

BILATTICES AND MORITA EQUIVALENCE OF MASA BIMODULES

G. K. ELEFTHERAKIS

ABSTRACT. We define an equivalence relation between bimodules over maximal abelian selfadjoint algebras (masa bimodules) which we call spatial Morita equivalence. We prove that two reflexive masa bimodules are spatially Morita equivalent iff their (essential) bilattices are isomorphic. We also prove that if $\mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^2$ are bilattices which correspond to reflexive masa bimodules $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2$ and $f : \mathcal{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2$ is an onto bilattice homomorphism, then:

- (i) If \mathcal{U}_1 is synthetic, then \mathcal{U}_2 is synthetic.
- (ii) If \mathcal{U}_2 contains a nonzero compact (or a finite or a rank 1) operator, then \mathcal{U}_1 also contains a nonzero compact (or a finite or a rank 1) operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

If H_1, H_2 are Hilbert spaces, $B(H_1, H_2)$ is the space of bounded operators from H_1 into H_2 . When $H_1 = H_2 = H$ we write $B(H) = B(H_1, H_2)$. In this case $\mathcal{P}(B(H))$ is the set of orthogonal projections of H . If \mathcal{U} is a subset of $B(H_1, H_2)$ then its reflexive hull, [9], is

$$\text{Ref}(\mathcal{U}) = \{T \in B(H_1, H_2) : T(\xi) \in \overline{\text{span}(\mathcal{U}\xi)} \ \forall \xi \in H_1\}.$$

If \mathcal{L} is a lattice of orthogonal projections of a Hilbert space H , then the corresponding algebra

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) = \{T \in B(H) : TL(H) \subset L(H) \ \forall L \in \mathcal{L}\}$$

is reflexive in the sense of the above definition.

An important class of non-selfadjoint operator algebras are the CSL algebras introduced by Arveson [1]. A CSL (commutative subspace lattice) \mathcal{L} is a commuting set of orthogonal projections acting on a Hilbert space which contains the zero and identity operators. We also assume that \mathcal{L} is closed in arbitrary suprema and infima. The corresponding CSL algebra is $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})$. In the sequel, a maximal abelian selfadjoint algebra is called masa. If \mathcal{D}_i is a masa acting on the Hilbert space $H_i, i = 1, 2$ and \mathcal{U} is a subspace of $B(H_1, H_2)$ such that $\mathcal{D}_2\mathcal{U}\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{U}$ we call \mathcal{U} masa bimodule. It is not difficult to see that a reflexive algebra is a CSL algebra iff it contains a masa. Therefore the reflexive masa bimodules are generalization of CSL algebras.

If \mathcal{A} is an algebra acting on a Hilbert space H , then the set

$$\text{Lat}(\mathcal{A}) = \{P \in \mathcal{P}(B(H)) : P^\perp \mathcal{A} P = 0\}$$

is a lattice. A lattice \mathcal{L} is called reflexive if $\mathcal{L} = \text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}))$. The CSLs are reflexive lattices, [1].

The interaction between reflexive algebras \mathcal{A} and lattices $\text{Lat}(\mathcal{A})$ is very interesting. The correspondence between CSL algebras and CSL lattices has been generalized to the case of masa bimodules by Shulman and Turowska, [11]. In their paper, to every masa bimodule there corresponds at least one bilattice.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47L35; Secondary 47L05.

In the present paper, we study bilattice homomorphisms between the bilattices of reflexive masa bimodules. We prove that if $\mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^2$ are isomorphic strongly closed commutative bilattices, then the corresponding masa bimodules $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2$ are spatially Morita equivalent in the sense that the one is generated by the other, see Theorem 3.1. Conversely, if $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2$ are spatially Morita equivalent, then their corresponding (essential) bilattices are isomorphic.

If \mathcal{U} is a weak* closed masa bimodule, then there exists [1, 11] a smallest weak* closed masa bimodule \mathcal{U}_{min} containing in \mathcal{U} such that

$$\text{Ref}(\mathcal{U}_{min}) = \text{Ref}(\mathcal{U}).$$

In case $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_{min}$, we call \mathcal{U} a synthetic masa bimodule. The concept of a synthetic bimodule is important in operator theory. In Section 4 we will prove that if $\mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^2$ are strongly closed commutative bilattices, $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2$ are their corresponding masa bimodules, and there exists an onto bilattice homomorphism $f : \mathcal{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2$, then \mathcal{U}_1 's being synthetic implies that \mathcal{U}_2 is synthetic. In this case, if \mathcal{U}_2 contains a nonzero compact (finite rank, rank 1) operator, then \mathcal{U}_1 also contains a nonzero compact (finite rank, rank 1) operator. We stress that the problem to characterise all CSLs such that the corresponding CSL algebras contain a nonzero compact operator is still open.

In the last section we will give a new proof of the inverse image theorem, [11], using the results of this paper. It is important that our proof gives more information about the sets satisfying the assumptions of the inverse image theorem: If E is the preimage of the Borel set E_1 with respect to the appropriate Borel mappings and there exists a nonzero compact (or a finite rank or a rank 1) operator supported on E , then there exists a nonzero compact (or a finite rank or a rank 1) operator supported on E_1 , Theorem 5.3.

If \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra, we denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ the subset of its projections. Let $\mathcal{U} \subset B(H_1, H_2)$ be a subspace. We denote by $\text{Map}(\mathcal{U})$ the map sending every projection $P \in \mathcal{P}(B(H_1))$ to the projection onto the subspace of H_2 generated by the vectors of the form $\{UP\xi : U \in \mathcal{U}, \xi \in H_1\}$. This map is sup preserving. Suppose that $\phi = \text{Map}(\mathcal{U})$. We denote by ϕ^* the $\text{Map}(\mathcal{U}^*)$. We also write

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,\phi} = \{\phi(P) : P \in \mathcal{P}(B(H_1))\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{1,\phi} = \mathcal{S}_{2,\phi}^\perp.$$

J. A. Erdos has proved, [7], that $\mathcal{S}_{1,\phi}$ is \wedge -complete, $\mathcal{S}_{2,\phi}$ is \vee -complete $\phi(\mathcal{S}_{1,\phi}) = \mathcal{S}_{2,\phi}$, the restriction of ϕ to $\mathcal{S}_{1,\phi}$ is 1 – 1, and if \mathcal{U} is a reflexive space, then

$$\mathcal{U} = \{T \in B(H_1, H_2) : \phi(P)^\perp TP = 0 \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{S}_{1,\phi}\}.$$

If \mathcal{U} is a masa bimodule, then the elements of $\mathcal{S}_{1,\phi}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{2,\phi}$ commute.

A set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P}(B(H_1)) \times \mathcal{P}(B(H_2))$ is called a bilattice if $(0, 0), (I, 0), (0, I) \in \mathcal{S}$ and whenever $(P_1, Q_1), (P_2, Q_2) \in \mathcal{S}$, then $(P_1 \wedge P_2, Q_1 \vee Q_2), (P_1 \vee P_2, Q_1 \wedge Q_2) \in \mathcal{S}$. We use the following notation.

$$\mathcal{S}_l = \{P : (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_r = \{Q : (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

$$\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}) = \{T \in B(H_1, H_2) : QTP = 0 \quad \forall (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

The space $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S})$ is reflexive in the usual sense. If the elements of \mathcal{S}_l and \mathcal{S}_r commute, then $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S})$ is a masa bimodule. If $\mathcal{U} \subset B(H_1, H_2)$ is a space, we denote by $\text{Bil}(\mathcal{U})$ the following set:

$$\{(P, Q) \in \mathcal{P}(B(H_1)) \times \mathcal{P}(B(H_2)) : QUP = 0\}.$$

Clearly $\text{Bil}(\mathcal{U})$ is a bilattice.

If $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ are CSLs acting on H_1, H_2 , respectively, we say that a map $\theta : \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ is a CSL homomorphism if θ preserves arbitrary suprema and infima. If additionally θ is 1 – 1

and onto, we say that it is a CSL isomorphism. In this case, we denote by $Op(\theta)$ the space

$$\{T \in B(H_1, H_2) : \theta(P)^\perp TP = 0 \ \forall P \in \mathcal{L}_1\}.$$

In what follows, if \mathcal{X} is a set of operators, we denote by $[\mathcal{X}]$ the weak* closure of the linear span of \mathcal{X} . We recall the following:

Definition 1.1. [4] *Let \mathcal{A}_i be a weak* closed algebra acting on $H_i, i = 1, 2$. We call \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 spatially Morita equivalent if there exist an $\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2$ module \mathcal{X} and an $\mathcal{A}_2 - \mathcal{A}_1$ module \mathcal{Y} such that*

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = [\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}], \quad \mathcal{A}_2 = [\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{X}].$$

Theorem 1.1. [4] *Let $\mathcal{A}_1 = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1), \mathcal{A}_2 = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_2)$ be CSL algebras. Then \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are spatially Morita equivalent if and only if there exists a CSL isomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$. In this case*

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = [Op(\theta^{-1})Op(\theta)], \quad \mathcal{A}_2 = [Op(\theta)Op(\theta^{-1})].$$

We call a space $\mathcal{U} \subset B(H_1, H_2)$ nondegenerate if $\mathcal{U}(H_1)$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}^*(H_2)$) is dense in H_2 (resp. in H_1).

We extend the notion of spatial Morita equivalence to the setting of spaces:

Definition 1.2. *We fix masas acting on the Hilbert spaces $H_i, K_i, i = 1, 2$. Let $\mathcal{U}_1 \subset B(H_1, H_2), \mathcal{U}_2 \subset B(K_1, K_2)$ be nondegenerate bimodules over the above masas. We call them spatially Morita equivalent if there exist bimodules over the same masas*

$$\mathcal{V}_1 \subset B(H_1, K_1), \quad \mathcal{V}_2 \subset B(H_2, K_2), \quad \mathcal{W}_1 \subset B(K_1, H_1), \quad \mathcal{W}_2 \subset B(K_2, H_2)$$

such that

(i)

$$\mathcal{U}_1 = [\mathcal{W}_2\mathcal{U}_2\mathcal{V}_1], \quad \mathcal{U}_2 = [\mathcal{V}_2\mathcal{U}_1\mathcal{W}_1],$$

and

(ii) the spaces

$$[\mathcal{V}_1\mathcal{W}_1], \quad [\mathcal{W}_1\mathcal{V}_1], \quad [\mathcal{V}_2\mathcal{W}_2], \quad [\mathcal{W}_2\mathcal{V}_2]$$

are unital algebras.

Examples 1.2. (i) We can easily prove that if two unital algebras containing masas are spatially Morita equivalent as algebras, then they are spatially Morita equivalent as spaces.

(ii) We call the spaces $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2$ unitarily equivalent if there exist unitaries W, V such that $\mathcal{U}_1 = W\mathcal{U}_2V$. Clearly unitarily equivalent masa bimodules are spatially Morita equivalent.

(iii) In [6], two spaces $\mathcal{U}_1 \subset B(H_1, H_2), \mathcal{U}_2 \subset B(K_1, K_2)$ are called TRO equivalent if there exist TROs $\mathcal{M}_1 \subset B(K_1, H_1), \mathcal{M}_2 \subset B(K_2, H_2)$ such that

$$\mathcal{U}_1 = [\mathcal{M}_2\mathcal{U}_2\mathcal{M}_1^*], \quad \mathcal{U}_2 = [\mathcal{M}_2^*\mathcal{U}_1\mathcal{M}_1].$$

We can see that TRO equivalent masa bimodules are spatially Morita equivalent.

2. BILATTICES AND THEIR HOMOMORPHISMS

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathcal{S} be a commutative bilattice with the property that if $\{(P_i, Q_i)\}_i$ is an arbitrary subset of \mathcal{S} , then*

$$(\bigvee_i P_i, \bigwedge_i Q_i), \quad (\bigwedge_i P_i, \bigvee_i Q_i) \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Then \mathcal{S} is strongly closed.

Proof. The proof is based on the fact that a commutative lattice is strongly closed iff it is meet and join complete, [3]. By Theorem 3.1 in [11]

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Bil}(\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S})) \cap (\mathcal{S}_l \times \mathcal{S}_r) = \mathcal{S}.$$

We can easily check that $\mathcal{S}_l, \mathcal{S}_r$ are complete lattices. Therefore they are CSLs. If $\{(P_i, Q_i)\}_i \subset \mathcal{S}$ is a net such that P is the limit of the net $(P_i)_i$ and Q is the limit of the net $(Q_i)_i$, since $\mathcal{S}_l, \mathcal{S}_r$ are CSLs we have $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}_l \times \mathcal{S}_r$. Now since $Q_i \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}) P_i = 0$ for all i , we have $Q \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}) P = 0$. By (2.1), $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}$. So \mathcal{S} is strongly closed. \square

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^2$ be strongly closed commutative bilattices. If

$$\phi : \mathcal{S}_l^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_l^2, \quad \psi : \mathcal{S}_r^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_r^2$$

are CSL homomorphisms such that

$$(\phi(P), \psi(Q)) \in \mathcal{S}^2 \quad \forall (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}^1,$$

we say that $\phi \oplus \psi$ is a bilattice homomorphism. In the case where the ϕ, ψ are 1-1 and onto, we call $\phi \oplus \psi$ a bilattice isomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{D}_i \subset B(H_i), i = 1, 2$ be masas. A bilattice $\mathcal{S} \subset B(H_1) \times B(H_2)$ is called a $\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2$ bilattice if $\mathcal{S}_r \subset \mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{S}_l \subset \mathcal{D}_1$. If \mathcal{U} is a reflexive $\mathcal{D}_2 - \mathcal{D}_1$ bimodule, the bilattice

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = \{(P, Q) \in \mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2 : Q\mathcal{U}P = 0\}$$

is a strongly closed commutative bilattice satisfying $\mathcal{U} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}_0)$, [11]. We see that \mathcal{S}_0 is the biggest $\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2$ bilattice \mathcal{S} such that $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{U}$. We are going to find the smallest.

Suppose that \mathcal{U} is a nondegenerate reflexive $\mathcal{D}_2 - \mathcal{D}_1$ bimodule and $\phi = \text{Map}(\mathcal{U})$. Also suppose that \mathcal{L}_i is the CSL generated by $\mathcal{S}_{i,\phi}, i = 1, 2$. We define

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(P, Q) \in \mathcal{L}_1 \times \mathcal{L}_2^\perp : \phi(P) \leq Q^\perp\}.$$

Theorem 2.2. (i) \mathcal{S} is a strongly closed commutative bilattice satisfying $\mathcal{S}_l = \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{S}_r = \mathcal{L}_2^\perp, \mathcal{U} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S})$.

(ii) If \mathcal{S}^1 is a strongly closed commutative bilattice such that $\mathcal{U} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}^1)$, then $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}^1$.

Proof. (i) We shall prove that \mathcal{S} is a strongly closed commutative bilattice. By Theorem 2.1, it suffices to prove that if $(P_i, Q_i)_i$ is an arbitrary subset of \mathcal{S} , then

$$(\vee_i P_i, \wedge_i Q_i), \quad (\wedge_i P_i, \vee_i Q_i) \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Indeed, since $\phi(P_i) \leq Q_i^\perp$ for all i and ϕ is sup preserving, we have

$$\phi(\vee_i P_i) \leq (\wedge_i Q_i)^\perp \Rightarrow (\vee_i P_i, \wedge_i Q_i) \in \mathcal{S}.$$

On the other hand, since ϕ is order preserving, we have

$$\phi(\wedge_i P_i) \leq \wedge_i \phi(P_i) \leq (\vee_i Q_i)^\perp \Rightarrow (\wedge_i P_i, \vee_i Q_i) \in \mathcal{S}.$$

We shall prove that $\mathcal{U} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}) &= \{T \in B(H_1, H_2) : QTP = 0 \quad \forall (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}\} \subset \\ &\quad \{T \in B(H_1, H_2) : \phi(P)^\perp TP = 0 \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{S}_{1,\phi}\} = \mathcal{U}. \end{aligned}$$

Also if $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}$, then $\phi(P) \leq Q^\perp$ so since $\phi(P)^\perp TP = 0 \Rightarrow QTP = 0$. Thus, $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S})$.

(ii) Let \mathcal{S}^1 be a strongly closed commutative bilattice such that $\mathcal{U} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}^1)$. If $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}^1$ then $Q \in \mathcal{S}_r^1$. Therefore

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1)Q = Q\text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1)Q \Rightarrow Q\text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1)^* = Q\text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1)^*Q.$$

Thus,

$$Q\text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1)^*\mathcal{U}P = Q\text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1)^*Q\mathcal{U}P = 0.$$

The conclusion is $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1)^*\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{U}$. So for an arbitrary projection P we have

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1)^*\mathcal{U}P \subset \mathcal{U}P \Rightarrow \phi(P) \in (\mathcal{S}_r^1)^\perp.$$

Since $\mathcal{S}_{2,\phi} = \{\phi(P) : P \in \mathcal{P}(B(H_1))\}$, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,\phi} \subset (\mathcal{S}_r^1)^\perp \Rightarrow (\mathcal{S}_{2,\phi})^\perp \subset \mathcal{S}_r^1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2^\perp \subset \mathcal{S}_r^1.$$

Similarly we can prove that $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{S}_l^1$. Now if

$$(P, Q) \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow Q\mathcal{U}P = 0 \Rightarrow (P, Q) \in \text{Bil}(\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}^1)) \cap (\mathcal{S}_l^1 \times \mathcal{S}_r^1).$$

So by Theorem 3.1 in [11] $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}^1$. □

Definition 2.2. We call \mathcal{S} the essential bilattice of \mathcal{U} .

3. MORITA EQUIVALENCE OF MASA BIMODULES

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^2$ be isomorphic, strongly closed commutative bilattices and $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}^1), \mathcal{U}_2 = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}^2)$. Then \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 are spatially Morita equivalent.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{U}_1 \subset B(H_1, H_2)$ and $\mathcal{U}_2 \subset B(K_1, K_2)$ are nondegenerate spaces and $\phi \oplus \psi : \mathcal{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2$ is a bilattice isomorphism. We define the CSLs

$$\mathcal{L}_i = \{P \oplus Q^\perp : (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}^i\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The map

$$\theta : \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2 : P \oplus Q^\perp \rightarrow \phi(P) \oplus \psi(Q)^\perp$$

is a CSL isomorphism. Therefore by Theorem 1.1,

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1) = [Op(\theta^{-1})Op(\theta)], \quad \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_2) = [Op(\theta)Op(\theta^{-1})].$$

We also define the spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_1 &= Op(\phi) \subset B(H_1, K_1) \\ \mathcal{V}_2 &= \{T \in B(H_2, K_2) : \psi(Q)TQ^\perp = 0 \quad \forall Q \in \mathcal{S}_r^1\} \\ \mathcal{W}_1 &= \{T \in B(K_1, H_1) : P^\perp T\phi(P) = 0 \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{S}_l^1\} \\ \mathcal{W}_2 &= \{T \in B(K_2, H_2) : QT\psi(Q)^\perp = 0 \quad \forall Q \in \mathcal{S}_r^1\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{W}_1\mathcal{V}_1] &= \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^1), & [\mathcal{V}_1\mathcal{W}_1] &= \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1), \\ [\mathcal{W}_2\mathcal{V}_2] &= \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1)^*, & [\mathcal{V}_2\mathcal{W}_2] &= \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^1)^*. \end{aligned}$$

So the above spaces are unital algebras. We also need the following strongly closed commutative bilattices:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}^1 &= \{(P, \psi(Q)) : (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}^1\} \\ \mathcal{Z}^2 &= \{(\phi(P), Q) : (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}^1\}. \end{aligned}$$

A calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} Op(\theta) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V}_1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Z}^1) & \mathcal{V}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Op(\theta^{-1}) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{W}_1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Z}^2) & \mathcal{W}_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.1) we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{U}_1 = [\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Z}^2)\mathcal{V}_1 + \mathcal{W}_2\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Z}^1)].$$

We can see that

$$\mathcal{W}_2\mathcal{U}_2 \subset \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Z}^2)$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}_2\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Z}^2) \subset \mathcal{U}_2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2\mathcal{V}_2\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Z}^2) \subset \mathcal{W}_2\mathcal{U}_2.$$

Since $[\mathcal{W}_2\mathcal{V}_2]$ is a unital algebra, $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Z}^2) = [\mathcal{W}_2\mathcal{U}_2]$. Similarly we can prove $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Z}^1) = [\mathcal{U}_2\mathcal{V}_1]$. Thus by (3.2) $\mathcal{U}_1 = [\mathcal{W}_2\mathcal{U}_2\mathcal{V}_1]$. Similarly we can prove $\mathcal{U}_2 = [\mathcal{V}_2\mathcal{U}_1\mathcal{W}_1]$. The proof is complete. \square

We are going to prove that two spatially Morita equivalent reflexive masa bimodules have isomorphic essential bilattices. In the rest of this section, we fix reflexive masa bimodules $\mathcal{U}_1 \subset B(H_1, H_2)$, $\mathcal{U}_2 \subset B(K_1, K_2)$ and spaces $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2$ satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 1.2. Suppose that the essential bilattices of \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 are \mathcal{S}^1 and \mathcal{S}^2 , respectively. We write

$$\phi_i = \text{Map}(\mathcal{U}_i), \quad \psi_i = \text{Map}(\mathcal{W}_i), \quad \chi_i = \text{Map}(\mathcal{V}_i), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Lemma 3.2. (i)

$$\chi_i(\text{Lat}([\mathcal{W}_i\mathcal{V}_i])) = \text{Lat}([\mathcal{V}_i\mathcal{W}_i]), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

(ii)

$$\psi_i(\text{Lat}([\mathcal{V}_i\mathcal{W}_i])) = \text{Lat}([\mathcal{W}_i\mathcal{V}_i]), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Proof. Choose $P \in \text{Lat}([\mathcal{W}_1\mathcal{V}_1])$. We have

$$P^\perp\mathcal{W}_1\mathcal{V}_1P = 0 \Rightarrow P^\perp\mathcal{W}_1\chi_1(P) = 0.$$

Now

$$\chi_1(P)^\perp\mathcal{V}_1\mathcal{W}_1\chi_1(P) = \chi_1(P)^\perp\mathcal{V}_1P^\perp\mathcal{W}_1\chi_1(P) = 0.$$

Thus $\chi_1(P) \in \text{Lat}([\mathcal{V}_1\mathcal{W}_1])$. We have proved that

$$\chi_1(\text{Lat}([\mathcal{W}_1\mathcal{V}_1])) \subset \text{Lat}([\mathcal{V}_1\mathcal{W}_1]).$$

Similarly we can prove

$$\psi_1(\text{Lat}([\mathcal{V}_1\mathcal{W}_1])) \subset \text{Lat}([\mathcal{W}_1\mathcal{V}_1])$$

which implies

$$\chi_1(\psi_1(\text{Lat}([\mathcal{V}_1\mathcal{W}_1]))) \subset \chi_1(\text{Lat}([\mathcal{W}_1\mathcal{V}_1]))$$

Suppose that $\zeta = \text{Map}([\mathcal{V}_1\mathcal{W}_1])$. We can easily check that $\zeta = \chi_1 \circ \psi_1$ and since $[\mathcal{V}_1\mathcal{W}_1]$ is unital algebra $\zeta(Q) = Q$, $\forall Q \in \text{Lat}([\mathcal{V}_1\mathcal{W}_1])$. Thus $\chi_1(\psi_1(Q)) = Q$, $\forall Q \in \text{Lat}([\mathcal{V}_1\mathcal{W}_1])$ which implies

$$\text{Lat}([\mathcal{W}_1\mathcal{V}_1]) \subset \chi_1(\text{Lat}([\mathcal{W}_1\mathcal{V}_1])).$$

The conclusion is that

$$\text{Lat}([\mathcal{W}_1\mathcal{V}_1]) = \chi_1(\text{Lat}([\mathcal{W}_1\mathcal{V}_1])).$$

The other proofs are similar. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(P_i)_i \subset \text{Lat}([\mathcal{W}_1\mathcal{V}_1])$. Then $\wedge_i\chi_1(P_i) = \chi_1(\wedge_i P_i)$.*

Proof. Let $(P_i)_i \subset \text{Lat}([\mathcal{W}_1\mathcal{V}_1])$. By Lemma 3.2 we have $(\chi_1(P_i))_i \subset \text{Lat}([\mathcal{V}_1\mathcal{W}_1])$. So $\wedge_i\chi_1(P_i) \in \text{Lat}([\mathcal{V}_1\mathcal{W}_1])$. Thus there exists $P \in \text{Lat}([\mathcal{W}_1\mathcal{V}_1])$ such that $\wedge_i\chi_1(P_i) = \chi_1(P)$. Now we have

$$P = \psi_1(\chi_1(P)) = \psi_1(\wedge_i\chi_1(P_i)) \leq \wedge_i\psi_1(\chi_1(P_i)) = \wedge_i P_i.$$

It follows that

$$\chi_1(P) \leq \chi_1(\wedge_i P_i) \Rightarrow \wedge_i\chi_1(P_i) \leq \chi_1(\wedge_i P_i) \Rightarrow \wedge_i\chi_1(P_i) = \chi_1(\wedge_i P_i).$$

\square

Lemma 3.4. *If $(P_i)_i \in \mathcal{S}_l^1$ and $(Q_i)_i \in \mathcal{S}_r^1$, then*

$$\wedge_i \chi_1(P_i) = \chi_1(\wedge_i P_i), \quad \wedge_i \psi_2^*(Q_i) = \psi_2^*(\wedge_i Q_i).$$

Proof. Let $(P_i)_i \in \mathcal{S}_l^1$. We shall prove that $\wedge_i \chi_1(P_i) = \chi_1(\wedge_i P_i)$. By Lemma 3.3, it suffices to prove that

$$\mathcal{S}_{1,\phi_1} \subset \text{Lat}([\mathcal{W}_1 \mathcal{V}_1]).$$

Since

$$\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{U}_1[\mathcal{W}_1 \mathcal{V}_1]$$

we have

$$\mathcal{U}_1^* = [\mathcal{W}_1 \mathcal{V}_1]^* \mathcal{U}_1^*.$$

It follows from the definition of \mathcal{S}_{2,ϕ_1^*} that $\mathcal{S}_{2,\phi_1^*} \subset \text{Lat}([\mathcal{W}_1 \mathcal{V}_1]^*)$ and hence

$$\mathcal{S}_{1,\phi_1} \subset \text{Lat}([\mathcal{W}_1 \mathcal{V}_1]).$$

The other proof is similar. □

Lemma 3.5. (i)

$$\mathcal{S}^2 = \{(\chi_1(P), \psi_2^*(Q)) : (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}^1\}$$

(ii)

$$\mathcal{S}^1 = \{(\psi_1(P), \chi_2^*(Q)) : (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}^2\}$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, Lemma 3.4, and the fact that χ_1, ψ_2^* are \vee -continuous, the set

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \{(\chi_1(P), \psi_2^*(Q)) : (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}^1\}$$

is a strongly closed commutative bilattice. We need to show that $\mathcal{S}^2 = \mathcal{L}_2$. If $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}^1$, then as $\mathcal{W}_2 \mathcal{U}_2 \mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{U}_1$,

$$Q \mathcal{U}_1 P = 0 \Rightarrow Q \mathcal{W}_2 \mathcal{U}_2 \mathcal{V}_1 P = 0 \Rightarrow \psi_2^*(Q) \mathcal{U}_2 \chi_1(P) = 0.$$

So $\mathcal{U}_2 \subset \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{L}_2)$. When $X \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{L}_2)$ and $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}^1$, we have

$$\psi_2^*(Q) X \chi_1(P) = 0 \Rightarrow Q \mathcal{W}_2 X \mathcal{V}_1 P = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2 X \mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{U}_1.$$

So

$$[\mathcal{V}_2 \mathcal{W}_2] X [\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{W}_1] \subset \mathcal{V}_2 \mathcal{U}_1 \mathcal{W}_1 \subset \mathcal{U}_2.$$

Since $[\mathcal{V}_2 \mathcal{W}_2]$ and $[\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{W}_1]$ are unital algebras, $X \in \mathcal{U}_2$. We have proved that $\mathcal{U}_2 = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{L}_2)$. Theorem 2.2 implies that $\mathcal{S}^2 \subset \mathcal{L}_2$. We have that

$$(3.3) \quad \chi_1 \oplus \psi_2^*(\mathcal{S}^1) = \mathcal{L}_2 \supset \mathcal{S}^2$$

and similarly

$$(3.4) \quad \psi_1 \oplus \chi_2^*(\mathcal{S}^2) = \mathcal{L}_1 \supset \mathcal{S}^1.$$

If $P \in \mathcal{S}_l^1$, then $P \in \text{Lat}([\mathcal{W}_1 \mathcal{V}_1])$ and so $\psi_1(\chi_1(P)) = P$, (see the proof of Lemma 3.2). Similarly if $Q \in \mathcal{S}_r^1$, then $\chi_2^*(\psi_2^*(Q)) = Q$. So

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{L}_1 = (\psi_1 \oplus \chi_2^*)(\mathcal{S}^2) \subset (\psi_1 \oplus \chi_2^*)(\chi_1 \oplus \psi_2^*)(\mathcal{S}^1) = \mathcal{S}^1.$$

Similarly

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{L}_2 = (\chi_1 \oplus \psi_2^*)(\mathcal{S}^1) \subset (\chi_1 \oplus \psi_2^*)(\psi_1 \oplus \chi_2^*)(\mathcal{S}^2) = \mathcal{S}^2.$$

Equations (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6) imply that $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{S}^2$. □

Theorem 3.6. *Two reflexive masa bimodules are spatially Morita equivalent if and only if their essential bilattices are isomorphic.*

Proof. The one direction is Theorem 3.1. For the other we use Lemma 3.5 and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_1(\psi_1(P)) &= P \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{S}_l^2, & \psi_1(\chi_1(P)) &= P \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{S}_l^1, \\ \chi_2^*(\psi_2^*(Q)) &= Q \quad \forall Q \in \mathcal{S}_r^1, & \psi_2^*(\chi_2^*(Q)) &= Q \quad \forall Q \in \mathcal{S}_r^2.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.7. *Spatial Morita equivalence of reflexive masa bimodules is an equivalence relation.*

Proposition 3.8. *Two CSL algebras are spatially Morita equivalent as algebras iff they are spatially Morita equivalent as spaces.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}_1 = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1)$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_2)$ are CSL algebras. Their essential bilattices are

$$\mathcal{S}^i = \{(P, Q) \in \mathcal{L}_i \times \mathcal{L}_i^\perp : P \leq I - Q\}.$$

We can easily see that $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ are isomorphic CSLs iff the bilattices $\mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^2$ are isomorphic. □

4. CONTINUOUS BILATTICE HOMOMORPHISMS

Definition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^2$ be strongly closed commutative bilattices and $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}^1), \mathcal{U}_2 = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}^2)$. If*

$$\phi \oplus \psi : \mathcal{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2$$

is a bilattice homomorphism, we define the spaces

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}_1 &= \{T : \phi(P)^\perp TP = 0 \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{S}_l^1\} \\ \mathcal{V}_2 &= \{T : \psi(Q)TQ^\perp = 0 \quad \forall Q \in \mathcal{S}_r^1\} \\ \mathcal{W}_1 &= \{T : P^\perp T\phi(P) = 0 \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{S}_l^1\} \\ \mathcal{W}_2 &= \{T : QT\psi(Q)^\perp = 0 \quad \forall Q \in \mathcal{S}_r^1\}.\end{aligned}$$

We isolate from the proof of Theorem 3.1 the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\phi, \psi, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2$ be as in the above definition. If $\phi \oplus \psi$ is an isomorphism, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}_1 &= [\mathcal{W}_2 \mathcal{U}_2 \mathcal{V}_1], & \mathcal{U}_2 &= [\mathcal{V}_2 \mathcal{U}_1 \mathcal{W}_1] \\ [\mathcal{W}_1 \mathcal{V}_1] &= \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^1), & [\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{W}_1] &= \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^2) \\ [\mathcal{W}_2 \mathcal{V}_2] &= \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1)^*, & [\mathcal{V}_2 \mathcal{W}_2] &= \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^2)^*.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^2$ be strongly closed commutative bilattices and suppose that*

$$\phi \oplus \psi : \mathcal{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2$$

is a continuous onto bilattice homomorphism. We recall the spaces

$$\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2$$

from Definition 4.1. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}_1 &\supset \mathcal{W}_2 \mathcal{U}_2 \mathcal{V}_1, & \mathcal{U}_2 &= [\mathcal{V}_2 \mathcal{U}_1 \mathcal{W}_1] \\ \mathcal{W}_1 \mathcal{V}_1 &\subset \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^1), & [\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{W}_1] &= \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^2) \\ \mathcal{W}_2 \mathcal{V}_2 &\subset \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1)^*, & [\mathcal{V}_2 \mathcal{W}_2] &= \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^2)^*.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We define the bilattices

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}^1 &= \{(P \oplus P, Q \oplus Q) : (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}^1\} \\ \mathcal{Z}^2 &= \{(P \oplus \phi(P), Q \oplus \psi(Q)) : (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}^1\}.\end{aligned}$$

We also define the masa bimodules

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{U}}_1 &= \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Z}^1) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}_1 & \mathcal{U}_1 \\ \mathcal{U}_1 & \mathcal{U}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{U}}_2 &= \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Z}^2) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}_1 & \Omega_1 \\ \Omega_2 & \mathcal{U}_2 \end{pmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_1 &= \{T : QT\phi(P) = 0 \ \forall (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}^1\}, \\ \Omega_2 &= \{T : \psi(Q)TP = 0 \ \forall (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}^1\}.\end{aligned}$$

We define the bilattice isomorphism

$$\hat{\phi} \oplus \hat{\psi} : \mathcal{Z}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^2 : (P \oplus P, Q \oplus Q) \rightarrow (P \oplus \phi(P), Q \oplus \psi(Q)).$$

We also define the spaces

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{V}}_1 &= \{T : \hat{\phi}(L)^\perp TL = 0 \ \forall L \in \mathcal{Z}_l^1\} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^1) & \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^1) \\ \mathcal{V}_1 & \mathcal{V}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{V}}_2 &= \{T : \hat{\psi}(L)TL^\perp = 0 \ \forall L \in \mathcal{Z}_r^1\} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1)^* & \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1)^* \\ \mathcal{V}_2 & \mathcal{V}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{W}}_1 &= \{T : L^\perp T\hat{\phi}(L) = 0 \ \forall L \in \mathcal{Z}_l^1\} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^1) & \mathcal{W}_1 \\ \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^1) & \mathcal{W}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{W}}_2 &= \{T : LT\hat{\psi}(L)^\perp = 0 \ \forall L \in \mathcal{Z}_r^1\} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1)^* & \mathcal{W}_2 \\ \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1)^* & \mathcal{W}_2 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\hat{\phi} \oplus \hat{\psi}$ is a bilattice isomorphism, from Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{U}}_2 &= [\hat{\mathcal{V}}_2 \hat{\mathcal{U}}_1 \hat{\mathcal{W}}_1] \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}_2 = [\mathcal{V}_2 \mathcal{U}_1 \mathcal{W}_1], \\ \hat{\mathcal{U}}_1 &= [\hat{\mathcal{W}}_2 \hat{\mathcal{U}}_2 \hat{\mathcal{V}}_1] \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}_1 \supset \mathcal{W}_2 \mathcal{U}_2 \mathcal{V}_1.\end{aligned}$$

We also define the algebras

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Alg}(\mathcal{Z}_l^1) &= \begin{pmatrix} \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^1) & \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^1) \\ \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^1) & \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^1) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Alg}(\mathcal{Z}_l^2) &= \begin{pmatrix} \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^1) & \mathcal{W}_1 \\ \mathcal{V}_1 & \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^2) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Alg}(\mathcal{Z}_r^1) &= \begin{pmatrix} \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1) & \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1) \\ \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1) & \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Alg}(\mathcal{Z}_r^2) &= \begin{pmatrix} \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1) & \mathcal{V}_2^* \\ \mathcal{W}_2^* & \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^2) \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Alg}(\mathcal{Z}_l^1) &= [\hat{\mathcal{W}}_1 \hat{\mathcal{V}}_1] \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}_1 \mathcal{V}_1 \subset \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^1) \\ \text{Alg}(\mathcal{Z}_l^2) &= [\hat{\mathcal{V}}_1 \hat{\mathcal{W}}_1] \Rightarrow [\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{W}_1] = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^2) \\ \text{Alg}(\mathcal{Z}_r^1)^* &= [\hat{\mathcal{W}}_2 \hat{\mathcal{V}}_2] \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2 \mathcal{V}_2 \subset \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^1)^* \\ \text{Alg}(\mathcal{Z}_r^2)^* &= [\hat{\mathcal{V}}_2 \hat{\mathcal{W}}_2] \Rightarrow [\mathcal{V}_2 \mathcal{W}_2] = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^2)^*.\end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ be CSLs acting on separable Hilbert spaces, $\theta : \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ be a CSL isomorphism, and $\mathcal{U} = Op(\theta), \mathcal{V} = Op(\theta^{-1})$. Then*

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1)_{min} = [\mathcal{V}_{min}\mathcal{U}_{min}], \quad \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_2)_{min} = [\mathcal{U}_{min}\mathcal{V}_{min}].$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 we have

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1) = [\mathcal{V}\mathcal{U}], \quad \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_2) = [\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}].$$

By [10] the spaces $\mathcal{V}_{min}, \mathcal{U}_{min}$ are equal to the weak* closure of the pseudointegral operators which contain. Since the product of two pseudointegral operators is also a pseudointegral operator [2],

$$\mathcal{V}_{min}\mathcal{U}_{min} \subset \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1)_{min}.$$

It remains to show

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1)_{min} \subset [\mathcal{V}_{min}\mathcal{U}_{min}].$$

Since $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1)_{min}$ is the smallest masa bimodule \mathcal{X} containing in $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1)$ such that $\text{Ref}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1)$, it suffices to prove that $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1) = \text{Ref}(\mathcal{V}_{min}\mathcal{U}_{min})$ or equivalently

$$\mathcal{V}\mathcal{U} \subset \text{Ref}(\mathcal{V}_{min}\mathcal{U}_{min}).$$

We fix operators $A \in \mathcal{V}, B \in \mathcal{U}$ and an arbitrary vector ξ . Since $\text{Ref}(\mathcal{U}_{min}) = \mathcal{U}$, we have

$$B(\xi) \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_{min}\xi}$$

and since $\text{Ref}(\mathcal{V}_{min}) = \mathcal{V}$, we have

$$A(B(\xi)) \in \overline{\mathcal{V}_{min}B(\xi)}.$$

Thus

$$A(B(\xi)) \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_{min}\mathcal{V}_{min}\xi}.$$

We proved that $AB \in \text{Ref}(\mathcal{V}_{min}\mathcal{U}_{min})$. The conclusion is that $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1) = [\mathcal{V}_{min}\mathcal{U}_{min}]$ and similarly $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_2)_{min} = [\mathcal{U}_{min}\mathcal{V}_{min}]$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ be CSLs acting on separable Hilbert spaces and $\theta : \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ be an onto continuous CSL homomorphism. Put*

$$\mathcal{U} = \{T : \theta(P)^\perp TP = 0 \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{L}_1\}$$

$$\mathcal{V} = \{T : P^\perp T\theta(P) = 0 \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{L}_1\}.$$

Then $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_2)_{min} = [\mathcal{U}_{min}\mathcal{V}_{min}]$.

Proof. We define the CSLs

$$\mathcal{N}_1 = \{P \oplus P : P \in \mathcal{L}_1\}, \quad \mathcal{N}_2 = \{P \oplus \theta(P) : P \in \mathcal{L}_1\}.$$

The map

$$\rho : \mathcal{N}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_2 : P \oplus P \rightarrow P \oplus \theta(P)$$

is a CSL isomorphism. We can see that

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N}_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1) & \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1) \\ \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1) & \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N}_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1) & \mathcal{V} \\ \mathcal{U} & \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_2) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Op(\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1) & \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1) \\ \mathcal{U} & \mathcal{U} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Op(\rho^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1) & \mathcal{V} \\ \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1) & \mathcal{V} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since Lemma 4.3 implies

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N}_2)_{\min} = [\text{Op}(\rho)_{\min} \text{Op}(\rho^{-1})_{\min}],$$

we have $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_2)_{\min} = [\mathcal{U}_{\min} \mathcal{V}_{\min}]$. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^2$ be strongly closed commutative bilattices acting on separable Hilbert spaces and*

$$\phi \oplus \psi : \mathcal{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2$$

be a continuous onto bilattice homomorphism. If $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}^1), \mathcal{U}_2 = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}^2)$ and \mathcal{U}_1 is synthetic, then \mathcal{U}_2 is synthetic.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2$ be as in Theorem 4.2. Since $\mathcal{W}_2 \mathcal{U}_2 \mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{U}_1$, we have

$$(\mathcal{W}_2)_{\min} \mathcal{U}_2 (\mathcal{V}_1)_{\min} \subset \mathcal{U}_1 \Rightarrow$$

$$(\mathcal{V}_2)_{\min} (\mathcal{W}_2)_{\min} \mathcal{U}_2 (\mathcal{V}_1)_{\min} (\mathcal{W}_1)_{\min} \subset (\mathcal{V}_2)_{\min} \mathcal{U}_1 (\mathcal{W}_1)_{\min}.$$

Since $\mathcal{U}_1 = (\mathcal{U}_1)_{\min}$ and $\mathcal{V}_2 \mathcal{U}_1 \mathcal{W}_1 \subset \mathcal{U}_2$ we have $(\mathcal{V}_2)_{\min} \mathcal{U}_1 (\mathcal{W}_1)_{\min} \subset (\mathcal{U}_2)_{\min}$. Thus

$$(\mathcal{V}_2)_{\min} (\mathcal{W}_2)_{\min} \mathcal{U}_2 (\mathcal{V}_1)_{\min} (\mathcal{W}_1)_{\min} \subset (\mathcal{U}_2)_{\min}.$$

By Lemma 4.4

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^2)_{\min}^* = [(\mathcal{V}_2)_{\min} (\mathcal{W}_2)_{\min}] \quad \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^2)_{\min} = [(\mathcal{V}_1)_{\min} (\mathcal{W}_1)_{\min}].$$

Therefore $\mathcal{U}_2 \subset \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_r^2)_{\min}^* \mathcal{U}_2 \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}_l^2)_{\min} \subset (\mathcal{U}_2)_{\min}$. The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{S}^2$ be strongly closed commutative bilattices and suppose that*

$$\phi \oplus \psi : \mathcal{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2$$

is a continuous onto bilattice homomorphism. We denote $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}^1), \mathcal{U}_2 = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}^2)$.

A. If \mathcal{U}_2 contains a nonzero compact (or nonzero finite rank or rank one) operator, then \mathcal{U}_1 contains a nonzero compact (or nonzero finite rank or rank one) operator.

B. If \mathcal{S}^1 and \mathcal{S}^2 act on separable Hilbert spaces and $(\mathcal{U}_2)_{\min}$ contains a nonzero compact (or nonzero finite rank or rank one) operator, then $(\mathcal{U}_1)_{\min}$ contains a nonzero compact (or nonzero finite rank or rank one) operator.

Proof. A. Let $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2$ be as in Theorem 4.2. Suppose that $K \in \mathcal{U}_2$ is a nonzero compact operator. We shall prove that \mathcal{U}_1 also contains a nonzero compact operator. It suffices to prove that $[\mathcal{W}_2 K \mathcal{V}_1] \neq 0$. Indeed, if $[\mathcal{W}_2 K \mathcal{V}_1] = 0$, then $[\mathcal{V}_2 \mathcal{W}_2] K [\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{W}_1] = 0$. Since by Theorem 4.2, $[\mathcal{V}_2 \mathcal{W}_2]$ and $[\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{W}_1]$ are unital, $K = 0$. This is a contradiction.

B. Suppose that \mathcal{S}^1 and \mathcal{S}^2 act on separable Hilbert spaces and $K \in (\mathcal{U}_2)_{\min}$ is a nonzero compact operator. Since $\mathcal{W}_2 \mathcal{U}_2 \mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{U}_1$ we have $(\mathcal{W}_2)_{\min} K (\mathcal{V}_1)_{\min} \subset (\mathcal{U}_1)_{\min}$. It suffices to prove that $[(\mathcal{W}_2)_{\min} K (\mathcal{V}_1)_{\min}] \neq 0$. Indeed, if $[(\mathcal{W}_2)_{\min} K (\mathcal{V}_1)_{\min}] = 0$, then $[(\mathcal{V}_2)_{\min} (\mathcal{W}_2)_{\min}] K [(\mathcal{V}_1)_{\min} (\mathcal{W}_1)_{\min}] = 0$. By Lemma 4.4, $[(\mathcal{V}_2)_{\min} (\mathcal{W}_2)_{\min}]$ and $[(\mathcal{V}_1)_{\min} (\mathcal{W}_1)_{\min}]$ are unital, thus $K = 0$. This is also a contradiction. The proofs of the other assertions are similar. \square

As was proved in [5], onto homomorphisms between CSLs have the properties described in Theorems 4.5 and 4.6.

5. INVERSE RESULTS

In this section we prove the inverse image theorem, [11], using the results of this paper (Theorem 5.2), and we present a result about the problem of when do the Borel sets satisfying the assumptions of the inverse image theorem support a nonzero compact (or finite rank, or rank 1) operator (Theorem 5.3). As we will show, Theorems 5.2 and 5.3 are special cases of the theory developed in this paper: the assumptions of the inverse image theorem can be used to define a homomorphism between the appropriate bilattices and then one can apply Theorems 4.5 and 4.6.

We need some notions and facts. Let $(X, \mu), (Y, \nu)$ be standard measure spaces, that is, the measures μ and ν are regular Borel measures with respect to some Borel structures on X and Y arising from complete metrizable topologies. Put $H_1 = L^2(X, \mu)$ and $H_2 = L^2(Y, \nu)$. For a function $\phi \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$, let M_ϕ be the operator on H_1 given by $M_\phi(f) = \phi f, f \in H_1$, and similarly define M_ψ for $\psi \in L^\infty(Y, \nu)$. Put

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \{M_\phi, \phi \in L^\infty(X, \mu)\}, \mathcal{D}_2 = \{M_\psi, \psi \in L^\infty(Y, \nu)\}.$$

These algebras are masas. We need several facts and notions from the theory of masa bimodules, [1, 8, 11]. A subset $E \subset X \times Y$ is called *marginally null* if $E \subset (M_1 \times Y) \cup (X \times M_2)$ where $\mu(M_1) = \nu(M_2) = 0$. We call two subsets $E, F \subset X \times Y$ *marginally equivalent* (and write $E \cong F$) if the symmetric difference of E and F is marginally null. A set $\sigma \subset X \times Y$ is called ω -open if it is marginally equivalent to a countable union of the form $\cup_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i \times \beta_i$, where $\alpha_i \subset X$ and $\beta_i \subset Y$ are measurable sets for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The complement of an ω -open set is called ω -closed. An operator $T \in B(H_1, H_2)$ is said to be *supported on* σ if $M_{\chi_\beta} T M_{\chi_\alpha} = 0$ whenever $(\alpha \times \beta) \cap \sigma \cong \emptyset$. (Here χ_γ stands for the characteristic function of the measurable subset γ). Given a $\sigma \subset X \times Y$, let

$$\mathfrak{M}_{max}(\sigma) = \{T \in B(H_1, H_2) : T \text{ supported on } \sigma\}.$$

The space $\mathfrak{M}_{max}(\sigma)$ is a reflexive masa bimodule, Theorem 4.1 in [8].

For Lemma 5.1, we fix an ω -closed set k . If $\alpha \subset X$ (resp. $\beta \subset Y$), we denote by $P(\alpha)$ (resp. $Q(\beta)$) the projection onto $L^2(\alpha, \mu)$ (resp. $L^2(\beta, \nu)$). We denote by \mathcal{U} the space $\mathfrak{M}_{max}(k)$. By the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [8] there exist Borel sets $\alpha_n \subset X, \beta_n \subset Y$ such that $\mathcal{U} = \mathfrak{M}_{max}(X \times Y \setminus (\cup_n \alpha_n \times \beta_n))$ and such that

$$T \in \mathcal{U} \Leftrightarrow Q(\beta_n) T P(\alpha_n) = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since k and $X \times Y \setminus (\cup_n \alpha_n \times \beta_n)$ are ω -closed sets, they are marginally equivalent, [8]. Suppose that \mathcal{S} is the commutative strongly closed bilattice generated by the set

$$\{(P(\alpha_n), Q(\beta_n)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Lemma 5.1.

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}).$$

Proof. Suppose that $\phi = \text{Map}(\mathcal{U})$. If $T \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S})$, then $Q(\beta_n) T P(\alpha_n) = 0$ for all n . So $T \in \mathcal{U}$, and thus $\mathcal{U} \supset \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S})$. For the converse, we define the set

$$\mathcal{L} = \{(P(\alpha), Q(\beta)) : (P(\alpha), Q(\beta)) \in \mathcal{S}, \phi(P(\alpha)) \leq Q(\beta)^\perp\}.$$

Since ϕ is sup preserving we can prove that \mathcal{L} is a strongly closed bilattice. Since

$$\{(P(\alpha_n), Q(\beta_n)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{L}$$

we have $\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{S}$. So if $T \in \mathcal{U}$ and $(P(\alpha), Q(\beta)) \in \mathcal{S}$, then $\phi(P(\alpha)) \leq Q(\beta)^\perp$. Thus as $\phi(P(\alpha))^\perp T P(\alpha) = 0$ we obtain $Q(\beta) T P(\alpha) = 0$. We have proved that $\mathcal{U} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S})$. \square

We call \mathcal{S} the k generated bilattice.

If (X, μ) and (Y, ν) are Borel spaces, an ω -closed subset $\sigma \subset X \times Y$ is called a set of $\mu \times \nu$ synthesis if the masa bimodule

$$\mathfrak{M}_{max}(\sigma) \subset B(L^2(X, \mu), L^2(Y, \nu))$$

is synthetic.

Theorem 5.2. (*Inverse Image Theorem*) *Suppose that $(X, \mu), (Y, \nu), (X_1, \mu_1), (Y_1, \nu_1)$, are Borel spaces and that $\theta : X \rightarrow X_1, \rho : Y \rightarrow Y_1$ are Borel mappings. We denote by $\theta_*(\mu), \rho_*(\nu)$ the measures given by $\theta_*(\mu)(\alpha) = \mu(\theta^{-1}(\alpha)), \rho_*(\nu)(\beta) = \nu(\rho^{-1}(\beta))$ for every Borel set $\alpha \subset X_1, \beta \subset Y_1$ and we assume that they are absolutely continuous with respect to measures μ_1 and ν_1 respectively. If k_1 is an ω -closed set of $\mu_1 \times \nu_1$ synthesis, then $k = (\theta \times \rho)^{-1}(k_1)$ is a set of $\mu \times \nu$ synthesis.*

Proof. Put $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathfrak{M}_{max}(k_1), \mathcal{U} = \mathfrak{M}_{max}(k)$. Suppose that

$$k_1 = X_1 \times Y_1 \setminus (\cup_n \alpha_n \times \beta_n).$$

Let \mathcal{S}^1 be the k_1 generated bilattice. Since $\theta_*(\mu), (\text{resp. } \rho_*(\nu))$, is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_1, (\text{resp. } \nu_1)$ the maps

$$\mathcal{P}(L^\infty(X_1, \mu_1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(L^\infty(X, \mu)) : P_1(\alpha) \rightarrow P(\theta^{-1}(\alpha)),$$

$$\mathcal{P}(L^\infty(Y_1, \nu_1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(L^\infty(Y, \nu)) : P_1(\beta) \rightarrow P(\rho^{-1}(\beta)),$$

are well defined and they can extend to weak* continuous homomorphisms between the corresponding masas. Put $\mathcal{S} = \hat{\theta} \oplus \hat{\rho}(\mathcal{S}^1)$. By Theorem 4.5, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{U} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S})$.

Since, by Lemma 5.1, \mathcal{S}^1 is the bilattice generated by

$$\{(P_1(\alpha_n), Q_1(\beta_n)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

\mathcal{S} is the bilattice generated by

$$\{(P(\theta^{-1}(\alpha_n)), Q(\rho^{-1}(\beta_n))) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{S}) &= \{T : Q(\rho^{-1}(\beta_n))TP(\theta^{-1}(\alpha_n)) = 0 \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \\ &= \mathfrak{M}_{max}(X \times Y \setminus (\cup_n \theta^{-1}(\alpha_n) \times \rho^{-1}(\beta_n))) = \mathcal{U}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the following theorem is similar to that of Theorem 5.2, using Theorem 4.6.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $(X, \mu), (Y, \nu), (X_1, \mu_1), (Y_1, \nu_1)$, be Borel spaces such that $\theta_*(\mu)$ (resp. $\rho_*(\nu)$) is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_1, (\text{resp. } \nu_1)$ and $E = (\theta \times \rho)^{-1}(E_1)$, where E_1 is a Borel subset of $X_1 \times Y_1$. If a nonzero compact operator (or a nonzero finite rank operator or a rank 1 operator) is supported on E , then there exists a nonzero compact operator (or a nonzero finite rank operator or a rank 1 operator) supported on E_1 . Also if $\mathfrak{M}_{min}(E)$ contains a nonzero compact operator (or a nonzero finite rank operator or a rank 1 operator), then so does $\mathfrak{M}_{min}(E_1)$.*

Acknowledgement: I am indebted to Dr. I. Todorov for helpful discussions on the topic of the paper. I wish to thank the anonymous referee for a number of useful suggestions which helped me improve the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. B. Arveson, Operator algebras and invariant subspaces, *Ann. Math.* (2) 100 (1974), 433–532.
- [2] K. R. Davidson, *Nest Algebras*, Longman, Harlow, UK, 1988.
- [3] D. A. Edwards, Some characterizations of commutative subspace lattices, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 36 (2004) no. 2, 252–262.
- [4] G. K. Eleftherakis, TRO equivalent algebras, *Houston J. of Mathematics* 38 (1) 2012, 153–175.
- [5] G. K. Eleftherakis, Applications of operator space theory to nest algebra bimodules, *Integral Equations and Operator Theory* 72 (4) 2012, 577–595.
- [6] G. K. Eleftherakis, V. I. Paulsen, I. G. Todorov, Stable isomorphism of dual operator spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* 258 (2010) , 260–278.
- [7] J. A. Erdos, Reflexivity for subspace maps and linear spaces of operators, *Proc. London Math. Soc., Third series* 52(3) (1986), 582–600.
- [8] J. A. Erdos, A. Katavolos, and V. S. Shulman, Rank one subspaces of bimodules over maximal abelian selfadjoint algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* 157 (1998) no. 2, 554–587.
- [9] A. I. Loginov and V. S. Shulman, Hereditary and intermediate reflexivity of W^* -algebras, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 39 (1975), 1260–1273; *Math. USSR Izv.* 9 (1975), 1189–1201.
- [10] V. S. Shulman, I. G. Todorov and L. Turowska, Sets of multipliers and closable multipliers on group algebras, preprint.
- [11] V. S. Shulman and L. Turowska, Operator synthesis I. Synthetic sets, bilattices and tensor algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* 209 (2004), 293–331.

G. K. ELEFTHERAKIS, UNIVERSITY OF PATRAS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
265 00 PATRAS GREECE

E-mail address: `gelefth@math.upatras.gr`