BROOKS TYPE RESULTS FOR CONFLICT-FREE COLORINGS AND $\{a, b\}$ -FACTORS IN GRAPHS.

MARIA AXENOVICH AND JONATHAN ROLLIN

ABSTRACT. A vertex-coloring of a hypergraph is conflict-free, if each edge contains a vertex whose color is not repeated on any other vertex of that edge. Let $f(r, \Delta)$ be the smallest integer k such that each r-uniform hypergraph of maximum vertex degree Δ has a conflict-free coloring with at most k colors. As shown by Tardos and Pach, similarly to a classical Brooks' type theorem for hypergraphs, $f(r, \Delta) \leq \Delta + 1$. Compared to Brooks' theorem, according to which there is only a couple of graphs/hypergraphs that attain the $\Delta + 1$ bound, we show that there are several infinite classes of uniform hypergraphs for which the upper bound is attained. We provide bounds on $f(r, \Delta)$ in terms of Δ for large Δ and establish the connection between conflict-free colorings and so-called $\{t, r - t\}$ -factors in r-regular graphs. Here, a $\{t, r - t\}$ -factor is a factor in which each degree is either t or r - t. Among others, we disprove a conjecture of Akbari and Kano [1] stating that there is a $\{t, r - t\}$ -factor in every r-regular graph for odd r and any odd $t < \frac{r}{3}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a vertex coloring of a hypergraph, we call a vertex contained in an edge E uniquely colored in E, if its color is assigned to no other vertex in E. If every edge of a hypergraph contains a uniquely colored vertex, then the coloring is called *conflict-free*. The *conflict-free* chromatic number $\chi_{cf} = \chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H})$ of a hypergraph \mathcal{H} is the minimum number of colors used in a conflict-free coloring of \mathcal{H} .

Conflict-free colorings are closely related to proper colorings in which each hyperedge is not monochromatic, i.e., contains at least two vertices of distinct colors. The chromatic number $\chi(\mathcal{H})$ of a hypergraph \mathcal{H} is the smallest number of colors in a proper coloring of \mathcal{H} . The following Brooks' type theorem for hypergraphs proved by Kostochka, Stiebitz and Wirth [14] generalizes Brooks' theorem for graphs. The maximum degree $\Delta(\mathcal{H})$ of a hypergraph is the largest number of hyperedges containing a common vertex. A hypergraph is connected if for any two vertices u, v there is a sequence of vertices starting with u and ending with v such that any two consecutive vertices in this sequence belong to a hyperedge.

Theorem 1 ([14]). Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$ be a connected hypergraph with $|E| \geq 2$ for each edge E. If $|\mathcal{E}| > 1$ and \mathcal{H} is neither an ordinary odd cycle nor an ordinary complete graph, then $\chi(\mathcal{H}) \leq \Delta(\mathcal{H})$. Otherwise $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) = \chi(\mathcal{H}) = \Delta(\mathcal{H}) + 1$.

Pach and Tardos provided the same upper bound on χ_{cf} :

Theorem 2 ([18]). For each hypergraph \mathcal{H} , $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \Delta(\mathcal{H}) + 1$.

In this paper, we find infinite classes of hypergraphs for which the upper bound $\Delta + 1$ on the conflict-free chromatic number is attained and provide general bounds in terms of the

Date: November 8, 2021.

Key words and phrases. conflict-free, unique color, coloring, factors, $\{1, t\}$ -factors.

maximum degree for uniform hypergraphs. In addition, we provide a connection between conflict-free colorings of hypergraphs and so-called $\{t, r - t\}$ -factors in *r*-regular graphs. Here, a $\{t, r - t\}$ -factor is a spanning subgraph with every vertex of degree t or r - t.

Next we list the main results of the paper. A hypergraph is r-uniform if each hyperedge contains exactly r vertices. The main parameter we introduce is

$$f(r, \Delta) = \max\{\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) : \mathcal{H} \text{ is } r\text{-uniform}, \ \Delta(\mathcal{H}) = \Delta\}.$$

In Theorem 3 we provide bounds on $f(r, \Delta)$ when r is fixed and Δ is large. Theorem 4 provides Brook's type results.

Theorem 3. For any integer $r \geq 3$, there are constants c, c', and d depending on r such that for any $\Delta > d$

$$c\frac{\Delta^{1/\lceil \frac{r}{2}\rceil}}{\ln(\Delta)} \le f(r,\Delta) \le c'\Delta^{1/\lceil \frac{r}{2}\rceil}$$

Theorem 4. Let r, Δ be positive integers.

- (1) If r = 2 or $(\Delta = 2 \text{ and } r \notin \{3,5\})$, then $f(r, \Delta) = \Delta + 1$.
- (2) There are constants c, c_0 such that if $r \ge c$ and $\Delta \ge c_0 \ln r$ then $f(r, \Delta) \le \Delta$.
- (3) If $\Delta \geq 3$, then $f(4, \Delta) \leq \Delta$.

Part of the above theorem is a corollary of an independent result:

Theorem 5. Let t be an odd positive integer. If r is even or $r \ge (t+1)(t+2)$, then there is an r-regular graph that has no $\{t, r-t\}$ -factor.

This disproves the following conjecture.

Conjecture 6 (Akbari and Kano [1]). Let r be an odd integer and a, b denote positive integers such that a + b = r and a < b. Then each r-regular graph has an $\{a, b\}$ -factor.

Note that $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) = \chi(\mathcal{H})$ if each edge of \mathcal{H} has size 2 or 3. Hence Brooks' theorem and the theorem by Kostochka et al. [14] give a complete characterization of 2- and 3-uniform hypergraphs \mathcal{H} for which $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) = \Delta(\mathcal{H}) + 1$. Here, we can also characterize the case of 4-uniform hypergraphs.

Theorem 7. If \mathcal{H} is a connected 4-uniform hypergraph on m edges, then $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) = \Delta(\mathcal{H}) + 1$ iff $\Delta(\mathcal{H}) = 1$ or $(\mathcal{H} \text{ is 2-regular and } m \text{ is odd}).$

We provide some history of the problem, background, and basic lemmas in Section 2. An important construction is given in Section 3. Finally, the theorems are proved in Sections 4-6.

2. Background and previous results

Conflict-free colorings were introduced by Even, Lotker, Ron and Smorodinsky [9,21] when considering a frequency assignment problem in wireless networks. A set of base stations in the plane defines the vertices of the hypergraph. A hyperedge is formed by every subset of base stations which is simultaneously reached by a mobile agent from some point in the plane. In order to avoid interferences in communication there should be at least one base station reachable whose frequency is unique among all stations in the range. This corresponds to a conflict-free coloring of the underlying (geometric) hypergraph, where colors correspond to frequencies. Since frequencies are expensive due to limited bandwidth, as few frequencies (respectively colors) as possible shall be used. A survey by Smorodinsky [23] summarizes

several results where the hypergraph under consideration is induced by some geometric setting, for example by discs [9,19] or rectangles in the plane [22] and pseudodiscs [11].

Besides these geometrically induced hypergraphs other classes of hypergraphs were considered. Pach and Tardos [18] considered the so called *conflict-free chromatic parameter* of a graph G defined as the conflict-free chromatic number of the hypergraph on the same vertex set V(G) and hyperedges consisting of vertex neighborhoods in the graph. Initially this and lots of related questions were studied by Cheilaris [6]. Recently Glebov, Szabó and Tardos [10] showed upper and lower bounds on this parameter for random graphs in terms of the domination number. In addition, conflict-free colorings of hypergraphs whose hyperedges correspond to simple paths of a given graph were considered [7, 8]. A variant of conflict-free colorings using integral colors and each hyperedge containing a vertex whose color is larger than the color of every other vertex in this edge is called a Unique-Maximum coloring or a vertex ranking, see [4, 12, 15].

Research on general hypergraphs was started by Pach and Tardos [18]. They gave several upper bounds on χ_{cf} in terms of different parameters. The degree of an edge E in a hypergraph \mathcal{H} is the number of edges intersecting E, and the maximum edge-degree $D(\mathcal{H})$ is the maximum of the degrees of edges in \mathcal{H} . For example Pach and Tardos prove $\chi_{cf} \in O(t \cdot D^{\frac{1}{t}} \cdot \ln(D))$ if every edge has size at least 2t - 1. More bounds are due to Kostochka, Kumbhat and Luczak [13].

Theorem 8 ([13]). If D is the maximum edge-degree of an r-uniform hypergraph \mathcal{H} , D is sufficiently large and $D \leq 2^{r/2}$, then $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) \leq 120 \ln D$.

Pach and Tardos described a greedy algorithm to find a conflict free coloring with at most $\Delta + 1$ colors. We present an alternative proof based on so called *strongly independent* sets of vertices, where a set S is strongly independent if each edge contains at most one vertex from S.

Proof of Theorem 2. If $\Delta = 1$, then the hyperedges are disjoint and two colors are sufficient. For $\Delta > 1$, let S be a maximal strongly independent vertex set in \mathcal{H} . The new hypergraph \mathcal{H}' obtained by removing S and all edges incident to S has maximum degree at most $\Delta - 1$. A conflict-free coloring of \mathcal{H}' obtained by induction and using Δ colors, can be extended to a conflict-free coloring of \mathcal{H} by assigning a new color to each vertex in S. Indeed, each hyperedge from \mathcal{H} that is not a hyperedge of \mathcal{H}' contains exactly one vertex from S and thus a uniquely colored vertex.

The usual and the conflict-free chromatic number may be arbitrarily far apart. We construct a hypergraph of chromatic number 2 and conflict-free chromatic number equal to $\Delta + 1$ inductively. If $\Delta = 1$, take a single edge with at least two vertices. If \mathcal{H} satisfies $\chi(\mathcal{H}) = 2$ and $\chi_{\rm cf}(\mathcal{H}) = k$, then construct a hypergraph \mathcal{H}' that is a vertex disjoint union of two copies of \mathcal{H} and an additional edge E containing all vertices of both copies of \mathcal{H} . Coloring each copy of \mathcal{H} properly with 2 colors gives a proper coloring of \mathcal{H}' with two colors. However, any conflict-free coloring of \mathcal{H}' requires k+1 colors, since one color is unique in E and hence is used in only one of the copies of \mathcal{H} .

There is another easy construction of a hypergraph \mathcal{H} with maximum degree Δ and $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) > \Delta$. Take two vertex disjoint copies of an ordinary complete graph K_{Δ} and add an edge E containing all the vertices. If Δ colors are used in a conflict-free coloring of \mathcal{H} then each copy of K_{Δ} uses colors $1, 2, \ldots, \Delta$. Thus E uses each of the colors exactly twice, a contradiction.

Note that the hypergraphs in these constructions are not uniform.

Next, we prove Lemmas that will be used later.

Lemma 9. Let $r, \Delta \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f(r, \Delta) \leq \Delta$, then $f(r, \Delta + 1) \leq \Delta + 1$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{H} be an *r*-uniform hypergraph of maximum degree $\Delta + 1$ and let *S* be a maximal strongly independent set in \mathcal{H} . Consider the hypergraph \mathcal{H}' which is obtained by removing *S* and all edges incident to *S* from \mathcal{H} . Then $\Delta(\mathcal{H}') \leq \Delta$ since every vertex in \mathcal{H} is adjacent to a vertex in *S*. Thus $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}') \leq f(r, \Delta) \leq \Delta$ by assumption on *f*. Extending a conflict-free coloring of \mathcal{H}' with Δ colors to a coloring of \mathcal{H} in which all vertices of *S* get the same new color gives a conflict-free coloring of \mathcal{H} with at most $\Delta + 1$ colors. \Box

A hypergraph is *a*-regular if each vertex has degree *a*. For a hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$, the dual hypergraph $\mathcal{H}' = (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}')$ is defined such that $\mathcal{E}' = \{E_v : v \in V\}, E_v = \{E \in \mathcal{E} : v \in E\}$. Note that a dual of a 2-regular *r*-uniform hypergraph is an ordinary *r*-regular graph. Moreover, any *r*-regular graph corresponds to a 2-regular *r*-uniform hypergraph.

Lemma 10. Let \mathcal{H} be a 2-regular r-uniform hypergraph and G be its dual graph. Then $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) = 2$ iff G has a $\{1, r-1\}$ -factor.

Proof. Consider a conflict-free 2-coloring of \mathcal{H} and color each edge in G with the color of its corresponding vertex in \mathcal{H} . Let F denote the set of edges of one of the color classes in G. Then F is a $\{1, r-1\}$ -factor. The other way round observe that the complement of a $\{1, r-1\}$ -factor in G is a $\{1, r-1\}$ -factor as well. So, G is an edge-disjoint union of F_1 and F_2 , two $\{1, r-1\}$ -factors. Color the vertices of \mathcal{H} corresponding to edges of F_1 red, and the vertices corresponding to the edges of F_2 blue. This defines a conflict-free coloring of \mathcal{H} .

The following lemma is a straightforward application of the Local Lemma that was pointed out in most papers on conflict-free colorings. We include it here for completeness.

Lemma 11. Let $r, \Delta \in \mathbb{N}$, $r, \Delta \geq 2$, and $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$ be an r-uniform hypergraph of maximum degree Δ . Then

$$\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \frac{1}{2} (er)^{1 + \frac{2}{r}} \cdot \Delta^{1/\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil}.$$

Proof. Color each vertex uniformly and independently at random with one out of k colors. For a hyperedge E, let A_E denote the event that E has at most $\lfloor r/2 \rfloor$ colors. We call A_E a bad event. Note that when there are no bad events, each edge has more than $\lfloor r/2 \rfloor$ colors, and thus each edge has a vertex of a unique color. Using Lovász Local Lemma, we have $Prob(\bigwedge_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \overline{A_E}) > 0$ if $Prob(A_E) \leq p$ for each E and ped < 1, where each A_E is mutually independent of all but at most d other bad events. We have that

$$Prob(A_E) \le \binom{k}{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor \frac{1}{k} \right)^r \le k^{-\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil} \left(e_{\frac{r}{2}} \right)^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil}.$$

So, let $p = k^{-\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil} \left(e_{2}^{r} \right)^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil}$. In addition, there are at most $r(\Delta - 1)$ edges intersecting any given edge E, so $d \leq r(\Delta - 1)$. Thus ped < 1 if $er\Delta k^{-\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil} \left(e_{2}^{r} \right)^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil} < 1$. Solving for k, we have that ped < 1 if $k \geq (er)^{\frac{2}{r}} \frac{er}{2} \cdot \Delta^{1/\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil}$. Therefore, there is a conflict-free coloring of \mathcal{H} with k colors for $k \geq \frac{1}{2}(er)^{1+\frac{2}{r}} \cdot \Delta^{1/\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil}$ and thus $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(er)^{1+\frac{2}{r}} \cdot \Delta^{1/\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil}$.

For additional notations on hypergraphs we refer to [5]. In the remaining text we call an edge *good*, if it contains a uniquely colored vertex and a coloring *good*, if every edge is good.

4



FIGURE 1. A 7-regular graph without $\{1, 6\}$ -factor.

3. Construction of a graph G(t,r)

Let r and t be positive odd integers, $r \ge (t+1)(t+2)$. To construct G(t,r), we shall consider several graphs. Start with a copy K of $K_{r-1,r}$ with partite sets U, V, where |U| = r - 1, |V| = r. A graph H is obtained by adding a matching M on r - t - 2 vertices from Vand by adding a new vertex u connected to the t+2 remaining vertices of degree r-1 in V. In H every vertex in $U \cup V$ is of degree r and the vertex u is of degree t+2.

Take t + 1 vertex-disjoint copies H_1, \ldots, H_{t+1} of H and identify all copies of the vertex u. Call the resulting vertex w, and the resulting graph G'. Moreover, call the copy of M in H_i as M_i , and call the copies of U and V as U_i and V_i , respectively, $i = 1, \ldots, t+1$. The degree of w in G' is $(t+1)(t+2) \leq r$ and the degree of each other vertex is r. Let $\delta := r - (t+1)(t+2)$.

The graph G(t, r) is defined by taking $\delta + 1$ copies of G' and adding an edge between each two copies of w. Since every copy of w receives δ additional edges, the graph G(t, r) is regular. See Figure 3 for an illustration of the case r = 7, t = 1 and $\delta = 7 - 2 \cdot 3 = 1$. This concludes the Construction.

4. Proof of Theorem 3

The upper bound follows immediately from Lemma 11. For the lower bound, we shall also employ a random argument generalizing the theorem of Kostochka et al. [13] to uniform hypergraphs with even or odd number of vertices in each edge, and with bounded maximum degree. We omit ceilings and floors where it is clear from context. We always keep those in the leading terms. Let $k = \Delta^{1/\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil} \frac{1}{1600 \ln(\Delta)}$, n = 6k and $m = \frac{n\Delta}{2r}$. Assume that Δ is sufficiently large. We shall consider an *r*-uniform hypergraph on a vertex set V, |V| = n with at most *m* edges by picking *m* hyperedges uniformly at random (with possible repetitions).

Formally, introduce a uniform distribution on elements of $\binom{V}{r}$, i.e. choose a set $F \in \binom{V}{r}$ with probability $p = \binom{n}{r}^{-1}$. For a sequence of m hyperedges F_1, \ldots, F_m , the probability of choosing all of them is p^m . So, this gives a distribution on a set of such sequences, each corresponding to a hypergraph on at most m edges.

We shall show that with a positive probability the selected sequence of hyperedges gives a hypergraph with maximum degree at most Δ and conflict-free chromatic number greater than k. Let \mathcal{H} be a hypergraph with hyperedge set $\{F_1, \ldots, F_m\}$.

We consider $\Delta(\mathcal{H})$ first. In the following calculations we shall be using the fact that $\Delta \geq k^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil} 1600^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil} \ln k \geq k^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil} 40^r \ln k$. Further, we assume that r is fixed and Δ is sufficiently large, i.e., k is sufficiently large. For a vertex v let X_v denote the number of F_i s containing v. Let $Y_i = 1$ if F_i contains v, let $Y_i = 0$ otherwise. Then $\operatorname{Prob}(Y_i = 1) = \binom{n-1}{r-1} / \binom{n}{r} = \frac{r}{n}$ and $X_v = Y_1 + \ldots + Y_m$. Thus $\operatorname{E}(X_v) = m\frac{r}{n} = \Delta/2$.

Using Chernoff bound $\operatorname{Prob}(X_v > \lambda + E(X_v)) < \exp(-2\lambda^2/m)$ with $\lambda = \Delta/2$, we have

$$\operatorname{Prob}(X_v > \Delta) = \operatorname{Prob}(X_v > \Delta/2 + \Delta/2)$$

$$\leq \exp(-2(\Delta/2)^2/m)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{r\Delta}{n}\right)$$

$$\leq \exp\left(-\frac{rk^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil} 40^r \ln k}{6k}\right)$$

$$\leq \exp(-k^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1}).$$

Then

$$\operatorname{Prob}(\Delta(\mathcal{H}) > \Delta) \leq \operatorname{Prob}(X_v > \Delta \text{ for some } v)$$

$$\leq n \operatorname{Prob}(X_v > \Delta)$$

$$\leq n \exp(-k^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1})$$

$$= \exp(\ln 6k - k^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1})$$

$$< 1/2. \tag{1}$$

Next we consider $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H})$. Fix a k-coloring χ of V. In this part, we use inequalities $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^b \leq {\binom{a}{b}} \leq {\binom{ea}{b}}^b$. As was observed by Kostochka et al. [13], there are pairwise disjoint monochromatic sets A_1, \ldots, A_k each of size $\lfloor \frac{n}{2k} \rfloor = 3$. We say that F_i is bad if it has no uniquely colored vertex. The probability that F_i is bad is bounded from below by the probability that F_i has 2 or 3 (depending on parity of r) vertices in one of A_i , and exactly 2 or 0 vertices in the rest of A_i s. In this case the number of A_i 's with some elements of F_i is $\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$. Thus, the probability that F_i is bad is at least the number of ways to choose $\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$ of the A_i 's (with some elements of F_i) multiplied by p. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Prob}(F_i \text{ is bad }) &\geq \binom{k}{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{r}^{-1} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{k}{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}\right)^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} \left(\frac{r}{e6k}\right)^r \\ &\geq Ck^{-\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil}, \end{aligned}$$

For a positive constant $C = C(r) \ge \left(\frac{1}{r/2}\right)^{r/2} r^r \left(\frac{1}{6e}\right)^r \ge \left(\frac{1}{6e}\right)^r \ge 20^{-r}$. Since χ is a conflict-free coloring of F_1, \ldots, F_m iff each F_i is not bad, we have

 $\operatorname{Prob}(\chi \text{ is conflict-free coloring of } \mathcal{H}) \leq (1 - Ck^{-\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil})^m.$

Looking at all k^n possible k-colorings of V, we have

$$\operatorname{Prob}(\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) \leq k) \leq k^{n} (1 - ck^{-\left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil})^{m}$$

$$\leq \exp(n \ln k) \exp(-20^{-r} k^{-\left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil} m)$$

$$= \exp\left(6k \ln k - 20^{-r} k^{-\left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil} \frac{n\Delta}{2r}\right)$$

$$\leq \exp\left(6k \ln k - 20^{-r} k^{-\left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil} \frac{6k}{2r} 40^{r} k^{\left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil} \ln(k)\right)$$

$$\leq \exp(6k \ln k - \frac{3}{r} 2^{r} k \ln k)$$

$$\leq \exp(6k \ln k - 8k \ln k)$$

$$< 1/2.$$
(2)

Putting (1) and (2) together, we have that with positive probability there is an *r*-uniform hypergraph with maximum degree at most Δ and conflict-free chromatic number greater than k.

5. Proofs of Theorems 4(1), (2) and 5

Proof of Theorem 5. First we shall show that for any odd natural numbers r, t with $r \ge (t+1)(t+2)$, a graph G = G(t,r) given in Construction 3 has no $\{t, r-t\}$ -factor. Recall that G is built of copies of G' that is in turn constructed from copies of H_i .

Assume there is a $\{t, r-t\}$ -factor F of G. Consider one of the copies of G'. We shall show that in each of H_1, \ldots, H_{t+1} there is at least one edge incident to w that belongs to F and there is at least one edge incident to w that does not belong to F.

Assume first that in H_1 , none of the edges incident to w belongs to F. Let x be the number of edges of M_1 in F. The degree of each vertex in U_1 in F is either t or r - t, that is t modulo r - 2t. Thus the number of edges of F between U_1 and V_1 modulo r - 2t is (r-1)t. On the other hand (counting the edges incident to V_1) it is rt - 2x modulo r - 2t. So, $rt - t = rt - 2x \pmod{r - 2t}$ and $2x - t = 0 \pmod{r - 2t}$. We also have that $2x - t \ge -t > -r + 2t$, so using the fact that 2x - t is odd, we have that 2x - t = k(r - 2t) for a positive integer k. Thus $2x - t \ge r - 2t$, i.e., $2x \ge r - t$, a contradiction since $2x \le r - t - 2$ by construction.

Assume further, that in H_1 all of the edges incident to w belong to F. Then the number of edges of F between U_1 and V_1 is $(r-1)t = rt - (t+2) - 2x \pmod{r-2t}$. Then $2x+2 = 0 \pmod{r-2t}$. Since 2x+2 is an even positive number and r-2t is odd, we have that $2x+2 \ge 2(r-2t) = 2r-4t$, a contradiction since $2x+2 \le r-t$.

This shows that in each of the H_i 's, there is an edge of F and a non-edge of F incident to w. Thus, the degree of w in F is at least t + 1 and at most r - t - 1, a contradiction.

Now, observe that for any odd t and even r, K_{r+1} does not have a $\{t, r-t\}$ -factor. Indeed, since t is odd and r is even, a $\{t, r-t\}$ factor has odd degrees. However, K_{r+1} has an odd number of vertices, thus F is a graph with odd degrees and an odd number of vertices, a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 4(1). Note first that if $r \in \{2,3\}$ then $\chi(\mathcal{H}) = \chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H})$ because any proper coloring is also a conflict-free coloring. Thus, we know precisely for what 2- and 3-uniform

hypergraphs $\chi_{cf} = \Delta + 1$ from Theorem 1. In particular, $f(2, \Delta) = \Delta + 1$ and $f(3, 1) = 2 = \Delta + 1$, but $f(3, \Delta) \leq \Delta$ if $\Delta \geq 2$. Theorem 5 and Lemma 10 imply that f(r, 2) = 3 for all $r \notin \{3, 5\}$.

Proof of Theorem 4(2). Consider \mathcal{H} , an r-uniform hypergraph with maximum degree Δ and maximum edge-degree D.

Case $1 \Delta \geq 2 \frac{2^{r}}{r-r} (er)^{\frac{r+2}{r-2}}$. Then $er \leq (\Delta 2^{\frac{r}{r-2}})^{\frac{r-2}{r+2}}$. From Lemma 11 $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \frac{1}{2} (er)^{1+\frac{2}{r}} \cdot \Delta^{\frac{2}{r}} \leq \frac{1}{2} (\Delta 2^{\frac{r}{r-2}})^{\frac{r-2}{r+2}} \cdot \Delta^{\frac{2}{r}} \leq \Delta$.

Case 2 $\Delta < 2^{\frac{r}{2-r}}(er)^{\frac{r+2}{r-2}}$. Then $D \leq r\Delta \leq r \cdot 2^{\frac{r}{2-r}}(er)^{\frac{r+2}{r-2}} \leq c'r^{2+\frac{4}{r-2}} \leq 2^{r/2}$ for sufficiently large r and a constant c'. By Theorem 8, $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) \leq 120 \ln D \leq 120 (\ln c' + \frac{4}{r-2} \ln r) \leq 120 \ln c' + \ln r \leq \Delta$ since $\Delta \geq c_0 \ln r$. Here, D is sufficiently large since $D \geq \Delta$.

6. Proof of Theorems 4(3) and 7

We say that a sequence of edges E_1, \ldots, E_k is an $E \cdot E'$ -path of length k if $E = E_1, E' = E_k$, and $E_i \cap E_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$, $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$. We say that an $E \cdot E'$ -path is an $E \cdot E'$ -geodesic if this is an $E \cdot E'$ -path of smallest length. This length is also called a distance between E and E'. We call $|E_{k-1} \cap E_k|$ the the tail-size of the geodesic. A set S of vertices separates $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$ if the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}' = (V - S, \{E - S : E \in \mathcal{E}\})$ is disconnected. We denote \mathcal{H}' as $\mathcal{H} - S$. A hypergraph is called intersecting if $E \cap E' \neq \emptyset$ for any pair of edges E and E'.

Lemma 12. If \mathcal{H} is an r-uniform connected hypergraph, then there is a set S of r-1 vertices contained in an edge of \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{H} - S$ is connected.

Proof. Consider all pairs of edges with the largest distance between them. Among those, choose a pair E, E' that has a geodesic P' whose tail has the smallest size. Let $P' = (E_1, \ldots, E_k)$. Let $v \in E_{k-1} \cap E_k$ and $S = E_k - \{v\}$. We shall show that S does not separate \mathcal{H} .

If k = 2, then \mathcal{H} is intersecting. Assume that S separates \mathcal{H} , and let E be an edge such that E - S is in a connected component different from $E_1 - S$ in $\mathcal{H} - S$. Then $v \notin E$. However, since \mathcal{H} is intersecting, $E \cap E_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $E \cap E_1 \subseteq E_1 \cap S$. We have that $E_1 \cap E_2 = (E_1 \cap S) \cup \{v\}$. Thus, $|E_1 \cap E| < |E_1 \cap E_2|$, a contradiction. Thus S does not separate \mathcal{H} .

We can assume that $k \geq 3$. Note first that $S \cap E_i = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k-2$, otherwise P' is not an E-E'-geodesic. Assume that $\mathcal{H} - S$ has at least two connected components. One of these contains all edges of P' with S deleted from them, and the other contains some vertex u. Let E'' be an edge incident to u. Then an E-E''-geodesic P'' must contain some vertices of S in its edges and it must have length at most k. So, $P'' = (E'_1, E'_2, \ldots, E'_i, E'_{i+1}, \ldots, E'')$, where $E'_i \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and $E'_{i+1} \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Then $i \geq k-1$ because otherwise E'_1, \ldots, E'_i together with E' contains an E-E' path that is shorter than P'. Thus i = k-1 and $E'_k = E''$. Then P' together with E'' forms an E-E''-geodesic of length k + 1, unless E'' contains a vertex from $E_{k-1} \cap E_k$. Since any geodesic in \mathcal{H} is of length at most k, we have that the latter happens and $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_{k-1}, E''$ is an E-E''-geodesic of length k. However, $v \notin E''$, so $|E_{k-1} \cap E''| < |E_{k-1} \cap E_k|$, where $E_k = E'$, a contradiction to the choice of E, E' with the smallest tail-size. Thus S does not separate \mathcal{H} .

Proof of Theorem 4(3). We first shall show that $f(4,3) \leq 3$. Then Lemma 9 implies that $f(4,\Delta) \leq \Delta$ for any $\Delta \geq 3$. Let \mathcal{H} be a connected 4-uniform hypergraph, $\Delta(\mathcal{H}) = 3$ and

S be a subset of 3 vertices contained in an edge E of \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{H} - S$ is connected. Such S exists by Lemma 12. Lets order the vertices $V(\mathcal{H})$ as (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) such that $S = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}, \{v_n\} = E - \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and for any vertex $v_i \in \{v_4, \ldots, v_{n-1}\}$ there is at least one vertex v_j , j > i such that v_i and v_j belong to the same edge. This ordering could be done since $\mathcal{H} - S$ is connected. Then for each j, j < n, v_j is the last vertex of at most two edges and v_n is the last vertex of at most three edges including E. To find a good coloring of \mathcal{H} , we use a procedure: Color vertex v_i with a color i, i = 1, 2, 3. Assume that v_1, \ldots, v_{j-1} have been colored. For $j \leq n$, consider at most two edges E_1, E_2 , such that v_j is the last vertex in them and such that $E \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$ (for j = n). Let q_i be the color of $X_i = E_i - \{v_j\}$ if X_i is monochromatic and let q_i be a unique color of X_i otherwise, i = 1, 2. Then let the color of v_j be from $\{1, 2, 3\} - \{q_1, q_2\}$. This gives a good coloring of E_1 and E_2 . When j = n we need also to worry about the edge E being good. However, since E contains the vertices v_1, v_2, v_3 of colors 1, 2 and 3, E is good regardless of the color of v_n .

Note however, that for any even $r \geq 2$, there is a 3-regular hypergraph \mathcal{H} with every edge of size at most r and $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) > 3$. Indeed, for r = 2 this is an ordinary graph K_4 . For $r \geq 4$, consider r/2 vertex disjoint copies of $K_4 - e$, a complete graph on 4 vertices without an edge, and form an edge E of size r from all vertices of degree 2 in these copies of $K_4 - e$. If the resulting hypergraph were to be conflict-free 3-colorable, then all ordinary edges would be non-monochromatic and in each copy of $K_4 - e$, the two vertices of degree 2 would get the same color. Thus, in E, each color would be repeated.

Proof of Theorem 7. Let \mathcal{H} be a connected 4-uniform hypergraph. If $\Delta(\mathcal{H}) \geq 3$, then by Theorem 4(3), $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \Delta$. If $\Delta = 1$ then $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) \geq 2 = \Delta + 1$. Assume that $\Delta = 2$. It is easy to see that if \mathcal{H} is not regular, then $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \Delta = 2$. Thus, we can assume that \mathcal{H} is a connected 2-regular 4-uniform hypergraph. From Lemma 10, we see that $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) = 2$ iff its dual 4-regular graph has a {1,3}-factor. Theorem 6.7 from [3] claims that a connected 4-regular graph has a {1,3}-factor iff the number of vertices is even. Thus $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) = 2$ iff the number *m* of hyperedges is even. If *m* is odd, then $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) \geq 3$ and $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \Delta + 1 = 3$, so $\chi_{cf}(\mathcal{H}) = 3$.

7. Conclusions and Open Questions

The largest conflict free chromatic number of an r-uniform hypergraph with maximum degree Δ is denoted $f(r, \Delta)$. As for the usual chromatic number, $f(r, \Delta) \leq \Delta + 1$.

Compared to graph/hypergraph Brooks'-type theorems we show that the upper bound $\Delta + 1$ is attained by infinite classes of hypergarphs, in particular for each $r \neq 3, 5$ and $\Delta = 2$. We prove that $f(r, \Delta)$ is at most Δ when r is sufficiently large and $\Delta > c \ln r$.

We find a relation between conflict-free colorings and $\{t, r-t\}$ -factors, studied as early as 1891 by Petersen [20], when the existence of a *t*-factor in every *r*-regular graph was proved for *r* and *t* even. For each even $r \neq 4$ and each odd *t* there is an *r*-regular graph without $\{t, r-t\}$ -factor [16,17]. A 4-regular graph on *n* vertices has a $\{1,3\}$ -factor if and only if *n* is even.

For odd r and arbitrary even t or odd $t \ge \frac{r}{3}$ every r-regular graphs contains a $\{t, r-t\}$ -factor [1]. Akbari and Kano conjectured the existence of such a factor for the remaining range of odd t, see Conjecture 6. We disprove this for $r \ge (t+1)(t+2)$. For t = 1 this disproves the conjecture for all odd $r \ge 7$. An interesting open problem remains to determine whether a $\{1, 4\}$ -factor exists in any 5-regular graph. As noted by by Akbari et al. [2], such a factor exists if and only if there is an assignment of labels 1 and 2 to the edges

such that the sum of the labels on the edges incident to each vertex is zero modulo 3. There were at least two incomplete proofs for the existence of such a factor posted in the internet by independent groups of researchers.

Besides the value of f(5,2), many other values for $f(r, \Delta)$ are to be determined. In particular, the question of whether $f(r, \Delta) \leq \Delta$ for any $r, \Delta \geq 3$ remains open except for the cases when $r \leq 4$ that we handled.

8. Acknowledgements

The authors thank Torsten Ueckerdt, André Kündgen, and Alexander Kostochka for useful discussions. In addition, the authors gratefully acknowledge many useful comments of the referee.

References

- [1] S. Akbari and M. Kano. $\{k, r-k\}$ -Factors of r-Regular Graphs. Graphs and Combinatorics , 30(4):821 826, 2014.
- [2] S. Akbari, F. Rahmati, and S. Zare. Zero-sum magic labelings and null sets of regular graphs. The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 21(2):2 - 17, 2014.
- [3] J. Akiyama and M. Kano. Factors and factorizations of graphs. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [4] H. L. Bodlaender, J. S. Deogun, K. J., T. Kloks, D. Kratsch, H. Müller, and Z. Tuza. Rankings of graphs. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, 11(1):168 - 181, 1998.
- [5] B. Bollobás. Combinatorics: Set systems, hypergraphs, families of vectors and combinatorial probability. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, reprint edition, 1990.
- [6] P. Cheilaris. Conflict-Free Coloring. PhD thesis, City University of New York, 2009.
- [7] P. Cheilaris, B. Keszegh, and D. Pálvölgyi. Unique-Maximum and Conflict-Free Coloring for Hypergraphs and Tree Graphs. In SOFSEM, 190 - 201, 2012.
- [8] P. Cheilaris and G. Tóth. Graph unique-maximum and conflict-free colorings. Journal of Discrete Algorithms, 9(3):241 - 251, 2011.
- [9] G. Even, Z. Lotker, D. Ron, and S. Smorodinsky. Conflict-free colorings of simple geometric regions with applications to frequency assignment in cellular networks. SIAM Journal on Computing, 33(1):94 - 136, 2003.
- [10] R. Glebov, T. Szabó, and G. Tardos. Conflict-free colouring of graphs. Combinatorics, Probability and Computing, 23(3):434 - 448, 2014.
- S. Har-Peled and S. Smorodinsky. Conflict-Free Coloring of Points and Simple Regions in the Plane. Discrete & Computational Geometry, 34(1):47 - 70, 2005.
- [12] A. V. Iyer, H. D. Ratliff, and G. Vijayan. On an edge ranking problem of trees and graphs. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 30(1):43 - 52, 1991.
- [13] A. Kostochka, M. Kumbhat, and T. Łuczak. Conflict-free colourings of uniform hypergraphs with few edges. Combinatorics, Probability and Computing, 21(4):611 - 622, 2012.
- [14] A. Kostochka, M. Stiebitz, and B. Wirth. The colour theorems of Brooks and Gallai extended. Discrete Mathematics, 162(1):299 - 303, 1996.
- [15] T. W. Lam and F. L. Yue. Optimal edge ranking of trees in linear time. Algorithmica, 30(1):12 33, 2001.
- [16] H. Lu and Y. Lin. Note on parity factors of regular graphs. Electronic Journal of Graph Theory and Applications, 1(1):50 - 55, 2013.
- [17] H. Lu, D. G. L. Wang, and Q. Yu. On the existence of general factors in regular graphs. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, 27(4):1862 - 1869, 2013.
- [18] J. Pach and G. Tardos. Conflict-free colourings of graphs and hypergraphs. Combinatorics, Probability and Computing, 18(5):819 - 834, 2009.
- [19] J. Pach and G. Tóth. Conflict-free colorings. In Discrete and computational geometry, 665 671. Springer, Berlin, 2003.
- [20] J. Petersen. Die Theorie der regulären Graphen. Acta Mathematica, 15(1):193 220, 1891.
- [21] S. Smorodinsky. Combinatorial problems in computational geometry. PhD thesis, Tel-Aviv University, 2003.

BROOKS TYPE RESULTS FOR CONFLICT-FREE COLORINGS AND $\{a, b\}$ -FACTORS IN GRAPHS. 11

- [22] S. Smorodinsky. On The Chromatic Number of Geometric Hypergraphs. SIAM Journal of Discrete Math., 21(3):676 - 687, 2007.
- [23] S. Smorodinsky. Conflict-Free Coloring and its Applications. arXiv:1005.3616, 2010.

KARLSRUHER INSTITUT FÜR TECHNOLOGIE, KARLSRUHE, GERMANY *E-mail address*: maria.aksenovich@kit.edu

Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany E-mail address: jonathan.rollin@kit.edu