# Singularly perturbed Neumann problem for fractional Schrödinger equations

Guoyuan Chen

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with a Neumann type problem for singularly perturbed fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equations with subcritical exponent. For some smooth bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , our boundary condition is given by

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega}.$$

We establish existence of nonnegative small energy solutions, and also investigate the integrability of the solutions on  $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ .

## 1. Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate a singularly perturbed Neumann type problem for fractional Schrödinger equations. Precisely, given a smooth bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , we consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^{s}u + u = |u|^{p-1}u & \text{in }\Omega,\\ \mathcal{N}_{s}u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbf{R}^{n} \setminus \bar{\Omega}. \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

Here  $\varepsilon > 0, s \in (0, 1), n \ge 2, p \in (1, \frac{n+2s}{n-2s})$ , and

$$\mathcal{N}_s u(x) = C_{n,s} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega},$$
(1.2)

where  $C_{n,s}$  is the normalization constant in the definition of fractional Laplacian

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = C_{n,s} \mathbf{P.V.} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy$$

This type of boundary problem for fractional Laplacian was introduced by Dipierro, Ros-Oton and Valdinoci in [20]. It corresponds to a jump process as follows: If a particle has gone to  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ , then it may come back to any point  $y \in \Omega$ , the probability of jumping from x to y being proportional to  $|x - y|^{-n-2s}$ . From mathematical point of

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J50; 35J67; 45G05.

Key words and phrases. Neumann problem; nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations; singular perturbation; fractional Laplacian.

view, such kind of boundary conditions generalize the classical Neumann conditions for elliptic (or parabolic) differential equations. That is, if  $s \to 1$ , then  $\mathcal{N}_s u = 0$  becomes the classical Neumann condition. For more details, see [20]. Also in [21, 22], Du-Gunzburger-Lehoucq-Zhou introduced volume constraints for a general class of nonlocal diffusion problems on bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  via a nonlocal vector calculus. If we rewrite (1.2) by a nonlocal vector calculus for fractional Laplacian, then  $\mathcal{N}_s u = 0$  (with some modifications) can be considered as a special case of the volume constraints defined by [21, 22].

Other types of Neumann problems for fractional Laplacian (or other nonlocal operators) were investigated in many works [6, 13, 4, 5, 14, 15, 24]. All these conditions also have probabilistic interpretations and recover the classical Neumann problem as a limit case. A comparison between these models and ours can be found in [20, Section 7].

The singularly perturbed Neumann problem for classical nonlinear Schrödinger equations with subcritical exponent is as follows:

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon^2 \Delta u + u = u^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_{\nu} u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

where  $1 for <math>n \ge 3$  and p > 1 for n = 2, and  $\nu$  is the unit outward normal on  $\partial\Omega$ . There is a great deal of works on this problem. We only restrict ourselves to cite a few papers, referring to the bibliography for further references. The pioneer works by Lin, Ni and Tagaki [32, 29, 33, 34] proved the existence of single-peak spike layer solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  to (1.3). After that many interesting results concerning multi-peak spike-layer solutions to (1.3) have been obtained ([25, 26, 27]). Note that a spike-layer solution has its energy or mass concentrating near isolated points (a zero-dimensional set) in  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Similarly, there exist solutions to (1.3) with k-dimensional  $(1 \le k \le n - 1)$  concentration set ([30, 31, 2, 3, 18]). We refer to [35] for more other results and references. Moreover, concentrating standing waves with a critical frequency for Schrödinger equations were obtained by [7, 8].

We should also mention that concentration phenomenon for fractional Schrödinger equations has been extensively studied recently. On the total space  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , the existence and multiplicity of spike layer solutions under various conditions were obtained by [17, 12, 11, 23]. On a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , singularly perturbed Dirichlet problem was investigated by [16]. Moreover, under classical Neumann condition, an existence result of spike solutions to Schrödinger equations involving half Laplacian (see Equation (1.7) below) was proved by [38].

We are now in a position to formulate our main results and give the idea of the proofs. Our problem (1.1) has a variational structure. More precisely, let

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}} = \frac{C_{n,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} uv dx, \qquad (1.4)$$

where  $\Omega^c := \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ . Then define the space

 $H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega} = \{ u : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R} \text{ measurable and } \langle u, u \rangle_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}} < \infty \}.$ 

It is a Hilbert space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}} = \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . It follows that weak solutions to the problem (1.1) are critical points of the following functional

$$I_{\varepsilon}(u) = \frac{C_{n,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{4} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus (\Omega^{c})^{2}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} dx - \frac{1}{p + 1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p + 1} dx. \quad (1.5)$$

We obtain the following existence result.

THEOREM 1.1. If  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small, then there exists a nonnegative weak solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  to (1.1). Moreover,  $u_{\varepsilon}$  satisfies

$$0 < I_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) \le C_1 \varepsilon^n.$$

Consequently,  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is a nonconstant solution, and,

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}} \le C_2 \varepsilon^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$
(1.6)

Here  $C_1, C_2$  are two positive constants depending only on  $n, s, p, \Omega$ .

REMARK 1.2. The definition of weak solution is given by integrals (see Definition 2.1 below). Using nonlocal integration by parts formulas, we see that this definition is similar to the classical case (see Remark 2.5 below).

REMARK 1.3. Such kind of existence results for classical Neumann problem (1.3) was obtained by Lin, Ni and Takagi [29]. Recently, in [38], Stinga and Volzone recovered the results (including concentration and regularity issues) in [29] for a fractional semilinear Neumann problem as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}u + u = u^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_{\nu}u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.7)

where  $p \in (1, \frac{n+1}{n-1})$ ,  $\nu$  is the outer unit normal to  $\partial\Omega$ . Note that both of the boundary conditions in (1.3) and (1.7) are classical.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on critical point theory. More precisely, the functional  $I_{\varepsilon}$  has mountain pass structure. The key point is to construct an appropriate function  $\phi \in H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$  such that, for some  $t_0 > 0$ , it holds that  $I_{\varepsilon}(t_0\phi) \leq 0$  and  $0 < \sup_{t \in [0,t_0]} I_{\varepsilon}(t\phi) \leq C\varepsilon^n$  (*C* is a constant depending on  $n, s, \Omega$ ). As compared with the classical case, verifying the necessary properties of  $\phi$  becomes more involved because of the fractional Laplacian. See Section 3 below.

Moreover, we investigate the integrability properties of the solutions to problem (1.1). We have the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.4. Let  $u \in H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$ . Then it holds that (1)  $u \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,

(2) if 
$$\mathcal{N}_s(u) = 0$$
, then

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)|}{1+|x|^{n+2s}} dx < +\infty.$$
(1.8)

REMARK 1.5. The second conclusion of this theorem implies that the nonnegative weak solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  obtained in Theorem 1.1 is  $L_s$  integrable in the sense of Silvestre [37]. We should note that if  $\mathcal{N}_s(u) = 0$ , then  $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} u(x) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u(x) dx$  ([20, Proposition 3.13]). Therefore, in general, we can not expect to prove that  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is integrable on the total space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In particular,  $u_{\varepsilon}$  does not belong to the *s*-th order Sobolev space  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Hence it is too weak to obtain more regularity properties of  $u_{\varepsilon}$  (see for example [37, 9, 28]).

To prove Theorem 1.4, we need a detailed analysis of some singular integrals of the following form

$$u[A,B] := \int_{A} \int_{B} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy dx,$$

where A and B are two measurable set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Such kind of integral is also important in nonlocal minimal surfaces (see e.g. [10, 36]). Since  $u \in H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$ ,  $u(\Omega, \Omega)$  and  $u(\Omega, \Omega^c)$  are finite. Then we can prove the local integrability of u by choosing appropriate balls in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . See Section 4 below.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (No. 11401521).

### 2. Variational structure

The Neumann problem (1.1) is variational. Define the space

 $H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega} = \{ u : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R} \text{ measurable and } \|u\|_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}} < \infty \}.$ 

Here  $\|\cdot\|_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}}$  is given by (1.4). We should note that constant functions  $v(x) \equiv c$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are contained in  $H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$ .

REMARK 2.1. Let  $H^{s}(\Omega)$  be the s-th Sobolev space in  $\Omega$  with the norm given by

$$\|h\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2} = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|h(x) - h(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} h^{2} dx.$$

(See, for example, [1], [19].) Therefore, if  $u \in H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$ , then  $u|_{\Omega}$  is in  $H^s(\Omega)$ . We define  $H^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$  to be the usual s-th Sobolev space in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with the norm

$$\|h\|_{H^{s}(\mathbf{R}^{n})}^{2} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \frac{|h(x) - h(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dx dy + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} h^{2} dx.$$

From (1.4), it holds that  $H^s(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$ .

LEMMA 2.2.  $H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$  is a Hilbert space with inner product given by (1.4).

PROOF. This lemma is the case g = 0 of Proposition 3.1 in [20]. We omit details of the proof here.

REMARK 2.3. From Remark 2.1 and Sobolev embedding  $H^s(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$   $(q \in (1, \frac{2n}{n-2s}))$ , we have that, for all  $u \in H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx < +\infty.$$

DEFINITION 2.4. We say that  $u \in H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$  is a weak solution to (1.1), if, for all  $v \in H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$ , it holds that

$$\frac{C_{n,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} uv dx - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-1} uv dx = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

REMARK 2.5. A direct computation yields that for all  $u, v \in C^2 \cap H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dx dy = \int_{\Omega} v(-\Delta)^s u dx + \int_{\Omega^c} v \mathcal{N}_s u dx.$$
(2.2)

This is corresponding to the classical Green's first identity. Thus the definition of weak solution is the same as the classical case. That is, from (2.2), (2.1) can formally become

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \varepsilon^{2s} (-\Delta)^{s} u + u - |u|^{p-1} u \right) v dx + \varepsilon^{2s} \int_{\Omega^{c}} v \mathcal{N}_{s} u dx = 0$$

PROPOSITION 2.6. Any critical point of  $I_{\varepsilon}$  (see (1.5)) is a weak solution of problem (1.1).

**PROOF.** For any  $v \in H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$ , we have that

$$= \frac{I_{\varepsilon}(u+tv)}{4} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{|(u+tv)(x) - (u+tv)(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dxdy$$
$$+ \int_{\Omega} (u+tv)^2 dx - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} |u+tv|^{p+1} dx$$
$$= I_{\varepsilon}(u)$$

$$+t\left(C_{n,s}\varepsilon^{2s}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}\setminus(\Omega^{c})^{2}}\frac{(u(x)-u(y))(v(x)-v(y))}{|x-y|^{n+2s}}dxdy+\int_{\Omega}uvdx-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-1}uvdx\right)\\+t^{2}\left(\frac{C_{n,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{4}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}\setminus(\Omega^{c})^{2}}\frac{|v(x)-v(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+2s}}dxdy+\int_{\Omega}v^{2}dx+p\int_{\Omega}|u+\theta_{1}tv|^{p-1}v^{2}dx\right),$$

where  $\theta_1 \in (0, 1)$ . Thus

$$I_{\varepsilon}'(u)v = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{I_{\varepsilon}(u+tv) - I_{\varepsilon}(u)}{t}$$
  
$$= C_{n,s}\varepsilon^{2s} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus (\Omega^{c})^{2}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dxdy + \int_{\Omega} uvdx - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-1}uvdx$$
  
$$= \langle u, v \rangle_{H_{\varepsilon,\Omega}^{s}} - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-1}uvdx.$$
(2.3)

Therefore, if u is a critical point of  $I_{\varepsilon}$ , then u is a weak solution to (1.1).

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

LEMMA 3.1.  $I_{\varepsilon}$  satisfies Palais-Smale condition.

PROOF. Let  $\{u_m\} \subset H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$  be a Palais-Smale sequence such that  $|I_{\varepsilon}(u_m)| \leq d$ , for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , is bounded and  $I'_{\varepsilon}(u_m) \to 0$ . Then

$$d \ge \left|\frac{1}{2} \|u_m\|_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}}^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} |u_m|^{p+1} dx\right|.$$
(3.1)

Since  $I'_{\varepsilon}(u_m) \to 0$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an  $M = M(\epsilon)$  such that for all  $m \ge M$ ,

$$= \left| \left( \frac{C_{n,s} \varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{(u_m(x) - u_m(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} u_m v dx \right) - \int_{\Omega} |u_m|^{p - 1} u_m v dx \right|$$

$$= \left| \langle u_m, v \rangle_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}} - \int_{\Omega} |u_m|^{p - 1} u_m v dx \right| \le \epsilon ||v||_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}},$$

$$(3.2)$$

for all  $v \in H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$ . If we choose  $\epsilon = 1, v = u_m$ , then (3.2) yields

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} |u_m|^{p+1} dx \right| \le \|u_m\|_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}}^2 + \|u_m\|_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}}.$$
(3.3)

By (3.3) and (3.1), we have that

$$d \ge \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \|u_m\|_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}}^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} \|u_m\|_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}}.$$

Therefore,  $\{u_m\}$  is bounded in  $H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$ . Up to a subsequence, we assume that  $u_m \rightharpoonup u$  in  $H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$ . By Remark 2.1 and Sobolev embedding,  $u_m \rightarrow u$  in  $L^{p+1}(\Omega)$ . So  $|u_m|^{p-1}u_m \rightarrow |u|^{p-1}u$  in  $L^{(p+1)/p}(\Omega)$ . Equation (2.3) yields that

$$||u_m - u||^2_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}} = \langle I'_{\varepsilon}(u_m) - I'_{\varepsilon}(u), u_m - u \rangle_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}} + \int_{\Omega} (|u_m|^{p-1}u_m - |u|^{p-1}u)(u_m - u)$$

Since  $\{u_m\}$  is bounded,  $u_m \rightharpoonup u$  in  $H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$  and  $I'_{\varepsilon}(u_m) \rightarrow 0$ , we have that

$$\langle I_{\varepsilon}'(u_m) - I_{\varepsilon}'(u), u_m - u \rangle_{H_{\varepsilon,\Omega}^s} \to 0, \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

By Hölder inequality, it holds that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \left( u_m |^{p-1} u_m - |u|^{p-1} u \right) (u_m - u) \right|$$
  

$$\leq \left\| |u_m|^{p-1} u_m - |u|^{p-1} u \right\|_{L^{(p+1)/p}(\Omega)} \|u_m - u\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)} \to 0,$$

as  $m \to \infty$ . Therefore, we have that  $||u_m - u||_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}} \to 0$  as  $m \to \infty$ . It completes the proof.

LEMMA 3.2. There exists a  $\rho > 0$  such that  $I_{\varepsilon}(u) > 0$  if  $0 < ||u||_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}} < \rho$  and  $I_{\varepsilon}(u) \geq \beta > 0$  if  $||u||_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}} = \rho$ .

**PROOF.** By Remark 2.1 and Sobolev embedding,

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx \le ||u||_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}}^{p+1}.$$

Since p > 1, the conclusion of this lemma holds.

LEMMA 3.3. For sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist a nonconstant function  $\phi \in H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$ and positive constants  $t_0$  such that  $I_{\varepsilon}(t_0\phi) = 0$  and  $I_{\varepsilon}(t\phi) \leq C\varepsilon^n$  if  $t \in [0, t_0]$ . Here C is a constant depending on  $n, s, \Omega$ .

To prove this lemma, we construct a special function. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $0 \in \Omega$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  is small enough so that  $B_{2\varepsilon} \subset \Omega$ . Define

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{-n} (1 - \varepsilon^{-1} |x|) & \text{if } |x| < \varepsilon, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \ge \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 3.4. For sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\phi \in H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$ . Precisely, we have that

$$\|\phi\|_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}}^2 \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon^n},\tag{3.4}$$

where C is a positive constant depending on n, s, p and  $\Omega$ .

**PROOF.** A direct calculus yields

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi^2(x) dx = \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2n}} \left( 1 - \frac{|x|}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 dx$$
$$= \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\varepsilon^{2n}} \int_0^{\varepsilon} \left( 1 - \frac{r}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 r^{n-1} dr = \left( \frac{2\omega_{n-1}}{n(n+1)(n+2)} \right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n}.$$
(3.5)

Here  $\omega_{n-1}$  is the area of unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus it remains us to estimate

$$\frac{C_{n,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}\setminus(\Omega^c)^2} \frac{|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy.$$
(3.6)

Compute

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus (\Omega^{c})^{2}} \frac{|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dx dy \\
= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega^{c}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dx dy \\
+ \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega^{c}} \frac{|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dx dy \\
= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dx dy + 2 \int_{\Omega^{c}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dx dy.$$
(3.7)

Calculate

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy \\ &= \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\left|\frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \left(1 - \frac{|y|}{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\left|\frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy \\ &+ \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\left|\frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \left(1 - \frac{|y|}{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy \\ &= \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\left|\frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \left(1 - \frac{|y|}{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy + 2 \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\left|\frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy. \\ &:= T_1 + T_2. \end{split}$$

$$(3.8)$$

Estimating  $T_1$ , we have that

$$T_{1} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2n+2}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{||x| - |y||^{2}}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2n+2}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{|x - y|^{2}}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2n+2}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+2s-2}} dx dy$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2n+2}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(y)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+2s-2}} dx dy = \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\varepsilon^{2n+2}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\varepsilon} \frac{1}{r^{n+2s-2}} r^{n-1} dr \right\} dy$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{n+2s}}.$$

And calculate  $T_2$ :

$$T_{2} = \frac{2}{\varepsilon^{2n+2}} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{(\varepsilon - |x|)^{2}}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy$$
  
$$= \frac{2}{\varepsilon^{2n+2}} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{2\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{(\varepsilon - |x|)^{2}}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy + \frac{2}{\varepsilon^{2n+2}} \int_{B_{2\varepsilon} \setminus B_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{(\varepsilon - |x|)^{2}}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy.$$

Then estimate

$$\begin{split} &\frac{2}{\varepsilon^{2n+2}} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{2\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\left(\varepsilon - |x|\right)^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy \\ &= \frac{2}{\varepsilon^{2n+2}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{2\varepsilon}} \frac{\left(\varepsilon - |x|\right)^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy dx \le \frac{2}{\varepsilon^{2n+2}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{|y|^{n+2s}} dy dx \\ &\le \frac{2\omega_{n-1}}{\varepsilon^{2n}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \left\{ \int_{\varepsilon}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{r^{n+2s}} r^{n-1} dr \right\} dx = \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{s\varepsilon^{2n+2s}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} dx \\ &\le \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{n+2s}}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2}{\varepsilon^{2n+2}} \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}\setminus B_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{(\varepsilon - |x|)^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon^{2n+2}} \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}\setminus B_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{(|y| - |x|)^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon^{2n+2}} \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}\setminus B_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+2s-2}} dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon^{2n+2}} \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}\setminus B_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{3\varepsilon}(y)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+2s-2}} dx dy = \frac{2\omega_{n-1}}{\varepsilon^{2n+2}} \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}\setminus B_{\varepsilon}} \left\{ \int_{0}^{3\varepsilon} \frac{1}{r^{n+2s-2}} r^{n-1} dr \right\} dy \\ &= \frac{2 \cdot 3^{2-2s} \omega_{n-1}}{\varepsilon^{2n+2s}} \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}\setminus B_{\varepsilon}} dx = \frac{2 \cdot 3^{2-2s} (2^n - 1) \omega_{n-1}^2}{n \varepsilon^{n+2s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dx dy = T_1 + T_2 \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{n + 2s}},$$
(3.9)

where C is a positive constant depending on  $n, s, \Omega$ .

Finally, from  $B_{2\varepsilon} \subset \Omega$ , we have

$$2\int_{\Omega^{c}}\int_{\Omega}\frac{|\phi(x)-\phi(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+2s}}dxdy$$

$$= 2\int_{\Omega^{c}}\int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\frac{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2n}}\left(1-\frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+2s}}dxdy \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2n}}\int_{\Omega^{c}}\int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\frac{\left(1-\frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}{\left|\frac{y}{2}\right|^{n+2s}}dxdy$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2n}}\int_{B_{\varepsilon^{c}}}\int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\frac{\left(1-\frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}{\left|\frac{y}{2}\right|^{n+2s}}dxdy \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{n+2s}}.$$
(3.10)

Summarizing the estimates (3.6), (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10), we have that

$$\frac{C_{n,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon^n},\tag{3.11}$$

where C is a positive constant depending on  $n, s, \Omega$ . From (3.5) and (3.11), we obtain (3.4). This completes the proof.

Define

$$g(t) = I_{\varepsilon}(t\phi), \quad t \ge 0.$$

LEMMA 3.5. Assume that  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, there exist  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  with  $0 < t_1 < t_2$  such that

- (1) for  $t > t_1$ , g'(t) < 0; (2) for  $t > t_2$ , g(t) < 0.
- (2) for  $t > t_2, g(t) < 0.$

PROOF. By a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.4, we obtain that, for t > 0,

$$\frac{C_{n,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{4}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}\setminus(\Omega^c)^2}\frac{|t\phi(x)-t\phi(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}}dxdy + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}(t\phi)^2dx \le C_0t^2\varepsilon^{-n},$$

where  $C_0$  is a positive constant depending on  $n, s, \Omega$ . Moreover,

$$\int_{\Omega} (t\phi)_{+}^{p+1} dx = \frac{t^{p+1}}{\varepsilon^{n(p+1)}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right)^{p+1} dx$$
$$= \frac{\omega_{n-1}t^{p+1}}{\varepsilon^{n(p+1)}} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \left(1 - \frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right)^{p+1} r^{n-1} dr$$
$$= \frac{\omega_{n-1}t^{p+1}}{\varepsilon^{np}} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \rho)^{p+1} \rho^{n-1} d\rho = \frac{\alpha\omega_{n-1}t^{p+1}}{\varepsilon^{np}},$$

where  $\alpha := \int_0^1 (1-\rho)^{p+1} \rho^{n-1} d\rho$ . Let  $t_2 = \left(\frac{C_0(p+1)}{\alpha\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \varepsilon^n$ . Then for all  $t > t_2$ , it holds that  $g(t) = I_{\varepsilon}(t\phi) < 0$ .

Next compute

$$g'(t) = \frac{C_{n,s}\varepsilon^{2s}t}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}\setminus(\Omega^c)^2} \frac{|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy + t \int_{\Omega} \phi^2 dx - t^p \int_{\Omega} \phi^{p+1} dx dy dx dx dy dx dy dx dy dx dx dy dx dy dx dx dy dx dy dx dy dx dy dx dx dy dx dy dx dy dx dy dx dx dy dx dy dx dy dx dy dx dx dy d$$

Let  $t_1 = \left(\frac{2C_0}{\alpha\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \varepsilon^n$ . Thus if choosing  $t > t_1$ , we have that g'(t) < 0. Since p > 1, it holds that  $t_1 < t_2$ . This completes the proof.

PROOF OF LEMMA 3.3. By Lemma 3.2, it holds that g(t) > 0 if t is positive and sufficiently small. Then from Lemma 3.5, we have that there exists a  $t_0 > 0$  such that

 $g(t_0) = 0$ . Moreover, estimate

$$\max_{t \ge 0} g(t) = \max_{0 \le t \le t_1} g(t)$$

$$\le \max_{0 \le t \le t_1} \left\{ C_0 t^2 \varepsilon^{-n} - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} (t\phi)^{p+1} dx \right\}$$

$$\le \max_{0 \le t \le t_1} C_0 t^2 \varepsilon^{-n}$$

$$= C_0 t_1^2 \varepsilon^{-n} = C_1 \varepsilon^n,$$

where  $C_1 = C_0 \left(\frac{2C_0}{\alpha \omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-1}}$ . It completes the proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. (1) Let  $e = t_0 \phi$  and  $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}) | \gamma(0) = 0$  and  $\gamma(1) = e\}$ . Then by Mountain Pass Theorem, we have that

$$c_{\varepsilon} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} I_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(s)) > 0$$

is a critical value of  $I_{\varepsilon}$ . Then there exists a critical point  $u_{\varepsilon}$  such that

$$I_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) = c_{\varepsilon} \le C_1 \varepsilon^n.$$

Note that the unique constant solution to (1.1) is  $u \equiv 1$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A direct calculate yields

$$I_{\varepsilon}(1) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) |\Omega| > 0,$$

where  $|\Omega|$  denotes the volume of  $\Omega$ . Thus for  $\varepsilon$  small enough, we have that

$$I_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) < I_{\varepsilon}(1).$$

Therefore,  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is a nonconstant solution to (1.1).

(2) Since  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is a solution to (1.1), we have that

$$\frac{C_{n,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{|u_{\varepsilon}(x) - u_{\varepsilon}(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p+1} dx.$$
(3.12)

Then by the definition of  $I_{\varepsilon}$ , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} &I_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{C_{n,s} \varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus (\Omega^{c})^{2}} \frac{|u_{\varepsilon}(x) - u_{\varepsilon}(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{2} dx \right) - \frac{1}{p + 1} \int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p + 1} dx \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p + 1} \right) \left( \frac{C_{n,s} \varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus (\Omega^{c})^{2}} \frac{|u_{\varepsilon}(x) - u_{\varepsilon}(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{2} dx \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p + 1} \right) \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{2}_{H^{s}_{\varepsilon,\Omega}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, choosing  $C_2 = 2C_1(\frac{p+1}{p-1})$ , we obtain (1.6).

(3) We prove that we there exists a critical point  $u_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In fact, when  $u_{\varepsilon} \leq 0$ , we can choose  $-u_{\varepsilon}$ . Thus we only need to exclude the sign change case. We argue by contradiction. Assume that  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is a sign change critical point obtained above. Note that

$$(|u_{\varepsilon}(x)| - |u_{\varepsilon}(y)|)^2 \le |u_{\varepsilon}(x) - u_{\varepsilon}(y)|^2.$$

Then we have the following Kato-type inequality

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}\setminus(\Omega^c)^2} \frac{(|u_{\varepsilon}(x)| - |u_{\varepsilon}(y)|)^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy < \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}\setminus(\Omega^c)^2} \frac{|u_{\varepsilon}(x) - u_{\varepsilon}(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy.$$
(3.13)

The strict inequality is from that  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is sign change. It follows that

$$I_{\varepsilon}(|u_{\varepsilon}|) < I_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}). \tag{3.14}$$

Let

$$f(t) = I_{\varepsilon}(t|u_{\varepsilon}|)$$

$$= \frac{t^2}{2} \left( \frac{C_{n,s} \varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{(|u_{\varepsilon}(x)| - |u_{\varepsilon}(y)|)^2}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^2 dx \right) - \frac{t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p+1} dx,$$

where  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ . For simplicity, set

$$\begin{split} \Lambda &:= \left(\frac{C_{n,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{|u_{\varepsilon}(x) - u_{\varepsilon}(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^2 dx\right) > 0,\\ \bar{\Lambda} &:= \left(\frac{C_{n,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{(|u_{\varepsilon}(x)| - |u_{\varepsilon}(y)|)^2}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^2 dx\right) > 0, \end{split}$$

and

$$\Xi := \int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p+1} dx > 0$$

Thus

$$f(t) = \frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{2}t^2 - \frac{\Xi}{p+1}t^{p+1},$$

and

 $\bar\Lambda < \Lambda.$ 

Since  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is critical point, (3.12) yields

$$\Lambda = \Xi$$
 and  $\frac{\Lambda}{2} - \frac{\Xi}{p+1} = c_{\varepsilon}$ .

Note that  $f(t) \to -\infty$  as  $t \to +\infty$ . f has a unique maximum point at  $t_1 = (\frac{\overline{A}}{\Xi})^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$  and

$$f(t_1) = \frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{2} \left(\frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{\Xi}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} - \frac{\Xi}{p+1} \left(\frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{\Xi}\right)^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}}$$
$$= \left(\frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{\Xi}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} \left(\frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{2} + \left(c - \frac{\Lambda}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{\Xi}\right)\right)$$
$$= \left(\frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{\Xi}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} c_{\varepsilon} < c_{\varepsilon}.$$

Thus, there exists  $t_2 > 0$  such that  $f(t_2) = 0$ . Let  $\bar{e} = t_2 u_{\varepsilon}$ . We now set  $V^+ = \{\lambda e + \mu \bar{e} \mid \lambda \geq 0, \mu \geq 0\}$ . Therefore, there is an  $R_0 > \max\{\|e\|_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}}, \|\bar{e}\|_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}}\}$  such that for all  $u \in V^+$  with  $\|u\|_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}} \geq R_0$ , it holds that  $I_{\varepsilon}(u) < 0$ . Let  $\gamma_0$  be the path which consists of the line segment with endpoints 0 and  $R_0 \bar{e}/\|\bar{e}\|_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}}$ , the circular arc  $\partial B_{R_0} \cap V^+$ , and the line segment with endpoints  $R_0 e/\|e\|_{H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}}$  and e. Hence  $\gamma_0$  belongs to  $\Gamma$ . However, along  $\gamma_0$ ,  $I_{\varepsilon}$  is positive only on the line joining 0 and  $\bar{e}$ . This yields that

$$\sup_{u \in \gamma_0} I_{\varepsilon}(u) = f(t_1) < c_{\varepsilon}.$$

It is a contradiction. Therefore, there is a critical point  $u_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ . This completes the proof.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4. (1) Without loss of generality, we assume that  $0 \in \Omega$ . Then there exists  $R_0 > 0$  such that  $B_{2R_0} \subset \Omega$ . Since  $u \in H^s_{\varepsilon,\Omega}$ , it holds that

$$I := \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega^c} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dy dx < +\infty.$$

Particularly,

$$\int_{B_{R_0}} \int_{\Omega_\rho \cap \Omega^c} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy dx \le I < +\infty.$$

Here  $\rho > 0$  is constant and  $\Omega_{\rho} = \{y \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid d(y, \Omega) < \rho\}$ . A direct computation yields

$$\begin{split} & \int_{B_{R_0}} \int_{\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^c} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dy dx \\ \geq & \int_{B_{R_0}} \int_{\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^c} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dy dx + \int_{B_{R_0}} \int_{\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^c} \frac{|u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dy dx \\ & -2 \int_{B_{R_0}} \int_{\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^c} \frac{|u(x)u(y)|}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dy dx := T_1 + T_2 - T_3. \end{split}$$

We now estimate these three terms. Firstly,

$$T_1 = \int_{B_{R_0}} |u(x)|^2 \left\{ \int_{\Omega_\rho \cap \Omega^c} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}} dy \right\} dx$$
  
$$\geq \frac{|\Omega_\rho \cap \Omega^c|}{(d(\Omega) + \rho)^{n + 2s}} \int_{B_{R_0}} |u(x)|^2 dx := a.$$

where  $d(\Omega)$  denotes the diameter of  $\Omega$  and  $|\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^{c}|$  is the volume of  $\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^{c}$ . Note that  $\int_{B_{R_{0}}} |u(x)|^{2} dx < \infty$  since  $||u||_{H^{s}(\Omega)} \leq ||u||_{H^{s}_{\varepsilon,\Omega}}$ . Thus *a* is a nonnegative constant depending on  $\Omega, R_{0}, \rho, n, s$ . Secondly,

$$T_2 \geq \frac{1}{(d(\Omega) + \rho)^{n+2s}} \int_{B_{R_0}} \int_{\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^c} |u(y)|^2 dy dx$$
  
$$= \frac{|B_{R_0}|}{(d(\Omega) + \rho)^{n+2s}} \int_{\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^c} |u(y)|^2 dy$$
  
$$:= b \int_{\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^c} |u(y)|^2 dy.$$

Here b is a positive constant depending on  $\Omega, R_0, \rho, n, s$ . Finally,

$$\begin{split} T_3 &= 2 \int_{B_{R_0}} |u(x)| \int_{\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^c} \frac{|u(y)|}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dy dx \\ &\leq \frac{2}{d(B_{R_0}, \partial \Omega)^{n+2s}} \int_{B_{R_0}} |u(x)| \left\{ \int_{\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^c} |u(y)| dy \right\} dx \\ &= \frac{2 \int_{B_{R_0}} |u(x)| dx}{d(B_{R_0}, \partial \Omega)^{n+2s}} \int_{\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^c} |u(y)| dy \\ &\coloneqq c \int_{\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^c} |u(y)| dy, \end{split}$$

where c is also a nonnegative constant depending on  $\Omega, R_0, \rho, n, s$ . Therefore, we obtain that

$$I \ge a + b \int_{\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^c} |u(y)|^2 dy - c \int_{\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^c} |u(y)| dy.$$

$$(4.1)$$

Note that by the proof of (4.1), it holds that, for any  $X \subset \Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^{c}$ ,

$$I \ge a + b \int_X |u(y)|^2 dy - c \int_X |u(y)| dy.$$

We then argue by contradiction. Assume that  $u \notin L^2(\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^c)$ , that is

$$\int_{\Omega_\rho\cap\Omega^c}|u(y)|^2dy=+\infty.$$

From (4.1), we have that  $u \notin L^1(\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^c)$ . Let

$$A_k := \{ y \in \Omega_\rho \cap \Omega^c \, | \, |u(y)| > 2^k \}$$

and

$$D_k := A_k \setminus A_{k+1} = \{ y \in \Omega_\rho \cap \Omega^c \, | \, 2^k < |u(y)| \le 2^{k+1} \}.$$

Set  $d_k$  to be the measure of  $D_k$ . Let  $N_1$  be a positive integer such that  $2^{N_1-1} > \frac{c}{b}$ . Then, for all  $N_2 > N_1$ ,

$$\int_{A_{N_1} \setminus A_{N_2}} |u(y)| dy = \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \int_{A_k \setminus A_{k+1}} |u(y)| dy \le \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} 2^{k+1} d_k \to +\infty, \quad \text{as } N_2 \to \infty, \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\int_{A_{N_1} \setminus A_{N_2}} |u(y)|^2 dy = \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} \int_{A_k \setminus A_{k+1}} |u(y)|^2 dy \ge \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} 2^{2k} d_k \to +\infty, \quad \text{as } N_2 \to \infty.$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} 2^{2k} d_k > 2^{N_1 - 1} \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} 2^{k+1} d_k,$$

we have that

$$I \geq a + b \int_{A_{N_1} \setminus A_{N_2}} |u(y)|^2 dy - c \int_{A_{N_1} \setminus A_{N_2}} |u(y)| dy$$
  
>  $a + 2^{N_1 - 1} b \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} 2^{k+1} d_k - c \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2} 2^{k+1} d_k.$ 

It is a contradiction to (4.2). Therefore,  $u \in L^2(\Omega_{\rho} \cap \Omega^c)$ . Note that  $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ , we obtain that  $u \in L^2(\Omega_{\rho})$ . Since  $\rho$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $u \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

(2) Let R be a positive constant such that  $\Omega \subset B_R(0)$ . By the proof of Proposition 3.13 in [20], we know that if  $\mathcal{N}_s(u) = 0$ , then u is bounded in  $B_R^c(0)$  with

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} u(x) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u(x) dx \quad \text{uniformly in } x.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{B_R^c(0)} \frac{|u(x)|}{1+|x|^{n+2s}} dx \le C \int_{B_R^c(0)} \frac{1}{1+|x|^{n+2s}} dx < +\infty,$$

where C is a constant such that  $\sup_{B_R^c(0)} |u| \leq C$ . So, we only need to consider u on  $B_R(0)$ . From the conclusion (1), it follows that

$$u|_{B_R(0)} \in L^2(B_R(0)).$$

Thus, we have that

$$\int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|u(x)|}{1+|x|^{n+2s}} dx < +\infty.$$

This completes the proof.

16

#### References

- Robert A. Adams. Sobolev spaces. Academic Press [A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1975. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 65.
- [2] Antonio Ambrosetti, Andrea Malchiodi, and Wei-Ming Ni. Singularly perturbed elliptic equations with symmetry: existence of solutions concentrating on spheres. I. Comm. Math. Phys., 235(3):427– 466, 2003.
- [3] Antonio Ambrosetti, Andrea Malchiodi, and Wei-Ming Ni. Singularly perturbed elliptic equations with symmetry: existence of solutions concentrating on spheres. II. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 53:297– 329, 2004.
- [4] G. Barles, E. Chasseigne, C. Geogelin, and E. Jakobsen. On Neumann type problems for nonlocal equations in a half space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 366:4873–4917, 2014.
- [5] G. Barles, C. Geogelin, and E. Jakobsen. On Neumann and oblique derivatives boundary conditions for nonlocal elliptic equations. J. Differential Equations, 256:1368–1394, 2014.
- [6] K. Bogdan, K. Burdzy, and Z.-Q. Chen. Censored stable processes. Probab. Theorey Relat. Fields, 127:89–152, 2003.
- [7] Jaeyoung Byeon and Zhi-Qiang Wang. Standing waves with a critical frequency for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 165(4):295–316, 2002.
- [8] Jaeyoung Byeon and Zhi-Qiang Wang. Spherical semiclassical states of a critical frequency for schrödinger equations with decaying potentials. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 8(2):217–228, 2006.
- [9] Xavier Cabré and Yannick Sire. Nonlinear equations for fractional laplacians, i: Regularity, maximum principles, and hamiltonian estimates. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare (C) Non Linear Analysis, 31(1):23–53, 2014.
- [10] L. Caffarelli, J.-M. Roquejoffre, and O. Savin. Nonlocal minimal surfaces. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 63(9):1111–1144, 2010.
- [11] Guoyuan Chen. Multiple semiclassical standing waves for fractional nonlinear schrödinger equations. *Nonlinearity*, 28(4):927–949, 2015.
- [12] Guoyuan Chen and Youquan Zheng. Concentration phenomenon for fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Comm. Pure Appl. Anal., 13(6):2359–2376, 2014.
- [13] Z.-Q. Chen and P. Kim. Green function estimate for censored stable processes. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, 124:595–610, 2002.
- [14] C. Cortazar, M. Elgueta, J. Rossi, and N. Wolanski. Boundary fluxes for nonlocal diffusion. J. Differential Equations, 234:360–390, 2007.
- [15] C. Cortazar, M. Elgueta, J. Rossi, and N. Wolanski. How to approximate the heat equation with Neumann boundary conditions by nonlocal diffusion problems. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 187:137–156, 2008.
- [16] Juan Dávila, Manuel del Pino, Serena Dipierro, and Enrico Valdinoci. Concentration phenomena for the nonlocal schrödinger equation with dirichlet datum. Analysis & PDE, 8(5):1165–1235, 2015.
- [17] Juan Dávila, Manuel del Pino, and Juncheng Wei. Concentrating standing waves for the fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation. J. Differential Equations, 256(2):858–892, 2014.
- [18] Manuel del Pino, Michal Kowalczyk, and Jun-Cheng Wei. Concentration on curves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 60(1):113–146, 2007.
- [19] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci. Hitchhiker's guide to fractional Sobolev spaces. Bull. Sci. Math., 136:521–573, 2012.
- [20] Serena Dipierro, Vavier Ros-Oton, and Enrico Valdinoci. Nonlocal problems with Neumann boundary conditions. arXiv:1407.3313v3.

- [21] Qiang Du, Max Gunzburger, R.B. Lehoucq, and Kun Zhou. Analysis and approximation of nonlocal diffusion problems with volume constraints. SIAM Review, 54(4):667–696, 2012.
- [22] Qiang Du, Max Gunzburger, R.B. Lehoucq, and Kun Zhou. A nonlocal vector calculus, nonlocal volume-constrained problems, and nonlocal balance laws. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 23(03):493–540, 2013.
- [23] Mouhamed Moustapha Fall, Fethi Mahmoudi, and Enrico Valdinoci. Ground states and concentration phenomena for the fractional schrödinger equation. *Nonlinearity*, 28(6):1937, 2015.
- [24] Gerd Grubb. Local and nonlocal boundary conditions for μ-transmission and fractional elliptic pseudodifferential operators. Analysis & PDE, 7(7):1649–1682, 2014.
- [25] Changfeng Gui and Juncheng Wei. Multiple interior peak solutions for some singularly perturbed problems. J. Differential Equations, 158:1–27, 1999.
- [26] Changfeng Gui and Juncheng Wei. On multiple mixed interior and boundary peak solutions for some singularly perturbed Neumann problems. *Canad. J. Math.*, 52:522–538, 2000.
- [27] Changfeng Gui, Juncheng Wei, and M. Winter. Multiple boundary peak solutions for some singularly perturbed Neumann problems. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 17:47–82, 2000.
- [28] Tianling Jin, YanYan Li, and Jingang Xiong. On a fractional nirenberg problem, part i: blow up analysis and compactness of solutions. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 16(6):1111– 1171, 2014.
- [29] C.-S. Lin, W.-M. Ni, and I. Takagi. Large amplitude stationary solutions to a chemotaxis system. J. Differential Equations, 72(1):1–27, 1988.
- [30] A. Malchiodi and M. Montenegro. Boundary concertation phenomenon for a singularly perturbed elliptic problem. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 55:1507–1568, 2002.
- [31] A. Malchiodi and M. Montenegro. Multidimensional boundary-layers for a singularly perturbed Neumann problem. Duke Math. J., 124:105–143, 2004.
- [32] W.-M. Ni and I. Takagi. On the Neumann problem for some semilinear elliptic equations and systems of activator-inhibitor type. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 297:351–368, 1986.
- [33] W.-M. Ni and I. Takagi. On the shape of least energy solutions to a semilinear Neumann problem. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 44:819–851, 1991.
- [34] W.-M. Ni and I. Takagi. Locating the peaks of least-energy solutions to a semilinear Neumann problem. Duke Math. J., 70:247–281, 1993.
- [35] Wei-Ming Ni. The mathematics of diffusion. CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, 2011.
- [36] O. Savin and E. Valdinoci. Density estimates for a variational model driven by the gagliardo norm. J. Math. Pures Appl., 101:1–26, 2014.
- [37] Luis Silvestre. Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 60(1):67–112, 2007.
- [38] Pablo Raúl Stinga and Bruno Volzone. Fractional semilinear neumann problems arising from a fractional keller-segel model. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 54(1):1009– 1042, 2015.

School of Data Sciences, Zhejiang University of Finance & Economics, Hangzhou 310018, Zhejiang, P. R. China

E-mail address: gychen@zufe.edu.cn