computations, we have used periodic boundary conditions in an extended domain and cropped the image. (b) Realisations from the process simulated via the discretised approximation.

limited Matérn fields:

Lemma 4.1. Let X be the solution of (3). Then the sample paths of X are smooth on \mathbb{R}^d with probability 1.

Proof. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j = 1, \dots, d$, the spectra

$$S(\xi) = \sigma^2 \frac{|\xi_j|^{2k}}{(\kappa^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\alpha}} \mathbf{1}_{|\xi| \le \kappa}(\xi)$$

of the weak derivatives $\frac{\partial^k X}{\partial x_j^k}$ satisfy the condition

$$\int S(\xi) (\log(1+|\xi|))^{1+\epsilon} d\xi < \infty$$
(19)

for fixed $\epsilon > 0$. By Theorem 3.4.3 in [1], the weak derivatives are almost surely continuous.

4.1 Convergence of the truncated Taylor series

In order to study the convergence of the truncated Taylor approximations (11), we need a preliminary result:

Lemma 4.2. Let $K = [\alpha] + 2J + 1$, where integer $J \ge 1$ and $[\alpha]$ is the integer part of $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$. Let $\kappa > 0$ and coefficients a_k be as in (9). Then there is a constant c > 0

independent of J such that

$$c(1+|\xi|^{d+2}) \le \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} |\xi|^{2k}$$
(20)

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover, there are non-negative polynomials q_j , $j = 1, \ldots, J$, such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{J} q_j(|\xi|) \le \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} |\xi|^{2k},$$
(21)

for every K, and

$$\lim_{J \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{J} q_j(t) = +\infty$$
(22)

for t > 1.

Proof. We first set

$$q_0(t) := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{[\alpha]} a_k t^{2k}\right) + \frac{1}{2} a_{[\alpha]+1} t^{2([\alpha]+1)}$$

for all $t \ge 0$. By positivity of coefficients $a_k, k = 0, \ldots, [\alpha] + 1$ (see (9)), we have

$$q_0(t) \ge c(1+t^{d+2}) \tag{23}$$

for $t \ge 0$. For $j = 1, \ldots, J$, we set

$$q_j(t) := \frac{1}{2}a_{[\alpha]+2j-1}t^{2([\alpha]+2j-1)} + a_{[\alpha]+2j}t^{2([\alpha]+2j)} + \frac{1}{2}a_{[\alpha]+2j+1}t^{2([\alpha]+2j+1)}$$

The sum in (20) has then the expression

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} |\xi|^{2k} = \kappa^{2\alpha} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{J} q_j(\kappa^{-1}|\xi|) \right) + \frac{1}{2} a_{[\alpha]+2J+1} \kappa^{2\alpha} (\kappa^{-1}|\xi|)^{2([\alpha]+2J+1)},$$

where also $a_{[\alpha]+2J+1} > 0$. From (9), we observe that for $j = 1, \ldots, J$

$$q_{j}(t) = \frac{1}{2}a_{[\alpha]+2j-1}t^{2([\alpha]+2j-1)} \\ \times \left(1 + \frac{2(\alpha - [\alpha] - 2j + 1)t^{2}}{[\alpha] + 2j} + \frac{(\alpha - [\alpha] - 2j)(\alpha - [\alpha] - 2j + 1)t^{4}}{([\alpha] + 2j)([\alpha] + 2j + 1)}\right).$$
(24)

The only real zero of q_j , j = 1, ..., J, is at zero, since the discriminant for the quadratic factor in $q_j(\sqrt{t})$ is negative. Indeed,

$$D = 4 \left(\frac{\alpha - [\alpha] - 2j + 1}{[\alpha] + 2j} \right)^2 - 4 \frac{(\alpha - [\alpha] - 2j)(\alpha - [\alpha] - 2j + 1)}{([\alpha] + 2j)([\alpha] + 2j + 1)}$$

=
$$\frac{4(\alpha - [\alpha] - 2j) + 1)}{([\alpha] + 2j)^2([\alpha] + 2j + 1)} (\alpha + 1),$$
 (25)

where $\alpha - [\alpha] - 2j + 1 < 0$ for $j \ge 1$. Moreover, we see that $q_j \ge 0$ by inspecting the signs of the coefficients of q_k . For the limit (22), we note that by (24), the ratio of the consecutive terms has the limit

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{q_{j+1}(t)}{q_j(t)} = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{a_{[\alpha]+2j+1}}{a_{[\alpha]+2j-1}} t^2 \frac{\left(1 + \frac{2(\alpha - [\alpha]-2j-1)t^2}{[\alpha]+2j-2} + \frac{(\alpha - [\alpha]-2j-2)(\alpha - [\alpha]-2j-1)t^4}{([\alpha]+2j-2)([\alpha]+2j-1)}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{2(\alpha - [\alpha]-2j+1)t^2}{[\alpha]+2j} + \frac{(\alpha - [\alpha]-2j)(\alpha - [\alpha]-2j+1)t^4}{([\alpha]+2j)([\alpha]+2j+1)}\right)} = t^2,$$
(26)

which shows that the corresponding series diverges for t > 1. Since the series is a sum of non-negative terms, it is unbounded.

When $K = [\alpha] + 2J + 1$, the truncated Taylor approximation

$$C_X^K(x,y) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(2\pi)^d} \int \frac{\exp\left(-i\left(x-y\right) \cdot \xi\right)}{\sum_{k=0}^K a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} |\xi|^{2k}} d\xi,$$

is a well-defined function by (20). Moreover, the truncated Taylor approximation C_X^K satisfies the equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} \Delta_x^k C_X^K(x,y) = -\delta_y$$
(27)

in the sense of tempered distributions. Taking the Fourier transform and dividing by the positive term $\sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} |\xi|^k$ leads to the equation. We proceed to study convergence of covariances when using truncated Taylor

We proceed to study convergence of covariances when using truncated Taylor approximations. The following theorem demonstrates that restrictions on the spectral domain are not required when using the Taylor approximations. This is a significant benefit for the numerical approach in terms of computational speed.

Theorem 4.3. Let $K_J = [\alpha] + 2J + 1$ for $J \in \mathbb{N}$. The approximations

$$C_X^{K_J}(x,y) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(2\pi)^d} \int \frac{\exp\left(-i\left(x-y\right) \cdot \xi\right)}{\sum_{k=0}^{K_J} a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} |\xi|^{2k}} d\xi$$

converge uniformly to

$$C_X(x,y) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{|\xi| \le \kappa} \frac{\exp\left(-i(x-y) \cdot \xi\right)}{(\kappa^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\alpha}} d\xi$$

as $J \to \infty$.

Proof. For simplicity, take $\kappa = \sigma = 1$. Denote $K = [\alpha] + 2J + 1$ and set

$$e_{K} := \sup_{x,y} \left| (2\pi)^{d} C_{X}(x,y) - \int \frac{\exp\left(-i\left(x-y\right)\cdot\xi\right)}{\sum_{k=0}^{K} a_{k}|\xi|^{2k}} d\xi \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{|\xi| \le 1} \left| \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k}|\xi|^{2k}} - \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} a_{k}|\xi|^{2k}} \right| d\xi + \int_{|\xi| \ge 1} \left| \frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{K} a_{k}|\xi|^{2k}} \right| d\xi \qquad (28)$$

$$= \int_{|\xi| \le 1} \left| \frac{\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} a_{k}|\xi|^{2k}}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k}|\xi|^{2k}\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} a_{k}|\xi|^{2k}\right)} \right| d\xi + \int_{|\xi| \ge 1} \left| \frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{K} a_{k}|\xi|^{2k}} \right| d\xi.$$

The remainder term of the Taylor approximation for $(1 + t^2)^{\alpha}$ at $t = |\xi| \leq 1$ is

$$R_{K}(|\xi|^{2}) \leq \int_{0}^{|\xi|^{2}} \frac{|\alpha(\alpha-1)\dots(\alpha-K)|}{K!} \times (|\xi|^{2}-s)^{K}(1+s)^{\alpha-K-1} ds$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{|\alpha(\alpha-1)\dots(\alpha-K+1)|}{K!} (2^{\alpha-K}-1) \right|$$
(29)

which converges uniformly. Together with the lower bound (20), this bounds the first integral in (28).

For the second integral, we use from Lemma 4.2, the lower bound

$$\sum_{k=0}^{[\alpha]+2J+1} a_k |\xi|^{2k} \ge \sum_{j=1}^J q_j(|\xi|),$$
(30)

and the limit (22). Hence, the second integral vanishes as $J \to \infty$.

5 Convergence of the discrete field to continuous

In this section, we define the lattice approximations of the random field X similarly as in [17]. We choose the discrete lattice to be $h\mathbb{Z}^d$, where h > 0. The continuous field X is first restricted onto $h\mathbb{Z}^d$ and then approximated by a discrete field $X^{(h)}$ on $h\mathbb{Z}^d$.

We start by discretising the Laplacian in (27). As the discretisation scheme, we use finite-difference methods. That is, for d = 1 the discrete Laplacian is

$$(\Delta_h f)(hi) = \frac{f(h(i-1)) - 2f(hi) + f(h(i-1))}{h^2},$$

where $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f : h\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$. Similarly, in dimension d = 2

$$(\Delta_h f)(hi, hj) = h^{-2}(-4f(hi, hj) + f(h(i-1), hj) + f(hi, h(j-1)) + f(hi, h(j+1)) + f(h(i+1), hj)),$$

where $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f : h\mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$.

We define the lattice approximation $X^{(h)}$ as a zero mean Gaussian random field on $h\mathbb{Z}^d$ whose stationary covariance $C_{X^{(h)}}(h\mathbf{i},h\mathbf{j})) = C_{X^{(h)}}(h(\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j})), \mathbf{i},\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is given by a discrete version of (27):

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k \frac{h^d}{\sigma^2} \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} \Delta_h^k C_{X^{(h)}}(h\mathbf{i}, h\mathbf{j}) = -\delta_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}$$
(31)

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta function on \mathbb{Z}^d , and the discrete Laplacian operates on the first variable. The multiplier h^d on the left hand side is connected to the convergence of the discretization. Namely, it distinguishes the lattice approximations of continuous integral operators from their kernels, which are studied here. In [17], this multiplier is included in the construction of the discrete prior.

Define the spectrum of $f: h\mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$S_f(\xi) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(h\mathbf{i}) \exp(i\,\mathbf{i} \cdot \xi),$$

for all $\xi \in (-\pi, \pi)^d$. Then

$$f(h\mathbf{i}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{(-\pi,\pi)^d} S_f(\xi) \exp(-i\,\mathbf{i}\cdot\xi) d\xi.$$

Next, we consider the discrete Laplacian as a Fourier multiplier:

$$(\widehat{-\Delta_h f})(\xi) = \sum_{p=1}^d \frac{2 - 2\cos(\xi_p)}{h^2} \widehat{f}(\xi),$$

and transform (31) into

$$\left(\frac{h^d}{\sigma^2} \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} \sum_{p=1}^{d} h^{-2k} (2 - 2\cos(\xi_p))^k\right) \widehat{C}_{X^{(h)}}(\xi) = 1.$$
(32)

Since $2-2\cos(\xi_p) \ge 0$, Lemma 4.2 implies the positivity of the multiplier of $\widehat{C}_{X^{(h)}}(\xi)$ in (32). The spectrum of $C_{X^{(h)}}$ is then obtained from (32) as

$$\widehat{C}_{X^{(h)}}(\xi) = \frac{\sigma^2}{h^d} \left(\sum_{k=0}^K a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} \sum_{p=1}^d h^{-2k} (2 - 2\cos(\xi_p))^k \right)^{-1}.$$

The corresponding discrete correlation is

$$C_{X^{(h)}}(h\mathbf{i}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{(-\pi,\pi)^d} \exp(-i\,\mathbf{i}\cdot\xi) \widehat{C}_{X^{(h)}}(\xi) d\xi.$$
(33)

Let us make a change of variables in the integral (33):

$$C_{X^{(h)}}(h\mathbf{i}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\left(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}\right)^d} \frac{\exp(-ih\mathbf{i}\cdot\xi)}{\sum_{k=0}^K a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} \sum_{p=1}^d h^{-2k} (2-2\cos(\xi_p))^k} d\xi.$$
 (34)

For a given $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we choose such a sequence of $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{i}_h$ that $h\mathbf{i}_h \to x$ as $h \to 0$, and apply Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem for (34) as $h \to 0$. Indeed, by Jordan's inequality, the lower bound

$$h^{-2}(2 - 2\cos(\xi_p/h)) = 4h^{-2}\sin^2(\xi_p/2h) \ge 4\xi_p^2/\pi^2$$

holds, which together with Lemma 4.2 implies that the denominator in (34) has the lower bound

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} \sum_{p=1}^{d} h^{-2k} (2 - 2\cos(\xi_p/h))^k\right) \ge c(1 + |\xi|^{2d+2}),$$

where c does not depend on h. Moreover,

$$\lim_{h \to 0} h^{-2} (2 - 2\cos(h\xi')) = \xi'^2.$$

We have shown the following result:

Theorem 5.1. Let K and a_k be as in Lemma 4.2. Let

$$C_{X^{(h)}}(h\mathbf{i},h\mathbf{j}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{(-\pi,\pi)^d} \frac{\exp(-i(\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j})\cdot\xi)}{h^d \sum_{k=0}^K a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} \sum_{p=1}^d h^{-2k} (2-2\cos(\xi_p))^k} d\xi,$$

for all $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and

$$C_X^K(x,y) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(2\pi)^d} \int \frac{\exp\left(-i\left(x-y\right) \cdot \xi\right)}{\sum_{k=0}^K a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} |\xi|^{2k}} d\xi,$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$\lim_{h\to 0} C_{X^{(h)}}(h\mathbf{i}_h,h\mathbf{j}_h) = C_X(x,y)$$

whenever sequences (\mathbf{i}_h) and (\mathbf{j}_h) are such that $\lim_{h\to 0} (h\mathbf{i}_h, h\mathbf{j}_h) = (x, y)$.

Pointwise convergence of covariance functions shows that corresponding finitedimensional Gaussian distributions converge weakly in the sense of measures, that is, expectations of bounded continuous functions converge. In applications, more is often needed. Namely, mappings H defined on paths of random fields often appear, for example, in Bayesian inverse problems. This raises the need to study convergence of interpolated random fields. The domain of definition of the continuous mapping H usually dictates the function space and topology in which the convergence is to be studied. Below, we demonstrate one result of this kind.

We show that Whittaker–Shannon interpolated random fields converge weakly in the sense of measures on $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Here the space $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is equipped with the usual metric topology corresponding to the family of seminorms $|f|_k := \sup_{x \in K_k} |f(x)|$, where the union of compact sets $K_k \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ equals \mathbb{R}^d .

Set

$$C_{X^{(h)}}(x,y) = C_{X^{h}}(x-y) = \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^{d}} C_{X^{(h)}}(h(\mathbf{j})) \prod_{p=1}^{d} \frac{\sin(\pi(h^{-1}(x_{p}-y_{p})-j_{p}))}{\pi(h^{-1}(x_{p}-y_{p})-j_{p})}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\widehat{C}_{X^{(h)}}(\xi) = \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}} C_{X^{(h)}}(h\mathbf{j}) \exp(ih\mathbf{j}\cdot\xi)h^d \mathbf{1}_{[-\pi,\pi]^d}(h\xi)$$
$$= \sigma^2 \bigg(\sum_{k=0}^K a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} \sum_{p=1}^d h^{-2k} (2-2\cos(h\xi_p))^k \bigg)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{[-\pi,\pi]^d}(h\xi)$$

which is equivalent to

$$C_{X^{(h)}}(x) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\left(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}\right)^d} \frac{\exp(-ix \cdot \xi)}{\sum_{k=0}^K a_k \kappa^{2(\alpha-k)} \sum_{p=1}^d h^{-2k} (2 - 2\cos(\xi_p))^k} d\xi.$$

The weak convergence of $X^{(h_n)}$ to X when $h_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ follows from the next result. The same result also shows the weak convergence of random fields when the truncation parameter grows.

Theorem 5.2. Let X_n be a sequence of zero mean Gaussian random fields on \mathbb{R}^d , whose covariance functions $C_n(x, y) = C_n(x - y)$ satisfy

$$|\widehat{C}_n(\xi)| \le \frac{c}{1+|\xi|^{2s}},$$
(35)

for some constants c > 0, s > (d+1)/2 and for all n. If

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} C_n(x, y) = C(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then the distributions of X_n converge weakly on $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to the distribution of Gaussian zero mean random field X whose covariance function is C(x, y).

Proof. The random fields X_n have continuous sample paths by (35) and Theorem 3.4.3 in [1]. Therefore, their distributions are Gaussian measures on $C(\mathbb{R})$, whose characteristic functions $\mathbf{E}(\exp(itX_n(x)))$ converge to the characteristic function of the zero mean Gaussian measure with covariance function C(x, y).

Since the probability density of $X_n(0)$ converges to the probability density of X(0), the sequence $\{X_n(0)\}$ is tight. Moreover,

$$\mathbf{E} \left(|X_n(x) - X_n(y)|^{2P} \right) = (2C_n(0) - 2C_n(x - y))^P \\
= \left(\frac{2}{(2\pi)^d} \int (1 - \exp(-i(x - y) \cdot \xi) \widehat{C}(\xi) d\xi \right)^P \\
\leq \left(\frac{2}{(2\pi)^d} \int |x - y| |\xi| |\widehat{C}_n(\xi)| d\xi \right)^P \\
\leq C |x - y|^P.$$
(36)

Choosing P > d allows application of Kolmogorov-Chentsov tightness criterion (see Corollary 16.9 in [10]). Uniform tightness and the convergence of the characteristic functions imply the weak convergence (see Corollary 3.8.5 in [3]).

6 Numerical experiments

In this section we test the numerical accuracy of 2-dimensional Matérn field approximation with $\sigma^2 = 1$, $\kappa = 1$, and $\alpha = \pi$. Figure 3 shows the maximum absolute approximation error as function of the Taylor series order. The discretisation step was h = 0.1. It can be seen that the error first decreases and then starts to increase. This is to be expected, because at the first steps the approximation becomes better in the central part of the integral while the tails still remain quite heavy. However, when the Taylor series order is increased, the tails become thinner and hence the approximation error increases and approaches the band-limited covariance function.

Figure 4 shows the approximation with the Taylor series of order K = 4 and Figure 5 a one-dimensional slice extracted from the middle of the covariance function. It can be seen that the error in the approximation is very small.

Finally, Figure 6 shows an example realisation of the process which is very fast to compute despite the relatively large number of discretisation points (40401).



Figure 3: Maximum absolute error in the approximate covariance function with h = 0.1 as function of Taylor series order. The minimum error is obtained with order K = 4.



Figure 4: (a) Exact covariance function. (b) Approximate covariance function with Taylor series of order K = 4 and discretisation step h = 0.1. The covariance functions are practically indistinguishable.



Figure 5: One-dimensional slice from the covariance function in Figure 4. The error in the covariance function is negligible.



Figure 6: Realisation from the approximate two-dimensional field.

7 Conclusion

We have considered approximation methods of Matérn fields. The methodology is based on truncated Taylor expansion for the reciprocal of the power spectrum and Cholesky decomposition for practical computations. We have shown the convergence of the discrete Matérn field to the continuous ones in two cases, the first one is with respect to Taylor expansion and the second one with the discretisation step h. There are truncation levels that give satisfactory approximations with sparse matrices for Matérn fields. We have demonstrated corresponding numerical examples.

As our focus was on the methodology, we have not presented any practical applications in this paper. Hence, this will be one task of subsequent studies. Application areas include, for example, tomography within the framework of Bayesian statistical inverse problems or spatial interpolation in Bayesian statistics.

Acknowledgements

This work has been funded by Academy of Finland (project numbers 250215, 266940, and 273475).

References

- (MR0611857) R. J. Adler, "The geometry of random fields," Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, 1981.
- [2] (MR0453090) G. J. Bierman, "Factorization Methods for Discrete Sequential Estimation," Academic Press, New York-London, 1977.
- [3] (MR1642391) V. I. Bogachev, "Gaussian measures," (English summary), Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [4] (MR2865018) T. A. Davis, SuiteSparseQR: Multifrontal multithreaded rankrevealing sparse QR factorization, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, 38 (2011), 8:1–8:22.
- [5] (MR3118746) L. V. Foster and T. A. Davis, Reliable Calculation of Numerical Rank, Null Space Bases, Pseudoinverse Solutions and Basic Solutions using SuiteSparseQR, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, 40 (2013) 7:1– 7:23.
- [6] (MR1417720) G. H. Golub, C. van Loan, "Matrix Computations," 3rd Edition, The Johns Hopkins University Press, 1996.
- [7] M. S. Grewal and A. P. Andrews, "Kalman Filtering: Theory and Practice Using MATLAB, 3rd Edition," Wiley-IEEE Press, 2008.
- [8] (MR2765627) P. Hiltunen, S. Särkkä, I. Nissilä, A. Lajunen and J. Lampinen, State space regularization in the nonstationary inverse problem for diffuse optical tomography, Inverse Problems, 27 (2011) 2:025009.

- [9] (MR2102218) J. Kaipio and E. Somersalo, "Statistical and Computational Inverse Problems," Springer-Verlag, 2005.
- [10] (MR1876169) O. Kallenberg, "Foundations of Modern Probability," Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- [11] S. Lasanen and L. Roininen, Statistical inversion with Green's priors, Proc. 5th Int. Conf. on Inv. Prob. in Eng., Cambridge, UK, 11-15th July 2005 L01, 1-10 (2005).
- [12] (MR2942739) S. Lasanen, Non-Gaussian statistical inverse problems. Part I: Posterior distributions, Inverse Problems and Imaging, 6 (2012), 215–266.
- [13] (MR2853727) F. Lindgren, H. Rue and J. Lindström, An explicit link between Gaussian Markov random fields: the stochastic partial differential equation approach, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B, 73 (2011), 423–498.
- [14] (MR0838249) C. Lubich, Discretized fractional calculus, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 17 (1986), 704–719.
- [15] (MR2671108) M. Orispää and M. S. Lehtinen, Fortran Linear Inverse Problem Solver, Inverse Problems and Imaging, 4 (2010) 485-503.
- [16] (MR2514435) C. E. Rasmussen and C. K. I. Williams, "Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning," Adaptive Computation and Machine Learning, The MIT Press, (2006).
- [17] (MR2773430) L. Roininen, M. Lehtinen, S. Lasanen, M. Orispää and M. Markkanen, *Correlation priors*, Inverse Problems and Imaging, 5 (2011) 167–184.
- [18] (MR3063550) L. Roininen, P. Piiroinen and M. Lehtinen, Constructing Continuous Stationary Covariances as Limits of the Second-Order Stochastic Difference Equations, Inverse Problems and Imaging, 7 (2013) 611–647.
- [19] (MR3209311) L. Roininen, J. M. J. Huttunen and S. Lasanen, Whittle-Matérn priors for Bayesian statistical inversion with applications in electrical impedance tomography, Inverse Problems and Imaging, 9 (2014) 561–586.
- [20] S. Särkkä, A. Solin and J. Hartikainen, Spatio-Temporal Learning via Infinite-Dimensional Bayesian Filtering and Smoothing, IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, **30** (2013) 4:51–61.
- [21] D. Simpson, "Krylov subspace methods for approximating functions of symmetric positive definite matrices with applications to applied statistics and models of anomalous diffusion," Ph.D. thesis, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia 2009.
- [22] A. Solin and S. Särkkä (2013), Infinite-Dimensional Bayesian Filtering for Detection of Quasi-Periodic Phenomena in Spatio-Temporal Data, Physical Review E, 88 (2013) 5:052909.
- [23] (MR2652785) A. M. Stuart, Inverse problems: a Bayesian perspective, Acta Numerica 19 (2010) 451–559.