Modern Physics Letters A c World Scientific Publishing Company

Implications of $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ towards determining hierarchy and octant at T2K and T2K-II

Monojit Ghosh

Department of Physics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan monojit@tmu.ac.jp

Srubabati Goswami

Physical Research Laboratory, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad 380 009, India sruba@prl.res.in

Sushant K. Raut

Department of Theoretical Physics, School of Engineering Sciences, KTH Royal Institute of Technology – AlbaNova University Center, Roslagstullsbacken 21, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden raut@kth.se

> Received Day Month Year Revised Day Month Year

The T2K experiment has provided the first hint for the best-fit value for the leptonic CP phase $\delta_{CP} \sim -90^{\circ}$ from neutrino data. This is now corroborated by the NO ν A neutrino runs. We study the implications for neutrino mass hierarchy and octant of θ_{23} in the context of this data assuming that the true value of δ_{CP} in nature is -90° . Based on simple arguments on degeneracies in the probabilities we show that a clear signal of $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ coming from T2K neutrino (antineutrino) data is only possible if the true hierarchy is normal and the true octant is higher (lower). Thus if the T2K neutrino and antineutrino data are fitted separately and both give the true value of $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$, this will imply that nature has chosen the true hierarchy to be normal and $\theta_{23} \approx 45^\circ$. However we find that the combined fit of neutrino and antineutrino data will still point to true hierarchy as normal but the octant of θ_{23} will remain undetermined. We do our analysis for both, the current projected exposure $(7.8 \times 10^{21} \text{ pot})$ and planned extended exposure $(20 \times 10^{21} \text{ pot})$. We also present the CP discovery potential of T2K emphasizing on the role of antineutrinos. We find that one of the main contribution of the antineutrino data is to remove the degenerate solutions with the wrong octant. Thus the antineutrino run plays a more significant role for those hierarchy-octant combinations for which this degeneracy is present. If this degeneracy is absent, then only neutrino run gives a better result for fixed θ_{13} . However if we marginalize over θ_{13} then, sensitivity corresponding to mixed run can be better than pure neutrino run.

Keywords: Neutrino Oscillation; Long-baseline Experiments.

PACS numbers:14.60.Pq

1. Introduction

Neutrino physics is currently poised at an interesting juncture. Among the parameters of the neutrino mass matrix, oscillation experiments have measured the mass squared differences Δ_{21} , $|\Delta_{31}|$ $(\Delta_{ij} = m_i^2 - m_j^2)$ and the mixing angles $(\theta_{12}, \theta_{23}, \theta_{13})$ with considerable precision. The remaining unknown oscillation parameters are (i) the mass hierarchy: normal hierarchy (NH, $m_3 > m_2 > m_1$) or inverted hierarchy (IH, $m_3 < m_2 \approx m_1$), (ii) octant of θ_{23} : $\theta_{23} < 45^{\circ}$ (lower octant, LO) or $\theta_{23} > 45^{\circ}$ (higher octant, HO) and (iii) the CP-violating phase δ_{CP} . The global analysis of current oscillation data gives no statistically significant indication of the mass hierarchy. There is also no clear indication of the octant of θ_{23} . For inverted hierarchy higher octant is preferred while for normal hierarchy the lower octant is preferred.^{[1](#page-12-0)[–3](#page-13-0)} However these indications are still fragile. Recently, an indication for $\delta_{CP} \sim -90^{\circ}$ has been obtained by a combination of T2K and reactor data.^{[4](#page-13-1)} This hint comes from T2K running in the neutrino mode with 8% of the expected total flux of T2K $(7.8 \times 10^{21} \text{ protons on target (pot)})$.^{[4](#page-13-1)} The first results from $\nu_{\mu} - \nu_{e}$ search from NOvA have also shown a similar signal.^{[5](#page-13-2)} The best-fit value for δ_{CP} is obtained to be close to $-\pi/2$, but at 3σ 3σ C.L. the whole range of $[0, 2\pi]$ is still admitted.^{[1–](#page-12-0)3}

The relevant channel to determine the current unknowns in the neutrino oscillation sector is the $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ oscillation probability $P_{\mu e}$ as it is sensitive to all the three unmeasured parameters described above. However the lack of knowledge of δ_{CP} can give rise to three additional spurious solutions corresponding to wrong hierarchy-right octant, right hierarchy-wrong octant and wrong hierarchy-wrong octant in addition to the correct solution. Thus there is a 4-fold degeneracy which is a subset of the 8-fold degeneracy discussed in the literature $.6$ $.6$ Most of these degenerate solutions can occur for different values of δ_{CP} other than the true value making its determination difficult.^{[7](#page-13-4)} In this work, we study what the true value $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ will imply for neutrino mass hierarchy and octant of θ_{23} in the context of the T2K experiment taking its (i) full expected exposure $(7.8 \times 10^{21} \text{ pot per year and (ii)})$ also the proposed enhanced exposure $(20 \times 10^{21} \text{ pot per year}^8)$ $(20 \times 10^{21} \text{ pot per year}^8)$ $(20 \times 10^{21} \text{ pot per year}^8)$.

In this context we also elucidate on the role played by antineutrinos in improving CP sensitivity, and identify the cases for which antineutrino runs can be helpful. An early hint of these unknown parameters from the T2K first phase of runs will be useful from the point of view of planning neutrino facilities in future. In addition, knowledge of these parameters provides an important test for neutrino mass models and will therefore significantly influence our search for models of new physics beyond the Standard Model.

2. Degeneracies in $P_{\mu e}$

The T2K experiment uses the neutrino beam from J-PARC and has a baseline of 2[9](#page-13-6)5 km. The probability relevant for the measurement of CP violation is, $9-11$

$$
P_{\mu e} = 4s_{13}^2 s_{23}^2 \frac{\sin^2 (\hat{A} - 1)\Delta}{(\hat{A} - 1)^2} + 2\alpha s_{13} \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{23} \cos (\Delta + \delta_{CP}) \frac{\sin \hat{A}\Delta}{\hat{A}} \frac{\sin (\hat{A} - 1)\Delta}{\hat{A} - 1} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2) .
$$
 (1)

Here $s_{ij}(c_{ij}) \equiv \sin \theta_{ij}(\cos \theta_{ij}), \Delta = \Delta_{31}L/4E$ where L is the distance traveled and E is the energy of the neutrino. $\hat{A} = 2EV/\Delta_{31}$, where $V = \sqrt{2}G_F n_e$ is Wolfenstein's matter potential in terms of the electron density n_e . The lack of definite information about hierarchy, octant and δ_{CP} gives rise to degenerate solutions. For our purpose we consider the following degeneracies: (i) Hierarchy- δ_{CP} degeneracy: $P_{\mu e}(\delta_{CP}, \Delta) = P_{\mu e}(\delta_{CP}', -\Delta')$ i.e. the probability for NH can be mimicked by IH and a different δ_{CP} value giving rise to wrong hierarchy-wrong δ_{CP} solutions^{[6,](#page-13-3) [12](#page-13-8)[–14](#page-13-9)} and(ii) Octant- δ_{CP} degeneracy : $P(\theta_{23}^{LO}, \delta_{CP}) = P(\theta_{23}^{HO}, \delta_{CP}^{\prime})$, i.e. the probability in the right octant can be the same as that for wrong octant and a different δ_{CP} giving wrong octant-wrong δ_{CP} solutions.^{[15](#page-13-10)[–18](#page-13-11)} Hierarchy determination is facilitated if nature has chosen favourable combinations of hierarchy and $\delta_{CP} - \{\delta_{CP} \in [-180^\circ, 0^\circ]$ NH} and $\{\delta_{CP} \in [0^\circ, 180^\circ], \text{IH}\}\)$, which hold for both neutrinos and antineutrinos.^{[19](#page-13-12)} The situation is different for octant determination. For true LO, the degenerate solutions arise for $(\{\delta_{CP} \in [-180^\circ, 0^\circ] \text{ and for true HO they occur for } \{\delta_{CP} \in [0^\circ, 180^\circ] \}$ in the neutrino mode; for antineutrino mode the behaviour is opposite.^{[20–](#page-13-13)[22](#page-13-14)} This feature in the oscillation probability can be understood from the following simple arguments. For neutrinos the values of $P_{\mu e}$ are higher for NH and lower for IH, and it is opposite for antineutrinos. But there is also a flip in the relative sign of δ_{CP} between neutrinos and antineutrinos. That causes the hierarchy- δ_{CP} degeneracy to appear in the same region for both neutrinos and antineutrinos. On the other hand the value of $P_{\mu e}$ is lower for LO and higher for HO for neutrinos as well as antineutrinos. Therefore the octant- δ_{CP} degeneracy behaves differently with neutrinos and antineutrinos. This implies that combination of neutrino and antineutrino channel is helpful for removal of octant- δ_{CP} degeneracy but it does not help in removal of hierarchy- δ_{CP} degeneracy.

To understand the degeneracy at $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$, in Fig. [1](#page-3-0) we plot the appearance channel probability $P_{\mu e}$ vs energy for the T2K baseline. In the upper panels we show the probabilities for the neutrinos for $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ (solid black line) for four different combinations of true hierarchy and octant. For instance in the first panel the black solid line corresponds to NH-LO. Also plotted are the probability bands (obtained by varying over the octant of θ_{23} and CP phase) for the other three combinations. The figure shows that for $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ and NH-LO there is complete degeneracy in the probability with the other cases. Thus a clear signature of $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ like the one seen by T2K would be difficult to obtain. Similar comparisons are made for the

Fig. 1. Probability figures showing degeneracy for $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ at T2K baseline. Upper (middle) row is for neutrinos (antineutrinos). The bands are due to the variation of θ_{23} and δ_{CP} . The lower row is for $\theta_{23} = 45^{\circ}$ and the bands are due to the variation of δ_{CP} .

other three true hierarchy octant combination. A comparison across panels shows that only for NH-HO the probability for $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ is free of any degeneracy and can result in a clear signal at T2K. In all other cases there will be degenerate solutions. Thus from probability level discussion on degeneracies it is clear that a signal for $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ in the neutrino channel will imply the hierarchy-octant combination to be NH-HO. In the middle panels of Fig. [1](#page-3-0) we present similar figures for the antineutrino channel. From this figure it is clear that excepting true NH-LO in all other cases there will be degenerate solutions. Thus just from probability level discussion it is apparent that a signal for $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ in neutrino channel implies the octant to be higher whereas for antineutrinos it has to be lower. Thus if both

Fig. 2. Hint for hierarchy and octant assuming true value of $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ for an exposure of 8×10^{21} pot. The first (second) row is for pure neutrinos (antineutrinos). In the third row θ_{23} is 45◦ and in the fourth row, an equal neutrino+antineutrino run of T2K is assumed.

neutrino and antineutrino channel imply $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ then there is an ambiguity about the octant. Can this imply that the mixing angle θ_{23} is maximal ?. To check this in the lower panels of Fig. [1,](#page-3-0) we plot the probabilities for $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ assuming the true hierarchy to be NH and IH for both neutrinos and antineutrinos. The red shaded band shows the probability obtained by varying δ_{CP} for the opposite hierarchy. In this case we see that for NH there is no degeneracy and the true curve is separated from the red shaded curve for both neutrinos and antineutrinos. Note that the above discussion is at the probability level. In the actual scenario, sensitivity of an experiment depends upon the event rates and associated statistical and systematic errors. In the next section we present a χ^2 level representation of the above results including these.

3. Results

We simulate the T2K experiment using the GLoBES package^{[23,](#page-13-15) [24](#page-13-16)} along with its auxiliary files.^{[25](#page-13-17)[–31](#page-13-18)} We consider T2K running a total of $7.8(20) \times 10^{21}$ pot. We will use the notation $(a + b)$ to denote T2K runtime throughout the paper. The number a and b denotes exposure of T2K in units of 10^{21} pot in neutrino and antineutrino mode respectively. Event rates for both appearance and disappearance channels have been simulated for various combinations of hierarchy (NH or IH) and octant (LO or HO). Here LO corresponds to $\theta_{23} = 42^\circ$ and HO corresponds to $\theta_{23} = 48^\circ$, while NH/IH correspond to $\Delta_{31} = \pm 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2$. The true value of δ_{CP} in nature is taken to be [−]90◦ unless specified otherwise. Note that the issue of the best-fit value and octant of θ_{23} is an intriguing one. The T2K data gives the best-fit θ_{23} as $45^{\circ 32}$ $45^{\circ 32}$ $45^{\circ 32}$ while NO ν A data gives two statistically indistinguishable best-fit points one in the LO and the other in HO for both hierarchies.^{[33](#page-13-20)} The allowed range of θ_{23} from the latest global analysis is $38° - 53°^{34}$ $38° - 53°^{34}$ $38° - 53°^{34}$ for any hierarchy. Considering all these aspects, in our analysis we take three representative values of $\theta_{23} - 42^\circ$ (LO), 48◦ (HO) and 45◦ (maximal mixing). It is to be noted that the octant sensitvity will be more for θ_{23} further away from the maximal value. The true values and marginalization ranges of the oscillation parameters used in our analysis are listed in Table [1.](#page-5-0)

Table 1. Table summarizing the values and ranges of oscillation parameters used for the sensitivity study.

Parameter	True value	Marginalization range
θ_{12}	33°	fixed
$\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$	0.1	$0.085 - 0.115$
	42° (LO)	
θ_{23}	45° (maximal)	$38^{\circ} - 52^{\circ}$
	48° (HO)	
Δ_{21}	7.5×10^{-5} eV ²	fixed
$ \Delta_{31} $	2.4×10^{-3} eV ²	$(2.19-2.61)\times10^{-5}$ eV ²
δ_{CP}	as specified	$-180^{\circ} - +180^{\circ}$

Fig. 3. δ_{CP} sensitivity of T2K for equal neutrino+antineutrino run for maximal value of θ_{23} for an exposure of 7.8×10^{21} pot.

3.1. Hint for hierarchy and octant

3.1.1. Results for 7.8×10^{21} pot

In Fig. [2,](#page-4-0) we have plotted the sensitivity of T2K to measure δ_{CP} . The first row corresponds to pure neutrino run of T2K. In the first panel of first row, NH-LO is taken as the true combination of hierarchy and octant. The various curves show fits to δ_{CP} for all of the four test combinations, of which one is correct and the other three wrong. Expectedly, the correct combination (NH-LO) gives a best-fit (minimum χ^2) at the true value of $\delta_{CP} = -90^\circ$. With NH-HO as the test combination, we get a best-fit around $180°$ while with IH-HO as the test combination, we have a best-fit close to -90° . The combination IH-LO is seen to be excluded with minimum $\chi^2 \approx 2.5$. Therefore, on marginalizing over the hierarchy and octant to find the overall best-fit value of δ_{CP} , one would see allowed values of δ_{CP} around $-90°$, and 180° . In other words, there would not be a strong indication for any single value of δ_{CP} from the data. Similar conclusions can be drawn if the true combinations in nature are IH-LO and IH-HO (third and fourth panel). The true combination NH-HO is the only one, for which one can see an unambiguous signal at -90° , as the second panel shows. This is easy to explain, since neutrino probabilities for NH are higher than for IH, and those for HO are higher than those for LO. Therefore, it is not possible for any other combination of parameters to match the high event rates of NH-HO and create a degeneracy when $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$. Thus, a hint for $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ would also signify normal mass hierarchy and higher octant of θ_{23} by elimination of the other options.

Now let us come to the second row of Fig. [2](#page-4-0) which corresponds to the pure antineutrino run of T2K. Following the same arguments made above we can see that except NH-LO (first panel), it is not possible measure a single value of δ_{CP} for the

other true combinations hierarchy and octant. This is because those combinations h[a](#page-7-0)ve other best-fit points apart from $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ ^a. This is also easy to understand from the probability arguments. For antineutrinos -90° -NH-LO correspond to lowest value in the probability spectrum and thus free from any degeneracy. This can be seen from Fig. [1.](#page-3-0)

From the above discussion we understand that if nature chooses $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ as the true value of δ_{CP} then measuring it via fitting the neutrino data will imply the true combination of hierarchy and octant as NH-HO and if it is measured via fitting the antineutrino data then it will be NH-LO. Thus there is an inconsistency regarding the octant of θ_{23} except if nature has chosen θ_{23} to be maximal. To see this, in the third row of Fig. [2](#page-4-0) we have plotted the CP sensitivity of T2K assuming $\theta_{23} = 45^{\circ}$. From the figure we can see that for both pure neutrino and pure antineutrino cases only in the case of true NH it is possible to have an unambiguous determination of $\delta_{CP} = -90$ (first and third panel) whereas true IH gives multiple best-fit values of δ_{CP} apart from $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$.

Now let us consider the implications for $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ when the neutrino and antineutrino data are added together. In the fourth row of Fig. [2,](#page-4-0) we have plotted the CP sensitivity taking the $(4+4)$ configuration of T2K. If we compare the fourth row with the first row, then this demonstrates the actual role of antineutrinos in improving the CP sensitivity of T2K. The first panel for true NH-LO shows that with equal neutrino-antineutrino data, the degenerate solutions with wrong octant – NH-HO and IH-HO are excluded with $\chi^2 > 2$. Similarly for true IH-LO (third panel) the degenerate solutions corresponding to IH-HO get disfavoured with the antineutrino run. But since the antineutrinos do not help in solving hierarchy degeneracy, the wrong hierarchy solutions corresponding to NH-LO are still allowed. For true IH-HO case (last panel) the degenerate solutions occurred for NH-HO and antineutrino run does not help in solving these. Rather the precision for $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$, i.e. the statistical significance with which other δ_{CP} values can be disfavoured, reduces as compared to the full neutrino run because of less statistics. The plot in the second panel is for true NH-HO. Since there is no degeneracy, in this case also the CP sensitivity for $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ becomes worse with antineutrino run. From these figures we also see that for both NH-LO and NH-HO, it is possible to have a clear hint of $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$. Thus for a combined neutrino and antineutrino analysis, the true hierarchy turns out to be normal but the octant remains undetermined.

For the sake of completeness, in Fig. [3,](#page-6-0) we have plotted the CP sensitivity of T2K for the (4+4) configuration but taking $\theta_{23} = 45^{\circ}$. This figure also shows that, similar to the pure neutrino and pure antineutrino fit, the combined neutrino-antineutrino will also imply the true hierarchy to be NH for maximal value of θ_{23} if nature has

^aIt is worth emphasizing here that NH-LO is favoured not by fitting the hierarchy and octant as shown in the first panel alone (since the other cases are disfavoured at very low statistical confidence), but by recognizing that in all other true cases (i.e. comparing all four panels) one would not get the unambiguous signal for $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ that the experiments have already seen.

Fig. 4. Hint for hierarchy and octant assuming true value of $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ for an exposure of 20×10^{21} pot. The first (second) row is for pure neutrinos (antineutrinos). In the third row θ_{23} is 45◦ and in the fourth row, an equal neutrino+antineutrino run of T2K is assumed.

Fig. 5. δ_{CP} sensitivity of T2K for equal neutrino+antineutrino run for maximal value of θ_{23} for an exposure of 20×10^{21} pot.

chosen the true value of δ_{CP} as -90° .

Next we discuss how far the conclusions can be strengthened using enhanced exposure of 20×10^{21} pot.

3.1.2. Results for 20×10^{21} pot

In Figs. [4](#page-8-0) and [5,](#page-9-0) we plot the same as that of Fig. [2](#page-4-0) and [3](#page-6-0) but for an enhanced exposure of 20×10^{21} pot which is the proposed exposure for the T2K II project. From these figures we notice that though the nature of the curves are similar as that of earlier, but the significance with which the true hierarchy and true octant can be established from the measurement of $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ is much higher. The 2nd panel of the first row shows that for only neutrino runs for NH-HO the other wrong solutions can be disfavoured with $\Delta \chi^2 > 5$ (as compared to $\Delta \chi^2 \approx 3$ in the previous section). Whereas for only antineutrino run for true NH-LO, the other solutions are disfavoured with $\Delta \chi^2 \approx 2.5$ which is an improvement over the previous value of $\Delta \chi^2 \approx 1$ (first panel of 2nd row). In all other cases, apart from these two, there are spurious minimas for other values of δ_{CP} and thus a signal at $-90°$ will not be possible. Thus with the enhanced statistics there is an increased contradiction between the nature of the octant when neutrino and antineutrino data are fitted separately. Now we ask the question what happens if $\theta_{23} = 45^{\circ}$? This is addressed in the third row from where we see that for both neutrinos and antineutrinos a clear hint at $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ can come for NH. However the significance with which this can be established is clearly more for neutrino run owing to higher statistics. IH can be disfavoured with a significance of $\chi^2 \approx 5(2.5)$ for pure neutrino (antineutrino) run. This can be seen from the first and third panel of third row in Fig. [4.](#page-8-0) The corresponding numbers for the earlier case is 2.5 and 1 respectively. On the other

Fig. 6. δ_{CP} discovery potential of T2K for various combinations of neutrino+antineutrino runs (in units of $\times 10^{21}$ pot.)

Fig. 7. δ_{CP} discovery potential of T2K for various combinations of neutrino+antineutrino runs for NH-HO and IH-LO (in units of $\times 10^{21}$ pot). θ_{13} is not being marginalized.

hand if the neutrino and antineutrino data are fitted together, then although NH is preferred for both the octants, the wrong solutions are excluded with a higher significance. Thus for equal neutrino-antineutrino run just from hint of $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ the nature of octant cannot be established even with increased statistics.

Finally from Fig. [5](#page-9-0) we can understand that if true value of θ_{23} is 45[°] and true value of $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$, then for true NH a combined neutrino and antineutrino data of T2K will exclude IH at more than 2σ C.L. (left panel). Whereas with the present exposure, IH can be ruled out only at 90% C.L.

3.2. Discovery of CP violation

In this section we discuss the role of antineutrinos in discovering CP violation which implies differentiating between a true value of δ_{CP} from the CP conserving values 0°

or 180[°]. We present the results for different combinations of neutrino+antineutrino exposures $-8+0$, $4+4$, $20+0$ and $10+10$ in units of 10^{21} pot^{[b](#page-11-0)}. For this purpose the simulated event spectrum is generated for true values of δ_{CP} spanning the range [-180°, 180°). This is compared with the test event spectrum, with δ_{CP} = 0 ◦ or 180◦ . In Fig. [6,](#page-10-0) we plot the CPV discovery potential of T2K for different combinations of true hierarchy and octant – NH-LO, NH-HO, IH-LO and IH-HO. In the test events, the hierarchy and octant are marginalized over. From the first panel, we observe that for true NH-LO no hint is possible at $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ with only neutrino mode. This is because the χ^2_{min} occurs for test $\delta_{CP} = 0^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}$ for the test NH-HO case as we have already seen in the top left panel of Fig. [2.](#page-4-0) Thus $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ cannot be distinguished from $\delta_{CP} = 0^{\circ}$, 180° since the minima occurs at these values for the right hierarchy-wrong octant solutions. Adding antineutrinos to the neutrino data resolves the wrong octant solutions and a better sensitivity is obtained.[35](#page-14-1) In the case of IH-LO and IH-HO there are degenerate solutions for $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ corresponding to wrong hierarchy. Since these correspond to wrong hierarchy solutions adding antineutrino data is not of much help. Thus for IH the CP discovery potential for $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ is very low because of degeneracies. Note that for true IH the CP discovery potential is high for $\delta_{CP} = +90^{\circ}$. For true NH-HO, there are no degeneracies for true $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ and the 8+0 case gives a slightly better CP discovery potential than $4+4$. However for the higher exposure $10+10$ gives a higher sensitivity as compared to 20+0 for $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$. We find that this happens because of θ_{13} marginalization. If we repeat the same exercise for fixed θ_{13} then the only neutrino runs give a much better result as compared to equal neutrino-antineutrino run. This can be seen from figure [7.](#page-10-1)

4. Conclusions

In this paper we find the implications for neutrino mass hierarchy and octant assuming the true value of δ_{CP} chosen by nature is -90° . This is motivated by T2K data reporting a hint for $\delta_{CP} \sim -90^{\circ}$ by running only in the neutrino mode following the early $NQ\nu A$ neutrino run giving similar indications. For this study we have focused on the T2K experiment. Our argument is based on the occurrence of degenerate solutions in the $P_{\mu e}$ probability. For $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ there is degeneracy in in both $P_{\mu e}$ and $P_{\overline{\mu}e}$ for IH. On the other hand for NH there is degeneracy in the neutrino (antineutrino) probability for LO(HO). Thus a clear signal at $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ will imply the hierarchy to be NH. Now if both neutrino and antineutrino data point

^b Note that the study of CPV discovery potential of T2K II project has been recently carried out in Ref.^{[8](#page-13-5)} which compared the sensitivity between the current total exposure $(7.8 \times 10^{21} \text{ pot})$ and the proposed extended exposure $(20 \times 10^{21} \text{ pot})$ with an improved statistics and systematics for different values of θ_{23} . In our study, in the extended run we have used the same configuration as that of T2K and focused on the role of antineutrinos. However since we have not incorporated the new systematics our results are somewhat worse than that in Ref.[8](#page-13-5) We have checked for a representative case that we get a a 20% improvement in our results if we incorporate the new specifications.

separately towards $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ then that gives rise to a conflicting situation for the octant since neutrino data should prefer LO whereas antineutrinos data would prefer HO. On the other hand for $\theta_{23} = 45^{\circ}$ there is no such conflict and both neutrino data and antineutrino probabilities can give a clear solution if $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$. We do analysis of T2K data assuming two exposures (i) 8×10^{21} pot (ii) 20×10^{21} pot and generate simulated data of the experiment assuming the true $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$. We fit this data to δ_{CP} and find that a clear minima at the true value comes only for normal hierarchy and θ_{23} in the higher octant if T2K runs in pure neutrino mode. For the other hierarchy-octant combinations, because of parameter degeneracies there are multiple minima which forbid a clear hint for $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$. Next we assume T2K running in antineutrino mode and repeat the above exercise. In this case we find that an unambiguous signal for $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ is possible only for NH and LO. For the other hierarchy octant combination again there are more than one minima due to degeneracies. Thus if both neutrino and antineutrino data of T2K gives an indication of $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ then in one case the preferred octant seems to be HO and in the other case it is LO. Thus a hint of $\delta_{CP} = -90^{\circ}$ in both channels would imply θ_{23} to be maximal. It is interesting to note in this context that the best-fit θ_{23} is coming out as maximal in the T2K data.^{[32](#page-13-19)} The higher exposure of T2K supports the above conclusions with a greater significance. For this work we have done the analysis with only simulated T2K data. However we checked that similar conclusions hold true also for $NO\nu A$.

We have also examined the role of antineutrino data in the δ_{CP} sensitivity in T2K and find that one of the main contribution of this is to remove the octant degeneracy. If this degeneracy is not present then the decrease in statistics associated with antineutrino run worsens the CP sensitivity for fixed θ_{13} and only neutrino run gives the better result. However if we marginalize over θ_{13} then the interplay between the neutrino, antineutrino and prior contributions reduces the difference in the results between pure neutrino runs and equal neutrino-antineutrino run and we find that for NH-HO, $10+10$ is better than $20+0$. The results underscore the importance of optimizing antineutrino run, and can significantly impact the planning of neutrino facilities in future.

Acknowledgements

We thank S. Uma Sankar for useful comments and discussions. The work of MG is partly supported by the "Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research of the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan", under Grant No. 25105009.

References

- 1. M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni and T. Schwetz, Nucl. Phys. B 908, 199 (2016) [\[arXiv:1512.06856](http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.06856) [hep-ph]].
- 2. F. Capozzi, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, D. Montanino and A. Palazzo, Nucl. Phys. B 908, 218 (2016) [\[arXiv:1601.07777](http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.07777) [hep-ph]].
- 14 Monojit Ghosh, Srubabati Goswami and Sushant K. Raut
- 3. D. V. Forero, M. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D 90, no. 9, 093006 (2014) [\[arXiv:1405.7540](http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.7540) [hep-ph]].
- 4. K. Abe et al. (T2K Collaboration), Phys.Rev.Lett. 112, 061802 (2014), [arXiv:1311.4750.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.4750)
- 5. P. Adamson et al. [NOvA Collaboration], [arXiv:1601.05022](http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.05022) [hep-ex].
- 6. V. Barger, D. Marfatia, and K. Whisnant, Phys.Rev. D65, 073023 (2002), [hep-ph/0112119.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0112119)
- 7. M. Ghosh, P. Ghoshal, S. Goswami, N. Nath and S. K. Raut, Phys. Rev. D 93, no. 1, 013013 (2016) [\[arXiv:1504.06283](http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.06283) [hep-ph]].
- 8. K. Abe et al., [arXiv:1609.04111](http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.04111) [hep-ex].
- 9. A. Cervera, A. Donini, M. B. Gavela, J. J. Gomez Cadenas, P. Hernandez, et al., Phys. B579, 17 (2000), [hep-ph/0002108;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0002108)
- 10. E. K. Akhmedov, R. Johansson, M. Lindner, T. Ohlsson, and T. Schwetz, JHEP 0404, 078 (2004), [hep-ph/0402175;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0402175)
- 11. M. Freund, Phys. Rev. D64, 053003 (2001), [hep-ph/0103300.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0103300)
- 12. H. Minakata and H. Nunokawa, JHEP 10, 001 (2001), [hep-ph/0108085;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0108085)
- 13. J. Burguet-Castell, M. Gavela, J. Gomez-Cadenas, P. Hernandez and O. Mena, Nucl. Phys. B646, 301 (2002), [hep-ph/0207080;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0207080)
- 14. H. Minakata, H. Nunokawa and S. J. Parke, Phys. Rev. D66, 093012 (2002), [hep-ph/0208163.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0208163)
- 15. G. L. Fogli and E. Lisi, Phys. Rev. D 54, 3667 (1996) [\[hep-ph/9604415\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9604415).
- 16. A. Chatterjee, P. Ghoshal, S. Goswami and S. K. Raut, JHEP 1306, 010 (2013), [arXiv:1302.1370;](http://arxiv.org/abs/1302.1370)
- 17. M. Ghosh, P. Ghoshal, S. Goswami and S. K. Raut, Nucl. Phys. B884, 274 (2014), [arXiv:1401.7243;](http://arxiv.org/abs/1401.7243)
- 18. P. Coloma, H. Minakata and S. J. Parke, [arXiv:1406.2551](http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.2551) [hep-ph].
- 19. S. Prakash, S. K. Raut, and S. U. Sankar, Phys.Rev. D86, 033012 (2012), [arXiv:1201.6485.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1201.6485)
- 20. S. K. Agarwalla, S. Prakash and S. U. Sankar, JHEP 1307, 131 (2013) [\[arXiv:1301.2574](http://arxiv.org/abs/1301.2574) [hep-ph]];
- 21. P. Machado, H. Minakata, H. Nunokawa and R. Z. Funchal, JHEP 1405, 109 (2014), [arXiv:1307.3248;](http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.3248)
- 22. S. Prakash, U. Rahaman and S. U. Sankar, JHEP 1407 (2014) 070 [\[arXiv:1306.4125](http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.4125) [hep-ph]].
- 23. P. Huber, M. Lindner, and W. Winter, Comput.Phys.Commun. 167, 195 (2005), [hep-ph/0407333;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0407333)
- 24. P. Huber, J. Kopp, M. Lindner, M. Rolinec, and W. Winter, Comput.Phys.Commun. 177, 432 (2007), [hep-ph/0701187.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0701187)
- 25. M. D. Messier, Ph.D. thesis (1999);
- 26. E. Paschos and J. Yu, Phys.Rev. D65, 033002 (2002), [hep-ph/0107261;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0107261)
- 27. Y. Itow et al. (T2K Collaboration), pp. 239-248 (2001), [hep-ex/0106019;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/0106019)
- 28. M. Ishitsuka, T. Kajita, H. Minakata, and H. Nunokawa, Phys.Rev. D72, 033003 (2005), [hep-ph/0504026;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0504026)
- 29. P. Huber, M. Lindner, and W. Winter, Nucl.Phys. B645, 3 (2002), [hep-ph/0204352;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0204352)
- 30. M. Fechner, Ph.D. thesis (2006);
- 31. I. Kato (T2K Collaboration), J.Phys.Conf.Ser. 136, 022018 (2008).
- 32. K. Abe et al. [T2K Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 91, no. 7, 072010 (2015) [\[arXiv:1502.01550](http://arxiv.org/abs/1502.01550) [hep-ex]].
- 33. P. Adamson et al. [NOvA Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 93, no. 5, 051104 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.051104 [\[arXiv:1601.05037](http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.05037) [hep-ex]].

34. http://www.nu-fit.org/

35. M. Ghosh, Phys. Rev. D 93, no. 7, 073003 (2016) [\[arXiv:1512.02226](http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.02226) [hep-ph]].