# $C^{\infty}$ Functions on the Stone-Čech Compactification of the Integers

Larry B. Schweitzer

October 2014

#### Abstract

We construct an algebra  $A = \ell^{\infty\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  of smooth functions which is dense in the pointwise multiplication algebra  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  of sup-norm bounded functions on the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The algebra A properly contains the sum of the algebra  $A_c = \ell_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  and the ideal  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $A_c$  is the algebra of finite linear combinations of projections in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the pointwise multiplication algebra of Schwartz functions. The algebra A is characterized as the set of functions whose "first derivatives" vanish rapidly at each point in the Stone-Čech compactification of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 58B34, 46E25, 54D80, 54D35, 54G05, 46A45.

#### Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The Stone-Čech Compactification of $\mathbb Z$	3
3	Definition of the Smooth Functions $\ell^{\infty\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ ( also denoted by	
	$C^\infty(eta(\mathbb{Z}))$ )	8
4	References	13

#### 1 Introduction

In a previous paper [Sch, 1998], a notion of smooth functions on the Cantor set was developed. Recall that a *totally disconnected* topological space has a basis of clopen sets. The Cantor set is such a space. In this paper, we attempt to construct smooth functions on the Stone-Čech compactification of the integers. In addition to being totally disconnected, this space is *extremely*  disconnected, which means that the closure of every open set is clopen.

We will be working with the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded complex-valued functions (or sequences) on the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ , under pointwise multiplication, with pointwise complex-conjugation for involution. We denote this algebra by  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ . None of the theorems will use the additive and multiplicative structure of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so that any countable discrete set can be substituted for  $\mathbb{Z}$ . However, when specific objects are constructed in the examples, we may make reference to the underlying set of integers. Recall that the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ consists of all whole numbers from  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$ , whereas the natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  contains only the whole numbers from 0 to  $\infty$ . The norm on  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  is the sup-norm  $\| \cdot \|_{\infty}$ , defined by  $\| \varphi \|_{\infty} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\varphi(n)|$ .

We will use the notation  $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$  for the complex-valued functions (sequences) on  $\mathbb{Z}$  which vanish at infinity.

#### **2** The Stone-Cech Compactification of $\mathbb{Z}$

We recall the standard definition of the Stone-Čech compactifiaction from [Roy, 1968]. Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be the set of all real-valued functions from  $\mathbb{Z}$  into the closed interval I = [-1, 1]. (So  $\mathbf{F}$  is the set of real-valued functions in the

unit ball of  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ .) Let

$$\mathbf{X} = \prod_{\mathbf{F}} I$$

be the **F**-fold cartesian product of unit intervals I. By the Tychonoff theorem [Roy, 1968, Chapter 9, Theorem 19], this is a compact Hausdorff space. Let

$$i: \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{X} \quad \text{where} \quad i(n) \longmapsto \{f(n)\}_{f \in \mathbf{F}}$$

be the natural inclusion map.

**Definition 1.1.** We define the *Stone-Čech Compactification of*  $\mathbb{Z}$ , denoted by  $\beta(\mathbb{Z})$ , to be the closure of the image  $i(\mathbb{Z})$  in **X**. We let  $C(\beta(\mathbb{Z}))$  denote the continuous complex-valued functions on  $\beta(\mathbb{Z})$ . See [Roy, 1968] for the basic properties of  $\beta(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $n_0 \in \beta(\mathbb{Z})$ , and let  $\{n_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  be a net converging to  $n_0$ , where  $\Lambda$  is some directed set, and  $n_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$  for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Let  $\varphi \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $\varphi(n_0)$  is defined as the limit of the net  $\{\varphi(n_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ . In this way  $\varphi$  defines a continuous function on  $\beta(\mathbb{Z})$ , giving an isomorphism of commutative  $C^*$ -algebras  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong C(\beta(\mathbb{Z}))$ . (The fact that  $\varphi$  is continuous, and that the limit defining  $\varphi(n_0)$  converges, can be verified directly from the definition of the Stone-Čech compactification above, using the fact that the real and imaginary parts of  $\varphi/||\varphi||_{\infty}$  are functions in **F**.) Let  $p \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  be a projection. In other words for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , p(n) = 0or p(n) = 1. Then p also defines a projection on  $\beta(\mathbb{Z})$ . In fact, if  $n_0 \in \beta(\mathbb{Z})$ and  $\{n_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  are as above, then  $p(n_{\alpha})$  is either eventually equal to 1 (in the case  $p(n_0) = 1$ ) or eventually equal to 0 (in the case  $p(n_0) = 0$ ). Thus each point  $n_0 \in \beta(\mathbb{Z})$  defines a family  $\mathcal{F}_{n_0}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $S \in \mathcal{F}_{n_0}$  if and only if the projection p whose support is equal to S satisfies  $p(n_0) = 1$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A family of subsets  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a *filter* on  $\mathbb{Z}$  if it is closed under finite intersections

$$S, T \in \mathcal{F} \Longrightarrow S \cap T \in \mathcal{F} \tag{1.4a}$$

and supersets

$$S \in \mathcal{F} \quad \text{and} \quad T \supset S \Longrightarrow T \in \mathcal{F},$$
 (1.4b)

and if the empty set  $\emptyset$  in *not* in  $\mathcal{F}$ . A filter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an *ultrafilter* on  $\mathbb{Z}$  if

$$S \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \Longrightarrow S \in \mathcal{F} \quad \text{or} \quad S^c \in \mathcal{F}.$$
 (1.4c)

The map  $n_0 \in \beta(\mathbb{Z}) \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{n_0}$  from the previous paragraph defines a map  $\mathcal{UF}$ from  $\beta(\mathbb{Z})$  to the set of ultrafilters on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . (Use the definition of  $\beta(\mathbb{Z})$  to check this.)

A principal filter is of the form  $\mathcal{U}_n = \langle S \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \mid n \in S \rangle$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Such a filter is also an ultrafilter. The image of  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \beta(\mathbb{Z})$  under the map  $\mathcal{UF}$  is precisely the set of principal ultrafilters on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

We show that the map  $\mathcal{UF}$  is an isomorphism by constructing an inverse map  $\mathcal{FU}$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be any ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Define a directed set  $\Lambda$  to be  $\mathcal{U}$  with superset order. That is  $\alpha \leq \beta \iff \beta \subseteq \alpha$ . Thus smaller sets are "bigger" in this order. In the case of the principal ultrafilter  $\Lambda = \mathcal{U}_n$ , the singleton  $\{n\}$ is the biggest element. In general,  $\Lambda$  has a biggest element if and only if it comes from a principal ultrafilter. Next, for  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  choose any  $n_{\alpha} \in \alpha \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ . We show that  $\{n_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  converges to an element of  $\beta(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Let  $\varphi \in \mathbf{F}$ , where  $\mathbf{F}$  is the defining family of functions for  $\beta(\mathbb{Z})$  from Definition 1.1. We wish to show that  $\{\varphi(n_{\alpha})\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  converges. Define

$$\varphi_{+}(n) = \begin{cases} \varphi(n) & \text{if } \varphi(n) \ge 0; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\varphi_{-}(n) = \begin{cases} -\varphi(n) & \text{if } \varphi(n) \leq 0; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\varphi = \varphi_+ - \varphi_-$ , and  $\varphi_+, \varphi_- \in \mathbf{F}$ . It suffices to show that  $\{\varphi_+(n_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ and  $\{\varphi_-(n_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  each converge. So without loss of generality, we take  $\varphi$ with range in the unit interval [0, 1].

If  $\varphi$  were a projection p, we would be done. Simply let S be the support of

p. If  $S \in \mathcal{U} = \Lambda$ , then  $\{p(n_{\alpha})\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  is eventually 1 (when  $\alpha \geq S$ ). Otherwise, it is eventually 0, and in either case they converge. We proceed by writing  $\varphi$  as an infinite series of projections. Define the set of integers

$$S_{\frac{1}{2}} = \{ n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \frac{1}{2} \le \varphi(n) \le 1 \}.$$

Let  $p_{\frac{1}{2}}$  be the projection corresponding to  $S_{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Then  $\varphi - \frac{1}{2}p_{\frac{1}{2}}$  has its range in the interval  $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . We repeat the process to get a new function with range in the interval  $[0, \frac{1}{4}]$ , etc, until we get:

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{2}p_{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{4}p_{\frac{1}{4}} + \dots = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^q}p_q, \qquad (1.5)$$

an infinite series that converges absolutely (and geometrically fast) in sup norm to  $\varphi$ . To see that  $\{\varphi(n_{\alpha})\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$  converges, now use a standard series argument. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, and find N large enough so that the sup norm of the tail

$$\left\|\sum_{q=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^q} p_q\right\|_{\infty}$$

is less than  $\epsilon$ . Then find  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  sufficiently large so that  $\alpha \subseteq S_{1/2^q}$  or  $\alpha \subseteq S_{1/2^q}^c$ for each  $q = 1, \ldots N$ . (One could first find an  $\alpha$  that works for each  $S_{1/2^q}$ separately, using the ultrafilter condition (1.4c). Then, find an  $\alpha$  that works for all  $S_{1/2^q}, q = 1, \ldots N$  using the finite intersection property (1.4a).) For any  $\beta \in \Lambda$  beyond this  $\alpha$ , the first N projections in (1.5) have settled down to their final value (either 0 or 1) on the net  $\{n_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ . Thus the net of real numbers  $\{\varphi(n_{\alpha})\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  converges. Since  $\{n_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  was an arbitrary net from an arbitrary ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , this shows that  $\mathcal{FU}$  is a well-defined map from the ultrafilters on  $\mathbb{Z}$  into  $\beta(\mathbb{Z})$ . One easily checks that the compositions  $\mathcal{UF} \circ \mathcal{FU}$ and  $\mathcal{FU} \circ \mathcal{UF}$  are identity maps, and so we have proved:

**Proposition 1.6.** The map  $\mathcal{UF}$  is an isomorphism of the Stone-Cech compactification  $\beta(\mathbb{Z})$  with the set of ultrafilters on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Under this map, a point  $n_0 \in \beta(\mathbb{Z})$  is taken to the ultrafilter of sets that any net of integers converging to  $n_0$  is eventually in.

## 3 Definition of the Smooth Functions $\ell^{\infty\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ ( also denoted by $C^{\infty}(\beta(\mathbb{Z}))$ )

**Definition 2.1.** Define  $\ell_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  to be the finite span of projections in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ . This is a dense  $\star$ -subalgebra of  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ , and plays an analogous role to  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  as  $c_c(\mathbb{Z})$ , the compact (or finite) support functions on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , does to  $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$ . (The series expansion (1.5) proves the density.)

For  $n_0 \in \beta(\mathbb{Z})$ , choose a net  $\{n_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  of integers converging to  $n_0$ . Define the smooth functions on  $\beta(\mathbb{Z})$ , denoted by  $\ell^{\infty\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  or  $C^{\infty}(\beta(\mathbb{Z}))$ , to be those functions  $\varphi$  in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  that satisfy

$$\lim_{\alpha \in \Lambda} n_{\alpha}^{d} \left( \varphi(n_{\alpha}) - \varphi(n_{0}) \right) = 0$$
(2.2)

for each d = 0, 1, 2, ... and for each  $n_0 \in \beta(\mathbb{Z})$ . This set of functions  $\ell^{\infty\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a dense  $\star$ -subalgebra of  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ , which contains  $\ell_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ , and plays an analogous role to  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  as  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{Z})$ , the Schwartz functions on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , does to  $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$ . If p is a projection in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ , we noticed above that  $p(n_0) = 0$  or  $p(n_0) = 1$  for any  $n_0 \in \beta(\mathbb{Z})$ . In either case, the quantity in parentheses in (2.2) eventually becomes 0, so that  $\varphi = p$  satisfies (2.2). This shows that  $\ell_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \ell^{\infty\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** The limit (2.2) holds independently of the choice of net  $\{n_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  converging to  $n_0$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given and find a  $\beta$  such that  $\left|n_{\alpha}^{d}(\psi(n_{\alpha}) - \psi(n_{0}))\right| < \epsilon$ for  $\alpha \geq \beta$ . The set  $S = \bigcup\{n_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \geq \beta\}$  is in the ultrafilter associated with  $n_{0}$ and  $\left|m^{d}(\psi(m) - \psi(n_{0}))\right| < \epsilon$  for  $m \in S$ . If  $\{m_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is another net tending to  $n_{0}$ , then it is eventually in S. So we have  $\left|m_{\alpha}^{d}(\psi(m_{\alpha}) - \psi(n_{0}))\right| < \epsilon$  for  $\alpha \geq \gamma$ , for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . **QED** 

To see that  $\ell^{\infty\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  is closed under products, let  $\varphi, \psi \in \ell^{\infty\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then evaluate the quantity in parentheses in (2.2), namely the difference

$$\varphi(n_{\alpha})\psi(n_{\alpha}) - \varphi(n_0)\psi(n_0) = \left(\varphi(n_{\alpha}) - \varphi(n_0)\right)\psi(n_{\alpha}) + \varphi(n_0)\left(\psi(n_{\alpha}) - \psi(n_0)\right).$$

So the absolute value of the quantity in the limit (2.2) is

$$\left| n_{\alpha}^{d} \left( \varphi \psi(n_{\alpha}) - \varphi \psi(n_{0}) \right) \right| \leq \left| n_{\alpha}^{d} \left( \varphi(n_{\alpha}) - \varphi(n_{0}) \right) \right| \|\psi\|_{\infty} + \|\varphi\|_{\infty} \left| n_{\alpha}^{d} \left( \psi(n_{\alpha}) - \psi(n_{0}) \right) \right|$$

Clearly, this tends to zero as  $n_{\alpha}$  tends to  $n_0$ .

Next, we note that  $\ell^{\infty\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  is actually bigger than  $\ell^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{Z})$ . For example, any function in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})$  satisfies the limit (2.2), so  $\ell^{\infty\infty}(\mathbb{Z}) \supseteq \ell^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{Z}) + \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})$ . For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})$ , note that  $\varphi(n_{0}) = 0$  for any  $n_{0} \in \beta(\mathbb{Z}) - \mathbb{Z}$ . (Any nonprincipal ultrafilter eventually leaves every finite set.) Therefore

$$\left| n_{\alpha}^{d} \bigg( \varphi(n_{\alpha}) - \varphi(n_{0}) \bigg) \right| = \left| n_{\alpha}^{d} \varphi(n_{\alpha}) \right| = 1/n_{\alpha}^{2} \left| n_{\alpha}^{d+2} \varphi(n_{\alpha}) \right| \le 1/n_{\alpha}^{2} \|\varphi\|_{d+2},$$

where  $\| \|_{d+2}$  denotes the d + 2th Schwartz seminorm on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** The inclusions  $\ell_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z}) + \delta(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \ell^{\infty\infty}(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  are proper.

**Proof:** The function  $\frac{1}{n^2+1}$  in  $c_0(\mathbb{Z}) - \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})$  does not satisfy (2.2), so the second inclusion is proper. The first inclusion is proper since  $e^{i\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})} \subseteq \ell^{\infty\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ . **QED** 

Let  $\varphi \in \ell^{\infty\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ . We may write  $\varphi$  (in fact any function in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ ) uniquely in the form

$$\varphi = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} c_q p_q, \qquad (2.5)$$

where the  $p_q$ 's are *pairwise disjoint projections*, and the  $c_q$ 's are *distinct* constants.

**Proposition 2.6.** At most finitely many projections in the series (2.5) have infinite support.

**Proof:** We assume for a contradiction that there are infinitely many projections in (2.5), each having infinite support. Note that the coefficients of the infinite projections must have an accumulation point. By dropping to a subsequence, and getting rid of all the finite projections, we may assume that  $c_q \to c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  as  $q \to \infty$ . (Multiply  $\varphi$  by an appropriate projection, and renumber the  $c_q$ 's.) Let  $S_q \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  denote the support of the projection  $p_q$ . Then each set  $S_q$  is infinite. Define a decreasing sequence of infinite subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by the disjoint unions

$$U_n = \bigcup_{q \ge n} \left( S_q \bigcap \left\{ m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid m \ge \frac{1}{|c_q - c_0|} \right\} \right)$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  Since finite intersections of the sets  $U_n$ 's are non-empty, there exists an ultrafilter  $\mathfrak{U}$  for which  $U_n \in \mathfrak{U}$  for each n. Let  $\{n_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{U}}$ be a net of integers that is eventually in this ultrafilter. This net must therefore also eventually be in each of the sets  $U_n$ . By construction, the point  $n_0 \in \mathfrak{FU}(\mathfrak{U}) \in \beta(\mathbb{Z})$  that  $\{n_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{U}}$  converges to must satisfy  $\varphi(n_0) = c_0$ .

If 
$$n_{\alpha} \in S_q \bigcap \{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid m \ge \frac{1}{|c_q - c_0|}\}$$
, then  
$$\left| n_{\alpha}^d \left( \psi(n_{\alpha}) - \psi(n_0) \right) \right| = \left| n_{\alpha}^d \left( c_q - c_0 \right) \right| \qquad \text{since} \quad n_{\alpha} \in S_q$$
$$\ge \left| n_{\alpha}^d \times \frac{1}{n_{\alpha}} \right| = \left| n_{\alpha}^{d-1} \right| \ge 1,$$

contradicting the fact that  $\varphi$  must satisfy (2.2) for  $d \ge 2$  at the point  $n_0 \in \beta(\mathbb{Z})$  we constructed. **QED** 

It follows from Proposition 2.6 that for any  $\varphi \in \ell^{\infty\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ , we may substract an element of  $\ell_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  to force the expansion (2.5) to have only projections of finite support.

**Remark 2.7.** Note that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})$  is an ideal in  $\ell^{\infty\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ . The closure  $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})}^{\parallel \parallel \infty}$  is equal to  $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$ , so  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})$  is not dense in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ . The algebra  $\ell^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{Z})$ , being unital, is *not* an ideal in either algebra  $\ell^{\infty\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  or  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ , and for the same reason  $\ell^{\infty\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$  is not an ideal in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ . This is in contrast to the case  $c_c(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq c_0(\mathbb{Z})$ , where every algebra is a dense ideal in every algebra above it.

### 4 References

[Roy, 1968] H.L. Royden, *Real Analysis*, second edition, Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1968.

**[Sch, 1998]** L. B. Schweitzer,  $C^{\infty}$  functions on the cantor set, and a smooth *m*-convex Fréchet subalgebra of  $O_2$ , Pacific J. Math. **184(2)** (1998), 349-365.

Email: lsch@svpal.org. Web Page: http://www.svpal.org/~lsch/Math.