

Communications Using Ubiquitous Antennas: Free-Space Propagation

Kaibin Huang
Dept. of EEE
The University of Hong Kong
Email: huangkb@eee.hku.hk

Jiayi Chen
College of Info. Engr.
Shenzhen University, China
Email: i.c.jiayi@ieee.org

Vincent K. N. Lau
Dept. of ECE
Hong Kong University of Science and Tech.
Email: eeknlau@ece.ust.hk

Abstract—The inefficiency of the cellular-network architecture has prevented the promising theoretic gains of communication technologies, such as network MIMO, massive MIMO and distributed antennas, from fully materializing in practice. The revolutionary cell-less *cloud radio access networks* (C-RANs) are designed to overcome the drawbacks of cellular networks. In C-RANs, centralized cloud signal processing and minimum onsite hardware make it possible to deploy ubiquitous distributed antennas and coordinate them to form a gigantic array, called the *ubiquitous array* (UA). This paper develops a framework for designing and analyzing the UA communication techniques based on a geometric model. Specifically, the UA is modeled as a continuous circular array enclosing target mobiles and free-space propagation is assumed. First, exploiting the fact that the UA channels are induced by mobile locations, a novel channel estimation scheme is proposed that translates multiuser channel estimation into peak detection of superimposed Bessel functions of mobile separation distances. By exploiting the UA's gigantic circular structure, this scheme suppresses noise by averaging and requires only single pilot symbols. Moreover, it is proved that estimation errors due to interference can be eliminated by using orthogonal pilot sequences instead of single symbols. Next, schemes are designed for UA data transmission including channel conjugate and multiuser phase mode (MU-PM) transmissions. In particular, for MU-PM transmission, the proposed precoder for each mobile properly excites different phase modes of the UA to avoid interference to other mobiles.

I. INTRODUCTION

Mobile-network densification is the primary solution for the explosive growth of data traffic. A revolutionary network architecture called *cloud radio access networks* (C-RANs) provides an efficient platform for network densification, which promises low energy consumption and operational cost, enables efficient resource utilization, and eliminates inter-cell interference [1]. In C-RANs, *base stations* (BSs) are implemented as software processes in a data center and on-site hardware reduces to low-complexity *remote radio heads* (RRHs) comprising antennas and RF components. The rapid advancements in fiber-front-haul solutions for connecting RRHs to the data center allow the deployment of dense RRHs in an urban area. Consequently, C-RANs will become effectively a virtual gigantic antenna array with ubiquitous elements, referred to as a *ubiquitous array* (UA). Communications using a UA is a paradigm shift in MIMO communications and the focus of this paper.

MIMO communications rely on the use of arrays at both

ends of a wireless channel to yield the *linear* growth of the channel capacity with the numbers of antennas [2]. However, the performance of MIMO technologies in wireless networks is limited by inter-cell interference and severe propagation loss at cell edges. Tackling these challenges as well as maximizing the MIMO gain has motivated researchers to develop large-scale MIMO technologies including network MIMO [3], massive MIMO [4] and distributed antennas [5].

However, the theoretic gains of these technologies fail to fully materialize in practice due to implementation issues inherent in the cellular architecture of existing networks. First, *network MIMO* enables cooperation between BSs by data sharing via backhaul links, which coordinates the arrays of different BSs to create a gigantic virtual array for multi-cell joint transmissions [3]. Given constraints on backhaul data rates and BS-computation power, multi-cell cooperation is limited to be within relatively small BS clusters [6], [7], which exposes cluster-edge mobiles to strong inter-cluster interference [8]. This issue as well as others such as inaccurate channel feedback has resulted in marginal throughput gains for practical network MIMO [9]. Next, massive MIMO is the direct approach for maximizing the MIMO gain by scaling up the array at each BS to have hundreds to thousands of elements [4]. Nevertheless, given the popular time-division duplexing (TDD), the throughput of networks provisioned with large-scale arrays is limited by a phenomenon called *pilot contamination*, namely that inter-cell interference arises from the use of non-orthogonal pilot sequences in different cells [10]. Last, a large-scale virtual array can be created by replacing collocated antennas at each BS with many antennas distributed over the cell region, which shortens propagation distances and thereby enhances network coverage [5]. The performance of such systems is limited by inter-cell interference.

UA communications can be considered as an extreme form of network MIMO, massive MIMO or distributed antennas where antennas are ubiquitous and fully coordinated. In the UA networks (or C-RANs), cells varnish together with their inherent performance bottlenecks. Conventional large-scale MIMO systems are typically designed based on statistical channel models e.g., i.i.d. Rayleigh fading [10]. In contrast, a geometric system model is adopted in this paper where the UA is modeled as a continuous circular array in the horizontal plane and transmits data to single-antenna mobiles enclosed by

the array based on TDD. The antennas of the UA and mobiles are assumed to be omnidirectional. This model allows tractable analysis using mathematical tools including Fourier series and Bessel functions.

The contributions of this paper are summarized as follows.

(Location Induced Channel Estimation)

- Given free space propagation, the UA channels are determined by mobile locations. Exploiting this fact, a novel channel-estimation scheme is proposed where only single pilot symbols are required and mobile locations are estimated by detecting the peaks of a set of superimposed Bessel functions, each corresponding to one particular mobile location. The process is proved to be noiseless by noise averaging over the circular received pilot signal. Furthermore, estimation errors due to interference are shown to decrease with increasing (wavelength) normalized inter-mobile distances.
- Pilot sequences are shown to improve channel-estimation accuracy. The optimal sequence design is found to be equivalent to the mathematical problem of Grassmannian line packing. As a result, channel estimation errors scale approximately as the inverse of the sequence length and vanish when the sequences become orthogonal.

(Data Transmission)

- Assuming perfect channel estimation, techniques are designed and analyzed for data transmissions using the UA. First, consider channel-conjugate transmissions that compensate phase shifts in propagation to achieve coherent combining at mobiles. The signal-to-interference-and-noise ratios (SINRs) are derived in closed-form. In particular, the power of interference between any two mobiles is shown to be proportional to a squared Bessel function of their separation distance normalized by the wavelength. This suggests that denser simultaneous mobiles can be supported by increasing the carrier frequency.
- Next, a novel design of circular precoders is proposed for multiuser transmission using the UA. Specifically, the precoders are designed in the form of Fourier series. Their coefficients are controlled as derived to excite different *phase modes* of the circular array so as to null multiuser interference. The existence of infinite phase modes for the continuous UA suggests that an unlimited number of simultaneous mobiles can be supported if there is no complexity constraint on the precoder computation.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

As illustrated in Fig. 1, a UA communication system comprises a continuous circular UA with a fixed radius r_0 and its center at the origin and a set of single-antenna mobiles enclosed by the UA. All antennas are assumed to be isotropic. An arbitrary element of the UA, $A \in \mathbb{R}^2$, with the polar coordinates (r_0, θ) is represented by the function $A(\theta)$. Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ denote the region enclosed by the UA. As shown in Fig. 1, there are U mobiles at the locations X_1, X_2, \dots, X_U




Fig. 1. UA communication system.

within \mathcal{D} and no scatterers. The polar coordinates of a particular location X_u are represented by (r_u, φ_u) . Uplink/downlink channel reciprocity is assumed, corresponding to TDD.

Assumption 1. Mobiles are assumed to be sufficiently far away from the UA such that $r_u/r_0 \ll 1$ for all $u = 1, \dots, U$.

The assumption allows the application of a common technique (see e.g., [11]) for simplifying the expression for the propagation distance between a mobile X and a UA element $A(\theta)$:

$$\begin{aligned} |X - A(\theta)| &= \sqrt{r_0^2 + r_X^2 - 2r_0r_X \cos(\varphi_X - \theta)} \\ &= r_0 - r_X \cos(\varphi_X - \theta) + O\left(\frac{r_X}{r_0}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Let $h_u(\theta)$ represents the gain of the channel between X_u and $A(\theta)$. Then

$$h_u(\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{gq\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^2 |X_u - A(\theta)|^2}} e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} |X_u - A(\theta)|}$$

where g and q are the constant antenna gains for a mobile and a UA element, respectively, and λ denotes the carrier wavelength. Based on (1),

$$h_u(\theta) = \frac{\eta}{r_0} e^{j\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} r_u \cos(\varphi_u - \theta)} + O\left(\frac{r_u}{r_0^2}\right) \quad (2)$$

where the constant $\eta = \lambda\sqrt{gq}e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}r_0}/4\pi$.

Channel estimation at the UA is assisted by pilot signals transmitted by mobiles. Time is divided in time slots with unit durations. The total pilot signal received at antenna $A(\theta)$ in an arbitrary slot, denoted as $q(\theta)$, is given as

$$q(\theta) = \sum_{u=1}^U h_u(\theta) s_u + z(\theta) \quad (3)$$

where s_u is a pilot symbol transmitted by mobile u and the noise $z(\theta)$ a sample of the $\mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma^2)$ process $\{z(\theta) \mid 0 \leq$

$\theta < 2\pi$ }. The noise process is assumed to be spatially white as follows.

Assumption 2. Two noise samples $z(\theta_1)$ and $z(\theta_2)$ are independent if $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$.

Substituting (2) into (3) gives

$$q(\theta) = \frac{\eta}{r_0} \sum_{u=1}^U e^{j \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} r_u \cos(\varphi_u - \theta)} s_u + z(\theta) + \frac{o}{r_0} \quad (4)$$

where o denotes $O(r_+/r_0)$ for ease of notation with $r_+ = \max_u r_u$.

Next, consider downlink data transmission. The data symbol intended for mobile u , denoted as x_u , is precoded by a circular precoder $f_u(\theta)$. They satisfy the following power constraints:

$$\mathbb{E}[x_u] \leq P \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) d\theta \leq 1 \quad (5)$$

where P is the transmission power. With the channel gains in (2), the multiuser signal received at mobile u in an arbitrary slot, denoted as y_u , is given as

$$\begin{aligned} y_u &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h_u(\theta) \sum_{k=1}^U f_k(\theta) x_k d\theta + z_u \\ &= \frac{\eta}{2\pi r_0} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{j \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} r_u \cos(\varphi_u - \theta)} \sum_{k=1}^U f_k(\theta) x_k d\theta + z_u + \frac{o}{r_0} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\{z_u\}$ are i.i.d. $\mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma^2)$ random variables representing channel noise.

III. UA COMMUNICATIONS: LOCATION INDUCED CHANNEL ESTIMATION

For free space propagation, multiuser channels are determined by mobile locations, referred to as the *location induced channels* (LIC). Accurate estimation of the locations are feasible using the UA as a gigantic spatial filter. In contrast, conventional arrays only support estimation of directions of arrival. In the sub-section, a novel scheme for LIC estimation using the UA is proposed and analyzed.

A. LIC Estimation with Single Pilot Symbols

Consider the scenario where mobiles simultaneously transmit single pilot symbols $\{s_u\}$ to facilitate channel estimation at the UA. Without loss of generality, assume that the pilot symbols are all ones: $s_u = 1 \forall u$. The proposed LIC estimation scheme is based on representing the circular received signal $\{q(\theta) \mid \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$ in (3) using the Fourier series:

$$q(\theta) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_k e^{-jk\theta}$$

where the Fourier coefficients $\{Q_k\}$ are defined as

$$Q_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{u=1}^U (h_u(\theta) + z(\theta)) e^{jk\theta} d\theta. \quad (7)$$

The Fourier coefficients contain all information on the receive signal and thus can replace the signal in channel estimation.

To this end, a few notations are introduced for convenience. Let \mathbf{Q} represent the infinite sequence: $\cdots, Q_{-1}, Q_0, Q_1, \cdots$. The product between two sequences, \mathbf{V}_1 and \mathbf{V}_2 , is denoted and defined as $\mathbf{V}_1 \circ \mathbf{V}_2 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} [\mathbf{V}_1]_n^* [\mathbf{V}_2]_n$. Moreover, let J_k denote the Bessel function of the first kind with an integer order k that can be defined in its integral form as [12]:

$$J_k(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{j(x \sin \theta - k\theta)} d\theta. \quad (8)$$

Lemma 1. The sequence \mathbf{Q} can be decomposed as

$$\mathbf{Q} = \frac{\eta}{r_0} \sum_{u=1}^U \mathbf{V}(X_u) + \frac{o}{r_0}, \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{V}(X_u) = \cdots V_{-1}(X_u), V_0(X_u), V_1(X_u) \cdots$ with the function $V_k(Y)$ defined for a given location $Y = (r_Y, \varphi_Y)$ as

$$V_k(Y) = j^k e^{jk\varphi_Y} J_k\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} r_Y\right). \quad (10)$$

Remark 1. The Fourier coefficients $\{Q_k\}$ of the received pilot signal are *noiseless*. The noise suppression is the combined result of the noise spatial whiteness in Assumption 2 and the averaging operation in the Fourier transform in (7).

Due to the space limitation, only proof sketches are provided for all lemmas and theorems in this paper where proof techniques are highlighted.

Sketch of proof: First, the law of large numbers is invoked to show the suppression of noise as follows:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} z(\theta) e^{jk\theta} d\theta = 0, \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (11)$$

Next, the technique in deriving the main result in the lemma statement is derived by decomposing $q(\theta)$ in (4) using the following Jacobi-Anger expansion [12]:

$$e^{j \frac{2\pi r_u}{\lambda} \cos(\varphi_u - \theta)} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} j^m J_m\left(\frac{2\pi r_u}{\lambda}\right) e^{jm(\varphi_u - \theta)}$$

and combining the result with (2) and (7). ■

One can observe from Lemma 1 that the sequence \mathbf{Q} is the superposition of U component sequences $\{\mathbf{V}(X_u)\}$ determined by corresponding mobile locations. A key step of the proposed LIC estimation method is to estimate $\{X_u\}$ by extracting $\mathbf{V}(X_u)$ from \mathbf{Q} . For this purpose, it is desirable that two sequences $\mathbf{V}(X)$ and $\mathbf{V}(Y)$ with $X \neq Y$ can be differentiated if the distance $|X - Y|$ is sufficiently large. Interestingly, such a property indeed exists as shown in the following lemma.

Lemma 2. Given two locations $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$, the product between the two sequences $\mathbf{V}(X)$ and $\mathbf{V}(Y)$ is given as

$$\mathbf{V}(X) \circ \mathbf{V}(Y) = J_0\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} |X - Y|\right).$$

Sketch of proof: The main technique is to use a property of Bessel functions from [13, (6.61)]. Specifically, two infinite sequences of Bessel functions, $\{J_k(x)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ and




Fig. 2. Mobile location profile corresponding to three mobiles with equal separation distances of 2 wavelengths.

$\{J_{k+n}(y)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$, can be combined to give a single Bessel function as follows:

$$J_n(|X - Y|)e^{jn\omega} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} J_k(|X|)J_{n+k}(|Y|)e^{jk\angle(X,Y)}$$

where the angle ω is defined using $\sin \omega = (|X|/|X - Y|) \sin \angle(X, Y)$. ■

Note that $J_0(x)$ is a monotone decreasing function of x for $x \geq 0$. Thus the result in Lemma 2 shows that the vectors $\mathbf{V}(X)$ and $\mathbf{V}(Y)$ become almost orthogonal if the distance between X and Y is sufficiently large.

The result in Lemma 2 suggests that the function $\Phi: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined below, called the *mobile location profile*, has its peaks at the mobile locations $Y = X_1, X_2, \dots, X_U$ if they are sufficiently separated (see Fig. 2):

$$\Phi(Y) = \frac{r_0^2}{\eta^2} |\mathbf{V}(Y) \circ \mathbf{Q}|^2. \quad (12)$$

This fact can be stated rigorously in the follow theorem obtained using Lemmas 1 and 2.

Theorem 1. The mobile location profile $\Phi(Y)$ is noiseless and given as

$$\Phi(Y) = \left| \sum_{u=1}^U J_0\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}|X_u - Y|\right) \right|^2 + o^2, \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (13)$$

LIC channel estimation scheme: The proposed scheme for estimating the mobile locations is to detect the peaks in the mobile location profile $\Phi(Y)$ as given in Theorem 1 (see Fig. 2). Since the profile is noiseless, the only source for estimation errors is the coupling (called interference) between the Bessel functions in $\Phi(Y)$ due to finite distances between mobile locations. The detailed discussion is in the sequel.

Remark 2. The interference in LIC estimation is fundamentally due to the use of *single* pilot symbols for estimating multiuser channels. As a result, decoupling multiuser pilot signals can rely merely on mobile spatial separation, which is imperfect and hence causes estimation errors.

The LIC estimation is accurate if the mobile inter-distances are sufficiently large. Consider an arbitrary mobile location X_u and a disk \mathcal{A} centered at X_u and with a radius d . Assume that other locations are sufficiently far away from X_u : $|X_k - X_u| \gg d \forall k \neq u$. Theorem 1 reveals that the portion of the profile in the region \mathcal{A} has a similar shape as a Bessel function centered at X_u . Specifically,

$$\Phi(Y) \approx J_0\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}|Y - X_u|\right), \quad Y \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (14)$$

Since J_0 is a monotone decreasing function, X_u can be accurately estimated by detecting the peak of $\Phi(Y)$ in \mathcal{A} .

On the other hand, mobile locations being too close cause large estimation errors due to interference resulting from the tails of Bessel functions (see the resultant ripple in Fig. 2). The condition on accurate location estimation can be quantified using the following bound on the Bessel-function envelop [14]:

$$J_0\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}d\right) \leq \nu\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}d\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (15)$$

For d larger than $d_{0,1} \approx 77\lambda$, the Bessel function is smaller than 0.1, giving a rule of thumb on the minimum mobile separation for accurate channel estimation. For the frequency of 1 Gz and 10 Gz, $d_{0,1}$ is 23 m and 2.3 m, respectively.

B. LIC Estimation with Pilot Sequences

In this section, the results in the preceding section for single pilot symbols are extended to the case with pilot sequences. Let the pilot sequence for the u th mobile be denoted as $\mathbf{s}_u = [s_u(1), \dots, s_u(L)]^T$ with length L and unit norm. In the channel training phase, the UA receives L infinite vectors $\mathbf{Q}(\ell), \mathbf{Q}(2), \dots, \mathbf{Q}(L)$ over L symbol durations where $\mathbf{Q}(\ell)$ is modified from its single-symbol counterpart in Lemma 1 as

$$\mathbf{Q}(\ell) = \frac{\eta}{r_0} \sum_{u=1}^U s_u(\ell) \mathbf{V}(X_u) + \frac{o}{r_0}, \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (16)$$

To estimate the mobile location X_u , $\{\mathbf{Q}(\ell)\}$ are coherently combined using \mathbf{s}_u as $\sum_{\ell=1}^L s_u^*(\ell) \mathbf{Q}(\ell)$. The result, denoted as \mathbf{Q}_u , follows from (16) as

$$\mathbf{Q}_u = \frac{\eta}{r_0} \sum_{k=1}^U \mathbf{s}_u^\dagger \mathbf{s}_k(\ell) \mathbf{V}(X_u) + \frac{o}{r_0}, \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (17)$$

A corresponding mobile location profile $\Phi_u(Y)$ can be defined similarly as (12):

$$\Phi_u(Y) = \frac{r_0^2}{\eta^2} |\mathbf{V}(Y) \circ \mathbf{Q}_u|^2. \quad (18)$$

The profile $\Phi_u(Y)$ is decomposed into the desired and interference terms as follows:

$$\Phi_u(Y) = \left| J_0\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}|X_u - Y|\right) + \sum_{k \neq u} \mathbf{s}_u^\dagger \mathbf{s}_k J_0\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}|X_k - Y|\right) \right|^2 + o^2.$$

The estimation accuracy can be improved by designing the pilot sequences to suppress the interference. To this end, the estimation error is bounded as:

$$\left| \Phi_u(Y) - J_0^2\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}|X_u - Y|\right) \right| \leq \sum_{k \neq u} |\mathbf{s}_u^\dagger \mathbf{s}_k|^2 J_0^2\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}|X_k - Y|\right) + o^2. \quad (19)$$

Minimizing the upper bound leads to the minimization of the pairwise projection distance of the pilot sequences $\{\mathbf{s}_u\}$:

$$\min_{u \neq k} \max |\mathbf{s}_u^\dagger \mathbf{s}_k|^2, \quad \text{s.t. } \|\mathbf{s}_u\|^2 = 1 \quad \forall u. \quad (20)$$

This optimization problem is the well-known mathematical problem of *Grassmannian line packing* [15]. Solving the problem yields the sequences $\{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_u\}$ that satisfy [15]:

$$\max_{u \neq k} |\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_u^\dagger \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_k|^2 \geq \max \left(\frac{U-L}{L(U-1)}, 0 \right). \quad (21)$$

Combining this bound and (19) gives the following theorem.

Theorem 2. The error for estimating the u th mobile's channel, or equivalently the location X_u , can be upper bounded as

$$\left| \Phi_u(Y) - J_0^2 \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} |X_u - Y| \right) \right| \leq \max \left(\frac{U-L}{L(U-1)}, 0 \right) \times \sum_{k \neq u} J_0^2 \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} |X_k - Y| \right) + o^2.$$

Since $J_0(x) \leq 1$, a simpler but looser bound is

$$\left| \Phi_u(Y) - J_0^2 \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} |X_u - Y| \right) \right| \leq \max \left(\frac{U-L}{L}, 0 \right) + o^2.$$

Comparing Theorems 1 and 2, the length of pilot sequences contributes the reduction factor $\max \left(\frac{U-L}{L(U-1)}, 0 \right)$ of the channel estimation error. In particular, if $L \geq U$, the factor is zero given orthogonal pilot sequences, resulting in:

$$\left| \Phi_u(Y) - J_0^2 \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} |X_u - Y| \right) \right| \leq o^2, \quad L \geq U.$$

Remark 3. Unlike single pilot symbols (see Remark 2), orthogonal pilot sequences completely decouple the Bessel functions in the mobile location profile and thereby achieve almost perfect estimation. The remaining negligible errors (the o^2 term) are caused by the distance approximation in (1).

IV. UA COMMUNICATIONS: DATA TRANSMISSIONS

In this section, two transmission techniques are designed for the UA, namely the channel conjugate and the *multiuser phase mode* (MU-PM) transmissions. For simplicity, it is assumed that the UA has perfect knowledge of the mobile locations.

A. Channel Conjugate Transmission

For channel conjugate transmission, the precoder applies a phase shift to each antenna for compensating the shift in propagation to achieve receive coherent combining, which is similar to beamforming using a phase array. Specifically, the precoder $f_u(\theta)$ is given as

$$f_u^{(\text{cc})}(\theta) = \frac{h_u^*(\theta)}{|h_u(\theta)|}, \quad 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi \quad (22)$$

where $h(\theta)$ is given in (2). The normalization $|f_u^{(\text{cc})}(\theta)|^2 = 1$ facilitates the UA implementation under the constraint on the per-element transmission power e.g., using a phase array. By

substituting the precoder into (6), the signal received at mobile u is obtained as

$$y_u = x_u + \sum_{k \neq u} \frac{x_k}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{j \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} (r_u \cos(\varphi_u - \theta) - r_k \cos(\varphi_k - \theta))} d\theta + z_u + o. \quad (23)$$

Substituting some trigonometric identities and the definition of Bessel functions in (8), a useful result is obtained as follows.

Lemma 3. Given $X_u, X_k \in \mathcal{D}$ and an integer m ,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{j \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} (r_u \cos(\varphi_u - \theta) - r_k \cos(\varphi_k - \theta)) + jm\theta} d\theta = e^{jm\beta_{u,k}} J_m \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} |X_u - X_k| \right) \quad (24)$$

where the angle β is defined by

$$\tan \beta_{u,k} = \frac{r_u \cos(\varphi_u) - r_k \cos(\varphi_k)}{r_u \sin(\varphi_u) - r_k \sin(\varphi_k)}. \quad (25)$$

Using the result in Lemma 3 with $m = 0$, the received signal in (23) reduces to

$$y_u = x_u + \sum_{k \neq u} e^{jm\beta_{u,k}} J_0 \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} |X_k - X_u| \right) x_k + z_u + O \left(\frac{r_+}{r_0} \right).$$

This leads to the following main result of this section.

Theorem 3. For channel conjugate transmission, the receive SINR for mobile u is given by

$$\text{SINR}_u = \frac{1}{\sum_{k \neq u} J_0^2 \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} |X_u - X_k| \right) + \sigma^2/P} + o^2 \quad (26)$$

where $u = 1, 2, \dots, U$.

The sum rate for channel conjugate transmissions is thus $\sum_u \log_2(1 + \text{SINR}_u)$ with SINR_u given in (26).

Using the envelop bound for a Bessel function in (15), for a high SNR, the signal-to-interference ratio (SIR) at mobile u scales with the distance to the nearest interferer, namely $\min_{k \neq u} |X_k - X_u|$, and the wavelength λ as

$$\text{SIR}_u \geq \frac{1}{\nu^2(U-1)} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \min_{k \neq u} |X_k - X_u| \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} + o^2.$$

Remark 4. The result suggests that denser simultaneous mobiles can be supported by reducing the wavelength without comprising the system throughput. Specifically, the mobile density can scale linearly with $1/\lambda^2$.

B. Multiuser Phase Mode Transmission

In this section, MU-PM precoders are designed under the zero-forcing constraints to null multiuser interference. Exploiting the circular structure of the UA, the precoder for each mobile, say $f_u^{(zf)}(\theta)$ for mobile u , can be expressed in terms of a Fourier series:

$$f_u^{(zf)}(\theta) = \frac{h_u^*(\theta)}{|h_u(\theta)|} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{u,m} e^{-jm\theta} \quad (27)$$

where the factor in front of the summation is observed to be the channel-conjugate precoder for compensating the channel phase shift and the summation is the Fourier series. The coefficients $\{c_{u,m}\}$ are the *precoder coefficients* designed in the sequel under the power constraint: $\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{u,m}^2 \leq 1$ for all u . To this end, the received signal at mobile u is obtained in terms of the precoder coefficients as follows. By substituting the precoder in (27) into (6),

$$\begin{aligned} y_u &= \left(\frac{|h_k(\theta)|}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{u,m} e^{-jm\theta} d\theta \right) x_u + \\ &\sum_{k \neq u} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{h_k^*(\theta) h_u(\theta)}{|h_k(\theta)|} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{k,m} e^{-jm\theta} d\theta \right) x_k + z_u \\ &= \frac{\eta c_{u,0} x_u}{r_0} + \frac{\eta}{r_0} \sum_{k \neq u} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{k,m} \mathcal{J}_{u,k,m} x_k + z_u + \frac{o}{r_0} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where the first term and the summation represent the signal and interference, respectively, and

$$\mathcal{J}_{u,k,m} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{j \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} (r_u \cos(\varphi_u - \theta) - r_k \cos(\varphi_k - \theta)) - jm\theta} d\theta.$$

Using Lemma 3 in the preceding sub-section,

$$\mathcal{J}_{u,k,m} = e^{jm\beta_{u,k}} J_m \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} |X_u - X_k| \right). \quad (29)$$

The interference term in the signal y_u can be nulled by enforcing the following zero-forcing constraints:

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{k,m} \mathcal{J}_{u,k,m} = 0, \quad \forall k \neq u. \quad (30)$$

Though there exist infinite precoder coefficients, it is undesirable to design an unnecessarily large set of coefficients that results in high computation complexity. This can be avoided by considering only those elements with significant values from the infinite set $\{\mathcal{J}_{u,k,m}\}$. For this purpose, a useful property of Bessel functions is as follows: for $x \gg 1$ and $|n| \geq x$, $J_n(x) \approx 0$ [16]. Assuming that mobile are separated by distances much larger than a single wavelength, this property reveals that the set of significant elements in $\{\mathcal{J}_{u,k,m}\}$ correspond to $-M \leq m \leq M$ with

$$M = \max \left(\max_{u,k} \left[\frac{2\pi |X_u - X_k|}{\lambda} \right], \left[\frac{U}{2} \right] \right). \quad (31)$$

By considering only these elements, the zero-forcing constraints in (30) can be approximated in the matrix form:

$$\mathcal{J}_u \mathbf{c}_u = \mathbf{0} \quad (32)$$

where the matrix \mathcal{J}_u is defined as

$$\mathcal{J}_u = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{J}_{u,1,-M} & \cdots & \mathcal{J}_{u,1,0} & \cdots & \mathcal{J}_{u,1,M} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{J}_{u,u-1,-M} & \cdots & \mathcal{J}_{u,u-1,0} & \cdots & \mathcal{J}_{u,u-1,M} \\ \mathcal{J}_{u,u+1,-M} & \cdots & \mathcal{J}_{u,u+1,0} & \cdots & \mathcal{J}_{u,u+1,M} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{J}_{u,U,-M} & \cdots & \mathcal{J}_{u,U,0} & \cdots & \mathcal{J}_{u,U,M} \end{bmatrix}$$

and the vector $\mathbf{c}_u = [c_{u,-M} \ \cdots \ c_{u,0} \ \cdots \ c_{u,M}]^T$.

Theorem 4. To satisfy the approximate zero-forcing constraints in (32), it is sufficient to choose the MU-PM precoder coefficients for mobile u as follows:

$$\mathbf{c}_u \in \text{null}(\mathcal{J}_u), \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, U \quad (33)$$

where $\text{null}(\mathcal{J}_u)$ denotes the null-space of \mathcal{J}_u .

Remark 5. Given the existence of infinite phase modes for a continuous UA, theoretically MU-PM transmissions can decouple an infinite number of simultaneous mobiles in a finite region. However, this is infeasible in practice due to finite elements in the UA (that is thus discrete) and the complexity constraint on the precoder computation.

With interference nulled, the SNR for mobile u follows from (28) as

$$\text{SNR}_u = \frac{\eta^2 P |c_{u,0}|^2}{\sigma^2} + o^2 = \frac{\eta^2 P |\mathbf{e}_0^\dagger \mathbf{c}_u|^2}{\sigma^2} + o^2 \quad (34)$$

where $\mathbf{e}_0 = [0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0]^T$. Thus, to maximize SNR_u , the precoder-coefficient vector \mathbf{c}_u should be chosen as the projection of \mathbf{e}_0 onto $\text{null}(\mathcal{J}_u)$ that contains \mathbf{c}_u according to Theorem 4. This gives the following corollary.

Corollary 1. For MU-PM transmissions, the maximum receive SNR for mobile u is given as

$$\text{SNR}_u = \frac{\eta^2 P |\mathbf{e}_0^\dagger \mathbf{b}_u|^2}{\sigma^2} + o^2 \quad (35)$$

where \mathbf{b}_u is a basis of $\text{null}(\mathcal{J}_u)$.

It follows that the sum rate is $\sum_u \log_2(1 + \text{SNR}_u)$ with SNR_u in (35).

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

For simulation, mobiles are uniformly distributed in a disk centered at the origin and with a radius of $r = 10$ meters. The UA radius r_0 is assumed to be much larger than r and its exactly affects only the *receive SNR* at a mobile, which is defined as $\eta^2/r_0^2\sigma^2$.

Consider channel estimation with single pilot symbols. The average error of the estimated mobile locations is plotted in Fig. 3 against the carrier frequency. In the frequency range below 1 GHz, the average error is observed to decrease rapidly as the frequency increases. This is due to the reduction of ripples in the mobile location profile Φ with the decreasing wavelength based on (15). However, for the frequency range above 2 GHz, the average error saturates about a small value caused by the fluctuating tails of Bessel functions in Φ . It can be observed from Fig. 3 that there exists an optimal carrier frequency at 1.5 GHz yielding the minimum average channel estimation error.

Fig. 4 shows the sum rate for channel-conjugate transmissions for an increasing receive SNR. Different combinations of carrier frequencies, $\{1, 10\}$ GHz, and numbers of mobiles, $\{5, 10\}$, are considered. One can see that the UA can support




Fig. 3. Average error of estimated mobile locations versus carrier frequency for channel estimation using single pilot symbols.




Fig. 5. Sum-rate comparison for channel-conjugate and MPM transmissions.




Fig. 4. Sum rate for channel-conjugate transmission versus receive SNR.

dense mobiles e.g., 20 mobiles in the circular region with a radius of 10 meters, and thereby achieves a high sum rate e.g., about 150 b/s/Hz for high SNRs. Furthermore, it is observed increasing the carrier frequency suppresses multiuser interference and hence alleviates the issue of rate saturation at high SNRs that is typical for single-user transmission schemes.

The channel-conjugate and MU-PM transmissions are compared in Fig. 5 in terms of sum-rates. MU-PM transmissions are observed to substantially outperform the single-user scheme for high SNRs (above 20 dB) though their performance is almost identical for low-to-moderate SNRs. In particular, for the SNR of 30 dB, the sum rate for MU-PM transmissions is about 60 b/s/Hz higher than that for channel-conjugate transmissions.

REFERENCES

[1] China Mobile, “C-RAN: The road towards green RAN,” *White Paper*, 2011.

[2] I. E. Telatar, “Capacity of multi-antenna Gaussian channels,” *European Trans. on Telecomm.*, vol. 10, pp. 585–595, June 1999.

[3] D. Gesbert, S. Hanly, H. Huang, S. Shitz, O. Simeone, and W. Yu, “Multi-cell MIMO cooperative networks: A new look at interference,” *IEEE JSAC*, vol. 28, pp. 1380–1408, Sep. 2010.

[4] F. Rusek, D. Persson, B. K. Lau, E. G. Larsson, T. L. Marzetta, O. Edfors, and F. Tufvesson, “Scaling up MIMO: Opportunities and challenges with very large arrays,” *IEEE Signal Proc. Magazine*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 40–60, 2013.

[5] R. W. Heath Jr., S. Peters, Y. Wang, and J. Zhang, “A current perspective on distributed antenna systems for the downlink of cellular systems,” *IEEE Comm. Magazine*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 161–167, 2013.

[6] A. Papadogiannis, D. Gesbert, and E. Hardouin, “A dynamic clustering approach in wireless networks with multi-cell cooperative processing,” in *Proc., IEEE Intl. Conf. on Comm.*, pp. 4033–4037, May 2008.

[7] O. Simeone, O. Somekh, H. V. Poor, and S. Shamai, “Local base station cooperation via finite-capacity links for uplink linear cellular networks,” *IEEE Trans. on Info. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 190–204, 2009.

[8] K. Huang and J. G. Andrews, “An analytical framework for multi-cell cooperation an analytical framework for multicell cooperation via stochastic geometry and large deviations,” *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 59, pp. 2501–2516, Apr. 2013.

[9] A. Barbieri, P. Gaal, S. Geirhofer, T. Ji, D. Malladi, Y. Wei, and F. Xue, “Coordinated downlink multi-point comm. in heterogeneous 4G cellular networks,” in *Proc., Info. Theory and App. Workshop*, Feb. 2012.

[10] T. L. Marzetta, “Noncooperative cellular wireless with unlimited numbers of base station antennas,” *IEEE Trans. on Wireless Comm.*, vol. 9, pp. 3590–3600, Sep. 2010.

[11] H. Ochiai, P. Mitran, H. V. Poor, and V. Tarokh, “Collaborative beamforming for distributed wireless ad hoc sensor networks,” *IEEE Trans. on Signal Proc.*, vol. 53, no. 11, pp. 4110–4124, 2005.

[12] G. B. Arfken, H. J. Weber, and F. Harris, *Mathematical Methods For Physicists: A Comprehensive Guide*. Academic Press, 2005.

[13] L. C. Andrews, *Special functions of mathematics for engineers*. McGraw-Hill, Inc., 2nd ed., 1992.

[14] L. Landau, “Bessel functions: monotonicity and bounds,” *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 197–215, 2000.

[15] T. Strohmer and R. W. Heath Jr, “Grassmannian frames with applications to coding and communication,” *Applied and computational harmonic analysis*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 257–275, 2003.

[16] A. Poon, R. Brodersen, and D. Tse, “Degrees of freedom in multiple-antenna channels: A signal space approach,” *IEEE Trans. on Info. Theory*, vol. 51, pp. 523–536, Feb. 2005.