

# Tension between secret sterile neutrino interactions and cosmological neutrino mass bounds

Alessandro Mirizzi,<sup>1</sup> Gianpiero Mangano,<sup>2</sup> Ofelia Pisanti,<sup>2,3</sup> and Ninetta Saviano<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*II Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hamburg,  
Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany*

<sup>2</sup>*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare - Sezione di Napoli,  
Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, I-80126 Napoli, Italy*

<sup>3</sup>*Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli Federico II,  
Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, I-80126 Napoli, Italy*

<sup>4</sup>*Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology, Department of Physics, Durham University,  
Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom*

(Dated: March 4, 2019)

Secret interactions among sterile neutrinos have been recently proposed as an escape-route to reconcile eV sterile neutrino hints from short-baseline anomalies with cosmological observations. In particular models with coupling  $g_X \gtrsim 10^{-2}$  and gauge boson mediators  $X$  with  $M_X \lesssim 10$  MeV lead to large matter potential suppressing the sterile neutrino production before the neutrino decoupling. With this choice of parameter ranges, big bang nucleosynthesis is left unchanged and gives no bound on the model. However, we show that at lower temperatures when active-sterile oscillations are no longer matter suppressed, sterile neutrinos are still in a collisional regime, due to their secret self-interactions. The interplay between vacuum oscillations and collisions leads to a scattering-induced decoherent production of sterile neutrinos with a fast rate. This process is responsible for a flavor equilibration among the different neutrino species. The huge abundance of sterile neutrinos would affect the large-scale structure power spectrum. As a consequence, we find a strong tension between secret interaction scenario and the cosmological neutrino mass bounds.

PACS numbers: 14.60.St, 14.60.Pq, 98.80.-k

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the last recent years there has been a renewed attention on eV sterile neutrinos, suggested by anomalies found in the short-baseline neutrino experiments (see [1] for a recent review). In this context it has been realized that these light sterile neutrinos would be efficiently produced in the early universe by oscillations with active neutrinos, leading to a conflict with different cosmological observations [2, 3]. This problem motivated the investigation of different mechanisms to suppress the sterile neutrino thermalization (see, e.g., [2, 4, 5]). In [6, 7] it has been recently proposed a novel suppression mechanism based on the introduction of secret interactions among sterile neutrinos, mediated by a massive gauge boson  $X$ , with  $M_X \ll M_W$  (see [8] for the case of sterile neutrinos interacting with a light pseudoscalar). Indeed, these secret interactions would generate a large matter term in the sterile neutrino sector, which lowers the effective neutrino in-medium mixing angle.

However, as the matter potential declines, a resonance is eventually encountered. Then, sterile neutrinos would be produced by a combination of (damped) Mikheyev-Smirnov-Wolfenstein (MSW)-like resonant flavor conversions among active and sterile neutrinos [9], and the non-resonant processes associated with the secret collisional effects [10]. In particular, assuming for secret interactions a coupling constant  $g_X \gtrsim 10^{-2}$  and masses of the mediator  $M_X \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(10)$  MeV, the sterile neutrino production would occur at  $T \gtrsim 0.1$  MeV, with non trivial conse-

quences on big bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) [6]. In this context, in [11] it has been shown that BBN observations would lead to severe constraints on the parameter space of the model, reducing the range which satisfies cosmological bounds.

In [7] much lighter bosons were considered. The advantage of this choice seems twofold. On one hand the matter potential would be so strong to inhibit any sterile neutrino production in epochs relevant for BBN, thus evading the corresponding constraints. Moreover, if the new interaction mediator  $X$  couples not only to sterile neutrinos but also to dark matter particles, for such small masses it might also possibly relieve some of the small-scale structure [7, 12] (nonstandard interactions were also introduced to alleviate problems related to cold dark matter in [13–18] and in the references therein). Furthermore, secret interactions among sterile neutrinos, mediated by very light (or even massless) pseudoscalars, and their connection with dark matter was explored in [8].

The aim of this paper is to show that also for these small masses a large sterile neutrino production is unavoidable at  $T \ll 0.1$  MeV, when the matter potential becomes smaller than the vacuum oscillation term. Indeed, the small vacuum oscillations act as *seed* for a *scattering-induced decoherent production* of sterile neutrinos, associated with the secret self-interactions in the sterile sector. This process is very rapid and leads to a quick flavor equilibration among the active and the sterile neutrino species after the active neutrino decoupling. We will show that in this situation the sterile neutrino

abundance would be in tension with cosmological neutrino mass bounds.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II we present an overview of the flavor evolution for the active-sterile neutrino system in the presence of secret interactions. In Section III we discuss the scattering-induced decoherent production of sterile neutrinos, associated with the secret interactions in the post-decoupling epoch. In Section IV we compare this sterile neutrino abundance with the most recent cosmological mass bounds finding a tension for the eV mass range of sterile neutrinos. Finally, in Section V we summarize our results and conclude.

## II. FLAVOR EVOLUTION

We consider a 3+1 neutrino mixing scenario, involving the three active families and a sterile species. As usual, we describe the neutrino (antineutrino) system in terms of  $4 \times 4$  density matrices  $\rho = \rho(p)$ , for the different neutrino momenta  $p$ . The evolution equation of the density matrix  $\rho$  is ruled by the kinetic equations [4, 19, 20]

$$i \frac{d\rho}{dt} = [\Omega, \rho] + C[\rho]. \quad (1)$$

Assuming no neutrino asymmetry, the evolution for antineutrinos is identical to the one of neutrinos. The evolution in terms of the comoving observer proper time  $t$  can be easily recast in function of the temperature  $T$  (see [4] for a detailed treatment). The first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (1) describes the flavor oscillations Hamiltonian, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega = & \frac{M^2}{2p} + \sqrt{2} G_F \left[ -\frac{8p}{3} \left( \frac{E_\ell}{M_W^2} + \frac{E_\nu}{M_Z^2} \right) \right] \\ & + \sqrt{2} G_X \left[ -\frac{8p\mathbf{E}_s}{3M_X^2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $M^2 = \mathcal{U}^\dagger \mathcal{M}^2 \mathcal{U}$  is the neutrino mass matrix, written in terms of the solar  $\Delta m_{\text{sol}}^2$ , the atmospheric  $\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2$  [21] and sterile  $\Delta m_{\text{st}}^2$  [22, 23] mass-squared differences. We have  $\Delta m_{\text{sol}}^2 \ll \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2 \ll \Delta m_{\text{st}}^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ eV}^2$ . Here  $\mathcal{U}$  is the  $4 \times 4$  active-sterile mixing matrix, parametrized as in [4], where the values of the different mixing angles are given by the global fits of the active [21] and of the sterile neutrino mixings [22, 23], respectively. The terms proportional to the Fermi constant  $G_F$  in Eq. (2) are the standard matter effects in active neutrino oscillations. In particular, the two contributions  $E_\ell$  and  $E_\nu$  are the energy density of  $e^\pm$  pairs, and  $\nu$  and  $\bar{\nu}$ , respectively. The term proportional to  $G_X$  in Eq. (2) represents the new matter secret potential where  $\mathbf{E}_s$  is the energy density of  $\nu_s$  and  $\bar{\nu}_s$ .

Flavor evolution generally occurs at  $T \ll M_X$  (we comment below for the case  $T > M_X$ ), so that one can reduce

it to a contact interaction, with an effective strength <sup>1</sup>

$$G_X = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8} \frac{g_X^2}{M_X^2}. \quad (3)$$

The numerical factor  $\sqrt{2}/8$  has been included in order to have in the  $X$  sector the same relation which holds among the Fermi constant  $G_F$ , the  $SU(2)_L$  coupling constant  $g$  and the  $W$ -mass in the Standard Model. When this matter term is of the order of the vacuum oscillation frequency, associated with  $\Delta m_{\text{st}}^2$ , a MSW resonance among active and sterile neutrinos would occur [9]. In the following we will neglect the standard matter effects in neutrino oscillations, proportional to  $G_F$ , since we are in the limit  $G_F \ll G_X$ .

Finally, the last term in the right-hand side of Eq. (1) represents the collisional term. Since we will work at  $T \ll 1 \text{ MeV}$ , the standard collisional term  $\propto G_F^2$  in the active sector [24] can be neglected and we only consider the collisional effect in the sterile sector, associated with the secret self-interactions  $\nu_s \nu_s \rightarrow \nu_s \nu_s$ , which reads [25]

$$C[\rho] = -i \frac{\Gamma_X}{2} [\mathbf{S}_X, [\rho, \mathbf{S}_X]], \quad (4)$$

where

$$\Gamma_X \simeq G_X^2 T_\nu^5 \frac{p}{\langle p \rangle} \frac{n_s}{n_a}, \quad (5)$$

is the scattering rate [24], <sup>2</sup> with  $\langle p \rangle = 3.15T$  the average-momentum for a thermal Fermi-Dirac distribution, and  $n_s$  and  $n_a$  the sterile and the active neutrino abundance, respectively. In flavor basis,  $\mathbf{S}_X = \text{diag}(0, 0, 0, 1)$  is the matrix with the numerical coefficients for the scattering process. Notice that, in the range we consider for  $G_X$  the elastic scattering terms which redistribute momenta are much larger than Hubble parameter, so we expect any initial sterile distribution will rapidly approach the standard Fermi-Dirac shape.

The interplay between flavor oscillations and collisions becomes more transparent considering the equations of motion [Eq. (1)–(2)] in the case of mixing of only one active flavor with the sterile neutrinos. In this case one may write  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathbf{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ ,  $\Omega = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_0 + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})$  and  $\mathbf{S}_X = \frac{1}{2}(s_0 + \mathbf{S}_X \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  are the Pauli matrices. One recovers the known evolution of the polarization vector  $\mathbf{P}$ , expressed by the Stodolsky's formula [2, 26]

$$\frac{d\mathbf{P}}{dt} = \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \mathbf{P} - D\mathbf{P}_T. \quad (6)$$

The first term at the right-hand-side represents the precession of the polarization vector  $\mathbf{P}$  around  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ . The effect

<sup>1</sup> See [7] for an explicit calculation of the neutrino potential associated with the secret interactions.

<sup>2</sup> Note a typo in the scattering rate associated with secret interactions in [7], where it was written as  $\propto G_F^2$  instead of  $G_X^2$ .

of the collisions is to destroy the coherence of the flavor evolution, leading to a shrinking of the length of  $\mathbf{P}$ . More precisely, only the component of the polarization vector “transverse” to the flavor basis  $\mathbf{P}_T$  is damped with a rate  $D = (1/2)\Gamma_X|\mathbf{S}_X|^2$ . (We remind the reader that  $\mathbf{P}_T$  represents the off-diagonal elements of  $\rho$ ). This damping effect is written in the second term at the right-hand-side of Eq. (6). As shown in [26, 27], the combination of precession and damping can lead to different behaviors depending on the relative strength of the two effects.

In particular, when the typical oscillation rate ( $t_{\text{osc}}^{-1}$ ), collision rate ( $t_{\text{coll}}^{-1}$ ) and the expansion rate of the universe ( $H$ ), obey the hierarchy  $t_{\text{osc}}^{-1} \gg t_{\text{coll}}^{-1} \gg H$  the flavor dynamics can be described as follows. Active neutrinos  $\nu_\alpha$  start to oscillate into sterile states  $\nu_s$ . The average probability to find a sterile neutrinos in a scattering time scale ( $\Gamma_X^{-1}$ ) is given by  $\langle P(\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_s) \rangle_{\text{coll}}$ . In each collision, the momentum of the  $\nu_s$  component is changed, while the  $\nu_\alpha$  component remains unaffected. Therefore, after a collision the two flavors are no longer in the same momentum state, and then they can no longer oscillate. Conversely, they start to evolve independently. However, the remaining active neutrinos can develop a new coherent  $\nu_s$  component which is made incoherent in the next collision, and so on. The process continues till one reaches a flavor equilibrium with equal number of  $\nu_\alpha$  and  $\nu_s$  (corresponding to  $|\mathbf{P}| = 0$ , i.e. a completely mixed ensemble). Namely, starting with a pure active flavor state, corresponding to  $\rho = \text{diag}(1, 0)$ , the final density matrix would be  $\rho = \text{diag}(1/2, 1/2)$ . The averaged relaxation rate to reach this chemical equilibrium is [10, 26]

$$\Gamma_t \simeq \langle P(\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_s) \rangle_{\text{coll}} \Gamma_X . \quad (7)$$

Notice that this rate is non zero as soon as an initial sterile neutrino density is produced when the matter term becomes of the order of the vacuum oscillation frequency. This initial sterile abundance is again proportional to the conversion probability. Thus  $\Gamma_t$  is, at first, proportional to the square of  $\langle P(\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_s) \rangle_{\text{coll}}$ .

In the following we will show that the conditions to trigger this dynamics are always fulfilled at  $T \ll 1$  MeV, leading to a copious sterile neutrino production. The key observation is that, although the refractive potential is smaller than the oscillation rate and the collisional cross sections are even smaller, yet they exceed the Hubble rate and lead to remarkable flavor evolution through a scattering-induced decoherent production of sterile neutrinos.

### III. STERILE NEUTRINO PRODUCTION BY SCATTERING-INDUCED DECOHERENCE

In Fig. 1 we show the behavior of the different neutrino refractive and collisional rates normalized to the Hubble rate  $H(T)$ , versus photon temperature  $T$  (see [4] for details). For the sake of illustration, we show the quantity

of Eq. (2)-(5) averaged over thermal Fermi-Dirac distributions. Results are shown for  $g_X = 10^{-1}$ . Left panel is for  $G_X = 10^8 G_F$  and  $M_X = 1.2$  MeV, while right panel corresponds to  $G_X = 10^{10} G_F$  and  $M_X = 0.12$  MeV. We show the active-sterile vacuum term (solid curve) and the secret matter potential (dotted curve) assuming  $\rho_{ss} = n_s/n_a = 10^{-1}$ , an indicative value when sterile neutrinos are about to be excited. We note that for  $T > M_X$  the real form of the matter potential would deviate from the contact structure of Eq. (3) used in the Figure (see [7]). In particular, for  $T \simeq M_X$  the potential would vanish, leading to a possible production of  $\nu_s$  when this condition is fulfilled. However, since the duration of this phase is expected to be shorter than the inverse of the sterile neutrino production rate  $\Gamma_t^{-1}$ , for simplicity we neglect this possible (small) extra-contribution of sterile neutrinos. In the left panel a resonance would take place at  $T \simeq 3 \times 10^{-2}$  MeV, while in the right panel at  $T \simeq 7 \times 10^{-3}$  MeV. This resonance excites sterile states.

In principle one should perform numerical simulations in a (3+1) scheme in order to calculate the resonant sterile neutrino abundance and the further flavor evolution. However, in the presence of the very large matter potential and collisional term, induced by the secret interactions, these would be computationally demanding. Moreover, our main argument is not related to the details of the corresponding dynamics. Therefore, for simplicity we assume that the resonance is completely non-adiabatic, so we have to take into account only the vacuum production of sterile neutrinos at lower temperatures when the matter term becomes smaller than the vacuum oscillation term, associated with  $\Delta m_{\text{st}}^2$ . This is a very conservative assumption. However, it allows us to easily compute the flavor evolution and is enough to show the role of the damping term.

The active-sterile vacuum oscillation probability, averaged over a collision time scale, is given by [28]

$$\langle P(\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_s) \rangle_{\text{coll}} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 2\theta_{\alpha s} . \quad (8)$$

Taking as representative mixing angle  $\sin^2 2\theta_{es} \simeq 0.12$  [22], one would expect a sterile neutrino abundance,  $n_s \simeq 0.06 n_a$ . This seems a negligible contribution, but is enough to generate a large scattering rate proportional to  $G_X^2$ , see Eq. (7). This is shown in Figure 1 as dashed curves. As one can see, at  $T \lesssim 10^{-2}$  MeV,  $\Gamma_t \gg H(T)$ . Therefore, the scattering-induced decoherent production will lead to a quick flavor equilibrium. Starting with a density matrix having

$$(\rho_{ee}, \rho_{\mu\mu}, \rho_{\tau\tau}, \rho_{ss})_{\text{initial}} = (1, 1, 1, 0) \quad (9)$$

one thus, quickly reaches

$$(\rho_{ee}, \rho_{\mu\mu}, \rho_{\tau\tau}, \rho_{ss})_{\text{final}} = (3/4, 3/4, 3/4, 3/4) \quad (10)$$

for all the parameter space associated with eV sterile neutrino anomalies. Notice that this result does not depend on the particular value of  $G_X$ , but only on the fact




FIG. 1: Neutrino refractive and collisional rates (normalized in terms of the Hubble rate) versus temperature  $T$  for  $g_X = 0.1$ . Left panel corresponds to  $G_X = 10^8 G_F$  and  $M_X = 1.2$  MeV, while right panel to  $G_X = 10^{10} G_F$  and  $M_X = 0.12$  MeV. The curves correspond to the active-sterile vacuum term (solid curve), the secret matter potential for  $\rho_{ss} = 10^{-1}$  (dotted curve), and the scattering-induced decoherent production rate  $\Gamma_t$  associated with  $G_X^2$ , assuming a sterile neutrino abundance from vacuum oscillations (dashed curve).

that the condition of strong damping is realized. The same equilibrium value would remain valid for example, for smaller masses  $M_X$  by different order of magnitudes, at least until we can treat the collisional term as a four-point effective interactions, i.e. for  $T > M_X$ .

Secret interactions mediated by a light (or even massless) pseudo-scalar ( $M_X \ll T$ ), with a Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L} \sim g_s X \bar{\nu} \gamma_5 \nu$ , were considered in [8]. In this case, it was found that couplings  $g_s \sim 10^{-5}$  were sufficient to block thermalization prior to neutrino decoupling (and make dark matter sufficiently self-interacting). However, as long as  $g_s \gtrsim 10^{-6}$  the  $\nu_s - X$  plasma would be strongly interacting till sterile neutrinos become non-relativistic. Therefore, the mechanism of  $\nu_s$  production and flavor equilibration would apply also to this case. However, in the massless case, there would be also the production of a bath of  $X$  via the  $\bar{\nu}_s \nu_s \rightarrow XX$  process (with a rate  $\Gamma_X \sim g_s^4 T$ ). Therefore one would expect a chemical equilibrium over the five species, with an abundance  $\rho = 3/5$  for each of them. As mentioned in [8], a plasma of strongly-interacting  $\nu_s$  and  $X$  can have interesting cosmological signatures, since the  $\nu_s - X$  bath would behave as a single massless component with no anisotropic stress.

#### IV. COSMOLOGICAL MASS BOUNDS

The sterile neutrino production due to the scattering-induced decoherent effects is expected to affect the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) and Large Scale Structures (LSS), which are both sensitive to neutrino mass scale in the  $10^{-1}$  eV–1 eV range. Note that the mass bounds have been obtained assuming non-interacting neutrinos. Since for the parameters of the

contact secret interactions we are considering,  $\Gamma_t/H < 1$  before  $\nu_s$  become not relativistic, the bound is self-consistent. Assuming that the active neutrinos are much lighter than the sterile species, one can define an effective sterile neutrino mass [29]

$$m_{st}^{\text{eff}} = \rho_{ss} \sqrt{\Delta m_{st}^2} = \frac{3}{4} \sqrt{\Delta m_{st}^2}. \quad (11)$$

Latest analysis in [22] of the sterile neutrino anomalies gives a best-fit  $\Delta m_{st}^2 = 1.6$  eV<sup>2</sup> with a  $2\sigma$  range

$$1.08 \text{ eV}^2 < \Delta m_{st}^2 < 1.99 \text{ eV}^2. \quad (12)$$

Using Eq. (11) the lower value in the  $2\sigma$  range gives  $m_{st}^{\text{eff}} \simeq 0.78$  eV. This value has to be compared with the cosmological mass bounds. We also comment that the global analysis of sterile neutrino anomalies presented in [23] finds a discrepancy between appearance and disappearance sterile neutrino data. As a consequence only a small region around  $\Delta m_{st}^2 \simeq 0.9$  eV<sup>2</sup> would be compatible with all data. This would correspond to  $m_{st}^{\text{eff}} \simeq 0.7$  eV.

Cosmological bounds on sterile neutrino mass and abundance in the early universe are rather sensitive to the data set used in the analysis, notably CMB data from Planck [30] and the recent but controversial BICEP2 experiment [31] (see also [32]), LSS,  $H_0$  measurements as well as lensing and cluster data (CFHTLenS+PSZ). In particular, the Planck Collaboration combines Planck with WMAP polarization data, Baryon Acoustic Oscillation and high multipole CMB data. In this case the bound obtained is  $m_{st}^{\text{eff}} < 0.42$  eV at 95% C.L. [30], which is in strong disagreement with the sterile neutrino abundance produced by the secret interactions. Moreover a possible non-zero sterile neutrino mass has been



claimed in order to relieve the discrepancy between the CMB measurements and other observations, like current expansion rate  $H_0$ , the galaxy shear power spectrum and counts of galaxy clusters, providing a value  $m_{\text{st}}^{\text{eff}} \simeq 0.65$  eV at  $2\sigma$  [33–35] or an upper bound of  $m_{\text{st}}^{\text{eff}} < 0.6$  eV [36]. Stronger bounds have also been quoted in [35, 36].

In [12] the secret interactions have been proposed as a possible way to accommodate the non-zero value of  $m_{\text{st}}^{\text{eff}}$  [33–35] with the  $\Delta m_{\text{st}}^2$  range of the laboratory anomalies. However, we see that the huge production of  $\nu_s$  due to the scatterings rules out this possibility. In general, from the results presented here, one would conclude that the minimum  $m_{\text{st}}^{\text{eff}} \simeq 0.78$  eV obtained from the secret collisional production and compatible with the  $2\sigma$   $\Delta m_{\text{st}}^2$  range would be in tension with the bounds on sterile neutrino mass from cosmology.

We remark that in deriving our constraint we have been conservative, since we have assumed that sterile neutrinos are produced only by vacuum oscillations at  $T \ll 1$  MeV. However, it has been shown in [7, 12] that there would be another colder primordial population of  $\nu_s$  generated at  $T \gg$  GeV by the decoupling of the  $U(1)_X$  sector from standard particles. This additional contribution would increase the tension between the sterile neutrino abundance and the cosmological mass bound.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

Secret interactions among sterile neutrinos mediated by a light gauge boson  $X$  have been recently proposed as an intriguing possibility to suppress the thermalization of eV sterile neutrinos in the early universe. In particular, interactions mediated by a gauge boson with  $M_X \leq 10$  MeV would suppress the sterile neutrino pro-

ductions for  $T \gtrsim 0.1$  eV and seemed therefore safe from cosmological constraints related to big-bang nucleosynthesis [11].

In the present work we have shown that when the matter potential produced by the sterile interactions becomes smaller than the vacuum oscillation frequency, sterile neutrinos are copiously produced by the scattering-induced decoherent effects in the sterile neutrino sector. This process would lead to a quick flavor equilibration, with a sterile neutrino abundance largely independent on the specific values of  $G_X$  and  $M_X$ . The large sterile neutrino production is in tension with the most recent cosmological mass bounds on sterile neutrinos. A possible complete re-thermalization of sterile neutrinos and its impact on mass bound was already advocated in [12]. Indeed, we have shown that due to the large damping effects this is *always* the case in secret interactions among sterile neutrinos with low  $M_X$  masses.

In conclusion, it seems unlikely that secret sterile neutrino interactions, even mediated by light massive boson, would be a viable possibility to reconcile eV-sterile neutrinos with cosmology.

### Acknowledgements

A.M. thanks Basudeb Dasgupta for useful comments on the manuscript. The work of A.M. was supported by the German Science Foundation (DFG) within the Collaborative Research Center 676 “Particles, Strings and the Early Universe.” G. M., and O.P. acknowledge support by the *Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare* I.S. FA51 and the PRIN 2010 “Fisica Astroparticellare: Neutrini ed Universo Primordiale” of the Italian *Ministero dell’Istruzione, Università e Ricerca*. N.S. acknowledges support from the European Union FP7 ITN INVISIBLES (Marie Curie Actions, PITN- GA-2011- 289442).

- 
- [1] K. N. Abazajian, *et al.*, “Light Sterile Neutrinos: A White Paper,” arXiv:1204.5379 [hep-ph].
  - [2] S. Hannestad, I. Tamborra and T. Tram, “Thermalisation of light sterile neutrinos in the early universe,” JCAP **1207**, 025 (2012) [arXiv:1204.5861 [astro-ph.CO]].
  - [3] J. Hamann, S. Hannestad, G. G. Raffelt and Y. Y. Y. Wong, “Sterile neutrinos with eV masses in cosmology: How disfavoured exactly?,” JCAP **1109**, 034 (2011) [arXiv:1108.4136 [astro-ph.CO]].
  - [4] A. Mirizzi, N. Saviano, G. Miele and P. D. Serpico, “Light sterile neutrino production in the early universe with dynamical neutrino asymmetries,” Phys. Rev. D **86**, 053009 (2012) [arXiv:1206.1046 [hep-ph]].
  - [5] N. Saviano, A. Mirizzi, O. Pisanti, P. D. Serpico, G. Mangano and G. Miele, “Multi-momentum and multi-flavour active-sterile neutrino oscillations in the early universe: role of neutrino asymmetries and effects on nucleosynthesis,” Phys. Rev. D **87**, 073006 (2013) [arXiv:1302.1200 [astro-ph.CO]].
  - [6] S. Hannestad, R. S. Hansen and T. Tram, “How secret interactions can reconcile sterile neutrinos with cosmology,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **112** (2014) 031802 [arXiv:1310.5926 [astro-ph.CO]].
  - [7] B. Dasgupta and J. Kopp, “A ménage à trois of eV-scale sterile neutrinos, cosmology, and structure formation,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 031803 (2014) [arXiv:1310.6337 [hep-ph]].
  - [8] M. Archidiacono, S. Hannestad, R. S. Hansen and T. Tram, “Cosmology with self-interacting sterile neutrinos and dark matter - A pseudoscalar model,” arXiv:1404.5915 [astro-ph.CO].
  - [9] L. Wolfenstein, “Neutrino Oscillations In Matter,” Phys. Rev. D **17**, 2369 (1978); S. P. Mikheev and A. Yu. Smirnov, “Resonance Enhancement Of Oscillations In Matter And Solar Neutrino Spectroscopy,” Yad. Fiz. **42**, 1441 (1985) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **42**, 913 (1985)].
  - [10] K. Kainulainen, “Light Singlet Neutrinos and the Primordial Nucleosynthesis,” Phys. Lett. B **244**, 191 (1990).
  - [11] N. Saviano, O. Pisanti, G. Mangano and A. Mirizzi, “Unveiling secret interactions among sterile neutrinos

- with big-bang nucleosynthesis,” arXiv:1409.1680 [astro-ph.CO].
- [12] T. Bringmann, J. Hasenkamp and J. Kersten, “Tight bonds between sterile neutrinos and dark matter,” JCAP **1407**, 042 (2014) [arXiv:1312.4947 [hep-ph]].
- [13] C. Boehm, P. Fayet and R. Schaeffer, “Constraining dark matter candidates from structure formation,” Phys. Lett. B **518**, 8 (2001) [astro-ph/0012504].
- [14] P. Serra, F. Zalamea, A. Cooray, G. Mangano and A. Melchiorri, “Constraints on neutrino – dark matter interactions from cosmic microwave background and large scale structure data,” Phys. Rev. D **81**, 043507 (2010) [arXiv:0911.4411 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [15] L. G. van den Aarsen, T. Bringmann and C. Pfrommer, “Is dark matter with long-range interactions a solution to all small-scale problems of  $\Lambda$  CDM cosmology?,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **109** (2012) 231301 [arXiv:1205.5809 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [16] C. Boehm, J. A. Schewtschenko, R. J. Wilkinson, C. M. Baugh and S. Pascoli, “Using the Milky Way satellites to study interactions between cold dark matter and radiation,” Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **445**, L31 (2014) [arXiv:1404.7012 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [17] C. Boehm and P. Fayet, “Scalar dark matter candidates,” Nucl. Phys. B **683**, 219 (2004) [hep-ph/0305261].
- [18] X. Chu and B. Dasgupta, “A ”Pas de Deux” - Dark Radiation Fattens and Puffs-up Dark Matter Halos,” arXiv:1404.6127 [hep-ph].
- [19] B. H. J. McKellar and M. J. Thomson, “Oscillating doublet neutrinos in the early universe,” Phys. Rev. D **49**, 2710 (1994).
- [20] G. Sigl and G. Raffelt, “General kinetic description of relativistic mixed neutrinos,” Nucl. Phys. B **406**, 423 (1993).
- [21] F. Capozzi, G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, D. Montanino and A. Palazzo, “Status of three-neutrino oscillation parameters, circa 2013,” Phys. Rev. D **89**, 093018 (2014) [arXiv:1312.2878 [hep-ph]].
- [22] C. Giunti, M. Laveder, Y. F. Li and H. W. Long, “Pragmatic View of Short-Baseline Neutrino Oscillations,” Phys. Rev. D **88**, 073008 (2013) [arXiv:1308.5288 [hep-ph]].
- [23] J. Kopp, P. A. N. Machado, M. Maltoni and T. Schwetz, “Sterile Neutrino Oscillations: The Global Picture,” JHEP **1305**, 050 (2013) [arXiv:1303.3011 [hep-ph]].
- [24] N. F. Bell, R. R. Volkas and Y. Y. Y. Wong, “Relic neutrino asymmetry evolution from first principles,” Phys. Rev. D **59**, 113001 (1999) [hep-ph/9809363].
- [25] Y. -Z. Chu and M. Cirelli, “Sterile neutrinos, lepton asymmetries, primordial elements: How much of each?,” Phys. Rev. D **74**, 085015 (2006) [astro-ph/0608206].
- [26] L. Stodolsky, “On the Treatment of Neutrino Oscillations in a Thermal Environment,” Phys. Rev. D **36**, 2273 (1987).
- [27] K. Enqvist, K. Kainulainen and M. J. Thomson, “Stringent cosmological bounds on inert neutrino mixing,” Nucl. Phys. B **373**, 498 (1992).
- [28] T. D. Jacques, L. M. Krauss and C. Lunardini, “Additional Light Sterile Neutrinos and Cosmology,” Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 8, 083515 (2013) [Erratum-ibid. D **88**, no. 10, 109901 (2013)] [arXiv:1301.3119 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [29] A. Mirizzi, G. Mangano, N. Saviano, E. Borriello, C. Giunti, G. Miele and O. Pisanti, “The strongest bounds on active-sterile neutrino mixing after Planck data,” Phys. Lett. B **726**, 8 (2013) [arXiv:1303.5368 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [30] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], “Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters,” Astron. Astrophys. (2014) [arXiv:1303.5076 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [31] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [BICEP2 Collaboration], “Detection of B-Mode Polarization at Degree Angular Scales by BICEP2,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 241101 (2014) [arXiv:1403.3985 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [32] R. Adam *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], “Planck intermediate results. XXX. The angular power spectrum of polarized dust emission at intermediate and high Galactic latitudes,” arXiv:1409.5738 [astro-ph.CO].
- [33] J. Hamann and J. Hasenkamp, “A new life for sterile neutrinos: resolving inconsistencies using hot dark matter,” JCAP **1310**, 044 (2013) [arXiv:1308.3255 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [34] M. Wyman, D. H. Rudd, R. A. Vanderveld and W. Hu, “Neutrinos Help Reconcile Planck Measurements with the Local Universe,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **112** (2014) 5, 051302 [arXiv:1307.7715 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [35] M. Archidiacono, N. Fornengo, S. Gariazzo, C. Giunti, S. Hannestad and M. Laveder, “Light sterile neutrinos after BICEP-2,” JCAP **1406**, 031 (2014) [arXiv:1404.1794 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [36] B. Leistedt, H. V. Peiris and L. Verde, “No new cosmological concordance with massive sterile neutrinos,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 041301 (2014) [arXiv:1404.5950 [astro-ph.CO]].