

TOLEDO INVARIANT OF LATTICES IN $SU(2,1)$ VIA SYMMETRIC SQUARE

INKANG KIM AND GENKAI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we address the issue of quaternionic Toledo invariant to study the character variety of two dimensional complex hyperbolic uniform lattices into $SU(n, 2)$. We construct four distinct representations to prove that the character variety contains at least four distinct components. We also address the existence of holomorphic horizontal lift to various period domains of $SU(n, 2)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

After Weil's local rigidity theorem of uniform lattices in semisimple Lie groups, there have been many generalizations in different contexts. Due to Margulis' superrigidity and Corelette's theorem, lattices in higher rank semisimple Lie groups and in quaternionic, octonionic hyperbolic groups are very rigid. Hence it is only meaningful to study the embedding of uniform lattices in real and complex hyperbolic spaces into bigger Lie groups.

Several studies have been done for complex hyperbolic lattices in different Lie groups. In terms of maximal representations, Burger and Iozzi studied the representations of a lattice in $SU(1, p)$ with values in a Hermitian Lie group G [2, 3]. Koziarz and Maubon [11] studied the similar representations in rank 2 Hermitian Lie groups. Pozzetti [13] deals with maximal representations of complex hyperbolic lattices in $SU(m, n)$. Recently Oscar-Garcia and Toledo [5] proved a global rigidity of complex hyperbolic lattices in quaternionic hyperbolic spaces. More precisely, they defined the Toledo invariant $c(\rho)$ of a complex

¹2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 51M10, 57S25.

²*Key words and phrases.* Quaternionic structure, Toledo invariant, character variety, symmetric square.

³Research partially supported by STINT-NRF grant (2011-0031291). Research by G. Zhang is supported partially by the Swedish Science Council (VR). I. Kim gratefully acknowledges the partial support of grant (NRF-2014R1A2A2A01005574) and a warm support of Chalmers University of Technology during his stay.

hyperbolic lattice Γ under the representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow PSp(n, 1)$ by

$$\int_M f_\rho^* \omega \wedge \omega_0^{n-2}$$

where f_ρ is a descended map to $M = \Gamma \backslash PSU(n, 1) / S(U(n) \times U(1))$ from a ρ -equivariant map from $H_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ to $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$. Here ω is the quaternionic Kähler form on $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ and ω_0 is the complex Kähler form on M . They showed that this invariant $c(\rho)$ satisfies Milnor-Wood inequality and the maximality holds if and only if the representation stabilizes a copy of $H_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ inside $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$. Such a use of Toledo invariant goes back to Toledo [16] where he proves that a maximal representation from a surface group into $SU(1, q)$ fixes a complex geodesic. Hernandez [7] also studied maximal representations from a surface group into $SU(2, q)$ and showed that the image must stabilize a symmetric space associated to the group $SU(2, 2)$.

In this paper we attempt to generalize their result to different quaternionic Kähler manifolds. The first goal would be to prove a similar result in

$$\Gamma \subset SU(n, 1) \subset SU(2n, 2)$$

using Toledo invariant

$$c(\rho) = \int_M f_\rho^* \omega^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

for n even where ω is the quaternionic Kähler 4-form on the associated symmetric space of $SU(2n, 2)$. This Toledo invariant is constant on each connected component of the character variety $\chi(\Gamma, SU(2n, 2))$. Hence it can be used to distinguish different components of the character variety.

As a starting point, we consider the simplest case

$$\Gamma \subset SU(2, 1) \subset SU(n, 2),$$

$n \geq 4$. This case is interesting because the symmetric space of $SU(n, 2)$ has both Hermitian and quaternionic structures and it is worth to study the interplay between them. We will consider several different embeddings coming from the natural holomorphic, totally real and symmetric square representations, and obtain

Theorem 1.1. *There are at least 7 distinct connected components in $\chi(\Gamma, SU(n, 2))$ where $\Gamma \subset SU(2, 1)$ is a uniform lattice.*

Here the group $SU(n, 2)$ acts on $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, SU(n, 2))$ via conjugation on the target group and the character variety is defined by

$$\chi(\Gamma, SU(n, 2)) = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, SU(n, 2)) // SU(n, 2)$$

in the sense of geometric invariant theory.

This is one of the first examples known in higher dimensional complex hyperbolic lattices. For different examples of character variety $\chi(\Gamma, SU(2, 1))$, see [17]. It is known in surface group case that there are $6(g - 1) + 1$ distinct components in $\chi(\pi_1(S), PSU(2, 1))$ [6, 18]. Indeed, in [6], a discrete faithful representation into $SU(2, 1)$ is constructed that on each component of $S \setminus \Sigma_0$, where Σ_0 is a set of disjoint simple closed geodesics, the representation stabilizes either a complex line or a totally real plane. Then the Toledo invariants are maximal on pieces contained in complex line, are zero on pieces contained in totally real plane. Hence one can realize any even integer between $\chi(S)$ and $-\chi(S)$. This implies that there are $6(g - 1) + 1$ distinct components in $\chi(\pi_1(S), PSU(2, 1))$.

To prove the global rigidity, the common technique known so far is to consider a holomorphic horizontal lifting of a ρ -equivariant map to a proper period domain (or twistor space) where one can do complex geometry. It was successful in the case that Oscar-Garcia and Toledo considered in [5]. But in general, for higher rank case, there even does not exist a horizontal holomorphic lifting. At the last section of this paper, we give two cases where there exists or does not exist a holomorphic horizontal lifting of a symmetric square representation.

Theorem 1.2. *Consider the symmetric square representation ρ of $SU(2, 1)$ in $SU(4, 2)$ and in $SU(n, 2)$, $n \geq 4$, via the inclusion $SU(4, 2) \subseteq SU(n, 2)$. Let $\iota : B \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the totally geodesic map induced by the representation ρ where $B = SU(2, 1)/S(U(2) \times U(1))$ and $\mathcal{X} = SU(n, 2)/S(U(n) \times U(2))$. Then it lifts to a holomorphic horizontal map to the period domain $\mathcal{D}_2 = SU(n, 2)/S(U(n - 1) \times U(1) \times U(2))$.*

See Section 3.2 for the definition of the symmetric square representation.

We thank D. Toledo for numerous discussions and suggestions for various period domains for liftability problem. We also thank B. Klingler for a suggestion for possible different period domains. Lastly, we thank Mathematics department at Stanford University where the first author spent a sabbatical year and the second author visited in June 2014 while part of this paper was written.

2. QUATERNIONIC STRUCTURE OF \mathcal{X} AND ITS PERIOD DOMAINS

2.1. Quaternionic Kähler manifold in general. A Riemannian manifold M of real dimension $4n$ is quaternionic Kähler if its holonomy group is contained in $Sp(n)Sp(1)$. We denote by \mathcal{P}_M the canonical $Sp(n)Sp(1)$ -reduction of the principal bundle of orthogonal frames

of M , and by \mathcal{E}_M the canonical three-dimensional parallel subbundle $\mathcal{P}_M \times_{Sp(n)Sp(1)} \mathbb{R}^3$ of $End(TM)$. Since $Sp(n)Sp(1)$ -module $\wedge^4(\mathbb{R}^{4n})^*$ admits a unique trivial submodule of rank 1, any quaternionic Kähler manifold M admits a nonzero closed 4-form ω , canonical up to homothety. In [14], it is proved that the form ω (properly normalized) is the Chern-Weil form of the first Pontryagin class $p_1(\mathcal{E}_M) \in H^4(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

Let N be a smooth closed manifold and $\rho : \pi_1(N) \rightarrow G$ a representation into a quaternionic Kähler group G , i.e., the associated symmetric space is a quaternionic Kähler noncompact irreducible symmetric space X . Choose any ρ -equivariant smooth map $\phi : \tilde{N} \rightarrow X$. The pullback $\phi^*\mathcal{E}_X$ descends to a bundle over N , still denoted $\phi^*\mathcal{E}_X$. By the functoriality of characteristic classes, the 4-form $\phi^*\omega$ represents the Pontryagin class $p_1(\phi^*\mathcal{E}_X) \in H^4(N, \mathbb{Z})$. As X is contractible, any two ρ -equivariant maps give rise to the same class depending only on ρ . Then by the integrality of the Pontryagin class, the quaternionic Toledo invariant $c(\rho) = \int_N \phi^*\omega^{\frac{n}{2}}$ is constant on each connected component of the character variety.

2.2. Kähler and Quaternionic structures of $SU(2n, 2)/S(U(2n) \times U(2))$. Let $G = SU(p, q)$, $p \geq q$, be in its standard realization as linear transformations on $\mathbb{C}^{p+q} = \mathbb{C}^p \oplus \mathbb{C}^q$ preserving the indefinite Hermitian form of signature (p, q) . We shall later specify G to the case $SU(2n, 2)$ or $SU(n, 1)$. Let \mathcal{X} be the Hermitian symmetric space $\mathcal{X} = G/K$, $K = S(U(p) \times U(q))$. We recall briefly [15] the Harish-Chandra realization of the symmetric space \mathcal{X} into $M_{p \times q}$ which might be useful in understanding various totally geodesic embeddings in our present paper. Fix $V_0^+ = \mathbb{C}^p, V_0^- = \mathbb{C}^q$ mutually orthogonal subspaces of \mathbb{C}^{p+q} which are positive and negative definite respectively with respect to the Hermitian form $h^{\mathbb{C}}$. Fix orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_p\}, \{e_{p+1}, e_{p+q}\}$ of V_0^+, V_0^- respectively. Then G acts on the set \mathcal{X} of q -dimensional negative definite subspaces. Any other q -dimensional negative definite subspace V^- is a graph of a unique linear map $A_{p \times q} = (z_{ij})$ from V_0^- so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p e_i z_{ij} + e_{p+j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, q$$

form a basis of V^- . Hence \mathcal{X} is identified with

$$\{Z \in M_{p \times q} : I_q - Z^t \bar{Z} > 0\}.$$

The center of maximal compact subgroup K is parameterized by the center of $U(p)$ and it defines a complex Kähler structure. To be more precise let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G , and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ its Cartan

decomposition, where \mathfrak{k} is the Lie algebra of K , with \mathfrak{p} consisting of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ A^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A \in M_{p \times q}.$$

The real tangent space at $o = eK$ of $\mathcal{X} = G/K$ is identified with \mathfrak{p} . The complex structure J on $T_o\mathcal{X}$ acts as

$$J \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ A^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iA \\ -iA^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Kähler metric on $T_o\mathcal{X}$ is

$$g_o(X, Y) = 2\text{Tr}(YX) = 4\text{ReTr}(B^*A), \text{ for } X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ A^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The corresponding complex Kähler form is

$$(1) \quad \Omega_o(X, Y) = g_o(JX, Y).$$

Now let $G = SU(2n, 2)$. The second factor $U(2)$ of K defines a quaternionic structure as follows. The holomorphic tangent space of \mathcal{X} at o is identified with $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_{2n \times 2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where $M_{2n \times 2}$ denotes $2n$ by 2 complex matrix. The real tangent space will be parametrized and identified with the holomorphic tangent space. The three elements of $SU(2)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

act on the tangent space as the quaternionic multiplications by i, j, k as follows. The adjoint action of $\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n} & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 \\ \dots & \\ x_{2n} & y_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n} & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \begin{pmatrix} x_1 i & y_1(-i) \\ x_2 i & y_2(-i) \\ \dots & \\ x_{2n} i & y_{2n}(-i) \end{pmatrix} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if we identify a matrix $(x, y) \in M_{2n \times 2} = \mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ with a quaternionic vector $q \in \mathbb{H}^{2n}$, with $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}j$ being the quaternionic number, by

$$X = (x, y) \leftrightarrow q_X = (x_1 + y_1j, x_2 + y_2j, \dots, x_{2n} + y_{2n}j),$$

the previous matrix is identified with the quaternionic vector

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1i + y_1(-i)j, x_2i + y_2(-i)j, \dots, x_{2n}i + y_{2n}(-i)j) \\ &= (x_1 + y_1j, x_2 + y_2j, \dots, x_{2n} + y_{2n}j)i = q_X i, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the adjoint action of $\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$ is just the multiplication $q_X \mapsto q_X i$ by i on the right. It is easy to check that the adjoint action of the other two elements correspond to the multiplication by j and k on the right. When no confusion would arise we shall just write the identification $Z \rightarrow q_Z$ as $q_Z = Z$.

The parallel closed nondegenerate quaternionic Kähler 4-form, at the origin is given by

$$(2) \quad \omega = \omega_i \wedge \omega_i + \omega_j \wedge \omega_j + \omega_k \wedge \omega_k$$

where

$$\omega_u(X, Y) = \operatorname{Re}(q_X \cdot \bar{q}_Y u), \quad u = i, j, k,$$

and $p \cdot \bar{q} = \sum_{m=1}^{2n} p_m \bar{q}_m$ is the standard quaternionic Hermitian form on \mathbb{H}^{2n} and $\operatorname{Re} x = x_0$ is the real part of a quaternionic number $x = x_0 + x_1i + x_2j + x_3k$.

Then it is easy to check that this ω and Ω_o^2 , where Ω_o is the complex Kähler form on \mathcal{X} defined above, are linearly independent on $H^4(M, \mathbb{R})$ where $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{X}$.

2.3. Twister space and Period domain of the quaternionic structures of $SU(n, 2)/S(U(n) \times U(2))$. We describe one twister space and one period domain for the quaternionic structures of $G/K = SU(n, 2)/S(U(n) \times U(2))$. By equivalent we mean there exists a G -invariant biholomorphic mapping between them.

For any Lie algebra \mathfrak{s} we denote its complexification by $\mathfrak{s}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $\mathcal{D}_1 = SU(n, 2)/S(U(n) \times U(1) \times U(1))$ be a twister space. We shall realize it as an open subset in a homogeneous flag manifold. Let $W = \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ and let W^* be the dual space equipped with the G -invariant metric of signature $(n, 2)$. Denote $\{\epsilon_j\}$ in W^* the dual basis of $\{E_j\}$. Let \mathcal{D}_1 be the set of orthogonal pairs (l, λ) in $\mathbb{P}(W) \times \mathbb{P}(W^*)$, i.e., satisfying $\epsilon(e) = 0$ for all $(e, \epsilon) \in l \times \lambda$. As a homogeneous manifold of $SU(n, 2)$, $\mathcal{D}_1 = SU(n, 2)/S(U(n) \times U(1) \times U(1))$ can be realized as the subset (l, λ) such that l and λ are negative definite. Indeed, first it is elementary

to see that $SU(n, 2)$ acts transitively on the subset of lines. Second we need to check that a stabilizer of (l, λ) is $S(U(n) \times U(1) \times U(1))$. A stabilizer of the negative 2-plane $l + (\ker \lambda)^\perp$ in W is $S(U(n) \times U(2))$ and a stabilizer in $S(U(n) \times U(2))$ of the pair $(l, \ker \lambda)$ of subspaces in W , equivalently the pair (l, λ) in $\mathbb{P}(W) \times \mathbb{P}(W^*)$, is exactly $U(1) \times U(1)$. Hence as a differentiable manifold \mathcal{D}_1 has such a realization.

Then $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathbb{P}(W) \times \mathbb{P}(W^*)$ is an open subset equipped with the corresponding complex structure.

In general if a homogeneous manifold $G/(L \times U(1))$ has $U(1)$ factor in the stabilizer, it inherits a complex structure as follows. Let $\mathfrak{u}(1) = \mathbb{R}iH_1$ and consider the root space decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ under the action of

$$H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & 0 \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} & \\ 0 & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Set \mathfrak{b} to be the Borel subalgebra consisting of zero and negative eigenspaces. The positive eigenspace constitutes the holomorphic tangent space as an open set

$$G/(L \times U(1)) \subset G^\mathbb{C}/B.$$

We find the holomorphic tangent space of \mathcal{D}_1 in this context. To find a realization of the complex tangent space we fix the pair $(\mathbb{C}E_{n+2}, \mathbb{C}\epsilon_{n+1})$ as a base point of \mathcal{D}_1 . The space \mathcal{D}_1 is an open subset of the complex homogeneous space of $SL(n+2, \mathbb{C})/B$, where B is the Borel subgroup whose Lie algebra consists of elements in $\mathfrak{sl}(n+2, \mathbb{C})$ of the special form.

To justify this, note that B is equal to the stabilizer of $(\mathbb{C}E_{n+2}, \mathbb{C}\epsilon_{n+1})$. Hence B should have the block matrix of form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix},$$

the size of the matrix being $(n+1+1) \times (n+1+1)$. Alternatively \mathfrak{b} consists of non-positive roots spaces of H_1 , i.e. eigenspaces of $ad(H_1)$. Thus holomorphic tangent space \mathfrak{n} consists of elements of $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ of the form, the size of the matrix being the same as above,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We consider now the domain $\mathcal{D}_2 = SU(n, 2)/S(U(n-1) \times U(1) \times U(2))$. More precisely let $\{E_1, \dots, E_n; E_{n+1}, E_{n+2}\}$ be the standard

basis of \mathbb{C}^{n+2} as before and

$$H_2 = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -n, 1, 0, 0) \in \mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}}$$

and let $U(1) = \exp(i\mathbb{R}H_2)$ be the corresponding subgroup of K . The centralizer of H_2 in K is then $U(n-1) \times U(1) \times U(2)$. Here $U(n-1)$ stands for the unitary group of the subspace $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} := \langle E_1, \dots, E_{n-2}, E_n \rangle$.

Now the eigenspaces of positive eigenvalues of $\text{ad}(H_2)$ constitute the holomorphic tangent space of \mathcal{D}_2 :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

written in block form of size $((n-2)+1+1+2) \times ((n-2)+1+1+2)$.

The compact homogeneous space $\mathcal{D}_2^c := SU(n+2)/S(U(n-1) \times U(1) \times U(2))$ is precisely the partial flag manifold of pairs (p_1, p_2) of subspaces $p_1 \subset p_2$ in \mathbb{C}^{n+2} of dimensions 1 and n respectively. In particular the map $(p_1, p_2) \mapsto p_2$ from \mathcal{D}_2^c to the Grassmannian manifold $Gr_n(n+2)$ realizes \mathcal{D}_2^c as the projectivization of the tautological bundle of $Gr_n(n+2)$.

2.4. Cohomology groups of Period domains. Let $\mathcal{X}^c = SU(n+2)/S(U(n) \times U(2))$ be the compact dual of \mathcal{X} . Then \mathcal{X}^c can be realized as Grassmannian manifolds of two planes in \mathbb{C}^{n+2} .

Proposition 2.1. (1) *The cohomology group $H^4(\mathcal{D}_1^c)$ is three dimensional and is generated by $\pi^*(\Omega^2), \pi^*(\omega), \hat{\Omega}^2$.*

(2) *Let $n \geq 3$. The cohomology group $H^4(\mathcal{D}_2^c)$ is four dimensional and is generated by $\pi^*(\Omega^2), \pi^*(\omega), \hat{\Omega}^2, \hat{\Omega} \wedge \pi^*(\Omega)$.*

Proof. The twister space \mathcal{D}_1^c forms a $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 = S^2$ -bundle over the Grassmannian manifold \mathcal{X}^c . We recall the Gysin complex [1, Proposition 14.33] for the sphere covering $\pi : \mathcal{D}_1^c \mapsto \mathcal{X}^c$,

$$H^1(\mathcal{X}^c) \xrightarrow{\wedge e} H^4(\mathcal{X}^c) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^4(\mathcal{D}_1^c) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H^2(\mathcal{X}^c) \xrightarrow{\wedge e} H^5(\mathcal{X}^c)$$

where $\wedge e$ is the multiplication by the Euler class e of the sphere bundle, π^* is the pull-back and π_* is the integration along the fiber S^2 . Now $H^1(\mathcal{X}^c) = 0, H^5(\mathcal{X}^c) = 0, H^2(\mathcal{X}^c) = \mathbb{R}$ and $H^4(\mathcal{X}^c) = \mathbb{R}^2$ since the cohomology of \mathcal{X}^c is known; see e.g. [1, Proposition 23.1] for the computation of the cohomology in complex coefficients. Thus the above sequence reduces to

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 = H^4(\mathcal{X}^c) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^4(\mathcal{D}_1^c) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \mathbb{R} = H^2(\mathcal{X}^c) \rightarrow 0,$$

from which we deduce that $H^4(\mathcal{D}^c) = \mathbb{R}^3$. It follows further that π^* is an injection. The square $\hat{\Omega}^2$ of the Kähler form is clearly not contained in $\pi^*H^4(\mathcal{X}^c)$ since its integration along the fibers are nonzero, thus $H^4(\mathcal{D}^c)$ is generated by $\pi^*(\omega)$, $\pi^*(\Omega^2)$, and $\hat{\Omega}^2$. This proves (1).

Note that the space \mathcal{D}_2^c is the projectivization of the tautological bundle of the Grassmannian \mathcal{X}^c of n dimensional subspaces $[V]$ in \mathbb{C}^{n+2} . The $(1,1)$ -form $\hat{\Omega}$ restricted to each fiber $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is the Chern class $c_1(\mathbb{P}(V))$ of the projective space. It follows from the Leray-Hirsch theorem [1, (5.11), (20.7)] or by [1, (20.8)] that $H^4(\mathcal{D}_2^c)$ is of dimension 4 and is generated by the four forms as claimed. \square

2.5. Pseudo-Riemannian metrics on Period domains. Let $\mathcal{X} = SU(n, 2)/S(U(n) \times U(2))$ and $\mathcal{D} = SU(n, 2)/K'$ where K' is a subgroup of $K = S(U(n) \times U(2))$. The metric on \mathcal{X} comes from the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} whose tangent space at $o = eK$ is identified with \mathfrak{p} according to a Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$. Hence the metric on a period domain \mathcal{D} comes from the Killing form on $\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{t}' \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ where \mathfrak{t}' is the Lie algebra of K' . This metric is positive definite on the horizontal direction \mathfrak{p} which coincide with the metric on \mathcal{X} , negative definite on $\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{t}'$ along the fibre direction of the projection $\pi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. If Ω is a Kahler form on \mathcal{X} defined by such a metric, $\hat{\Omega}$ pseudo-Kähler form on \mathcal{D} , then on the horizontal direction of $T\mathcal{D}$, $\hat{\Omega}$ and $\pi^*\Omega$ coincide since the Kahler form is determined by the metric as in Equation (1). We normalize a quaternionic Kahler form ω on \mathcal{X} so that its restriction to a copy of $H_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ in \mathcal{X} is equal to Ω^2 .

3. TOTALLY GEODESIC EMBEDDINGS OF THE COMPLEX HYPERBOLIC SPACE B IN \mathcal{X} AND THEIR POSSIBLE HOLOMORPHIC LIFTINGS TO PERIOD DOMAINS

We consider several natural totally geodesic imbeddings of the complex ball B^m into the quaternionic symmetric spaces and consider the corresponding pull-back of the quaternionic 4-forms and the Kähler forms. In [5] the authors study some holomorphic liftings of mappings from the complex hyperbolic ball to quaternionic hyperbolic ball to holomorphic mapping to the (pseudo-Hermitian) twister space, which enable them to apply a variant of Schwarz lemma and to prove rigidity theorems. Following a suggestion of Toledo we shall study holomorphic liftings in our context.

3.1. Holomorphic and totally real imbeddings. The complex hyperbolic space $H_{\mathbb{C}}^n$, i.e. the symmetric space $SU(n, 1)/U(n)$, will be realized as the unit ball B in \mathbb{C}^n as in §2.2. A natural holomorphic

embedding of $H_{\mathbb{C}}^n = B = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum |z_i|^2 < 1\}$ into \mathcal{X} is given by

$$\rho : (z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 I_2 \\ z_2 I_2 \\ \dots \\ z_n I_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

which seems to give rise to the maximal Toledo invariant of ω . The push-forward on holomorphic tangent vectors at $0 \in B$ is

$$\rho_* : x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mapsto X = (x_1, x_{1j}, \dots, x_n, x_{nj}) \in \mathbb{H}^{2n},$$

where $x_l = a_l + ib_l \in \mathbb{C}$ and on which the form ω_j and ω_k vanish and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(X, Y, Z, W) &= \omega_i \wedge \omega_i(X, Y, Z, W) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(iX \cdot \bar{Y})\operatorname{Re}(iZ \cdot \bar{W}) - \operatorname{Re}(iX \cdot \bar{Z})\operatorname{Re}(iY \cdot \bar{W}) + \operatorname{Re}(iX \cdot \bar{W})\operatorname{Re}(iY \cdot \bar{Z}) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\left(2i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{y}_i\right)\operatorname{Re}\left(2i \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \bar{w}_i\right) - \operatorname{Re}\left(2i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{z}_i\right)\operatorname{Re}\left(2i \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \bar{w}_i\right) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re}\left(2i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{w}_i\right)\operatorname{Re}\left(2i \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \bar{z}_i\right). \end{aligned}$$

But when we write $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ A^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\Omega_o(X, Y) = g_o(JX, Y) = 4\operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr}(iB^*A) = 4\operatorname{Re}\left(2i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{y}_i\right).$$

Hence

$$\Omega_o^2(X, Y, Z, W) = 16\omega(X, Y, Z, W) = 4\Omega_B^2(x, y, z, w)$$

for tangent vectors $X = \rho_*(x), Y = \rho_*(y), Z = \rho_*(z), W = \rho_*(w)$ at the image of the natural holomorphic embedding of $H_{\mathbb{C}}^n$. In other words,

$$(3) \quad \rho^* \Omega_o^2 = 16\rho^* \omega = 4\Omega_B^2, \quad \rho^* \omega = \frac{1}{16} \rho^* \Omega_o^2 = \frac{1}{4} \Omega_B^2,$$

for the natural holomorphic embedding ρ of $H_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ into \mathcal{X} .

On the other hand, another natural embedding

$$SU(n, 1) \subset Sp(n, 1) \subset SU(2n, 2)$$

gives rise to a totally real embedding

$$(4) \quad \lambda : (z_1, \dots, z_n) \hookrightarrow Z = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{z}_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \dots \\ \begin{pmatrix} z_n & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{z}_n \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

whose Toledo invariant of Ω_o is zero. Contrary to $SU(1,1)$ case, this totally real embedding is locally rigid for $n > 1$, see [8]. On this totally real embedding, the tangent vectors are $X = (x_1, \bar{x}_1 j, \dots, x_n, \bar{x}_n j) \in \mathbb{H}^{2n}$, $x_i \in \mathbb{C}$, and

$$\omega(X, Y, Z, W) = \omega_i \wedge \omega_i(X, Y, Z, W) = 0.$$

Hence the Toledo invariant of ω also vanishes.

Conjecture These two special embeddings suggest that the Toledo invariant of ω is maximal on holomorphic embedding and zero on totally real embedding. More precisely, if a representation attains a maximum Toledo invariant, then it should be conjugate to the holomorphic embedding above, and if the quaternionic Toledo invariant is zero then it is conjugate to the totally real embedding.

Warning: If we identify the holomorphic tangent space of \mathcal{X} with \mathbb{H}^{2n} by $(x_1 + jy_1, \dots, x_{2n} + jy_{2n})$, ω vanishes on holomorphic embedding and $16\omega = \Omega_o^2$ on totally real embedding. Hence the convention determines which one has a maximal Toledo invariant. In [5], it seems that they use a different convention from ours. Nevertheless we stick to our convention in this paper.

3.2. Symmetric square representation of $SU(2,1)$ and related 4-forms. Denote $V = \mathbb{C}^{2+1} = \mathbb{C}^2 + \mathbb{C}e_3$ the space \mathbb{C}^3 equipped with the Hermitian metric with signature $(2,1)$ and $B = SU(2,1)/U(2)$ as in §2.2. Recall that it is also identified as the open domain in \mathbb{P}^2 of lines $\mathbb{C}(z + e_3)$ with negative metric, i.e. $|z| = |(z_1, z_2)| < 1$.

Let $W = V^2$ be the symmetric square of V . Then W is equipped with the square of the Hermitian metric of V and $W = \mathbb{C}^4 + \mathbb{C}^2 = ((\mathbb{C}^2)^2 + \mathbb{C}e_3^2) \oplus (\mathbb{C}^2 \odot e_3)$ is of signature $(4,2)$. Here $e_i \odot e_j = \frac{1}{2}(e_i \otimes e_j + e_j \otimes e_i)$. We fix an orthonormal basis $\{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5, E_6\}$ of W with

$$E_j = e_j^2, E_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1) = \sqrt{2}e_1 \odot e_2,$$

$$E_{4+i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_3 \otimes e_i + e_i \otimes e_3) = \sqrt{2}e_3 \odot e_i, j = 1, 2, 3, i = 1, 2.$$

The square of the defining representation of $H = SU(2, 1)$ defines a representation

$$\iota : H \rightarrow G = SU(4, 2), \quad g \mapsto \otimes^2 g$$

As in §2.2 the symmetric space \mathcal{X} of $SU(4, 2)$ will be realized as the open domain of Grassmannian manifold $Gr(2, W)$ of 2-dimensional complex subspaces in W with negative metric, and is further identified with the space of 4×2 matrices Z with matrix norm $\|Z\| < 1$ under the identification

$$\{Zx \oplus x; x \in \mathbb{C}^2\} \mapsto Z.$$

Recall also the normalization of the Kähler metric on B and on \mathcal{X}

$$g_B(u, v) = 4\operatorname{Re}(u_1\bar{v}_1 + u_2\bar{v}_2), \quad g_{\mathcal{X}}(u, v) = 4\operatorname{Re}\operatorname{Tr}v^*u$$

where the real tangent space of B and \mathcal{X} at $z = 0$ and $Z = 0$ are identified with \mathbb{C}^2 and $M_{4 \times 2}$; the respective Kähler forms are $\Omega_B(u, v) = g_B(iu, v)$ and $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}} = g_{\mathcal{X}}(iu, v)$.

The representation $\iota : H \rightarrow G$ induces a totally geodesic mapping (with the same notation) $\iota : B \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. In terms of the above identification of B and X as submanifolds of projective and Grassmannian manifolds the map ι is

$$\iota(l) = l \odot l^\perp$$

where l^\perp is the orthogonal complement of l in V and $l \odot l^\perp$ is the subspace of vectors $u \otimes v + v \otimes u$, $u \in l, v \in l^\perp$. We find now the map ι_* at $z = 0 \in B$.

Fixing the reference line $\mathbb{C}e_3 \in \mathbb{P}^2$ and the plane $\mathbb{C}^2 \odot e_3 \in Gr(2, W)$ corresponding to the point $0 \in B$ and $0 \in \mathcal{X}$, the map ι is

$$\iota : \exp(tX) \cdot (\mathbb{C}e_3) \mapsto (\exp(tX) \cdot (\mathbb{C}e_3)) \odot (\exp(tX) \cdot (\mathbb{C}^2)),$$

where

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_1 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 \\ \bar{a}_1 & \bar{a}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{p}$$

and $\mathfrak{su}(2, 1) = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ is the Cartan decomposition. Thus $\iota_*(X)$ is the linear transformation

$$\iota_*(X) : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4,$$

$$\mathbb{C}\{E_5, E_6\} \mapsto \mathbb{C}\{(Xe_3) \odot e_1 + e_3 \odot (Xe_1), (Xe_3) \odot e_2 + e_3 \odot (Xe_2)\}.$$

Note that $Xe_1 = \bar{a}_1e_3$, $Xe_2 = \bar{a}_2e_3$, $Xe_3 = a_1e_1 + a_2e_2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (Xe_3) \odot e_1 + e_3 \odot (Xe_1) &= (a_1e_1 + a_2e_2) \odot e_1 + e_3 \odot \bar{a}_1e_3 \\ &= a_1e_1 \odot e_1 + a_2e_2 \odot e_1 + \bar{a}_1e_3 \odot e_3 = a_1E_1 + \bar{a}_1E_3 + \frac{a_2}{\sqrt{2}}E_4. \end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation for the second factor shows that, under the basis $\{E_j\}$, $\iota_*(X)$ corresponds to the 4×2 matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \\ \bar{a}_1 & \bar{a}_2 \\ \frac{a_2}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{a_1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} = T_a,$$

Taking the basis vectors $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $Z =$

$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ we find the corresponding images in

\mathbb{H}^4 under ι_*

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_*(X) &= (1, 0, 1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}j), \quad \iota_*(Y) = (i, 0, -i, \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}}), \\ \iota_*(Z) &= (0, j, j, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}), \quad \iota_*(W) = (0, k, -k, \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}) \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$(5) \quad \omega(\iota_*(X), \iota_*(Y), \iota_*(Z), \iota_*(W)) = \frac{11}{4}.$$

Namely

$$\iota^* \omega = \frac{11}{64} \Omega_B^2$$

where Ω_B is the Kähler form on B . We can likewise compute $\iota^* \Omega^2$ and find

$$\iota^* \Omega^2 = \frac{1}{4} \Omega_B^2.$$

Now there is a natural inclusion of $SU(4, 2)$ as a subgroup of $SU(n, 2)$, $n \geq 4$, and we will also view ι as a homomorphism $\iota : SU(2, 1) \rightarrow SU(4, 2) \rightarrow SU(n, 2)$.

3.3. Holomorphic lifting properties.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose there exists a holomorphic lifting \hat{f} of f to a period domain. Then $d^{(1,0)}f(v)$ is nilpotent for any $v \in \mathfrak{p}^+ = T_x^{(1,0)}(B)$.*

Proof. Let $\hat{f} : B \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a holomorphic lift of the harmonic map $f : B \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. We can fix a reference point $x = 0$ and assume that $\hat{f}(0) = o = eL \in \mathcal{D} = G/L$. The holomorphic tangent space of $T_o(\mathcal{D})$ is given by the \mathfrak{n}^+ -space as in Section 2.3 and is a nilpotent algebra of

g. Now $f = \pi \circ \hat{f}$, and $f_*(0)(v) = \pi_*(o)(\hat{f}_*(0)(v))$, for $v \in T_0^{(1,0)}(B)$. But $\hat{f}_*(0)(v) \in \mathfrak{n}^+$, since \hat{f} is holomorphic, so $\hat{f}_*(0)(v) \in \mathfrak{n}^+$ is nilpotent which implies $f_*(0)(v)$ is nilpotent since π_* maps nilpotent elements to nilpotent elements. \square

The following lemma follows immediately from Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. *The totally real imbedding $\lambda : B \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ does not lift to any holomorphic horizontal mapping into a period domain. **Justify this***

We consider now the lifting property of ι .

Lemma 3.3. *The above quadratic map $\iota : B \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ does not lift to a holomorphic horizontal mapping into $\mathcal{D}_1 = SU(4, 2)/S(U(4) \times U(1) \times U(1))$.*

Proof. Suppose F is a holomorphic horizontal lifting. The complexification of F_* , still denoted by F_* , maps \mathfrak{b}^+ , the holomorphic tangent space of B to holomorphic tangent space \mathfrak{n} (up to changing of base point under $SU(2)$ -action). In particular the image of \mathfrak{b}^+ under ι_* is contained in $\pi_*(\mathfrak{n})$ where $\pi : \mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is the natural projection. In particular $\iota_*(\mathfrak{b}^+)$ is a subspace of $\pi_*(\mathfrak{n})$. Using the above formula for \mathfrak{n} we find that elements in $\iota_*(\mathfrak{b}^+) \subset \pi_*(\mathfrak{n})$ are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

However our computations above show that for

$$(6) \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_1 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_1 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 \\ \bar{a}_1 & \bar{a}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & ia_1 \\ 0 & 0 & ia_2 \\ -i\bar{a}_1 & -i\bar{a}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in \mathfrak{b}^+$$

its image $\iota_*(S)$ is

$$\iota_*(S) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & U \\ V & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \frac{a_2}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{a_1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is a contradiction to the form of $\pi_*(\mathfrak{n})$. \square

We may construct similarly the twister cover $SU(2m, 2)/S(U(2m) \times U(1) \times U(1))$ of $\mathcal{X} = SU(2m, 2)/S(U(2m) \times U(2))$ as above and consider the question of holomorphic lifting of maps from B to \mathcal{X} . The above proof leads to a simple necessary condition for the existence.

Corollary 3.4. *Given a representation $\rho : \Gamma \subset SU(n, 1) \rightarrow SU(2m, 2)$, with a ρ -equivariant map f on the associated symmetric spaces $B = SU(n, 1)/S(U(n) \times U(1))$, $\mathcal{X} = SU(2m, 2)/S(U(2m) \times U(2))$ and a fixed base point $o = [K] \in SU(n, 1)/S(U(n) \times U(1))$, let*

$$Df_o \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ X^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & U \\ U^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

be a differential map at the base point, where $X \in \mathbb{C}^n, U = (U_1, U_2) \in M_{2m \times 2}$. For f to have a holomorphic lift to the twistor space, every component of U_1 is an conjugate \mathbb{C} -linear in X , and every component of U_2 is a \mathbb{C} -linear in X . Here we regard Df_o as a map from \mathbb{C}^n to $M_{2m \times 2} = \mathbb{C}^{4m}$.

Proof. Note that Df_o is a real linear map between real tangent spaces $T_o B$ and $T_{f(o)} \mathcal{X}$. For $X = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $z_i = x_i + iy_i$, let $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) = (x, y)$, with the same notation, be the corresponding coordinates in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Then iX corresponds to

$$iX = (-y_1, \dots, -y_n, x_1, \dots, x_n) = (-y, x)$$

as usual. For f to lift to the holomorphic map to the twistor space, the equation (6) should read

$$Df_o(X - \sqrt{-1}iX) = (U'_1, U'_2) = (0, U'_2).$$

Hence from $U'_1 = 0$, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} - \sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -y \\ x \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

It is

$$\begin{pmatrix} Ax + By \\ Cx + Dy \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -Cy + Dx \\ -(-Ay + Bx) \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

From this we get

$$A = -D, \quad B = C.$$

This exactly implies that every component function of U_1 is conjugate \mathbb{C} -linear in $X = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ variables. Using the equation for U^* , a similar calculation shows that every component function of U_2 is \mathbb{C} -linear in z_i variables for f to have a holomorphic lift to the twistor space. \square

When ρ is reductive, we can choose f to be harmonic. Hence if we write $Df = (f_1, \dots, f_{2m}; g_1, \dots, g_{2m})$, f_i are anti-holomorphic and g_i are holomorphic for f to have a holomorphic lift to the twistor space.

We prove however that the map ι can be lifted to a holomorphic mapping to $\mathcal{D}_2 = SU(4, 2)/S(U(3) \times U(1) \times U(2))$

Let f associate $S^2L^\perp, L^2, L \odot L^\perp$ to a negative line L in V . Then S^2L^\perp is a positive 3-dimensional space in W , L^2 is a positive line in W , and $L \odot L^\perp$ is a negative plane in W . In the explicit coordinates, if $L = \mathbb{C}e_3$, then $L^\perp = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$ and

$$S^2L^\perp = \langle e_1^2, e_2^2, e_1 \odot e_2 \rangle = \langle E_1, E_2, E_4 \rangle, \quad L^2 = \langle e_3^2 \rangle = \langle E_3 \rangle,$$

$$L \odot L^\perp = \langle e_1 \odot e_3, e_2 \odot e_3 \rangle = \langle E_5, E_6 \rangle.$$

Hence the stabilizers of $S^2L^\perp, L^2, L \odot L^\perp$ are $U(3), U(1)$ and $U(2)$ respectively. Therefore

$$f : B \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2 = SU(4, 2)/S(U(3) \times U(1) \times U(2)).$$

Since

$$\iota(L) = (L \odot L^\perp, (L \odot L^\perp)^\perp),$$

$f(L) = ((S^2L^\perp, L^2), \iota(L))$ is a lifting of ι to \mathcal{D}_2 .

We claim that f is holomorphic with respect to a complex structure on the period domain \mathcal{D}_2 introduced in Section 2.3.

Hence the claim follows from the fact that the holomorphic tangent vector in B

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_1 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_1 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 \\ \bar{a}_1 & \bar{a}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & ia_1 \\ 0 & 0 & ia_2 \\ -i\bar{a}_1 & -i\bar{a}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in \mathfrak{b}^+$$

is mapped to $\iota_*(S)$

$$\iota_*(S) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & U \\ V & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 where

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \frac{a_2}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{a_1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here we give another way to prove the liftability. Note that \mathcal{D}_2 can be identified with the open $SU(4, 2)$ orbit in the homogeneous complex manifold $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ of partial flags consisting of lines inside 3-planes. The stabilizer of the partial flag is $S(U(3) \times U(1) \times U(2))$. There is an obvious holomorphic map F from $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ to $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$, which associates the flag

$l \odot l \subset l \odot \mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ to a line in $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$. The restriction of this map to $H_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ is a holomorphic map. Furthermore the projection from \mathcal{D}_2 to \mathcal{X} is

$$l \odot l \subset l \odot \mathbb{C}^{2,1} \rightarrow l \odot l^{\perp}$$

and hence $\iota = \pi \circ F$.

Now we show the horizontality, i.e., the image lies in the form $L \odot L^{\perp}$. For any smooth curve in B , denote it by $L(t) = \langle v_0 + w(t) \rangle$ where $w(t) \subset v_0^{\perp}$, a differentiable family of lines, such that $w(0) = 0, w'(0) \in v_0^{\perp}$. Then we can write $L(t)^{\perp} = \langle v(t) \rangle^{\perp}$ where $v(0) = v_0, v'(0) = w'(0) \in v_0^{\perp}$.

Since $L(t) \odot L(t)^{\perp}$ is already horizontal, it suffices to show the horizontality of $L(t)^2$ and $S^2(L(t)^{\perp})$. But

$$L(t)^2 = \langle (v_0 + w(t)) \odot (v_0 + w(t)) \rangle = \langle v_0^2 + v_0 \odot w(t) + w(t)^2 \rangle.$$

Hence

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} L(t)^2 = v_0 \odot w'(0) \in L(0) \odot L(0)^{\perp}.$$

Similar calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} S^2(L(t)^{\perp}) &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \langle v(t)^{\perp} \odot v(t)^{\perp} \rangle \\ &= \langle v'(0)^{\perp} \odot v_0^{\perp} \rangle \subset \langle v_0 \odot v_0^{\perp} \rangle \subset L(0) \odot L(0)^{\perp}, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof.

4. CHARACTER VARIETY $\chi(\Gamma, SU(n, 2))$

Theorem 4.1. *There are at least 7 distinct connected components in $\chi(\Gamma, SU(n, 2))$, $n \geq 4$, where $\Gamma \subset SU(2, 1)$ is a uniform lattice in $SU(2, 1)$.*

Proof. We view $SU(4, 2)$ as a subgroup of $SU(n, 2)$ as above. Let $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be the standard basis of $T_oB = \mathfrak{p}$ such that

$$\Omega_B^2(X, Y, Z, W) = \Omega_B(X, Y)\Omega_B(Z, W) = 4\text{Tr}(YJX)\text{Tr}(WJZ) = 4 \cdot 4 = 16.$$

Consider first the holomorphic embedding ρ in Section 3.1. The images of the above vectors under ρ_* , written as block 3×3 -matrix with each entry being 2×2 matrix, are

$$\rho_*(X) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & I_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho_*(Y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & iI_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -iI_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\rho_*(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_2 \\ 0 & I_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho_*(W) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & iI_2 \\ 0 & -iI_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which correspond to

$$\rho_*(X) = (1, j, 0, 0), \quad \rho_*(Y) = (i, ij, 0, 0),$$

$$\rho_*(Z) = (0, 0, 1, j), \quad \rho_*(W) = (0, 0, i, ij)$$

in \mathbb{H}^2 coordinates, see Section 2. Then by Equation (2)

$$\rho^*\omega(X, Y, Z, W) = 4, \quad \text{i.e. } \rho^*\omega = \frac{1}{4}\Omega_B^2$$

whereas for the square representation ι , by Equation (5)

$$\iota^*\omega(X, Y, Z, W) = \frac{11}{4}, \quad \text{i.e. } \iota^*\omega = \frac{11}{64}\Omega_B^2.$$

For the totally real embedding (4), the pull-back form vanishes. This implies that the quaternionic Toledo invariants are

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma \backslash H_{\mathbb{C}}^2} \rho^*\omega &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Gamma \backslash H_{\mathbb{C}}^2} \Omega_B^2 = \frac{1}{4} \text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash H_{\mathbb{C}}^2), \\ \int_{\Gamma \backslash H_{\mathbb{C}}^2} \iota^*\omega &= \frac{11}{64} \int_{\Gamma \backslash H_{\mathbb{C}}^2} \Omega_B^2 = \frac{11}{64} \text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash H_{\mathbb{C}}^2), \quad 0 \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

The last representation with a different Toledo invariant is given by the embedding $\phi : (z_1, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow ((z_1, 0), \dots, (z_n, 0))$ which produces that

$$(7) \quad \phi^*\omega = \frac{1}{16}\Omega_B^2.$$

Since the quaternionic Toledo invariant is constant on each connected component, we get 4 different connect components. By taking the complex conjugate of ρ, ι and ϕ we get then 7 components. This completes the proof. \square

Note that for a lattice $\Gamma \subset SU(2, 1)$, the holomorphic embedding ρ corresponds to the diagonal embedding $\gamma \rightarrow (\gamma, \gamma) \in SU(2, 1) \times SU(2, 1) \subset SU(4, 2)$, and the totally real embedding to $\gamma \rightarrow (\gamma, \bar{\gamma})$ whereas the last example in the previous theorem corresponds to the embedding $\gamma \rightarrow (\gamma, id) \in SU(2, 1) \times SU(2, 1) \subset SU(4, 2)$.

In this direction, Toledo constructed the following examples [17]. There are examples of two complex hyperbolic surfaces $X = \Gamma \backslash H_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ and $Y = \Gamma' \backslash H_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ with a surjective holomorphic map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $0 < \deg(f) < \frac{\text{vol}(X)}{\text{vol}(Y)}$, which induces a group homomorphism $f_* : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$. See also [4, 12] for the constructions of various subgroups $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ of

finite index. (The volumes $\text{vol}(X)$ and $\text{vol}(Y)$ can be further computed by using the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet theorem for orbifolds.) Consider the following representation

$$\Gamma \xrightarrow{f_*} \Gamma' \xrightarrow{\phi} SU(4, 2),$$

where ϕ is the restriction of the holomorphic embedding (5) above. Then the quaternionic Toledo invariant of this representation is

$$\int_X f^*(\phi^*\omega) = \int_X f^*\left(\frac{1}{16}\Omega_B^2\right) = \frac{1}{16}\text{deg}(f) \text{vol}(Y) < \frac{1}{16}\text{vol}(X),$$

with $\frac{1}{16}\text{vol}(X)$ being the smallest among the Toledo invariants in Theorem 4.1 except zero case. We obtain thus an improvement of Theorem 4.1 in this case, viz

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\Gamma \subset \Gamma'$ be as above. There exist at least 9 distinct components in $\chi(\Gamma, SU(4, 2))$.*

Some versions of local rigidity for the representations in some of the components above have been studied in [8, 9].

5. MILNOR-WOOD INEQUALITY AND GLOBAL RIGIDITY FOR QUATERNIONIC TOLEDO INVARIANT

In this section we show that if there exists a holomorphic horizontal lifting, then the Milnor-Wood type inequality holds with a quaternionic Kähler form. In this section, we normalize the metrics on $H_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ and on $\mathcal{X} = SU(2n, 2)/S(U(2n) \times U(2))$ so that the holomorphic sectional curvatures are equal to -1 .

Lemma 5.1. *Let \mathcal{D} be a period domain of \mathcal{X} with a pseudo-Kähler metric such that it is negative definite on vertical directions and positive definite on horizontal directions. Its associated pseudo-Kähler form is $\hat{\Omega}$ which agrees with $\pi^*(\Omega)$ on the horizontal direction where the Kähler form on \mathcal{X} is denoted Ω . If $f : H_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a horizontal holomorphic map, then Schwarz lemma holds, i.e., $f^*(\hat{\Omega}) \leq \Omega_B$ where Ω_B is the Kähler form on $H_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Equality holds at every point if and only if f is a horizontal holomorphic geodesic embedding of $H_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ in \mathcal{D} .*

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as the one given in Theorem 3.3 in [5]. The idea is as follows. First consider the case $f : H_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. If $f^*\hat{\Omega} = u\Omega_{B^1}$. Then by the method of Section 2 of Chapter I, III of [10], one can show that $u \leq 1$. If equality holds at every point, then f is an isometric immersion. If M is the image and α is the second fundamental form, then since both holomorphic sectional curvatures are -1 , one can show that $\alpha = 0$, consequently f is a totally geodesic

holomorphic embedding. For $f : H_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ case, by considering every direction, one concludes that the second fundamental form vanishes, hence totally geodesic embedding. \square

Proposition 5.2. *Let $M = \Gamma \backslash H_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Suppose $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow SU(n, 2)$ is a representation whose associated ρ -equivariant harmonic map $f : B \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ lifts to a holomorphic horizontal map \hat{f} to \mathcal{D} . Then the Milnor-Wood type inequality holds. If equality holds, then it is a holomorphic embedding.*

Proof. Since $H^4(M, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$, the pull-back of 4-forms to M are all proportional to each other up to exact forms. Specially

$$f^*\omega = \hat{f}^*(\pi^*\omega) = c\hat{f}^*(\pi^*\Omega^2) + d\alpha = cf^*\Omega^2 + d\alpha.$$

A Kähler form $\hat{\Omega}$ of \mathcal{D} agrees with $\pi^*(\Omega)$ on horizontal directions, hence $\hat{f}^*(\hat{\Omega}) = \hat{f}^*(\pi^*\Omega) = f^*\Omega$. But since \hat{f} is holomorphic, by Schwarz Lemma,

$$f^*\Omega = \hat{f}^*(\pi^*\Omega) \leq \Omega_B.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{c} \int_M f^*\omega = \int_M f^*\Omega^2 \leq \int_M \Omega_B^2 = \text{vol}(M).$$

Now since we normalize ω so that its restriction to complex 2-dimensional hyperbolic space is equal to Ω^2 , we have $c = 1$ and $\hat{f}^*(\pi^*\omega) = \hat{f}^*(\pi^*\Omega^2) + d\alpha$, and consequently the Milnor-Wood inequality

$$\int_M f^*\omega = \int_M f^*\Omega^2 \leq \text{vol}(M).$$

Suppose $\int_M f^*\omega = \text{vol}(M)$. Then $f^*\Omega^2 = \Omega_B^2$ pointwise, which implies that f is a holomorphic embedding by the previous lemma. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bott and L. Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [2] M. Burger and A. Iozzi, Bounded cohomology and representation varieties of lattices in $PU(1, n)$, preprint announcement, 2000.
- [3] M. Burger and A. Iozzi, A measurable Cartan theorem and applications to deformation rigidity in complex hyperbolic geometry, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 4(1, Special Issue: In honor of Grigory Margulis. Part 2): 181-2-2, 2008.
- [4] P. Deligne and G. Mostow, Monodromy of hypergeometric functions and non-lattice integral monodromy groups, *Publ. Math. IHES*, **63** (1986), 5-90.
- [5] O. García-Prada and D. Toledo, A Milnor-Wood inequality for complex hyperbolic lattices in quaternionic space, *Geom & Topology*, **15** (2011), no. 2, 1013-1027.
- [6] W. Goldman, M. Kapovich and B. Leeb, Complex hyperbolic manifolds homotopy equivalent to a Riemann surface, *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, **9** (2001), 61-95.

- [7] L. Hernández, Maximal representations of surface groups in bounded symmetric domains, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **324** (1) (1991), 405-420.
- [8] I. Kim, B. Klingler and P. Pansu, Local quaternionic rigidity for complex hyperbolic lattices, *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu.* **11** (2012), no 1, 133-159.
- [9] B. Klingler, Local rigidity for complex hyperbolic lattices and Hodge theory, *Invent. Math.*, **184** (2011), no.3, 455–498.
- [10] S. Kobayashi, Hyperbolic manifolds and holomorphic mappings, Pure and Applied Math. 2, Marcel Dekker, New York (1970).
- [11] V. Koziarz and J. Maubon, Representations of complex hyperbolic lattices into rank 2 classical Lie groups of Hermitian type, *Geom. Dedicata.*, **137** (2008), 85-111.
- [12] G. Mostow, Monodromy of hypergeometric functions and nonlattice integral monodromy. *Publ. Math. IHES*, **63** (1986), 589.
- [13] M. B. Pozzetti, Maximal representations of complex hyperbolic lattices into $SU(m, n)$, *GAFA*. 25 (2015), 1290-1332.
- [14] S. Salamon, Quaternionic Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.*, **67** (1) (1982), 143-171.
- [15] I. Satake, Algebraic structures of symmetric domains, Kano Memorial Lectures 4, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, Princeton University Press, Princeton. NJ, 1980.
- [16] D. Toledo, Representations of surface groups in complex hyperbolic space, *J. Differential Geom.*, **29** (1) (1989), 125-133.
- [17] D. Toledo, Maps between complex hyperbolic surfaces, Special volume dedicated to the memory of Hanna Miriam Sandler. *Geom. Dedicata*, **97** (2003), 115-128.
- [18] E. Xia, The moduli of flat $PU(2, 1)$ structures on Riemann surfaces, *Pacific J. Maths.*, **195** (2000) 231-256.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KIAS, HEOGIRO 85, DONGDAEMUN-GU SEOUL,
130-722, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: inkang@kias.re.kr

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND
MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GÖTEBORG UNIVERSITY, SE-412 96 GÖTEBORG,
SWEDEN

E-mail address: genkai@chalmers.se