

ON COMPUTING HOMOLOGY GRADIENTS OVER FINITE FIELDS

LUKASZ GRABOWSKI, THOMAS SCHICK

ABSTRACT. Recently several conjectures about l^2 -invariants of CW-complexes have been disproved. At the heart of the counterexamples is a method of computing the spectral measure of an element of the complex group ring. We show that the same method can be used to compute the finite field analog of the l^2 -Betti numbers, the homology gradient. As an application we point out that (i) the homology gradient over any field of characteristic different than 2 can be an irrational number, and (ii) there exists a CW-complex whose homology gradients over different fields have infinitely many different values.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since their introduction by Atiyah [Ati76], l^2 -Betti numbers of normal coverings of finite CW-complexes have been studied and used in many different contexts. We refer the reader to the very readable introductory article [Eck00] and to the comprehensive book [Lüc02] for more information.

For the current paper, the motivating question goes back to [Ati76]: are the l^2 -Betti numbers rational? This was popularized under the name *Atiyah conjecture* (e.g. [Lüc02, Chapter 10]), although in [Ati76] it is stated as a problem. Only recently, Austin [Aus13] showed that there exists a normal covering of a finite CW-complex with at least one irrational l^2 -Betti number. Several improvements followed shortly afterwards ([Gra14] and [PSŻ10], [LW13], [Gra10]).

Let us note in passing that the Atiyah conjecture remains open and very interesting in the case when the deck transformation group is torsion-free (e.g. [Lin93], [DLM⁺03]).

If the deck transformation group is either (i) residually finite or (ii) amenable, the l^2 -Betti numbers are equal to the limits of the normalized Betti numbers of (i) intermediate finite coverings, normalized by the cardinality of the fiber, or (ii) subspaces defined via a Følner exhaustion, normalized by the cardinalities of the Følner sets ([Lüc94], [CG86], [Ele06]). As such, in these situations the l^2 -Betti numbers are sometimes called *homology gradients*.

This point of view leads to an interesting generalization: instead of the rational homology, one can try to use homology with coefficients in an arbitrary field \mathbf{k} . This was first suggested by Farber [Far98] in the case of residually finite deck transformation groups, and by Elek [Ele02] in the case of amenable deck transformation groups. Elek and Szabó [ES11] showed that when a group is both amenable and residually finite then the

homology gradients taken as in (i) and (ii) above are the same. Lackenby [Lac09] was the first to give interesting applications and the terminology *homology gradient* is due to him.

In the case when the deck transformation group is residually finite, it is not known whether the limit of normalized \mathbf{k} -homology ranks exists, or whether it depends on the choice of the intermediate coverings. Accordingly, limits have to be replaced by suitable infima.

The situation is much better understood when the deck transformation group is amenable: the limits of normalized \mathbf{k} -homology ranks exist and do not depend on the choice of a Følner exhaustion. We call the resulting limits the *\mathbf{k} -homology gradients*. From now on we only consider the situation when the deck transformation group is amenable.

It is interesting to study the analogs of the Atiyah problem for \mathbf{k} -homology gradients. For example, in [LLS11] it is shown that when the group ring over \mathbf{k} of the deck transformation group has no zero-divisors, then the \mathbf{k} -homology gradients are integers, and can be interpreted as the dimensions over the Ore localization of the group ring.

The motivation for the work presented here was to obtain the analogs of the counterexamples of Austin and others for \mathbf{k} -homology gradients. By a standard reduction (e.g. [Eck00]), this is a question about matrices over the integral group ring of the fundamental group.

At the heart of the l^2 -Betti numbers counterexamples lies a method which translates the computation of the spectral measure of such a matrix (an a priori functional analytic task), to the computation of countably many spectra of finite matrices.

Our first result is that the same computational tool can be applied in the context of \mathbf{k} -homology gradients. We state it precisely in the next section.

The remaining results are applications of that computational tool. The first two are the direct analogs of the results about the existence of “exotic” l^2 -Betti numbers to the case of \mathbf{k} -homology gradients.

Theorem 1. *If $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 2$ then every non-negative real number is a \mathbf{k} -homology gradient of some normal covering.*

Theorem 2. *If $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 2$, there exists a finite CW-complex whose fundamental group is residually-finite 2-step solvable and such that one of the \mathbf{k} -homology gradients of its universal covers is equal to*

$$\frac{1}{64} - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k^2+4k+6}},$$

which is an irrational number.

Remark 3. In [Gra14] and [PSŻ10] substantially more could be proven about possible values of \mathbb{Q} -homology gradients (i.e. l^2 -Betti numbers) of *universal* covers. The reason why we cannot translate these results to arbitrary \mathbf{k} is that they use the Higmann embedding theorem. As such, the examples in [Gra14] and [PSŻ10] have fundamental groups

which are not amenable in general, and consequently their \mathbf{k} -homology gradients are not known to be well-defined.

The final result is an example of a CW-complex whose \mathbf{k} -homology gradients have infinitely many different values, depending on the characteristic of \mathbf{k} .

Theorem 4. *There is a CW-complex X whose fundamental group is residually-finite 2-step solvable and a natural number n such that for all \mathbf{k} , $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) > 2$, the n th \mathbf{k} -homology gradient of the universal cover of X is equal to*

$$(1) \quad 1344 \cdot \left(\frac{47}{64} + \frac{1}{128} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{o_{\mathbf{k}}(2)} - 1} + \frac{1}{64} \cdot \frac{2^{\text{char}(\mathbf{k})}}{2^{\text{char}(\mathbf{k})} - 1} \cdot \frac{2^{o_{\mathbf{k}}(2)}}{2^{o_{\mathbf{k}}(2)} - 1} \cdot \sum_{x \in L(\mathbf{k})} \frac{1}{2^{x+r_{\mathbf{k}}(x)}} \right),$$

where $o_{\mathbf{k}}(2)$ is the multiplicative order of 2 in \mathbf{k}^* , $L(\mathbf{k}) \subset \{2, 3, \dots\}$ is the finite set of minimal representatives in $\{2, 3, \dots\}$ of the powers $2^i \pmod{\text{char}(\mathbf{k})}$, $i \geq 0$, and for $x \in L(\mathbf{k})$ we denote by $r_{\mathbf{k}}(x) \geq 0$ the smallest natural number such that $2^{r_{\mathbf{k}}(x)} = x \pmod{\text{char}(\mathbf{k})}$.

Since L is a finite set, (1) is a rational number. In [Gra10] it is computed that the relevant \mathbb{Q} -homology gradient of X is equal to the irrational number $1344 \cdot (\frac{47}{64} + \frac{1}{64} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+2^k}})$. It is not difficult to see that the numbers (1) converge to that number when $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, the expression (1) represents infinitely many different numbers.

Remarks 5. (i) In analogy with the homology of a finite CW-complex it has been conjectured by A. Thom that the \mathbf{k} -homology gradients stabilize as $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \infty$. The above discussion disproves that conjecture.

(ii) It would be interesting to obtain the results above in the case $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$.

(iii) Just like in characteristic 0, the question of rationality of \mathbf{k} -homology gradients up to degree d of a finite CW-complex which is aspherical up to dimension d , remains open.

Such examples would be interesting because they would provide exotic homology gradients of a group. The first \mathbf{k} -homology gradient of a residually-finite group is defined as the infimum of the \mathbf{k} -ranks of the abelianizations of finite-index subgroups, normalized by the index. In the case of a finitely-presented group it is equal to the first \mathbf{k} -homology gradient of the presentation complex (which is a CW-complex aspherical up to dimension 1).

When the group is not assumed to be finitely-presented (and so its first \mathbf{k} -homology gradient is not, a priori, equal to the first \mathbf{k} -homology gradient of any finite CW-complex), the first \mathbf{k} -homology gradient can be an arbitrary non-negative number (see [Pap13]). As in the case of normal coverings with a non-amenable deck transformation group, it is not known whether the infimum can be replaced by the limit, and whether the infima along different chains of finite-index subgroups give the same gradient.

2. COMPUTATIONAL TOOL

In order to motivate the statement of our computational tool over \mathbf{k} , we start by presenting a basic version for characteristic 0. Various variants of it were used for spectral computations for example in [BVZ97], [DS02], [LNW08], [Aus13], and [PSŻ10]. A very general version is presented in [Gra14, Section 2].

Recall that when Λ is a group and T is an $a \times b$ matrix over the group ring $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$, it induces a bounded operator between the Hilbert spaces $l^2(\Lambda)^b$ and $l^2(\Lambda)^a$. For $i = 1, \dots, b$ let ζ_i be the vector whose i -coordinate is the indicator function of the neutral element $e \in \Lambda$, and whose other coordinates are 0. The von Neumann dimension of the kernel of T is defined as

$$\dim_{\text{vN}} \ker T = \sum_{i=1}^b \langle P\zeta_i, \zeta_i \rangle$$

where P is the projection $l^2(\Lambda)^b \rightarrow l^2(\Lambda)^b$ onto the kernel of T . As explained in [Eck00], given $T \in \mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]$ one can construct a finite CW-complex and a normal covering such that one of its l^2 -Betti numbers is equal to $\dim_{\text{vN}} \ker T$. Thus sometimes $\dim_{\text{vN}} \ker T$ is called the *Betti number of T* (e.g. [LL95]).

After the motivating subsection 2.1, we will give a definition of the \mathbf{k} -homology gradient of $T \in \mathbf{k}[\Lambda]$, which we will denote by $\dim_{\mathbf{k}[\Lambda]} \ker T$. As with l^2 -Betti numbers, following the constructions in [Eck00] one obtains a finite CW-complex and a normal covering such that one of its \mathbf{k} -gradients is equal to $\dim_{\mathbf{k}[\Lambda]} \ker T$. If Λ happens to be finitely-presented, the resulting covering can be taken to be the universal one.

2.1. Motivation - characteristic 0.

Let A be a discrete abelian group, and let X be its Pontryagin dual, i.e. the set of group homomorphisms $A \rightarrow U(1)$, where $U(1)$ is the multiplicative group of the complex numbers on the unit circle. Let μ be the Haar measure on X normalized so that $\mu(X) = 1$. The Pontryagin duality gives us an embedding

$$(2) \quad \hat{\cdot} : \mathbb{C}[A] \hookrightarrow L^\infty(X)$$

(see [Fol95] for more information on the Pontryagin duality).

Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright A$ be an action by group automorphisms. The outcome of the action of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ on $a \in A$ is denoted by $\gamma.a$. The central dot \cdot is reserved for the group multiplication. The dual action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is the unique action which makes the embedding (2) equivariant. It is also denoted with the lower dot. To simplify the discussion, in this motivational subsection we assume that the dual action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is free on a subset of full measure.

Let T be the sum $\sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i \chi_i$ where $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$, and $\chi_i \in \mathbb{C}[A]$ such that $\hat{\chi}_i$ are indicator functions of some sets $X_i \subset X$.

Consider the oriented graph \mathcal{G} defined as follows. The set of vertices of \mathcal{G} is X , and there is an edge from x_1 to x_2 if for some i we have $x_1 \in X_i$ and $\gamma_i.x_1 = x_2$.

Let $\mathcal{G}(x)$ be the connected component of x in \mathcal{G} . Let $l^2(\mathcal{G}(x))$ be the Hilbert space spanned by the vertices of $\mathcal{G}(x)$. Let $T(x): l^2(\mathcal{G}(x)) \rightarrow l^2(\mathcal{G}(x))$ be the adjacency operator on $\mathcal{G}(x)$, i.e. the entry of the matrix of $T(x)$ corresponding to a pair of vertices (v_1, v_2) is equal to 1 if there is an edge from v_1 to v_2 , and 0 otherwise.

Proposition 6. *Let us assume that the set of those x for which $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is finite is of measure 1. Then the spectral measure of T can be written as*

$$\dim_{v_N} \ker(T) = \int_X \frac{\dim \ker(T(x))}{|\mathcal{G}(x)|} d\mu(x).$$

□

The utility of this proposition comes from the fact that among the graphs $\mathcal{G}(x)$, $x \in X$, there are only countably many different ones, and they can be often computed explicitly. In such cases the above integral decomposes as an explicit countable sum of kernel dimensions of *finite-dimensional* matrices.

Let us give an example studied (by different methods) in [GZ01]: the operator $T := t + t^{-1} + ta + at^{-1}$ in the integral group ring of $\mathbf{Z}_2 \wr \mathbf{Z}$ (with a a generator of \mathbf{Z}_2 and t of \mathbf{Z}). The whole procedure is worked out in [Gra14, Section 3]. The outcome is as follows.

Let $G(k)$ be the graph

$$\bullet \rightleftarrows \bullet \rightleftarrows \dots \rightleftarrows \bullet \rightleftarrows \bullet \quad (k \text{ vertices}),$$

and let $T(k)$ be the adjacency operator on $G(k)$.

The set of points x such that $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is isomorphic to $G(k)$ has measure $\frac{k}{2^{k+1}}$. It is easy to see that $T(k)$ has 1-dimensional kernel for odd k and 0-dimensional kernel otherwise. As such, Proposition 6 gives us

$$(3) \quad \dim_{v_N} \ker(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{2^{k+1}} \frac{\dim \ker T(k)}{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2k+1}} = \frac{1}{3}.$$

We would like to have the same kind of tool in the case of \mathbf{k} -homology gradients. In particular, in analogy with (3), we expect that the \mathbf{k} -homology gradient of T should be equal to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{2^{k+1}} \frac{\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker \rho(T(k))}{k},$$

where $\rho(T(k))$ is the adjacency operator on $G(k)$ over the field \mathbf{k} .

This is indeed the case, and it follows from our version of Proposition 6 for \mathbf{k} -homology gradients stated in Theorem 9 below.

2.2. Definitions.

Let Γ be an amenable group, \mathbf{k} be a field of characteristic $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 2$, and $\mathbf{k}[\Gamma]$ be the group ring of Γ . For $F \subset \Gamma$ let $\mathbf{k}[F]$ be the subspace of $\mathbf{k}[\Gamma]$ spanned by the elements

of F , and π_F be the projection $\mathbf{k}[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[F]$ along the space $\mathbf{k}[\Gamma \setminus F]$. We use the same symbol π_F also for the projection $\mathbf{k}[\Gamma]^n \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[F]^n$.

Given $T \in \text{Mat}(a \times b, \mathbf{k}[\Gamma])$ and $F \subset \Gamma$, let

$$T_F := \pi_F \circ T: \mathbf{k}[F]^b \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[F]^a$$

be the compression of T . We define

$$(4) \quad \dim_{\mathbf{k}[\Gamma]} \ker T := \lim_i \frac{\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker T_{F_i}}{|F_i|}.$$

where F_i is a Følner sequence. The existence of this limit and its independence of the choice of a Følner sequence follows from the Ornstein-Weiss lemma ([OW87]). See [Kri07] for a detailed discussion and a proof of that lemma suggested by Gromov [Gro99].

We will call the number $\dim_{\mathbf{k}[\Gamma]} \ker T$ the *homology gradient* of T

2.3. The tool.

Let A be a discrete abelian group, $X := \widehat{A}$ be its Pontryagin dual, and μ the Haar measure on X . Throughout, we assume that all the homomorphisms $A \rightarrow U(1)$ factor through $\{-1, 1\}$ (which by Pontryagin duality implies that A is locally a finite abelian 2-group). This way we get embeddings $\mathbf{k}[A] \hookrightarrow \text{Fun}(X, \mathbf{k})$, where $\text{Fun}(X, \mathbf{k})$ denotes the set of (set theoretic) maps from X to \mathbf{k} . The image of $p \in \mathbf{k}[A]$ in $\text{Fun}(X, \mathbf{k})$ is denoted by \widehat{p} . These embeddings commute with the field changes in the following sense.

Following [AM69, Example 3 after Corollary 3.2], let \mathbb{Z}_2 be the ring of rational numbers which can be written with denominator a power of 2. Let $\rho: \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ be the natural homomorphism. We use the same letter for $\text{Fun}(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(X, \mathbf{k})$ and other homomorphisms induced by ρ . The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_2[A] & \xrightarrow{\widehat{}} & \text{Fun}(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) \\ \rho \downarrow & & \rho \downarrow \\ \mathbf{k}[A] & \xrightarrow{\widehat{}} & \text{Fun}(X, \mathbf{k}) \end{array}$$

Remark 7. For the proofs presented here we could just as well assume explicitly that $A = \oplus_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_2$. On the other hand, it is clear that generalizations are possible, for example to the case when all the homomorphisms $A \rightarrow U(1)$ factor through a group which embeds into \mathbf{k}^* .

Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright A$ be an action by group automorphisms. The outcome of the action of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ on $a \in A$ is denoted by $\gamma.a$. The central dot \cdot is reserved for the multiplication in $\Gamma \rtimes A$. The dual action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is the unique action which makes the diagram above Γ -equivariant. It is also denoted with the lower dot.

Let T be the sum $\sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i f_i$ where $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$, $f_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2[A]$. A *basic graph* for T is a set $G = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_2[A]$ such that

- (1) \widehat{p}_i are indicator functions of pairwise disjoint subsets of X which are all of the same measure.
- (2) $\forall j \leq n, k \leq m$ we have either $\text{supp}(\widehat{p}_j) \subset \text{supp}(\widehat{f}_k)$ or

$$\text{supp}(\widehat{p}_j) \cap \text{supp}(\widehat{f}_k) = \emptyset.$$

- (3) If $\text{supp}(\widehat{p}_j) \subset \text{supp}(\widehat{f}_k)$ then $\gamma_k \cdot p_j \in G$ and \widehat{f}_k is constant on $\text{supp}(\widehat{p}_j)$.

G can be a basic graph for many different T at the same time.

Let $\mathcal{R}(G, T)$ be the directed edge-labelled graph whose vertices are symbols p_i , and with an edge labelled γ_j between p_a and p_b if $\text{supp}(\widehat{p}_a) \subset \text{supp}(\widehat{f}_j)$ and $\gamma_j \cdot p_a = p_b$. We say $\mathcal{R}(G, T)$ is *simply-connected* if multiplying the edge labels along any closed loop gives the trivial element of Γ (if a loop traverses an edge in the direction opposite to the orientation of the edge, we invert the label.)

We say that G is *good* if $\mathcal{R}(G, T)$ is simply-connected. In that case define $\gamma(p, q)$ to be the unique element of Γ such that $\gamma(p, q) \cdot p = q$, and let $T(p_j, \gamma_k \cdot p_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ denote 0 if $\text{supp}(\widehat{p}_j) \cap \text{supp}(\widehat{f}_k) = \emptyset$ and the unique value of \widehat{f}_k on $\text{supp}(\widehat{p}_j)$ otherwise.

Let $\mathcal{S}(G, T)$ be the directed edge-labelled graph built from $\mathcal{R}(G, T)$ by replacing each label γ_j starting at p_k by $T(p_k, \gamma_j \cdot p_k)$. Let T_G be the (directed) adjacency operator on $\mathcal{S}(G, T)$, i.e. $T_G: \mathbb{Q}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[G]$ is given by

$$T_G(p) := \sum_{q \in G} T(p, q)q.$$

Remark 8. The key observation is that given a good basic graph G for T , and a fixed $p \in G$ the subspace $\text{span}(\gamma(p, q) \cdot p : q \in G) \subset \mathbf{k}[\Gamma \times A]$ is $\rho(T)$ -invariant, and the action of $\rho(T)$ is isomorphic to $\rho(T_G)$.

Let $\Gamma(p) := \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \exists q \in G \text{ such that } \gamma \cdot p = q\}$, $\Gamma(G) := \bigcup_{p \in G} \Gamma(p)$, and $\text{supp}(G) := \bigcup_{p \in G} \text{supp}(\widehat{p})$.

Two basic T -graphs are *disjoint* if their supports are disjoint. G_1 is a *refinement* of G_2 if $\text{supp}(G_1) = \text{supp}(G_2)$ and $\forall p \in G_1 \exists q \in G_2$ such that $\text{supp}(\widehat{p}) \subset \text{supp}(\widehat{q})$.

Finally, a sequence G_1, G_2, \dots of good basic graphs is *exhausting* if

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(\text{supp}(G_i)) = 1$$

and the G_i 's are pairwise disjoint.

Theorem 9. *If G_1, G_2, \dots is an exhausting sequence of good basic graphs for certain sums representing $T_1, \dots, T_l \in \mathbb{Z}_2[\Gamma \times A]$ then*

$$\dim_{\mathbf{k}[\Gamma \times A]} \left(\bigcap_j \ker \rho(T_j) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(\text{supp}(G_i))}{|G_i|} \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\bigcap_j \ker \rho(T_{j, G_i}) \right)$$

Remark 10. It is not difficult to provide examples of groups Λ which are residually finite but *not* amenable, together with $T \in \mathbf{k}[\Lambda]$ whose support generates Λ , for which our computational tool, i.e. the formula in Theorem 9, gives a number.

Indeed, let Γ be a finitely-generated group and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ be a generating set. Let \mathcal{G} be the Cayley graph of Γ with respect to that set. Let $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}_2^p \wr \Gamma$. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{Z}_2^p]$ be the sum of all the elements of \mathbf{Z}_2^p and let

$$T := \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \frac{a}{2^p} + \frac{a}{2^p} \gamma_i^{-1}.$$

It is not difficult to show (see [LNW08] or [Gra14, Subsection 3.2]) that there exists an exhausting family of good basic graphs for T . As such Theorem 9 gives us a number, and it would be interesting to know if the \mathbf{k} -homology gradient of T is well-defined and equal to that number.

Note that in fact we have not assumed that Γ is residually-finite. In case it is not it would be interesting to understand whether the number given by Theorem 9 can be used to *define* some kind of a homology gradient of T .

Proof of Theorem 9. We give the proof just for one operator T represented by the sum $\sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i f_i$, $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$, $f_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2[A]$. The general version is very similar. Since we only deal with $\rho(T)$ and $\rho(T_{G_i})$ we suppress ρ from the notation in this proof.

Let $\varepsilon \in \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\}$ and let N be such that $\sum_{i=1}^N \mu(\text{supp}(G_i)) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Let $\bigcup' G_i := \bigcup_{i=1}^N G_i$ and $p_\infty := 1 - \sum_{p \in \bigcup' G_i} p$. Let Σ' be some fixed generating set for Γ and let

$$\Sigma = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \Gamma(G_i) \cup \Sigma'.$$

Let $\Phi(\frac{1}{n})$ be a symmetric $\frac{1}{n}$ -Følner set for Σ , and $\Phi := \Phi(\varepsilon)$.

We will now construct a Følner set F in A whose crucial property is as follows. We will be able to find a decomposition of the sets $\text{supp}(\hat{p})$, $p \in \bigcup' G_i$, such that for any set U in the decomposition: (i) the indicator function of U can be written using elements from F , and (ii) for any $\varphi \in \Phi$ we have that $\varphi.U$ is either completely in one of the sets $\text{supp}(\hat{p})$ for some $p \in \bigcup' G_i$, or completely outside of all of them.

Lemma 11. *There exist refinements K_1, \dots, K_N of respectively G_1, \dots, G_N such that $\forall p \in \bigcup K_i$, $\varphi \in \Phi$, $q \in \bigcup' G_i$ we have either $\text{supp}(\varphi.\hat{p}) \cap \text{supp}(\hat{q}) = \emptyset$ or*

$$\text{supp}(\varphi.\hat{p}) \subset \text{supp}(\hat{q}).$$

Proof. We fix an enumeration $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots$ of the elements of Φ and we build families \mathcal{L}_j of refinements by induction on j , in such a way that the statement of the lemma is fulfilled for $\varphi = \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_j$ and $p \in G$ when $G \in \mathcal{L}_j$.

We put $\mathcal{L}_0 := \{G_1, \dots, G_N\}$, and assuming \mathcal{L}_{i-1} is already defined we proceed to define \mathcal{L}_i . If $G \in \mathcal{L}_{i-1}$ then add G' to \mathcal{L}_i defined as

$$G' := \{\varphi_i^{-1} \cdot (\varphi_i \cdot p \cdot q) : p \in G, q \in \bigcup' G_i \cup \{p_\infty\}, \text{ and } \varphi_i \cdot \text{supp}(\widehat{p}) \cap \text{supp}(\widehat{q}) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

□

Let $S \subset A$ be the (finite) group generated by

$$\bigcup_{p \in \bigcup K_i} \text{supp}(p) \subset A.$$

Let F_j be an ascending sequence of finite overgroups of S such that $\bigcup F_j = A$ and $\Phi(\frac{1}{j}) \cdot F_{j-1} \subset F_j$.

Lemma 12. $\Phi(\frac{1}{j}) \cdot F_j \subset \Gamma \times A$ is a (left) Følner sequence in $\Gamma \times A$.

Proof. Recall that \cdot denotes the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright A$, whereas \cdot denotes group multiplication.

Asymptotic invariance for the chosen set of generators of Γ is clear. On the other hand $\Phi(\frac{1}{j}) \cdot F_j$ is F_{j-1} invariant:

$$a_{j-i} \cdot (\varphi_j \cdot a_j) = \varphi_j \cdot ((\varphi_j^{-1} \cdot a_{j-1}) \cdot a_j),$$

where $a_{j-i} \in F_{j-1}$, $a_j \in F_j$ and $\varphi_j \in \Phi(\frac{1}{j})$. □

Let F denote a fixed set F_j , and let $\bar{\Phi} := \Phi \cup \partial_\Sigma \Phi$. We proceed to compute $\dim_k \ker(T_{\bar{\Phi}, F})$.

Lemma 13. For $p \in \bigcup K_i$ we have

$$(6) \quad \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \text{span}(pa : a \in F) = |F| \cdot \mu(\text{supp}(\widehat{p})).$$

Proof. Note that if X_F is the Pontryagin dual of F and ν the Haar measure on X_F then $\nu(\text{supp}(\widehat{p})) = \mu(\text{supp}(\widehat{p}))$ (this follows from the functorial properties of the standard Pontryagin duality.) So it is enough to check the equality for ν in place of μ .

We have a \mathbf{k} -algebra isomorphism $\widehat{\cdot} : \mathbf{k}[F] \rightarrow \text{Fun}(X_F, \mathbf{k})$. Let $M_p : \mathbf{k}[F] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[F]$ and $M_{\widehat{p}} : \text{Fun}(X_F, \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(X_F, \mathbf{k})$ be the multiplications by p and \widehat{p} respectively.

The left-hand side of (6) is equal to $\dim_k \text{im}(M_p)$ and the right-hand side is equal to $\dim_k \text{im}(M_{\widehat{p}})$. They are equal because $\widehat{\cdot}$ is a \mathbf{k} -algebra isomorphism which intertwines $M_{\widehat{p}}$ and M_p . □

For each $p \in \bigcup K_i$ we use the previous lemma to fix a set $F(p) \subset F$ such that

$$\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \text{span}(pa : a \in F(p)) = |F| \cdot \mu(\text{supp}(\widehat{p})).$$

We say that a pair $\varphi \in \Phi$, $p \in \bigcup K_i$ is *lovely* if there is $i \leq N$ such that for some $q \in G_i$ we have $\text{supp}(\varphi \cdot p) \subset \text{supp}(q)$. Then we define $G(\varphi, p) := G_i$, $\Gamma(\varphi, p) := \Gamma(G_i)$, and $Q(\varphi, p) := q$.

We say that a triple $\varphi \in \Phi$, $p \in \bigcup K_i$, $a \in F$ is *lovely* if (φ, p) is lovely and $a \in F(p)$. For such a lovely triple define

$$Y(\varphi, p, a) := \text{span}(\gamma \cdot \varphi \cdot p \cdot a : \gamma \in \Gamma(\varphi, p)) \subset \mathbf{k}[\bar{\Phi} \cdot F].$$

The following lemma shows that lovely triples are the triples where we have a good control of how T acts.

Lemma 14. *Assume (φ, p, a) , (φ_1, p_1, a_1) and (φ_2, p_2, a_2) are lovely.*

- (1) $Y(\varphi, p, a)$ is T -invariant and the restriction of T to $Y(\varphi, p, a)$ is isomorphic to $T_{G(\varphi, p)}$.
- (2) $Y(\varphi_1, p_1, a_1) = Y(\varphi_2, p_2, a_2)$ if and only if $a_1 = a_2$ and for some $\gamma \in \Gamma(\varphi_1, p_1)$ we have $\gamma \varphi_1 \cdot p_1 = \varphi_2 \cdot p_2$.
- (3) The subspace of $\mathbf{k}[\bar{\Phi} \cdot F]$ generated by all the spaces $Y(\varphi, p, a)$, is a direct sum of all the different such spaces.

Proof. (1) Let $q := Q(\varphi, p)$. Then using that $\text{supp}(\gamma \varphi \cdot \hat{p}) \subset \text{supp}(\gamma \cdot \hat{q})$, we see that $(\gamma \cdot q) \cdot (\gamma \varphi \cdot p) = \gamma \varphi \cdot p$, and so

$$T(\gamma \cdot \varphi \cdot p \cdot a) = \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i f_i \cdot (\gamma \varphi) \cdot p \cdot (\gamma \varphi) \cdot a = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i f_i \cdot \gamma \cdot q \right) \cdot (\gamma \varphi) \cdot p \cdot (\gamma \varphi) \cdot a.$$

Recall that \hat{f}_i has a unique value on $\text{supp}(\gamma \cdot \hat{q})$. If it is not 0 then by definition $\gamma_i \in \Gamma(\gamma \cdot q)$ and the unique value is $T(\gamma \cdot q, \gamma_i \gamma \cdot q)$. With this in mind we see that the above is equal to

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Gamma(\gamma \cdot q)} T(\gamma \cdot q, \delta \gamma \cdot q) \cdot \delta \cdot \gamma \cdot q \cdot (\gamma \varphi) \cdot p \cdot (\gamma \varphi) \cdot a.$$

Since $\text{supp}(\gamma \varphi \cdot \hat{p}) \subset \text{supp}(\gamma \cdot \hat{q})$, the last sum simplifies to

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Gamma(\gamma \cdot q)} T(\gamma \cdot q, \delta \gamma \cdot q) \cdot \delta \gamma \cdot \varphi \cdot p \cdot a.$$

This shows that the map $Y(\varphi, p, a) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[G(\varphi, p)]$ which sends $\gamma \cdot \varphi \cdot p \cdot a$ to $\gamma \cdot q$ gives the required isomorphism.

(2) " \Leftarrow " is easy to check. For (3) and the other direction of (2), assume

$$\mathbf{k}[\bar{\Phi} \cdot F] \ni \sum_{i=1}^I s_i \cdot \gamma_i \cdot \varphi_i \cdot p_i \cdot a_i = 0,$$

for some $s_i \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}$, lovely triples (p_i, φ_i, a_i) , and $\gamma_i \in \Gamma(\varphi_i, p_i)$. If we just take those summands where $\gamma_i \cdot \varphi_i$ is equal to some fixed element in $\bar{\Phi}$ then the sum is still zero, so let us assume that all the $\gamma_i \cdot \varphi_i$ are equal. Similarly, since supports of different \hat{p}_i are disjoint, let us assume that all the p_i are equal. Now, since the elements of $F(p_i)$ are linearly independent, we can likewise assume that all the a_i are equal.

If we denote $q_i := Q(\varphi_i, p_i)$ then by definition we have $\text{supp}(\varphi_i \cdot \hat{p}_i) \subset \text{supp}(\hat{q}_i)$. By the previous paragraph we have $\gamma_1 \varphi_1 \cdot p_1 = \gamma_i \varphi_i \cdot p_i$, for every i , so we also have $\gamma_1 \cdot q_1 =$

$\gamma_i \cdot q_i$. Because the graphs G_i are pairwise disjoint we deduce $q_i \in G(\varphi_1, p_1)$, and so $\gamma_i^{-1} \gamma_1 \in \Gamma(\varphi_1, p_1)$. On the other hand we have $\gamma_i^{-1} \gamma_1 \varphi_1 \cdot p_1 = \varphi_i \cdot p_i$, which is what we need. \square

We proceed to show that the number of triples which are not lovely is not substantial. Let $Y_\infty \subset \mathbf{k}[\bar{\Phi} \cdot F]$ be

$$Y_\infty := \text{span}(\varphi \cdot p \cdot a : p \in \bigcup K_i, \varphi \in \bar{\Phi}, a \in F(p), (\varphi, p, a) \text{ is not lovely}).$$

Recall that p_∞ is defined to be $1 - \sum_{p \in \bigcup G_i} p$.

Lemma 15. *We have $\dim_{\mathbf{k}}(Y_\infty) \leq \varepsilon |\bar{\Phi}| |F|$*

Proof. It is clearly enough to show that for a fixed φ , the subspace spanned by unlovely $\varphi \cdot p \cdot a$'s has dimension at most $\varepsilon |F|$.

For that note that if (φ, p) is unlovely then $\text{supp}(\varphi \cdot \hat{p})$ lies in $\text{supp}(\hat{p}_\infty)$, the measure of which is at most ε . Since φ acts in a measure-preserving way on X , it follows that

$$\sum_{p: (\varphi, p) \text{ is unlovely}} \text{supp}(\hat{p}) \leq \varepsilon,$$

and so the claim follows from Lemma 13. \square

Let $Y \subset \mathbf{k}[\bar{\Phi} \cdot F]$ be the span of all the lovely $Y(\varphi, p, a)$'s, and T_Y be the restriction of T to Y .

Corollary 16. $\dim_{\mathbf{k}}(Y) \geq (1 - 3\varepsilon) |\bar{\Phi}| |F|$. \square

For a fixed $\varphi \in \bar{\Phi}$ and $q \in G_i$ we have

$$\mu(\text{supp}(\hat{q})) \geq \mu(\text{supp}(\hat{q}) \setminus \text{supp}(\varphi \cdot \hat{p}_\infty)) \geq \mu(\text{supp}(\hat{q})) - \varepsilon,$$

which implies that in Y there are between $(\mu(\text{supp}(\hat{q})) - \varepsilon) |F|$ and $\mu(\text{supp}(\hat{q})) |F|$ subspaces $Y(\varphi, p, a)$ on which T_Y acts as T_{G_i} . This and Lemma 14(2) show that altogether, i.e. without fixing φ , there are between $(\mu(\text{supp}(G_i)) - \varepsilon) \frac{|\bar{\Phi}| |F|}{|G_i|}$ and $\mu(\text{supp}(G_i)) \frac{|\bar{\Phi}| |F|}{|G_i|}$ subspaces in Y on which T_Y acts as T_{G_i} . Therefore

$$|\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker T_Y - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\mu(\text{supp}(G_i))}{|G_i|} |\bar{\Phi}| |F| \cdot \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker(T_{G_i})| \leq \varepsilon |\bar{\Phi}| |F|,$$

which together with Corollary 16 implies the theorem. \square

3. APPLICATIONS

Now that the computational tool, Theorem 9, is available, the proofs of Theorems 1, 2 and 4 follow the same pattern. We use computations of basic graphs for specific group ring elements established elsewhere in the literature, and then compute the \mathbf{k} -dimensions of the kernels of the adjacency operators on the basic graphs.

In order to streamline the discussion, all the linear algebra computations are gathered in Section 4. For similar reasons some basic properties of the homology gradients are gathered in the appendix.

In order to prove Theorem 1, we chose to use the group ring elements and the basic graph computations from [Gra14], but the approach from [PSŻ10] could be used just as well.

The group ring element used in the proof of Theorem 2 is also taken from [Gra14]. It is unclear whether [PSŻ10] could be used to construct a *universal* cover with an irrational \mathbf{k} -homology gradient, for the reason explained in Remark 3. The group ring element from [Gra14] can be used because the group $\mathbf{Z}_2 \wr \mathbf{Z}$ can be explicitly embedded into a finitely-presented 2-step solvable group (see [GLSŻ00]).

The group ring element used in the proof of Theorem 4 is taken from [Gra10].

We start with Theorem 2 as this is the least complex one to prove.

3.1. Theorem 2.

Let $a \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $b \in \{0, 1\}$. A *nice graph of type (a, b)* is a rooted tree with edges directed towards the root with additional self loops:

- (1) at all internal vertices; these are called internal loops
- (2) if $b = 1$ at the root; it is called the root loop
- (3) if there are l leaf vertices, at exactly $l - a$ of them; these are called external loops

If G is a nice graph of type (a, b) we introduce two labellings G_α and G_β . In G_α all the edges are labelled 1. In G_β if $b = 1$ the root loop is labelled 1 and all the other edges are labelled 0.

The corresponding directed adjacency operators are denoted by T_α and T_β .




FIGURE 1. Example nice graph of type $(2, 1)$ with a labelling G_α

The proof of the following lemma is deferred to Section 4.

Lemma 17. *Let G be a nice graph of type (a, b) and $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 2$. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbf{k}}(\ker T_\alpha \cap \ker T_\beta) = a - b.$$

In particular the left-hand side is independent of \mathbf{k} .

The following can be deduced from the proof of [Gra14, Theorem 4.3] and the example in [Gra14, Section 5.3]. Let $A = (\oplus_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_2)^3 \times \mathbf{Z}_2^3$, $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}^3 \times \text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}_2^3)$, and let $\Gamma \curvearrowright A$ be the obvious action: $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}_2^3)$ acts on \mathbf{Z}_2^3 and each copy of \mathbf{Z} acts by shifting the coordinates of the corresponding copy of $\oplus_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_2$.

Theorem 18. *There are $S, T \in \mathbb{Z}_2[\Gamma \times A]$ and an exhausting sequence G_1, G_2, \dots of good basic graphs for S and T such that*

$$\sum_i \frac{\mu(\text{supp}(G_i))}{|G_i|} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\ker S_{G_i} \cap \ker T_{G_i}) = \frac{1}{64} - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k^2+4k+6}}.$$

For each i there is a nice graph G of some type such that $\mathcal{R}(G_i, S) = G_{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{R}(G_i, T) = G_{\beta}$.

We are ready to give a proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. There is matrix T with entries in $\mathbb{Z}_2[(\mathbf{Z}_2 \wr \mathbf{Z})^3]$ such that for $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 2$ the \mathbf{k} -homology gradient of T is equal to

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{64} - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k^2+4k+6}},$$

which is an irrational number.

Proof. Note that $\Gamma \times A$ is the product of $(\mathbf{Z}_2 \wr \mathbf{Z})^3$ and the finite group $\mathbf{Z}_2^3 \wr \text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}_2^3)$. Therefore, the basic properties of the dimension function (Lemmas 26 and 27 in the appendix) imply that it is enough to show that (7) is equal to the \mathbf{k} -homology gradient of a matrix over $\mathbf{k}[\Gamma \times A]$.

Let S and T be from the previous theorem. We claim that

$$(8) \quad \dim_{\mathbf{k}[\Gamma \times A]} \ker \begin{pmatrix} S \\ T \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{64} - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k^2+4k+6}}.$$

Convention. Formally we should write $\dim_{\mathbf{k}[\Gamma \times A]} \ker \begin{pmatrix} \rho(S) \\ \rho(T) \end{pmatrix}$. To decrease cluttering, the convention from now on is that all matrices and group ring elements which lie inside of $\dim_{\mathbf{k}}(\dots)$ or $\dim_{\mathbf{k}[\Lambda]}(\dots)$ should be reduced via ρ .

The left hand side of (8) is equal to $\dim_{\mathbf{k}[\Gamma \times A]}(\ker S \cap \ker T)$, which by Theorem 9 is equal to

$$\sum_i \frac{\mu(\text{supp}(G_i))}{|G_i|} \dim_{\mathbf{k}}(\ker S_{G_i} \cap \ker T_{G_i}).$$

This, by Lemma 17, is equal to

$$\sum_i \frac{\mu(\text{supp}(G_i))}{|G_i|} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\ker S_{G_i} \cap \ker T_{G_i}),$$

which by the previous theorem is equal to the right hand side. \square

3.2. Theorem 1.

Let $A = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_2$. The following can be deduced from the proof of [Gra14, Theorem 4.3] and the example in [Gra14, Section 5.1].

Theorem 19. *For every $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{N}$ there is an amenable group Γ , an action $\Gamma \curvearrowright A$, $S, T \in \mathbb{Z}_2[\Gamma \rtimes A]$ and an exhausting sequence G_1, G_2, \dots of good basic graphs for S and T such that*

$$\sum_i \frac{\mu(\text{supp}(G_i))}{|G_i|} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\ker S_{G_i} \cap \ker T_{G_i}) = \frac{1}{64} - \frac{2}{8^3} \sum_{i \in \Sigma} \frac{1}{2^i}.$$

For each i there is a nice graph G of some type such that $\mathcal{R}(G_i, S) = G_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{R}(G_i, T) = G_\beta$.

Remarks 20. (i) To stress that we take the group $\Gamma \rtimes A$ associated to a set $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{N}$, we will denote it by $\Gamma \rtimes_{\Sigma} A$.

(ii) The only problem in deducing the above theorem is that the group Γ from [Gra14, Section 5.1] is defined as

$$\Gamma := [(\text{Aut}(M) \wr \mathbf{Z}) * \mathbf{Z}_2] \times \text{Aut}(S),$$

where $*$ denotes the free product, and M and S are suitable finite groups. As such Γ is not amenable. However, in fact only the subgroup

$$\Gamma' := [(\langle \beta' \rangle \wr \mathbf{Z}) * \mathbf{Z}_2] \times \text{Aut}(S),$$

is used in [Gra14, Section 5.1], where β' is certain element of $\text{Aut}(M)$ defined in [Gra14, Section 5.1]. Furthermore, a direct check shows that the action $\Gamma' \curvearrowright A$ factors through the action of the *amenable* group

$$\Gamma'' := [\langle \beta' \rangle \times \mathbf{Z}_2] \wr \mathbf{Z} \times \text{Aut}(S),$$

and so in all of [Gra14, Section 5.1] the group Γ'' can be used instead of Γ .

The proof of the following corollary is exactly the same as the proof of Theorem 2 in the previous subsection.

Corollary 21. *If $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 2$, then there exists a matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_2[\Gamma \rtimes_{\Sigma} A]$ whose \mathbf{k} -homology gradient is equal to*

$$\frac{1}{64} - \frac{2}{8^3} \sum_{i \in \Sigma} \frac{1}{2^i}. \quad \square$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

Theorem 1. If $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 2$ then every non-negative real number is a \mathbf{k} -homology gradient.

Proof. The previous corollary shows that every number between $\frac{1}{64} - \frac{2}{8^3}$ and $\frac{1}{64}$ is a \mathbf{k} -homology gradient.

By additivity (Lemma 26 in the appendix), we see that there exists a natural number N such that every number bigger than N is a \mathbf{k} -homology gradient.

Let r be an arbitrary positive real number. By the previous paragraph there exists a natural number k such that $2^k \cdot r$ is a \mathbf{k} -homology gradient. Now Lemma 28 shows that r itself also is a \mathbf{k} -homology gradient. \square

3.3. Theorem 4.

We first introduce three classes of labelled graphs: $\overline{G}(k)$, $\overline{H}(l)$ and $\overline{J}(k, l)$. They will correspond to good basic graphs $G(k)$, $H(l)$ and $J(k, l)$ for a suitable group ring element T .

For $k \geq 1$ let $\overline{G}(k)$ be the graph on Figure 2 with $2k$ vertices, with a self-loop with label 1 added for each vertex.

For $l \geq 1$ let $\overline{H}(l)$ be the graph on Figure 3 with $2l + 1$ vertices, with a self-loop with label 1 added for each vertex, except the unique one with no outgoing edges.

Finally, for $k \geq 1$ and $l \geq 1$ let $\overline{J}(k, l)$ be the graph on Figure 4 with $2k + 2l + 2$ vertices and a loop of length $2k$ at the left, with a self-loop with label 1 added for each vertex, except the two unique ones with either no outgoing edges or no incoming edges.




FIGURE 2.




FIGURE 3.

Let $T_G(k)$, $T_H(l)$ and $T_j(k, l)$ be the corresponding labelled adjacency operators. The proof of the following lemma is deferred to Section 4.

Lemma 22. *We have*

- (1) *If $k > 1$ and $1 = 2^{k-1}$ in \mathbf{k} then $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker T_G(k) = 1$. Otherwise we have $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker T_G(k) = 0$*




FIGURE 4.

$$(2) \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker T_H(l) = 1$$

$$(3) \text{ If } l = 2^{k-1} - 1 \text{ in } \mathbf{k} \text{ then } \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker T_j(k, l) = 2. \text{ Otherwise } \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker T_j(k, l) = 1$$

The following can be deduced from [Gra10, Section 5]. Let $A = (\oplus_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_2) \times \mathbf{Z}_2^3$ and $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z} \times \text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}_2^3)$, and let $\Gamma \curvearrowright A$ be the obvious action.

Theorem 23. *There is $T \in \mathbb{Z}_2[\Gamma \times A]$ and an exhausting family of good basic graphs for T whose elements are denoted by U , $G(k)$, $k \geq 1$, $H(l)$, $l \geq 1$, and $J(k, l)$, $k, l \geq 1$, such the following two conditions hold.*

(i) U is a graph with one vertex and no edges, and we have the isomorphisms of labelled graphs

$$\mathcal{S}(G(k), T) \cong \overline{G}(k) \quad \mathcal{S}(H(l), T) \cong \overline{H}(l) \quad \mathcal{S}(J(k, l), T) \cong \overline{J}(k, l).$$

(ii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\text{supp}(U)) &= \frac{45}{64} & \mu(\text{supp}(G(k))) &= \frac{2k}{64 \cdot 2^k} \\ \mu(\text{supp}(H(l))) &= \frac{2l+1}{64 \cdot 2^l} & \mu(\text{supp}(J(k, l))) &= \frac{2k+2l+2}{2^{k+l}} \end{aligned}$$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 4. To abbreviate, let $q := \text{char}(\mathbf{k}) > 2$. Let r be the multiplicative order of 2 in \mathbf{k}^* , let $L \subset \{2, 3, \dots\}$ be the finite set of minimal representatives in $\{2, 3, \dots\}$ of the powers $2^i \bmod q$, $i \geq 0$, and for $x \in L$ let $r(x) \geq 0$ be the smallest natural number such that $2^{r(x)} = x \pmod{q}$.

Theorem 4. When $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) > 2$, the \mathbf{k} -homology gradient of T is equal to

$$\frac{47}{64} + \frac{1}{128} \cdot \frac{1}{2^r - 1} + \frac{1}{64} \cdot \frac{2^q}{2^q - 1} \cdot \frac{2^r}{2^r - 1} \cdot \sum_{x \in L} \frac{1}{2^{x+r(x)}}.$$

Remark 24. The additional factor 1344 in the statement of Theorem 4 in the introduction arises from the fact that $A \rtimes \Gamma$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_2 \wr \mathbf{Z} \times (\mathbf{Z}_2^3 \rtimes \text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}_2^3))$. And the order of $\mathbf{Z}_2^3 \rtimes \text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}_2^3)$ is 1344 (see Lemma 27 in the appendix).

Proof. By Theorem 9, $\dim_{\mathbf{k}}[\Gamma \times A] \ker T$ is equal to the sum of the terms

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\mu(\text{supp}(G(k)))}{2k} \cdot \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker T_G(k), \\ & \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{\mu(\text{supp}(H(l)))}{2l+1} \cdot \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker T_H(l), \\ & \sum_{k, l \geq 1} \frac{\mu(\text{supp}(J(k, l)))}{2k+2l+2} \cdot \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker T_J(k, l), \end{aligned}$$

and the number $\frac{45}{64}$, corresponding to the basic graph U in Theorem 23. By Lemma 22 the sums above are equal to, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{k > 1, \\ 2^{k-1} = 1(\mathbf{k})}} \frac{1}{64} \cdot \frac{1}{2^k} &= \frac{1}{64} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{rn+1}} = \frac{1}{128} \left(\frac{1}{1-2^{-r}} - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{128} \cdot \frac{1}{2^r - 1}, \\ \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{1}{64} \cdot \frac{1}{2^l} &= \frac{1}{64}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{64} \sum_{k, l \geq 1} \frac{1}{2^{k+l}} + \frac{1}{64} \sum_{\substack{k, l \geq 1, \\ l = 2^{k-1} - 1(\mathbf{k})}} \frac{1}{2^{l+k}} &= \frac{1}{64} + \frac{1}{64} \sum_{x \in L} \sum_{n, m \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{(x+qn-1)+(r(x)+rm+1)}} = \\ &= \frac{1}{64} + \frac{1}{64} \cdot \frac{2^q}{2^q - 1} \cdot \frac{2^r}{2^r - 1} \cdot \sum_{x \in L} \frac{1}{2^{x+r(x)}}, \end{aligned}$$

and so the theorem follows. \square

4. LINEAR ALGEBRA COMPUTATIONS

Lemma 17. Let G be a nice graph of type (a, b) and $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 2$. Then

$$\dim_{\mathbf{k}}(\ker T_{\alpha} \cap \ker T_{\beta}) = a - b.$$

In particular the left-hand side is independent of \mathbf{k} .

Proof. For $v \in V(G)$ let $n(v) := v$ if v is a root, and otherwise let $n(v)$ be the unique vertex different from v such that there is a directed edge from v to $n(v)$. Let $r \in V(G)$ be the root.

For every $v \in V(G)$ let $\zeta_v \in l^2(V(G))$ be the indicator function of the vertex v , and let $\xi(v) := \zeta_v - \zeta_{n(v)} + \zeta_{n^2(v)} - \dots \pm \zeta_r$. A direct check shows that

$$\ker(T_{\alpha}) = \text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi(v) : v \text{ is a leaf vertex without external loop}),$$

and that $\dim \ker(T_{\alpha}) = a$. On the other hand clearly if $b = 0$ then T_{β} is the zero operator and so the claim holds. If $b = 1$ then $\ker(T_{\beta})$ is a codimension 1 subspace which is not contained in $\ker(T_{\alpha})$, and so in this case the claim also holds. \square

Let the graphs $\overline{G}(k)$, $\overline{H}(l)$ and $\overline{J}(k, l)$ be as in Subsection 3.3, and let $T_G(k)$, $T_H(l)$ and $T_j(k, l)$ be the corresponding labelled adjacency operators.

Lemma 22. We have

- (1) If $k > 1$ and $1 = 2^{k-1}$ in \mathbf{k} then $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker T_G(k) = 1$. Otherwise we have $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker T_G(k) = 0$.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker T_H(l) = 1$
- (3) If $l = 2^{k-1} - 1$ in \mathbf{k} then $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker T_j(k, l) = 2$. Otherwise $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker T_j(k, l) = 1$.

Proof. Note that the figures below do not have the self-loops, which are described in Subsection 3.3.

Lemma (“flow lemma at vertex v ”). *Let T be the adjacency operator on an edge-labelled directed graph, v be a vertex, w_1, \dots, w_n be all the vertices for which there are directed edges towards v , and the corresponding edge-labels be $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbf{k}$. Let $f \in \ker_{\mathbf{k}} T$. Then*

$$\sum a_i f(w_i) = 0. \quad \square$$

- (1) We give the vertices of $\overline{G}(k)$ names as in Figure 5.




FIGURE 5.

A direct check confirms $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker T_G(1) = 0$.

For $k > 1$ denote $T := T_G(k)$. Suppose $f \in \ker(T)$. From the flow lemma at A_1 we see that $f(A_1) = f(B_k)$, and inductively $f(A_1) = f(B_j) = f(A_k)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$.

On the other hand from the flow lemma at A_2 we see $f(A_2) = 2 \cdot f(A_1)$, and inductively $f(A_j) = 2^{j-1} \cdot f(A_1)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$. Combining these we get

$$f(A_1) = 2^{k-1} \cdot f(A_1),$$

and $f = 0$ if $f(A_1) = 0$. It follows that $\ker(T) \neq \{0\} \Rightarrow \dim \ker(T) = 1$ and $1 = 2^{k-1}$.

On the other hand, if $1 = 2^{k-1}$ in \mathbf{k} then the function f defined by $f(B_i) = 1$, $f(A_i) = 2^{i-1}$ is a non-trivial element in $\ker(T)$.

- (2) We give the vertices of $\overline{H}(l)$ names as in Figure 6.




FIGURE 6.

The matrix of $T_H(l)$ in the basis $C_1, \dots, C_l, D_1, \dots, D_l, F$ is upper-triangular. The diagonal entries corresponding to C 's and D 's are equal to 1, and the diagonal entry corresponding to F is 0. This shows the claim.

(3) We give the vertices of $\bar{J}(k, l)$ names as in Figure 7.




FIGURE 7.

We will focus on the case $k > 1$. The arguments in the case $k = 1$ are very similar and are left to the reader. Denote $T := T_J(k, l)$.

First, assume $l = 2^{k-1} - 1$ in \mathbf{k} . The first generator of $\ker T$ is the indicator function of the vertex F . The coefficients of another generator of $\ker T$ are depicted on Figure 8.

To see that these two vectors generate the whole $\ker T$ let us prove the following.

Claim. Let $f \in \ker T$ be such that $f(F) = f(A_1) = 0$ then $f = 0$.

Proof of Claim. From the flow lemma at A_2 we see that $f(A_1) = 0$ implies $f(A_2) = 0$. Similarly we show $f(A_i) = f(B_i) = 0$ for all i . Now the flow lemma at A_1 together with $f(A_1) = f(B_k) = 0$ implies $f(I) = 0$, and the flow lemma at C_1 and $f(A_1) = 0$ imply $f(C_1) = 0$. The flow lemma at D_1 together with $f(I) = f(C_1) = 0$ implies $f(D_1) = 0$.




FIGURE 8. Coefficients of the second generator of $\ker T$ when $l = 2^{k-1} - 1$

Now note that the flow lemma at C_{i+1} and $f(C_i) = 0$ imply $f(C_{i+1}) = 0$. Thus we get $f(C_i) = 0$ for all i .

Finally the flow lemma at D_{i+1} and $f(D_i) = f(C_{i+1}) = 0$ imply $f(D_{i+1}) = 0$, and so we also get $f(D_i) = 0$ for all i . Since $f(F) = 0$ by assumption, the claim follows. \square

Note that the indicator function of the vertex F is in $\ker(T)$ for arbitrary (k, l) . Thus to finish the proof it is enough to show that if $f \in \ker T$ is such that $f(A_1) = 1$ and $f(F) = 0$ then $l = 2^{k-1} - 1$.

So assume $f(A_1) = 1$. From the flow lemma at A_2 we get $f(A_2) = 2$. Similarly $f(A_i) = 2^{i-1}$ for all i , and in particular $f(A_k) = 2^{k-1}$.

Now from the flow lemma at B_1 we have also $f(B_1) = 2^{k-1}$ and by induction $f(B_k) = 2^{k-1}$.

Since $f(A_1) = 1$ and $f(B_k) = 2^{k-1}$ the flow lemma at A_1 implies $f(I) = 2^{k-1}$. The flow lemma at C_1 together with $f(A_1) = 1$ implies $f(C_1) = 1$, and by induction $f(C_i) = 1$ for all i . Thus by the flow lemma at D_1 we get $f(D_1) = 2^{k-1} - 2$ and inductively $f(D_i) = 2^{k-1} - i - 1$.

This means that $f(D_l) = 0$ only if $0 = 2^{k-1} - l - 1$. Since the flow lemma at F implies $f(D_l) = 0$, this ends the proof. \square

APPENDIX A. BASIC PROPERTIES OF $\dim_{\mathbf{k}[G]}$

We list some useful properties of $\dim_{\mathbf{k}[G]}$. All of them are easy to prove and we only give sketches of the proofs.

Lemma 25. *If $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ are amenable groups, $T \in \text{Mat}(m \times n, \mathbf{k}[\Lambda])$, then $\dim_{\mathbf{k}[\Lambda]} \ker T = \dim_{\mathbf{k}[\Gamma]} \ker T$.*

Proof. For simplicity assume $m = n = 1$. The existence of the limit in (4) for any Følner sequence implies that $\forall \delta \exists \varepsilon$ such that if $F \subset \Lambda$ is ε -Følner for $\text{supp}(T)$ then

$$(9) \quad |\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \ker(T_F) - \dim_{\mathbf{k}[\Lambda]} \ker T| < \delta.$$

For a set $S \subset \Gamma$ let $\partial S := \text{supp}(T) \cdot S \setminus S$.

Let $\Phi \subset \Gamma$ be an ε^2 -Følner set for $\text{supp}(T)$. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots \in \Gamma$ be right coset representatives for Λ , and let $\Phi_i := \Phi \cap \Lambda\gamma_i$. Note that

$$(10) \quad T_\Phi = \bigoplus T_{\Phi_i}$$

Let $B \subset \Phi$ be the set of those φ such that $\varphi \in \Phi_i$ and Φ_i is not ε -Følner for $\text{supp}(T)$. Clearly we have

$$|\partial B| \geq \varepsilon |B|,$$

and since Φ is ε^2 -Følner it follows that $|B| \leq \varepsilon |\Phi|$. Now the statement follows from (9) and (10). \square

Lemma 26. *The set of \mathbf{k} -homology gradients of matrices over a given group ring is closed under addition.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward using suitable diagonal block matrices. \square

Lemma 27. *Let Γ be an amenable and Λ a finite group. Let $\omega: \text{Mat}(m \times n, \mathbf{k}[\Lambda]) \hookrightarrow \text{Mat}(m|\Lambda| \times n|\Lambda|, \mathbf{k})$ be given by the left regular representation of Λ , and let*

$$\text{Id} \otimes \omega: \mathbf{k}[\Gamma \times \Lambda] \cong \mathbf{k}[\Gamma] \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}[\Lambda] \hookrightarrow \text{Mat}(m \times n, \mathbf{k}[\Gamma]).$$

For $T \in \text{Mat}(m \times n, \mathbf{k}[\Gamma \times \Lambda])$ we have

$$\dim_{\mathbf{k}[\Gamma \times \Lambda]} \ker T = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \dim_{\mathbf{k}[\Gamma]} \ker((\text{Id} \otimes \omega)(T)),$$

and similarly for the images.

Proof. For simplicity we assume $m = n = 1$. Let Φ_i be a sequence of Følner sets for Γ . Then $\Phi_i \times \Lambda$ is a Følner sequence for $\Gamma \times \Lambda$. Let us fix i and put $\Phi := \Phi_i$. Consider an enumeration λ_j of elements of Λ and define the vector space isomorphisms

$$f: \mathbf{k}[\Phi \times \Lambda] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[\Phi]^{|\Lambda|},$$

by demanding that $f(\varphi, \lambda_j)$ be the vector whose j 'th coordinate is φ and all the other coordinates are 0. It is straightforward to check that f is an intertwining operator for T and $(\text{Id} \otimes \omega)(T)$. \square

For the next lemma recall our standing assumption that $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 2$.

Lemma 28. *Let Γ be amenable, $m \geq n$, $T \in \text{Mat}(m \times n, \mathbf{k}[\Gamma])$, and $I \in \text{Mat}(m \times n, \mathbf{k})$ such that $\ker(I) = \{0\}$. Define $S := I \cdot \text{Diag}_n(\frac{1-a}{2}) + T \cdot \text{Diag}_n(\frac{1+a}{2}) \in \text{Mat}(m \times n, \mathbf{k}[\Gamma \times \mathbf{Z}_2])$. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbf{k}[\Gamma \times \mathbf{Z}_2]} \ker S = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbf{k}[\Gamma]} \ker T.$$

Proof. Let Φ_i be a sequence of Følner sets for Γ . Then $\Phi_i \times \mathbf{Z}_2$ is a Følner sequence for $\Gamma \times \mathbf{Z}_2$. Let us fix i and put $\Phi := \Phi_i$. Let $V_- := \text{span}(\frac{1-a}{2} \cdot \varphi : \varphi \in \Phi)$ and $V_+ := \text{span}(\frac{1+a}{2} \cdot \varphi : \varphi \in \Phi)$.

It is straightforward to check that $\mathbf{k}[\Phi \times \mathbf{Z}_2]^m = V_-^m \oplus V_+^m$, both V_-^m and V_+^m are S -invariant, the restriction of S to V_-^m is isomorphic to I and the restriction to V_+^m is isomorphic to T .

Since also $\dim V_+ = \dim V_-$ the claim follows. \square

Acknowledgements. L.G. was supported by EPSRC at Imperial College London and Oxford University, by EPSRC grant EP/K012045/1 at University of Warwick, by Austrian Science Foundation project P25510-N26 during L.G.'s stay at T.U. Graz, and by Fondations Sciences Mathématiques de Paris during the program *Marches Aléatoires et Géométrie Asymptotique des Groupes* at Institut Henri-Poincaré.

REFERENCES

- [AM69] Michael F. Atiyah and Ian G. Macdonald. *Introduction to commutative algebra*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1969.
- [Ati76] Michael F. Atiyah. Elliptic operators, discrete groups and von Neumann algebras. In *Colloque "Analyse et Topologie" en l'Honneur de Henri Cartan (Orsay, 1974)*, pages 43–72. Astérisque, No. 32–33. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1976.
- [Aus13] Tim Austin. Rational group ring elements with kernels having irrational dimension. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 107(6):1424–1448, 2013.
- [BVZ97] Cédric Béguin, Alain Valette, and Andrzej Żuk. On the spectrum of a random walk on the discrete Heisenberg group and the norm of Harper's operator. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 21(4):337–356, 1997.
- [CG86] Jeff Cheeger and Mikhael Gromov. L_2 -cohomology and group cohomology. *Topology*, 25(2):189–215, 1986.
- [DLM⁺03] Józef Dodziuk, Peter Linnell, Varghese Mathai, Thomas Schick, and Stuart Yates. Approximating L^2 -invariants and the Atiyah conjecture. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 56(7):839–873, 2003. Dedicated to the memory of Jürgen K. Moser.
- [DS02] Warren Dicks and Thomas Schick. The spectral measure of certain elements of the complex group ring of a wreath product. *Geom. Dedicata*, 93:121–137, 2002.
- [Eck00] Beno Eckmann. Introduction to l_2 -methods in topology: reduced l_2 -homology, harmonic chains, l_2 -Betti numbers. *Israel J. Math.*, 117:183–219, 2000. Notes prepared by Guido Mislin.
- [Ele02] Gábor Elek. Amenable groups, topological entropy and Betti numbers. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 132:315–335, 2002. 10.1007/BF02784519.
- [Ele06] Gábor Elek. The strong approximation conjecture holds for amenable groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 239(1):345–355, 2006.
- [ES11] Gábor Elek and Endre Szabó. Sofic representations of amenable groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(12):4285–4291, 2011.
- [Far98] Michael Farber. Geometry of growth: approximation theorems for L^2 invariants. *Math. Ann.*, 311(2):335–375, 1998.
- [Fol95] Gerald B. Folland. *A course in abstract harmonic analysis*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
- [GLSŻ00] Rostislav I. Grigorchuk, Peter Linnell, Thomas Schick, and Andrzej Żuk. On a question of Atiyah. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 331(9):663–668, 2000.

- [Gra10] Lukasz Grabowski. Irrational l^2 -invariants arising from the lamplighter group, September 2010. Preprint, available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1009.0229>.
- [Gra14] Lukasz Grabowski. On Turing dynamical systems and the Atiyah problem. *Invent. Math.*, 198(1):27–69, 2014.
- [Gro99] Misha Gromov. Topological invariants of dynamical systems and spaces of holomorphic maps. I. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, 2(4):323–415, 1999.
- [GŻ01] Rostislav I. Grigorchuk and Andrzej Żuk. The lamplighter group as a group generated by a 2-state automaton, and its spectrum. *Geom. Dedicata*, 87(1-3):209–244, 2001.
- [Kri07] Fabrice Krieger. Le lemme d’Ornstein-Weiss d’après Gromov. In *Dynamics, ergodic theory, and geometry*, volume 54 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 99–111. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [Lac09] Marc Lackenby. Large groups, property (τ) and the homology growth of subgroups. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 146(3):625–648, 2009.
- [Lin93] Peter A. Linnell. Division rings and group von Neumann algebras. *Forum Math.*, 5(6):561–576, 1993.
- [LL95] John Lott and Wolfgang Lück. l^2 -topological invariants of 3-manifolds. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 120(1):15–60, 1995.
- [LLS11] Peter Linnell, Wolfgang Lück, and Roman Sauer. The limit of \mathbb{F}_p -Betti numbers of a tower of finite covers with amenable fundamental groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(2):421–434, 2011.
- [LNW08] Franz Lehner, Markus Neuhauser, and Wolfgang Woess. On the spectrum of lamplighter groups and percolation clusters. *Math. Ann.*, 342(1):69–89, 2008.
- [Lüc94] Wolfgang Lück. Approximating L^2 -invariants by their finite-dimensional analogues. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 4(4):455–481, 1994.
- [Lüc02] Wolfgang Lück. L^2 -invariants: theory and applications to geometry and K -theory, volume 44 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [LW13] Franz Lehner and Stephan Wagner. Free lamplighter groups and a question of Atiyah. *Amer. J. Math.*, 135(3):835–849, 2013.
- [OW87] Donald S. Ornstein and Benjamin Weiss. Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups. *J. Analyse Math.*, 48:1–141, 1987.
- [Pap13] Nathaniel Pappas. Arbitrary p -gradient values. *J. Group Theory*, 16(4):519–534, 2013.
- [PSŻ10] Mikaël Pichot, Thomas Schick, and Andrzej Żuk. Closed manifolds with transcendental L^2 -Betti numbers, May 2010. Preprint, available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1005.1147>.

LUKASZ GRABOWSKI - UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, ZEEMAN BUILDING, COVENTRY, CV4 7AL, UK

E-mail address: graboluk@gmail.com

THOMAS SCHICK - GEORG-AUGUST-UNIVERSITÄT GÖTTINGEN, BUNSENSTR. 3, 37073 GÖTTINGEN, GERMANY

E-mail address: schick@uni-math.gwdg.de