

General Relativistic Evolution Equations for Density Perturbations in Closed, Flat and Open FLRW Universes

P. G. Miedema*
Netherlands Defence Academy
Hogeschoollaan 2
NL-4818CR Breda
The Netherlands

March 9, 2021

Abstract

It is shown that the decomposition theorems of York, Stewart and Walker for symmetric spatial second-rank tensors, such as the perturbed metric tensor and perturbed Ricci tensor, and the spatial fluid velocity vector imply that, for open, flat or closed Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker universes, there are exactly two, unique, independent gauge-invariant quantities which describe the true, physical perturbations to the energy density and particle number density. Using these two new quantities, evolution equations for cosmological density perturbations and for entropy perturbations, adapted to non-barotropic equations of state for the pressure, are derived. Density perturbations evolve adiabatically if and only if the particle number density does not contribute to the pressure. Local density perturbations do not affect the global expansion of the universe. The new perturbation theory has an exact non-relativistic limit in a non-static universe. The gauge problem of cosmology has thus been solved.

PACS: 04.25.Nx; 98.80.-k; 98.80.Jk

KEYWORDS: Cosmology; Perturbation theory; mathematical and relativistic aspects of cosmology

*PG.Miedema@ProtonMail.com

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Gauge Problem of Cosmology	3
1.2	Previous Attempts to solve the Gauge Problem of Cosmology	3
1.3	Solution of the Gauge Problem of Cosmology	4
2	Preliminaries	5
2.1	Choosing a System of Reference	5
2.2	Equations of State for the Pressure	6
3	Einstein Equations and Conservation laws for Closed, Flat and Open FLRW Universes	6
3.1	Background Equations	6
3.2	Linearized Equations	7
4	Decomposition of the Spatial Metric Tensor, the Spatial Ricci Tensor and the Spatial Fluid Velocity	8
4.1	Tensor Perturbations	8
4.2	Vector Perturbations	9
4.3	Scalar Perturbations	9
5	Linearized Equations for Scalar Perturbations in Closed, Flat and Open FLRW Universes	9
6	Unique Gauge-invariant Quantities	11
7	Non-relativistic Limit	12
8	Evolution Equations for the Density Contrast Functions	15
9	Thermodynamics	17
9.1	Pressure and Temperature Perturbations	17
9.2	Diabatic Density Perturbations	18
9.3	Adiabatic Density Perturbations	18
10	Conclusion	19
A	Derivation of the Evolution Equations using Computer Algebra	20
A.1	Derivation of the Evolution Equation for the Energy Density Perturbation	20
A.2	Derivation of the Evolution Equation for the Entropy Perturbation	22
A.3	Evolution Equations for the Contrast Functions	23

1 Introduction

The theory related to the linearized Einstein equations and conservation laws is important in cosmology because it describes the growth of all kinds of structures in the expanding universe, such as stars, galaxies and microwave background fluctuations. Lifshitz (1946) and Lifshitz and Khalatnikov (1963) were the first researchers to derive a cosmological perturbation theory. They encountered the problem that the solutions of the linearized Einstein equations and conservation laws have no physical significance. Due to

the linearity of the equations, the solutions can be changed by a linear coordinate transformation, i.e., a gauge transformation. Therefore, the solutions are *gauge-dependent*. This is the well-known *gauge problem of cosmology* which is up till now not solved.

1.1 Gauge Problem of Cosmology

Consider a closed, flat or open Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) universe filled with a perfect fluid, with an equation of state for the pressure which depends, according to thermodynamics, on the particle number density n and the energy density ε . The evolution of the energy density $\varepsilon_{(0)}$ and the particle number density $n_{(0)}$ of the background universe are governed by the usual Einstein equations and conservation laws for a FLRW universe. The general solution of the linearized Einstein equations and conservation laws contains, among other quantities, $\varepsilon_{(1)}$ and $n_{(1)}$. Since the linearized equations are invariant under linear coordinate transformations $x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu = x^\mu - \xi^\mu$, one can generate new, equivalent, solutions $\varepsilon'_{(1)}$ and $n'_{(1)}$, i.e.,

$$\varepsilon'_{(1)} = \varepsilon_{(1)} + \xi^0 \dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}, \quad n'_{(1)} = n_{(1)} + \xi^0 \dot{n}_{(0)}, \quad (1)$$

where $\xi^0 \dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}$ and $\xi^0 \dot{n}_{(0)}$ are gauge modes. Therefore, $\varepsilon_{(1)}$ and $n_{(1)}$ are *gauge-dependent* and have, as a consequence, no physical significance. In contrast to $\varepsilon_{(1)}$ and $n_{(1)}$ the real, measurable density perturbations $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ are independent of the choice of a coordinate system, i.e., are *gauge-invariant*.

The physics of density perturbations is hidden in the general solution of the linearized Einstein equations and conservation laws. Therefore, the physical quantities $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ can be expressed as linear combinations, with time-dependent coefficients, of gauge-dependent solutions of these equations, such that the gauge modes are eliminated. Furthermore, $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ have the property that in the non-relativistic limit the linearized Einstein equations and conservation laws combined with expressions for $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ reduce, in a *non-static* flat FLRW universe, to the time-independent Poisson equation with $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ as source term, and the well-known Einstein relation $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} mc^2$. Consequently, the gauge problem is, in fact, the problem of finding expressions for $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$.

1.2 Previous Attempts to solve the Gauge Problem of Cosmology

[Bardeen \(1980\)](#) was the first to demonstrate that using gauge-invariant quantities, one can recast the linearized Einstein equations and conservation laws into a new set of evolution equations that are free of non-physical gauge modes. Bardeen's definition of a gauge-invariant density perturbation is such that it becomes equal to the gauge-dependent density perturbation in the limit of small scales. The underlying assumption is that on small scales the gauge modes vanish so that gauge-dependent quantities become gauge-independent. As will be shown in [Section 7](#), the physical quantities $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ do not become equal to the gauge-dependent quantities $\varepsilon_{(1)}$ and $n_{(1)}$ in the non-relativistic limit. Furthermore, the gauge modes $\hat{\varepsilon}_{(1)}$ and $\hat{n}_{(1)}$ never become zero, since the universe is not static in the non-relativistic limit. Finally, the relativistic space-time gauge transformations reduce in the non-relativistic limit to Newtonian gauge transformations with space and time decoupled. Consequently, the quantities defined by Bardeen are not equal to the real physical energy density perturbation.

The article of Bardeen has inspired the pioneering works of [Ellis and Bruni \(1989\)](#); [Ellis et al. \(1989\)](#); [Ellis and van Elst \(1998\)](#), [Mukhanov et al. \(1992\)](#); [Mukhanov \(2005\)](#) and [Bruni et al. \(1992\)](#). These researchers proposed alternative perturbation theories using gauge-invariant quantities which differ from the ones used by Bardeen. The theory of [Mukhanov et al. \(1992\)](#) yields the Poisson equation of the Newtonian Theory of Gravity only if the Hubble function, i.e., the expansion scalar, vanishes. This, however, violates the background Friedmann equation, as will be shown in [Section 7](#). In fact, the background Friedmann equation is needed to derive the Poisson equation from the linearized Friedmann equation in the non-relativistic limit. Consequently, the gauge-invariant quantities defined by [Mukhanov et al. \(1992\)](#) are not equal to the true physical perturbations.

In the approach of [Ellis and Bruni \(1989\)](#); [Ellis et al. \(1989\)](#); [Ellis and van Elst \(1998\)](#) density perturbations are defined using gradients. They define a gauge-invariant and covariant function which 'closely

corresponds to the intention of the usual gauge-dependent density contrast function'. However, a perturbation theory based on this definition does not yield the Poisson equation in the non-relativistic limit.

The above mentioned pioneering treatments caused an abundance of other important works, e.g., [Malik and Wands \(2009\)](#); [Knobel \(2012\)](#); [Peter \(2013\)](#); [Malik and Matravers \(2013\)](#); [Kodama and Sasaki \(1984\)](#); [Ma and Bertschinger \(1995\)](#). The review article of [Ellis \(2017\)](#) discusses the work of Lifshitz and Khalatnikov, and also provides an overview of other research on the subject.

From the vast literature on cosmological density perturbations, it must be concluded that there is still no agreement on which gauge-invariant quantities are the true energy density perturbation and particle number density perturbation. None of the cosmological perturbation theories in the literature has a correct non-relativistic limit as explained in [Section 7](#), so that there is still no solution to the gauge problem of cosmology.

1.3 Solution of the Gauge Problem of Cosmology

As said before, the true, physical, density perturbations $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ are hidden in the general solution of the linearized Einstein equations and conservation laws and it has proved difficult to determine in advance which linear combination of gauge-dependent quantities are equal to these true density perturbations.

To solve this problem, the decomposition theorems of [York, jr. \(1974\)](#), [Stewart and Walker \(1974\)](#) and [Stewart \(1990\)](#) for symmetric three-tensors are used. In the literature, these decomposition theorems are only applied to the perturbed metric three-tensor. This, however, is not sufficient. The decomposition of the perturbed Ricci three-tensor must also be taken into account. Only then the linearized momentum constraint equations are consistent with the decomposition of the fluid three-velocity into a rotational part and a divergence part. This makes it possible to decompose the linearized equations into three independent systems which govern the evolution of scalar perturbations, vector perturbations and tensor perturbations. Only the part of the perturbed Ricci three-scalar which is associated with scalar perturbations is non-zero, so that only scalar perturbations are coupled to $\varepsilon_{(1)}$ and $n_{(1)}$. This fact, which is explained in detail in [Section 4](#), is essential since for scalar perturbations the three linearized momentum constraint equations can now be rewritten as one first-order ordinary differential equation for the perturbed Ricci three-scalar. This, in turn, implies that, for scalar perturbations, one exclusively needs the constraint equations and conservation laws. The fact that these equations are the perturbed counterparts of the background equations is crucial. It is well-known that the evolution of the unperturbed universe is determined by three independent scalars, namely the energy density, the particle number density and the expansion scalar, see [Section 3.1](#). It has now been achieved that these three scalars occur also in the linearized equations in [Section 5](#), this time as perturbations. In [Section 6](#) it is shown that this substantially reduces the number of gauge-invariant quantities which can be written as linear combinations of gauge-dependent solutions of the linearized equations. As a consequence, there are exactly three non-trivial gauge-invariant quantities, namely, $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}$, $n_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}$ and $\theta_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} = 0$. In the non-relativistic limit, [Section 7](#), it is found that $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} \equiv \varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$, $n_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} \equiv n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $\theta_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} \equiv \theta_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = 0$. Therefore, $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} \equiv \varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$, $n_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} \equiv n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $\theta_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} \equiv \theta_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = 0$ holds true also in the General Theory of Relativity.

Finally, evolution equations for $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ are derived using the algorithm given in the appendix, whereas $\theta_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = 0$ implies that local density perturbations do not affect the global expansion $\theta_{(0)}$ of the universe. In fact, the cosmological perturbation theory in [Section 8](#) consists of a second-order ordinary differential equation—with source term entropy perturbations—which describes the evolution of relative perturbations in the total energy density, and a first-order ordinary differential equation that describes the evolution of entropy perturbations. The coefficients of the second-order equation depend on the equation of state $p(n, \varepsilon)$ for the pressure and is, as a consequence, different from the equations found in the literature. The first-order differential equation for the entropy perturbation is a new result.

With the evolution equations for density perturbations given in [Section 8](#), the gauge problem of cosmology for closed, flat and open FLRW universes has been solved.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Choosing a System of Reference

In order to derive evolution equations for $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ a suitable system of reference must be chosen. Due to the general covariance of the Einstein equations and conservation laws, the General Theory of Relativity is invariant under a general coordinate transformation $x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu(x^\nu)$, implying that there are no preferred coordinate systems (see [Weinberg \(2008\)](#), Appendix B). In particular, the linearized Einstein equations and conservation laws are invariant under a general linear coordinate transformation, i.e., a gauge transformation

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu = x^\mu - \xi^\mu(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (2)$$

where $\xi^\mu(t, \mathbf{x})$ are four arbitrary infinitesimal functions of time, $x^0 = ct$, and space, $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, x^2, x^3)$, coordinates, the so-called gauge functions. Since there are no preferred systems of reference and since the solutions $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ of the evolution equations are invariant under the infinitesimal coordinate transformation (2), i.e., are gauge-invariant, one may use *any* coordinate system to derive the evolution equations.

A suitable system of reference can be chosen by the following two considerations. Firstly, in order to unambiguously identify the gauge-invariant quantities $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}$ found in Section 6 as the real density perturbations $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$, one needs the non-relativistic limit. In the Newtonian Theory of Gravity space and time are strictly separated, implying that in this theory *all* coordinate systems are essentially *synchronous*. In view of the non-relativistic limit it is, therefore, convenient to use synchronous coordinates ([Lifshitz, 1946](#); [Lifshitz and Khalatnikov, 1963](#); [Landau and Lifshitz, 2010](#)) in the background as well as in the perturbed universe. In these coordinates the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}(t, \mathbf{x})$ of FLRW universes has the form

$$g_{00} = 1, \quad g_{0i} = 0, \quad g_{ij} = -a^2(t)\tilde{g}_{ij}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (3)$$

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor of the universe, $g_{00} = 1$ indicates that coordinate time is equal to proper time, $g_{0i} = 0$ is the global synchronicity condition (see [Landau and Lifshitz \(2010\)](#), § 84) and \tilde{g}_{ij}^{-1} is the metric tensor of the three-dimensional maximally symmetric sub-spaces of constant time. From the Killing equations $\xi_{\mu;\nu} + \xi_{\nu;\mu} = 0$ and (3) it follows that the functions $\xi^\mu(t, \mathbf{x})$ in (2) become

$$\xi^0 = \psi(\mathbf{x}), \quad \xi^i = \tilde{g}^{ik}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x^k} \int^{ct} \frac{d\tau}{a^2(\tau)} + \chi^i(\mathbf{x}), \quad (4)$$

if only transformations between synchronous coordinates are allowed. The four functions $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ and $\chi^i(\mathbf{x})$ cannot be fixed since the four coordinate conditions $g_{00} = 1$ and $g_{0i} = 0$ have already exhausted all four degrees of freedom, see [Weinberg \(1972\)](#), Section 7.4 on coordinate conditions.

Secondly, a property of synchronous systems of reference is that the space-space components of the four-dimensional Ricci curvature tensor $R_{\mu\nu}$ are split into two parts such that one part contains exclusively all time-derivatives of the space-space components of the metric tensor g_{ij} and the second part R_{ij} is the Ricci curvature tensor of the three-dimensional sub-spaces. In fact, R_{ij} is the three-dimensional Ricci tensor which is expressed in terms of g_{ij} in the same way as $R_{\mu\nu}$ is expressed in terms of $g_{\mu\nu}$. All operations of raising indices and of covariant differentiation are carried out with the three-dimensional metric g_{ij} , [Landau and Lifshitz \(2010\)](#), § 97. These properties make it possible to apply the decomposition theorems of [York, jr. \(1974\)](#), [Stewart and Walker \(1974\)](#) and [Stewart \(1990\)](#) to the perturbed metric three-tensor and perturbed Ricci three-tensor.

In conclusion, a synchronous system of reference is the most appropriate coordinate system to derive the evolution equations for the density perturbations $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$.

¹Quantities with a tilde, i.e., \tilde{q} , belong to the three-dimensional maximally symmetric subspaces of constant time.

2.2 Equations of State for the Pressure

Barotropic equations of state $p = p(\varepsilon)$ do not take into account the particle number density, so that the influence of entropy perturbations on the evolution of density perturbations cannot be studied, see Section 9.3. Since heat exchange of a perturbation with its environment could be important for structure formation in the early universe, realistic equations of state are needed. From thermodynamics it is known that both the energy density ε and the pressure p depend on the particle number density n and the absolute temperature T , i.e.,

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, T), \quad p = p(n, T). \quad (5)$$

Since T can, in principle, be eliminated from these equations of state, a computationally more convenient equation of state for the pressure is used, namely

$$p = p(n, \varepsilon). \quad (6)$$

This equation of state for the pressure and its first- and second-order partial derivatives have been included in the evolution equations for the density perturbations $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$.

3 Einstein Equations and Conservation laws for Closed, Flat and Open FLRW Universes

The background and linearized equations can be calculated from the set of Einstein equations (97.11)–(97.13) from the textbook of Landau and Lifshitz (2010) and the conservation laws $T^{\mu\nu}{}_{;\nu} = 0$.

3.1 Background Equations

The complete set of background Einstein equations and conservation laws for open, flat and closed FLRW universes filled with a perfect fluid with energy-momentum tensor

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\varepsilon + p)u^\mu u^\nu - pg^{\mu\nu}, \quad p = p(n, \varepsilon), \quad (7)$$

is, in synchronous coordinates, given by

$$3H^2 = \frac{1}{2}R_{(0)} + \kappa\varepsilon_{(0)} + \Lambda, \quad \kappa = 8\pi G_{\text{N}}/c^4, \quad (8a)$$

$$\dot{R}_{(0)} = -2HR_{(0)}, \quad (8b)$$

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)} = -3H\varepsilon_{(0)}(1 + w), \quad w := p_{(0)}/\varepsilon_{(0)}, \quad (8c)$$

$$\dot{\vartheta}_{(0)} = 0, \quad (8d)$$

$$\dot{n}_{(0)} = -3Hn_{(0)}. \quad (8e)$$

The G_{0i} constraint equations and the G_{ij} , $i \neq j$, dynamical equations are identically satisfied. The G_{ii} dynamical equations are equivalent to the time-derivative of the G_{00} constraint equation, or Friedmann equation (8a). Therefore, the G_{ij} dynamical equations need not be taken into account. In equations (8) Λ is the cosmological constant, G_{N} the gravitational constant of the Newtonian Theory of Gravity and c the speed of light. An over-dot denotes differentiation with respect to ct and the sub-index (0) refers to the background, i.e., unperturbed, quantities. Furthermore, $H := \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble function which is, for FLRW universes, equal to $H = \frac{1}{3}\dot{\theta}_{(0)}$, where $\theta_{(0)}$ is the background value of the expansion scalar $\theta := u^\mu{}_{;\mu}$ with $u^\mu := c^{-1}U^\mu$ the fluid four-velocity, normalised to unity ($u^\mu u_\mu = 1$). A semicolon denotes covariant differentiation with respect to the background metric tensor $g_{(0)\mu\nu}$. The *spatial* parts of the background Riemann tensor $R^i{}_{(0)jkl}$, the Ricci curvature tensor $R^i{}_{(0)j}$ and its contraction $R_{(0)}$ are given by

$$R^i{}_{(0)jkl} = \tilde{R}^i{}_{jkl} = K (\delta^i{}_k \tilde{g}_{jl} - \delta^i{}_l \tilde{g}_{jk}), \quad R^i{}_{(0)j} = -\frac{2K}{a^2} \delta^i{}_j, \quad R_{(0)} = -\frac{6K}{a^2}, \quad K = -1, 0, +1, \quad (9)$$

where $R_{(0)}$ is the global spatial curvature. The quantity $\vartheta_{(0)}$ is the three-divergence of the spatial part of the fluid four-velocity $u_{(0)}^\mu$. For an isotropic universe the fluid four-velocity is $u_{(0)}^\mu = \delta^\mu_0$, implying that $\vartheta_{(0)} = 0$, so that there is no *local* fluid flow.

From the system (8) one can conclude that the evolution of an unperturbed FLRW universe is determined by exactly three independent scalars, namely

$$\varepsilon = T^{\mu\nu}u_\mu u_\nu, \quad n = N^\mu u_\mu, \quad \theta = u^\mu{}_{;\mu}, \quad (10)$$

where $N^\mu := nu^\mu$ is the cosmological particle current four-vector, which satisfies the particle number conservation law $N^\mu{}_{;\mu} = 0$, (8e), see Weinberg (2008), Appendix B. As will become clear in Sections 6 and 7, the quantities (10) and their perturbed counterparts play a key role in the evolution of cosmological density perturbations.

3.2 Linearized Equations

The complete set of linearized Einstein equations and conservation laws for open, flat and closed FLRW universes is, in synchronous coordinates, given by

$$H\dot{h}^k{}_k + \frac{1}{2}R_{(1)} = -\kappa\varepsilon_{(1)}, \quad (11a)$$

$$\dot{h}^k{}_{k|i} - \dot{h}^k{}_{i|k} = 2\kappa(\varepsilon_{(0)} + p_{(0)})u_{(1)i}, \quad (11b)$$

$$\ddot{h}^i{}_j + 3H\dot{h}^i{}_j + \delta^i{}_j H\dot{h}^k{}_k + 2R_{(1)j}^i = -\kappa\delta^i{}_j(\varepsilon_{(1)} - p_{(1)}), \quad (11c)$$

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)} + 3H(\varepsilon_{(1)} + p_{(1)}) + (\varepsilon_{(0)} + p_{(0)})\theta_{(1)} = 0, \quad (11d)$$

$$\frac{1}{c} \frac{d}{dt} [(\varepsilon_{(0)} + p_{(0)})u_{(1)}^i] - g_{(0)}^{ik}p_{(1)|k} + 5H(\varepsilon_{(0)} + p_{(0)})u_{(1)}^i = 0, \quad (11e)$$

$$\dot{n}_{(1)} + 3Hn_{(1)} + n_{(0)}\theta_{(1)} = 0, \quad (11f)$$

where $h_{\mu\nu} := -g_{(1)\mu\nu}$ and $h^{\mu\nu} = +g_{(1)}^{\mu\nu}$ with $h_{00} = 0$ and $h_{0i} = 0$ is the perturbed metric tensor, $h^i{}_j = g_{(0)}^{ik}h_{kj}$, and $g_{(0)}^{ij}$ is the unperturbed background metric tensor (3) for an open, flat and closed FLRW universe. Quantities with a sub-index (1) are the perturbed counterparts of the background quantities with a sub-index (0). A vertical bar denotes covariant differentiation with respect to the background metric tensor $g_{(0)ij}$. Note that the $G_{(1)0}^0$ and $G_{(1)i}^0$ constraint equations (11a) and (11b) contain at most first-order time-derivatives of the metric. They relate the initial conditions $h^i{}_j(t_0, \mathbf{x})$, $\dot{h}^i{}_j(t_0, \mathbf{x})$, $\varepsilon_{(1)}(t_0, \mathbf{x})$, $n_{(1)}(t_0, \mathbf{x})$ and $u_{(1)}^i(t_0, \mathbf{x})$. Once the constraint equations are satisfied at $t = t_0$, they are satisfied automatically at all times. This is an intrinsic property of the Einstein equations (Weinberg (1972) and Landau and Lifshitz (2010), § 95), which will be used in Section 5.

The perturbation to the pressure is given by the perturbed equation of state for the pressure

$$p_{(1)} = p_n n_{(1)} + p_\varepsilon \varepsilon_{(1)}, \quad p_n := \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial n} \right)_\varepsilon, \quad p_\varepsilon := \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \varepsilon} \right)_n, \quad (12)$$

where $p_n(n, \varepsilon)$ and $p_\varepsilon(n, \varepsilon)$ are the partial derivatives of the equation of state $p(n, \varepsilon)$.

Using Lifshitz' formula, see Lifshitz and Khalatnikov (1963), equation (I.3) and Weinberg (1972), equation (10.9.1),

$$\Gamma_{(1)ij}^k = -\frac{1}{2}g_{(0)}^{kl}(h_{li|j} + h_{lj|i} - h_{ij|l}), \quad (13)$$

and the contracted Palatini identities, see Lifshitz and Khalatnikov (1963), equation (I.5) and Weinberg (1972), equation (10.9.2),

$$R_{(1)ij} = \Gamma_{(1)ij|k}^k - \Gamma_{(1)ik|j}^k, \quad (14)$$

the perturbed Ricci three-tensor can be written as

$$R_{(1)ij} = -\frac{1}{2}g_{(0)}^{kl}(h_{li|j|k} + h_{lj|i|k} - h_{ij|l|k} - h_{lk|i|j}). \quad (15)$$

Raising the index i , one gets, using also (9),

$$R_{(1)j}^i := (g^{ik}R_{kj})_{(1)} = g_{(0)}^{ik}R_{(1)kj} + \frac{1}{3}R_{(0)}h^i_j = -\frac{1}{2}g_{(0)}^{il}(h^k_{l|j|k} + h^k_{j|l|k} - h^k_{k|l|j}) + \frac{1}{2}g_{(0)}^{kl}h^i_{j|k|l} + \frac{1}{3}R_{(0)}h^i_j. \quad (16)$$

Using that $g_{(0)}^{ij}h^k_{i|j|k} = g_{(0)}^{ij}h^k_{i|k|j}$, one finds for the contraction of the perturbed Ricci three-tensor, i.e., the perturbed Ricci three-scalar,

$$R_{(1)} := R_{(1)k}^k = g_{(0)}^{ij}(h^k_{k|i|j} - h^k_{i|k|j}) + \frac{1}{3}R_{(0)}h^k_k. \quad (17)$$

Expression (17) is the local perturbation to the global spatial curvature $R_{(0)}$ due to a local density perturbation.

Finally, $\theta_{(1)}$ is the perturbation to the expansion scalar $\theta := u^\mu_{;\mu}$. Using that $u_{(0)}^\mu = \delta^\mu_0$, one gets

$$\theta_{(1)} = \vartheta_{(1)} - \frac{1}{2}\dot{h}^k_k, \quad \vartheta_{(1)} := u_{(1)|k}^k, \quad (18)$$

where $\vartheta_{(1)}$ is the divergence of the spatial part of the perturbed fluid four-velocity $u_{(1)}^\mu$.

4 Decomposition of the Spatial Metric Tensor, the Spatial Ricci Tensor and the Spatial Fluid Velocity

York, jr. (1974), Stewart and Walker (1974) and Stewart (1990) showed that *any* symmetric second-rank three-tensor, and hence the perturbation tensor h_{ij} and the perturbed Ricci tensor $R_{(1)ij}$, can uniquely be decomposed into three parts. For the perturbed metric tensor, one has

$$h^i_j = h^i_{||j} + h^i_{\perp j} + h^i_{*j}, \quad h^k_{\perp k} = 0, \quad h^k_{*k} = 0, \quad h^k_{*i|k} = 0. \quad (19)$$

The perturbed Ricci tensor, $R_{(1)ij}$, being a symmetric second-rank three-tensor, can in the same way be decomposed

$$R_{(1)j}^i = R_{(1)j}^i_{||} + R_{(1)j}^i_{\perp} + R_{(1)j}^i_{*}, \quad R_{(1)\perp k}^k = 0, \quad R_{(1)*k}^k = 0, \quad R_{(1)*i|k}^k = 0. \quad (20)$$

Finally, York and Stewart demonstrated that the components $h^i_{||j}$ can be written in terms of two independent potentials $\phi(t, \mathbf{x})$ and $\zeta(t, \mathbf{x})$, namely

$$h^i_{||j} = \frac{2}{c^2}(\phi\delta^i_j + \zeta^i_{|j}). \quad (21)$$

As will be shown in the next three subsections, the decompositions (19) and (20) and the expression (21) imply that the decomposition of the spatial part $\mathbf{u}_{(1)} = (u_{(1)}^1, u_{(1)}^2, u_{(1)}^3)$ of the perturbed fluid four-velocity

$$\mathbf{u}_{(1)} = \mathbf{u}_{(1)||} + \mathbf{u}_{(1)\perp}, \quad (22)$$

where the components $\mathbf{u}_{(1)||}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{(1)\perp}$ have the properties

$$\tilde{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{(1)} = \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{(1)||}, \quad \tilde{\nabla} \times \mathbf{u}_{(1)} = \tilde{\nabla} \times \mathbf{u}_{(1)\perp}, \quad (23)$$

is consistent with the momentum constraint equations (11b). In expressions (23) $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the generalised vector differential operator, defined by $\tilde{\nabla}_i v^k := v^k_{|i}$.

Using the decompositions (19), (20) and (22) the system of equations (11) is split into three independent systems. The solutions of these systems are referred to as tensor perturbations $*$, vector perturbations \perp and scalar perturbations $||$. These perturbations are briefly discussed in the following three subsections.

4.1 Tensor Perturbations

Using (19) and (20), equations (11a)–(11c) imply that $\varepsilon_{(1)} = 0$, $p_{(1)} = 0$ and $\mathbf{u}_{(1)} = 0$, so that tensor perturbations are not coupled to density perturbations. With (18) it follows that $\theta_{(1)} = 0$. This, in turn, implies that equation (8e) and (11f) are identical, so that $n_{(1)} = 0$. As a consequence, the system (11) reduces for tensor perturbations to

$$\ddot{h}^i_{*j} + 3H\dot{h}^i_{*j} + 2R_{(1)*j}^i = 0. \quad (24)$$

Due to the form of this equation, tensor perturbations are usually referred to as *gravitational waves*.

4.2 Vector Perturbations

From (11a), the contraction of (11c), $R_{(1)\perp k}^k = 0$ and (19) it follows that $\varepsilon_{(1)} = 0$ and $p_{(1)} = 0$. Raising the index i of equations (11b) with $g_{(0)}^{ij}$, one finds, using also that $\Gamma_{(0)ij}^k = \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k$,

$$\dot{h}_{\perp|k}^{kj} + 2Hh_{\perp|k}^{kj} = 2\kappa(\varepsilon_{(0)} + p_{(0)})u_{(1)}^j, \quad (25)$$

where it is used that $\dot{g}_{(0)}^{ij} = -2Hg_{(0)}^{ij}$. It follows from $R_{(1)\perp k}^k = 0$, (17) and (19) that h_{\perp}^{ij} must obey

$$h_{\perp|k|l}^{kl} = 0, \quad (26)$$

in addition to $h_{\perp k}^k = 0$. Taking the covariant derivative of (25) with respect to the index j and using (26) one finds that equations (25) reduce to $u_{(1)|j}^j = 0$, or, equivalently, $\tilde{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{(1)} = 0$. This implies with (18) that $\theta_{(1)} = 0$, so that $n_{(1)} = 0$. With (23) it follows that $\mathbf{u}_{(1)\perp}$ is coupled to vector perturbations. For vector perturbations, equations (11) reduce to the set of equations

$$\dot{h}_{\perp i|k}^k + 2\kappa(\varepsilon_{(0)} + p_{(0)})u_{(1)\perp i} = 0, \quad (27a)$$

$$\ddot{h}_{\perp j}^i + 3H\dot{h}_{\perp j}^i + 2R_{(1)\perp j}^i = 0, \quad (27b)$$

$$\frac{1}{c} \frac{d}{dt} \left[(\varepsilon_{(0)} + p_{(0)})u_{(1)\perp}^i \right] + 5H(\varepsilon_{(0)} + p_{(0)})u_{(1)\perp}^i = 0. \quad (27c)$$

In view of (23), vector perturbations are also called *rotational perturbations*.

4.3 Scalar Perturbations

Since both $h_{\parallel k}^k \neq 0$ and $R_{(1)\parallel} \neq 0$ scalar perturbations are coupled to $\varepsilon_{(1)}$, $n_{(1)}$ and $p_{(1)}$. It is now demonstrated that $\mathbf{u}_{(1)\parallel}$ is coupled to scalar perturbations, by showing that equations (11b) require that the rotation of $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}$ vanishes, if the metric tensor is of the form (21). Differentiating (11b) covariantly with respect to the index j and subsequently substituting expression (21) yields

$$2\dot{\phi}_{|i|j} + \dot{\zeta}_{|k|i|j}^k - \dot{\zeta}_{|i|k|j}^k = \kappa c^2(\varepsilon_{(0)} + p_{(0)})u_{(1)i|j}. \quad (28)$$

Interchanging i and j and subtracting the result from (28) one gets

$$\dot{\zeta}_{|i|k|j}^k - \dot{\zeta}_{|j|k|i}^k = -\kappa c^2(\varepsilon_{(0)} + p_{(0)})(u_{(1)i|j} - u_{(1)j|i}), \quad (29)$$

where it is used that $\dot{\phi}_{|i|j} = \dot{\phi}_{|j|i}$ and $\dot{\zeta}_{|k|i|j}^k = \dot{\zeta}_{|k|j|i}^k$. By rearranging the covariant derivatives, equation (29) can be cast in the form

$$(\dot{\zeta}_{|i|k|j}^k - \dot{\zeta}_{|i|j|k}^k) - (\dot{\zeta}_{|j|k|i}^k - \dot{\zeta}_{|j|i|k}^k) + (\dot{\zeta}_{|i|j}^k - \dot{\zeta}_{|j|i}^k)_{|k} = -\kappa c^2(\varepsilon_{(0)} + p_{(0)})(u_{(1)i|j} - u_{(1)j|i}). \quad (30)$$

Using the expressions for the commutator of second-order covariant derivatives (see Weinberg (1972), Chapter 6, Section 5)

$$A^i{}_{j|p|q} - A^i{}_{j|q|p} = A^i{}_{k} R_{(0)j pq}^k - A^k{}_{j} R_{(0)k pq}^i, \quad B^i{}_{|p|q} - B^i{}_{|q|p} = B^k R_{(0)k pq}^i, \quad (31)$$

and substituting the background Riemann tensor (9) for the three-spaces of constant time one finds that the left-hand sides of equations (30) vanish identically, implying that $\tilde{\nabla} \times \mathbf{u}_{(1)} = \mathbf{0}$. Therefore, only $\mathbf{u}_{(1)\parallel}$ is coupled to scalar perturbations.

5 Linearized Equations for Scalar Perturbations in Closed, Flat and Open FLRW Universes

The true density perturbations $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ are part of the scalar perturbations. Therefore, a new set of equations will be derived which describe exclusively scalar perturbations. To that end, equations (11) will be rewritten using the results of Section 4.

Since scalar perturbations are only coupled to $h_{\parallel j}^i$ and $u_{(1)\parallel}^i$, one may replace in (11)–(18) $h_{\parallel j}^i$ by $h_{\parallel j}^i$ and $u_{(1)}^i$ by $u_{(1)\parallel}^i$, to obtain perturbation equations which exclusively describe the evolution of scalar perturbations. From now on, only scalar perturbations are considered, and the subscript \parallel is omitted. Using the decompositions (19), (20), (22) and (23), it will be shown that the perturbed Einstein equations and conservation laws (11) can for scalar perturbations be written in the form

$$2H(\theta_{(1)} - \vartheta_{(1)}) = \frac{1}{2}R_{(1)} + \kappa\varepsilon_{(1)}, \quad (32a)$$

$$\dot{R}_{(1)} = -2HR_{(1)} + 2\kappa\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)\vartheta_{(1)} - \frac{2}{3}R_{(0)}(\theta_{(1)} - \vartheta_{(1)}), \quad (32b)$$

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)} = -3H(\varepsilon_{(1)} + p_{(1)}) - \varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)\theta_{(1)}, \quad (32c)$$

$$\dot{\vartheta}_{(1)} = -H(2 - 3\beta^2)\vartheta_{(1)} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}\frac{\tilde{\nabla}^2 p_{(1)}}{a^2}, \quad \beta^2 := \frac{\dot{p}_{(0)}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}}, \quad (32d)$$

$$\dot{n}_{(1)} = -3Hn_{(1)} - n_{(0)}\theta_{(1)}. \quad (32e)$$

The system (32) consists of the energy density constraint equation or linearized Friedmann equation (32a), the momentum constraint equation (32b), the energy density conservation law (32c), the momentum conservation law (32d) and the particle number density conservation law (32e). As will be shown below, for scalar perturbations the dynamical equations (11c) are automatically fulfilled by the solution of the system (32). This is an intrinsic property of the Einstein equations and conservation laws.

Note the remarkable similarity between the systems (8) and (32). In fact, the system (32) is the perturbed counterpart of the system (8). Just as in the unperturbed case (8), the evolution equations (32) consist of one algebraic equation (32a) and four first-order ordinary differential equations (32b)–(32e) for the five unknown quantities $\theta_{(1)}$, $R_{(1)}$, $\varepsilon_{(1)}$, $\vartheta_{(1)}$ and $n_{(1)}$.

Using that $\dot{p}_{(0)} = p_n \dot{n}_{(0)} + p_\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}$ and the conservation laws (8c) and (8e) the quantity $\beta(t)$ becomes

$$\beta^2 = p_\varepsilon + \frac{n_{(0)}p_n}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}, \quad (33)$$

where p_ε and p_n are given by (12). The symbol $\tilde{\nabla}^2$ denotes the generalised Laplace operator with respect to the three-space metric tensor \tilde{g}_{ij} , defined by $\tilde{\nabla}^2 f := \tilde{g}^{ij} f_{|ij}$.

The derivation of the evolution equations (32) for scalar perturbations is now given. Firstly, eliminating \dot{h}^k_k from (11a) with the help of (18) yields the algebraic equation (32a).

Secondly, multiplying both sides of equations (11b) by $g_{(0)}^{ij}$ and taking the covariant derivative with respect to the index j , one finds

$$g_{(0)}^{ij}(\dot{h}^k_{k|i|j} - \dot{h}^k_{i|k|j}) = 2\kappa(\varepsilon_{(0)} + p_{(0)})\vartheta_{(1)}, \quad (34)$$

where also (18) has been used. The left-hand side of (34) will turn up as a part of the time-derivative of the curvature $R_{(1)}$. In fact, differentiating (17) with respect to time, one gets, using also $\dot{g}_{(0)}^{ij} = -2Hg_{(0)}^{ij}$ and (8b),

$$\dot{R}_{(1)} = -2HR_{(1)} + g_{(0)}^{ij}(\dot{h}^k_{k|i|j} - \dot{h}^k_{i|k|j}) + \frac{1}{3}R_{(0)}\dot{h}^k_k, \quad (35)$$

where it is used that the operations of taking the time-derivative and the covariant derivative commute, since the background connection coefficients $\Gamma_{(0)ij}^k = \tilde{\Gamma}^k_{ij}$ are independent of time for FLRW metrics (3). Combining (34) and (35) and using (18) to eliminate \dot{h}^k_k yields (32b). Thus, the $G_{(1)i}^0$ momentum constraint equations (11b) have been recast in one first-order ordinary differential equation (32b) for the perturbed Ricci three-scalar, i.e., the local spatial curvature due to a density perturbation.

Thirdly, it is shown that the dynamical equations (11c) are not needed. Clearly, the off-diagonal ($i \neq j$) equations (11c) are not coupled to scalar perturbations, so that these equations need not be taken into account. For the diagonal ($i = j$) equations, it is sufficient to consider the contraction of (11c). Eliminating the quantity \dot{h}^k_k with the help of (18), one arrives at

$$\dot{\theta}_{(1)} - \dot{\vartheta}_{(1)} + 6H(\theta_{(1)} - \vartheta_{(1)}) - R_{(1)} = \frac{3}{2}\kappa(\varepsilon_{(1)} - p_{(1)}). \quad (36)$$

Using (32a) to eliminate the second term of (36) yields for the contraction of (11c)

$$\dot{\theta}_{(1)} - \dot{\vartheta}_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}R_{(1)} = -\frac{3}{2}\kappa(\varepsilon_{(1)} + p_{(1)}). \quad (37)$$

This equation is identical to the time-derivative of the constraint equation (32a). Differentiation of equation (32a) with respect to time yields

$$2\dot{H}(\theta_{(1)} - \vartheta_{(1)}) + 2H(\dot{\theta}_{(1)} - \dot{\vartheta}_{(1)}) = \frac{1}{2}\dot{R}_{(1)} + \kappa\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}. \quad (38)$$

Eliminating the time-derivatives \dot{H} , $\dot{R}_{(1)}$ and $\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}$ with the help of (8a)–(8c), (32b) and (32c), respectively, yields the dynamical equation (37). Consequently, for scalar perturbations the dynamical equations (11c) are not needed.

Finally, taking the covariant derivative of (11e) with respect to the background metric tensor $g_{(0)ij}$ and using (18), one gets

$$\frac{1}{c} \frac{d}{dt} \left[(\varepsilon_{(0)} + p_{(0)}) \vartheta_{(1)} \right] - g_{(0)}^{ik} p_{(1)|k|i} + 5H(\varepsilon_{(0)} + p_{(0)}) \vartheta_{(1)} = 0, \quad (39)$$

where it is used that the operations of taking the time-derivative and the covariant derivative commute. With (3), $\tilde{\nabla}^2 f := \tilde{g}^{ij} f_{|i|j}$ and (8c) one can rewrite (39) in the form

$$\dot{\vartheta}_{(1)} + H \left(2 - 3 \frac{\dot{p}_{(0)}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}} \right) \vartheta_{(1)} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{(0)} + p_{(0)}} \frac{\tilde{\nabla}^2 p_{(1)}}{a^2} = 0. \quad (40)$$

Using the definitions $w := p_{(0)}/\varepsilon_{(0)}$ and $\beta^2 := \dot{p}_{(0)}/\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}$ one arrives at equation (32d).

The decomposition theorems of York, Stewart, and Walker have been used to rewrite the full system of Einstein equations and conservation laws (11) into a substantially simpler system of equations (32) which describes exclusively scalar perturbations.

With the derivation of equations (32) the first step towards the solution of the gauge problem of cosmology has been taken. In the next section, the background equations (8) and the linearized equations (32) will be used to identify which gauge-invariant quantities could be equal to $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$.

6 Unique Gauge-invariant Quantities

The background equations (8) and the perturbation equations (32) are both written with respect to the *same* system of reference. Therefore, these two systems can be combined to describe the evolution of scalar perturbations. The system (8) describes the evolution of the quantities $\theta_{(0)} = 3H$, $R_{(0)}$, $\varepsilon_{(0)}$, $\vartheta_{(0)}$ and $n_{(0)}$, whereas the system (32) describes the evolution of their perturbed counterparts $\theta_{(1)}$, $R_{(1)}$, $\varepsilon_{(1)}$, $\vartheta_{(1)}$ and $n_{(1)}$. The quantities ε , n and θ (10) are scalars. Let S represent one of the three scalars ε , n and θ , then a perturbation to these scalars transforms under a *general* infinitesimal coordinate transformation (2) as

$$S_{(1)}(t, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow S'_{(1)} = S_{(1)}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \xi^0(t, \mathbf{x}) \dot{S}_{(0)}(t), \quad (41)$$

where $S_{(0)}$ and $S_{(1)}$ are the background and the perturbation, respectively, of one of these scalars. The term $\hat{S}_{(1)} := \mathcal{L}_\xi S_{(0)} = \xi^0 \dot{S}_{(0)}$ is the gauge mode, where \mathcal{L}_ξ is the Lie-derivative operator with respect to the infinitesimal four-vector ξ^μ . It can be easily verified by substitution that the complete set of gauge modes for the system of equations (32) is

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{(1)} = \psi \dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}, \quad \hat{n}_{(1)} = \psi \dot{n}_{(0)}, \quad \hat{\theta}_{(1)} = \psi \dot{\theta}_{(0)}, \quad (42a)$$

$$\hat{\vartheta}_{(1)} = -\frac{\tilde{\nabla}^2 \psi}{a^2}, \quad \hat{R}_{(1)} = 4H \left[\frac{\tilde{\nabla}^2 \psi}{a^2} - \frac{1}{2} R_{(0)} \psi \right], \quad (42b)$$

where $\xi^0 = \psi(\mathbf{x})$ in synchronous coordinates, see (4). The quantities (42) are mere coordinate artefacts, which have no physical meaning, since the gauge function $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ is an arbitrary infinitesimal function. Since

the set (42) is a solution set of the system of *linear* equations (32), one can generate new, equivalent, solutions by adding the gauge modes (42) to the original solutions $\varepsilon_{(1)}$, $n_{(1)}$, $\theta_{(1)}$, $\vartheta_{(1)}$ and $R_{(1)}$. Therefore, the solutions of the system (32) have no physical significance. This is the well-known gauge problem of cosmology. A first step towards the solution of the gauge problem has already been taken in Section 5, by rewriting the system of equations (11) into a substantially smaller system (32) that describes exclusively scalar perturbations. This has reduced the number of gauge-dependent quantities to five. The second step towards the solution of the gauge problem of cosmology can now be taken. Since $\varepsilon_{(1)}$, $n_{(1)}$ and $\theta_{(1)}$ transform under the general infinitesimal transformation (2) according to (41), one can combine two independent scalars to eliminate the gauge function $\xi^0(t, \mathbf{x})$. With the three independent scalars (10), one can construct $\binom{3}{2} = 3$ different sets of three gauge-invariant quantities. In each of these sets exactly one gauge-invariant quantity vanishes. Therefore, the only non-trivial choice is

$$\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} := \varepsilon_{(1)} - \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}}{\dot{\theta}_{(0)}} \theta_{(1)}, \quad n_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} := n_{(1)} - \frac{\dot{n}_{(0)}}{\dot{\theta}_{(0)}} \theta_{(1)}, \quad (43a)$$

$$\theta_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} := \theta_{(1)} - \frac{\dot{\theta}_{(0)}}{\dot{\theta}_{(0)}} \theta_{(1)} = 0. \quad (43b)$$

It follows from the transformation rule (41) that the quantities (43) are indeed invariant under the general infinitesimal transformation (2), i.e., they are *gauge-invariant*, hence the superscript ‘gi’. Since the evolution of FLRW universes is determined by only three independent scalars (10), the quantities (43a) are the only non-trivial gauge-invariant quantities, i.e., these quantities are *unique*. From this fact one may draw the conclusion that the quantities (43a) are the true, physical density perturbations, i.e., $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} \equiv \varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} \equiv n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$, and that the quantity (43b) $\theta_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} \equiv \theta_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = 0$ is the true physical perturbation to the global expansion $\theta_{(0)}$ of the universe. However, to be sure that this is indeed the case, one needs to study the system (32) together with the quantities (43) in the non-relativistic limit. This third and last step will be taken in the next section.

7 Non-relativistic Limit

The standard non-relativistic limit is defined by four requirements, see, e.g., Carroll (2003), page 153:

1. The gravitational field should be weak, i.e., can be considered as a perturbation of a flat FLRW universe.
2. The particles are moving slowly with respect to the speed of light.
3. The transformation (2) with (4) is limited to transformations for which the Newtonian Theory of Gravity is invariant.
4. The gravitational field of a density perturbation should be static, i.e., it does not change with time.

Requirement 3, which is not used in the literature, is needed because one has to deal with gauge transformations (2) with (4) in an expanding universe.

For cosmological perturbations the gravitational field is already weak. In order to meet the first requirement a flat, $R_{(0)} = 0$, FLRW universe is considered. In a flat FLRW universe the expressions (43a) reduce to

$$\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} = -\frac{1}{\kappa} (2H\vartheta_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}R_{(1)}), \quad (44a)$$

$$n_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} = n_{(1)} - \frac{n_{(0)}(\kappa\varepsilon_{(1)} + 2H\vartheta_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}R_{(1)})}{\kappa\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}, \quad (44b)$$

where the background equations (8) have been used to eliminate all time-derivatives, the constraint equation (32a) to eliminate $\theta_{(1)}$, and $3H = \theta_{(0)}$,

Using (21) and the fact that spatial covariant derivatives become in flat three-space ordinary derivatives with respect to the spatial coordinates, the local perturbation to the spatial curvature, (17) and its gauge mode (42b), reduce for a flat FLRW universe to

$$R_{(1)} = \frac{4}{c^2} \phi^{;k}{}_{;k} = -\frac{4}{c^2} \frac{\nabla^2 \phi}{a^2}, \quad \hat{R}_{(1)} = 4H \frac{\nabla^2 \psi}{a^2}, \quad (45)$$

where ∇^2 is the usual Laplace operator. Substituting the expression for $R_{(1)}$ into the perturbation equations (32), one gets

$$H(\theta_{(1)} - \vartheta_{(1)}) = -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\nabla^2 \phi}{a^2} + \frac{4\pi G_{\text{N}}}{c^4} \left[\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} + \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}}{\theta_{(0)}} \theta_{(1)} \right], \quad (46a)$$

$$\frac{\nabla^2 \dot{\phi}}{a^2} = -\frac{4\pi G_{\text{N}}}{c^2} \varepsilon_{(0)} (1+w) \vartheta_{(1)}, \quad (46b)$$

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)} = -3H(\varepsilon_{(1)} + p_{(1)}) - \varepsilon_{(0)} (1+w) \theta_{(1)}, \quad (46c)$$

$$\dot{\vartheta}_{(1)} = -H(2 - 3\beta^2) \vartheta_{(1)} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{(0)} (1+w)} \frac{\nabla^2 p_{(1)}}{a^2}, \quad (46d)$$

$$\dot{n}_{(1)} = -3H n_{(1)} - n_{(0)} \theta_{(1)}, \quad (46e)$$

where (43a) has been used to eliminate $\varepsilon_{(1)}$ from the $G_{(1)0}^0$ constraint equation (32a), and $H := \dot{a}/a$. With $R_{(0)} = 0$ the first requirement is satisfied.

Next, the second requirement is implemented. Since the spatial parts $u_{(1)}^i$ of the fluid four-velocity are gauge-dependent (42b), the second requirement must be defined by²

$$u_{(1)\text{phys}}^i := \frac{1}{c} U_{(1)\text{phys}}^i \rightarrow 0, \quad (47)$$

i.e., the *physical* spatial parts of the fluid four-velocity are negligible with respect to the speed of light. With (47) the second requirement is satisfied.

Next, the third requirement will be addressed. In the limit (47), the mean kinetic energy per particle $\frac{1}{2}m\langle v^2 \rangle \rightarrow 0$ is very small compared to the rest energy mc^2 per particle. This implies that the pressure $p \rightarrow 0$ is vanishingly small with respect to the rest energy density $nm c^2$. Substituting $p = 0$, i.e., $p_{(0)} = 0$ and $p_{(1)} = 0$, into the momentum conservation laws (11e) yields, using also the background equation (8c) with $w := p_{(0)}/\varepsilon_{(0)} \rightarrow 0$,

$$\dot{u}_{(1)}^i = -2H u_{(1)}^i. \quad (48)$$

Since the components $u_{(1)\text{phys}}^i$ vanish in the non-relativistic limit (47), the general solutions of equations (48) are equal to the gauge modes, see (42b),

$$\hat{u}_{(1)}^i(t, \mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{a^2(t)} \tilde{g}^{ik}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x^k}, \quad (49)$$

where it is used that $H := \dot{a}/a$. As a result, in the limit (47) one is left with the gauge modes (49) only. Since $\hat{u}_{(1)}^i = 0$ are solutions of (48), one may, without losing any physical information, put the gauge modes $\hat{u}_{(1)}^i$ equal to zero, i.e.,

$$\hat{u}_{(1)}^i = 0. \quad (50)$$

From (49) and (50) it now follows that $\partial\psi/\partial x^k = 0$, so that $\psi = C$ is an arbitrary constant in the non-relativistic limit. Substituting $\psi = C$ into (4) one finds that the relativistic transformation (2) between synchronous coordinates reduces in the limit (47) to the infinitesimal transformation

$$x^0 \rightarrow x'^0 = x^0 - C, \quad x^i \rightarrow x'^i = x^i - \chi^i(\mathbf{x}), \quad (51)$$

²Recall that $\mathbf{u}_{(1)} = \mathbf{u}_{(1)\parallel}$ is the *irrotational* part of the three-space fluid velocity. The rotational part $\mathbf{u}_{(1)\perp}$ is not coupled to density perturbations and need, therefore, not be considered. See Section 4.

where $\chi^i(\mathbf{x})$ are three arbitrary functions of the spatial coordinates. Thus, in the non-relativistic limit time and space transformations are decoupled: time coordinates may be shifted and spatial coordinates may be chosen arbitrarily. The residual gauge freedoms C and $\chi^i(\mathbf{x})$ in the non-relativistic limit express the fact that the Newtonian Theory of Gravity is invariant under the gauge transformation (51). This satisfies the third requirement.

Next, the fourth and last requirement will be discussed. Using (47) and (50), one gets

$$\vartheta_{(1)} := u^k_{(1)|k} = 0. \quad (52)$$

Using (52) and $p = 0$, the system of Einstein equations and conservation laws (46) becomes in the non-relativistic limit:

$$\nabla^2 \phi = \frac{4\pi G_N}{c^2} a^2 \varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}, \quad (53a)$$

$$\nabla^2 \dot{\phi} = 0, \quad (53b)$$

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)} = -3H\varepsilon_{(1)} - \varepsilon_{(0)}\theta_{(1)}, \quad (53c)$$

$$\dot{n}_{(1)} = -3Hn_{(1)} - n_{(0)}\theta_{(1)}, \quad (53d)$$

The $G^0_{(1)0}$ constraint equation (53a) can be found by observing that the time-derivative of the background constraint equation, or Friedmann equation (8a), multiplied by $\frac{1}{6}\theta_{(1)}/\dot{H}$, reads

$$H\theta_{(1)} = \frac{4\pi G_N}{c^4} \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}}{\dot{\theta}_{(0)}} \theta_{(1)}, \quad (54)$$

where it is used that $R_{(0)} = 0$ and $\theta_{(0)} = 3H$. Combining (46a), (52) and (54) yields equation (53a).

The right-hand side of (53a) is clearly gauge-invariant. Since the gauge mode $\hat{R}_{(1)} = 0$, (45), $R_{(1)}$ is gauge-invariant, so that the left-hand side of (53a) is also gauge-invariant.

Due to (52) there is no fluid flow so that density perturbations do not evolve. This, combined with (43b), implies the basic fact of the Newtonian Theory of Gravity, namely that the gravitational field is *static*, as follows from the momentum constraint equation (53b). Consequently, $a^2(t)\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}(t, \mathbf{x})$ in (53a) should be replaced by $a^2(t_0)\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}(\mathbf{x})$. Defining the potential $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) := \phi(\mathbf{x})/a^2(t_0)$, equations (53a) and (53b) imply

$$\nabla^2 \varphi(\mathbf{x}) = 4\pi G_N \rho_{(1)}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \rho_{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}(\mathbf{x})}{c^2}, \quad (55)$$

which is the Poisson equation of the Newtonian Theory of Gravity. With (55) the fourth requirement for the non-relativistic limit, i.e., a static gravitational field, has been satisfied.

Finally, expressions (44) will be checked. Using (52), expression (44a) reduces to $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} = -R_{(1)}/2\kappa$, which is, with (45) and (53b), equal to the Poisson equation (55). The background equations (8) are in the non-relativistic limit

$$3H^2 = \kappa\varepsilon_{(0)} + \Lambda, \quad \dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)} = -3H\varepsilon_{(0)}, \quad \dot{n}_{(0)} = -3Hn_{(0)}. \quad (56)$$

From equations (53c)–(53d) and (56) it follows that $\varepsilon_{(1)} = n_{(1)}mc^2$ and $\varepsilon_{(0)} = n_{(0)}mc^2$, respectively, so that expression (44b) becomes, using that $R_{(1)} = -2\kappa\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}$, in a pressure-less fluid

$$n_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}(\mathbf{x})}{mc^2}, \quad \rho_{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) = mn_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (57)$$

where the first expression is a well-known result of the Special Theory of Relativity.

Before a conclusion can be drawn, a few more remarks are made.

From (52) and (53b) it follows that the perturbed expansion scalar (18) and its gauge mode (42a) are in the non-relativistic limit given by

$$\theta_{(1)} = -\frac{1}{c^2} \dot{\zeta}^k{}_{|k}, \quad \hat{\theta}_{(1)} = C\dot{\theta}_{(0)}, \quad (58)$$

where (21) has been used. Using that $\theta_{(0)} = 3H$, it follows from (56) and (58) that $\dot{\theta}_{(0)} \neq 0$ and $\theta_{(1)} \neq 0$, so that the quantities (43) are well-defined. Consequently, it follows from (43a) that

$$\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} \neq \varepsilon_{(1)}, \quad n_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} \neq n_{(1)}, \quad (59)$$

independent of the scale of a perturbation.

Equations (53c) and (53d) are leftovers of the conservation laws (46c) and (46e) in the non-relativistic limit. They have only the gauge modes (42a) $\hat{\varepsilon}_{(1)} = C\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}$, $\hat{n}_{(1)} = C\dot{n}_{(0)}$ and $\hat{\theta}_{(1)} = C\dot{\theta}_{(0)}$ as solutions and have, as a consequence, no physical significance. These gauge modes never become zero, since the universe is not static in the non-relativistic limit, as follows from the Friedmann equation and conservation laws (56). Since equations (53c) and (53d) are decoupled from the physical equations (53a) and (53b) they are not part of the Newtonian Theory of Gravity and need, therefore, not be considered. Consequently, in the non-relativistic limit one is left with the Poisson equation (55) and the relation (57).

This section will be closed with an important conclusion. It has been shown that the complete set of linearized Einstein equations and conservation laws for scalar perturbations (32), combined with the gauge-invariant quantities (43a) reduce in the non-relativistic limit to the Newtonian equations (55) and (57). This implies that the gauge-invariant quantities $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}$ are equal to the true, physical perturbations $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$, respectively, in the non-relativistic limit. It must, therefore, be concluded that $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}$ are also equal to the true physical density perturbations $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ in the General Theory of Relativity, i.e.,

$$\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} := \varepsilon_{(1)} - \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}}{\dot{\theta}_{(0)}}\theta_{(1)} \equiv \varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}, \quad n_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} := n_{(1)} - \frac{\dot{n}_{(0)}}{\dot{\theta}_{(0)}}\theta_{(1)} \equiv n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}. \quad (60)$$

In view of the results in this section, (43b) can be written as

$$\theta_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} \equiv \theta_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = 0, \quad (61)$$

implying that, in the linear regime, the *global* expansion $\theta_{(0)} = 3H$ is not affected by a *local* density perturbation.

With (60) and (61) the gauge problem of cosmology for closed, flat and open FLRW universes is solved.

8 Evolution Equations for the Density Contrast Functions

The evolution of the density perturbations (60) is completely determined by the background equations (8) and their perturbed counterparts (32). In principle, these two systems can be used to study the evolution of density perturbations in FLRW universes. However, the system (32) is still too complicated, since it also admits the non-physical solutions (42). Therefore, evolution equations for $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ are derived.

Firstly, it is observed that the gauge-dependent quantity $\theta_{(1)}$ is not needed in the calculations, since the local perturbation to the global expansion, $\theta_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ (61), vanishes identically. Eliminating $\theta_{(1)}$ from the differential equations (32b)–(32e) with the help of the algebraic constraint equation (32a) yields a system of four first-order ordinary differential equations

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)} + 3H(\varepsilon_{(1)} + p_{(1)}) + \varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w) \left[\vartheta_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2H} (\kappa\varepsilon_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}R_{(1)}) \right] = 0, \quad (62a)$$

$$\dot{n}_{(1)} + 3Hn_{(1)} + n_{(0)} \left[\vartheta_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2H} (\kappa\varepsilon_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}R_{(1)}) \right] = 0, \quad (62b)$$

$$\dot{\vartheta}_{(1)} + H(2 - 3\beta^2)\vartheta_{(1)} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \frac{\tilde{\nabla}^2 p_{(1)}}{a^2} = 0, \quad (62c)$$

$$\dot{R}_{(1)} + 2HR_{(1)} - 2\kappa\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)\vartheta_{(1)} + \frac{R_{(0)}}{3H} (\kappa\varepsilon_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}R_{(1)}) = 0, \quad (62d)$$

for the four quantities $\varepsilon_{(1)}$, $n_{(1)}$, $\vartheta_{(1)}$ and $R_{(1)}$.

Using the background equations (8) to eliminate all time-derivatives and the linearized constraint equation (32a) to eliminate $\theta_{(1)}$, the density perturbations (60) become

$$\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{(1)}R_{(0)} - 3\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)(2H\vartheta_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}R_{(1)})}{R_{(0)} + 3\kappa\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}, \quad (63a)$$

$$n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = n_{(1)} - \frac{3n_{(0)}(\kappa\varepsilon_{(1)} + 2H\vartheta_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}R_{(1)})}{R_{(0)} + 3\kappa\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}. \quad (63b)$$

The evolution of these density perturbations is completely determined by the background equations (8) and the set of first-order differential equations (62). In the study of the evolution of density perturbations, it is convenient not to use $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$, but instead their corresponding contrast functions δ_ε and δ_n , defined by

$$\delta_\varepsilon(t, \mathbf{x}) := \frac{\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}(t, \mathbf{x})}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(t)}, \quad \delta_n(t, \mathbf{x}) := \frac{n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}(t, \mathbf{x})}{n_{(0)}(t)}. \quad (64)$$

The system of equations (62) for the four independent quantities $\varepsilon_{(1)}$, $n_{(1)}$, $\vartheta_{(1)}$ and $R_{(1)}$ is now recast, using the procedure given in the appendix, in a new system of equations for the two independent contrast functions δ_ε and δ_n . In this procedure it is explicitly assumed that $p \neq 0$, i.e., the pressure does not vanish identically. The case $p \rightarrow 0$ has been considered in Section 7 on the non-relativistic limit. The final results are the evolution equations for the relative density perturbations (64) in FLRW universes:

$$\ddot{\delta}_\varepsilon + b_1\dot{\delta}_\varepsilon + b_2\delta_\varepsilon = b_3 \left[\delta_n - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{1+w} \right], \quad (65a)$$

$$\frac{1}{c} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\delta_n - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{1+w} \right] = \frac{3Hn_{(0)}p_n}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \left[\delta_n - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{1+w} \right], \quad (65b)$$

where the coefficients b_1 , b_2 and b_3 are given by

$$b_1 = \frac{\kappa\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}{H} - 2\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta} - H(2+6w+3\beta^2) + R_{(0)} \left[\frac{1}{3H} + \frac{2H(1+3\beta^2)}{R_{(0)} + 3\kappa\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \right], \quad (66a)$$

$$b_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\kappa\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)(1+3w)H^2 [1-3w+6\beta^2(2+3w)] + 6H\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta} \left[w + \frac{\kappa\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}{R_{(0)} + 3\kappa\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \right] - R_{(0)} \left(\frac{1}{2}w + \frac{H^2(1+6w)(1+3\beta^2)}{R_{(0)} + 3\kappa\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \right) - \beta^2 \left(\frac{\tilde{\nabla}^2}{a^2} - \frac{1}{2}R_{(0)} \right), \quad (66b)$$

$$b_3 = \left\{ \frac{-18H^2}{R_{(0)} + 3\kappa\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \left[\varepsilon_{(0)}p_{\varepsilon n}(1+w) + \frac{2p_n}{3H} \frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta} + p_n(p_\varepsilon - \beta^2) + n_{(0)}p_{nn} \right] + p_n \right\} \frac{n_{(0)}}{\varepsilon_{(0)}} \left(\frac{\tilde{\nabla}^2}{a^2} - \frac{1}{2}R_{(0)} \right). \quad (66c)$$

In these expressions the partial derivatives of the pressure, p_ε and p_n , are defined by (12). The second-order partial derivatives are given by

$$p_{nn} := \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial n^2}, \quad p_{\varepsilon n} := \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \varepsilon \partial n}. \quad (67)$$

In the derivation of the coefficients (66) it is used that the time-derivative of w is

$$\dot{w} = 3H(1+w)(w - \beta^2). \quad (68)$$

This relation follows from the definitions $w := p_{(0)}/\varepsilon_{(0)}$ and $\beta^2 := \dot{p}_{(0)}/\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}$ using only the energy conservation law (8c), and is, therefore, *independent* of the precise form of the equation of state.

The system of equations (65) is equivalent to a system of *three* first-order differential equations, whereas the original system (62) is a *fourth-order* system. This difference is due to the fact that the gauge modes (42), which are solutions of the system (62), are completely removed from the solution set

of (65): one degree of freedom, namely the unknown gauge function $\xi^0(t, \mathbf{x})$ in (41) has disappeared altogether. This implies that one can impose initial values $\delta_\varepsilon(t_0, \mathbf{x})$, $\dot{\delta}_\varepsilon(t_0, \mathbf{x})$ and $\delta_n(t_0, \mathbf{x})$ which can, in principle, be obtained from observation and, subsequently, calculate the evolution of the true, physical, density contrast functions $\delta_\varepsilon(t, \mathbf{x})$ and $\delta_n(t, \mathbf{x})$.

The background equations (8) and the perturbation equations (65) constitute a set of equations which enables one to study the evolution of small fluctuations in the energy density δ_ε and the particle number density δ_n in an open, flat and closed FLRW universe with $\Lambda \neq 0$ and filled with a perfect fluid described by an equation of state for the pressure $p = p(n, \varepsilon)$. This will be the subject of the companion article (Miedema, 2016).

9 Thermodynamics

In this section expressions for the true, physical pressure and temperature perturbations are derived. It is shown that density perturbations are adiabatic if and only if the particle number density does not contribute to the pressure.

9.1 Pressure and Temperature Perturbations

From the equation of state for the pressure $p = p(n, \varepsilon)$, one has $\dot{p}_{(0)} = p_n \dot{n}_{(0)} + p_\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}$. Multiplying both sides of this expression by $\theta_{(1)}/\dot{\theta}_{(0)}$ and subtracting the result from $p_{(1)}$ given by (12), one gets, using also (43a),

$$p_{(1)} - \frac{\dot{p}_{(0)}}{\dot{\theta}_{(0)}} \theta_{(1)} = p_n n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + p_\varepsilon \varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}. \quad (69)$$

Hence, the quantity defined by

$$p_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} := p_{(1)} - \frac{\dot{p}_{(0)}}{\dot{\theta}_{(0)}} \theta_{(1)}, \quad (70)$$

is the real pressure perturbation. Combining (69) and (70) and eliminating p_ε with the help of (33), one arrives at

$$p_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = \beta^2 \varepsilon_{(0)} \delta_\varepsilon + n_{(0)} p_n \left[\delta_n - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{1+w} \right], \quad (71)$$

where also (64) has been used. The first term in this expression is the *adiabatic* part of the pressure perturbation and the second term is the *diabatic* part, as will be discussed in the next two subsections.

From the equation of state (5) for the energy density $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, T)$ it follows that

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)} = \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial n} \right)_T \dot{n}_{(0)} + \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial T} \right)_n \dot{T}_{(0)}, \quad \varepsilon_{(1)} = \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial n} \right)_T n_{(1)} + \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial T} \right)_n T_{(1)}. \quad (72)$$

Multiplying $\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}$ by $\theta_{(1)}/\dot{\theta}_{(0)}$ and subtracting the result from $\varepsilon_{(1)}$, one finds, using (60),

$$\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial n} \right)_T n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial T} \right)_n \left[T_{(1)} - \frac{\dot{T}_{(0)}}{\dot{\theta}_{(0)}} \theta_{(1)} \right], \quad (73)$$

implying that the quantity defined by

$$T_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} := T_{(1)} - \frac{\dot{T}_{(0)}}{\dot{\theta}_{(0)}} \theta_{(1)}, \quad (74)$$

is the real temperature perturbation. The expressions (70) and (74) are both of the form (60).

9.2 Diabatic Density Perturbations

The combined First and Second Law of Thermodynamics is given by

$$dE = TdS - pdV + \mu dN, \quad (75)$$

where E , S and N are the energy, the entropy and the number of particles of a system with volume V and pressure p , and where μ , the thermal—or chemical—potential, is the energy needed to add one particle to the system. In terms of the particle number density $n = N/V$, the energy per particle $E/N = \varepsilon/n$ and the entropy per particle $s = S/N$ the law (75) can be rewritten as

$$d\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{n}N\right) = Td(sN) - pd\left(\frac{N}{n}\right) + \mu dN, \quad (76)$$

where ε is the energy density. The system is *extensive*, i.e., $S(\lambda E, \lambda V, \lambda N) = \lambda S(E, V, N)$, implying that the entropy of the gas is $S = (E + pV - \mu N)/T$. Dividing this relation by N one gets the so-called Euler relation

$$\mu = \frac{\varepsilon + p}{n} - Ts. \quad (77)$$

Eliminating μ in (76) with the help of (77), one finds that the combined First and Second Law of Thermodynamics (75) can be cast in a form without μ and N , i.e.,

$$Td s = d\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{n}\right) + pd\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \quad (78)$$

From the background equations (8) and the thermodynamic law (78) it follows that $\dot{s}_{(0)} = 0$, implying that the expansion of the universe takes place without generating entropy. Using (41) one finds that $s_{(1)} = s_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}$ is automatically gauge-invariant. Consequently, $s_{(1)}^{\text{gi}} \equiv s_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ is the true, physical perturbation to the entropy per particle. The thermodynamic relation (78) can, using (64) and $w := p_{(0)}/\varepsilon_{(0)}$, be rewritten as

$$T_{(0)}s_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = -\frac{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}{n_{(0)}} \left[\delta_n - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{1+w} \right]. \quad (79)$$

From (79) it follows that the right-hand side of equation (65a) is related to local perturbations in the entropy, and equation (65b) can be considered as an evolution equation for entropy perturbations. For $T_{(0)}s_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} \neq 0$ density perturbations are diabatic, i.e., they exchange heat with their environment.

9.3 Adiabatic Density Perturbations

In the limiting case that the contribution of the particle number density to the pressure is negligible, i.e., $p_n \approx 0$, the coefficient b_3 , (66c), vanishes, so that the evolution equation (65a) becomes *homogeneous*. In this case equation (65a) describes a *closed* system which does not exchange heat with its environment. In other words, the system is *adiabatic* and evolves only under its own gravity.

For $p_n \approx 0$, the right-hand side of the entropy evolution equation (65b) vanishes. Since $T_{(0)}s_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = 0$ for adiabatic perturbations, one finds from (79) that the solution of (65b) is

$$\delta_n(t, \mathbf{x}) - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon(t, \mathbf{x})}{1+w(t)} = 0. \quad (80)$$

This relation implies that perturbations in the particle number density, δ_n , are through gravitation coupled to perturbations in the (total) energy density, δ_ε , *independent* of the nature of the particles.

The pressure perturbation (71) becomes $p_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = \beta^2 \varepsilon_{(0)} \delta_\varepsilon$, i.e., only the adiabatic part of the pressure perturbation survives. Therefore, in a fluid described by a barotropic equation of state, $p \approx p(\varepsilon)$, density perturbations evolve adiabatically. In all other cases where $p = p(n, \varepsilon)$, local density perturbations evolve *diabatically*.

10 Conclusion

In this article a new approach to the theory of cosmological perturbations in FLRW universes has been developed. By using the decomposition theorems, Section 4, to the fullest extent, the set of linearized Einstein equations and conservation laws can for scalar perturbations be recast in the form (32) which is the perturbed counterpart of the background Einstein equations and conservation laws (8). From the systems of equations (8) and (32) it follows that only three scalars ε , n and θ and their perturbations play a role in the evolution of density perturbations. Using the transformation rule (41) one can construct one and only one non-trivial set of gauge-invariant quantities (43). Since the General Theory of Relativity is a mathematically consistent theory, there exists one and only one quantity $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ for the perturbation to the energy density and one and only one quantity $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ for the perturbation to the particle number density. Therefore, the unique gauge-invariant quantities $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{gi}}$ found in Section 6 must be equal to the true physical density perturbations $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$, respectively. In Section 7 on the non-relativistic limit it is shown that this is indeed the case. In fact, it is shown that the system of equations (32) and the definitions (43a) reduce in the non-relativistic to the time-independent Poisson equation (55) and the relation (57). The gauge transformation of the General Theory of Relativity reduces in the non-relativistic limit to the gauge transformation of the Newtonian Theory of Gravity. That is why in the General Theory of Relativity a coordinate system cannot be uniquely fixed, since the Newtonian Theory of Gravity is invariant under the residual gauge transformation (51).

In Section 8 evolution equations for density perturbations $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ in closed, flat and open FLRW universes are derived. What is done, essentially, is to rewrite the linearized Einstein equations and conservation laws for the quantities $\varepsilon_{(1)}$ and $n_{(1)}$ in terms of the measurable energy and particle number densities $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$, just as in electromagnetism the Coulomb and Ampère laws for the scalar potential Φ and vector potential \mathbf{A} can be rewritten in the form of the Maxwell equations for the measurable electric field \mathbf{E} and magnetic field \mathbf{B} .

The treatment of cosmological perturbations presented in this article has the following properties. Firstly, in equations (32) *all metric tensor perturbations* are contained in only three quantities: $R_{(1)}$, (17), $\theta_{(1)}$ and $\vartheta_{(1)}$, (18). This eliminates completely the unwanted gradient terms (Malik and Matravers, 2013) in the resulting equations (65). Furthermore, the quantities (60) do not contain spatial derivatives (Ellis and Bruni, 1989; Ellis et al., 1989; Ellis and van Elst, 1998). Secondly, due to the quotients $\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}/\dot{\theta}_{(0)}$ and $\dot{n}_{(0)}/\dot{\theta}_{(0)}$ of time derivatives, the quantities $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$, (60), are independent of the definition of time, so that their evolution is only determined by their propagation equations. Finally, from (61) it follows that, in the linear regime, the *global* expansion $\theta_{(0)} = 3H$ is not affected by a *local* density perturbation. This is in accordance with the results found by Green and Wald (2014).

In a fluid described by a barotropic equation of state, $p \approx p(\varepsilon)$, the right-hand side of the evolution equation (65a) vanishes and equation (65b) reduces to an identity, so that density perturbations evolve adiabatically. In all other cases where $p = p(n, \varepsilon)$, local density perturbations evolve diabatically.

In this article an equation of state for the pressure $p(n, \varepsilon)$ in a perfect fluid is used. However, the algorithm in the appendix can be easily adapted to more general cases.

The equations (65) have been checked using the computer algebra system *Maxima* (2020), as follows. Substituting the contrast functions (64) into equations (65), where $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ are given by (63), and subsequently eliminating the time-derivatives of $\varepsilon_{(0)}$, $n_{(0)}$, H , $R_{(0)}$ and $\varepsilon_{(1)}$, $n_{(1)}$, $\vartheta_{(1)}$, $R_{(1)}$ with the help of equations (8) and (62), respectively, yields two identities for each of the two equations (65).

In a companion article (Miedema, 2016) the evolution equations (65) will be solved. Since the gauge problem of cosmology is solved, the physical consequences of the new approach to cosmological perturbations stand out clearly.

A Derivation of the Evolution Equations using Computer Algebra

In this appendix the perturbation equations (65) of the main text are derived from the basic perturbation equations (62) and the definitions (64). This is done by first deriving the evolution equations for the gauge-invariant quantities $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ (63):

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + a_1 \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + a_2 \varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = a_3 \left(n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} - \frac{n_{(0)}}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} \right), \quad (\text{A.1a})$$

$$\frac{1}{c} \frac{d}{dt} \left(n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} - \frac{n_{(0)}}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} \right) = -3H \left(1 - \frac{n_{(0)} p_n}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \right) \left(n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} - \frac{n_{(0)}}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} \right). \quad (\text{A.1b})$$

The coefficients a_1 , a_2 and a_3 occurring in equation (A.1a) are given by

$$a_1 = \frac{\kappa \varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}{H} - 2 \frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta} + H(4 - 3\beta^2) + R_{(0)} \left(\frac{1}{3H} + \frac{2H(1+3\beta^2)}{R_{(0)} + 3\kappa \varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \right), \quad (\text{A.2a})$$

$$a_2 = \kappa \varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w) - 4H \frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta} + 2H^2(2 - 3\beta^2) + R_{(0)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{5H^2(1+3\beta^2) - 2H \frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}}{R_{(0)} + 3\kappa \varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \right) - \beta^2 \left(\frac{\tilde{\nabla}^2}{a^2} - \frac{1}{2} R_{(0)} \right), \quad (\text{A.2b})$$

$$a_3 = \left\{ \frac{-18H^2}{R_{(0)} + 3\kappa \varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \left[\varepsilon_{(0)} p_{\varepsilon n}(1+w) + \frac{2p_n \dot{\beta}}{3H \beta} + p_n(p_\varepsilon - \beta^2) + n_{(0)} p_{nn} \right] + p_n \right\} \left(\frac{\tilde{\nabla}^2}{a^2} - \frac{1}{2} R_{(0)} \right). \quad (\text{A.2c})$$

In calculating the coefficients a_1 , a_2 and a_3 , (A.2), it is used that the time derivative of the quotient $w := p_{(0)}/\varepsilon_{(0)}$ is given by (68). Moreover, it is convenient *not* to expand the quantity $\beta^2 := \dot{p}_{(0)}/\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}$ since this considerably complicates the expressions for the coefficients a_1 , a_2 and a_3 .

A.1 Derivation of the Evolution Equation for the Energy Density Perturbation

In order to derive equation (A.1a), the system (62) and expression (63a) is rewritten, using (12), in the form

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)} + \alpha_{11} \varepsilon_{(1)} + \alpha_{12} n_{(1)} + \alpha_{13} \vartheta_{(1)} + \alpha_{14} R_{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{A.3a})$$

$$\dot{n}_{(1)} + \alpha_{21} \varepsilon_{(1)} + \alpha_{22} n_{(1)} + \alpha_{23} \vartheta_{(1)} + \alpha_{24} R_{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{A.3b})$$

$$\dot{\vartheta}_{(1)} + \alpha_{31} \varepsilon_{(1)} + \alpha_{32} n_{(1)} + \alpha_{33} \vartheta_{(1)} + \alpha_{34} R_{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{A.3c})$$

$$\dot{R}_{(1)} + \alpha_{41} \varepsilon_{(1)} + \alpha_{42} n_{(1)} + \alpha_{43} \vartheta_{(1)} + \alpha_{44} R_{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{A.3d})$$

$$\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + \alpha_{51} \varepsilon_{(1)} + \alpha_{52} n_{(1)} + \alpha_{53} \vartheta_{(1)} + \alpha_{54} R_{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{A.3e})$$

where the coefficients α_{ij} are given in Table 1.

Step 1 First the quantity $R_{(1)}$ is eliminated from equations (A.3). Differentiating equation (A.3e) with respect to time and eliminating the time derivatives $\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}$, $\dot{n}_{(1)}$, $\dot{\vartheta}_{(1)}$ and $\dot{R}_{(1)}$ with the help of equations (A.3a)–(A.3d), one arrives at the equation

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + p_1 \varepsilon_{(1)} + p_2 n_{(1)} + p_3 \vartheta_{(1)} + p_4 R_{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where the coefficients p_1, \dots, p_4 are given by

$$p_i = \dot{\alpha}_{5i} - \alpha_{51} \alpha_{1i} - \alpha_{52} \alpha_{2i} - \alpha_{53} \alpha_{3i} - \alpha_{54} \alpha_{4i}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

From equation (A.4) it follows that

$$R_{(1)} = -\frac{1}{p_4} \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} - \frac{p_1}{p_4} \varepsilon_{(1)} - \frac{p_2}{p_4} n_{(1)} - \frac{p_3}{p_4} \vartheta_{(1)}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Table 1: The coefficients α_{ij} figuring in the equations (A.3).

$3H(1+p_\varepsilon) + \frac{\kappa\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}{2H}$	$3Hp_n$	$\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)$	$\frac{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}{4H}$
$\frac{\kappa n_{(0)}}{2H}$	$3H$	$n_{(0)}$	$\frac{n_{(0)}}{4H}$
$\frac{p_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \frac{\tilde{\nabla}^2}{a^2}$	$\frac{p_n}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \frac{\tilde{\nabla}^2}{a^2}$	$H(2-3\beta^2)$	0
$\frac{\kappa R_{(0)}}{3H}$	0	$-2\kappa\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)$	$2H + \frac{R_{(0)}}{6H}$
$\frac{-R_{(0)}}{R_{(0)} + 3\kappa\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}$	0	$\frac{6\varepsilon_{(0)}H(1+w)}{R_{(0)} + 3\kappa\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}$	$\frac{\frac{3}{2}\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}{R_{(0)} + 3\kappa\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}$

In this way the quantity $R_{(1)}$ has been expressed as a linear combination of the quantities $\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$, $\varepsilon_{(1)}$, $n_{(1)}$ and $\vartheta_{(1)}$. Upon replacing $R_{(1)}$ in equations (A.3) by the right-hand side of (A.6), one arrives at the system of equations

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)} + q_1 \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + \gamma_{11}\varepsilon_{(1)} + \gamma_{12}n_{(1)} + \gamma_{13}\vartheta_{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{A.7a})$$

$$\dot{n}_{(1)} + q_2 \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + \gamma_{21}\varepsilon_{(1)} + \gamma_{22}n_{(1)} + \gamma_{23}\vartheta_{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{A.7b})$$

$$\dot{\vartheta}_{(1)} + q_3 \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + \gamma_{31}\varepsilon_{(1)} + \gamma_{32}n_{(1)} + \gamma_{33}\vartheta_{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{A.7c})$$

$$\dot{R}_{(1)} + q_4 \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + \gamma_{41}\varepsilon_{(1)} + \gamma_{42}n_{(1)} + \gamma_{43}\vartheta_{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{A.7d})$$

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + q_5 \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + \gamma_{51}\varepsilon_{(1)} + \gamma_{52}n_{(1)} + \gamma_{53}\vartheta_{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{A.7e})$$

where the coefficients q_i and γ_{ij} are given by

$$q_i = -\frac{\alpha_{i4}}{p_4}, \quad \gamma_{ij} = \alpha_{ij} + q_i p_j. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

It has now been achieved that the quantity $R_{(1)}$ occurs *explicitly* only in equation (A.7d), whereas $R_{(1)}$ occurs *implicitly* in the remaining equations. Therefore, equation (A.7d) is not needed anymore. Equations (A.7a)–(A.7c) and (A.7e) are four ordinary differential equations for the four unknown quantities $\varepsilon_{(1)}$, $n_{(1)}$, $\vartheta_{(1)}$ and $\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$.

Step 2 In the same way as in Step 1, the explicit occurrence of the quantity $\vartheta_{(1)}$ is eliminated from the system of equations (A.7). Differentiating equation (A.7e) with respect to time and eliminating the time derivatives $\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}$, $\dot{n}_{(1)}$ and $\dot{\vartheta}_{(1)}$ with the help of equations (A.7a)–(A.7c), one arrives at

$$q_5 \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + r \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + s_1 \varepsilon_{(1)} + s_2 n_{(1)} + s_3 \vartheta_{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where the coefficients s_i and r are given by

$$s_i = \dot{q}_5 - \gamma_{51}\gamma_{1i} - \gamma_{52}\gamma_{2i} - \gamma_{53}\gamma_{3i}, \quad (\text{A.10a})$$

$$r = 1 + \dot{q}_5 - \gamma_{51}q_1 - \gamma_{52}q_2 - \gamma_{53}q_3. \quad (\text{A.10b})$$

From equation (A.9) it follows that

$$\vartheta_{(1)} = -\frac{q_5}{s_3} \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} - \frac{r}{s_3} \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} - \frac{s_1}{s_3} \varepsilon_{(1)} - \frac{s_2}{s_3} n_{(1)}. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

In this way the quantity $\vartheta_{(1)}$ is expressed as a linear combination of the quantities $\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$, $\dot{n}_{(1)}$, $\varepsilon_{(1)}$ and $n_{(1)}$. Upon replacing $\vartheta_{(1)}$ in equations (A.7) by the right-hand side of (A.11), one arrives at the system of equations

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)} - \gamma_{13} \frac{q_5}{s_3} \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + \left(q_1 - \gamma_{13} \frac{r}{s_3} \right) \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + \left(\gamma_{11} - \gamma_{13} \frac{s_1}{s_3} \right) \varepsilon_{(1)} + \left(\gamma_{12} - \gamma_{13} \frac{s_2}{s_3} \right) n_{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{A.12a})$$

$$\dot{n}_{(1)} - \gamma_{23} \frac{q_5}{s_3} \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + \left(q_2 - \gamma_{23} \frac{r}{s_3} \right) \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + \left(\gamma_{21} - \gamma_{23} \frac{s_1}{s_3} \right) \varepsilon_{(1)} + \left(\gamma_{22} - \gamma_{23} \frac{s_2}{s_3} \right) n_{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{A.12b})$$

$$\dot{\vartheta}_{(1)} - \gamma_{33} \frac{q_5}{s_3} \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + \left(q_3 - \gamma_{33} \frac{r}{s_3} \right) \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + \left(\gamma_{31} - \gamma_{33} \frac{s_1}{s_3} \right) \varepsilon_{(1)} + \left(\gamma_{32} - \gamma_{33} \frac{s_2}{s_3} \right) n_{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{A.12c})$$

$$\dot{R}_{(1)} - \gamma_{43} \frac{q_5}{s_3} \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + \left(q_4 - \gamma_{43} \frac{r}{s_3} \right) \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + \left(\gamma_{41} - \gamma_{43} \frac{s_1}{s_3} \right) \varepsilon_{(1)} + \left(\gamma_{42} - \gamma_{43} \frac{s_2}{s_3} \right) n_{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{A.12d})$$

$$\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} - \gamma_{53} \frac{q_5}{s_3} \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + \left(q_5 - \gamma_{53} \frac{r}{s_3} \right) \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + \left(\gamma_{51} - \gamma_{53} \frac{s_1}{s_3} \right) \varepsilon_{(1)} + \left(\gamma_{52} - \gamma_{53} \frac{s_2}{s_3} \right) n_{(1)} = 0. \quad (\text{A.12e})$$

It has now been achieved that the quantities $\vartheta_{(1)}$ and $R_{(1)}$ occur *explicitly* only in equations (A.12c) and (A.12d), whereas they occur *implicitly* in the remaining equations. Therefore, equations (A.12c) and (A.12d) are not needed anymore. Equations (A.12a), (A.12b) and (A.12e) are three ordinary differential equations for the three unknown quantities $\varepsilon_{(1)}$, $n_{(1)}$ and $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$.

Step 3 At first sight, the next steps would be to eliminate, successively, the quantities $\varepsilon_{(1)}$ and $n_{(1)}$ from equation (A.12e) with the help of equations (A.12a) and (A.12b). One would then end up with a fourth-order differential equation for the unknown quantity $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$. This, however, is impossible, since the gauge-dependent quantities $\varepsilon_{(1)}$ and $n_{(1)}$ do *not* occur explicitly in equation (A.12e), as is now shown. Firstly, it is observed that equation (A.12e) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + a_1 \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} + a_2 \varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = a_3 \left(n_{(1)} + \frac{\gamma_{51}s_3 - \gamma_{53}s_1}{\gamma_{52}s_3 - \gamma_{53}s_2} \varepsilon_{(1)} \right), \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where the coefficients a_1 , a_2 and a_3 are given by

$$a_1 = -\frac{s_3}{\gamma_{53}} + \frac{r}{q_5}, \quad a_2 = -\frac{s_3}{\gamma_{53}q_5}, \quad a_3 = \frac{\gamma_{52}s_3}{\gamma_{53}q_5} - \frac{s_2}{q_5}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

These are the coefficients (A.2). Secondly, one finds

$$\frac{\gamma_{51}s_3 - \gamma_{53}s_1}{\gamma_{52}s_3 - \gamma_{53}s_2} = -\frac{n_{(0)}}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Finally, using the expressions (60) and the conservation laws (8c) and (8e), one gets

$$n_{(1)} - \frac{n_{(0)}}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \varepsilon_{(1)} = n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} - \frac{n_{(0)}}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

Consequently, the right-hand side of (A.13) does not explicitly contain the gauge-dependent quantities $\varepsilon_{(1)}$ and $n_{(1)}$. With the help of expression (A.16) one can rewrite equation (A.13) in the form (A.1a).

The derivation of the coefficients (A.2) from (A.14) and the proof of the equality (A.15) is straightforward, but extremely complicated. The computer algebra system [Maxima \(2020\)](#) has been used to perform this algebraic task.

A.2 Derivation of the Evolution Equation for the Entropy Perturbation

The basic set of equations (62) from which the evolution equations are derived is of fourth-order. From this system a second-order equation (A.1a) for $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ has been extracted. Therefore, the remaining system from which an evolution equation for $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ can be derived is at most of second order. Since gauge-invariant quantities $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ have been used, one degree of freedom, namely the gauge function $\xi^0(t, \mathbf{x})$ in (41)

has disappeared. As a consequence, only a first-order evolution equation for $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ can be derived. Instead of deriving an equation for $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$, an evolution equation (A.1b) for the entropy perturbation, which contains $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$, is derived.

From the combined First and Second Law of Thermodynamics (78) it follows that

$$T_{(0)}s_{(1)} = -\frac{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}{n_{(0)}^2} \left[n_{(1)} - \frac{n_{(0)}}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}\varepsilon_{(1)} \right], \quad (\text{A.17})$$

where the right-hand side is gauge-invariant by virtue of (A.16), so that $s_{(1)} = s_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ is gauge-invariant, in accordance with the remark below (78). Differentiating the term between square brackets in (A.17) with respect to time and using the background equations (8c) and (8e), the first-order equations (62a) and (62b) and the definitions $w := p_{(0)}/\varepsilon_{(0)}$ and $\beta^2 := \dot{p}_{(0)}/\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}$, one finds

$$\frac{1}{c} \frac{d}{dt} \left(n_{(1)} - \frac{n_{(0)}}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}\varepsilon_{(1)} \right) = -3H \left(1 - \frac{n_{(0)}p_n}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \right) \left(n_{(1)} - \frac{n_{(0)}}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}\varepsilon_{(1)} \right), \quad (\text{A.18})$$

where *Maxima* (2020) has been used to perform the algebraic task. By virtue of (A.16), one may in this equation replace $n_{(1)}$ and $\varepsilon_{(1)}$ by $n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$ and $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}}$, respectively, thus obtaining equation (A.1b).

A.3 Evolution Equations for the Contrast Functions

First the entropy equation (65b) is derived. From the definitions (64) it follows that

$$n_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} - \frac{n_{(0)}}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)}\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = n_{(0)} \left(\delta_n - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{1+w} \right). \quad (\text{A.19})$$

Substituting this expression into equation (A.18) one finds, using also (A.16),

$$\frac{1}{c} \frac{d}{dt} \left[n_{(0)} \left(\delta_n - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{1+w} \right) \right] = -3H \left(1 - \frac{n_{(0)}p_n}{\varepsilon_{(0)}(1+w)} \right) \left[n_{(0)} \left(\delta_n - \frac{\delta_\varepsilon}{1+w} \right) \right]. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

Using equation (8e) one arrives at equation (65b) of the main text.

Finally, equation (65a) is derived. Upon substituting the expressions

$$\varepsilon_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = \varepsilon_{(0)}\delta_\varepsilon, \quad \dot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = \dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}\delta_\varepsilon + \varepsilon_{(0)}\dot{\delta}_\varepsilon, \quad \ddot{\varepsilon}_{(1)}^{\text{phys}} = \ddot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}\delta_\varepsilon + 2\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}\dot{\delta}_\varepsilon + \varepsilon_{(0)}\ddot{\delta}_\varepsilon, \quad (\text{A.21})$$

into equation (A.1a), and dividing by $\varepsilon_{(0)}$, one finds

$$b_1 = 2\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}}{\varepsilon_{(0)}} + a_1, \quad b_2 = \frac{\ddot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}}{\varepsilon_{(0)}} + a_1\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}_{(0)}}{\varepsilon_{(0)}} + a_2, \quad b_3 = a_3\frac{n_{(0)}}{\varepsilon_{(0)}}, \quad (\text{A.22})$$

where also (A.19) has been used. Using *Maxima* (2020), one arrives at the coefficients (66) of the main text.

References

- E. M. Lifshitz. On the Gravitational Stability of the Expanding Universe. *J. Phys.*, X(2):116–129, 1946.
- E. M. Lifshitz and I. M. Khalatnikov. Investigations in Relativistic Cosmology. *Adv. Phys.*, 12:185–249, 1963. doi: 10.1080/00018736300101283.
- J. M. Bardeen. Gauge-invariant Cosmological Perturbations. *Phys. Rev.*, D22:1882–1905, 1980. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.22.1882.
- G. F. R. Ellis and M. Bruni. Covariant and Gauge-invariant Approach to Cosmological Density Fluctuations. *Phys. Rev. D*, 40(6):1804–1818, 1989. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.40.1804.

- G. F. R. Ellis, J. Hwang, and M. Bruni. Covariant and Gauge-independent Perfect-Fluid Robertson-Walker Perturbations. *Phys. Rev. D*, 40(6):1819–1826, 1989. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.40.1819.
- George F. R. Ellis and Henk van Elst. Cosmological Models (Cargèse lectures 1998), 1998. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9812046>.
- V. F. Mukhanov, H. A. Feldman, and R. H. Brandenberger. Theory of Cosmological Perturbations. *Phys. Rep.*, 215(5 & 6):203–333, 1992. doi: 10.1016/0370-1573(92)90044-Z.
- V. Mukhanov. *Physical Foundations of Cosmology*. Cambridge University Press, 2005. ISBN 978-0521563987.
- Marco Bruni, Peter K.S. Dunsby, and George F.R. Ellis. Cosmological perturbations and the physical meaning of gauge invariant variables. *Astrophys.J.*, 395:34–53, 1992. doi: 10.1086/171629.
- Karim A. Malik and David Wands. Cosmological Perturbations. *Phys.Rept.*, 475:1–51, 2009. doi: 10.1016/j.physrep.2009.03.001. URL <http://arXiv.org/abs/0809.4944>.
- Christian Knobel. An Introduction into the Theory of Cosmological Structure Formation. *eprint arXiv:1208.5931*, 2012. URL <http://arXiv.org/abs/1208.5931>.
- Patrick Peter. Cosmological Perturbation Theory. *eprint 1303.2509*, 2013. URL <http://arXiv.org/abs/1303.2509>.
- K. A. Malik and D. R. Matravers. Comments on gauge-invariance in cosmology. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 45:1989–2001, October 2013. doi: 10.1007/s10714-013-1573-2. URL <http://arXiv.org/abs/1206.1478>.
- H. Kodama and M. Sasaki. Cosmological Perturbation Theory. *Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplements*, 78:1–166, 1984. doi: 10.1143/PTPS.78.1.
- Chung-Pei Ma and E. Bertschinger. Cosmological perturbation theory in the synchronous and conformal newtonian gauges. *Astrophys. J.*, 455:7–25, December 1995. URL <http://arXiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9401007>.
- G. F. R. Ellis. Editorial note to: E. Lifshitz, On the gravitational stability of the expanding universe. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 49(17), 2017. doi: 10.1007/s10714-016-2164-9.
- J. W. York, jr. Covariant Decomposition of symmetric Tensors in the Theory of Gravitation. *Annales de l'Institut Henry Poincaré - Section A: Physique théorique*, XXI(4):319–332, 1974.
- J. M. Stewart and M. Walker. Perturbations of Space-times in General Relativity. *Proc. R. Soc. London*, A 341:49–74, 1974. doi: 10.1098/rspa.1974.0172.
- J. M. Stewart. Perturbations of Friedmann-Robertson-Walker Cosmological Models. *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 7:1169–1180, 1990. doi: 10.1088/0264-9381/7/7/013.
- Steven Weinberg. *Cosmology*. Oxford University Press Inc., New York, first edition, 2008. ISBN 978-0198526827.
- L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz. *The Classical Theory of Fields*, volume 2 of *Course of Theoretical Physics*. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann (Elsevier), 4 edition, 2010. ISBN 978-0-7506-2768-9.
- S. Weinberg. *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, 1972. ISBN 978-0471925675.
- S. M. Carroll. *Space Time and Geometry*. Benjamin Cummings, first edition, 2003. ISBN 978-0805387322.

- P. G. Miedema. Structure Formation in the Early Universe. *ArXiv e-prints*, January 2016. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.01260>.
- S. R. Green and R. M. Wald. How well is our universe described by an FLRW model? *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2014. URL <http://arXiv.org/abs/1407.8084>.
- Maxima. Maxima, a Computer Algebra System. Version 5.43.2, 2020. URL <http://maxima.sourceforge.net>.