

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Nuclear Physics B **Proceedings** Supplement

Nuclear Physics B Proceedings Supplement 00 (2021) 1[–6](#page-5-0)

Effective Spectral Function for Quasielastic Scattering on Nuclei from Deuterium to Lead

A. Bodek^a, M. E. Christy^b, B. Coopersmith^a

^aDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY. 14627, USA

^bDepartment of Physics, Hampton University, Hampton, Virginia, 23668 USA

Presented by A. Bodek at the 37th International Conference on High Energy Physics (ICHEP 2014, 2-9 Jul 2014. Valencia, Spain, [C14-07-02])

Abstract

Spectral functions do not fully describe quasielastic electron and neutrino scattering from nuclei because they only model the initial state. Final state interactions distort the shape of the differential cross section at the peak and increase the cross section at the tails of the distribution. We show that the kinematic distributions predicted by the ψ' super-
scaling formalism can be well described with a modified *effective spectral function* (ESF). By co scaling formalism can be well described with a modified *e*ff*ective spectral function* (ESF). By construction, models using ESF in combination with the transverse enhancement contribution correctly predict electron QE scattering data. Our values for the binding energy parameter Δ are smaller than $\bar{\epsilon}$ extracted within the Fermi gas model from pre 1971 data by Moniz[\[8\]](#page-5-1), probably because these early cross sections were not corrected for coulomb effects.

Keywords: Spectral Functions Quasielastic Electron Scattering Neutrino Scattering

1. Introduction

Neutrino oscillation experiments make use of neutrino Monte Carlo (MC) event generators to model the cross sections and kinematic distributions of the leptonic and hadronic final state of neutrino interactions on nuclear targets. Because of the conservation of the vector current (CVC), the same models should be able to reliably predict the quasielaststic electron scattering cross section on nuclear targets. Unfortunately, none of the models that are currently implemented in neutrino MC generators are able to do it. Here we summarize an approach which guarantees agreement with QE electron scattering data by construction. A more detailed description is given in reference [\[1\]](#page-5-2)

The left panel of Fig. [1](#page-1-0) is the general diagram for QE lepton (election, muon or neutrino) scattering from a nucleon which is bound in a nucleus of mass *MA*. In this paper, we focus on charged current neutrino scattering. The scattering is from an off-shell bound neutron of momentum $P_i = k$. The on-shell recoil $[A - 1]^*$ (spectator) nucleus has a momentum $P_{A-1}^* = P_s = -k$. This pro-

cess is referred to as the 1p1h process (one proton one hole). The $*$ is used to indicate that the spectator nucleus is not in the ground state because it has one hole. The four-momentum transfer to the nuclear target is defined as $q = (\vec{q}, v)$. Here v is the energy transfer, and $Q^2 = -q^2 = v^2 - \vec{q}^2$ is the square of the four-momentum
transfer. For free nucleons the energy transfer v is equal transfer. For free nucleons the energy transfer ν is equal to $Q^2/2M_N$ where M_N is the mass of the nucleon. At a fixed value of Q^2 . OF scattering on nucleons bound a fixed value of Q^2 , QE scattering on nucleons bound in a nucleus yields a distribution in ν which peaks at $v = Q^2/2M_N$. In this communication, the term "normal-
ized quasielastic distribution" refers to the normalized ized quasielastic distribution" refers to the normalized differential cross section $\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dy} (Q^2, v) = \frac{d^2 \sigma / dQ^2 d\n}{\langle d\sigma / dQ^2 \rangle}$ $\frac{d^2\sigma/dQ^2}{d\sigma/dQ^2}$ where $\langle \frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2} \rangle$ is the integral of $\left[\frac{d^2\sigma}{dQ^2d\nu}\right]dv$ over all values of *v* (for a given value of Q^2).

The right panel of Fig. [1](#page-1-0) shows the same QE lepton scattering process, but now also including a final state interaction with another nucleon in the scattering process. This final state interaction modifies the scattering amplitude and therefore can change the kinematics of the final state lepton. In this paper, we refer to it as "fi-

Figure 1: Left: Scattering from an off-shell bound neutron of momentum $P_i = k$ in a nucleus of mass A. The on-shell recoil $[A - 1]^*$ (spectator) nucleus has a momentum $P_{A-1}^* = P_s = -k$. This process is referred to as the 1p1h process (one proton one hole). Right: The 1p1h process including final state interaction (of the first kind) with another nucleon.

Figure 2: Nucleon momentum distributions in a ¹²C nucleus for several spectral functions. The curve labeled "Global Fermi" gas is the momentum distribution for the Fermi gas model. The blue line is the momentum distribution for the *e*ff*ective spectral function* described in this paper.

nal state interaction of the first kind" (FSI). The final state nucleon can then undergo more interactions with other nucleons in the spectator nucleus. These interactions do not change the energy of the final state lepton. We refer to these final state interactions as "final state interaction of the second kind".

In general, neutrino event generators assume that the scattering occurs on independent nucleons which are bound in the nucleus. Generators such as GENIE[\[2\]](#page-5-3), NEUGEN[\[3\]](#page-5-4), NEUT[\[4\]](#page-5-5), NUANCE[\[5\]](#page-5-6) NuWro [\[6\]](#page-5-7) and GiBUU[\[7\]](#page-5-8) account for nucleon binding effects by modeling the momentum distributions and removal energy of nucleons in nuclear targets. Functions that describe the momentum distributions and removal energy of nucleons from nuclei are referred to as spectral functions. Spectral functions describe the initial state.

Spectral functions can take the simple form of a momentum distribution and a fixed removal energy (e.g. Fermi gas[\[8\]](#page-5-1)), or the more complicated form of a two dimensional (2D) distribution in momentum and removal energy (e.g. Benhar-Fantoni spectral function [\[9\]](#page-5-9)).

nucleon momentum distribution for the Local-Thomas-Fig. [2](#page-1-1) shows the nucleon momentum distributions in a ¹²C nucleus for some of the spectral functions that are currently being used. The solid green line is the nucleon momentum distribution for the Fermi gas[\[8\]](#page-5-1) which is currently implemented in all neutrino event generators. The solid black line is the projected momentum distribution of the Benhar-Fantoni [\[9\]](#page-5-9) 2D spectral function as implemented in NuWro. The solid red line is the Fermi gas (LTF).

> It is known that theoretical calculations using spectral functions do not fully describe the shape of the quasielastic peak for electron scattering on nuclear targets . This is because the calculations only model the initial state (shown on the left panel of Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0), and do not account for final state interactions of the first kind (shown on the right panel of Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0) . Because FSI changes the amplitude of the scattering, it modifies the shape of $\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dx}$. FSI reduces the cross section at the peak and increases the cross section at the tails of the distribution. In contrast to the spectral function formalism, predictions using the ψ' superscaling
formalism[10, 111 fully describe the longitudinal reformalism[\[10,](#page-5-10) [11\]](#page-5-11) fully describe the longitudinal response function of quasielastic electron scattering data on nuclear targets. This is expected since the calculations use a ψ' superscaling function which is directly
extracted from the longitudinal component of measured extracted from the longitudinal component of measured electron scattering QE differential cross sections.

> In this communication we present the parameters for a new *e*ff*ective spectral function* that reproduces the kinematics of the final state lepton predicted by ψ' su-
perscaling. The momentum distribution for this ESE for perscaling. The momentum distribution for this ESF for ${}^{12}C$ is shown as the blue line in Fig. [2.](#page-1-1)

1.1. The ψ' superscaling functions for QE scattering The ψ scaling variable^[10], [11\]](#page-5-11) is defined as:

$$
\psi \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi_F}} \frac{\lambda - \tau}{\sqrt{(1 + \lambda)\tau + \kappa \sqrt{\tau(1 + \tau)}}},\tag{1}
$$

where $\xi_F \equiv \sqrt{1 + \eta_F^2} - 1$, $\eta_F \equiv K_F/M_n$, $\lambda \equiv v/2M_n$, $\kappa \equiv |\vec{q}|/2M_n$ and $\tau \equiv |Q^2|/4M_n^2 = \kappa^2 - \lambda^2$.
The *ill* supercoaling variable include

The ψ' superscaling variable includes a correction
at accounts for the removal energy from the nucleus that accounts for the removal energy from the nucleus. This is achieved by replacing ν with $\nu - E_{\text{shift}}$, which

Figure 3: The ψ' superscaling distribution extracted from a fit to electron scattering data used by Bosted and Mamyan [11] (solid black) tron scattering data used by Bosted and Mamyan [\[11\]](#page-5-11) (solid black) labeled as 2012, and the superscaling function extracted from a more recent updated fit [\[12\]](#page-5-12) to data from a large number of quasielastic electron scattering experiments on ¹²C (dotted red) labeled as 2014). The top panel shows the superscaling functions on a a linear scale. The bottom panel shows the same superscaling functions on a logarithmic scale. The integral of the curve has been normalized to unity.

forces the maximum of the QE response to occur at deuteron) is described using the same universal super- $\psi' = 0$. QE scattering on all nuclei (except for the scaling function. The only parameters which are specific to each nucleus are the Fermi broadening parameter K_F and the energy shift parameter E_{shift} .

Fig. [3](#page-2-0) shows two parametrizations of ψ' superscaling
netions extracted from quasielastic electron scattering functions extracted from quasielastic electron scattering data on ¹²C. Shown is the ψ' superscaling distribution extracted from a fit to electron scattering data used by extracted from a fit to electron scattering data used by Bosted and Mamyan [\[11\]](#page-5-11) (solid black line labeled as 2012), and the superscaling function extracted from a recent updated fit[\[12\]](#page-5-12) to data from a large number of quasielastic electron scattering experiments on ${}^{12}C$ (dotted red line labeled as 2014). The top panel shows the superscaling functions on a a linear scale and the bottom panel shows the same superscaling functions on a logarithmic scale.

The ψ' superscaling function is extracted from the paitudinal OE cross section for $Q^2 > 0.3$ GeV² where longitudinal QE cross section for $Q^2 > 0.3$ GeV² where
there are no Pauli blocking effects. At very low yalthere are no Pauli blocking effects. At very low values of Q^2 , the QE differential cross sections predicted by the ψ' superscaling should be multiplied by a Pauli
blocking factor $K^{nuclei}(\Omega^2)$ which reduces the predicted blocking factor $K_{Pauli}^{nuclei}(Q^2)$ which reduces the predicted

on ¹²C to the predictions of several spectral function models. Here Figure 4: Comparison of the ψ' superscaling prediction (black line)
for the normalized $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\sigma}{d\phi} (\rho^2 \psi)$ at Ω^2 = 0.5 GeV² for 10 GeV neutrinos for the normalized $\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dy} (Q^2, v)$ at Q^2 =0.5 GeV² for 10 GeV neutrinos $\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dv} (Q^2, v)$ is plotted versus Δv The predictions of the spectral func-
ion models are in disagreement with the predictions of *W* superscal- $\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$ (Q, ν) is proticed versus $\Delta \nu$ The predictions of ψ' superscal-
tion models are in disagreement with the predictions of ψ' superscaling. .

cross sections at low Q^2 . The Pauli suppression factor is given[\[11\]](#page-5-11) by the function

$$
K_{Pauli}^{nuclei} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{|\vec{q}|}{K_F} (1 - \frac{1}{12} (\frac{|\vec{q}|}{K_F})^2)
$$
 (2)

For $|\vec{q}| < 2K_F$, otherwise no Pauli suppression correction is made. Here $|\vec{q}| = \sqrt{Q^2 + v^2}$ is the absolute mag-
pitude of the momentum transfer to the target nucleus nitude of the momentum transfer to the target nucleus,

1.2. Comparison of models for quasielastic scattering

Fig. [4](#page-2-1) shows predictions for the normalized QE differential cross sections $\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dy} (Q^2, v)$ for 10 GeV neutri-
nos on ¹²C at Q^2 =0.5 GeV² for various spectral func-

Table 1: Values of Fermi-broadening parameter K_F and energy shift E_{shift} used in the ψ' superscaling prediction for different nuclei. The parameters for deuterium $(4-2)$ are a crude approximation only and parameters for deuterium (A=2) are a crude approximation only, and deuterium is treated differently as discussed in reference [\[1\]](#page-5-2).

*# Figure 5: 2p2h process: Scattering from an off-shell bound neutron of momentum $P_i = -k$ from two nucleon correlations (quasi-deuteron). The on-shell recoil spectator nucleon has momentum $P_s = k$.

 σ dv Ω , v is shown as the solid black line. The solid green line is the prediction using the "Global Fermi" gas tions. Here $\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dy}$ is plotted versus $\Delta v = v - \frac{Q^2}{2M}$
The prediction of the *N* superscoling formalism for The prediction of the ψ' superscaling formalism for $\frac{1}{\psi}$ $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$ (O^2 y) is shown as the solid black line. The solid $\frac{Q}{2M_p}$. $\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dv}(Q^2, v)$ is shown as the solid black line. The solid organization using the "Global Fermi" as [\[8\]](#page-5-1). The solid red line is the prediction using the Local Thomas Fermi gas (LTF) momentum distribution. The dotted purple line is the NuWro prediction using the full two dimensional Benhar-Fantoni[\[9\]](#page-5-9) spectral function. The predictions of all of these spectral functions for $\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dv} (Q^2, v)$ are in disagreement with the predictions
of the *W* superscaling formalism of the ψ' superscaling formalism.

2. Effective Spectral Function for ¹²C

2.1. Momentum Distribution

momentum $k = |\vec{k}|$ in the nucleus is defined as $\qquad \qquad \text{occurs with probab}$ The probability distribution for a nucleon to have a

$$
P(k)dk = 4\pi k^2 |\phi(k)|^2 dk.
$$

For $k < 0.65$ GeV, we parametrize [\[14\]](#page-5-13) $P(k)$ by the following function:

$$
P(k) = \frac{\pi}{4c_0} \frac{1}{N} (a_s + a_p + a_t) y^2
$$
(3)

$$
y = \frac{k}{c_0}; \qquad a_s = c_1 e^{-(b_s y)^2}
$$

$$
a_p = c_2 (b_p y)^2 e^{-(b_p y)^2}; \quad a_t = c_3 y^{\beta} e^{-\alpha (y-2)}
$$

For $k > 0.65$ GeV we set $P(k) = 0$. Here, $c_0 = 0.197$, *k* is in GeV, N is a normalization factor to normalize the integral of the momentum distribution from $k=0$ to $k=0.65$ GeV to 1.0, and P(k) is in units of GeV⁻¹.

2.2. Removal Energy

The kinematics for neutrino charged current quasielastic scattering from a off-shell bound neutron with momentum **k** and energy E_n are given by:

$$
(M'_n)^2 = (E_n)^2 - Vk^2
$$

\n
$$
M_p^2 = (M'_n)^2 + 2E_n v - 2|\vec{q}|k_z - Q^2
$$

\n
$$
v = E_v - E_\mu = \frac{Q^2 + M_p^2 - (M'_n)^2 + 2|\vec{q}|k_z}{(E_n)}
$$
\n(4)

$$
V(Q^2) = 1 - e^{-xQ^2}, \quad x = 12.04 \tag{5}
$$

For scattering from a single off-shell nucleon, the term $V(Q^2)$ multiplying k^2 in Equations [4,](#page-3-0) [6,](#page-3-1) and [7](#page-4-0) should be 1.0. However, we find that in order to make the spectral function predictions agree with ψ' super-
scaling at very low Q^2 (e.g. $Q^2 < 0.3$ GeV²) we need to scaling at very low Q^2 (e.g. $Q^2 < 0.3 \ GeV^2$) we need to
apply a Q^2 -dependent correction to reduce the removal apply a Q^2 -dependent correction to reduce the removal energy, e.g. due to final state interaction (of the first kind) at low Q^2 . This factor is given in equation [5.](#page-3-0) The value of the parameter $x=12.04 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ was extracted from the fits at low values of Q^2 . As mentioned earlier, \bar{q} is the momentum transfer to the neutron. We define the is the momentum transfer to the neutron. We define the component of the initial neutron momentum k which is parallel to \vec{q} as k_z . The expression for E_n depends on the process and is given by Equations 6 and 7 for the 1p1h process and is given by Equations [6](#page-3-1) and [7](#page-4-0) for the 1p1h, and 2p2h process, respectively.

We assume that the off-shell energy (E_n) for a bound neutron with momentum k can only take two possible values[\[13\]](#page-5-14). We refer to the first possibility as the 1p1h process (one proton, one hole in the final state). The second possibility is the 2p2h process(two protons and two holes in the final state).

In our *e*ff*ective spectral function* model the 1p1h process occurs with probability *f*1*p*1*^h*, and the 2p2h process occurs with probability of $1 - f_{1p1h}$. For simplicity, we assume that the probability f_{1p1h} is independent of the momentum of the bound nucleon.

2.2.1. The 1p1h process

The 1p1h process refers to scattering from an independent neutron in the nucleus resulting in a final state proton and a hole in the spectator nucleus. Fig. [1](#page-1-0) illustrates the 1p1h process (for $Q^2 > 0.3$ GeV²), for the scattering from an off-shell bound neutron of momenscattering from an off-shell bound neutron of momentum −k in a nucleus of mass A[\[13\]](#page-5-14). In the 1p1h process, momentum is balanced by an on-shell recoil [*A*−1][∗] nucleus which has momentum $P_{A-1}^* = P_s = k$ and an average binding energy parameter Δ , where $M_A - M_{A-1}^* =$ $M_n + \Delta$. The initial state off-shell neutron has energy E_n which is given by:

$$
E_n(1p1h) = M_A - \sqrt{Vk^2 + (M_{A-1}^*)^2}
$$

$$
\approx M_n - \Delta - \frac{Vk^2}{2M_{A-1}^*}
$$
 (6)

Figure 6: Comparison of the prediction for the normalized QE differential cross section $\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{d\sigma}{dv}(\mathcal{Q}^2, v)\right)$ for ¹²C from the *effective spectral function* to the prediction of u' superscaling. The predictions are *d d_y* (*g d_y* (*g , v)*) for α their different *g d d* superscaling. The predictions are spaced to the prediction of Δy at $O^2 - 0.5$ GeV². For $O^2 - 0.5$ GeV² the shown as a function of Δv at Q^2 =0.5 GeV². For Q^2 =0.5 GeV² the prediction of the *e*ff*ective spectral function* are almost identical to the prediction of ψ' superscaling.

The final state includes a proton and an [*A*−1][∗] nucleus in an excited state because the removal of the nucleon leaves hole in the energy levels of the nucleus.

2.2.2. The 2p2h process

In general, there are several processes which result in two (or more) nucleons and a spectator excited nucleus with two (or more) holes in final state:

- Two nucleon correlations in initial state (quasi deuteron) which are often referred to as short range correlations (SRC).
- Final state interaction (of the first kind) resulting in a larger energy transfer to the hadronic final state (as modeled by superscaling).
- Enhancement of the transverse cross sections ("Transverse Enhancement") from meson exchange currents (MEC) and isobar excitation.

In the *e*ff*ective spectral function* approach the lepton energy spectrum for all three processes is modeled as originating from the two nucleon correlation process. This accounts for the additional energy shift resulting from the removal of two nucleons from the nucleus.

Fig. [5](#page-3-2) illustrates the 2p2h process for scattering from an off-shell bound neutron of momentum −k (for $Q^2 > 0.3$ GeV²). The momentum of the interacting nu-
cleon in the initial state is balanced by a single on-shell cleon in the initial state is balanced by a single on-shell correlated recoil nucleon which has momentum k. The

0.413475
1.75629
8.29029
3.621×10^{-3}
0.186987
6.24155
2.082×10^{-4}

Table 2: A comparison of the parameters that describe the projected momentum distribution for the Benhar-Fantoni spectral function for ¹²C (2nd column) with the parameters that describe the *e*ff*ective spectral function* (ESF) (3rd column). Here, ∆ is the average binding energy parameter of the spectator one-hole nucleus for the 1p1h process and f_{1p1h} is the fraction of the scattering that occurs via the 1p1h process. For the 2p2h process the average binding energy for the twohole spectator nucleus is 2∆. The parameters for the *e*ff*ective spectral function* for deuterium $({}^{2}H)$ are given in the 4th column.

[*A* − 2][∗] spectator nucleus is left with two holes. The initial state off-shell neutron has energy E_n given by:

$$
E_n(2p2h) = (M_p + M_n) - 2\Delta - \sqrt{Vk^2 + M_p^2(7)}
$$

where *V* is given by eq. [5.](#page-3-0)

In the *e*ff*ective spectral function* approach, all effects of final state interaction (of the first kind) are absorbed in the initial state *e*ff*ective spectral function*. The parameters of the *e*ff*ective spectral function* are obtained by finding the parameters x , Δ , f_{1p1h} , b_s , b_p , α , β , c_1 , c_2 , c_s , N and f_s , α , for which the predictions of the *effective* c_3 , *N* and f_{1p1h} for which the predictions of the *effective spectral function* best describe the predictions of the ψ'
superscaling formalism for $(1/\sigma)d\sigma/dy$ at Q^2 values of superscaling formalism for $(1/\sigma)d\sigma/d\nu$ at Q^2 values of 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7 GeV² $0.1, 0.3, 0.5$ and 0.7 GeV^2 .

Fig. [6](#page-4-1) compares predictions for $\frac{1}{\omega} \frac{d\sigma}{dv} (Q^2, v)$ for ¹²C
a function of Δv at $Q^2 = 0.5$ GeV² The prediction of as a function of Δv at Q^2 =0.5 GeV². The prediction of
the *effective spectral function* is the dashed blue curve the *e*ff*ective spectral function* is the dashed blue curve. The prediction of the ψ' superscaling model is the solid
black curve. For Q^2 –0.5 GeV² the prediction of the black curve. For Q^2 =0.5 GeV² the prediction of the *e*ff*ective spectral function* is almost identical to the prediction of ψ' superscaling.
We find that the *effective*

We find that the *e*ff*ective spectral function* with only the 1p1h process provides a reasonable description of the prediction of ψ' superscaling. Including a contri-
bution from the 2p2h process in the fit improves the bution from the 2p2h process in the fit improves the agreement and results in a prediction which is almost

Table 3: Parameterizations of the *effective spectral function* for various nuclei. Here, Δ is the binding energy parameter, and f_{1p1h} is the fraction of the scattering that occurs via the 1p1h process. The parameters for ³He are given in reference [1]. For deuterium (²H) see Table 2, and reference [1]. The best fit values for the binding energy parameter Δ for EFS are similar but not identical to the E_{shift} parameter in the ψ' scaling formalism.[11]. The EFS values for the binding energy parameter Δ are smaller than $\bar{\epsilon}$ extracted within the Fermi gas model from pre 1971 electron scattering data by Moniz[8], probably because these early cross sections were not corrected for coulomb effects.

identical to the prediction of ψ' superscaling.

The parameterizations of the effective spectral function for all nuclei from deuterium to lead are given in Tables 2 and 3. As shown in Table 3, the EFS values for the binding energy parameter Δ are smaller than $\bar{\epsilon}$ extracted within the Fermi gas model from pre 1971 electron scattering data by Moniz^[8]. This may be because these early cross sections were not corrected for coulomb effects..

3. Conclusion

We present parameters for an effective spectral func*tion* that reproduce the prediction for $\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dy} (Q^2, v)$ from the ψ' formalism. We present parameters for a large number of nuclear targets from deuterium to lead.

Since most of the currently available neutrino MC event generators model neutrino scattering in terms of spectral functions, the *effective spectral function* can easily be implemented. For example, it has taken only a few days to implement the *effective spectral function* as an option in recent private versions of NEUT and GE-NIE. The predictions for QE scattering on nuclear targets using EFS with the inclusion of the the Trasverse Ehancement^[1, 15] contribution fully describe electron scattering data by construction.

References

- [1] A. Bodek, M. E. Christy, and B. Coopersmith, Xiv:1405.0583v3 (to be published in Eur. Phys. J. C, 2014)
- $\lceil 2 \rceil$ C. Andreopoulos [GENIE Collaboration], Acta Phys. Polon. B 40, 2461(2009), C.Andreopoulos (GENIE), Nucl. Instrum. Meth.A614, 87,2010.
- [3] H. Gallagher, (NEUGEN) Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 112 (2002).
- [4] Y. Hayato (NEUT), Nucl Phys. Proc. Suppl., 112, 171 (2002).
- [5] D. Casper (NUANCE), Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 112, 161 (2002) (http://nuint.ps.uci.edu/nuance/).
- J. Sobczyk (NuWro), PoS NUFACT 08, 141 (2008), C. [6] Juszczak, Acta Phys. Polon. B40 (2009) 2507
- T. Leitner, O. Buss, L. Alvarez-Ruso, U. Mosel (GiBUU), Phys. $[7]$ Rev. C79, 034601 (2009) (arXiv:0812.0587)
- [8] E. J. Moniz et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 26, 445(1971); E. J. Moniz, Phys. Rev. 184, 1154 (1969); R. A. Smith and E. J. Moniz, Nucl. Phys. B43, 605 (1972).
- [9] O. Benhar and S. Fantoni and G. Lykasov, Eur Phys. J. A 7 (2000), 3, 415; O, Benhar et al., Phys. Rev. C55, 244 (1997).
- [10] J.E. Amaro, M.B. Barbaro, J.A. Caballero, T.W. Donnelly, A. Molinari, and I. Sick, Phys. Rev. C 71, 015501 (2005)
- [11] P. E. Bosted, V. Mamyan, arXiv:1203.2262.
- [12] M. E. Christy, private communication (2014).
- [13] A. Bodek, and J. L. Ritchie, Phys. Rev. D23, 1070 (1980). A. Bodek and J. L. Ritchie, Phys. Rev. D24, 1400 (1981).
- [14] S. Mishra, (NOMAD collaboration) private communication, fit parameters from E. Iacopini (1997).
- [15] A. Bodek, H. S. Budd and M. E. Christy, Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1726 (2011).