

On the n -dominating graph of specific graphs

Saeid Alikhani¹, and Davood Fatehi

*Department of Mathematics, Yazd University
89195-741, Yazd, Iran*

ABSTRACT

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a dominating set, if every vertex in $V(G) \setminus S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in S . The k -dominating graph of G , $D_k(G)$, is defined to be the graph whose vertices correspond to the dominating sets of G that have cardinality at most k . Two vertices in $D_k(G)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding dominating sets of G differ by either adding or deleting a single vertex. In this paper we consider and study the n -dominating graph of specific graphs.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C60, 05C69.

Keywords: Domination; dominating sets; complete graph, path graph.

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. For any vertex $v \in V$, the open neighborhood of v is the set $N(v) = \{u \in V \mid uv \in E\}$ and the closed neighborhood of v is the set $N[v] = N(v) \cup v$. For a set $S \subseteq V$, the open neighborhood of S is $N(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N(v)$ and the closed neighborhood of S is $N[S] = N(S) \cup S$. A set $S \subseteq V$ is a dominating set of G , if $N[S] = V$, or equivalently, every vertex in $V \setminus S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in S . The *domination number* $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set in G . For more study in domination theory, the reader is referred to [9]. A dominating set with cardinality $\gamma(G)$ is called a γ -set.

Given a graph G , the k -dominating graph of G , $D_k(G)$, is defined to be the graph whose vertices correspond to the dominating sets of G that have cardinality at most k . Two vertices in $D_k(G)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding dominating sets of G differ by either adding or deleting a single vertex ([8]).

¹Corresponding author. E-mail: alikhani@yazd.ac.ir

A motivation for the study of the k -dominating graph is relationships between dominating sets. In particular, given dominating sets S and T , is there a sequence of dominating sets $S_0 = S, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k = T$ such that each S_{i+1} is obtained from S_i by deleting or adding a single vertex? (see [8]).

A reconfiguration problem asks whether (when) one feasible solution to a problem can be transformed into another by some allowable set of moves, while maintaining feasibility at all steps. The graph $D_k(G)$ aids in studying the reconfiguration problem for dominating sets (see [8]). Authors in [8] gave conditions that ensure $D_k(G)$ is connected. As usual we denote the maximum and minimum degree of a graph G , by $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$, respectively.

In the next section we study the n -dominating graph of the complete graph K_n . In Section 3, we consider the n -dominating graphs of the path graph P_n and the cycle graph C_n and obtain some properties of these kind of graphs. Finally, we study the order of dominating graph of some graph products, in Section 4.

2 The n -dominating graph of K_n

Let G be a simple graph of order n . Since the order of n -dominating graph of G is the number of all dominating sets of G , so counting of the number of dominating sets of graphs is important in the study of this subject. We state the following theorem:

Theorem 1.[1, 6] *For every connected graph G , the number of dominating sets is odd.*

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1:

Corollary 1. *For every connected graph G , the order of n -dominating graph of G is odd.*

Author in [1] has given a construction showing that for each odd number n there is a connected graph G such that the number of its dominating sets is n . So we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2. *For each odd number n , there is a connected graph G , such that its n -dominating graph has order n .*

The following theorem is about the n -dominating graph of G .

Theorem 2.

- (i) *For every graph G the n -dominating graph $D_n(G)$ is a bipartite graph.*
- (ii) *For every graph $G \neq O_n$, $D_n(G)$ is not regular.*

Proof.

- (i) Let X be the set of dominating sets of G with odd cardinality and Y be the set of dominating sets of even cardinality. It is clear that $X \cup Y = V(D_n(G))$ and $X \cap Y = \phi$. Suppose that $A, B \in X$, then $(A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ cannot be a vertex of $D_n(G)$. Because $|A| = |B|$ or $||A| - |B|| \geq 2$. So AB is not an edge of $D_n(G)$ and with similar argument we have this for two vertices in Y . Therefore $D_n(G)$ is a bipartite graph with parts X and Y .
- (ii) The degree of $V(G)$ as a vertex in $D_n(G)$ is n . Now consider a vertex of $D_n(G)$ which is a γ -set of G . It's degree is $n - \gamma < n$. So the graph $D_n(G)$ is not regular. \square

First we consider the k -dominating graph of complete graph K_n . It is easy to see that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $D_1(K_n) = \overline{K_n}$ and $D_2(K_n) = K_{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$. Here we consider n -dominating graph of K_n , $D_n(K_n)$. The Figure 1 shows $D_3(K_3)$.

Now we state and prove some elementary results related to geometrical structure of $D_n(K_n)$.

Theorem 3.

- (i) *The number of vertices of $D_n(K_n)$ is $2^n - 1$.*




Figure 1: The graph $D_3(K_3)$.

- (ii) The n -dominating graph $D_n(K_n)$ is a bipartite graph with parts X and Y , with $|X| = 2^{n-1}$ and $|Y| = 2^{n-1} - 1$.
- (iii) For every $n \geq 3$, $\delta(D_n(K_n)) = n - 1$ and $\Delta(D_n(K_n)) = n$.
- (iv) For every $n \geq 3$, $|E(D_n(K_n))| = n(2^{n-1} - 1)$.

Proof.

- (i) It is easy to see that the number of dominating sets of K_n with cardinality i is $\binom{n}{i}$, and since $\sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} = 2^n - 1$, we have the result.
- (ii) Let X be the set of dominating sets of K_n with odd cardinality and Y be the set of dominating sets of even cardinality of K_n . By theorem 2, $D_n(K_n)$ is a bipartite graph with parts X and Y . Now we obtain the cardinality of X and Y . Obviously $|X| = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k-1}$ and $|Y| = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k}$, therefore the result follows from well-known identities $\sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} = 2^n - 1$ and $\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} = -1$.
- (iii) For each vertex of $D_n(K_n)$, which is a dominating set of K_n with cardinality one, there exists $n - 1$ dominating sets with cardinality 2, such that their symmetric difference is a single set, and thus both are adjacent. So, the degree of these kind of dominating set in

$D_n(K_n)$ is $n - 1$.

Now suppose that S is a dominating set of K_n with $|S| = m$, where $2 \leq m \leq n$. There are m dominating sets of K_n of size $m - 1$ and $n - m$ dominating sets of K_n of size $m + 1$ which are adjacent with S . So, the degree of each members of S in $D_n(K_n)$ is equal to n . Therefore $\delta(D_n(K_n)) = n - 1$ and $\Delta(D_n(K_n)) = n$.

- (iv) By Part (i) and Hand-shaking lemma, the size of graph is $\frac{1}{2}(n(n - 1) + n(2^n - 1 - n))$ which is equal to $n(2^{n-1} - 1)$. \square

We have shown the graph $D_3(K_3)$ as a bipartite graph in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Bipartite graph $D_3(K_3)$.

Remark. Since a bipartite graph with different number of vertices in its parts is not a Hamiltonian graph, so $D_n(G)$ is not Hamiltonian, for any graph G . Also it is easy to see that for every $n \geq 3$, $D_n(K_n)$ is not Eulerian and even it doesn't have an Eulerian trail.

3 The n -dominating graph of path and cycle

In this section we study the n -dominating graph of the path and the cycle graph.

A path is a connected graph in which two vertices have degree one and the remaining

vertices have degree two. Let P_n be the path with $V(P_n) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $E(P_n) = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \dots, \{n-1, n\}\}$, see Figure 3.




Figure 3: The path P_n with vertices labeled $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

By the definition of n -dominating graph, $D_n(P_n)$ is a graph, which its vertices are dominating sets of the path graph P_n and its two vertices are adjacent if and only if their symmetric difference is a single-member set of vertices of P_n . We have shown $D_3(P_3)$ in Figure 4.




Figure 4: graph $D_3(P_3)$.

To study the properties of $D_n(P_n)$, we need some results about the dominating sets of P_n .

Lemma 1.[7] For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma(P_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$

We recall that a dominating set is minimal if all its proper subsets are not dominating. Let to denote the maximum cardinality of minimal dominating sets of a graph G , by $\Gamma(G)$. This parameter has not been widely studied. A dominating set with cardinality $\Gamma(G)$ is called a Γ -set. The following theorem give $\Gamma(P_n)$:

Theorem 4. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Gamma(P_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.

Proof. Consider the path P_n has shown in Figure 3. It is easy to see that for odd n , the set $\{1, 3, 5, \dots, n\}$ is a minimal dominating set of P_n with maximum cardinality. Also for even n , the

two sets $\{1, 3, 5, \dots, n-1\}$ and $\{2, 4, 6, \dots, n\}$ are minimal dominating sets of P_n with maximum cardinality. Obviously the cardinality of these sets is $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. So $\Gamma(P_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. \square

By the proof of Theorem 4, we have the following easy result:

Corollary 3. *For even $n \neq 4$, there are two Γ -sets for P_n , and for odd n there is a unique Γ -set for P_n .*

Now consider the n -dominating graph of P_n , $D_n(P_n)$. Let $\mathcal{D}(P_n, i)$ denote the family of all dominating sets of P_n with cardinality i . Suppose that $A, B \in \mathcal{D}(P_n, i)$ are two distinct dominating sets of P_n with cardinality i (or two distinct vertices of $D_n(P_n)$). Let $\partial(A, B)$ denote the distance between two vertices A and B . We state and prove the following theorem:

Theorem 5. *Suppose that $A, B \in \mathcal{D}(P_n, i)$. We have $\partial(A, B) = 2$ if and only if $|A \cap B| = i - 1$*

Proof. Let $\partial(A, B) = 2$. So there exists a dominating set of P_n with cardinality $i + 1$, say S such that $S \setminus A = \{x\}$ and $S \setminus B = \{y\}$. Therefore $A \cap B = S \setminus \{x, y\}$. Hence

$$|A \cap B| = i + 1 - 2 = i - 1.$$

Now let $|A \cap B| = i - 1$, so there exist $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ such that $x \notin B$ and $y \notin A$. Consider $S = A \cup \{y\} = B \cup \{x\}$. The set S is a dominating set of P_n , because A and B are dominating sets and hence S is a vertex of $D_n(P_n)$. Note that the vertex S is adjacent to A and B , but A is not adjacent to B because $|A| = |B|$. Therefore $\partial(A, B) = 2$. \square

Suppose that $d(P_n, j)$, is the number of dominating sets of P_n with cardinality j . We need the following results:

Lemma 2.[3] If \mathfrak{p}_n^i is the family of dominating sets with cardinality i of P_n , then

$$|\mathfrak{p}_n^i| = |\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}^{i-1}| + |\mathfrak{p}_{n-2}^{i-1}| + |\mathfrak{p}_{n-3}^{i-1}|.$$

Theorem 6.[3] The following properties hold for $d(P_n, j)$:

- (i) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d(P_{3n}, n) = 1$.
- (ii) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d(P_{3n} + 2, n + 1) = n + 2$.
- (iii) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d(P_{3n+1}, n + 1) = \frac{(n+2)(n+3)}{2} - 2$.
- (iv) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d(P_{3n}, n + 1) = \frac{n(n+1)(n+8)}{6}$.
- (v) If $s_n = \sum_{j=\lceil n/3 \rceil}^n d(P_n, j)$, then for every $n \geq 4$, $s_n = s_{n-1} + s_{n-2} + s_{n-3}$ with initial values $s_1 = 1, s_2 = 3$ and $s_3 = 5$.
- (vi) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d(P_n, n - 1) = n$.

We have the following properties for order of n -dominating graph of P_n which is an immediate consequence of Theorem 6:

Corollary 4. For every $n \geq 4$,

$$|V(D_n(P_n))| = |V(D_{n-1}(P_{n-1}))| + |V(D_{n-2}(P_{n-2}))| + |V(D_{n-3}(P_{n-3}))|,$$

with initial values $|V(D_1(P_1))| = 1$, $|V(D_2(P_2))| = 3$ and $|V(D_3(P_3))| = 5$.

We have the following results for the number of the dominating sets of P_n , i.e., $|V(D_n(P_n))|$ which are easy and straightforward to obtain:

Theorem 7.

(i) The generating function of $|V(D_n(P_n))|$ is $T(x) = -\frac{(x+1)^2}{x^3+x^2+x-1}$.

(ii) The order of $D_n(P_n)$ satisfies

$$|V(D_n(P_n))| = \frac{(-1)^n \left(A (\tau_1^{-1})^n \tau_2 \tau_3 + B (\tau_2^{-1})^n \tau_1 \tau_3 + C (\tau_3^{-1})^n \tau_1 \tau_2 \right)}{\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3},$$

where τ_1 , τ_2 and τ_3 are the roots of $x^3 + x^2 + x - 1 = 0$ and A , B and C are $\frac{(\tau_1-1)^2}{(\tau_3-\tau_1)(\tau_2-\tau_1)}$, $\frac{(\tau_2-1)^2}{(\tau_3-\tau_2)(\tau_1-\tau_2)}$ and $\frac{(\tau_3-1)^2}{(\tau_2-\tau_3)(\tau_1-\tau_3)}$, respectively.

Here we state and prove more results on the number of γ -sets and $(\gamma + 1)$ -sets of paths:

Corollary 5. *The number of γ -sets of P_{3k} , P_{3k+1} and P_{3k+2} are 1 , $\frac{k^2+5k+2}{2}$ and $k + 2$, respectively.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1 and Theorem 6 (i),(ii) and (iii). \square

We know that one or two members of the family of dominating sets with cardinality $\gamma + 1$ are Γ -sets. We shall find the cardinality of these families. Our objective is finding any γ -set by adding or deleting some vertices of another γ -set.

By Theorem 6 (iv), the number of $(\gamma + 1)$ -sets of P_{3n} is $d(P_{3n}, n + 1) = \frac{n(n+1)(n+8)}{6}$. Here we obtain the number of $(\gamma + 1)$ -sets of P_{3n+1} and P_{3n+2} :

Theorem 8. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

(i) *the number of $(\gamma + 1)$ -sets of P_{3n+1} is $\frac{1}{24}(n^4 + 18n^3 + 71n^2 + 78n + 24)$.*

(ii) *the number of $(\gamma + 1)$ -sets of P_{3n+2} is $\frac{1}{120}n(n + 1)(n^3 + 24n^2 + 121n + 94)$.*

Proof.

(i) We shall prove $d(P_{3n+2}, n + 2) = \frac{1}{24}(n^4 + 18n^3 + 71n^2 + 78n + 24)$. We use induction on n . Since $d(P_5, 3) = 8$, the result is true for $n = 1$. Now suppose that the result is true for all natural numbers less than n , and we prove it for n . By Lemma 2,

$$d(P_{3n+2}, n + 2) = d(P_{3n+1}, n + 1) + d(P_{3n}, n + 1) + d(P_{3n-1}, n + 1).$$

Since $d(P_{3n-1}, n + 1) = d(P_{3(n-1)+2}, (n - 1) + 2)$, by Theorem 6 (iii),(iv) and induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(P_{3n+2}, n + 2) &= \frac{(n + 2)(n + 3)}{2} - 2 + \frac{n(n + 1)(n + 8)}{6} + \frac{1}{24}n(n^3 + 14n^2 + 23n - 14) \\ &= \frac{1}{24}(n^4 + 18n^3 + 71n^2 + 78n + 24). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) We use induction on n . Since $d(P_4, 3) = 4$, The result is true for $n = 1$. Now suppose that the result is true for all natural numbers less than n , and we prove it for n . By Lemma 2,

$$d(P_{3n+1}, n+2) = d(P_{3n}, n+1) + d(P_{3n-1}, n+1) + d(P_{3n-2}, n+1).$$

Since $d(P_{3n-1}, n+1) = d(P_{3(n-1)+2}, (n-1)+2)$ and $d(P_{3n-2}, n+1) = d(P_{3(n-1)+1}, (n-1)+2)$, by Theorem 6 (i), (iv) and induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(P_{3n+1}, n+2) &= \frac{n(n+1)(n+8)}{6} + \frac{1}{24}n(n^3 + 14n^2 + 23n - 14) \\ &+ \frac{1}{120}(n-1)n(n^3 + 21n^2 + 76n - 4) \\ &= \frac{1}{120}n(n+1)(n^3 + 24n^2 + 121n + 94). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem is about the minimum and maximum degree of $D_n(P_n)$:

Theorem 9. *For n -dominating graph of P_n we have $\Delta(D_n(P_n)) = n$ and $\delta(D_n(P_n)) = n - \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.*

Proof. By Theorem 6(vi), $D_n(P_n)$ has n vertices of cardinality $n-1$ and these are all vertices adjacent to vertex $V(P_n)$, and so $\deg(V(P_n)) = n$. For every $\lceil n/3 \rceil < i < n$, there are at most i vertices $A \in \mathcal{D}(P_n, i-1)$ and exactly $n-i$ vertices $B \in \mathcal{D}(P_n, i+1)$ adjacent to $C \in \mathcal{D}(P_n, i)$. For all vertices in $\mathcal{D}(P_n, \lceil n/3 \rceil)$ there are $n-\gamma$ adjacent vertices of $\mathcal{D}(P_n, \gamma+1)$ and for vertices in $\mathcal{D}(P_n, \Gamma)$ there are $n-\Gamma(P_n)$ adjacent vertices of $\mathcal{D}(P_n, \Gamma+1)$. So $\delta(D_n(P_n)) = n - \Gamma$ and $\Delta(D_n(P_n)) = n$. We have the result by Theorem 4. \square

Theorem 10. *The graph $D_n(P_n)$ is a connected graph.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{D}(P_n, i)$ and $B \in \mathcal{D}(P_n, j)$ are two vertices of $D_n(P_n)$, where $i < j$. Step by step, we add a vertex to A to reach a vertex in $\mathcal{D}(P_n, n)$. Then step by step remove a vertex to reach to a vertex in $\mathcal{D}(P_n, j)$. Note that all the sets obtained in these steps are dominating sets of P_n and so are vertices of $D_n(P_n)$. Hence we have found a path between A and B . Therefore $D_n(P_n)$ is a connected graph. \square

Here we consider cycles. Let $C_n, n \geq 3$, be the cycle with n vertices $V(C_n) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $E(C_n) = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \dots, \{n-1, n\}, \{n, 1\}\}$, see Figure 5.




Figure 5: The cycle C_n with vertices labeled $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{D}(C_n, i)$ or simply \mathcal{C}_n^i denote the family of dominating set of C_n with cardinality i . The following theorem gives a recurrence relation for the number of dominating sets of cycles with cardinality i :

Theorem 11.[4]

- (i) If \mathcal{C}_n^i is the family of dominating set of C_n with cardinality i , then $|\mathcal{C}_n^i| = |\mathcal{C}_{n-1}^{i-1}| + |\mathcal{C}_{n-2}^{i-1}| + |\mathcal{C}_{n-3}^{i-1}|$.
- (ii) If $S_n = \sum_{j=\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil}^n |\mathcal{C}_n^j|$, then for every $n \geq 4$, $S_n = S_{n-1} + S_{n-2} + S_{n-3}$ with initial values $S_1 = 1, S_2 = 3$ and $S_3 = 7$.

We have the following properties for order of n -dominating graph of C_n which is an immediate consequence of Theorem 11 (see Figure 6):

Corollary 6. For every $n \geq 4$,

$$|V(D_n(C_n))| = |V(D_{n-1}(C_{n-1}))| + |V(D_{n-2}(C_{n-2}))| + |V(D_{n-3}(C_{n-3}))|,$$

with initial values $|V(D_1(C_1))| = 1, |V(D_2(C_2))| = 3$ and $|V(D_3(C_3))| = 5$.

Theorem 12. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Gamma(C_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.




Figure 6: graph $D_4(C_4)$.

Proof. Consider the cycle C_n has shown in Figure 5. It is easy to see that for even n , the two sets $\{1, 3, 5, \dots, n-1\}$ and $\{2, 4, 6, \dots, n\}$ are minimal dominating sets of C_n with maximum cardinality. For odd n all the sets of the form $\{i \oplus 2k : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\}$ are the Γ -sets of C_n , where \oplus is sum modulo n . Obviously the cardinality of these sets is $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. So $\Gamma(C_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

□

Similar to n -dominating of P_n , we have the following results:

Theorem 13.

- (i) For even $n > 4$, there are two Γ -sets and for odd n there are n Γ -sets for C_n .
- (ii) $\Delta(D_n(C_n)) = n$ and $\delta(D_n(C_n)) = n - \Gamma$.
- (iii) The graph $D_n(C_n)$ is a connected graph.

The following result is easy to obtain:

Theorem 14.

(i) The generating function of $|V(D_n(C_n))|$ is $T(x) = -\frac{3x^2+2x+1}{x^3+x^2+x-1}$.

(ii) The order of $D_n(C_n)$ satisfies

$$|V(D_n(C_n))| = \frac{(-1)^n \left(A (\tau_1^{-1})^n \tau_2 \tau_3 + B (\tau_2^{-1})^n \tau_1 \tau_3 + C (\tau_3^{-1})^n \tau_1 \tau_2 \right)}{\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3},$$

where τ_1 , τ_2 and τ_3 are the roots of $x^3 + x^2 + x - 1 = 0$ and A , B and C are $\frac{3\tau_1^2-2\tau_1+1}{(\tau_3-\tau_1)(\tau_2-\tau_1)}$, $\frac{3\tau_2^2-2\tau_2+1}{(\tau_3-\tau_2)(\tau_1-\tau_2)}$ and $\frac{3\tau_3^2-2\tau_3+1}{(\tau_3-\tau_2)(\tau_3-\tau_1)}$, respectively.

4 The order of n -dominating graph of graph products

We would like to end this paper by stating some results on the order of n -dominating graph of some operations of two graphs. The join $G = G + H$ of two graph G and H with disjoint vertex sets V_1 and V_2 and edge sets E_1 and E_2 is the graph union $G \cup H$ together with all the edges joining V_1 and V_2 . The corona of two graphs G and H is the graph $G \circ H$ formed from one copy of G and $|V(G)|$ copies of H , where the i -th vertex of G is adjacent to every vertex in the i -th copy of H .

The following theorem gives the order of number of $D_n(G + H)$ and $D_n(G \circ H)$. This theorem can be found in domination polynomial format in [2]:

Theorem 15. *Let G and H be graphs of order p and q , respectively, and $n = p + q$. Then*

$$(i) |V(D_n(G + H))| = (2^p - 1)(2^q - 1) + |V(D_p(G))| + |V(D_q(H))|.$$

$$(ii) |V(D_n(G \circ H))| = (2^q + |V(D_q(H))|)^p.$$

Proof.

(i) Let i be a natural number $1 \leq i \leq p + q$. We shall count the number of dominating sets of $G + H$. If i_1 and i_2 are two natural numbers such that $i_1 + i_2 = i$, then clearly, for every $D_1 \subseteq V(G)$ and $D_2 \subseteq V(H)$, such that $|D_j| = i_j$, $j = 1, 2$, $D_1 \cup D_2$ is a dominating set of $G + H$. Also, if D is a dominating set of G of size i , then D is a dominating set for $G + H$ of size i . The same is true for every dominating D of size i . Thus we have the result.

(ii) In the corona of two graphs G and H , every vertex $u \in V(G)$ is adjacent to all vertices of the corresponding copy of H . So, we can delete all edges in E in the corona. Therefore, the arising graph is the disjoint union of p copies of the corona $K_1 \circ H$. Therefore, the number of dominating sets of $G \circ H$ is $|V(D_{q+1}(K_1 \circ H))|^p$. It remains to obtain the number of dominating sets of $K_1 \circ H$. We have two cases for a dominating set S of every graph of form $K_1 \circ H$.

Case 1. S includes the vertex originally in K_1 and an arbitrary subset of the q vertices from the copy of H . In this case we have 2^q dominating sets.

Case 2. S does not include the vertex in K_1 and it is exactly a dominating set of H . In this case there are $|V(D_q(H))|$ dominating sets.

By addition principle we have $|V(D_{q+1}(K_1 \circ H))| = 2^q + |V(D_q(H))|$. \square

Given any two graphs G and H we define the Cartesian product, denoted $G \square H$, to be the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ and edges between two vertices (u_1, v_1) and (u_2, v_2) if and only if either $u_1 = u_2$ and $v_1 v_2 \in E(H)$ or $u_1 u_2 \in E(G)$ and $v_1 = v_2$. We think that computing of the order of Cartesian product of two graphs G and H , i.e. the number of dominating sets of $G \square H$ is not easy. Using Theorem 4.2. of [10] we have the following recurrence relation for the order of Ladder graph L_n which is $P_n \square K_2$.

Theorem 16.[10] *Let G be a graph of order n , then*

$$\begin{aligned} |V(D_{2n}(L_n))| &= 3|V(D_{2n-2}(L_{n-1}))| + 2|V(D_{2n-4}(L_{n-2}))| + 2|V(D_{2n-6}(L_{n-3}))| \\ &\quad - |V(D_{2n-8}(L_{n-4}))| - |V(D_{2n-10}(L_{n-5}))|. \end{aligned}$$

In this section we studied the order of $|V|$ -dominating graph of some graph operations. Study the geometrical properties and parameters of these kind of graphs remain as open problems which we believe deserve attention.

References

- [1] Alikhani, S.: The domination polynomial of a graph at -1 , *Graphs Combin.*, **29**, 1175-1181 (2013).
- [2] Alikhani, S.: On the domination polynomial of some graph operations, *ISRN Combin.*, Volume 2013, Article ID 146595 (2013).
- [3] Alikhani, S. and Peng, Y.H.: Dominating sets and dominating polynomials of paths, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, vol. 2009, Article ID 542040 (2009).
- [4] Alikhani, S. and Peng, Y.H.: Dominating sets and domination polynomials of certain graphs, II, *Opuscula Math.* **30** (1) 37-51 (2010).
- [5] Bondy, J.A. and Murty, U.S.R.: *Graph theory with applications*, Elsevier Science Publishing Co., USA (1979).
- [6] Brouwer, A.E.: The number of dominating sets of a finite graph is odd (preprint).
- [7] Chartrand, G., Zhang, P.: *Introduction to Graph Theory*, McGraw-Hill, Boston, Mass, USA (2005).
- [8] Haas, R., Seyffarth, K.: The k -dominating graph, *Graphs Combin.*, **30**, 609-617 (2014).
- [9] Haynes, T.W., Hedetniemi, S.T., Slater, P.J.: *Fundamentals of domination in graphs*, Marcel Dekker, New York (1998).
- [10] Kotek, T., Preen, J., Tittmann, P.: Domination polynomials of graph products. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.1475>.