

HIGHER-ORDER ROGUE WAVE DYNAMICS FOR A DERIVATIVE NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

YONGSHUAI ZHANG¹, LIJUAN GUO¹, AMIN CHABCHOUB², JINGSONG HE^{1*}

¹ *Department of Mathematics, Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang 315211, P. R. China*

² *Centre for Ocean Engineering Science and Technology, Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn, Victoria 3122, Australia*

ABSTRACT. The the mixed Chen-Lee-Liu derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation (CLL-NLS) can be considered as simplest model to approximate the dynamics of weakly nonlinear and dispersive waves, taking into account the self-steepening effect (SSE). The latter effect arises as a higher-order correction of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS), which is known to describe the dynamics of pulses in nonlinear fiber optics, and constitutes a fundamental part of the generalized NLS. Similar effects are described within the framework of the modified NLS, also referred to as the Dysthe equation, in hydrodynamics. In this work, we derive fundamental and higher-order solutions of the CLL-NLS by applying the Darboux transformation (DT). Exact expressions of non-vanishing boundary solitons, breathers and a hierarchy of rogue wave solutions are presented. In addition, we discuss the localization characters of such rogue waves, by characterizing their length and width. In particular, we describe how the localization properties of first-order NLS rogue waves can be modified by taking into account the SSE, presented in the CLL-NLS. This is illustrated by use of an analytical and a graphical method. The results may motivate similar analytical studies, extending the family of the reported rogue wave solutions as well as possible experiments in several nonlinear dispersive media, confirming these theoretical results.

Keywords: Chen-Lee-Liu derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Darboux transformation, Rogue waves, Self-steepening effects

PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik,03.75.Lm,42.65.Tg

1. INTRODUCTION

The nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) is one of the most relevant equations in physics. This integrable equation can be rigorously derived as an approximation to governing equations of several nonlinear and dispersive media [1–4]. Recently, a wide class of solutions, such as the Peregrine soliton [5] and multi-Peregrine soliton, also referred to as Akhmediev-Peregrine breathers [6], of the NLS are intensively discussed in physical and mathematical communities [7]. The doubly-localized Peregrine soliton, which approaches a non-zero constant background in the infinite limit of the spatial and temporal periodicity, amplifies the amplitude of the carrier by factor of three at the co-ordinates origin. Multi-Peregrine solitons [8] have similar dynamics, with the particular property to generate much higher maximal peak amplitudes, compared to background [9–16]. Due to these properties, Peregrine-type waves are suggested to model “rogue waves” (RWs), known to appear in the ocean [17] and in other media [18]. Mathematically speaking, modulationally unstable extreme waves admit high-intensity peaks, appearing from nowhere and disappearing without a trace, while evolving in time and space [19]. Recently, exact solutions of the NLS, describing a new form of modulation instability dynamics, have been derived [20, 21]. The concept of the RWs was first discussed in the studies of ocean

* Corresponding author: hejingsong@nbu.edu.cn, jshe@ustc.edu.cn.

waves [22–25], and gradually extended to other fields of research, such as for instance for capillary water waves [26], optical fibers [27–29] and Bose-Einstein condensates [30], which have been summarized in very recent review papers [18, 31].

Only recently, experimental validation of such RW model has been successfully conducted in nonlinear fibers [32], in water wave tanks [33–36], and in plasmas [37, 38]. The latter experimental studies have been performed based on the NLS modeling evolution equation.

In addition to the NLS, there are several other integrable evolution equations admitting Peregrine-type RW solutions such as the Hirota equation, the modified Korteweg-de Vries equation, the Sasa-Satsuma equation, the Fokas-Lenells equation, the NLS Maxwell-Bloch equation, the Hirota Maxwell-Bloch equation, the generalized NLS, the vector NLS, the derivative NLS, the variable coefficient NLS and derivative NLS, the Davey-Stewartson equation, and the KP-I equation [39–62]. Lately, fundamental rogue wave modes of the mixed Chen-Lee-Liu derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation (CLL-NLS) [63]

$$ir_t + r_{xx} + |r|^2 r - i|r|^2 r_x = 0 \quad (1)$$

have been reported [64] by use of the Hirota bilinear method. Clearly, the latter solution is physically more complex and more accurate in describing the propagation of optical pulses compared to the NLS or simplified CLL Eq. [65]

$$ir_t + r_{xx} + i|r|^2 r_x = 0, \quad (2)$$

since the CLL-NLS takes into dispersion, nonlinearity as well as self-steepening effect (SSE), described by the term $|r|^2 r_x$, however, while ignoring self-phase-modulation (SPM) [66]. The SSE of light pulses, originating from their propagation in a medium with an intensity dependent index of refraction, was first introduced in [67] and was observed in optical pulses with possible shock formation [68]. It receives a significant attention for the propagation of electromagnetic waves in nonlinear fibers, using a femtosecond laser, since it plays a crucial role in the generation of supercontinuum [69, 70]. In mathematical terms, its source is the first nonlinear correction to the NLS in the description of very focused light pulses or significant sharp water wave packets for which the validity of the NLS is known to be violated, due to the related significant broadening of the spectrum [72, 73]. In hydrodynamics, the CLL-NLS can be obtained from the modified NLS, also known as the Dysthe equation [74] by ignoring the mean flow term, whose contribution is small if the nonlinearity of the wave train is kept small. Therefore, exact CLL-NLS models may motivate experiments in nonlinear optical fibers as well as in water wave flumes [64]. Especially, taking into account the fact that exact RW solutions are closely related to the modulation instability of weakly nonlinear dispersive waves.

In this paper, we report exact solutions of the integrable CLL-NLS. To the author’s best knowledge, this is so far the first derivation of such doubly-localized solutions using the DT. In Section 2 and Section 3 the integration scheme will be introduced and we will address the significant challenges using the DT, solving CLL-type equations. These major difficulties are the result of the corresponding asymmetry of the Lax pair, see details in the appendix of [64]. Exact solutions with particular focus on higher-order RWs is reported in Section 4, extending therefore the family of exact first-order solutions. Furthermore, we discuss the influence of the SSE on the localization properties of NLS RWs in Section 5. Due to obvious physical relevance of the CLL-NLS, we emphasize further analytical, numerical and experimental studies, related to the presented exact solutions of this integrable evolution equation.

2. THE DT FOR THE COUPLED CLL-NLS

In this section, we consider the n -fold DT for the coupled CLL-NLS

$$\begin{cases} r_t - ir_{xx} + ir^2q + rqr_x = 0, \\ q_t + iq_{xx} - iq^2r + qrq_x = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

which reduces to the CLL-NLS while $q = -\bar{r}$ and the over-bar denotes complex conjugation. These two equations in (3) are the compatibility conditions of the following Lax pair [75, 76]:

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_x = U\Phi = (i\sigma_3\lambda^2 + Q\lambda - \frac{1}{2}i\sigma_3 + \frac{1}{4}iQ^2\sigma_3)\Phi, \\ \Phi_t = V\Phi = [-2i\sigma_3\lambda^4 - 2Q\lambda^3 + (2i\sigma_3 - iQ^2\sigma_3)\lambda^2 + (Q + i\sigma_3Q_x - \frac{1}{2}Q^3)\lambda \\ - \frac{1}{2}i\sigma_3 - \frac{1}{8}iQ^4\sigma_3 + \frac{1}{4}(QQ_x - Q_xQ)]\Phi, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

with

$$\Phi(x, t, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} f(x, t, \lambda) \\ g(x, t, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r \\ q & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is trivial to see that $\Phi_k \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} f_k \\ g_k \end{pmatrix} \triangleq \Phi(x, t, \lambda)|_{\lambda=\lambda_k} = \begin{pmatrix} f(x, t, \lambda) \\ g(x, t, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}\Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_k}$ gives the eigenfunction of the Lax pair equations corresponding to λ_k . Indeed, we seek n eigenfunctions to get the determinant representation of the n -fold DT.

Theorem 2.1. *The n -fold DT for the coupled CLL-NLS is*

$$T_n = T_n(\lambda; \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Delta_n^1||\Delta_n^2|}} \begin{pmatrix} (T_n)_{11} & (T_n)_{12} \\ (T_n)_{21} & (T_n)_{22} \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Delta_n^1||\Delta_n^2|}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{H} & \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{H}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (T_n)_{11} & (T_n)_{12} \\ (T_n)_{21} & (T_n)_{22} \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

the elements $(T_n)_{ij}$ ($i, j = 1, 2$) are defined by

$$(T_n)_{11} = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda^n & \xi_n^1 \\ \eta_n^1 & \Delta_n^2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (T_n)_{12} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \xi_n^2 \\ \eta_n^1 & \Delta_n^2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (T_n)_{21} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \xi_n^2 \\ \eta_n^2 & \Delta_n^1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (T_n)_{22} = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda^n & \xi_n^1 \\ \eta_n^2 & \Delta_n^1 \end{vmatrix},$$

η_n^i, ξ_n^i and Δ_n^i ($i = 1, 2$) are defined by

$$\eta_n^1 = \left(\lambda_1^n f_1 \quad \lambda_2^n f_2 \quad \lambda_3^n f_3 \quad \dots \quad \lambda_n^n f_n \right)^T, \quad \eta_n^2 = \left(\lambda_1^n g_1 \quad \lambda_2^n g_2 \quad \lambda_3^n g_3 \quad \dots \quad \lambda_n^n g_n \right)^T,$$

- if n is even,

$$\xi_n^1 = \left(0 \quad \lambda^{n-2} \quad 0 \quad \lambda^{n-4} \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad 1 \right), \quad \xi_n^2 = \left(\lambda^{n-1} \quad 0 \quad \lambda^{n-3} \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad \lambda \quad 0 \right),$$

- if n is odd,

$$\xi_n^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^{n-2} & 0 & \lambda^{n-4} & \dots & \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi_n^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{n-1} & 0 & \lambda^{n-3} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\Delta_n^1 = \left(A_n^1 \ A_n^2 \ A_n^3 \ \dots \ A_n^n \right)^T, \quad \Delta_n^2 = \left(B_n^1 \ B_n^2 \ B_n^3 \ \dots \ B_n^n \right)^T,$$

with A_n^k, B_n^k ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$) defined by

- if n is even,

$$A_n^k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k^{n-1} f_k & \lambda_k^{n-2} g_k & \lambda_k^{n-3} f_k & \lambda_k^{n-4} g_k & \dots & \lambda_k^3 f_k & \lambda_k^2 g_k & \lambda_k^1 f_k & g_k \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_n^k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k^{n-1} g_k & \lambda_k^{n-2} f_k & \lambda_k^{n-3} g_k & \lambda_k^{n-4} f_k & \dots & \lambda_k^3 g_k & \lambda_k^2 f_k & \lambda_k^1 g_k & f_k \end{pmatrix},$$

- if n is odd,

$$A_n^k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k^{n-1} f_k & \lambda_k^{n-2} g_k & \lambda_k^{n-3} f_k & \lambda_k^{n-4} g_k & \dots & \lambda_k^3 g_k & \lambda_k^2 f_k & \lambda_k^1 g_k & f_k \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_n^k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k^{n-1} g_k & \lambda_k^{n-2} f_k & \lambda_k^{n-3} g_k & \lambda_k^{n-4} f_k & \dots & \lambda_k^3 f_k & \lambda_k^2 g_k & \lambda_k^1 f_k & g_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

The solutions (q_n, r_n) generated by the above n -fold DT have the following determinant representations.

Theorem 2.2. *The n -th order solutions r_n and q_n are*

$$r_n = \begin{cases} \frac{|\frac{\Delta_n^1}{\Delta_n^2}|r - 2i\frac{|\Delta_n^4|}{|\Delta_n^2|}}{H\left(\frac{|\Delta_n^1}{\Delta_n^2}|r - 2i\frac{|\Delta_n^2|}{|\Delta_n^2|}\right)} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ H\left(\frac{|\Delta_n^1}{\Delta_n^2}|r - 2i\frac{|\Delta_n^2|}{|\Delta_n^2|}\right) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad q_n = \begin{cases} \frac{|\frac{\Delta_n^2}{\Delta_n^1}|q - 2i\frac{|\Delta_n^3|}{|\Delta_n^1|}}{\frac{1}{H}\left(\frac{|\Delta_n^2}{\Delta_n^1}|q - 2i\frac{|\Delta_n^3|}{|\Delta_n^1|}\right)} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{H}\left(\frac{|\Delta_n^2}{\Delta_n^1}|q - 2i\frac{|\Delta_n^3|}{|\Delta_n^1|}\right) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

the matrices Δ_n^j ($j = 3, 4$) are defined by

$$\Delta_n^3 = \left(C_n^1 \ C_n^2 \ C_n^3 \ \dots \ C_n^n \right)^T, \quad \Delta_n^4 = \left(D_n^1 \ D_n^2 \ D_n^3 \ \dots \ D_n^n \right)^T,$$

with C_n^k, D_n^k ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$), given by

- if n is even,

$$C_n^k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k^n f_k & \lambda_k^{n-2} f_k & \lambda_k^{n-3} g_k & \lambda_k^{n-4} f_k & \dots & \lambda_k^3 g_k & \lambda_k^2 f_k & \lambda_k^1 g_k & f_k \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D_n^k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k^n g_k & \lambda_k^{n-2} g_k & \lambda_k^{n-3} f_k & \lambda_k^{n-4} g_k & \dots & \lambda_k^3 f_k & \lambda_k^2 g_k & \lambda_k^1 f_k & g_k \end{pmatrix},$$

- if n is odd,

$$C_n^k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k^n f_k & \lambda_k^{n-2} f_k & \lambda_k^{n-3} g_k & \lambda_k^{n-4} f_k & \dots & \lambda_k^3 f_k & \lambda_k^2 g_k & \lambda_k^1 f_k & g_k \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D_n^k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k^n g_k & \lambda_k^{n-2} g_k & \lambda_k^{n-3} f_k & \lambda_k^{n-4} g_k & \dots & \lambda_k^3 g_k & \lambda_k^2 f_k & \lambda_k^1 g_k & f_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

In theorem 2.1 and theorem 2.2, (q, r) is a “seed” solution of the coupled CLL-NLS, H is an overall factor in the formula of the DT involved with an integral function depending on q and r , which satisfies the following conditions

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2}i(qr - 2)H, \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{4}(4i + iq^2r^2 - 2rq_x + 2qr_x)H. \quad (7)$$

A general analytical expression of H is

$$H = \exp \left(\int_{(x_0, t_0)}^{(x, t)} \frac{1}{2} i (qr - 2) dx - \frac{1}{4} (4i + iq^2 r^2 - 2rq_x + 2qr_x) dt \right). \quad (8)$$

Let a, c be two real constants, $b = a^2 + (a - 1)c^2$, and then $q = -\bar{r} = c \exp(i(ax + bt))$ is a “seed” solution of the CLL-NLS. For this case,

$$H = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} i (2 + c^2) x - \frac{1}{4} i (4 + c^4 + 4c^2 a) t \right), \quad (9)$$

which will be used to generate breather solution of the CLL-NLS by DT later.

3. DERIVATION OF THE n -FOLD DT

In this section, we derive the n -fold DT and the n -th order solutions for the coupled CLL-NLS in order to prove theorem 2.1 and theorem 2.2. To obtain the n -fold DT we consider the one- and two-fold DT at first, and then the n -fold DT can be obtained by iteration.

3.1. The one-fold DT. Without loss of generality, assuming the one-fold DT as

$$T_1(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} \lambda + \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & b_0 \\ c_0 & d_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

a_k, b_k, c_k and d_k ($k = 0, 1$) are complex functions of x and t . Then, there exists $\Phi^{[1]} = T_1 \Phi$ satisfying the following conditions $\Phi_x^{[1]} = U^{[1]} \Phi^{[1]}$ and $\Phi_t^{[1]} = V^{[1]} \Phi^{[1]}$, where $U^{[1]}$ and $V^{[1]}$ have the same form as U and V except that q and r are replaced by q_1 and r_1 . If so, we have

$$T_x + TU - U^{[1]}T = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad T_t + TV - V^{[1]}T = 0. \quad (11)$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let one-fold DT of the coupled CLL-NLS be the form of (10), then it is given by*

$$T_1(\lambda) = T_1(\lambda, \lambda_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f_1 g_1}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{H} & \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{H}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda g_1 & -\lambda_1 f_1 \\ -\lambda_1 g_1 & \lambda f_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

and the new solution (q_1, r_1) , generated by above T_1 from “seed” (q, r) is

$$r_1 = H \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix} r + 2i\lambda_1, \quad q_1 = \frac{1}{H} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ g_1 \end{pmatrix} q - 2i\lambda_1. \quad (13)$$

Here, the overall factor H is given by (8).

Proof. Let $F(\lambda) = (F_{ij}) = T_x + TU - U^{[1]}T = 0$ ($i, j = 1, 2$) and substitute T_1 (10) into F , then

$$F_{11} = (qb_1 - r_1c_1)\lambda^2 + \left(qb_0 - r_1c_0 + a_{1x} + \frac{1}{4}ia_1(qr - q_1r_1) \right) \lambda + a_{0x} + \frac{1}{4}ia_0(qr - q_1r_1),$$

$$F_{12} = -2i\lambda^3b_1 + (ra_1 - r_1d_1 - 2ib_0)\lambda^2 + \left(ra_0 - r_1d_0 + ib_1 + b_{1x} - \frac{1}{4}ib_1(qr + q_1r_1) \right) \lambda + ib_0 + b_{0x} - \frac{1}{4}ib_0(qr + q_1r_1),$$

$$F_{21} = 2i\lambda^3c_1 + (qd_1 - q_1a_1 + 2ic_0)\lambda^2 + \left(qd_0 - q_1a_0 - ic_1 + c_{1x} + \frac{1}{4}ic_1(qr + q_1r_1) \right) \lambda - ic_0 + c_{0x} + \frac{1}{4}ic_0(qr + q_1r_1),$$

$$F_{22} = (rc_1 - q_1b_1)\lambda^2 + \left(rc_0 - q_1b_0 + d_{1x} - \frac{1}{4}id_1(qr - q_1r_1) \right) \lambda + d_{0x} - \frac{1}{4}id_0(qr - q_1r_1).$$

Note that b_1 and c_1 are equal to zero from coefficient of λ^3 , and then remaining coefficients of λ^i ($i = 0, 1, 2$) imply

$$r_1 = \frac{a_1}{d_1}r - \frac{2ib_0}{d_1}, \quad q_1 = \frac{d_1}{a_1}q + \frac{2ic_0}{a_1}, \quad (14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1x} &= \frac{a_1c_0}{2d_1}r - \frac{ib_0c_0}{d_1} - \frac{b_0}{2}q, & d_{1x} &= \frac{d_1b_0}{2a_1}q + \frac{ib_0c_0}{a_1} - \frac{c_0}{2}r, \\ b_{0x} &= \frac{b_0^2}{2a_1}q - \frac{b_0c_0}{2d_1}r + \frac{ib_0^2c_0}{a_1d_1} + \frac{1}{2}ib_0qr - ib_0, & c_{0x} &= \frac{c_0^2}{2d_1}r - \frac{b_0c_0}{2a_1}q - \frac{ic_0^2b_0}{a_1d_1} - \frac{1}{2}ic_0qr + ic_0. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Let $a_0 = d_0 = 0$ according to the coefficients of λ in order to obtain the non-trivial solution. After simple calculations, we obtain $(a_1d_1)_x = 0$, $(b_0c_0)_x = 0$ and $(a_1b_0)_x = \frac{1}{2}ia_1b_0(qr - 2)$. Based on the above results and taking the similar procedure to the second formula of (11), we have $(a_1d_1)_t = 0$, $(b_0c_0)_t = 0$ and $(a_1b_0)_t = -\frac{1}{4}a_1b_0(4i + iq^2r^2 - 2rq_x + 2qr_x)$. Now, let $a_1d_1 = 1$ and $b_0c_0 = \lambda_1^2$ without loss of generality. Moreover, according to $(a_1b_0)_{xt} = (a_1b_0)_{tx}$, it is reasonable to let $a_1b_0 = \mu G$, where G is the primitive integral function and μ is an integral constant. That is, G satisfies

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2}i(qr - 2)G, \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{4}(4i + iq^2r^2 - 2rq_x + 2qr_x)G. \quad (16)$$

Thus, $G = H$, if we disregard the integral constant.

The explicit form of T_1 can be determined by $T_1\Phi_1|_{\lambda=\lambda_1} = 0$, i.e.

$$a_1\lambda_1f_1 + b_0g_1 = 0, \quad c_0f_1 + d_1\lambda_1g_1 = 0.$$

For convenience, let $\mu = -\lambda_1$, then unknown elements a_1 , d_1 , b_0 , and c_0 are solved by

$$a_1 = \sqrt{H}\sqrt{\frac{g_1}{f_1}}, \quad d_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{H}}\sqrt{\frac{f_1}{g_1}}, \quad b_0 = -\lambda_1\sqrt{H}\sqrt{\frac{f_1}{g_1}}, \quad c_0 = -\lambda_1\frac{1}{\sqrt{H}}\sqrt{\frac{g_1}{f_1}}.$$

That is, the form of one-fold DT is

$$T_1(\lambda) = T_1(\lambda, \lambda_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\sqrt{H}\sqrt{\frac{g_1}{f_1}} & -\lambda_1\sqrt{H}\sqrt{\frac{f_1}{g_1}} \\ -\lambda_1\frac{1}{\sqrt{H}}\sqrt{\frac{g_1}{f_1}} & \lambda\frac{1}{\sqrt{H}}\sqrt{\frac{f_1}{g_1}} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the new solution (q_1, r_1) can be expressed as

$$r_1 = H \left(\frac{g_1}{f_1} r + 2i\lambda_1 \right), \quad q_1 = \frac{1}{H} \left(\frac{f_1}{g_1} q - 2i\lambda_1 \right).$$

Q.E.D.

□

Note that transformed eigenfunctions associated with new solution (q_1, r_1) are

$$\Phi_j^{[1]} = \begin{pmatrix} f_j^{[1]} \\ g_j^{[1]} \end{pmatrix} = T(\lambda, \lambda_1)|_{\lambda=\lambda_j} \Phi_j. \quad (17)$$

It is trivial to see $\Phi_1^{[1]} = 0$. In other words, T_1 annihilates its generating function which is a general property of the DT. Therefore, we have to use a transformed eigenfunction $\Phi_2^{[1]}$ associated with $\lambda_2 (\neq \lambda_1)$ in order to generate the next step DT.

3.2. The two-fold DT. By iteration, the two-fold DT for the coupled CLL-NLS is calculated as

$$T_2(\lambda) = T_2(\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = T_1^{[1]}(\lambda, \lambda_2) T_1(\lambda, \lambda_1),$$

where

$$T_1^{[1]}(\lambda, \lambda_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f_2^{[1]} g_2^{[1]}}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{H_1} & \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{H_1}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda g_2^{[1]} & -\lambda_2 f_2^{[1]} \\ -\lambda_2 g_2^{[1]} & \lambda f_2^{[1]} \end{pmatrix},$$

H_1 possesses the same form as H in (8), except q and r replaced by q_1 and r_1 . The definitions of H_1 and $\Phi_2^{[1]}$ are valid for H_k and $\Phi_k^{[j]}$ (If $k < j$, $\Phi_k^{[j]} = 0$). According to the specific matrix forms of T_1 and $T_1^{[1]}(\lambda, \lambda_2)$, then T_2 is expressed by

$$T_2(\lambda; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \begin{pmatrix} a_2^{[1]} & \\ & d_2^{[1]} \end{pmatrix} \lambda^2 + \begin{pmatrix} & b_1^{[1]} \\ c_1^{[1]} & \end{pmatrix} \lambda + \begin{pmatrix} a_0^{[1]} & \\ & d_0^{[1]} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

and

$$a_0^{[1]} = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sqrt{\frac{H_1 f_2^{[1]} g_1}{H g_2^{[1]} f_1}}, \quad d_0^{[1]} = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sqrt{\frac{H g_2^{[1]} f_1}{H_1 f_2^{[1]} g_1}}.$$

Note that $T_2(\lambda) \Phi_k|_{\lambda=\lambda_k} = 0$ ($k = 1, 2$), then four unknown elements $a_2^{[1]}$, $d_2^{[1]}$, $b_1^{[1]}$, $c_1^{[1]}$ can be solved as follows according to Cramer's rule,

$$a_2^{[1]} = \frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}, \quad b_1^{[1]} = \frac{\delta_5}{\delta_1}, \quad d_2^{[1]} = \frac{\delta_4}{\delta_2}, \quad c_1^{[1]} = \frac{\delta_6}{\delta_2},$$

where δ_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, 6$) are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 &= \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1^2 f_1 & \lambda_1 g_1 \\ \lambda_2^2 f_2 & \lambda_2 g_2 \end{vmatrix}, & \delta_2 &= \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_2^2 g_1 & \lambda_1 f_1 \\ \lambda_2^2 g_2 & \lambda_2 f_2 \end{vmatrix}, & \delta_3 &= \begin{vmatrix} -a_0^{[1]} f_1 & \lambda_1 g_1 \\ -a_0^{[1]} f_2 & \lambda_2 g_2 \end{vmatrix}, \\ \delta_4 &= \begin{vmatrix} -d_0^{[1]} g_1 & \lambda_1 f_1 \\ -d_0^{[1]} g_2 & \lambda_2 f_2 \end{vmatrix}, & \delta_5 &= \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1^2 f_1 & -a_0^{[1]} f_1 \\ \lambda_2^2 f_2 & -a_0^{[1]} f_2 \end{vmatrix}, & \delta_6 &= \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1^2 g_1 & -d_0^{[1]} g_1 \\ \lambda_2^2 g_2 & -d_0^{[1]} g_2 \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting above elements in matrix form of T_2 , then it becomes

$$T_2(\lambda) = T_2(\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 f_1 & g_1 \\ \lambda_2 f_2 & g_2 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 g_1 & f_1 \\ \lambda_2 g_2 & f_2 \end{vmatrix}}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{g_1 H_1}{f_1}} & \\ & \sqrt{\frac{f_1}{g_1 H_1}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (T_2)_{11} & (T_2)_{12} \\ (T_2)_{21} & (T_2)_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

and elements $(T_2)_{ij}$ ($i, j = 1, 2$) are given by following determinants

$$\begin{aligned} (T_2)_{11} &= \begin{vmatrix} \lambda^2 & 0 & 1 \\ \lambda_1^2 f_1 & \lambda_1 g_1 & f_1 \\ \lambda_2^2 f_2 & \lambda_2 g_2 & f_2 \end{vmatrix}, & (T_2)_{12} &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ \lambda_1^2 f_1 & \lambda_1 g_1 & f_1 \\ \lambda_2^2 f_2 & \lambda_2 g_2 & f_2 \end{vmatrix}, \\ (T_2)_{21} &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ \lambda_1^2 g_1 & \lambda_1 f_1 & g_1 \\ \lambda_2^2 g_2 & \lambda_2 f_2 & g_2 \end{vmatrix}, & (T_2)_{22} &= \begin{vmatrix} \lambda^2 & 0 & 1 \\ \lambda_1^2 g_1 & \lambda_1 f_1 & g_1 \\ \lambda_2^2 g_2 & \lambda_2 f_2 & g_2 \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the overall factor H_1 has an integral function depending on q_1 and r_1 . It implies that we need to apply the one-fold DT in order to obtain the two-fold. Thus, T_2 is not an explicit formula of the two-fold DT. Especially, as one iterates the above method, more integrals in overall factors H_k ($k > 1$) will be involved. This depends on q_k and r_k . However, q_k and r_k are too cumbersome to be expressed in terms of explicit integrals in overall factors H_k . That is, it is not possible to get the explicit expressions of T_k if H_k can not be eliminated. Thus, eliminating the integrals in the overall factors H_k is an unavoidable challenge. The next Lemma

provides a crucial step to deal with this obstacle. In the following lemma, $\frac{g_i^{[0]}}{f_i^{[0]}} \triangleq \frac{g_i}{f_i}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $i \geq k + 1 \geq 1$, then $\frac{g_i^{[k]}}{f_i^{[k]}} H_{k+1}$ is a constant.*

Proof. On one hand, according to the x-part of the Lax pair for $\Phi_i^{[k]}$ and the k -th step of DT, a straightforward calculation implies

$$f_{ix}^{[k]} = (i\lambda_i^2 - \frac{1}{2}i + \frac{1}{4}iq_k r_k) f_i^{[k]} + \lambda_i r_k g_i^{[k]}, \quad g_{ix}^{[k]} = \lambda_i q_k f_i^{[k]} - (i\lambda_i^2 - \frac{1}{2}i + \frac{1}{4}iq_k r_k) g_i^{[k]},$$

$$r_{k+1} = H_k \left(\frac{g_i^{[k]}}{f_i^{[k]}} r_k + 2i\lambda_i \right), \quad q_{k+1} = \frac{1}{H_k} \left(\frac{f_i^{[k]}}{g_i^{[k]}} q_k - 2i\lambda_i \right).$$

According to the definition of H_{k+1} ,

$$\frac{H_{k+1,x}}{H_{k+1}} = \frac{1}{2}i(q_{k+1}r_{k+1} - 2) = \frac{1}{2}iq_k r_k - i + 2i\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_i \left(\frac{f_i^{[k]}}{g_i^{[k]}} q_k - \frac{g_i^{[k]}}{f_i^{[k]}} r_k \right).$$

Thus,

$$\left(\frac{g_i^{[k]}}{f_i^{[k]}} H_{k+1} \right)_x = \frac{g_{ix}^{[k]}}{g_i^{[k]}} - \frac{f_{ix}^{[k]}}{f_i^{[k]}} + \frac{H_{k+1,x}}{H_{k+1}} = 0. \quad (20)$$

On the other hand, according to the t -part of Lax pair for $\Phi_i^{[k]}$, and the definition of $H_{k+1,t}$, a straightforward calculation implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_{it}^{[k]}}{f_i^{[k]}} &= -2i\lambda_i^4 + (2i - iq_k r_k) \lambda_i^2 - \frac{1}{8}iq_k^2 r_k^2 + \frac{1}{4}r_k q_{k,x} - \frac{1}{4}q_k r_{k,x} - \frac{1}{2}i \\ &\quad - (2r_k \lambda_i^3 - (r_k - \frac{1}{2}r_k^2 q_k + ir_{k,x}) \lambda_i) \frac{g_i^{[k]}}{f_i^{[k]}}, \\ \frac{g_{it}^{[k]}}{g_i^{[k]}} &= -(-2i\lambda_i^4 + (2i - iq_k r_k) \lambda_i^2 - \frac{1}{8}iq_k^2 r_k^2 + \frac{1}{4}r_k q_{k,x} - \frac{1}{4}q_k r_{k,x} - \frac{1}{2}i) \\ &\quad - (2q_k \lambda_i^3 - (q_k - \frac{1}{2}q_k^2 r_k - iq_{k,x}) \lambda_i) \frac{f_i^{[k]}}{g_i^{[k]}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{H_{k+1,t}}{H_{k+1}} &= -\frac{1}{4}(4i + iq_{k+1}^2 r_{k+1}^2 - 2r_{k+1} q_{k+1,x} + 2q_{k+1} r_{k+1,x}) \\ &= -4i\lambda_i^4 + (4i - 2iq_k r_k) \lambda_i^2 - \frac{1}{4}iq_k^2 r_k^2 - \frac{1}{2}(q_k r_{k,x} - r_k q_{k,x}) - i \\ &\quad + (2q_k \lambda_i^3 - (q_k - \frac{1}{2}q_k^2 r_k - iq_{k,x}) \lambda_i) \frac{f_i^{[k]}}{g_i^{[k]}} - (2r_k \lambda_i^3 - (r_k - \frac{1}{2}r_k^2 q_k + ir_{k,x}) \lambda_i) \frac{g_i^{[k]}}{f_i^{[k]}}. \end{aligned}$$

Above three expressions give

$$\left(\frac{g_i^{[k]}}{f_i^{[k]}} H_{k+1} \right)_t = \frac{g_{it}^{[k]}}{g_i^{[k]}} - \frac{f_{it}^{[k]}}{f_i^{[k]}} + \frac{H_{k+1,t}}{H_{k+1}} = 0. \quad (21)$$

Q.E.D.

□

Based on lemma 3.2, let $\frac{g_i^{[k]}}{f_i^{[k]}}H_{k+1} = 1$ without loss of generality. In this case, $\frac{g_1}{f_1}H_1 = 1$, and then two-fold DT in (19) is simplified as

$$T_2(\lambda) = T_2(\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 f_1 & g_1 \\ \lambda_2 f_2 & g_2 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 g_1 & f_1 \\ \lambda_2 g_2 & f_2 \end{vmatrix}}} \begin{pmatrix} (T_2)_{11} & (T_2)_{12} \\ (T_2)_{21} & (T_2)_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

3.3. The n -fold DT. Let us consider the n -fold DT for the coupled CLL-NLS with the similar method as above. Since

$$T_n(\lambda) = T_n(\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n T_1^{[k-1]}(\lambda, \lambda_k),$$

let

$$T_n(\lambda) = T_n(\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) = \sum_{l=1}^n P_l \sigma_1^{n-l} \lambda^l + P_0, \quad (23)$$

where P_l and σ_1 are defined by

$$P_l = \begin{pmatrix} P_{l1} & 0 \\ 0 & P_{l2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, P_0 is determined by

$$P_0 = \prod_{k=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda_k \sqrt{H_{k-1}} \sqrt{\frac{f_k^{[k-1]}}{g_k^{[k-1]}}} \\ -\lambda_k \sqrt{H_{k-1}} \sqrt{\frac{g_k^{[k-1]}}{f_k^{[k-1]}}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

Here, $H_0 = H$, $f_1^{[0]} = f_1$ and $g_1^{[0]} = g_1$. According to lemma 3.2, then

- if n is odd,

$$P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \dots \lambda_n \sqrt{H} \sqrt{\frac{f_n^{[n-1]}}{g_n^{[n-1]}}} & \\ -\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \dots \lambda_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{H}} \sqrt{\frac{g_n^{[n-1]}}{f_n^{[n-1]}}} & \end{pmatrix}, \quad (25)$$

- if n is even,

$$P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \dots \lambda_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{H}} \sqrt{\frac{f_n^{[n-1]}}{g_n^{[n-1]}}} & \\ & \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \dots \lambda_n \sqrt{H} \sqrt{\frac{g_n^{[n-1]}}{f_n^{[n-1]}}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (26)$$

Lemma 3.3. After the action of k -fold DT, the eigenfunction Φ_j ($j > k$) related to λ_j becomes

- if k is odd

$$\Phi_j^{[k]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Delta_k^1| |\Delta_k^2|}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{H} & \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{H}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \det(A_{k+1}^1, A_{k+1}^2, A_{k+1}^3, \dots, A_{k+1}^k, A_{k+1}^j)^T \\ \det(B_{k+1}^1, B_{k+1}^2, B_{k+1}^3, \dots, B_{k+1}^k, B_{k+1}^j)^T \end{pmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

- if k is even

$$\Phi_j^{[k]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Delta_k^1| |\Delta_k^2|}} \begin{pmatrix} \det(A_{k+1}^1, A_{k+1}^2, A_{k+1}^3, \dots, A_{k+1}^k, A_{k+1}^j)^T \\ \det(B_{k+1}^1, B_{k+1}^2, B_{k+1}^3, \dots, B_{k+1}^k, B_{k+1}^j)^T \end{pmatrix}. \quad (28)$$

Remark: this lemma is obtained with the inductive method, and the detailed proof is omitted. Therefore, the explicit expression of P_0 is obtained as follows based on lemma 3.3.

$$P_0 = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \dots \lambda_k \sqrt{\frac{|\Delta_n^1|}{|\Delta_n^2|}} & \\ & \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \dots \lambda_k \sqrt{\frac{|\Delta_n^2|}{|\Delta_n^1|}} \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{H} & \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{H}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} & -\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \dots \lambda_k \sqrt{\frac{|\Delta_n^1|}{|\Delta_n^2|}} \\ -\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \dots \lambda_k \sqrt{\frac{|\Delta_n^2|}{|\Delta_n^1|}} & \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

Proof of theorem 2.1 and 2.2: Note that the kernel of T_n consists of $\Phi_k (k = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, i.e., $T_n(\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \Phi_k|_{\lambda=\lambda_k} = 0$. Substituting (29) into these algebraic equations, the elements of $P_k (k = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ in n -fold DT are obtained by the Cramer's rule. This proves theorem 2.1. Then, theorem 2.2 is derived by comparing the coefficient of λ^{n-1} in $T_{nx} + T_n U = U^{[n]} T_n$. \square

4. EXACT SOLUTIONS OF THE CLL-NLS

In this section, we consider the DT and solution of the coupled CLL-NLS (3) under the reduction condition $r = -\bar{q}$, which leads to the DT and solutions of the CLL-NLS.

Theorem 4.1. *Let*

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_k = -\bar{\lambda}_k & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \lambda_{2k} = -\bar{\lambda}_{2k-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

then solution (q_n, r_n) in theorem 2.2 preserves the reduction condition $r_n = -\bar{q}_n$. This means that T_n in theorem 2.1 is a n -fold DT of the CLL-NLS (1), and correspondingly, r_n is an n -th order solution of the CLL-NLS (1).

Proof. When $q = -\bar{r}$. From x -part of the Lax pair, we have

$$\bar{f}_x = -(\bar{i}\bar{\lambda}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\bar{i} - \frac{1}{4}\bar{i}|r|^2)\bar{f} + \bar{\lambda}\bar{r}\bar{g}, \quad \bar{g}_x = -\bar{\lambda}\bar{r}\bar{f} + (\bar{i}\bar{\lambda}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\bar{i} - \frac{1}{4}\bar{i}|r|^2)\bar{g}. \quad (31)$$

That is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{g}_x \\ \bar{f}_x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{i}\bar{\lambda}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\bar{i} - \frac{1}{4}\bar{i}|r|^2 & -\bar{\lambda}\bar{r} \\ \bar{\lambda}\bar{r} & -\bar{i}\bar{\lambda}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{i} + \frac{1}{4}\bar{i}|r|^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{g} \\ \bar{f} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

The same property can be obtained from the t -part of the Lax pair. Thus, it is obvious that $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{g} \\ -\bar{f} \end{pmatrix}$ is a new eigenfunction for $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{g} \\ \bar{f} \end{pmatrix}$ for $\lambda = -\bar{\lambda}$. For example, $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{g}_j \\ -\bar{f}_j \end{pmatrix}$ is a new eigenfunction related to λ_k when $\lambda_k = \bar{\lambda}_j$, and $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{g}_j \\ \bar{f}_j \end{pmatrix}$ is another one when $\lambda_k = -\bar{\lambda}_j$.

Based on the above property of the eigenfunctions, we prove that the potentials q_n and r_n will satisfy the reduction condition, if the choices in (30) are adopted in the n -fold DT.

Note that $\bar{H} = \frac{1}{H}$. For $n = 1$, let $\lambda_1 = -\bar{\lambda}_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= H\left(\frac{g_1}{f_1}r + 2i\lambda_1\right) = H\left(\frac{\bar{f}_1}{f_1}r + 2i\lambda_1\right), \\ \bar{r}_1 &= \frac{1}{H}\left(\frac{f_1}{\bar{f}_1}\bar{r} - 2i\bar{\lambda}_1\right) = -\frac{1}{H}\left(\frac{f_1}{g_1}q - 2i\lambda_1\right) = -q_1. \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 2$, let $\lambda_2 = -\bar{\lambda}_1$, then $f_2 = \bar{g}_1$ and $g_2 = \bar{f}_1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} r_2 &= \frac{(\lambda_2 g_2 f_1 - \lambda_1 g_1 f_2)r + 2i(\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)f_1 f_2}{\lambda_2 g_1 f_2 - \lambda_1 f_1 g_2} = \frac{(-\bar{\lambda}_1 |f_1|^2 - \lambda_1 |g_1|^2)r + 2i(\bar{\lambda}_1^2 - \lambda_1^2)f_1 \bar{g}_1}{-\bar{\lambda}_1 |g_1|^2 - \lambda_1 |f_1|^2}, \\ q_2 &= \frac{(\lambda_2 f_2 g_1 - \lambda_1 f_1 g_2)q - 2i(\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)g_1 g_2}{\lambda_2 f_1 g_2 - \lambda_1 g_1 f_2} = \frac{(-\bar{\lambda}_1 |g_1|^2 - \lambda_1 |f_1|^2)q - 2i(\bar{\lambda}_1^2 - \lambda_1^2)g_1 \bar{f}_1}{-\bar{\lambda}_1 |f_1|^2 - \lambda_1 |g_1|^2} = -\bar{r}_2. \end{aligned}$$

When $n > 2$, the reduction condition $q_n = -\bar{r}_n$ can also be obtained by iteration. \square

Next, we provide the solutions of the CLL-NLS, and then discuss their localization characters. In order to achieve this purpose, the eigenfunctions associated with the ‘‘seed’’ solution depend on the determinant representation of DT.

4.1. Eigenfunctions for the Lax pair. In this subsection, we consider the solution for the Lax pair. Let the seed solution be

$$q = -\bar{r} = c \exp(i\rho), \quad \rho = ax + bt, \quad b = a^2 + (a - 1)c^2, \quad a, c \in \mathbb{R} \quad (33)$$

We substitute (33) into the Lax pair equations (4) and solving the eigenfunction as follows:

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x, t, \lambda) \\ \psi_2(x, t, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D \exp\left(i\left(\frac{\sqrt{S}}{4}x + \frac{\sqrt{S}}{8}(-4\lambda^2 + 2 + c^2 + 2a)t - \frac{\rho}{2}\right)\right) \\ \frac{D(-i\sqrt{S} + 4i\lambda^2 - 2i - ic^2 + 2ia) \exp\left(i\left(\frac{\sqrt{S}}{4}x + \frac{\sqrt{S}}{8}(-4\lambda^2 + 2 + c^2 + 2a)t + \frac{\rho}{2}\right)\right)}{4\lambda c} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (34)$$

where S is defined by

$$S = 16\lambda^4 + (16a - 16 + 8c^2)\lambda^2 - 4c^2a + 4 + 4c^2 - 8a + c^4 + 4a^2,$$

and D is a constant. Note that $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\psi}_2(x, t, -\bar{\lambda}) \\ \bar{\psi}_1(x, t, -\bar{\lambda}) \end{pmatrix}$ is also an eigenfunction under the reduction condition $r = -\bar{q}$. Thus, we can induce a new eigenfunction by use of the superposition

principle:

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} f(x, t, \lambda) \\ g(x, t, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x, t, \lambda) + \bar{\psi}_2(x, t, -\bar{\lambda}) \\ \psi_2(x, t, \lambda) + \bar{\psi}_1(x, t, -\bar{\lambda}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (35)$$

Let $\lambda = \lambda_j$, then Φ (35) leads to the eigenfunction Φ_j related to λ_j . Furthermore, when $q = -\bar{r} = c \exp(i\rho)$, the explicit expression of H is given in (9).

Now, with the help of theorems 2.2 and 4.1, we can present the solutions of the CLL-NLS.

4.2. Soliton, breather and first-order rogue wave solutions. For $n = 1$, let $\lambda_1 = i\beta$ and $D = 1$ in theorem 2.2, then the first-order solution of the CLL-NLS is

$$r_1 = \left(\frac{L_1 \cos \theta + iL_2 \sin \theta}{-L_1 \cos \theta + iL_2 \sin \theta} c - 2\beta \right) H, \quad (36)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta^2 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} c^2 + \frac{1}{4} a \right) \sqrt{S_1} t + \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{S_1} x, \\ L_1 &= -4\beta c + \sqrt{S_1} + 4\beta^2 + 2 + c^2 - 2a, \quad L_2 = 4\beta c + \sqrt{S_1} + 4\beta^2 + 2 + c^2 - 2a, \\ S_1 &= 16\beta^4 + (-8c^2 - 16a + 16)\beta^2 - 4c^2a + 4 + 4c^2 - 8a + c^4 + 4a^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that r_1 leads to a periodic solution, if $S_1 > 0$ and gives a soliton solution if $S_1 < 0$. When x and t tend both to infinity, $|r_1|^2$ tends to $2a - 2$ (here $a > 1$). When $S_1 < 0$, $|r_1|$ reaches to its amplitude of $|2\beta + c|$ at $x = -\frac{1}{2}(4\beta^2 + 2 + c^2 + 2a)t$. Thus, if $2a - 2 > |2\beta + c|^2$, it gives a dark soliton. Otherwise, it leads to a bright solitonic localization with a non-vanishing boundary. That is, the CLL-NLS can give both bright soliton and dark soliton. This is different from the NLS, that depends on the signs of the dispersion and nonlinear parameter in order to admit rather dark or bright soliton solutions. The bright soliton and dark soliton solutions are shown in Fig. 1.




FIGURE 1. (Color online) The bright and dark solitons of the CLL-NLS with parameters: (a) $a = 1.5, c = 1$ and $\beta = 0.2$, (b) $a = 1.5, c = 1$ and $\beta = -0.2$.

For $n = 2$, let $D = 1$, $\lambda_1 = \alpha_1 + i\beta_1$ and $\lambda_2 = -\alpha_1 + i\beta_1$ in theorem 2.2, then

$$r_2 = \frac{(-\bar{\lambda}_1|f_1|^2 - \lambda_1|g_1|^2)r + 2i(\bar{\lambda}_1^2 - \lambda_1^2)f_1\bar{g}_1}{-\bar{\lambda}_1|g_1|^2 - \lambda_1|f_1|^2} \quad (37)$$

gives the second-order solution of the CLL-NLS. For convenience, let $a = 2\beta_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}c^2 - 2\alpha_1^2 + 1$, then

$$r_2 = -\frac{K_1c \cos \theta_1 + iK_2c \sin \theta_1 + (K_3c + K_5) \cosh \theta_2 + i(K_6 - K_4c) \sinh \theta_2}{-K_1 \cos \theta_1 + iK_2 \sin \theta_1 + K_3 \cosh \theta_2 + iK_4 \sinh \theta_2} \exp(-i\rho) \quad (38)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1 &= ((4\alpha_1^2 - 4\beta_1^2 - 2)t - x)K_0, & \theta_2 &= 4\alpha_1\beta_1tK_0, & K_0 &= \sqrt{(c^2 + 4\alpha_1^2)(c^2 - 4\beta_1^2)}, \\ K_1 &= 8\alpha_1^3\beta_1 + 2c^2\alpha_1\beta_1 + 2\alpha_1\beta_1K_0, & K_2 &= 2c^2\alpha_1\beta_1 - 8\alpha_1\beta_1^3 + 2\alpha_1\beta_1K_0, \\ K_3 &= c^3\alpha_1 + 4c\alpha_1^3 + c\alpha_1K_0, & K_4 &= 4c\beta_1^3 - c^3\beta_1 - c\beta_1K_0, \\ K_5 &= -8c^2\alpha_1\beta_1^2 - 32\alpha_1^3\beta_1^2 - 8\alpha_1\beta_1^2K_0, & K_6 &= 8c^2\alpha_1^2\beta_1 - 32\alpha_1^2\beta_1^3 + 8\alpha_1^2\beta_1K_0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the trajectory of r_2 is defined by

$$(4\alpha_1^2 - 4\beta_1^2 - 2)t - x = 0,$$

if $K_0^2 < 0$, and by

$$4\alpha_1\beta_1t = 0,$$

if $K_0^2 > 0$. Thus, we can get both the spatial periodic breather solution (similar to the NLS Akhmediev breather [77]) and the temporal periodic breather solution (similar to the NLS Kuznetsov-Ma breather [78, 79]). In fact, this solution r_2 can travel periodically with an additional velocity in the (x, t) -plane. Three kinds of breather solutions, propagating along the (x, t) -plane with different angles, are shown in Fig. 2.

After a simple analysis, we emphasize that the periodicity of the breather solution is proportional to $\frac{1}{K_0}$, i.e. when K_0 tends to zero, the distance of two peaks goes to infinity which leaves only one peak locating on the (x, t) -plane. Thus, let $c \rightarrow 2\beta_1$, then r_2 in (38) leads to a new solution, having the property to possess only one local peak and surrounding two holes which is very similar to the Peregrine solution and therefore, being an appropriate to model RWs. This kind of doubly-localized rational solution is described by

$$r_{2r} = \frac{2\beta_1(L_{11} + iL_{12})}{L_{11} + iL_{13} + 4} \exp(-i\rho), \quad (39)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} L_{11} &= (16\alpha_1^2\beta_1^2 + 16\beta_1^4)x^2 - (128\alpha_1^4\beta_1^2 - 64\beta_1^4 - 64\alpha_1^2\beta_1^2 - 128\beta_1^6)xt - (256\alpha_1^4\beta_1^2 - 64\alpha_1^2\beta_1^2 \\ &\quad - 256\beta_1^8 - 256\alpha_1^6\beta_1^2 - 256\beta_1^6 - 64\beta_1^4)t^2 - 3, \\ L_{12} &= 8\beta_1^2x + 16\beta_1^2t - 96\alpha_1^2\beta_1^2t, & L_{13} &= (32\alpha_1^2\beta_1^2 - 64\beta_1^4 - 16\beta_1^2)t - 8\beta_1^2x. \end{aligned}$$

When x and t tend to infinity, $|r_{2r}|$ tends to $2\beta_1$. Moreover, the maximum peak amplitude is equal to $6\beta_1$, which is three times the background amplitude. The profiles are shown in Fig. 3, and this solution is the same as presented in [64]. The latter has been derived using the Hirota bilinear method, while difficulties using the DT have been also discussed in [64].




FIGURE 2. (Color online) The breather solutions of the CLL-NLS with parameters: (a) $c = 1$, $\alpha = 0.75$ and $\beta = 0.4$; (b) $c = 1$, $\alpha = 0.8$ and $\beta = 0.55$; (c) $c = 1$, $\alpha_1^2 = \beta_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}$, and $\beta_1 = 0.52$.

4.3. Higher-order rogue wave solutions. Inspired by above method, we consider the higher-order RWs of the CLL-NLS in this subsection. Generally, it is difficult to derive higher-order RWs from multi-breather solutions, since the explicit expression of n -th order breather is very challenging to calculate when $n > 4$. Similarly for the NLS, for which the formulae is given by theorem 2.2, an indeterminate form $\frac{0}{0}$ is a consequence, when eigenvalues λ_k tend to a limit point (from a breather to a doubly-localized RW solution). Thus, we derive the higher-order RWs directly from the determinant expressions of solutions in theorem 2.2 by adopting a Taylor expansion [16, 50–52].

Theorem 4.2. *Let $n = 2N$, $\lambda_{2k-1} = \sqrt{\frac{1-a}{2}} + \frac{ic}{2} + \epsilon^2$ ($a < 1$) and $\lambda_{2k} = -\bar{\lambda}_{2k-1}$, by applying the Taylor expansion, then a determinant expression of the N -th order RW is given as*

$$r_{nr} = \frac{|\widehat{\Delta}_n^1|}{|\widehat{\Delta}_n^2|} r - 2i \frac{|\widehat{\Delta}_n^4|}{|\widehat{\Delta}_n^2|}, \quad (40)$$

where $\widehat{\Delta}_n^k$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are defined by

$$\widehat{\Delta}_n^k = \left(\frac{\partial^{n_i}}{\partial \epsilon^{n_i}} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} (\Delta_n^k)_{ij} \right)_{n \times n}. \quad (41)$$

Here, $n_i = i$ if i is odd and $n_i = i - 1$ if i is even.

Note that D is a constant in (35), it is reasonable to choose $D = \exp(i\sqrt{S}(\sum_{l=1}^{N-1} s_l \epsilon^{2l}))$. Here, D goes to 1 when ϵ goes to zero. Thus, there exist $N + 1$ free parameters $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{N-1}; a, c)$ in an N -th order RW solution. Next, we derive RWs with these parameters, and consider their dynamical evolution. For convenience, let $a = -1$ and $c = 1$ in the following context.

For $N = 2$, the second-order RW of the CLL-NLS is

$$r_{4r} = \frac{L_{21}}{L_{22}} \exp(-i\rho), \quad (42)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_{21} = & 125x^6 + 150ix^5 - 750tx^5 - 285x^4 + 3375t^2x^4 - 2100ix^4t - 156ix^3 - 8500t^3x^3 \\ & + 2220tx^3 + 8100it^2x^3 - 24000it^3x^2 - 14850t^2x^2 - 333x^2 + 16875t^4x^2 + 2160itx^2 \\ & - 270tx - 18750t^5x + 33750it^4x + 28500t^3x - 8100ixt^2 - 90ix + 45 + 15625t^6 \\ & + 1044it + 600it^3 - 2205t^2 - 37500it^5 - 26625t^4 + (-300x^3 - 1800ix^2 + 4500tx^2 \\ & + 1800itx + 180x - 4500t^2x - 4500t^3 - 432i + 540t + 7200it^2)s_1, \\ L_{22} = & -125x^6 + 750tx^5 + 150ix^5 - 15x^4 - 3375t^2x^4 - 600ix^4t + 180tx^3 + 8500t^3x^3 \\ & + 84ix^3 + 2100it^2x^3 + 2250t^2x^2 - 3000it^3x^2 - 16875t^4x^2 - 171x^2 - 360ix^2t \\ & - 4500t^3x + 18750t^5x - 900ixt^2 + 3750it^4x + 270tx + 54ix - 15625t^6 + 144it \\ & + 3600it^3 - 3195t^2 - 10875t^4 - 9 + (300x^3 - 4500tx^2 + 4500t^2x - 180x + 1800itx \\ & + 4500t^3 - 1800it^2 - 72i + 3060t)s_1, \end{aligned}$$

where s_1 is a free complex parameter. The maximum amplitude of $|r_{4r}|$ is equal to 5 when $s_1 = 0$, which is reached at $(x = 0, t = 0)$. This solution is shown in Fig. 4(a). Allocating different values to s_1 , we can obtain RWs which are distinct from the above one. For example, RWs with $s_1 = 100 - 100i$ and $s_1 = 100 + 100i$ are shown in Fig. 4(b) and Fig. 4(c), respectively. Both of them possess three intensity peaks, located at different time and space values. Each peak is similar to a first-order RW, shown in Fig. 3(a). Moreover, solution r_{4r} in Fig. 4(b) is different from the one in Fig. 4(c), since three peaks in each solution are arrayed in different directions.

For $N = 3$, according to theorem 4.2, we can obtain the third-order RW solution of the CLL-NLS equation. However, its expression, with two non-zero parameters s_1 and s_2 , is very cumbersome, that we just provide the exact expression in the case $s_1 = s_2 = 0$, i.e.

$$r_{6r} = \frac{L_{31}}{L_{32}} \exp(-i\rho), \quad (43)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} L_{31} = & -3125x^{12} + 37500tx^{11} - 7500ix^{11} - 281250t^2x^{10} + 150000itx^{10} + 18750x^{10} + 1437500t^3x^9 \\ & - 1237500it^2x^9 + 22500ix^9 - 322500tx^9 + 3386250t^2x^8 + 6975000it^3x^8 - 5671875t^4x^8 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - 495000 itx^8 + 31725 x^8 + 5130000 it^2x^7 + 63720 ix^7 + 17475000 t^5x^7 + 84600 tx^7 \\
& - 19890000 t^3x^7 - 27975000 it^4x^7 + 87240000 it^5x^6 + 82807500 t^4x^6 + 116676 x^6 - 858240 itx^6 \\
& - 33000000 it^3x^6 - 3338100 t^2x^6 - 43637500 t^6x^6 + 2230200 it^2x^5 + 87375000 t^7x^5 \\
& + 26829000 t^3x^5 + 31320 tx^5 + 133155000 it^4x^5 - 209775000 it^6x^5 - 245835000 t^5x^5 + 129384 ix^5 \\
& + 401250000 it^7x^4 + 550312500 t^6x^4 - 146475 x^4 - 1717200 itx^4 - 366750000 it^5x^4 \\
& - 93881250 t^4x^4 - 607500 t^2x^4 - 141796875 t^8x^4 - 3330000 it^3x^4 + 1315980 tx^3 \\
& - 52380 ix^3 - 585937500 it^8x^3 + 696450000 it^6x^3 + 4222800 it^2x^3 + 6975000 it^4x^3 \\
& + 179687500 t^9x^3 - 902250000 t^7x^3 + 209925000 t^5x^3 + 9702000 t^3x^3 - 846000000 it^7x^2 \\
& - 12429450 t^2x^2 - 175781250 t^{10}x^2 + 656250000 it^9x^2 + 1078593750 t^8x^2 - 19872000 it^3x^2 \\
& + 1269000000 it^5x^2 - 332212500 t^6x^2 + 17347500 t^4x^2 - 62370 x^2 + 887760 itx^2 \\
& + 117187500 t^{11}x - 11340 ix + 25825500 t^3x - 299475000 it^6x - 286740 tx + 52245000 it^4x \\
& + 621562500 it^8x - 5046300 itx^2 - 492187500 it^{10}x + 313875000 t^7x - 128925000 t^5x \\
& - 839062500 t^9x - 190350000 it^5 - 46875000 it^9 - 715230 t^2 - 48828125 t^{12} + 2835 \\
& - 5761800 it^3 + 222328125 t^8 - 47833875 t^4 + 53550000 it^7 + 234375000 it^{11} + 363281250 t^{10} \\
& + 291937500 t^6 + 158760 it, \\
L_{32} = & - 3125 x^{12} + 37500 tx^{11} + 7500 ix^{11} - 75000 itx^{10} + 3750 x^{10} - 281250 t^2x^{10} + 487500 it^2x^9 \\
& + 7500 ix^9 + 1437500 t^3x^9 - 22500 tx^9 - 5671875 t^4x^8 - 13275 x^8 - 90000 itx^8 + 461250 t^2x^8 \\
& - 2100000 it^3x^8 - 3090000 t^3x^7 + 6975000 it^4x^7 + 84600 tx^7 + 37080 ix^7 + 17475000 t^5x^7 \\
& - 90000 it^2x^7 - 998100 t^2x^6 - 43637500 t^6x^6 + 13057500 t^4x^6 - 17490000 it^5x^6 - 239760 itx^6 \\
& + 2100000 it^3x^6 - 81324 x^6 + 34875000 it^6x^5 + 4509000 t^3x^5 + 97848 ix^5 - 35955000 t^5x^5 \\
& + 489240 tx^5 + 1290600 it^2x^5 + 87375000 t^7x^5 - 10215000 it^4x^5 - 3469500 t^2x^4 + 11205 x^4 \\
& + 28800000 it^5x^4 - 7031250 t^4x^4 - 52500000 it^7x^4 + 62062500 t^6x^4 - 141796875 t^8x^4 \\
& - 3780000 it^3x^4 - 216000 itx^4 - 48450000 it^6x^3 + 961200 it^2x^3 + 106380 tx^3 + 26460 ix^3 \\
& + 60937500 it^8x^3 - 3375000 it^4x^3 + 1125000 t^5x^3 - 62250000 t^7x^3 + 9702000 t^3x^3 + 179687500 t^9x^3 \\
& + 5724000 it^3x^2 - 73102500 t^4x^2 - 87480 itx^2 - 46875000 it^9x^2 - 158512500 t^6x^2 + 67500000 it^7x^2 \\
& - 20250 x^2 + 1891350 t^2x^2 - 175781250 t^{10}x^2 - 18281250 t^8x^2 + 66150000 it^5x^2 + 4860 ix \\
& + 11340 tx + 23437500 it^{10}x + 98437500 t^9x - 45225000 it^6x - 882900 it^2x - 526500 t^3x \\
& + 146475000 t^5x + 13095000 it^4x + 117187500 t^{11}x - 47812500 it^8x + 331875000 t^7x \\
& - 345796875 t^8 - 109912500 t^6 - 405 - 8100000 it^5 + 32400 it - 48828125 t^{12} - 990630 t^2 \\
& - 24883875 t^4 + 56250000 it^9 - 152343750 t^{10} + 52200000 it^7 + 4276800 it^3.
\end{aligned}$$

The maximum amplitude is equal to 7 which occurs at (0, 0), its profile is shown in Fig. 5(a). Let $s_1 \neq 0$ and $s_2 \neq 0$, we obtain other solutions which are different from the one given in Fig. 5(a). In each of these solutions, the third-order RW is split into six intensity peaks which are similar to a first-order RW. These six peaks, located at different point of time and space, make up different profiles. As example, three such solutions are displayed in Fig. 5(b-d)




FIGURE 3. (Color online) The first-order RW solution of the CLL-NLS with parameters: $\alpha_1 = -1$, $\beta_1 = 0.5$. The right panel is the density plot of the left.

with $(s_1, s_2) = (100, 0)$, $(s_1, s_2) = (0, 5000)$, and $(s_1, s_2) = (100, 13000)$, respectively. In Fig. 5(b), these six intensity form a triangle. In Fig. 5(c), they compose a pentagon with five peaks locating on the shell and the other one locating on the center. In Fig. 5(d), three peaks compose a triangle and the other three peaks compose a part of a circular arc.

Let $N = 4$ in theorem 4.2, then r_{8r} gives a fourth-order RW of the CLL-NLS with three parameters s_1, s_2 and s_3 . Let $(s_1, s_2, s_3) = (0, 0, 0)$, r_{8r} leads to a solution with a highest peak surrounded by several gradually decreasing peaks in two sides along t -direction, which is the fundamental pattern and is shown in Fig 6(a). The amplitude of this solution is 9 located at the origin of coordinate. Furthermore, allocating different values to (s_1, s_2, s_3) , we obtain a hierarchy of solutions, which have a triangle pattern, a pentagon pattern, a circular pattern with a inner second-order fundamental pattern or triangle pattern. These solutions are shown in Fig. 6(b-c) and Fig. 7.

All the results are derived as a consequence of theorem 4.2 and can be trivially extended to the higher-order RWs. That is, the explicit expressions of other higher-order solutions can be obtained in a straightforward manner. However, we will omit this, since expressions are too cumbersome to be explicitly written here. All solutions, presented above, have been verified analytically by symbolic computation through a Maple computer software.

5. LOCALIZATION CHARACTERS OF CLL-NLS ROGUE WAVES

In this section, we consider the localization characters of the RW of the CLL-NLS as well as the influence of SSE on these localization. First, we need to define the length and width of the RW solution as described in [59]. In order to compare the latter properties with localization of NLS RWs [16, 59], we replace the parameters α_1 and β_1 with a and c in (39). That is, we substitute $\alpha_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1-a}{2}}$ ($a < 1$) and $\beta_1 = \frac{c}{2}$ into (39). In this case, the first-order RW of the CLL-NLS is expressed as the following

$$r_{2r} = \frac{L_n}{L_d} c \exp(-i\rho), \quad (44)$$




FIGURE 4. (Color online) The second-order RW of the CLL-NLS with parameters: (a) $s_1 = 0$; (b) $s_1 = 100 - 100i$; (c) $s_1 = 100 + 100i$.

with

$$\begin{aligned}
L_n &= 3 - c^4 x^2 - 4t^2 c^4 - 4c^6 t^2 - c^8 t^2 - 2c^6 x t + 8i t c^2 - 12i c^2 t a - 4c^4 x t + 8c^2 t^2 a^3 - 2c^2 x^2 \\
&\quad + 2ac^2 x^2 - 8c^2 x t a - 2i c^2 x - 8c^2 t^2 a^2 + 8c^2 x t a^2, \\
L_d &= -1 - c^4 x^2 - 4t^2 c^4 - 4c^6 t^2 - c^8 t^2 - 2c^6 x t + 4i c^2 t a + 4i c^4 t - 4c^4 x t + 8c^2 t^2 a^3 - 2c^2 x^2 \\
&\quad + 2ac^2 x^2 - 8c^2 x t a + 2i c^2 x - 8c^2 t^2 a^2 + 8c^2 x t a^2.
\end{aligned}$$

As it is known, there exist two holes near the peak in the first-order RW. These two holes are located at $P_1 = \left(\frac{-18a+12}{\sqrt{-24a+24+3c^2(2a-2-c^2)}c}, \frac{3}{\sqrt{-24a+24+3c^2(2a-2-c^2)}c} \right)$ and $P_2 = \left(\frac{18a-12}{\sqrt{-24a+24+3c^2(2a-2-c^2)}c}, \frac{-3}{\sqrt{-24a+24+3c^2(2a-2-c^2)}c} \right)$. It is obvious that P_1 and P_2 are on the line $l_1 : x = -2(3a-2)t$. On the background plane with height $|r_{2r}| = c$, the contour line is a hyperbola

$$(4c^6 - 4c^4 - 8c^2 a^3 + 3c^8 + 24ac^4 - 16a^2 c^4 + 8c^2 a^2 + 4ac^6) t^2 + (-4ac^4 + 4c^6 + 8c^4 + 8c^2 a - 8c^2 a^2) x t - 1 + (c^4 + 2c^2 - 2c^2 a) x^2 = 0, \quad (45)$$




FIGURE 5. (Color online) The third-order RW of the CLL-NLS with parameters (s_1, s_2) as: (a) $(0, 0)$; (b) $(100, 0)$; (c) $(0, 5000)$; (d) $(100, 13000)$.

which intersects with the line l_1 at two points $P_3 = \left(\frac{6a-4}{\sqrt{-8a+3c^2+8(2a-2-c^2)c}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-8a+3c^2+8(2a-2-c^2)c}} \right)$ and $P_4 = \left(-\frac{6a-4}{\sqrt{-8a+3c^2+8(2a-2-c^2)c}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{-8a+3c^2+8(2a-2-c^2)c}} \right)$. We define the tangential direction of hyperbola to be at two points P_3 and P_4 , which is the length-direction, as described by a line $l_2 : x = -(2a + 3/2c^2)t$. The density plot for $|r_{2r}|^2$ combined with the hyperbola and the length-direction is displayed in Fig. 8.

Since the contour line is not closed on the background in the length-direction, we have to select a contour $|r_{2r}|^2 - 2c^2 = 0$ with height twice the background such that it is closed. The closed contour is useful to discuss the localization characters of the the first-order RW. It intersects with the length-direction at two points. We define the distance d^L of these two points as the length of the first-order RW, and we determine the projection d^W of $|P_1 P_2|$ on the width-direction, which is perpendicular to the length-direction, to be the width of the first-order RW. Through a simple calculation, we obtain

$$d^L = \frac{d_n^L}{d_d^L}, \quad (46)$$




FIGURE 6. (Color online) The fourth-order RW of the CLL-NLS with parameters (s_1, s_2, s_3) as: (a) $(0, 0, 0)$; (b) $(500, 0, 0)$; (c) $(0, 50000, 0)$.

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_n^L &= 2 \sqrt{(4 + 16a^2 + 24c^2a + 9c^4) (48 + 9c^4 + 48a^2 + 46c^2 - 96a - 46c^2a + 2\sqrt{M_1})}, \\
 d_d^L &= (8a - 8 - c^2)(2a - 2 - c^2)c^2, \\
 M_1 &= 1024 - 4096a + 22c^8 - 4992c^2a + 1024a^4 + 242c^6 - 4096a^3 - 1664c^2a^3 - 242ac^6 \\
 &\quad + 976a^2c^4 - 1952ac^4 + 4992c^2a^2 + 1664c^2 + 6144a^2 + 976c^4,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$d^W = \frac{d_n^W}{d_d^W}, \quad (47)$$

while

$$d_n^W = 6(8a - 3c^2 - 8), \quad d_d^W = \sqrt{(-24a + 24 + 3c^2) (4 + 16a^2 + 24c^2 + 9c^4) (2a - 2 - c^2)} c.$$

The length d^L and width d^W are related to a and c , and their profiles are plotted in Fig. 9.




FIGURE 7. (Color online) The fourth-order RW in circular pattern of the CLL-NLS with parameters (s_1, s_2, s_3) as: (a) $(0, 0, 500000)$; (b) $(500, 0, 50000000)$.




FIGURE 8. (Color online) The density plots of the first-order RW $|r_{2r}|^2$ with hyperbola ($|r_{2r}|^2 = c^2$) and length-direction. (a) $a = -1, c = 1$. (b) $a = -2, c = 1$. The black solid line is hyperbola, the yellow dash line is the length-direction.

If one fixes the parameter c , the length decreases with the increase of a at first and then increases until $a = 1$. On the other hand, the width increases first, decreases, and then increases again until $a = 1$. For example, when $c = 1$, the length decreases with a if $a \in (-\infty, -0.88)$ and increases with a if $a \in (-0.88, 1)$. At the same time, the width increases with a if $a \in (-\infty, -0.69)$ or $a \in (0.52, 1)$ and decreases with a if $a \in (-0.69, 0.52)$. Furthermore, when a tends to $-\infty$, d^L tends to $2\sqrt{7}$ and d^W tends to 0, d^L reaches to the minimum 1.32 when $a = -0.88$ and gets to the maximum 62.42 when $a \rightarrow 1$, and d^W reaches to the maximum 1.70 when $a = -0.69$. In order to provide a visual support of above analysis on the trend with respect to a of two localization characters of the RW for the CLL-NLS, two curves for d^L and d^W with fixed $c = 1$ are given in Fig. 10(a).




FIGURE 9. (Color online) The localization characters of the first-order RW of the CLL-NLS, which are two functions of a and c . (a) The length d^L . (b) The width d^W .

In order to consider the contribution of the SSE on the localization characters of the RW, we define the length and width of the RW as mentioned above for the NLS $ir_t + r_{xx} + |r|^2 r = 0$, which is trivially given by ignoring the SSE term in the CLL-NLS. After applying a scaling transformation, due to the different coefficient of nonlinear term, the first-order RW of the NLS can be obtained from the results, reported in [16]. Then, the length and width of the first-order RW of the NLS are expressed by

$$d^L_{NLS} = \frac{\sqrt{7(1+4a^2)}}{2c^2}, \quad d^W_{NLS} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{(1+4a^2)c}, \quad (48)$$

and the length direction is described by a line l_{2NLS} : $x = 2at$.

Set $c = 1$, then d^L_{NLS} and d^W_{NLS} reach the minimum $\frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}$ and the maximum $\sqrt{3}$ at $a = 0$, respectively. It implies that the maximum of width and the minimum of length of the RW for the NLS are roughly equal to the corresponding values of the RW for the CLL-NLS. The width of the RW for the NLS also tends to 0 when $a \rightarrow \pm\infty$. However, the length tends to $+\infty$, when $a \rightarrow \pm\infty$. There is no oscillation interval for the width of the RW solution of the NLS. This is different from the analogous CLL-NLS. The profiles of d^L_{NLS} and d^W_{NLS} with $c = 1$ are given in Fig. 10(b).

Furthermore, we notice that $d^L < d^L_{NLS}$ if $a \in (-\infty, -0.47)$ and $d^L > d^L_{NLS}$ if $a \in (-0.47, 1)$, $d^W < d^W_{NLS}$, if $a \in (-\infty, -2.53)$ or $a \in (-0.33, 0.67)$, and $d^W > d^W_{NLS}$ if $a \in (-2.53, -0.33)$ or $a \in (0.67, 1)$ in the case of $c = 1$. These detailed comparisons on localization characters of the first-order RWs are given in table 1 and Fig.11.

This analysis is visually verified by contours of $|r|^2$ for heights, being twice higher than the background in Fig.12. Furthermore, since the length and the width of the first-order RW of CLL-NLS are smaller than the corresponding NLS case when $a < -2.53$, respectively, the latter is therefore *better* than the corresponding NLS one. From an experimental point of view, having a smaller localization, we emphasize therefore a simpler set-up, since the proapagating distance of first-order CLL-NLS RW is considerably smaller compared to the NLS case. The opposite case is valid for $-0.47 < a < -0.33$ and $0.67 < a < 1$, where the CLL-NLS RW is *worse*. Unfortunately, we have not been able to compare the localization properties, when a belongs




FIGURE 10. (Color online) The length d^L and the width d^W for the first-order RW with $c=1$. The blue dash line indicates the length, and the red solid line denotes the width. (a) The CLL-NLS. (b) The NLS.




FIGURE 11. (Color online) The comparison between length (a) and width (b) of the first-order RWs for the NLS equation (red, solid) and the CLL-NLS (blue, dash) with $a < 1$ and $c = 1$. The left panel has one intersection point $(-0.47, 1.82)$. There are three intersection points in the right panel: $(-2.53, 0.34)$, $(-0.33, 1.45)$, $(0.67, 1.03)$.

to one of the other two intervals, shown in the third and fifth column of table 1. This is due to the fact that the width and length of the corresponding localization is alternatively smaller or bigger for the CLL-NLS compared to the NLS. In other words, the SSE in the CLL-NLS gives a remarkable change of the localization properties of the first-order RW, although we are not able to claim, if the RW localization for this equation is rather improved or destroyed by this term at different points (a, c) , in the parameter space. This is the first impact of the SSE on RW solutions of the CLL-NLS. As second impact we emphasize is that the SSE induces a strong rotation of the direction length on the RW of the CLL-NLS by comparing the two lines

The localization characters for the RW in the NLS and CLL-NLS

Values of a	$a < -2.53$	$-2.53 < a < -0.47$	$-0.47 < a < -0.33$	$0.33 < a < 0.67$	$0.67 < a < 1$
Length	$d_{NLS}^L > d^L$	$d_{NLS}^L > d^L$	$d_{NLS}^L < d^L$	$d_{NLS}^L < d^L$	$d_{NLS}^L < d^L$
Width	$d_{NLS}^W > d^W$	$d_{NLS}^W < d^W$	$d_{NLS}^W < d^W$	$d_{NLS}^W > d^W$	$d_{NLS}^W < d^W$
Localization	NLS<CLL-NLS	Indeterminate	NLS>CLL-NLS	Indeterminate	NLS>CLL-NLS

TABLE 1. NLS<CLL-NLS means the localization of RW in the CLL-NLS is *better* than NLS, since the width and length of the CLL-NLS RW is smaller compared to the NLS, respectively. NLS>CLL-NLS is the opposite case.

l_2 and l_{2NLS} . These two impacts are demonstrated intuitively by contours at a height $2c^2$ of the modulus square for the first-order RWs of the CLL-NLS and of the NLS in Fig. 12.




FIGURE 12. (Color online) The contours of first-order RWs at height($2c^2$) twice background with $c = 1$ and different values of a . The red solid line indicates the NLS and the blue dash line denotes the CLL-NLS. (a) $a = -3$, (b) $a = -1$, (c) $a = -0.4$, (d) $a = 0$, (e) $a = 0.7$.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that exact fundamental and higher-order solution of the CLL-NLS can be constructed, using the DT. These solutions may describe the accurate propagation of localized structures in nonlinear dispersive media, since dispersion, nonlinearity and SSE have been taken into account. In

particular, we provide exact analytical expressions for doubly-localized RW solutions. Furthermore, we discuss the influence of the SSE on the localization characteristics of NLS RWs using visualization contour method. This work may motivate similar studies for higher-order evolution equation of this kind, such for higher-order generalized nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations. In particular, experiments in several nonlinear dispersive media, such in nonlinear optical fibers or in water wave flumes may be a consequence of these studies.

Acknowledgments This work is supported by the NSF of China under Grant No.11271210, the K. C. Wong Magna Fund in Ningbo University. J. S. H acknowledges sincerely Prof. A. S. Fokas for arranging the visit to Cambridge University in 2012-2014 and for many useful discussions. A. C. acknowledges support from the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences.

REFERENCES

- [1] Benney D.J. and Newell A.C., Nonlinear wave envelopes. *J. Math. Phys.* 46(1967): 133–139.
- [2] Zakharov V.E., Stability of periodic waves of finite amplitude on the surface of a deep fluid. *J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys.* 9(1968): 190–194.
- [3] Hasegawa A. and Tappert F., Transmission of stationary nonlinear optical pulses in dispersive dielectric fibers. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 23(1973): 142–144.
- [4] Ablowitz M.J., Kaup D.J., Newell A.C. and Segur H., Nonlinear-evolution on equations of physical significance. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 31(1973): 125–127.
- [5] Peregrine D.H., Water waves, nonlinear Schrödinger equations and their solutions. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B* 25(1983): 16–43.
- [6] Akhmediev N., Eleonskii V. M. and Kulagin N. E., Generation of a periodic sequence of picosecond pulses in an optical fibre: exact solutions. *Sov. Phys. JETP* 62(1985): 894–899.
- [7] Onorato M., Residori S., Bortolozzo U., Montina A. and Arecci F. T., Rogue waves and their generating mechanisms in different physical contexts. *Phys. Rep.*, 528 (2013), 47–89.
- [8] Akhmediev N., Ankiewicz A. and Soto-Crespo J.M., Rogue waves and rational solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Phys. Rev. E* 80(2009): 026601.
- [9] Dubard P., Gaillard P., Klein C. and Matveev V.B., On multi-rogue wave solutions of the NLS and positon solutions of the KdV equation. *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* 185(2010): 247–258.
- [10] Dubard P. and Matveev V.B., Multi-rogue waves solutions to the focusing NLS and the KP-I equation. *Nat. Hazards Earth. Syst. Sci.* 11(2011): 667–672.
- [11] Gaillard P., Families of quasi-rational solutions of the NLS and multi-rogue waves. *J. Phys. A Math. Theor.* 44(2011): 435204.
- [12] Ankiewicz A., Kedziora D.J. and Akhmediev N., Rogue wave triplets. *Phys. Lett. A* 375(2011): 2782–2785.
- [13] Kedziora D.J., Ankiewicz A. and Akhmediev N., Circular rogue wave clusters. *Phys. Rev. E* 84(2011): 056611.
- [14] Ohta Y. and Yang J.K., General high-order rogue waves and their dynamics in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Proc. R. Soc. A Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Science* 468(2012): 1716–1740.
- [15] Guo B.L., Ling L.M. and Liu Q.P., Nonlinear Schrödinger equation: Generalized Darboux transformation and rogue wave solutions. *Phys. Rev. E* 85(2012): 026607.
- [16] He J.S., Zhang H.R., Wang L.H., Porsezian K. and Fokas A.S., Generating mechanism for higher-order rogue waves. *Phys. Rev. E* 87(2013): 052914.
- [17] V. I. Shrira and V. V. Geogjaev, What makes the Peregrine soliton so special as a prototype of freak waves?, *Journal of Engineering Mathematics* 67(2010): 11-22.
- [18] J. M. Dudley, F. Dias, M. Erkintalo and G. Genty, Instabilities, breathers and rogue waves in optics, *Nature Photonics* 8(2014): 755-7664.
- [19] Akhmediev, N., Ankiewicz, A. and Taki, M., Waves that appear from nowhere and disappear without a trace. *Phys. Lett. A* 373(2009): 675–678
- [20] Zakharov V. E. and Gelash A. A., Nonlinear stage of modulation instability. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 111(2013), 054101.
- [21] Gelash A.A. and Zakharov V.E., Superregular solitonic solutions: A novel scenario for the nonlinear stage of modulation instability. *Nonlinearity*, 27(2014): R1-R39.

- [22] Kharif C. and Pelinovsky E., Physical mechanisms of the rogue wave phenomenon. *Eur. J. Mech. B-Fluids*, 22(2003): 603–634.
- [23] Pelinovsky E. and Kharif C., *Extreme ocean waves* (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008).
- [24] Kharif C., Pelinovsky E. and Slunyaev A. *Rogue Waves in the Ocean*. (Springer, Heidelberg, 2009).
- [25] Osborne A.R., *Nonlinear ocean waves and the inverse scattering transform* (Academic Press, New York 2010).
- [26] Shats M., Punzmann H. and Xia H., Capillary rogue wave. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 104(2010): 104503.
- [27] Solli D.R., Ropers C., Koonath P. and Jalali B., Optical rogue waves. *Nature* 450(2007): 1054–1057.
- [28] Solli D.R., Ropers C. and Jalali B., Active control of rogue waves for stimulated supercontinuum generation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 101(2008): 233902.
- [29] Dudley J.M., Genty G. and Eggleton B.J., Harnessing and control of optical rogue waves in supercontinuum generation. *Opt. Express* 16(2008): 3644–3651.
- [30] Bludov Yu.V., Konotop V.V. and Akhmediev N., Matter rogue waves. *Phys. Rev. A* 80(2009): 033610.
- [31] Onorato M., Residori S., Bortolozzo U., Montinad A. and Arecchi F.T., Rogue waves and their generating mechanisms in different physical contexts. *Phys. Reports* 528(2013):47–89.
- [32] Kibler B., Fatome J., Finot C., Millot G., Dias F., Genty G., Akhmediev N. and Dudley J.M., The Peregrine soliton in nonlinear fibre optics. *Nat. Phys.* 6(2010), 790–795.
- [33] Chabchoub A., Hoffmann N.P. and Akhmediev N., Rogue wave observation in a water wave tank. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 106(2011), 204502.
- [34] Chabchoub A., Hoffmann N., Onorato M., Slunyaev A., Sergeeva A., Pelinovsky E. and Akhmediev N., Observation of a hierarchy of up to fifth-order rogue waves in a water tank. *Phys. Rev. E* 86(2012): 056601.
- [35] Chabchoub A., Hoffmann N., Onorato M. and Akhmediev N., Super rogue waves: observation of a higher-order breather in water waves. *Phys. Rev. X* 2(2012): 011015.
- [36] Chabchoub A. and Akhmediev N., Observation of rogue wave triplets in water waves. *Phys. Lett. A* 377(2013): 2590-2593.
- [37] Bailung H., Sharma S.K. and Nakamura Y., Observation of Peregrine solitons in a multicomponent plasma with negative ions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 107(2011), 255005.
- [38] Sharma S.K. and Bailung H., Observation of hole Peregrine soliton in a multicomponent plasma with critical density of negative ions. *J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys.* 118(2013): 919–924.
- [39] Ankiewicz A., Soto-Crespo J.M. and Akhmediev N., Rogue waves and rational solutions of the Hirota equation. *Phys. Rev. E* 81(2010): 046602.
- [40] Tao Y.S. and He J.S., Multisolitons, breathers, and rogue waves for the Hirota equation generated by the Darboux transformation. *Phys. Rev. E* 85(2012): 026601.
- [41] Bandelow U. and Akhmediev N., Sasa-Satsuma equation: Soliton on a background and its limiting cases. *Phys. Rev. E* 86(2012): 026606.
- [42] Chen S.H., Twisted rogue-wave pairs in the Sasa-Satsuma equation. *Phys. Rev. E* 88(2013): 023202.
- [43] He J.S., Xu S.W. and Porsezian K., Rogue waves of the Fokas-Lenells equation. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 81(2012): 124007.
- [44] He J.S., Xu S.W. and Porsezian K., New types of rogue wave in an erbium-doped fibre system. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 81(2012): 033002.
- [45] Li C.Z., He J.S. and Porsezian K., Rogue waves of the Hirota and the Maxwell-Bloch equations. *Phys. Rev. E* 87(2013): 012913.
- [46] Zha Q.L., On Nth-order rogue wave solution to the generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Phys. Lett. A* 377(2013): 855–859.
- [47] Wang L.H., Porsezian K. and He J.S., Breather and rogue wave solutions of a generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Phys. Rev. E* 87(2013): 053202.
- [48] Xu S.W., He J.S. and Wang L.H., The Darboux transformation of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Phys. A: Math and Theor.* 44(2011): 305203.
- [49] Xu S.W. and He J.S., The rogue wave and breather solution of the Gerdjikov-Ivanov equation. *J. Math. Phys.* 53(2012): 063507.
- [50] Guo L.J., Zhang Y.S., Xu S.W., Wu Z.W. and He J.S., The higher order rogue wave solutions of the Gerdjikov-Ivanov equation. *Phys. Scr.* 89(2014): 035501.
- [51] Guo B.L., Ling L.M. and Liu Q.P., High-order solutions and generalized Darboux transformations of derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Stud. App. Math.* 130(2013): 317–344.

- [52] Zhang Y.S., Guo L.J., Xu S.W., Wu Z.W. and He J.S., The hierarchy of higher order solutions of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Commun. Nonl. Sci. Num. Simu.* 19(2014): 1706–1722.
- [53] He J.S., Charalampidis E.G., Kevrekidis P.G. and Frantzeskakis D.J., Rogue waves in nonlinear Schrödinger models with variable coefficients: application to Bose-Einstein condensates. *Phys. Lett. A* 378(2014): 577–583.
- [54] Xu S.W., He J.S. and Wang L.H., Two kinds of rogue waves of the general nonlinear Schrödinger equation with derivative. *Europhys. Lett.* 97(2012): 30007.
- [55] Ohta Y. and Yang J.K., Rogue waves in the Davey-Stewartson I equation. *Phys. Rev. E.* 86(2012): 036604.
- [56] Ohta Y. and Yang J.K., Dynamics of rogue waves in the Davey-Stewartson II equation. *J. Phys. A: Math. and Theor.* 46(2013): 105202.
- [57] Dubard P. and Matveev V.B., Multi-rogue waves solutions: from NLS to KP-I equation. *Nonlinearity* 26(2013), R93–R125.
- [58] He J.S., Xu S.W., Ruderman M.S. and Erdélyi R., State transition induced by self-steepening and self phase-modulation. *Chin. Phys. Lett.* 31(2014): 010502.
- [59] He J.S., Wang L.H., Li L.J., Porsezian K. and Erdélyi R., Few-cycle optical rogue waves: Complex modified Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Phys. Rev. E* 89(2014): 062917.
- [60] Baronio F., Degasperis A., Conforti M. and Wabnitz S., Solutions of the vector nonlinear Schrödinger Equations: evidence for deterministic rogue waves. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109(2012): 044102.
- [61] Baronio F., Conforti M., Degasperis A. and Lombardo S., Rogue waves emerging from the resonant interaction of three waves. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 111(2013): 114101.
- [62] Baronio F., Conforti M., Degasperis A., Lombardo S., Onorato M. and Wabnitz S., Vector rogue waves and baseband modulation instability in the defocusing regime. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 113(2014): 034101.
- [63] Kundu A., Landau-Lifshitz and higher order nonlinear systems gauge generated from nonlinear Schrödinger type equations. *J. Math. Phys.* 25(1984): 3433–3438.
- [64] Chan H.N., Chow K.W., Kedziora D.J. and Grimshaw R.H.J., Rogue wave modes for a derivative nonlinear Schrödinger model. *Phys. Rev. E* 89(2014): 032914.
- [65] Chen H.H., Lee Y.C. and Liu C.S., Integrability of nonlinear Hamiltonian systems by inverse scattering method. *Phys. Scr.* 20(1979): 490–492.
- [66] Moses J., Malomed B.A. and Wise F.W., Self-steepening of ultrashort optical pulses without self-phase-modulation. *Phys. Rev. A* 76(2007): 021802.
- [67] DeMartini F., Townes C.H., Gustafson T.K. and Kelley P.L., Self-steepening of light pulses. *Phys. Rev.* 164(1967): 312–323.
- [68] Grischkowsky D., Courtens E. and Armstrong J.A., Observation of self-steepening of optical pulses with possible shock formation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 31(1973): 422–425.
- [69] Dudley J. M. and Genty G., Supercontinuum light. *Phys. Today* 66 (2013): 29-34.
- [70] A. Chabchoub, N. Hoffmann, M. Onorato, G. Genty, J. M. Dudley and N. Akhmediev, Hydrodynamic Supercontinuum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 113(2013): 054104.
- [71] Brabec T. and Krausz F., Nonlinear optical pulse propagation in the single-cycle regime. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 78(1997): 3282-3285.
- [72] Tzoar N. and Jain M., Self-phase modulation in long-geometry optical waveguides. *Phys. Rev. A* 23(1981): 1266–1270.
- [73] Anderson D. and Lisak M., Nonlinear asymmetric self-phase modulation and self-steepening of pulses in long optical waveguides. *Phys. Rev. A* 17(1983): 1393–1398.
- [74] Dysthe K.B., Note on the modification of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation for application to deep water waves, *Proc. R. Soc. London A* 369(1979): 105–114.
- [75] Clarkson P.A. and Cosgrove C.M., Painlevé analysis of the non-linear Schrödinger family of equations. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 20(1987): 2003–2024.
- [76] Lü X. and Peng M.S., Systematic construction of infinitely many conservation laws for certain nonlinear evolution equations in mathematical physics. *Commun. Nonlinear. Sci. Numer. Simulat.* 18(2013): 2304–2312.
- [77] Akhmediev N. and Korneev V. I., Modulation instability and periodic solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Theor. Math. Phys.* 69(1986): 1089–1093.
- [78] Kuznetsov E. A., Solitons in a parametrically unstable plasma. *Sov. Phys. Doklady* 22(1977): 507 – 508.

- [79] Ma Y. C., The perturbed plane-wave solutions of the cubic Schrödinger equation. *Stud. Appl. Math.* 60(1979): 43–58.