

On three measures of non-convexity*

Josef Cibulka¹, Miroslav Korbelař³, Jan Kynčl², Viola Mészáros⁴,
Rudolf Stolař¹, and Pavel Valtr¹

¹ Department of Applied Mathematics and Institute for Theoretical Computer Science,
Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,
Malostranské nám. 25, 118 00 Prague, Czech Republic;
{cibulka,ruda}@kam.mff.cuni.cz

² Department of Applied Mathematics and Institute for Theoretical Computer Science,
Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,
Malostranské nám. 25, 118 00 Praha 1, Czech Republic; and
Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Reáltanoda u. 13-15, Budapest 1053, Hungary;
kyncl@kam.mff.cuni.cz

³ Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
Faculty of Science, Masaryk University,
Kotlářská 2, 602 00 Brno, Czech Republic;
miroslav.korbelar@gmail.com

⁴ University of Szeged, Bolyai Institute,
6720 Szeged, Aradi vértanúk tere 1, Hungary;
viola@math.u-szeged.hu

Abstract

The invisibility graph $I(X)$ of a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a (possibly infinite) graph whose vertices are the points of X and two vertices are connected by an edge if and only if the straight-line segment connecting the two corresponding points is not fully contained in X . We consider the following three parameters of a set X : the clique number $\omega(I(X))$, the chromatic number $\chi(I(X))$ and the convexity number $\gamma(X)$, which is the minimum number of convex subsets of X that cover X .

We settle a conjecture of Matoušek and Valtr claiming that for every planar set X , $\gamma(X)$ can be bounded in terms of $\chi(I(X))$. As a part of the proof we show that a disc with n one-point holes near its boundary has $\chi(I(X)) \geq \log \log(n)$ but $\omega(I(X)) = 3$.

We also find sets X in \mathbb{R}^5 with $\chi(X) = 2$, but $\gamma(X)$ arbitrarily large.

*This research was supported by the project CE-ITI (GAČR P202/12/G061) of the Czech Science Foundation. The first, the third and the sixth author were partially supported by the Charles University Grant GAUK 52410. The first, the third and the fifth author were partially supported by the grant SVV-2013-267313 (Discrete Models and Algorithms). The second author was supported by the project CZ.1.07/2.3.00/20.0003 of the Operational Programme Education for Competitiveness of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic. The third author was also partially supported by ERC Advanced Research Grant no 267165 (DISCONV). The fourth author was partially supported by ESF EuroGiga project ComPoSe (IP03), by OTKA Grant K76099 and by OTKA Grant 102029. Part of the research was conducted during the Special Semester on Discrete and Computational Geometry at École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, organized and supported by the CIB (Centre Interfacultaire Bernoulli) and the SNSF (Swiss National Science Foundation).

1 Introduction

The *convexity number* $\gamma(X)$ of a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is the minimum possible number of convex subsets of X that cover X . Intuitively, the larger the $\gamma(X)$, the more “non-convex” X is. Two other parameters of non-convexity have been widely studied in the literature. We define them in terms of the invisibility graph of X .

We say that two points $x, y \in X$ *see each other (within X)* if the straight-line segment \overline{xy} connecting x and y is a subset of X . The *invisibility graph* $I(X)$ of X is a graph whose vertices are the points of X and two vertices are connected by an edge if and only if they do not see each other. Clearly, a set $A \subseteq X$ is a clique in $I(X)$ if no two points from A see each other within X . Analogously, A is an independent set in $I(X)$ if every two points from A see each other within X . An independent set of $I(X)$ is also called a *seeing subset* of X .

The chromatic number of X , denoted by $\chi(X)$, is defined as the chromatic number of the invisibility graph $I(X)$. In other words, $\chi(X)$ is the minimum possible number of seeing subsets of X that cover X . Similarly, the clique number of X , denoted by $\omega(X)$, is defined as the supremum of the cardinalities of cliques in $I(X)$. Note that if the clique number is finite, then a clique of maximum size always exists. Sets X with $\omega(X) = n - 1$ are also called *n-convex*. The parameters $\omega(X)$ and $\chi(X)$ have been also denoted by $\alpha(X)$ and $\beta(X)$, respectively [12, 19, 20].

Clearly, $\omega(X) \leq \chi(X) \leq \gamma(X)$ for any set X . We mostly consider sets with all the three parameters finite. We are interested in the following questions. Is it possible to bound $\gamma(X)$ from above by a function of $\chi(X)$ or $\omega(X)$? If yes, what is the best such function? What if the set X is “nice”, for example, closed? How does the answer depend on the dimension d ?

For closed connected sets X in the plane, Valentine [24] proved that $\omega(X) = 2$ implies $\gamma(X) \leq 3$. McKinney [18] proved that if X is a closed set in \mathbb{R}^d , then $\chi(X) = 2$ implies $\gamma(X) = 2$. Eggleston [5] proved that if X is a compact set in the plane with $\omega(X)$ finite, then $\gamma(X)$ is finite as well. Breen and Kay [4] were first to show that for planar closed sets X , the number $\gamma(X)$ can be bounded by a function of $\omega(X)$. More precisely, they gave an exponential bound $\gamma(X) \leq \omega(X)^3 \cdot 2^{\omega(X)-2}$. Perles and Shelah [20] further improved this to a polynomial upper bound $\gamma(X) \leq \omega(X)^6$. The current best known upper bound, $\gamma(X) \leq 18\omega(X)^3$, is due to Matoušek and Valtr [17].

From the other direction, Breen and Kay [4] presented a construction by Perles of a closed planar set X with $\gamma(X) \geq \Omega(\omega(X)^{3/2})$. Matoušek and Valtr [17] found examples of closed planar sets X with $\gamma(X) \geq \Omega(\omega(X)^2)$.

For arbitrary planar sets X , not necessarily closed, $\omega(X) = 2$ implies $\gamma(X) \leq 6$ [3, 19]. However, there is no upper bound on $\gamma(X)$ for sets with $\omega(X) = 3$. To construct an example, take the unit disc and puncture λ one-point holes near its boundary, in the vertices of a regular convex λ -gon concentric with the disc. The resulting set D_λ satisfies $\omega(D_\lambda) = 3$ and $\gamma(D_\lambda) = \lceil \lambda/2 \rceil + 1$ [17]. Kojman, Perles and Shelah [12] constructed a planar set X with $\omega(X) = 5$ and $\gamma(X) = 2^{\aleph_0}$.

Kojman, Perles and Shelah [12] proved that if X is a closed planar set with $\gamma(X)$ uncountable, then X contains a perfect subset P such that the convex hull of any three distinct points from P is not contained in X . In particular, P has cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} and hence also $\gamma(X) = 2^{\aleph_0}$. Kojman [11] generalized the notion of the clique in the invisibility graph as follows. For $m \geq 2$, a subset P of X is an *m-clique* in X if for every m -element subset S of P the convex hull of S is not contained in X . Kojman [11] showed that for every closed planar set X with countable $\gamma(X)$, the topological complexity of all 3-cliques in X , measured

by the *Cantor–Bendixson degree*, is bounded by a countable ordinal. Geschke [7] constructed a closed set X in \mathbb{R}^3 with $\gamma(X) = 2^{\aleph_0}$ such that for every $m \geq 2$, all m -cliques in X are countable.

A *one-point hole* in a set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a point that forms a path-connected component of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus X$. Let $\lambda(X)$ be the number of one-point holes in X .

The example of the set D_λ inspired Matoušek and Valtr [17] to study the properties of planar sets with a limited number of one-point holes. In particular, they proved the following upper bound on the convexity number.

Theorem 1 ([17, Theorem 1.1 (ii)]). *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a set with $\omega(X) = \omega < \infty$ and $\lambda(X) = \lambda < \infty$. Then*

$$\gamma(X) \leq O(\omega^4 + \lambda\omega^2).$$

For any $\omega \geq 3$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ they also found sets X with $\omega(X) = \omega$, $\lambda(X) = \lambda$ and $\gamma(X) \geq \Omega(\omega^3 + \omega\lambda)$.

Matoušek and Valtr [17] conjectured that for an arbitrary planar set X , the value of $\gamma(X)$ is bounded by a function of $\chi(X)$. Then $\chi(X)$ cannot be bounded by a function of $\omega(X)$ as the examples D_λ show.

Lawrence and Morris [13] proved that for every k there exists $n_0(k)$ such that whenever S is a set of finitely many points in the plane and $|S| \geq n_0(k)$, then $\chi(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus S) \geq k$.¹ Thus, whenever X is the complement of a finite set of points, $\lambda(X)$ can be bounded in terms of $\chi(X)$. This implies, by Theorem 1, that the value of $\gamma(X)$ can be bounded in terms of $\chi(X)$, settling the conjecture of Matoušek and Valtr in the special case when X is a complement of a finite set of points.

In this paper, we strengthen the result of Lawrence and Morris [13] and settle the conjecture for every planar set X .

The *tower function* $T_l(k)$ is defined recursively as $T_0(k) = k$ and $T_h(k) = 2^{T_{h-1}(k)}$. Its inverse is the iterated logarithm $\log^{(l)}(n)$, that is, $\log^{(0)}(n) = n$ and $\log^{(l)}(n) = \log(\log^{(l-1)}(n))$.

Theorem 2. *Any set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\chi(X) = \chi < \infty$ satisfies*

$$\gamma(X) \leq O(2^{4T_2(\chi)} \cdot \chi^3).$$

We prove Theorem 2 in Section 2. In Section 3, we show that for every dimension d , $\lambda(X)$ can be bounded in terms of $\chi(X)$ for all sets $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. This answers Question 6 of Lawrence and Morris [13].

A set X is *star-shaped* if X contains a point $x \in X$ that sees every other point of X . In Sections 4 and 5 we show that χ and γ can be separated in dimensions 5 and more, even for closed star-shaped sets.

Theorem 3. *For every positive integer g there exist star-shaped sets*

- 1) $X \subset \mathbb{R}^6$ satisfying $\chi(X) = 2$ and $\gamma(X) \geq g$, and
- 2) $X_c \subset \mathbb{R}^6$ that is closed and satisfies $\chi(X_c) \leq 4$ and $\gamma(X_c) \geq g$.

Theorem 4. *For every positive integer g there exist star-shaped sets*

- 1) $X \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ satisfying $\chi(X) = 2$ and $\gamma(X) \geq g$, and

¹The graph \mathcal{G}_S in the paper of Lawrence and Morris is precisely the invisibility graph of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus S$.

2) $X_c \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ that is closed and satisfies $\chi(X_c) \leq 6$ and $\gamma(X_c) \geq g$.

Problem 1. Does there exist a function f such that $\gamma(X) \leq f(\chi(X))$

1) for every set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$?

2) for every set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4$?

All logarithms in this paper are binary. We use the notation \overline{xy} for the straight line segment between points x and y .

2 Proof of Theorem 2

In this and the next section we will use the following observation about one-point holes.

Observation 5. Let q be a one-point hole in a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\omega(X) < \infty$. For every vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the open segment between q and $q + \varepsilon x$ is contained in X .

Proof. For contradiction, suppose that there is a vector x such that the open segment s_ε between q and $q + \varepsilon x$ is not contained in X for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Now either the whole segment s_ε is contained in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus X$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, or there is an infinite sequence of points $q_n \in X \cap s_1$ converging to q such that for every n , there is at least one point of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus X$ between q_n and q_{n+1} . In the first case, the hole is no longer a path-connected component of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus X$. In the second case, the sequence q_n forms a clique of infinite size. Either way, we get a contradiction. \square

Points q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n are in *clockwise convex position* if they form the vertices of a convex polygon in the clockwise order. In particular, they are in general position and, for every $i < j$, the points $q_{j+1}, q_{j+2}, \dots, q_n$ lie in the same half-plane of the line determined by q_i and q_j .

To derive Theorem 2 from Theorem 1, we need to show that the number of one-point holes is bounded from above by a function of the chromatic number. We first show this in the special case of one-point holes in convex position.

Lemma 6. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a set with n one-point holes q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n in clockwise convex position. Then

$$n \leq T_2(\chi(X)).$$

Proof. For a pair of indices i, j , with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, let $l(i, j)$ be the line containing the point q_i and passing in a small positive distance $d(i, j)$ (which we specify later) from q_j in the direction from q_j such that q_j lies in the same half-plane as the points $\{q_{j+1}, \dots, q_n\}$.

For a triple of indices i, j, k , with $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$, let $p(i, j, k) := l(i, j) \cap l(j, k)$, which is a point near q_j .

The distances $d(i, j)$ will be set to satisfy the following conditions (see Figure 1).

- (i) The lines $l(i, j)$ are in general position.
- (ii) Each $l(i, j)$ contains exactly one of the holes (which is q_i).
- (iii) For every pair (i, j) the point q_j and all the points $p(j, k, l)$ lie in the same half-plane determined by $l(i, j)$ as the points $\{q_{j+1}, \dots, q_n\}$.
- (iv) Every point $p(i, j, k)$ is in X and is closer to q_j than q_i .




Figure 1: An example of four one-point holes in clockwise convex position and the corresponding lines.

Since the one-point holes are in clockwise convex position, there is an ε such that whenever all $d(i, j)$ are greater than 0 and at most ε , then all the conditions are satisfied except possibly the first part of condition (iv).

We start by setting the distances $d(i, n)$ to this ε , which allows us to place the lines $l(i, n)$. Each distance $d(i, j)$, where $j < n$, is set when all the lines $l(j, k)$ are already placed. Because $l(j, k)$ avoids q_i and by Observation 5, there is ε_k such that if $d(i, j) \leq \varepsilon_k$, then $p(i, j, k)$ lies in X . It is now enough to take $d(i, j)$ as the minimum of ε and all the ε_k .

Consider the graph with vertex set $\binom{[n]}{3}$ and edges between vertices $\{i, j, k\}$ and $\{j, k, l\}$ for every $1 \leq i < j < k < l \leq n$. This graph is called *the shift graph* $S(n, 3)$ and its chromatic number is known to be at least $\log^{(2)}(n)$ [9]. We color the vertex $\{i, j, k\}$ with the color of the point $p(i, j, k)$ in a fixed proper coloring c of X by $\chi(X)$ colors.

Assume that $n > T_2(\chi(X))$. Then there are two points $s := p(i, j, k)$ and $t := p(j, k, l)$ with $c(s) = c(t)$. Both s and t lie on the line $l(j, k)$, which also contains the point q_j . To show that q_j lies between s and t we use the fact that s is the intersection of the lines $l(j, k)$ and $l(i, j)$. The points q_j and t lie in the same direction from $l(i, j)$, but q_j is closer to s . Thus s and t are connected by an edge in the invisibility graph of X , a contradiction. \square

The following lemma is a slight modification of Exercise 3.1.3 from [16].

Lemma 7. *Any set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of $m \cdot 2^{4n}$ points contains either m points lying on a line or $n + 1$ points in convex position.*

Proof. First we show that among any mp^2 points, we can either find m points lying on a line or p points in general position. Take a set Q with no m points on a line and no p points in general position. Consider an arbitrary inclusion-wise maximal subset $S \subseteq Q$ of points in general position. Each point from $Q \setminus S$ must thus lie on a line determined by some pair of points of S . There are fewer than p^2 such lines and each contains fewer than $m - 2$ points from $P \setminus S$. Hence the total number of points of Q is less than mp^2 .

By the Erdős–Szekeres theorem [6], any set of 4^n points in general position contains $n + 1$ points in convex position.

Combining these two results we obtain that any set of $m \cdot 2^{4n}$ points in \mathbb{R}^2 contains either m points lying on a line or 2^{2n} points in general position and hence $n + 1$ points in convex position. \square

Lemma 8. *Any set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\lambda(X) = \lambda < \infty$ and $\chi(X) = \chi$ satisfies*

$$\lambda < \chi \cdot 2^{4T_2(\chi)}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 7, any set P of $\chi \cdot 2^{4T_2(\chi)}$ points in \mathbb{R}^2 contains either χ points on a line or $T_2(\chi) + 1$ points in general position. If X contains a line with χ one-point holes then X cannot be colored with χ colors. In the second case we get a contradiction by Lemma 6. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. By Lemma 8, the number of one-point holes in X satisfies $\lambda \leq \chi \cdot 2^{4T_2(\chi)}$. Thus by Theorem 1

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(X) &\leq O(\omega^4 + \lambda\omega^2) \\ &\leq O(\chi^4 + \chi \cdot 2^{4T_2(\chi)} \cdot \chi^2). \end{aligned}$$

\square

3 Generalizations to higher dimensions

The proof of Theorem 2 has two main components, Theorem 1 and Lemma 8. In particular, 1-dimensional and 2-dimensional holes are handled by Theorem 1 and 0-dimensional holes by Lemma 8.

We can generalize Lemma 8 to any dimension d (see Lemma 11), but it is unclear whether Theorem 1 can be generalized to dimension 3. We know, however, that it cannot be generalized to dimension 5 or more, due to Theorem 4.

The proof of Theorem 1 is composed of three main steps. The set X is reduced to a closed polygonal set, which is then decomposed into pseudotrapezoids that behave essentially as star-shaped sets. The last step uses the bound $\gamma(X) \leq 2\omega(X)$ for closed star-shaped sets X proved by Breen and Kay [4, Corollary 3].

Unlike Lemma 5.2 in [17], for $X \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\omega(X) < \infty$ and a finite set $P \subset X$, the convex hull $\text{conv}_X(P)$ of P relative to X is not always polygonal. An example of such X is the union of the cylinder $\{(x, y, z) : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1, |z| \leq 1\}$ and two squares $\{(x, y, 1) : |x|, |y| \leq 1\}$ and $\{(x, y, -1) : |x|, |y| \leq 1\}$. We choose P to be the set of the eight points with each coordinate either -1 or 1 . Then $\omega(X) = 3$ and $\text{conv}_X(P) = X$, which is not a polygonal set.

As a particular consequence of this fact, a different classification of the holes is needed. For example, the moment curve $\gamma(t) = (t, t^2, t^3)$ in $X = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \gamma$ cannot be treated as a one-dimensional hole of X , since $\chi(X) = \infty$ (which follows from Lemma 9) and $\omega(X) = 3$ (we leave this as an exercise for the interested reader).

We suggest the following classification of points of the complement of X . A point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus X$ is *k-dense* if there is an affine k -dimensional subspace Y such that the intersection of every open neighborhood of x with $(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus X) \cap Y$ has positive k -dimensional Lebesgue measure. A point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus X$ is *k-sparse* if it is not k -dense. Points that are d -dense or d -sparse are simply called *dense* or *sparse*, respectively.

Lemma 9 together with Lemma 10 bound the maximum number of k -sparse points in general position in any k -dimensional affine subspace in terms of $\chi(X)$.

Regarding the other parts of the proof of Theorem 1, there is no obvious way of generalizing the pseudotrapezoid decomposition in \mathbb{R}^3 , and we are also missing a generalization of Breen and Kay's result to dimension 3.

Problem 2. *Is $\gamma(X)$ or $\chi(X)$ bounded in terms of $\omega(X)$ for closed star-shaped sets $X \subset \mathbb{R}^3$?*

The answer to this question in dimension 4 is negative. Kojman, Perles and Shelah [12] constructed a star-shaped set $X \in \mathbb{R}^4$ with $\omega(X) = 2$ and $\chi(X) = 2^{\aleph_0}$. We describe a

polygonal analogue of this construction. Let P_n be a 4-dimensional cyclic polytope with n vertices. Note that every pair of vertices forms an edge of P_n , therefore the 1-skeleton of P_n is a straight-line embedding of the complete graph K_n . Let $H \subset K_n$ be a triangle-free graph with chromatic number $\Omega(\log n)$; for example, H may be the *shift graph* $S(\sqrt{n}, 2)$ [9]. Choose a point x_e from each edge e of P_n corresponding to an edge of H . By removing all points x_e from P_n , we get a star-shaped set X' with $\omega(X') = 2$ and $\chi(X') = \Omega(\log n)$. To get a closed set, we remove a small and sufficiently flat wedge-shaped neighborhood of each point x_e . The resulting set X still has $\omega(X) = 2$ and $\chi(X) = \Omega(\log n)$.

3.1 Sparse points in higher dimensions

We say that an ordered set (q_1, \dots, q_n) of n points in \mathbb{R}^d is in *same-side position* if they are in general position and for every d -tuple $q_{i_1}, q_{i_2}, \dots, q_{i_d}$ where $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_d$, the points q_{i_d+1}, \dots, q_n lie in a common open half-space determined by the hyperplane spanned by $q_{i_1}, q_{i_2}, \dots, q_{i_d}$. An unordered set is in *same-side position* if some ordering of its points is in same-side position.

Notice that every set of points in clockwise convex position in \mathbb{R}^2 is in same-side position. On the other hand, every set of four or five points in \mathbb{R}^2 is in same-side position, while some of these sets are not in convex position. But if both (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n) and $(q_n, q_{n-1}, \dots, q_1)$ are in same-side position in \mathbb{R}^d , then the set $\{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ is in convex position. This is easy to verify for $n = d + 1$ and the case for general n follows by Carathéodory's theorem (see for example [16]).

Lemma 9. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a set with n sparse points q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n in same-side position. Then*

$$n \leq T_{2d-2}(\chi(X)).$$

Proof. For every d -element set of indices $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_d \leq n$, we define a hyperplane $h(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d)$ passing through the points $q_{i_1}, \dots, q_{i_{d-1}}$ and near the point q_{i_d} at distance $s_{i_1, \dots, i_d} > 0$, which will be determined later. The hyperplane is selected so that the points $q_{i_d}, q_{i_d+1}, \dots, q_n$ are all in one half-space determined by $h(i_1, \dots, i_d)$.

For a set of indices $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{2d-2} \leq n$ let

$$l(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2d-2}) := h(i_1, \dots, i_d) \cap h(i_2, \dots, i_{d+1}) \cap \dots \cap h(i_{d-1}, \dots, i_{2d-2})$$

and for a set of indices $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{2d-1} \leq n$ let

$$p(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2d-1}) := h(i_1, \dots, i_d) \cap h(i_2, \dots, i_{d+1}) \cap \dots \cap h(i_d, \dots, i_{2d-1}).$$

Observe that $l(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2d-2})$ is a line containing the point $q_{i_{d-1}}$ and passing near q_{i_d} . Also observe that $p(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2d-1})$ is a point in the intersection of the lines $l(i_1, \dots, i_{2d-2})$ and $l(i_2, \dots, i_{2d-1})$ and that it lies near q_{i_d} .

Let $B(q_i, \varepsilon)$ be the closed ball of radius ε centered in q_i . We pick an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the measure of each $B(q_i, \varepsilon) \setminus X$ is zero and that no $d + 1$ balls $B(q_i, \varepsilon)$ can be intersected by a hyperplane. This is possible due to the sparsity and the general position of the points q_1, \dots, q_n . Further we pick a $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that for all choices of all $s_{j_1, \dots, j_d} \in (0, \delta)$, each point $p(i_1, \dots, i_{2d-1})$ lies inside $B(q_{i_d}, \varepsilon)$.

We will now select the distances s_{j_1, \dots, j_d} so that each point $p(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2d-1})$ lies inside $B(q_i, \varepsilon) \cap X$.

The distances s_{i_1, \dots, i_d} will be set in the order of nonincreasing i_d . Thus the distance s_{i_1, \dots, i_d} will be set when all the hyperplanes $h(i'_1, \dots, i'_d)$ with $i'_d > i_d$ are already fixed.

For every j such that $0 \leq j \leq d-2$ and every $i_1 < \dots < i_{d+j}$, let $a(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{d+j})$ be the affine subspace $h(i_1, \dots, i_d) \cap h(i_2, \dots, i_{d+1}) \cap \dots \cap h(i_{j-1}, \dots, i_{d+j})$.

Each distance s_{i_1, \dots, i_d} is chosen so that the hyperplane $h(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d)$ satisfies:

1. For every $i_{d+1} < \dots < i_{2d-1}$ where $i_{d+1} > i_d$, the point $p(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2d-1})$ lies inside X .
2. For every j such that $0 \leq j \leq d-2$ and every $i_{d+1} < \dots < i_{d+j}$ where $i_{d+1} > i_d$, the set $(B(q_i, \varepsilon) \cap a(i_1, \dots, i_{d+j})) \setminus X$ has $(d-j-1)$ -dimensional measure zero.

The point $p(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2d-1})$ is the intersection of $h(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d)$ with the line $l(i_2, i_3, \dots, i_{2d-1})$. Because the hyperplanes $h(i'_1, \dots, i'_d)$ with $i'_d > i_d$ satisfy condition 2, the set of numbers s from the interval $(0, \delta)$ that violate condition 1 or 2 has 1-dimensional measure zero. We can thus find s_{i_1, \dots, i_d} satisfying both conditions.

Consider the complete $(2d-1)$ -uniform graph on n vertices. We color its edge $\{i_1, \dots, i_{2d-1}\}$ with the color of the point $p(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2d-1})$ in a fixed proper coloring c of X by $\chi(X)$ colors.

As in the planar case, we obtain the shift graph $S(n, 2d-1)$, whose chromatic number is known to be at least $\log^{(2d-2)}(n)$ [9]. We color its vertices with colors of the corresponding points $p(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2d-1})$ in a fixed proper coloring c of X by $\chi(X)$ colors.

If $n > T_{2d-2}(\chi(X))$ then there are two points $s := p(i_1, \dots, i_{2d-1})$ and $t := p(i_2, \dots, i_{2d})$ with $c(s) = c(t)$. Both of them lie on the line $l(i_2, \dots, i_{2d-1})$, which also contains the point q_{i_d} . To show that q_{i_d} lies between s and t we observe that s is the intersection of the line $l(i_2, \dots, i_{2d-1})$ and the hyperplane $h(i_1, \dots, i_d)$. The point q_{i_d} is near this hyperplane and on the same side as all the points $q_{i_d+1}, q_{i_d+2}, \dots, q_n$. Because t is near q_{i_d+1} , it lies in the same direction from s as q_{i_d} , but q_{i_d} is closer to s . Thus s and t are connected by an edge in the invisibility graph of X , a contradiction. \square

Let $g_1(n) := n$. For any $n, d > 1$, let $g_d(n)$ be the smallest number g such that any set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of g points contains either $g_{d-1}(n)$ points lying in a hyperplane or an n -tuple $(q_1, \dots, q_n) \subset P$ in same-side position. In other words, any set of g points in \mathbb{R}^d contains either n points on a line or there is an affine subspace of \mathbb{R}^d with n points of P in same-side position. If no such number g exists, then we say that $g_d(n)$ is infinite.

In the special case of $d = 2$, Lemma 7 proves that $g_2(n) \leq n2^{4n}$.

The following lemma is a slight modification of Exercise 5.4.3 from [16].

Lemma 10. *The value $g_d(n)$ is finite for all $n, d > 0$.*

Proof. The orientation of a $(d+1)$ -tuple of points (p_1, \dots, p_{d+1}) in \mathbb{R}^d is the sign of the determinant of the matrix A whose columns are the d vectors $p_2 - p_1, p_3 - p_1, \dots, p_{d+1} - p_1$. The orientation is equal to 0 if and only if the points (p_1, \dots, p_{d+1}) lie in a hyperplane. Otherwise it is equal to +1 or -1 and determines on which side of the hyperplane spanned by p_1, \dots, p_d the point p_{d+1} lies.

Let $R_k(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_c; c)$ be the Ramsey number denoting the smallest number r such that if the hyperedges of a complete k -uniform hypergraph on r vertices are colored with c colors, then for some color i , the hypergraph contains a complete sub-hypergraph on l_i vertices whose hyperedges are all of color i .

We will prove the lemma by showing that $g_d(n) \leq R_{d+1}(n, g_{d-1}(n), n; 3)$ for all $d, n > 1$. We take any set P of at least $R_{d+1}(n, g_{d-1}(n), n; 3)$ points. Then we color the $(d+1)$ -tuples of points from P with the colors $-1, 0, +1$ determined by the orientation of the $(d+1)$ -tuple.

If there are $g_{d-1}(n)$ points such that each $(d+1)$ -tuple of them has orientation 0 and thus lies in a hyperplane, then all $g_{d-1}(n)$ points lie in a common hyperplane.

Otherwise there are, without loss of generality, n points q_1, \dots, q_n such that each $(d+1)$ -tuple of them has orientation $+1$. Then for every d -tuple $(q_{i_1}, q_{i_2}, \dots, q_{i_d})$, the points $q_{i_{d+1}}, \dots, q_n$ lie in one half-space determined by the hyperplane spanned by $\{q_{i_1}, q_{i_2}, \dots, q_{i_d}\}$. \square

We note that by a straightforward extension of a recent result by Suk [22], it is possible to bound $g_d(n)$ from above by $T_{d-1}(O(n))$.

Lemma 11. *Any set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\lambda(X) = \lambda < \infty$ and $\chi(X) = \chi$ satisfies*

$$\lambda < g_d(T_{2d-2}(\chi)).$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on d .

In \mathbb{R}^1 , any set X with λ one-point holes needs at least $\lambda + 1$ colors. Thus $\lambda < \chi(X) = g_1(T_0(\chi))$.

Suppose that X has a set P of $g_d(T_{2d-2}(\chi))$ one-point holes. By Lemma 9, there is no set $P' \subseteq P$ of $T_{2d-2}(\chi)$ one-point holes in same-side position. Thus by the definition of g_d , there are $g_{d-1}(T_{2d-2}(\chi)) \geq g_{d-1}(T_{2d-4}(\chi))$ one-point holes in one hyperplane and we obtain a contradiction with the induction hypothesis. \square

4 Constructions in dimension 6

4.1 Set with chromatic number 2

We prove part 1 of Theorem 3.

Let P_n be the *cyclic polytope* on $n \geq 7$ vertices (see for example [16]) and let V_n be its set of vertices. The cyclic polytope is an example of a neighborly polytope, which means that the convex hull of every triple of points from V_n is a triangular face of P_n .

Lemma 12. *Let $n \geq 7$, let V be a set of n elements and let K_n be the complete graph with vertex set V . Let $k := \lceil 2 \log(n) + 2 \rceil$. The edges of K_n can be oriented so that every set $V' \subseteq V$ of size at least k contains a directed triangle.*

Proof. For brevity, we call a set V' *good* if it contains a directed triangle.

We orient the edges randomly and show that with positive probability, every set $V' \subseteq V$ of size at least k is good.

First, we will bound the probability b_k that a given set V' of k vertices is bad. If there exists a directed cycle on V' of length greater than 3, then one of the two cycles created by adding an arbitrary diagonal to the cycle is again directed. By induction there exists a directed triangle on V' .

There are $2^{k(k-1)/2}$ possible orientations of the edges of a complete graph on k vertices, out of which $k!$ are acyclic. Thus

$$b_k = \frac{k!}{2^{k(k-1)/2}} = k!2^{-k^2/2+k/2}.$$

The probability that some k -tuple V' of vertices is bad is thus at most

$$\binom{n}{k} b_k \leq \frac{n^k}{k!} b_k = 2^{k \log(n) - k^2/2 + k/2} = 2^{k(\log(n) - k/2 + 1/2)} \leq 2^{k(-1/2)} < 1.$$




Figure 2: A coloring of a face with directed boundary. The lines determined by pairs (p_1, p_4) , (p_2, p_5) and (p_3, p_6) intersect in the barycenter and split the triangle into monochromatic regions. Full lines and gray regions represent black color, the rest is white.

□

We fix the orientation of the edges of P_n in which every k -tuple of vertices is good. Every oriented edge has two distinguished endpoints, the *head* and the *tail*, and it is oriented towards its head. A triangular face of P_n has *directed boundary* if the three edges of the face form a directed cycle. The set X is constructed by puncturing a one-point hole in the barycenter of each triangular face of P_n with directed boundary.

The vertices of P_n are colored black. The edges are cut in thirds. In every edge, the interior of the middle third together with the point at one third closer to the head of the edge is colored white. The rest of the edge is colored black. The interior of every triangular face F with directed boundary is colored like on Figure 2; that is, the points on each ray originating in the barycenter of F have the same color, which is determined by the color of the point where the ray intersects the boundary of F . The rest of X is colored black.

Every edge of the invisibility graph of X joins two points lying in the same triangular face with directed boundary. The coloring is proper on each of these faces and thus the 2-coloring of the whole set X is proper.

If a convex set C contains at least k vertices of X , then it contains a triangular face with directed boundary and thus C contains a one-point hole. Therefore

$$\gamma(X) \geq \frac{n}{2 \log(n) + 2}.$$

Remark. An alternative construction can be obtained from constructions of partial Steiner triple systems with small independence number.

A *partial Steiner triple system (partial STS)* on a set V of size n is a collection S of triples of elements of V such that for every distinct $s_1, s_2 \in S$, we have $|s_1 \cap s_2| \leq 1$. Brandes, Phelps and Rödl [2] gave a probabilistic construction of a partial STS on a set V of size n such that every subset of at least $c\sqrt{n \log(n)}$ elements of V contains a triple from the STS, where c is an absolute constant. Phelps and Rödl [21] proved that this construction is the best possible, up to the value of the constant c .

We can then construct a set X' from P_n by making a one-point hole in the barycenters of the triangular faces corresponding to the triples in this partial STS on the set V_n . Then X' has chromatic number 2 and $\gamma(X') \geq \sqrt{n/\log(n)}/c$.

Such a partial STS also provides an alternative construction of a closed set with chromatic number at most 4 and arbitrarily large convexity number.

4.2 Closed set with chromatic number 4

For every γ , we construct a closed set X_c and then show that it is star-shaped, $\gamma(X_c) = \gamma$ and $\chi(X_c) = 4$.

4.2.1 The construction

Consider a graph G with colored edges. A triangle in G with three different colors of its edges is a *rainbow triangle*. Let $k(n) := \lfloor 12 \log(n) \rfloor$. We prove a slightly stronger version of the classical exponential lower bound on the three-color Ramsey numbers of complete graphs.

Lemma 13. *For every $n \geq n_0$ where n_0 is an absolute constant, there is a coloring $\phi : E(K_n) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$ of the edges of the complete graph K_n such that the following holds. Every set $V' \subseteq V_n$ of vertices of K_n of size $|V'| = k(n)$ contains a triple $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V'$ that induces a rainbow triangle.*

Proof. Every edge is assigned one of the colors 1, 2 and 3 uniformly and independently at random. For brevity, we call a triple of vertices that does not induce a rainbow triangle a *bad triple*.

First, we will bound the probability b'_k that a set V' of vertices $1, 2, \dots, k$ contains only bad triples of vertices. A triple T is bad with probability $7/9$. Let E_T be the event that the triple T is bad. Let \mathcal{T} be the set of triples of the form $\{2i - 1, 2i, j\}$ for some $i \leq k/2$ and $j > 2i$. Thus $|\mathcal{T}| \geq k(k - 2)/4$. Notice that the events E_T for triples $T \in \mathcal{T}$ are mutually independent. This is because each such $T = \{2i - 1, 2i, j\}$ contains two edges $\{2i - 1, j\}$ and $\{2i, j\}$ not present in any other $T' \in \mathcal{T}$, and the conditional probability of E_T if the color of $\{2i - 1, 2i\}$ is fixed is still $7/9$. The value n_0 is chosen so that $k(n) - 2 \geq \log(n) \cdot 4 / \log(9/7)$ for every $n \geq n_0$. With $k := k(n) = \lfloor 12 \log(n) \rfloor$, we have

$$b'_k \leq \left(\frac{7}{9}\right)^{|\mathcal{T}|} \leq 2^{-k(k-2) \log(9/7)/4} \leq 2^{-k \log(n)}.$$

The probability that some V' of size k contains a bad triple is at most

$$b'_k \cdot \binom{n}{k} < b'_k n^k < 2^{-k \log(n)} 2^{k \log(n)} = 1.$$

□

Let P_n be the cyclic polytope with vertex set V_n of size $n \geq n_0$. We fix a coloring $\phi : E(K_n) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$ with a rainbow triangle on every $k(n)$ -tuple of vertices, which exists by Lemma 13. For a face F of P_n , let $V(F)$ be the set of vertices of F . A triangular face S of P_n is a *rainbow triangular face* if $V(S)$ forms a rainbow triangle in ϕ .

The set X_c is a closed star-shaped set constructed from P_n by cutting out some of its parts, which we now describe in detail.

The *kernel* of a set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is the set of points of X that “see” all the points of X . That is, for every point q in the kernel of X and every $x \in X$, the segment \overline{qx} is fully contained in X . Star-shaped sets are precisely the sets with non-empty kernel.

We consider the whole P_n as a 6-dimensional face of itself. We call a face F of P_n an *ordinary face* if it is a triangular non-rainbow face or a face of dimension 3, 4, 5 or 6. For every ordinary face F of P_n , we fix a point q_F in the interior of F . When constructing X_c , we make sure that the point q_F remains in the kernel of $X_c \cap F$.

For every triangular rainbow face S with $V(S) = \{u, v, w\}$, we do the following. We consider the triangle $T_S = b + 1/10(S - b)$ where b is the barycenter of S . That is, T_S is a homothetic copy of S with the same barycenter. Let u', v' and w' be the vertices of T_S corresponding to u, v and w , respectively. For every $x \in \{u, v, w\}$, let e_x be the edge of S that is not incident with x and let e'_x be the corresponding edge of T_S . We take three hyperplanes h_u, h_v and h_w satisfying the following three conditions for every $x \in \{u, v, w\}$.

- (C1) The hyperplane h_x contains the edge e'_x of T_S .
- (C2) One open halfspace determined by h_x contains x and the interior of T_S , this halfspace is called the *minor halfspace* H_x^- . The other open halfspace is the *major halfspace* H_x^+ and contains the point q_F for every ordinary face F , and all the vertices of P_n except for x .
- (C3) Let e be an edge of P_n incident to x other than the two edges incident to S . Then at most one quarter of e is in H_x^- . Note that all the edges not incident to x are entirely in H_x^+ and that less than three quarters of each of the edges of S incident to x are in H_x^- .

Let $C_S := H_u^- \cap H_v^- \cap H_w^-$. Let \mathcal{S} be the set of all triangular rainbow faces of P_n and let $X_c := P_n \setminus \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} C_S$. Clearly, the set X_c is closed.

For every $S \in \mathcal{S}$, let $\Delta_S = P_n \cap C_S$. That is, Δ_S is a 6-dimensional simplex with part of its boundary missing. Four facets of Δ_S are determined by four of the hyperplanes defining P_n and the other three by h_u, h_v and h_w . We have $X_c = P_n \setminus \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \Delta_S$.

4.2.2 Properties of the constructed set

First, we show that the sets Δ_S are pairwise disjoint and so the three facets of Δ_S defined by the hyperplanes h_u, h_v and h_w are also facets of X_c .

Lemma 14. *Let S_1 and S_2 be two different rainbow triangular faces of P_n . Then the set Δ_{S_2} is contained in the major halfspace of each of the three hyperplanes defining S_1 . In particular, the sets Δ_{S_1} and Δ_{S_2} are disjoint.*

Proof. Let $v \in V(S_2) \setminus V(S_1)$. Let h_v be the hyperplane defining C_{S_2} and satisfying $v \in H_v^-$. Let $u \in V(S_1)$ and let h_u be the hyperplanes defining C_{S_1} such that $u \in H_u^-$. It is enough to show that $H_u^- \cap H_v^- \cap P_n = \emptyset$.

By (C2), the set $H_u^- \cap P_n$ is a convex polytope whose vertex set contains only u and one point from the interior of each edge incident to u . The point u and the edges not incident to v lie in H_v^+ . Since the edge \overline{uv} is not an edge of S_1 , its intersections with H_u^- and H_v^- are disjoint by (C3). All the vertices of the convex polytope $H_u^- \cap P_n$ are thus in H_v^+ and so the whole set $H_u^- \cap P_n$ is in H_v^+ as well. \square

Observation 15. *Let H_1^-, H_2^- and H_3^- be three open halfspaces. Let x and y be two points from the complement of H_i^- for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then the line segment \overline{xy} does not intersect $H_1^- \cap H_2^- \cap H_3^-$.* \square




Figure 3: Examples of the coloring of the interior of triangular faces. a) A rainbow triangular face. b) An ordinary triangular face.

Observation 16. *For every ordinary face F of P_n , the set $F \cap X_c$ is star-shaped. In addition, for every ordinary face $F' \supseteq F$, the point q_F lies in the kernel of $F' \cap X_c$.*

Proof. By (C2), we have $q_F \in F' \cap X_c$. Let x be a point from $F' \cap X_c$ and let S be a triangular rainbow face of P_n . Let h_u , h_v and h_w be the three hyperplanes that determine C_S . We need to show that the segment $\overline{xq_F}$ does not intersect C_S . Since $x \notin C_S$, the point x lies in at most two of the minor halfspaces H_u^- , H_v^- and H_w^- . The point q_F does not lie in any of these minor halfspaces and thus $\overline{xq_F}$ does not intersect $C_S = H_u^- \cap H_v^- \cap H_w^-$ by Observation 15. \square

When a convex set $D \subseteq X_c$ contains at least $k(n)$ vertices of P_n , then it contains a rainbow triangular face S by Lemma 13. Thus D contains the triangle T_S removed from S and so D is not a subset of X_c . Therefore

$$|D \cap V_n| < k(n) = \lfloor 12 \log n \rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(X_c) \geq \frac{n}{12 \log n}.$$

4.2.3 Coloring

We describe a proper coloring $\zeta : X_c \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. That is, a coloring such that every segment with endpoints of the same color is contained in X_c .

All the vertices of P_n get color 4. For every edge $e = \overline{uv}$ of P_n , we color the two closed segments of points at distance at most $\|u-v\|/4$ from u or v with color 4. The remaining points on e are colored by the color of the edge $\{u, v\}$ in the fixed coloring $\phi : E(K_n) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$.

For every triangular rainbow face S of P_n we do the following. The vertices of T_S get color 4. The interior of each of the three edges of T_S gets the color of the middle segment of the corresponding edge of S . Then we color the interior of $S \setminus T_S$ as in Figure 3 a). That is, for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, all the points in the convex hull of points already colored by color i get color i . All the other points of $S \cap X_c$ get color 4. Each of the three facets of the simplex $C_S \cap P_n$ that make part of the boundary of X_c is colored by the color of the edge of T_S it contains, with the exception of the vertices of T_S that have color 4. The points in the intersection of two or three facets get an arbitrary color of the colors on the facets they lie in.




Figure 4: An illustration to Observation 17.

Definition. Let F be a star-shaped set with colored boundary. Let p be a point in the kernel of F . The p -extension of the coloring of the boundary is the following coloring of F . For every point x on the boundary of F , all points on the open segment between p and x get the color of x . The point p gets an arbitrary color of those used on the boundary.

Now we color all the uncolored points of X_c . Each such point lies in the intersection of X_c and an ordinary face of P_n . We start with non-rainbow triangular faces, then we color 3-, 4-, 5- and 6-dimensional faces, in this order. For each such face F , $F \cap X_c$ is colored by the q_F -extension of the coloring of its boundary. An example of a coloring of a triangular non-rainbow face is depicted in Figure 3b).

Observation 17. Let R be a star-shaped set and let p be a point in the kernel of R . Let $r \neq p$ be a point of R and let r' be a point on the ray emanating from p and passing through r lying further away from p than r . For every $s \in R$ if $\overline{sr'} \subseteq R$ then $\overline{sr} \subseteq R$.

Proof. Refer to Figure 4. If p , r and s are collinear, the claim is trivial. Otherwise, let T be the triangle with vertices p , r' and s . Since p is in the kernel of R , the intersection of R and the plane containing T is star-shaped. The boundary of T is a subset of R and so $T \subseteq R$. \square

A *special point* is a point of X_c that is not in the interior of $F \cap X_c$ for any ordinary face F of P_n . That is, special points are vertices of P_n , points on the edges of P_n , and, for every rainbow triangular face S , points of $S \cap X_c$ and $\Delta_S \cap X_c$. A point of X_c that is not special is an *ordinary point*.

Lemma 18. Let S be a triangular rainbow face with $V(S) = \{u, v, w\}$.

- a) Let $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and assume that h_v is the hyperplane that defines C_S and contains the edge of T_S of color i . Then for every special point $x \in H_v^- \cap X_c$, we have $\zeta(x) \neq i$.
- b) Let H_u^- and H_v^- be two of the minor halfspaces determining C_S . Then for every special point $x \in H_u^- \cap H_v^- \cap X_c$, we have $\zeta(x) \neq 4$.

Proof. Let $e_u = \overline{vw}$, $e_v = \overline{uw}$ and $e_w = \overline{uv}$ be the edges of S .

We first prove part a). All vertices of P_n have color 4. If the minor halfspace H_v^- contains a point of an edge of P_n , then this edge is incident to v . No point of the edges e_u and e_w has color i . All the points in the intersection of H_v^- and the edges incident to v other than e_u and e_w have color 4 by (C3). For every rainbow triangular face S' other than S , the simplex $\Delta_{S'}$ is in H_v^+ by Lemma 14. All points of color i on the boundary of Δ_S lie on h_v . Let S' be a rainbow triangular face, including the case $S' = S$. All points of $S' \cap X_c$ of color i lie in




Figure 5: The intersection of the minor halfspaces H_u^- and H_v^- on the rainbow triangular face S and a rainbow triangular face S' sharing the edge \overline{uv} with S .

the convex hull of points of color i on one of the edges of S' and one of the edges of $T_{S'}$. All points on these edges of color i are outside H_v^- and so all the points in $S' \cap X_c$ of color i are outside H_v^- .

We now prove part b). The only vertex of P_n in the halfspace H_u^- is u and the only vertex in H_v^- is v and so the intersection $H_u^- \cap H_v^-$ contains no vertex of P_n . The only edge of P_n with non-empty intersection with $H_u^- \cap H_v^-$ is the edge \overline{uv} and no point of $H_u^- \cap H_v^- \cap \overline{uv}$ has color 4 by (C3).

Refer to Figure 5. For every rainbow triangular face S' , the only points of color 4 on the boundary of $\Delta_{S'}$ are the three vertices of $T_{S'}$. Thus all points of $\Delta_{S'}$ of color 4 lie in S' . If S' has a non-empty intersection with $H_u^- \cap H_v^-$, then u and v are vertices of S' . Observe that no point of S of color 4 lies in $H_v^- \cap H_u^-$. If $S' \neq S$, the triangle $T_{S'}$ is neither in H_v^- nor in H_u^- by Lemma 14 and thus $H_v^- \cap H_u^- \cap S'$ contains only points of the color of the edge $\{u, v\}$. \square

By Lemma 18 and Observation 15, if r and s are special points of the same color, then $\overline{rs} \subseteq X_c$ and so the points r and s are not connected by an edge in the invisibility graph $I(X_c)$.

We now show that ζ is a proper coloring of $I(X)$. Let the *rank* of a special point $r \in X_c$ be 0. The *rank* of an ordinary point $r \in X_c$ is the dimension of the face F of P_n such that r is in the interior of $F \cap X_c$. For contradiction, suppose that there are points $r, s \in X_c$ of the same color such that $\overline{rs} \not\subseteq X_c$. Suppose that among all such pairs, the sum of the ranks of r and s is minimal and that the rank of r is at least as large as the rank of s . Then the rank of r is at least 1. Let F be the ordinary face such that r is in the interior of $F \cap X_c$. Let r' be the point of intersection of the boundary of $F \cap X_c$ with the ray emanating from q_F and passing through r . Thus the rank of r' is smaller than the rank of r . Since $F \cap X_c$ was colored by the q_F -extension of the coloring of its boundary, we have $\zeta(r') = \zeta(r)$. By Observation 17 applied with $p = q_F$ and $R = X_c$, we have that $r's \not\subseteq X_c$. This is a contradiction, because the sum of ranks of r' and s is smaller than the sum of ranks of r and s .

5 Constructions in dimension 5

Here we prove Theorem 4. The constructions are similar to those in dimension 6: for part (1) of the theorem, the set X is a closed cyclic polytope with one-point holes in some of the 2-dimensional faces. For part (2), instead of points, we remove small 5-dimensional simplices attached to the 2-dimensional faces. The difference from the construction in dimension 6 is in the placement of the holes: here we cannot apply the same argument as in the previous section since for the cyclic polytope in dimension 5 only quadratically many triples of vertices induce a 2-dimensional face and there is a 2-coloring of the vertex set in which no 2-dimensional face is monochromatic.

We show two different ways how to choose the holes. In the first construction we essentially show that randomly chosen holes will do, but the proof (interestingly) requires a rather nontrivial result from group theory and needs the axiom of choice. Also the construction proves only part (1) of the theorem. In the second construction we specify the locations of the holes precisely. Moreover, we show that the holes can be enlarged to open simplices, which shows part (2) of the theorem.

Let P_n be a 5-dimensional cyclic polytope on $n \geq 6$ vertices with (ordered) vertex set $V_n = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Every segment $\overline{v_i v_j}$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ forms an edge of P_n . The edges $\overline{v_1 v_i}$ are *edges of type 1i* and the edges $\overline{v_i v_j}$ with $2 \leq i < j \leq n$ are *edges of type ij*.

The 2-dimensional faces of P_n are the triangles

- $v_1 v_i v_j$ for every $1 < i < j \leq n$ (*triangles of type 1ij*),
- $v_i v_j v_n$ for every $1 \leq i < j < n$ (*triangles of type ijn*),
- $v_i v_{i+1} v_j$ for every $1 < i < j - 1 < n$ (*triangles of type $i(i+1)j$*) and
- $v_i v_j v_{j+1}$ for every $1 < i < j < n - 1$ (*triangles of type $ij(j+1)$*).

We emphasize that the symbols i, j in the names of the types do not represent any particular numbers.

5.1 Covering with convex sets

In the constructions proving part (1) of Theorem 4, we remove a one-point hole from every triangle of type $1ij$. In the construction proving part (2), we remove an open flat simplex instead of the point (as in Section 4). The following lemma shows that in both cases, the convexity number of the resulting set can be arbitrarily large.

Lemma 19. *Let X be a subset of P_n such that every edge of P_n is a subset of X and none of the triangles of type $1ij$ is a subset of X . Then $\gamma(X) \geq \Omega(\log n / \log \log n)$.*

Proof. Let $X = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_k$ be a covering of X with convex subsets of X . The covering induces a partition of each open edge $\overline{v_1 v_i}$, $2 \leq i \leq n$, into $k_i \leq k$ intervals $I_i^1, I_i^2, \dots, I_i^{k_i}$, where for each j , the interval I_i^j is covered by a convex set $C_{l(i,j)}$ where $1 \leq l(i,j) \leq k_i$. Since the convex sets in the covering may overlap, this partition need not be unique; in such a case we pick an arbitrary one.

We say that the partitions of two edges $\overline{v_1 v_i}$ and $\overline{v_1 v_{i'}}$ are of the same *type* if $k_i = k_{i'}$, $l(i, p) = l(i', p)$ for each $p = 1, 2, \dots, k_i$ (in other words, the “colors” appear in the same order along the edges), and for each $p = 1, 2, \dots, k_i$ the *type* of the interval I_i^p (that is, closed,

open, or half-closed from the left/right) is the same as the type of the interval $I_{i'}^p$. Degenerate one-point intervals are considered as closed. The number of types of the partitions is at most $2^k \cdot k! \cdot 2^{k-1}$. Indeed, there are at most 2^k subsets of $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$, each of the subsets can be linearly ordered in at most $k!$ ways, and there are at most $k - 1$ boundary points shared by two intervals, where one of the intervals is locally closed and the other one locally open.

It follows that if $n > 2^k \cdot k! \cdot 2^{k-1} + 1$, then there are two edges $\overline{v_1 v_i}$ and $\overline{v_1 v_{i'}}$ with partitions of the same type. The convex hulls $\text{conv}(I_i^p \cup I_{i'}^p)$ cover the whole open triangle $v_1 v_i v_{i'}$, including the one-point hole inside, which is a contradiction. Therefore $n \leq 2^k \cdot k! \cdot 2^{k-1} + 1$, which implies that $\gamma(X) \geq \Omega(\log n / \log \log n)$. \square

5.2 The first construction

The set X is obtained from P_n by making a one-point hole in the interior of each triangle of type $1ij$, in such a way that the 2-dimensional coordinates of the holes, relative to the generating vectors $v_i - v_1$ and $v_j - v_1$, are algebraically independent.

It remains to show that three colors suffice to properly color the invisibility graph $I(X)$. Observe that the interiors of faces of dimensions at least 3 consist entirely of isolated vertices in $I(X)$ and thus can be colored with one color, independently of the rest of the graph. Also observe that the interiors of 2-dimensional faces form a bipartite subgraph of $I(X)$. The main difficulty lies in coloring the edges of P_n , since they may induce odd cycles in the invisibility graph.

Let e_i denote the half-open segment $\overline{v_1 v_i} \setminus \{v_1\}$ and let $h_{i,j}$ denote the one-point hole in the face $v_1 v_i v_j$. Let H be the subgraph of $I(X)$ induced by the union of the segments e_i , $2 \leq i \leq n$.

Observation 20. *Each vertex w of H has degree at most $n - 1$. In particular, if $w \in e_i$, then w has at most one neighbor on every edge e_j with $j \neq i$.*

Proof. For each neighbor u of w , the segment $\overline{w u}$ passes through a one-point hole $h_{i,j}$, for some $j \neq i$. There are $n - 1$ such one-point holes. The observation then follows from the fact that the ray $wh_{i,j}$ intersects $V(H) \setminus \{w\}$ in at most one point, which lies on the edge e_j . \square

Observation 20 implies that each connected component of H is countable, therefore H has a continuum connected components. In the next observation we show, in particular, that H has only countably many odd cycles, which implies that almost all components of H are bipartite. Then we show that each component with an odd cycle is 3-colorable.

In the rest of the section we will identify each edge e_i with the half open interval $(0, 1]$ by an affine map that sends the vertex v_1 to 0 and the vertex v_i to 1.

For $i, j = 2, 3, \dots, n$, $i \neq j$, let $N_{i,j} : e_i \cup \{\lambda\} \rightarrow e_j \cup \{\lambda\}$ be the function that assigns to each point $w \in e_i$ its neighbor in e_j . In case such a neighbor does not exist (the ray from w through the hole $h_{i,j}$ does not intersect e_j), we let $N_{i,j}(w) := \lambda$. We also define $N_{i,j}(\lambda) := \lambda$.

Observation 21. 1) *Each function $N_{i,j}$ is strictly decreasing on $N_{i,j}^{-1}[e_j]$.*

2) *A composition $N_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k+1}}$ of an odd number of functions $N_{i_1, i_2}, N_{i_2, i_3}, \dots, N_{i_k, i_{k+1}}$ is strictly decreasing on $N_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k+1}}^{-1}[e_{k+1}]$. Therefore if $i_1 = i_{k+1}$, then $N_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k+1}}$ has at most one fixed point in e_{i_1} .*




Figure 6: Deriving the formula for the function $N_{i,j}$.

- 3) For each sequence i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k of odd length there is at most one cycle $w_1 w_2 \dots w_k$ in H with $w_j \in e_{i_j}$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. □

Now we find a precise form of the functions $N_{i,j}$, which will allow us to determine all the odd cycles in H .

The edges e_i and e_j determine a canonical coordinate system in the triangle $v_1 v_i v_j$, by an affine map to \mathbb{R}^2 that sends the vertex v_1 to $(0,0)$, v_i to $(1,0)$ and v_j to $(0,1)$. Let $(x_{i,j}, y_{i,j})$ be the coordinates of the hole $h_{i,j}$.

Observation 22. Suppose that $N_{i,j}(x) = y$ for some $x, y \in (0, 1]$. Then

$$y = y_{i,j} + \frac{x_{i,j} y_{i,j}}{x - x_{i,j}} = \frac{y_{i,j} x}{x - x_{i,j}}.$$

Proof. Refer to Figure 6. By the similarity of the two shaded triangles, we have

$$\frac{x - x_{i,j}}{y_{i,j}} = \frac{x_{i,j}}{y - y_{i,j}}$$

and the formula follows. □

Observation 22 shows that $N_{i,j}$ is a fractional linear transformation (when restricted to the segment $N_{i,j}^{-1}[e_j]$). Therefore, we can alternatively describe $N_{i,j}$ as a projective map of the real projective line, or by a 2×2 matrix

$$M_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{i,j} & 0 \\ 1 & -x_{i,j} \end{pmatrix},$$

which satisfies

$$M_{i,j} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} yz \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$

for some nonzero z , which depends on x . Since scalar multiples of $M_{i,j}$ determine the same projective map, we may choose a representing matrix $M'_{i,j}$ with determinant -1 :

$$M'_{i,j} := M_{i,j} \cdot \sqrt{x_{i,j} y_{i,j}} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{i,j} & 0 \\ d_{i,j} & -c_{i,j}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $c_{i,j} = \sqrt{x_{i,j}/y_{i,j}}$ and $d_{i,j} = \sqrt{x_{i,j} y_{i,j}}$. Note that $M'_{j,i}$ is the inverse of $M'_{i,j}$. Composition of maps $N_{i,j}$ now corresponds to multiplication of the matrices $M'_{i,j}$.

Observation 23. A map $N_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k, i_1}$ has a fixed point x if and only if $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is an eigenvector of the matrix $M'_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k, i_1} := M'_{i_k, i_1} \cdots M'_{i_2, i_3} M'_{i_1, i_2}$. \square

Lemma 24. Let A and B be two lower-triangular 2×2 matrices that share an eigenvector $v_x = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, for some $x \neq 0$. Then $AB = BA$.

Proof. If A is diagonal and has an eigenvector v_x with $x \neq 0$, then A is a scalar multiple of the identity matrix, and so it commutes with any 2×2 matrix. The case of B being diagonal is symmetric. From now assume that neither of A or B is diagonal.

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} a' & 0 \\ b' & c' \end{pmatrix}$. Then $AB = BA$ if and only if $ba' + cb' = b'a + c'b$, equivalently, $b(a' - c') = b'(a - c)$. Since $x \neq 0$, the vector v_x is an eigenvector of A if and only if $a = bx + c$, equivalently, $x = (a - c)/b$ (note that $b \neq 0$ as A is not diagonal by our assumption). Similarly, v_x is an eigenvector of B if and only if $x = (a' - c')/b'$. Therefore, A and B share an eigenvector v_x , for some nonzero x , if and only if $(a - c)/b = (a' - c')/b'$, equivalently, $b(a' - c') = b'(a - c)$. \square

We will use the following algebraic results about free group rings.

Theorem 25. [14] (see also [8]) Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m]$ be the ring of polynomials over \mathbb{Z} with variables $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m$. Let $M_2(R)$ be the ring of all 2×2 matrices with entries from R . Let H be a free metabelian group with basis X_1, \dots, X_m . Then there is a faithful representation $\varphi : H \rightarrow M_2(R)$ such that $\varphi(X_i) = \begin{pmatrix} x_i & y_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Theorem 26. Suppose that the entries $c_{i,j}, d_{i,j}$ in the matrices $M'_{i,j}$, $2 \leq i < j \leq n$, are algebraically independent. Then the matrices $M'_{i,j}$, $2 \leq i < j \leq n$, generate a free metabelian group G .

Proof. Let G be the group generated by the matrices $M'_{i,j}$, $2 \leq i < j \leq n$. Considering an automorphism ψ of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ given by $\psi(A) = (A^T)^{-1}$, we get that G is isomorphic to $K = \psi(G)$, which is generated by the matrices $P_{ij} = \psi(M'_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} e_{i,j} & f_{i,j} \\ 0 & -e_{i,j}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, $2 \leq i < j \leq n$, where $e_{i,j} = c_{i,j}^{-1}$ and $f_{i,j} = d_{i,j}$, $2 \leq i < j \leq n$, are again algebraically independent. Let $\text{UT}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of all upper triangular matrices. Since the map $\pi : \text{UT}_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{UT}_2(\mathbb{R})$ defined as $\pi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} a/c & b/c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a homomorphism, $K \subseteq \text{UT}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\ker(\pi) \cap K = 1$, we obtain that K is isomorphic to $\pi(K)$, which is generated by the matrices $\pi(P_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{i,j} & y_{i,j} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $2 \leq i < j \leq n$, where $x_{i,j} = -e_{i,j}^2$ and $y_{i,j} = -e_{i,j} f_{i,j}$. Let $\mu : \mathbb{Z}[\{X_{i,j}, Y_{i,j} | 2 \leq i < j \leq n\}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\{E_{i,j}, F_{i,j} | 2 \leq i < j \leq n\}]$ be a substitution defined as $\mu(X_{i,j}) = -E_{i,j}^2$ and $\mu(Y_{i,j}) = -E_{i,j} F_{i,j}$. Since μ is injective on the set of all monomials (with coefficients), it is a monomorphism. Hence $x_{i,j}$ and $y_{i,j}$, $2 \leq i < j \leq n$, are again algebraically independent.

Theorem 25 now implies that $\pi(K)$ is a free metabelian group. \square

Theorem 27. [15] (see also [8, 1.14]) Let G be a free metabelian group and G' its commutator subgroup. Two elements $a, b \in G \setminus G'$ commute if and only if they are contained in a common cyclic subgroup of G . That is, there exists $c \in G \setminus G'$ such that $a = c^m$ and $b = c^n$ for some pair of integers m, n .

An (e_i, e_j) -edge is an edge uv of H with $u \in e_i$ and $v \in e_j$. Note that as the edges of H are not oriented, each (e_i, e_j) -edge is also an (e_j, e_i) -edge.

Lemma 28. *Let x be a vertex of H and let $C[x]$ be the component of H containing x . There exist i, j such that every odd cycle in $C[x]$ contains an (e_i, e_j) -edge.*

Proof. Every odd cycle C in $C[x]$ can be extended to an odd closed walk PCP^{-1} starting and ending at x , where P is a path from x to a vertex of C . We will show that there exist i, j such that every odd closed walk passing through x has an odd number of (e_i, e_j) -edges, from which the lemma follows.

Let $W = (w_1 = x, w_2, \dots, w_k, x)$ and $W' = (w'_1 = x, w'_2, \dots, w'_{k'}, x)$ be two odd closed walks starting at x . For each $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, let e_{i_j} be the segment containing w_j . Similarly, for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, k'$, let $e_{i'_j}$ be the segment containing w'_j . Then by Observation 23, the matrices $M_W = M'_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k, i_1}$ and $M_{W'} = M'_{i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_{k'}, i'_1}$ share the eigenvector $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $x > 0$, Lemma 24 implies that M_W and $M_{W'}$ commute.

Let G be the group generated by the matrices $M'_{i,j}$. Since both matrices M_W and $M_{W'}$ are a product of an odd number of generators $M'_{i,j}$, their determinants are -1 and thus they lie outside of the commutator subgroup G' .

By Theorems 26 and 27, there is a matrix $L \in G$ such that $M_W = L^m$ and $M_{W'} = L^n$, for some integers m, n . Since G is a free metabelian group by Theorem 26, the generator matrices $M'_{i,j}$ satisfy only identities where the total power of each generator is zero. This means, in particular, that L is a product of an odd number of generators, n and m are odd, and for each generator $M'_{i,j}$, the total powers of $M'_{i,j}$ in L, M_W and $M_{W'}$, written as products of the generators, have the same parity. In particular, there is a matrix $M'_{i,j}$ that has an odd total power in M_W and hence it has an odd total power in $M_{W'}$ for every other odd closed walk W' passing through x . This means that all odd closed walks W passing through x have an odd number of (e_i, e_j) -edges. \square

Lemma 28 implies that $C[x] \setminus e_i$ is a bipartite graph. Since $C[x] \cap e_i$ is an independent set, the whole component $C[x]$ can be properly colored with three colors. Consequently, the whole subgraph H is 3-colorable. We fix one such coloring using colors 1, 2 and 3.

It remains to define the coloring on the rest of the points of X . First we assign color 1 to the vertex v_1 (we may do this as the vertex v_1 can see all points of H). Next we color the interiors of the edges of type ij and the interiors of the 2-dimensional faces of type $1ij$, using colors 1 and 2 only. Finally we color the remaining points of X , which are isolated in $I(X)$, with color 1.

5.3 The second construction

The *median* of a triangle is a line segment joining a vertex to the midpoint of the opposite side.

Observation 29. *Let T be a triangle with vertices A, B and C . Let AM be a median of T and let $P \neq M$ be a point on AM . Let P_0 be the intersection of the line AB and the line parallel to BC that passes through P . Let X be the intersection of the line AB and the line CP . Then $\|B - P_0\| > \|P_0 - X\|$.*

Proof. Refer to Figure 7. Take the parallelogram $B'C'CB$ that has BC as one of its edges and P as the intersection of the diagonals. Then BB' is parallel to MA and so P_0 lies in the interior of the parallelogram $B'C'CB$. Then X also lies in the interior of the parallelogram and so its distance from P_0 is smaller than the distance between P_0 and B . \square




Figure 7: An illustration the proof of Observation 29.

The *relative height* of a point $p \in \overline{v_1x}$, where x is a point on one of the edges of type ij , is the ratio of the distances of x from p and from v_1 :

$$\text{rh}(p) := \frac{\|p - x\|}{\|v_1 - x\|}.$$

For every i and j such that $2 \leq i < j \leq n$, we let p_{ij} be the point on the median between v_1 and the midpoint m_{ij} of the edge v_iv_j with relative height

$$\text{rh}(p_{ij}) = \frac{3^{ni+j-n^2}}{2}.$$

We now prove the first part of Theorem 4.

Theorem 30. *Let $X := P_n \setminus \{p_{ij}, 2 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. We have*

$$\gamma(X) \geq \Omega(\log n / \log \log n) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(X) = 2.$$

Proof. The bound $\gamma(X) \geq \Omega(\log n / \log \log n)$ follows from Lemma 19.

For simplicity of notation, we define $p_{kl} := p_{lk}$ for every $k > l$.

Let G be the subgraph of the invisibility graph $I(X)$ induced by the points on the edges of type $1i$. We first show that G is acyclic and so it can be colored by two colors. For contradiction, assume that G contains a cycle. Let s be the point of the cycle of maximum relative height and let t and t' be its neighbors along the cycle. Let k, l and l' be the distinct indices such that $s \in \overline{v_1v_k}$, $t \in \overline{v_1v_l}$ and $t' \in \overline{v_1v_{l'}}$. We have $p_{kl} \in \overline{st}$ and $p_{kl'} \in \overline{st'}$. By Observation 29, $\text{rh}(p_{kl}), \text{rh}(p_{kl'}) \in [\text{rh}(s)/2, \text{rh}(s)]$. This is a contradiction since the ratio between the relative heights of any two points from $\{p_{ij}, 2 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ is at least 3.

We can thus color all the points on the edges of type $1i$ with two colors. Every point in the interior of an edge of type ij is connected in $I(X)$ to exactly one already colored point and is not connected to any point on an edge of type ij . Therefore we can extend the coloring to all the points on all the edges of X .

Let q be a point in the interior of a triangular face S with vertices v_1, v_k and v_l . Then the ray emanating from p_{kl} and passing through q intersects one of the edges of S . We color q by the color of the point in the intersection. This produces a proper coloring of the subgraph of $I(X)$ induced by the points on the triangular faces of type $1ij$.

All points of X lying outside the triangular faces of type $1ij$ are vertices of degree zero in $I(X)$ and so they can be colored by color 1. \square

Note that the presented proof of Theorem 30 relies on the axiom of choice. However, the proof of the second part of Theorem 4 below can be modified to construct, in a finite number of steps, a 2-coloring of $I(P_n \setminus \{p_{ij}, 2 \leq i < j \leq n\})$ with two colors, thus providing a proof without the need for the axiom of choice.

Let $I \subseteq [0, 1]$ be an interval. To simplify the notation, we say that a point $x \in \overline{v_1 v_i}$ lies in the I -interval of the edge $\overline{v_1 v_i}$ if $\text{rh}(x) \in I$. The (k, l) -piece of an edge of type $1i$ is the $[3^{kn+l-1-n^2}, 3^{kn+l-n^2})$ -interval of the edge.

Assume that a line segment with endpoints $x \in \overline{v_1 v_i}$ and $y \in \overline{v_1 v_j}$ contains p_{ij} . By Observation 29, x and y lie in the $[0, 2 \cdot \text{rh}(p_{ij}))$ -intervals of the edges $\overline{v_1 v_i}$ and $\overline{v_1 v_j}$, respectively.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we let $T_{ij}(\varepsilon)$ be the image of the triangular face $v_1 v_i v_j$ under the homothety with center p_{ij} and ratio ε . Since $2 \cdot \text{rh}(p_{ij}) = 3^{ni+j-n^2}$, we have the following corollary of Observation 29.

Corollary 31. *For every i and j satisfying $2 \leq i < j \leq n$, there is $\varepsilon'_{ij} > 0$ and $\bar{\delta}_{i,j} > 0$ satisfying the following. Whenever a line segment with endpoints $x \in \overline{v_1 v_i}$ and $y \in \overline{v_1 v_j}$ intersects $T_{ij}(\varepsilon'_{ij})$, then x and y lie in the $[0, 3^{ni+j-n^2})$ -intervals of $\overline{v_1 v_i}$ and $\overline{v_1 v_j}$, respectively. Additionally, at most one of x and y lies in the $[0, 3^{ni+j-1-n^2} + \bar{\delta}_{i,j}]$ -interval of the edge of type $1i$ that contains it. \square*

The construction of the closed set X_c proving the second part of Theorem 4 is similar to the construction of the closed set proving the second part of Theorem 3. For every triangular face of type $1ij$, we take the triangle $T_{ij}(\varepsilon_{ij})$ for an appropriately chosen ε_{ij} . Then we remove from P_n , for every triangular face of type $1ij$, a flat open simplex Δ_{ij} having $T_{ij}(\varepsilon_{ij})$ as a triangular face. However, the requirements posed on the flatness of the simplices are different from the proof of the second part of Theorem 3.

We start by defining the values ε_{ij} and a coloring of the points on the edges of P_n of type $1i$. The δ -neighborhood of a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^5$ is the set of points of \mathbb{R}^5 at distance less than δ from x .

Lemma 32. *There are $\delta > 0$, $\varepsilon_{ij} \in (0, 1)$ for every i, j satisfying $2 \leq i < j \leq n$, and a coloring of the points on the edges of P_n of type $1i$ using colors from $\{1, 2, 3\}$ satisfying the following. No segment between two points in δ -neighborhoods of equally colored points intersects any of the triangles $T_{ij}(\varepsilon_{ij})$.*

Proof. We first color all the points in the $[0, 3^{2n-n^2})$ -interval of every edge of type $1i$ by color 1. We then proceed in steps (k, l) , $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ in the lexicographic order. In the step (k, l) , we color the (k, l) -pieces of all the edges of type $1i$ and fix ε_{kl} . During the process, we guarantee that after each step, the points in each color class form a union of finitely many nondegenerate subsegments of the edges of type $1i$.

We say that a segment \overline{pq} is *blocked* if \overline{pq} intersects some of the triangles $T_{ij}(\varepsilon'_{ij})$ where $2 \leq i < j \leq n$ and ε'_{ij} is from Corollary 31.

When considering a single step (k, l) , the points colored before this step are the points in the $[0, 3^{kn+l-1-n^2})$ -intervals of the edges of type $1i$. These are the *old points*. The *new points* are the points in the (k, l) -pieces of the edges of type $1i$.

When $l \leq k$, then in the step (k, l) , we color all the new points by color 1. Let a be a new point. Let b be a new point or an old point. By Corollary 31, when we use $\delta_{k,l} = \bar{\delta}_{k,l}$, no blocked segment has endpoints in the $\delta_{k,l}$ -neighborhoods of a and b .

We now describe a step (k, l) where $l > k$.




Figure 8: A coloring of the points in the k -th piece of the edge $\overline{v_1 v_k}$. The points in the segments A, B and C are not allowed to be colored by color 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

By Corollary 31, if a segment between points q and r is blocked and q is new and r is either old or new, then one of q and r lies on $\overline{v_1 v_k}$ and the other on $\overline{v_1 v_l}$. We color all the new points on the edges $\overline{v_1 v_i}$, where $i \in \{2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k, l\}$, by color 1.

We take a coloring of the (k, l) -piece of $\overline{v_1 v_k}$ with colors $\{1, 2, 3\}$ such that some $\delta'_{k,l} > 0$ and $\epsilon''_{k,l}$ with $\epsilon'_{k,l} > \epsilon''_{k,l} > 0$ satisfy the following. For every color c , every segment between a point in the $\delta'_{k,l}$ -neighborhood of the newly colored points of color c and a point in the $\delta'_{k,l}$ -neighborhood of old points of color c is disjoint with $T_{k,l}(\epsilon''_{k,l})$. In addition, each color class is composed of finitely many nondegenerate segments. See Figure 8.

We continue by coloring the new points on the edge $\overline{v_1 v_l}$. We take a coloring of the new points on $\overline{v_1 v_l}$ with colors $\{1, 2, 3\}$ such that some $\delta_{k,l} \in (0, \delta'_{k,l}]$ and $\epsilon_{k,l} \in (0, \epsilon''_{k,l})$ satisfy the following. For every color c , every segment between a point in the $\delta_{k,l}$ -neighborhood of a new point of color c on $\overline{v_1 v_l}$ and a point in the $\delta_{k,l}$ -neighborhood of an old or new point of color c on $\overline{v_1 v_k}$ is disjoint with $T_{k,l}(\epsilon_{k,l})$. In addition, each color class is composed of finitely many nondegenerate segments.

Finally we take $\delta := \min_{k \in \{2, \dots, n\}, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \delta_{k,l}$. □

Theorem 33. *For every $2 \leq i < j \leq n$, there are $\epsilon_{ij} \in (0, 1)$ and open simplices Δ_{ij} having $T_{ij}(\epsilon_{ij})$ as a triangular face, disjoint with the edges of P_n , and satisfying the following. Let $X_c := P_n \setminus \bigcup_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} \Delta_{ij}$. Then we have*

$$\gamma(X_c) \geq \Omega(\log n / \log \log n) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(X_c) \leq 6.$$

Proof. The bound on $\gamma(X_c)$ follows from Lemma 19.

We fix the coloring of the edges of type $1i$ and the values ϵ_{ij} obtained in Lemma 32.

All points on the edges of type ij are colored by color 4. All other points in triangular faces of type $1ij$ at distance at most δ from an edge of type $1i$ are colored by the color of a nearest point on an edge of type $1i$. By the coloring and the choice of $\delta_{k,l}$, every segment with endpoints in two colored points of the same color from $\{1, 2, 3\}$ is disjoint with all the triangles $T_{k,l}(\epsilon_{k,l})$.

with vertices u, v and w , let $C_S := H_u^- \cap H_v^- \cap H_w^-$ and let $X_c := P_n \setminus \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} C_S$. For every $S \in \mathcal{S}$, let $\Delta_S := P_n \cap C_S$. For every $x \in \{u, v, w\}$, the hyperplane h_x is assigned the color of the points on the edge e'_x . Every point on the common boundary of Δ_S and X_c lies on one, two or three of the hyperplanes h_u, h_v and h_w , and gets the color of one of these hyperplanes on which it lies.

All the vertices and edges of P_n are contained in some triangular face of type $1ij$ and so it remains to color the points in the interiors of the ordinary faces. We take all the ordinary faces in the order of nondecreasing dimension. For every ordinary face F , we color the interior of $F \cap X_c$ by the q_F -extension of the coloring of the boundary of $F \cap X_c$.

A *special point* is a point of X_c that is not in the interior of $F \cap X_c$ for any ordinary face F of P_n . First, we show that for every pair s, t of special points of the same color $c \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, the segment \overline{st} is contained in the set X_c . Since the color of s and t is from $\{1, 2, 3\}$, each of them is at distance at most δ from some edge of type $1i$. Let S be a triangular face of type $1ij$.

If s and t are at distance at most δ from the edges of S of type $1i$, then we let s' and t' be their orthogonal projections on the 2-dimensional affine space containing S . Then s' and t' are at distance at most δ from the edges of S of type $1i$, and so the segment $\overline{s't'}$ is disjoint from T_S by Lemma 32. By (C4), \overline{st} is disjoint with Δ_S .

Otherwise, assume that s is at distance larger than δ from the two $1i$ -edges of S . Then s is in all the three major halfspaces associated with S and so, by Observation 15, \overline{st} is disjoint with Δ_S .

Let s and t be two special points of color $c \in \{4, 5, 6\}$. Let S be a triangular face of type $1ij$. If both s and t are in $S \cup \Delta_S$, then both s and t are in $h_x \cup H_x^+$ where x is the vertex such that e'_x has color c . Thus \overline{st} is disjoint with Δ_S . Otherwise, assume that s does not lie in $S \cup \Delta_S$. By (C3), s does not lie in any minor halfspace associated with S and so \overline{st} is disjoint with Δ_S .

Thus, if r and s are special points of the same color, then $\overline{rs} \subseteq X_c$. We apply Observation 17 in the same way as in the proof of the second part of Theorem 3 in Section 4 to conclude that if some two points $s, t \in X_c$ have the same color, then $\overline{st} \subseteq X_c$. \square

6 Concluding remarks

To solve Problem 1 in dimension 4, we could use a construction similar to those in dimensions 5 and 6 provided the following problem has a positive answer.

Problem 3. *Does there exist for every k a convex simplicial polytope $P(k)$ in \mathbb{R}^4 such that in every coloring of vertices of $P(k)$ by k colors we can find a triangular face whose vertices are monochromatic?*

Assuming the polytope $P(k)$ from Problem 3 exists, the set X from Problem 1 is obtained from $P(k)$ by making a one-point hole in an arbitrary point inside every triangular face. Such a set X cannot be covered by k convex sets since otherwise one of the convex sets would contain three vertices of a triangular face.

The invisibility graph $I(X)$ can be colored by 13 colors in the following way. All the vertices of $P(k)$ get color 1. Tancer [23] has shown that the edges of every 2-dimensional simplicial complex PL-embeddable in \mathbb{R}^3 can be colored by 12 colors so that for every triangular face the three edges on its boundary have three different colors. This applies, in particular,

to the 2-skeleton of every 4-dimensional convex simplicial polytope. Thus we may use colors $2, 3, \dots, 13$ to color the interiors of edges of $P(k)$ so that every edge gets only one color and edges in the same triangular face get distinct colors. For each triangular face, the interior of the segment connecting the one-point hole with a point p on the boundary is colored by the color of p . All the remaining points of X are isolated in $I(X)$ and thus may be colored arbitrarily.

The boundary complex of a 4-dimensional convex simplicial polytope is a special case of a triangulation of S^3 . If we relax the condition on polytopality in Problem 3 and ask only for a triangulation of S^3 , then the answer is yes. Heise et al. [10] constructed, for every k , a 2-dimensional simplicial complex linearly embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 such that in every coloring of its vertices with k colors at least one of the triangles is monochromatic. We found the same simplicial complex independently, modifying Boris Bukh's construction, which was communicated to us by Martin Tancer. The vertices of the complex are placed on the moment curve and a suitable noncrossing subset of triangles is chosen for the faces. It remains to extend the embedded complex to a triangulation of the whole \mathbb{R}^3 , or S^3 ; see [1, Lemma 6] or [25, Lemma or Theorem 5]. We thank Karim Adiprasito for bringing these two references to our attention.

References

- [1] R. H. Bing, An alternative proof that 3-manifolds can be triangulated, *Annals of Mathematics, Second Series* **69**(1) (1959), 37–65.
- [2] M. Brandes, K. Phelps and V. Rödl, Coloring Steiner Triple Systems, *SIAM Journal on Algebraic and Discrete Methods* **3**(2) (1982), 241–249.
- [3] M. Breen, Decomposition theorems for 3-convex subsets of the plane, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* **53**(1) (1974), 43–57.
- [4] M. Breen and D. C. Kay, General decomposition theorems for m -convex sets in the plane, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **24**(3–4) (1976), 217–233.
- [5] H. G. Eggleston, A condition for a compact plane set to be a union of finitely many convex sets, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* **76** (1974), 61–66.
- [6] P. Erdős and G. Szekeres, A combinatorial problem in geometry, *Compositio Mathematica* **2** (1935), 463–470.
- [7] S. Geschke, More on convexity numbers of closed sets in \mathbb{R}^n , *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **133**(5) (2004), 1307–1315.
- [8] N. Gupta, *Free group rings*, *Contemporary Mathematics*, American Mathematical Society, Providence (1987).
- [9] P. Hell and J. Nešetřil, *Graphs and Homomorphisms*, Oxford University Press, Oxford (2004).
- [10] C. G. Heise, K. Panagiotou, O. Pikhurko and A. Taraz, Coloring d -embeddable k -uniform hypergraphs, [arXiv:1209.4879v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1209.4879v1) (2012).

- [11] M. Kojman, Cantor–Bendixson degrees and convexity in \mathbb{R}^2 , *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **121** (2001), 85–91.
- [12] M. Kojman, M. A. Perles and S. Shelah, Sets in a Euclidean space which are not a countable union of convex subsets, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **70**(3) (1990), 313–342.
- [13] J. Lawrence and W. Morris, Finite sets as complements of finite unions of convex sets, *Discrete & Computational Geometry* **42**(2) (2009), 206–218.
- [14] W. Magnus, On a theorem of Marshall Hall, *Annals of Mathematics* **40**(2) (1939), 764–768.
- [15] A. I. Malcev, On free solvable groups, *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR* **130** (1960), 495–498 (Russian), [English translation: *Soviet Mathematics, Doklady* **1** (1960), 65–68].
- [16] J. Matoušek, *Lectures on Discrete Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York (2002).
- [17] J. Matoušek and P. Valtr, On visibility and covering by convex sets, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **113**(3) (1999), 341–379.
- [18] R. L. McKinney, On unions of two convex sets, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* **18** (1966), 883–886.
- [19] N. Nitzan and M. Perles, A planar 3-convex set is indeed a union of six convex sets. *Discrete & Computational Geometry* **49**(3) (2013), 454–477.
- [20] M. Perles and S. Shelah, A closed $(n + 1)$ -convex set in \mathbb{R}^2 is a union of n^6 convex sets, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **70**(3) (1990), 305–312.
- [21] K. Phelps and V. Rödl, Steiner triple systems with minimum independence number, *Ars Combinatoria* **21** (1986), 167–172.
- [22] A. Suk, A note on order-type homogeneous point sets, *Mathematika* **60**(1) (2014), 37–42.
- [23] M. Tancer, unpublished manuscript.
- [24] F. Valentine, A three point convexity property, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* **7**(2) (1957), 1227–1235.
- [25] J. H. C. Whitehead and M. H. A. Newman, On subdivisions of complexes, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* **31**(1) (1935), 69–75.