

Metric projection and convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Hadamard spaces

Hossein Dehghan*, Jamal Rooin

Department of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences (IASBS), Gava Zang, Zanjan 45137-66731, Iran

Abstract

For a nonempty convex subset C of a Hadamard space X , it is proved that $u = P_C x$ if and only if $\langle \overrightarrow{xu}, \overrightarrow{uy} \rangle \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$. As an application of this characterization, we prove strong convergence of two iterative algorithms with perturbations for nonexpansive mappings.

Keywords: Hadamard space; metric projection; quasilinearization; nonexpansive mapping; iterative method.

2010 MSC: 47H09, 47H10

1. Introduction

A metric space (X, d) is a CAT(0) space if it is geodesically connected and if every geodesic triangle in X is at least as thin as its comparison triangle in the Euclidean plane. For other equivalent definitions and basic properties, we refer the reader to standard texts such as [1, 3]. Complete CAT(0) spaces are often called Hadamard spaces. Let $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. We write $\lambda x \oplus (1 - \lambda)y$ for the unique point z in the geodesic segment joining from x to y such that

$$d(z, x) = (1 - \lambda)d(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad d(z, y) = \lambda d(x, y). \quad (1.1)$$

We also denote by $[x, y]$ the geodesic segment joining from x to y , that is, $[x, y] = \{\lambda x \oplus (1 - \lambda)y : \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$. A subset C of a CAT(0) space is convex if $[x, y] \subseteq C$ for all $x, y \in C$.

Berg and Nikolaev in [2] introduced the concept of *quasilinearization* in a metric space X . Let us formally denote a pair $(a, b) \in X \times X$ by \overrightarrow{ab} and call it a vector. Then quasilinearization is a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : (X \times X) \times (X \times X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (d^2(a, d) + d^2(b, c) - d^2(a, c) - d^2(b, d)), \quad (a, b, c, d \in X). \quad (1.2)$$

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: h_dehghan@iasbs.ac.ir, hossein.dehghan@gmail.com (Hossein Dehghan), rooin@iasbs.ac.ir (Jamal Rooin)

It is easily seen that $\langle \vec{ab}, \vec{cd} \rangle = \langle \vec{cd}, \vec{ab} \rangle$, $\langle \vec{ab}, \vec{cd} \rangle = -\langle \vec{ba}, \vec{cd} \rangle$ and $\langle \vec{ax}, \vec{cd} \rangle + \langle \vec{xb}, \vec{cd} \rangle = \langle \vec{ab}, \vec{cd} \rangle$ for all $a, b, c, d, x \in X$. We say that X satisfies the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality if

$$\langle \vec{ab}, \vec{cd} \rangle \leq d(a, b)d(c, d)$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in X$. It is known [2, Corollary 3] that a geodesically connected metric space is a CAT(0) space if and only if it satisfies the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Let C be a nonempty subset of a complete CAT(0) space X . Then a mapping T of C into itself is called nonexpansive if $d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in C$. A point $x \in C$ is called a fixed point of T if $Tx = x$. We denote by $F(T)$ the set of all fixed points of T . Kirk [9] showed that the fixed point set of a nonexpansive mapping T is nonempty, closed and convex.

Iterative methods for finding fixed points of nonexpansive mappings have received vast investigations due to its extensive applications in a variety of applied areas of inverse problem, partial differential equations, image recovery, and signal processing; see [18, 17, 13, 16, 15, 4, 19] and the references therein. One of the difficulties in carrying out results from Banach space to Hadamard space setting lies in the heavy use of the linear structure of the Banach spaces.

Now having an inner product-like notion (quasilinearization) in Hadamard spaces, we first obtain a characterization of metric projection together with some basic lemmas in Hadamard spaces. Then, we introduce two iterative methods to approximate fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Hadamard spaces.

2. Preliminaries and lemmas

In this section, we recall some basic results and prove some useful lemmas which we need in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. [3, Proposition 2.2] *Let X be a CAT(0) space, $p, q, r, s \in X$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$d(\lambda p \oplus (1 - \lambda)q, \lambda r \oplus (1 - \lambda)s) \leq \lambda d(p, r) + (1 - \lambda)d(q, s).$$

Lemma 2.2. [7, Lemma 2.4] *Let X be a CAT(0) space, $x, y, z \in X$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$d(\lambda x \oplus (1 - \lambda)y, z) \leq \lambda d(x, z) + (1 - \lambda)d(y, z).$$

Lemma 2.3. [7, Lemma 2.5] *Let X be a CAT(0) space, $x, y, z \in X$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$d^2(\lambda x \oplus (1 - \lambda)y, z) \leq \lambda d^2(x, z) + (1 - \lambda)d^2(y, z) - \lambda(1 - \lambda)d^2(x, y).$$

Lemma 2.4. [14, Lemma 1.1] *Let $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ be bounded sequences in a metric space of hyperbolic type X and $\{\beta_n\}$ be a sequence in $[0, 1]$ with $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$. Suppose that $x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)y_n \oplus \beta_n z_n$ for all $n \geq 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, x_n) = 0$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d(z_{n+1}, z_n) - d(x_{n+1}, x_n)) \leq 0$. Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, x_n) = 0$.*

We note that every CAT(0) space is of hyperbolic type (see [10]).

Lemma 2.5. (*Liu's lemma*) Assume that $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \gamma_n)a_n + \gamma_n\delta_n + \sigma_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $\{\gamma_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0,1)$, $\{\delta_n\}$ is sequence in \mathbb{R} and $\{\sigma_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative numbers such that (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$, (ii) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n \leq 0$ or $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n |\delta_n| < \infty$, (iii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n < \infty$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.

We shall repeatedly use the following useful lemmas in the next sections.

Lemma 2.6. Let X be a CAT(0) space, $x, y \in X$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $z = \lambda x \oplus (1 - \lambda)y$. Then,

$$\langle \overrightarrow{zy}, \overrightarrow{zw} \rangle \leq \lambda \langle \overrightarrow{xy}, \overrightarrow{zw} \rangle \quad (w \in X).$$

Proof. Using (1.1) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\langle \overrightarrow{zy}, \overrightarrow{zw} \rangle - \lambda \langle \overrightarrow{xy}, \overrightarrow{zw} \rangle) &= d^2(z, w) + d^2(y, z) - d^2(y, w) \\ &\quad - \lambda (d^2(x, w) + d^2(y, z) - d^2(x, z) - d^2(y, w)) \\ &\leq \lambda d^2(x, w) + (1 - \lambda)d^2(y, w) - \lambda(1 - \lambda)d^2(x, y) + d^2(y, z) \\ &\quad - d^2(y, w) - \lambda (d^2(x, w) + d^2(y, z) - d^2(x, z) - d^2(y, w)) \\ &= (1 - \lambda)d^2(y, z) + \lambda d^2(x, z) - \lambda(1 - \lambda)d^2(x, y) \\ &= (1 - \lambda)\lambda^2 d^2(y, x) + \lambda(1 - \lambda)^2 d^2(x, y) - \lambda(1 - \lambda)d^2(x, y) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the desired inequality. \square

Lemma 2.7. Let X be a CAT(0) space, $x, y, z \in X$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then,

$$d^2(\lambda x \oplus (1 - \lambda)y, z) \leq \lambda^2 d^2(x, z) + (1 - \lambda)^2 d^2(y, z) + 2\lambda(1 - \lambda) \langle \overrightarrow{xz}, \overrightarrow{yz} \rangle.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(\lambda x \oplus (1 - \lambda)y, z) &\leq \lambda d^2(x, z) + (1 - \lambda)d^2(y, z) - \lambda(1 - \lambda)d^2(x, y) \\ &= \lambda^2 d^2(x, z) + (1 - \lambda)^2 d^2(y, z) \\ &\quad + \lambda(1 - \lambda) (d^2(x, z) + d^2(y, z) - d^2(x, y)) \\ &= \lambda^2 d^2(x, z) + (1 - \lambda)^2 d^2(y, z) + 2\lambda(1 - \lambda) \langle \overrightarrow{xz}, \overrightarrow{yz} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired inequality. \square

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in a complete CAT(0) space X . For $x \in X$, we set

$$r(x, \{x_n\}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n).$$

The asymptotic radius $r(\{x_n\})$ of $\{x_n\}$ is given by

$$r(\{x_n\}) = \inf\{r(x, \{x_n\}) : x \in X\},$$

and the asymptotic center $A(\{x_n\})$ of $\{x_n\}$ is the set

$$A(\{x_n\}) = \{x \in X : r(x, \{x_n\}) = r(\{x_n\})\}.$$

It is known from Proposition 7 of [6] that in a CAT(0) space, $A(\{x_n\})$ consists of exactly one point.

A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is said to Δ -converge to $x \in X$ if $A(\{x_{n_k}\}) = \{x\}$ for every subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$.

We need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.8. [11] *Every bounded sequence in a complete CAT(0) space always has a Δ -convergent subsequence.*

Lemma 2.9. [5] *If C is a closed convex subset of a complete CAT(0) space and if $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in C , then the asymptotic center of $\{x_n\}$ is in C .*

Lemma 2.10. [5] *If C is a closed convex subset of X and $T : C \rightarrow X$ is a nonexpansive mapping, then the conditions $\{x_n\}$ Δ -convergence to x and $d(x_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$, and imply $x \in C$ and $Tx = x$.*

Lemma 2.11. [8, Theorem 2.6] *Let X be a complete CAT(0) space, $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$. Then $\{x_n\}$ Δ -converges to x if and only if $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{x x_n}, \overrightarrow{x y} \rangle \leq 0$ for all $y \in X$.*

3. Metric projection

Let C be a nonempty complete convex subset of a CAT(0) space X . It is known that for any $x \in X$ there exists a unique point $u \in C$ such that

$$d(x, u) = \min_{y \in C} d(x, y).$$

The mapping $P_C : X \rightarrow C$ defined by $P_C x = u$ is called the *metric projection* from X onto C . Also, P_C is nonexpansive (see [3, Proposition 2.4]). Now, we state and prove our characterization of metric projection.

Theorem 3.1. *Let C be a nonempty convex subset of a CAT(0) space X , $x \in X$ and $u \in C$. Then $u = P_C x$ if and only if*

$$\langle \overrightarrow{x u}, \overrightarrow{u y} \rangle \geq 0 \quad (\forall y \in C).$$

Proof. Let $\langle \overrightarrow{x\dot{u}}, \overrightarrow{u\dot{y}} \rangle \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$. If $d(x, u) = 0$, then the assertion is clear. Otherwise, we have

$$\langle \overrightarrow{x\dot{u}}, \overrightarrow{x\dot{y}} \rangle - \langle \overrightarrow{x\dot{u}}, \overrightarrow{x\dot{u}} \rangle = \langle \overrightarrow{x\dot{u}}, \overrightarrow{u\dot{y}} \rangle \geq 0.$$

This together with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies that

$$d^2(x, u) = \langle \overrightarrow{x\dot{u}}, \overrightarrow{x\dot{u}} \rangle \leq \langle \overrightarrow{x\dot{u}}, \overrightarrow{x\dot{y}} \rangle \leq d(x, u)d(x, y).$$

That is, $d(x, u) \leq d(x, y)$ for all $y \in C$ and so $u = P_C x$.

For the converse, let $u = P_C x$. Since C is convex, then $z = \lambda y \oplus (1 - \lambda)u \in C$ for all $y \in C$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Thus, $d(x, u) \leq d(x, z)$. Using (1.2) we have

$$\langle \overrightarrow{x\dot{z}}, \overrightarrow{u\dot{z}} \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2}d^2(x, z) - \frac{1}{2}d^2(x, u) \geq 0. \quad (3.1)$$

On the other hand, by using Lemma 2.6, we have $\langle \overrightarrow{x\dot{z}}, \overrightarrow{u\dot{z}} \rangle \leq \lambda \langle \overrightarrow{x\dot{z}}, \overrightarrow{u\dot{y}} \rangle$. This together with (3.1) implies that $\langle \overrightarrow{x\dot{z}}, \overrightarrow{u\dot{y}} \rangle \geq 0$. Since the function $d(\cdot, x) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for all $x \in X$, considering (1.2) and letting $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$, we have $\langle \overrightarrow{x\dot{u}}, \overrightarrow{u\dot{y}} \rangle \geq 0$. This completes the proof. \square

4. Convergence theorems

In this section, we apply the obtained results to approximate fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Hadamard spaces. In the rest of the paper, (X, d) is a Hadamard space, o is an arbitrary fixed element in X , which we may call the "zero" of X and $\|x\| := d(x, o)$ for all $x \in X$.

4.1. Convergence of an implicit algorithm

Let T be a nonexpansive self-mapping of a nonempty closed convex subset C of a Hadamard space X . We denote by $F(T)$ the set of all fixed points of T . Fix $u \in X$. Then for each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists a unique point $x_\alpha \in C$ satisfying $x_\alpha = P_C(\alpha u \oplus (1 - \alpha)Tx_\alpha)$ because the mapping $x \mapsto P_C(\alpha u \oplus (1 - \alpha)Tx)$ is contractive by virtue of Lemma 2.1 and nonexpansiveness of P_C . Therefore, we may define the following implicit iterative method.

Algorithm 4.1. Let $\{\alpha_m\}$ be a sequence in $(0, 1)$ and define the iterative sequence $\{x_m\}$ by

$$x_m = P_C(\alpha_m u_m \oplus (1 - \alpha_m)Tx_m), \quad m \geq 1, \quad (4.1)$$

where the sequence $\{u_m\} \subset X$ is a small perturbation for the m -step iteration satisfying $\|u_m\| \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 4.2. If $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, then as $\alpha_m \rightarrow 0$, the sequence $\{x_m\}$ generated by the implicit method (4.1) converges to a $q \in F(T)$.

Proof. We first show that $\{x_m\}$ is bounded. Taking $p \in F(T)$ and using the fact that P_C is nonexpansive and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_m, p) &= d(P_C(\alpha_m u_m \oplus (1 - \alpha_m)Tx_m), p) \\ &\leq d(\alpha_m u_m \oplus (1 - \alpha_m)Tx_m, p) \\ &\leq \alpha_m d(u_m, p) + (1 - \alpha_m)d(Tx_m, p) \\ &\leq \alpha_m d(u_m, p) + (1 - \alpha_m)d(x_m, p), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$d(x_m, p) \leq d(u_m, p) \leq \|u_m\| + \|p\|.$$

Since $\|u_m\| \rightarrow 0$, then $\{u_m\}$ is bounded. It follows that $\{x_m\}$ is bounded, so is the sequence $\{Tx_m\}$. Thus, there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that $\|u_m\|, \|x_m\|, \|Tx_m\| \leq M$ for all $m \geq 1$. Since $Tx_m \in C$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_m, Tx_m) &= d(P_C(\alpha_m u_m \oplus (1 - \alpha_m)Tx_m), P_C(Tx_m)) \\ &\leq d(\alpha_m u_m \oplus (1 - \alpha_m)Tx_m, Tx_m) \\ &= \alpha_m d(u_m, Tx_m) \\ &\leq 2\alpha_m M \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Setting $y_m = \alpha_m u_m \oplus (1 - \alpha_m)Tx_m$ for all $m \geq 1$, we then have $x_m = P_C y_m$. Also, by Lemma 2.2

$$d(x_m, y_m) \leq \alpha_m d(x_m, u_m) + (1 - \alpha_m)d(x_m, Tx_m) \rightarrow 0, \quad (\text{as } m \rightarrow \infty). \tag{4.3}$$

Since P_C is nonexpansive and $p, Tx_m \in C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle \overrightarrow{x_m Tx_m}, \overrightarrow{x_m p} \rangle &= d^2(x_m, p) + d^2(Tx_m, x_m) - d^2(Tx_m, p) \\ &\leq d^2(y_m, p) + d^2(Tx_m, y_m) - d^2(Tx_m, p) \\ &= 2\langle \overrightarrow{y_m Tx_m}, \overrightarrow{y_m p} \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Also, it follows from Lemma 2.6 that $\langle \overrightarrow{y_m Tx_m}, \overrightarrow{y_m p} \rangle \leq \alpha_m \langle \overrightarrow{u_m Tx_m}, \overrightarrow{y_m p} \rangle$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(x_m, p) &= \langle \overrightarrow{x_m p}, \overrightarrow{x_m p} \rangle = \langle \overrightarrow{x_m Tx_m}, \overrightarrow{x_m p} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{Tx_m p}, \overrightarrow{x_m p} \rangle \\ &\leq \langle \overrightarrow{y_m Tx_m}, \overrightarrow{y_m p} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{Tx_m p}, \overrightarrow{x_m p} \rangle \leq \alpha_m \langle \overrightarrow{u_m Tx_m}, \overrightarrow{y_m p} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{Tx_m p}, \overrightarrow{x_m p} \rangle \\ &= \alpha_m \langle \overrightarrow{u_m Tx_m}, \overrightarrow{y_m p} \rangle + \alpha_m \langle \overrightarrow{u_m p}, \overrightarrow{x_m p} \rangle + (1 - \alpha_m) \langle \overrightarrow{Tx_m p}, \overrightarrow{x_m p} \rangle \\ &\leq \alpha_m d(u_m, Tx_m) d(y_m, x_m) + \alpha_m \langle \overrightarrow{u_m p}, \overrightarrow{x_m p} \rangle + \alpha_m \langle \overrightarrow{Tx_m p}, \overrightarrow{x_m p} \rangle \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_m) d(Tx_m, p) d(x_m, p) \\ &\leq 2\alpha_m M d(y_m, x_m) + \alpha_m \|u_m\| d(x_m, p) + \alpha_m \langle \overrightarrow{op}, \overrightarrow{x_m p} \rangle + (1 - \alpha_m) d^2(x_m, p), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$d^2(x_m, p) \leq 2M d(y_m, x_m) + \|u_m\| (\|p\| + M) + \langle \overrightarrow{op}, \overrightarrow{x_m p} \rangle. \tag{4.5}$$

Since $\{x_m\}$ is bounded, by Lemma 2.8, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{m_i}\}$ of $\{x_m\}$ which Δ -converges to a point q . By Lemma 2.9, $q \in C$. It follows from (4.2) and Lemma 2.10 that $q \in F(T)$. Substituting m_i and q , respectively, for m and p in (4.5), we get

$$d^2(x_{m_i}, q) \leq 2Md(y_{m_i}, x_{m_i}) + \|u_{m_i}\|(\|q\| + M) + \langle \overrightarrow{oq}, \overrightarrow{x_{m_i}q} \rangle. \quad (4.6)$$

This together with Lemma 2.11, (4.3) and Δ -convergence of $\{x_{m_i}\}$ to q implies that $x_{m_i} \rightarrow q$ strongly as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, if $\{x_{m_j}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{x_m\}$ which converges to a point $q' \in C$, then by using the same argument as in proof above, we get $q' \in F(T)$. Utilizing (4.5), we have

$$d^2(x_{m_j}, q') \leq 2Md(y_{m_j}, x_{m_j}) + \|u_{m_j}\|(\|q'\| + M) + \langle \overrightarrow{oq'}, \overrightarrow{x_{m_j}q'} \rangle$$

and

$$d^2(x_{m_j}, q) \leq 2Md(y_{m_j}, x_{m_j}) + \|u_{m_j}\|(\|q\| + M) + \langle \overrightarrow{oq}, \overrightarrow{x_{m_j}q} \rangle.$$

By (4.3) and continuity of $d(\cdot, x)$ for all $x \in X$, we get

$$d^2(q, q') \leq \langle \overrightarrow{oq'}, \overrightarrow{qq'} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad d^2(q', q) \leq \langle \overrightarrow{oq}, \overrightarrow{q'q} \rangle. \quad (4.7)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$2d^2(q, q') \leq \langle \overrightarrow{oq'}, \overrightarrow{qq'} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{oq}, \overrightarrow{q'q} \rangle = \langle \overrightarrow{q'o}, \overrightarrow{q'q} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{oq}, \overrightarrow{q'q} \rangle = \langle \overrightarrow{q'q}, \overrightarrow{q'q} \rangle = d^2(q, q').$$

Thus, $q' = q$. This shows that $\{x_m\}$ converges to $q \in F(T)$ and the proof is completed. \square

4.2. Convergence of an explicit algorithm

In this subsection we study strong convergence of an explicit algorithm to a fixed point of nonexpansive mappings.

Algorithm 4.3. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hadamard space X . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping. Define the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ as follows:*

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C, \text{ chosen arbitrary,} \\ y_n = \alpha_n u_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n, & n \geq 0, \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)x_n \oplus \beta_n P_C y_n, \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are two sequences in $(0, 1)$, and the sequence $\{u_n\} \subset X$ is a perturbation for the n -step iteration.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. If the conditions (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, (ii) $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$, (iii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \|u_n\| < \infty$ satisfy, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by the explicit method (4.8) converges to a $q \in F(T)$.*

Proof. Let $p \in F(T)$. By Lemma 2.2 and nonexpansiveness of P_C , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+1}, p) &= d((1 - \beta_n)x_n \oplus \beta_n P_C y_n, p) \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p) + \beta_n d(P_C y_n, p) \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p) + \beta_n [d(\alpha_n u_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n, p)] \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p) + \beta_n [\alpha_n d(u_n, p) + (1 - \alpha_n)d(Tx_n, p)] \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, p) + \beta_n [\alpha_n (\|u_n\| + \|p\|) + (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, p)] \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n \beta_n)d(x_n, p) + \beta_n \alpha_n \|p\| + \alpha_n \|u_n\| \\
&\leq \max\{d(x_n, p), \|p\|\} + \alpha_n \|u_n\|.
\end{aligned}$$

By induction, we get

$$d(x_{n+1}, p) \leq \max\{d(x_0, p), \|p\|\} + \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \|u_i\|,$$

which together with condition (iii) implies that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, so is the sequence $\{Tx_n\} \subset C$. Next, we prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

Let $z_n = P_C y_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. It follows from nonexpansiveness of P_C and Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(z_{n+1}, z_n) &\leq d(y_{n+1}, y_n) = d(\alpha_{n+1}u_{n+1} \oplus (1 - \alpha_{n+1})Tx_{n+1}, \alpha_n u_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n) \\
&\leq d(\alpha_{n+1}u_{n+1} \oplus (1 - \alpha_{n+1})Tx_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+1}u_{n+1} \oplus (1 - \alpha_{n+1})Tx_n) \\
&\quad + d(\alpha_{n+1}u_{n+1} \oplus (1 - \alpha_{n+1})Tx_n, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, \alpha_n u_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n) \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_{n+1})d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n) + \alpha_{n+1}d(u_{n+1}, Tx_n) + \alpha_n d(u_n, Tx_n) \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_{n+1})d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + \alpha_{n+1}\|u_{n+1}\| + \alpha_n \|u_n\| + (\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_n)\|Tx_n\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$d(z_{n+1}, z_n) - d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \alpha_{n+1}\|u_{n+1}\| + \alpha_n \|u_n\| + (\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_n)\|Tx_n\|.$$

This together with (i) and (iii) implies that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d(z_{n+1}, z_n) - d(x_{n+1}, x_n)) \leq 0$. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, x_n) = 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Since $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = \beta_n d(z_n, x_n)$, we get (4.9). Now, we show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

Utilizing (4.8) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_n, Tx_n) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n) \\
&\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, Tx_n) + \beta_n d(P_C y_n, Tx_n) \\
&\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, Tx_n) + \beta_n d(y_n, Tx_n) \\
&= d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, Tx_n) + \beta_n \alpha_n d(u_n, Tx_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$d(x_n, Tx_n) \leq \frac{1}{\beta_n} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \alpha_n (\|u_n\| + \|Tx_n\|).$$

This together with (4.9) and conditions (i)-(iii) implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, y_n) &\leq \alpha_n d(x_n, u_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) d(x_n, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n\| + \alpha_n \|u_n\| + (1 - \alpha_n) d(x_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the inequality $d(z_n, y_n) \leq d(z_n, x_n) + d(x_n, y_n)$ together with (4.10) implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, y_n) = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

Let $\{x'_m\}$ be the sequence defined by the implicit method (4.1), $q = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x'_m$ and $y'_m = \alpha'_m u_m \oplus (1 - \alpha'_m) Tx'_m$ for all $m \geq 1$ where $\{\alpha'_m\} \subseteq (0, 1)$ and $\{u'_m\} \subseteq X$ with $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha'_m = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|u'_m\| = 0$. We show that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{q\phi}, \overrightarrow{qx_n} \rangle \leq 0$. Similar to (4.4) we may obtain that $\langle \overrightarrow{x'_m Tx'_m}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle \leq \langle \overrightarrow{y'_m Tx'_m}, \overrightarrow{y'_m x_n} \rangle$. Also, by Lemma 2.6

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(x'_m, x_n) &= \langle \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle = \langle \overrightarrow{x'_m Tx'_m}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{Tx'_m x_n}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle \\ &\leq \langle \overrightarrow{y'_m Tx'_m}, \overrightarrow{y'_m x_n} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{Tx'_m x_n}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle \\ &\leq \alpha'_m \langle \overrightarrow{u'_m Tx'_m}, \overrightarrow{y'_m x_n} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{Tx'_m x_n}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle \\ &= \alpha'_m \langle \overrightarrow{u'_m Tx'_m}, \overrightarrow{y'_m x'_m} \rangle + \alpha'_m \langle \overrightarrow{u'_m x_n}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle + (1 - \alpha'_m) \langle \overrightarrow{Tx'_m x_n}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle \\ &= \alpha'_m \langle \overrightarrow{u'_m Tx'_m}, \overrightarrow{y'_m x'_m} \rangle + \alpha'_m \langle \overrightarrow{u'_m x'_m}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle + \alpha'_m \langle \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha'_m) \langle \overrightarrow{Tx'_m Tx_n}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle + (1 - \alpha'_m) \langle \overrightarrow{Tx_n x_n}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle \\ &\leq \alpha'_m d(u'_m, Tx'_m) d(y'_m, x'_m) + \alpha'_m \langle \overrightarrow{u'_m o}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle + \alpha'_m \langle \overrightarrow{ox'_m}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle \\ &\quad + \alpha'_m d^2(x'_m, x_n) + (1 - \alpha'_m) d(Tx'_m, Tx_n) d(x'_m, x_n) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha'_m) d(Tx_n, x_n) d(x'_m, x_n) \\ &\leq 2\alpha'_m M d(y'_m, x'_m) + \alpha'_m \|u'_m\| d(x'_m, x_n) + \alpha'_m \langle \overrightarrow{ox'_m}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle \\ &\quad + d^2(x'_m, x_n) + d(Tx_n, x_n) d(x'_m, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

where $M > 0$ is such that $\|u'_m\|, \|x'_m\|, \|Tx'_m\| \leq M$ for all $m \geq 1$. It follows that

$$\langle \overrightarrow{x'_m o}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle \leq 2M d(y'_m, x'_m) + \|u'_m\| M' + \frac{d(Tx_n, x_n) M'}{\alpha'_m},$$

where $M' > 0$ such that $d(x'_m, x_n) \leq M'$ for all $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$. It follows from (4.3), $\|u'_m\| \rightarrow 0$ and (4.11) that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{x'_m o}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle \leq 0. \quad (4.13)$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \overrightarrow{q\delta}, \overrightarrow{qx'_n} \rangle &= \langle \overrightarrow{q\delta}, \overrightarrow{qx'_m} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{qx'_m}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{x'_m o}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle \\
&\leq \langle \overrightarrow{q\delta}, \overrightarrow{qx'_m} \rangle + d(q, x'_m)d(x'_m, x_n) + \langle \overrightarrow{x'_m o}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle \\
&\leq \langle \overrightarrow{q\delta}, \overrightarrow{qx'_m} \rangle + d(q, x'_m)M' + \langle \overrightarrow{x'_m o}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with (4.13) and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x'_m = q$ implies that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{q\delta}, \overrightarrow{qx'_n} \rangle = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{q\delta}, \overrightarrow{qx'_n} \rangle \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{x'_m o}, \overrightarrow{x'_m x_n} \rangle \leq 0. \quad (4.14)$$

Since

$$\langle \overrightarrow{q\delta}, \overrightarrow{qz'_n} \rangle = \langle \overrightarrow{q\delta}, \overrightarrow{qx'_n} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{qx'_n}, \overrightarrow{x_n z'_n} \rangle \leq \langle \overrightarrow{q\delta}, \overrightarrow{qx'_n} \rangle + \|q\|d(x_n, z_n)$$

and

$$\langle \overrightarrow{q\delta}, \overrightarrow{qTx'_n} \rangle = \langle \overrightarrow{q\delta}, \overrightarrow{qx'_n} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{qx'_n}, \overrightarrow{x_n Tx'_n} \rangle \leq \langle \overrightarrow{q\delta}, \overrightarrow{qx'_n} \rangle + \|q\|d(x_n, Tx_n),$$

using (4.10), (4.11) and (4.14), we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{q\delta}, \overrightarrow{qz'_n} \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{q\delta}, \overrightarrow{qTx'_n} \rangle \leq 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Finally, we show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = q$. Since $z_n = P_C y_n$ and $q \in C$, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that $\langle \overrightarrow{z_n y_n}, \overrightarrow{z_n q} \rangle \leq 0$. Using (4.8) and Lemma 2.3 and 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2(x_{n+1}, q) &\leq (1 - \beta_n)d^2(x_n, q) + \beta_n d^2(z_n, q) \\
&= (1 - \beta_n)d^2(x_n, q) + \beta_n \langle \overrightarrow{z_n y_n}, \overrightarrow{z_n q} \rangle + \beta_n \langle \overrightarrow{y_n q}, \overrightarrow{z_n q} \rangle \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)d^2(x_n, q) + \beta_n \langle \overrightarrow{y_n q}, \overrightarrow{z_n q} \rangle \\
&= (1 - \beta_n)d^2(x_n, q) + \beta_n \langle \overrightarrow{y_n Tx_n}, \overrightarrow{z_n q} \rangle + \beta_n \langle \overrightarrow{Tx_n q}, \overrightarrow{z_n q} \rangle \\
&= (1 - \beta_n)d^2(x_n, q) + \beta_n \langle \overrightarrow{y_n Tx_n}, \overrightarrow{z_n y_n} \rangle + \beta_n \langle \overrightarrow{y_n Tx_n}, \overrightarrow{y_n q} \rangle \\
&\quad + \beta_n \langle \overrightarrow{Tx_n q}, \overrightarrow{z_n q} \rangle \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)d^2(x_n, q) + \beta_n \langle \overrightarrow{y_n Tx_n}, \overrightarrow{z_n y_n} \rangle + \beta_n \alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{u_n Tx_n}, \overrightarrow{y_n q} \rangle \\
&\quad + \beta_n \langle \overrightarrow{Tx_n q}, \overrightarrow{z_n q} \rangle \\
&= (1 - \beta_n)d^2(x_n, q) + \beta_n \langle \overrightarrow{y_n Tx_n}, \overrightarrow{z_n y_n} \rangle + \beta_n \alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{u_n Tx_n}, \overrightarrow{y_n z_n} \rangle \\
&\quad + \beta_n \left(\alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{u_n q}, \overrightarrow{z_n q} \rangle + (1 - \alpha_n) \langle \overrightarrow{Tx_n q}, \overrightarrow{z_n q} \rangle \right) \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)d^2(x_n, q) + \beta_n d(y_n, Tx_n)d(z_n, y_n) + \beta_n \alpha_n d(u_n, Tx_n)d(z_n, y_n) \\
&\quad + \beta_n \left(\alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{u_n q}, \overrightarrow{z_n q} \rangle + \alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{oq}, \overrightarrow{z_n q} \rangle + (1 - \alpha_n) \langle \overrightarrow{Tx_n q}, \overrightarrow{z_n q} \rangle \right) \\
&= (1 - \beta_n)d^2(x_n, q) + 2\beta_n \alpha_n d(u_n, Tx_n)d(z_n, y_n) \\
&\quad + \beta_n \left(\alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{u_n q}, \overrightarrow{z_n q} \rangle + \alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{oq}, \overrightarrow{z_n q} \rangle + (1 - \alpha_n) \langle \overrightarrow{Tx_n q}, \overrightarrow{z_n q} \rangle \right). \quad (4.16)
\end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\langle \overrightarrow{Tx_nq}, \overrightarrow{z_nq} \rangle \leq d(Tx_n, q)d(z_n, q) \leq d(x_n, q)d(y_n, q) \leq \frac{1}{2} (d^2(x_n, q) + d^2(y_n, q)). \quad (4.17)$$

Also, using Lemma 2.1 and 2.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(y_n, q) &\leq (d(y_n, \alpha_n o \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n) + d(\alpha_n o \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n, q))^2 \\ &\leq (\alpha_n \|u_n\| + d(\alpha_n o \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n, q))^2 \\ &= \alpha_n^2 \|u_n\|^2 + d^2(\alpha_n o \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n, q) + 2\alpha_n \|u_n\| d(\alpha_n o \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n, q) \\ &\leq \alpha_n^2 \|q\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)^2 d^2(Tx_n, q) + 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \langle \overrightarrow{oq}, \overrightarrow{Tx_nq} \rangle \\ &\quad + \alpha_n^2 \|u_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \|u_n\| d(\alpha_n o \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n, q) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)d^2(x_n, q) + \alpha_n \left(\alpha_n \|q\|^2 + 2(1 - \alpha_n) \langle \overrightarrow{oq}, \overrightarrow{Tx_nq} \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \|u_n\| M'', \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where $M'' = \sup_n \{ \alpha_n \|u_n\| + 2d((1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n \oplus \alpha_n o, q) \}$. Using (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(x_{n+1}, q) &\leq (1 - \beta_n)d^2(x_n, q) + 2\beta_n\alpha_n d(u_n, Tx_n)d(z_n, y_n) \\ &\quad + \beta_n \left(\alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{u_n\delta}, \overrightarrow{z_nq} \rangle + \alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{oq}, \overrightarrow{z_nq} \rangle + \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)}{2} (d^2(x_n, q) + d^2(y_n, q)) \right) \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n)d^2(x_n, q) + 2\beta_n\alpha_n d(u_n, Tx_n)d(z_n, y_n) \\ &\quad + \beta_n\alpha_n \|u_n\| d(z_n, q) + \beta_n\alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{oq}, \overrightarrow{z_nq} \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n}{2} (d^2(x_n, q) + (1 - \alpha_n)d^2(x_n, q)) \\ &\quad + \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n}{2} \left(\alpha_n \left(\alpha_n \|q\|^2 + 2(1 - \alpha_n) \langle \overrightarrow{oq}, \overrightarrow{Tx_nq} \rangle \right) + \alpha_n \|u_n\| M'' \right) \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n\alpha_n)d^2(x_n, q) + \beta_n\alpha_n (2\|Tx_n\|d(z_n, y_n) + \langle \overrightarrow{oq}, \overrightarrow{z_nq} \rangle + \alpha_n \|q\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2(1 - \alpha_n) \langle \overrightarrow{oq}, \overrightarrow{Tx_nq} \rangle) + \alpha_n \|u_n\| (2d(z_n, y_n) + d(z_n, q) + M'') \\ &= (1 - \gamma_n)d^2(x_n, q) + \gamma_n\delta_n + \sigma_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_n = \beta_n\alpha_n$, $\delta_n = 2\|Tx_n\|d(z_n, y_n) + \langle \overrightarrow{oq}, \overrightarrow{z_nq} \rangle + \alpha_n \|q\|^2 + 2(1 - \alpha_n) \langle \overrightarrow{oq}, \overrightarrow{Tx_nq} \rangle$ and $\sigma_n = \alpha_n \|u_n\| (2d(z_n, y_n) + d(z_n, q) + M'')$. Now, considering conditions (i)-(iii), (4.12), (4.15) and applying Lemma 2.5 to the last inequality, we conclude that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = q$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.5. The algorithms (4.1) and (4.8) converge strongly to $P_{F(T)}o$, the nearest point of $F(T)$ to o . In fact, a similar method as in proof of (4.7) shows that $d^2(q, p) \leq \langle \overrightarrow{op}, \overrightarrow{qp} \rangle$ for all $p \in F(T)$. Which is equivalent to $\langle \overrightarrow{q\delta}, \overrightarrow{qp} \rangle \leq 0$ for all $p \in F(T)$. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that $q = P_{F(T)}o$.

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to Professor N. Shahzad for his valuable suggestions and the anonymous referee for his/her careful reading of the paper.

References

- [1] W. Ballmann, Lectures on Spaces of Nonpositive Curvature, in: DMV Seminar Band, vol. 25, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.
- [2] I.D. Berg, I.G. Nikolaev, Quasilinearization and curvature of Alexandrov spaces, *Geom. Dedicata* 133 (2008) 195–218.
- [3] M. Bridson, A. Haefliger, *Metric Spaces of Nonpositive Curvature*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1999.
- [4] C.E. Chidume and C.O. Chidume, Iterative approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 318 (2006) 288–295.
- [5] S. Dhompongsa, W.A. Kirk and B. Panyanak, Nonexpansive set-valued mappings in metric and Banach spaces, *J. Nonlinear and Convex Anal.* 8 (2007) 35–45.
- [6] S. Dhompongsa, W. A. Kirk and B. Sims, Fixed points of uniformly lipschitzian mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* 65 (2006) 762–772.
- [7] S. Dhompongsa, B. Panyanak, On Δ -convergence theorems in CAT(0) spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 56 (2008) 2572–2579.
- [8] B.A. Kakavandi, Weak topologies in complete CAT(0) metric spaces, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, s 0002-9939 (2012) 11743–5.
- [9] W.A. Kirk, Geodesic geometry and fixed point theory, in *Seminar of Mathematical Analysis (Malaga/Seville, 2002/2003)*, vol. 64 of Colecc. Abierta, pp. 195–225, University of Seville, Secretary Publication, Seville, Spain, 2003.
- [10] W.A. Kirk, Geodesic geometry and fixed point theory. II, in *International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, pp. 113142, Yokohama Publ., 2004.
- [11] W.A. Kirk and B. Panyanak, A concept of convergence in geodesic spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 68 (2008) 3689–3696.
- [12] X. Liu, Y.L. Cui, The common minimal-norm fixed point of a finite family of nonexpansive mappings. *Nonlinear Anal.* 73 (2010) 76–83.
- [13] G. Marino and H.K. Xu, A general iterative method for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 318 (2006) 43–52.
- [14] B. Panyanak and A. Cuntavepanit, A Generalization of Suzuki’s Lemma, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Volume 2011, Article ID 824718, 14 pages.
- [15] A. Petrusel and J.C. Yao, Viscosity approximation to common fixed points of families of nonexpansive mappings with generalized contractions mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* 69 (2008) 1100–1111.
- [16] K. Shimoji and W. Takahashi, Strong convergence to common fixed points of infinite nonexpansive mappings and applications. *Taiwanese J. Math.* 5 (2001) 387–404.
- [17] D. Wu, S.S. Chang and G.X. Yuan, Approximation of common fixed points for a family of finite nonexpansive mappings in Banach space. *Nonlinear Anal.* 63 (2005) 987–999.
- [18] H.K. Xu, Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 298 (2004) 279–291.
- [19] Y. Yao, N. Shahzad, New methods with perturbations for non-expansive mappings in Hilbert spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2011) 2011:79.