C-SECTIONS OF LIE ALGEBRAS

DAVID A. TOWERS

Department of Mathematics and Statistics Lancaster University Lancaster LA1 4YF England d.towers@lancaster.ac.uk

Abstract

Let M be a maximal subalgebra of a Lie algebra L and A/B a chief factor of L such that $B \subseteq M$ and $A \not\subseteq M$. We call the factor algebra $M \cap A/B$ a c-section of M. All such c-sections are isomorphic, and this concept is related those of c-ideals and ideal index previously introduced by the author. Properties of c-sections are studied and some new characterizations of solvable Lie algebras are obtained. Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 17B05, 17B20, 17B30, 17B50. Key Words and Phrases: c-section, c-ideal, ideal index, primitive, solvable, nilpotent, nil, restricted Lie algebra.

1 Preliminary results

Throughout L will denote a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field F. We denote algebra direct sums by ' \oplus ', whereas vector space direct sums will be denoted by ' \dotplus '. If B is a subalgebra of L we define B_L , the *core* (with respect to L) of B to be the largest ideal of L contained in B. In [10] we defined a subalgebra B of L to be a *c*-*ideal* of L if there is an ideal C of Lsuch that L = B + C and $B \cap C \subseteq B_L$. Let M be a maximal subalgebra of L. We say that a chief factor C/Dof L supplements M in L if L = C + M and $D \subseteq C \cap M$; if $D = C \cap M$ we say that C/D complements M in L. In [11] we defined the *ideal index* of a maximal subalgebra M of L, denoted by $\eta(L:M)$, to be the well-defined dimension of a chief factor C/D where C is an ideal minimal with respect to supplementing M in L. Here we introduce a further concept which is related to the previous two.

Let M be a maximal subalgebra of L and let C/D be a chief factor of L with $D \subseteq M$ and L = M + C. Then $(M \cap C)/D$ is called a *c*-section of M in L. The analogous concept for groups was introduced by Wang and Shirong in [14] and studied further by Li and Shi in [3].

We say that L is *primitive* if it has a maximal subalgebra M with $M_L = 0$. First we show that all c-sections of M are isomorphic.

Lemma 1.1 For every maximal subalgebra M of L there is a unique *c*-section up to isomorphism.

Proof. Clearly c-sections exist. Let $(M \cap C)/D$ be a c-section of M in L, where C/D is a chief factor of L, $D \subseteq M$ and L = M + C. First we show that this c-section is isomorphic to one in which $D = M_L$. Clearly $D \subseteq M_L \cap C \subseteq C$, so either $M_L \cap C = C$ or $M_L \cap C = D$. If the former holds, then $C \subseteq M_L$, giving L = M, a contradiction. In the latter case put $E = C + M_L$. Then $E/M_L \cong C/D$ is a chief factor and $(M \cap E)/M_L$ is a c-section. Moreover,

$$\frac{M \cap E}{M_L} = \frac{M_L + M \cap C}{M_L} \cong \frac{M \cap C}{M_L \cap C} = \frac{M \cap C}{D}.$$

So suppose that $(M \cap C_1)/M_L$ and $(M \cap C_2)/M_L$ are two c-sections, where C_1/M_L , C_2/M_L are chief factors and $L = M + C_1 = M + C_2$. Then L/M_L is primitive and so either $C_1 = C_2$ or else $C_1/M_L \cong C_2/M_L$ and $C_1 \cap M = M_L = C_2 \cap M$, by [13, Theorem 1.1]. In the latter case both c-sections are trivial. \Box

Given a Lie algebra L with a maximal subalgebra M we define Sec(M) to be the Lie algebra which is isomorphic to any c-section of M; we call the natural number $\eta^*(L:M) = \dim Sec(M)$ the *c-index* of M in L.

The relationship between c-ideals and c-sections, and between ideal index and c-index, for a maximal subalgebra M of L is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2 Let M be a maximal subalgebra of a Lie algebra L. Then

- (i) M is a c-ideal of L if and only if Sec(M) = 0; and
- (*ii*) $\eta^*(L:M) = \eta(L:M) \dim(L/M).$

Proof.

(i) Suppose first that M is a c-ideal of L. Then there is an ideal C of L such that L = M + C and $M \cap C \subseteq M_L$. Then $M \cap C = M_L \cap C$ is an ideal of L. Let K be an ideal of L with $M \cap C \subset K \subseteq C$. Then $K \not\subseteq M$, so L = M + K and $M \cap C = M \cap K$. This yields that $\dim L = \dim M + \dim K - \dim(M \cap K) = \dim M + \dim C - \dim(M \cap C)$, so K = C and $C/(M \cap C)$ is a chief factor of L. It follows that Sec(M) = 0.

The converse is clear.

(ii) Let C/D be a chief factor such that L = M + C and C is minimal in the set of ideals supplementing M in L. Then $\eta(L:M) = \dim(C/D)$, by the definition of ideal index. Thus,

$$\eta(L:M) = \dim(C/D) = \dim C - \dim D$$

= dim C - dim C \cap M + dim C \cap M - dim D
= dim L - dim M + dim(C \cap M/D)
= dim(L/M) + \eta^*(L:M).

Lemma 1.3 Let A/B be an abelian chief factor of L. Then any maximal subalgebra of L that supplements A/B must complement A/B.

Proof. Let M supplement A/B, so L = A + M and $B \subseteq M$. Then $[L, M \cap A] = [A + M, M \cap A] \subseteq B + M \cap A = M \cap A$. So $M \cap A$ is an ideal of L and $M \cap A = B$. \Box

The following lemma will also be useful.

Lemma 1.4 Let $B \subseteq M \subseteq L$, where M is maximal in L and B is an ideal of L. Then $Sec(M) \cong Sec(M/B)$.

Proof. Clearly M/B is a maximal subalgebra of L/B. Let (C/B)/(D/B) be a chief factor of L/B such that $D/B \subseteq M/B$ and C/B + M/B = L/B.

Then C/D is a chief factor of L such that L = C + M and $D \subseteq M$. Hence $Sec(M) \cong C \cap M/D \cong Sec(M/B)$. \Box

In [13] it was shown that a primitive Lie algebra can be one of three types: it is said to be

- 1. primitive of type 1 if it has a unique minimal ideal that is abelian;
- 2. *primitive of type* 2 if it has a unique minimal ideal that is non-abelian; and
- 3. *primitive of type* 3 if it has precisely two distinct minimal ideals each of which is non-abelian.

If M is a maximal subalgebra of L, then L/M_L is clearly primitive; we say that M is of type i if L/M_L is primitive of type i for i = 1, 2, 3. Then we have the following result.

Lemma 1.5 Let L be a Lie algebra over a field F and let M be a maximal subalgebra of L.

- (i) If M is of type 1 or 3 then Sec(M) = 0.
- (ii) If F has characteristic zero and M is of type 2 then $Sec(M) \cong M/M_L$.

Proof.

- (i) This follows from [13, Theorem 1.1 3(a), (c)].
- (ii) Let A/B be a nonabelian chief factor that is supplemented by M, so L = A + M and $B = A \cap M_L$. Then L/M_L is simple, by [13, Theorem 1.7 2], which implies that $L = A + M_L$. Hence

$$\frac{M}{M_L} = \frac{M \cap (A + M_L)}{M_L} = \frac{M \cap A + M_L}{M_L} \cong \frac{M \cap A}{M_L \cap A} = \frac{M \cap A}{B} = Sec(M).$$

2 Main results

First we can state Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 of [10] in terms of c-sections as follows.

Theorem 2.1 Let L be a Lie algebra over a field F. Then

- (i) every maximal subalgebra M of L has trivial c-section if and only if L is solvable; and
- (ii) if F has characteristic zero, or is algebraically closed of characteristic greater than 5, then L has a maximal subalgebra with trivial c-section if and only if L is solvable.

Theorem 2.2 Let L be a Lie algebra over a field F of characteristic zero. Then Sec(M) is solvable for all maximal subalgebras M of L if and only if L = R + S, where R is the (solvable) radical of L and S is a direct sum of simple algebras which are minimal non-abelian or isomorphic to $sl_2(F)$.

Proof. Suppose first that Sec(M) is solvable for all maximal subalgebras M of L, and let L = R + S be the Levi decomposition of L. Then Sec(M) is solvable for all maximal subalgebras M of S, by Lemma 1.4. Let $S = S_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus S_n$, where S_i is simple for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. If M contains all S_i apart from S_j , then $Sec(M) \cong M \cap S_j$, so every subalgebra of S_j is solvable. It follows from [9, Theorem 2.2 and the remarks following it] that S_j is minimal non-abelian or isomorphic to $sl_2(F)$ for each $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Suppose conversely that L has the claimed form and let M be a maximal subalgebra of L. Every chief factor of L is either abelian or simple, and so every c-section of M is either abelian or isomorphic to a proper subalgebra of one of the simple components of S. In either case Sec(M) is solvable. \Box

Corollary 2.3 Let L be a Lie algebra over a field F and suppose that every maximal subalgebra has c-index k. Then

(i) if k > 0, L must be semisimple.

Suppose further that F has characteristic zero. Then

- (ii) every simple ideal of its Levi factor must have all of its maximal subalgebras of dimension k;
- (iii) k = 0 if and only if L is solvable;
- (iv) k = 1 if and only if $\sqrt{F} \not\subseteq F$ and L is a direct sum of non-isomorphic three-dimensional non-split simple ideals; and
- (v) k = 2 if and only if L is a direct sum of non-isomorphic ideals and either (a) each of these ideals is a minimal non-abelian simple Lie algebra with all maximal subalgebras of dimension 2, or (b) $\sqrt{F} \subseteq F$ and one of the ideals is isomorphic to $sl_2(F)$, whilst any others are

minimal non-abelian simple Lie algebras with all maximal subalgebras of dimension 2.

Proof.

- (i) If L has non-trivial radical, it has an abelian chief factor which is supplemented, and hence complemented, by Lemma 1.3, so k = 0.
- (ii) This is clear.
- (iii) This is Theorem 2.1 (i).
- (iv) Suppose that k = 1. Then L is semisimple and each simple component has all of its maximal subalgebras one dimensional, by (i) and (ii). It follows that they are three-dimensional simple and $\sqrt{F} \not\subseteq F$, by [12, Theorem 3.4]. Moreover, they must be non-split. If there are two that are isomorphic, say S and $\theta(S)$, where θ is an isomorphism, then the diagonal subalgebra $\{s + \theta(s) : s \in S\}$ is maximal in $S \oplus \theta(S)$. But this together with the simple components other than S and $\theta(S)$ gives a maximal subalgebra M of L with c-index 0 in L.

Conversely, suppose that L is a direct sum of non-isomorphic threedimensional simple ideals, $S_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus S_n$, and $\sqrt{F} \not\subseteq F$. Let M be a maximal subalgebra of L with $S_i \not\subseteq M$ and $S_j \not\subseteq M$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq$ n with $i \neq j$. Then $L = M + S_i = M + S_j$ which yields that $M \cap S_i$ and $M \cap S_j$ are ideals of L and hence are trivial. But then $S_i \cong L/M \cong S_j$, a contradiction. It follows that every maximal subalgebra contains all but one of the simple components and hence that k = 1.

(v) This is similar to (iv), using Theorem 2.2 and noting that $sl_2(F)$ has a one-dimensional maximal subalgebra if and only if $\sqrt{F} \not\subseteq F$, by [12, Theorem 3.4].

Note that algebras as described in Corollary 2.3 do exist as the following example shows. This example was constructed by Gejn (see [2, Example 3.5]).

EXAMPLE 2.1 Let L be the Lie algebra generated by the matrices

$$f_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -E \\ 0 & E & 0 \end{pmatrix}, f_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -E & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, f_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -A & 0 \\ E & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -A \\ 0 & A & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2E \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -A & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2E & 0 \\ A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, with repect to the operation [,], over the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} . Then L is simple nonabelian (see [2, Example 3.5]), and the maximal subalgebras are $\mathbb{Q}f_i + \mathbb{Q}g_i$ for i = 1, 2, 3.

EXAMPLE 2.2 Gejn also goes on to construct simple minimal nonabelian Lie algebras over \mathbb{Q} of dimension 3k for $k \geq 1$ by putting

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

E as the $k \times k$ identity matrix and 0 as the $k \times k$ zero matrix (see [2, Example 3.6]). It is straightforward to check that in these every maximal subalgebra has c-index k.

The following corollary is straightforward.

Corollary 2.4 Let L = R + S be a Lie algebra over a field F of characteristic zero, where R is the radical and S is a Levi factor, and suppose that Lhas a maximal subalgebra with c-index k. Then

- (i) if k > 0 then $S \neq 0$;
- (ii) k = 1 if and only if $\sqrt{F} \not\subseteq F$ and S has a minimal ideal A which is three-dimensional simple;
- (iii) k > 1 if and only if S has a minimal ideal with a maximal subalgebra of dimension k.

Recall that a triple $(G, [p], \iota)$ consisting of a restricted Lie algebra (G, [p])and a homomorphism $\iota : L \to G$ is called a *p*-envelope of L if (a) ι is injective and (b) the *p*-algebra generated by $\iota(L)$ equals G. If L is finite-dimensional then it has a finite-dimensional *p*-envelope (see, for example, [7, Section 2.5]). Let $(L_p, [p], \iota)$ be a *p*-envelope of L. If S is a subalgebra of L we denote by S_p the restricted subalgebra of L_p generated by $\iota(S)$. Then the *(absolute) toral rank* of S in L, TR(S, L), is defined by

$$TR(S,L) = \max\{\dim(T) : T \text{ is a torus of } (S_p + Z(L_p))/Z(L_p)\}.$$

This definition is independent of the *p*-envelope chosen (see [8]). We write TR(L,L) = TR(L). A Lie algebra *L* is *monolithic* if it has a unique minimal ideal (the *monolith* of *L*). The *Frattini ideal*, $\phi(L)$, is the largest ideal contained in every maximal subalgebra of *L*. We put $L^{(0)} = L$, $L^{(n)} = [L^{(n-1)}, L^{(n-1)}]$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L^{(\infty)} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} L^{(n)}$.

Theorem 2.5 Let L be a Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field F of characteristic p > 0. Then Sec(M) is nilpotent for every maximal subalgebra M of L if and only L is solvable.

Proof. Let L be a minimal non-solvable Lie algebra such that Sec(M) is nilpotent for every maximal subalgebra M of L, and let R be the (solvable) radical of L. If L is simple then every maximal subalgebra of L is nilpotent, and no such Lie algebra exists over an algebraically closed field. So L has a minimal ideal A, and L/A is solvable. If there are two distinct minimal ideals A_1 and A_2 , then L/A_1 and L/A_2 are solvable, whence $L \cong L/(A_1 \cap A_2)$ is solvable, a contradiction. Hence L is monolithic with monolith A. If $A \subseteq R$ then again L would be solvable, so L is semisimple and $\phi(L) = 0$. Thus, there is a maximal subalgebra M of L such that L = M + A.

Put $C = M \cap A$ which is an ideal of M. If $\operatorname{ad} a$ is nilpotent for all $a \in A$ then L is solvable, a contradiction. Hence there exists $a \in A$ such that $\operatorname{ad} a$ is not nilpotent. Let $L = L_0 + L_1$ be the Fitting decomposition of L relative to $\operatorname{ad} a$. Then $L_0 \neq L$ and $L_1 \subseteq A$, so that if P is a maximal subalgebra containing L_0 , we have L = A + P and $a \in A \cap P$. We can, therefore, assume that $C \neq 0$.

Then C is nilpotent and $L/A \cong M/C$ is solvable, whence M is solvable. Now $[M, N_A(C)] \subseteq N_A(C)$, so $M + N_A(C)$ is a subalgebra of L. But $L = M + N_A(C)$ implies that C is an ideal of L, from which C = A and L is solvable, a contradiction. It follows that $M = M + N_A(C)$, and so $N_A(C) = M \cap A = C$, and C is a Cartan subalgebra of A. Now C_p is a Cartan subalgebra of A_p , by [15, Lemma], and so there is a maximal torus $T \subseteq A_p$ such that $C_p = C_{L_p}(T)$ (see [5]).

Let $A_0(T) + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_p} A_{i\alpha}$ be a 1-section with respect to T. Then every element of C acts nilpotently on L_0 , the Fitting null-component relative to T, and thus so does every element of C_p . It follows that $L = L_0 + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_p} A_{i\alpha}$ so $L^{(\infty)} = A$ is simple with TR(A) = 1. We therefore have that

$$p \neq 2$$
, $A \in \{sl_2(F), W(1:\underline{1}), H(2:\underline{1})^{(1)}\}$ if $p > 3$
and $A \in \{sl_2(F), psl_3(F)\}$ if $p = 3$,

by [4] and [6]. But now, dim $A_{\alpha} = 1$ (by [1, Corollary 3.8] for all but $psl_3(F)$, and this is straightforward to check) and $M = L_0 \subset L_0 + A_{\alpha} \subset L$, a contradiction. It follows that L is solvable.

The converse is clear. \Box

A subalgebra U of L is *nil* if $\operatorname{ad} u$ acts nilpotently on L for all $u \in U$. Notice that we cannot replace 'nilpotent' in Theorem 2.5 by 'solvable' or 'supersolvable' and draw the same conclusion, as $sl_2(F)$ is a counterexample. However, we can prove the same result with 'nilpotent' replaced by the stronger condition 'nil' without any restrictions on the field F.

Theorem 2.6 Let L be a Lie algebra over any field F. Then Sec(M) is nil for every maximal subalgebra M of L if and only if L is solvable.

Proof. Let L be a minimal non-solvable Lie algebra such that Sec(M) is nil for every maximal subalgebra M of L. If L is simple then every maximal subalgebra of L is nil. It follows that every element of L is nil and L is nilpotent, by Engel's Theorem. Hence no such Lie algebra exists. So, arguing as in paragraphs 1 and 2 of Theorem 2.5 above, L is monolithic with monolith A, L/A is solvable, and there is a maximal subalgebra M of L such that L = M + A with an element $a \in M \cap A$ such that ad a is not nilpotent. But this is a contradiction, since $A \cap M = Sec(M)$ is nil.

Once again, the converse is clear. \Box

Let (L, [p]) be a restricted Lie algebra. Recall that an element $x \in L$ is called *p*-nilpotent if there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^{[p]^n} = 0$. Then we have the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 2.7 Let L be a restricted Lie algebra over a field F of characteristic p > 0. Then Sec(M) is p-nilpotent for every maximal subalgebra M of L if and only if L is solvable.

Proof. Simply note that that a *p*-nilpotent subalgebra is nil. \Box

References

- BENKART, G.M. AND OSBORN, J.M., 'Rank one Lie algebras', Ann. of Math. (2) 119 (1984) 437–463.
- GEJN, A., 'Minimal noncommutative and minimal nonabelian algebras', Comm. Alg. 13 (2) (1985), 305–328.
- [3] LI, S. AND SHI, W., 'A note on the solvability of groups', J. Algebra, 304 (2006), 278–285.
- [4] PREMET, A., 'A generalization of Wilson's theorem on Cartan subalgebras of simple Lie algebras', J. Algebra 167 (1994), 641–703.
- [5] SELIGMAN, G., Modular Lie algebras, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 40, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.
- [6] SKRYABIN, S.M., 'Toral rank one simple Lie algebras of low characteristics', J. Algebra 200 (1998), 650–700.
- [7] STRADE, H. AND FARNSTEINER, R., Modular Lie algebras and their representations, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [8] STRADE, H., 'The absolute toral rank of a Lie algebra', Workshop on Lie algebras (Benkart and Osborn, Eds.), Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1373 (1989), 1–28.
- [9] TOWERS, D.A., 'Minimal non-supersolvable Lie algebras' Algebras, Groups and Geometries 2 (1985), 1–9.
- [10] TOWERS, D.A., 'C-ideals of Lie algebras' Comm. Alg. 37 (2009), 4366– 4373.
- [11] TOWERS, D.A., 'The index complex of a maximal subalgebra of a Lie algebra', Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. 54 (2011), 531–542.
- [12] TOWERS, D.A., 'Subalgebras that cover or avoid chief factors of Lie algebras', arXiv:1311.7270v2 [math.RA] to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [13] TOWERS, D.A., 'Maximal subalgebras and chief factors of Lie algebras', http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.6180.
- [14] WANG, Y. AND SHIRONG, L., 'c-sections of maximal subgroups of finite groups', J. Algebra 229 (2000), 86–94.

[15] WILSON, R.L., 'Cartan subalgebras of simple Lie algebras', Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 234, 435–446 (1977).