

GEOMETRIC CORRECTION FOR HYDRODYNAMIC LIMIT OF STEADY BOLTZMANN EQUATION

LEI WU

ABSTRACT. We consider the steady Boltzmann equation in a unit plate with non-isothermal boundary. When the Knudsen number goes to zero, we show the solution converges in L^∞ to an interior solution from a Stokes system and a boundary layer solution from the Milne problem with geometric correction.

Keywords: Milne problem, boundary layer, Knudsen number

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

1.1. **Problem Formulation.** We consider the equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \epsilon \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x F^\epsilon = Q[F^\epsilon, F^\epsilon] & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ F^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) = \mathcal{P}^\epsilon[F^\epsilon](\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) & \text{for } \vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} < 0 \text{ and } \vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{P}^\epsilon[F^\epsilon](\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) = \mu_b^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} F^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}^*) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^*,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \mu_b^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) = \frac{\rho_b^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0)}{2\pi(\theta_b^\epsilon)^2(\vec{x}_0)} \exp\left(-\frac{|\vec{v} - \vec{u}_b^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0)|^2}{2\theta_b^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0)}\right),$$

for

$$(1.4) \quad \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} > 0} \mu_b^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}| d\vec{v} = 1.$$

Based on the flow direction, we can divide the boundary $\gamma = \{(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) : \vec{x} \in \partial\Omega\}$ into the in-flow boundary γ_- , the out-flow boundary γ_+ , and the grazing set γ_0 as

$$(1.5) \quad \gamma_- = \{(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) : \vec{x} \in \partial\Omega, \vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} < 0\},$$

$$(1.6) \quad \gamma_+ = \{(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) : \vec{x} \in \partial\Omega, \vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} > 0\},$$

$$(1.7) \quad \gamma_0 = \{(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) : \vec{x} \in \partial\Omega, \vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} = 0\}.$$

It is easy to see $\gamma = \gamma_+ \cup \gamma_- \cup \gamma_0$. Hence, the boundary condition is only given on γ_- .

We have the expansion for boundary Maxwellian as

$$(1.8) \quad \mu_b^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) = \mu(\vec{v}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k \mu_k(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}),$$

where

$$(1.9) \quad \mu(\vec{v}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{|\vec{v}|^2}{2}\right),$$

with

$$(1.10) \quad \rho_b^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k \rho_{kb}^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}),$$

$$(1.11) \quad \vec{u}_b^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) = 0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k \vec{u}_{kb}^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}),$$

$$(1.12) \quad \theta_b^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k \theta_{kb}^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}).$$

Then we have for any $k \geq 1$

$$(1.13) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} > 0} \mu_k(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}| d\vec{v} d\vec{x}_0 = 0.$$

We need to study the behavior of F^ϵ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The solution expansion is defined as

$$(1.14) \quad F^\epsilon(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) = \mu + \sqrt{\mu} f^\epsilon.$$

Then f^ϵ satisfies the equation

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{cases} \epsilon \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x f^\epsilon + \mathcal{L}[f^\epsilon] = \Gamma[f^\epsilon, f^\epsilon], \\ f^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) = \mathcal{P}^\epsilon[f^\epsilon](\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) \text{ for } \vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} < 0 \text{ and } \vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(1.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma[f^\epsilon, f^\epsilon] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} Q[\sqrt{\mu} f^\epsilon, \sqrt{\mu} f^\epsilon], \\ \mathcal{L}[f^\epsilon] &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\mu}} Q^*[\mu, \sqrt{\mu} f^\epsilon] = \nu(\vec{v}) f^\epsilon - K[f^\epsilon], \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.18) \quad \nu(\vec{v}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{S^1} B(\vec{v} - \vec{v}_*, \omega) \mu(\vec{v}_*) d\omega d\vec{v}_*,$$

$$(1.19) \quad K[f^\epsilon] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} k(\vec{v}, \vec{v}_*) f^\epsilon(\vec{v}_*) d\vec{v}_*,$$

and

$$(1.20) \quad \mathcal{P}^\epsilon[f^\epsilon](\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) = \frac{\mu_b^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v})}{\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} \left(\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} + f^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}^*) \right) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^*.$$

We can easily see if f^ϵ is a solution of the equation (1.15), then $f^\epsilon + C\sqrt{\mu}$ is also a solution of the equation (1.15) for any real number C . Hence, in order to obtain a unique solution, we further require a normalization condition

$$(1.21) \quad \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f^\epsilon(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} d\vec{v} d\vec{x} = 0.$$

1.2. **Interior Expansion.** We plug the interior expansion

$$(1.22) \quad \mathcal{F}^\epsilon \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon(\vec{x}, \vec{v})$$

into the equation (1.15) to obtain

$$(1.23) \quad \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon] = 0,$$

$$(1.24) \quad \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{F}_2^\epsilon] = -\vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x \mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon + \Gamma[\mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon],$$

$$(1.25) \quad \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{F}_3^\epsilon] = -\vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x \mathcal{F}_2^\epsilon + \Gamma[\mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_2^\epsilon] + \Gamma[\mathcal{F}_2^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon],$$

...

$$(1.26) \quad \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon] = -\vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^\epsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Gamma[\mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_{k-i}^\epsilon].$$

Each interior solution \mathcal{F}_k^ϵ includes three parts:

$$(1.27) \quad \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon = A_k + B_k + C_k,$$

where

$$(1.28) \quad A_k = \sqrt{\mu} \left(A_{k,0} + 2A_{k,1}v_1 + 2A_{k,2}v_2 + A_{k,3} \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - 1 \right) \right),$$

$$(1.29) \quad B_k = \sqrt{\mu} \left(B_{k,0} + 2B_{k,1}v_1 + 2B_{k,2}v_2 + B_{k,3} \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - 1 \right) \right),$$

with B_k depending on A_s in $1 \leq s \leq k-1$ as

$$(1.30) \quad B_{k,0} = 0,$$

$$(1.31) \quad B_{k,1} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_{i,0} A_{k-i,1},$$

$$(1.32) \quad B_{k,2} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_{i,0} A_{k-i,2},$$

$$(1.33) \quad B_{k,3} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(A_{i,0} A_{k-i,3} + A_{i,1} A_{k-i,1} + A_{i,2} A_{k-i,2} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1-i} A_{i,0} (A_{j,1} A_{k-i-j,1} + A_{j,2} A_{k-i-j,2}) \right),$$

and C_k satisfies

$$(1.34) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sqrt{\mu} C_k \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vec{v} \\ |\vec{v}|^2 \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

and solves

$$(1.35) \quad \mathcal{L}[C_k] = -\vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^\epsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Gamma[\mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_{k-i}^\epsilon],$$

which can be solved explicitly. Hence, we only need to determine the equations satisfied by A_k . For convenience, we define the notation

$$(1.36) \quad A_k = \sqrt{\mu} \left(\rho_k^\epsilon + 2u_{k,1}^\epsilon v_1 + 2u_{k,2}^\epsilon v_2 + \theta_k^\epsilon (|\vec{v}|^2 - 1) \right),$$

Then A_k satisfies the equations as follows:

0^{th} order equations:

$$(1.37) \quad P_1 - (\rho_1^\epsilon + \theta_1^\epsilon) = 0,$$

$$(1.38) \quad \nabla_x P_1 = 0,$$

1^{st} order equations:

$$(1.39) \quad P_2 - (\rho_2^\epsilon + \theta_2^\epsilon + \rho_1^\epsilon \theta_1^\epsilon) = 0$$

$$(1.40) \quad \vec{u}^\epsilon \cdot \nabla_x \vec{u}_1^\epsilon - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_1 \Delta_x \vec{u}_1^\epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_x P_2 = 0,$$

$$(1.41) \quad \nabla_x \cdot \vec{u}_1^\epsilon = 0,$$

$$(1.42) \quad \vec{u}_1^\epsilon \cdot \nabla_x \theta_1^\epsilon - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_2 \Delta_x \theta_1^\epsilon = 0,$$

k^{th} order equations:

$$(1.43) \quad P_{k+1} - \left(\rho_{k+1}^\epsilon + \theta_{k+1}^\epsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1-i} \rho_i^\epsilon \theta_{k+1-i}^\epsilon \right) = 0$$

$$(1.44) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \vec{u}_i^\epsilon \cdot \nabla_x \vec{u}_{k+1-i}^\epsilon - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_1 \Delta_x \vec{u}_k^\epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_x P_{k+1} = G_{k,1},$$

$$(1.45) \quad \nabla_x \cdot \vec{u}_k^\epsilon = G_{k,2},$$

$$(1.46) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \vec{u}_i^\epsilon \cdot \nabla_x \theta_{k+1-i}^\epsilon - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_2 \Delta_x \theta_k^\epsilon = G_{k,3},$$

where

$$(1.47)$$

$$G_{k,j} = G_{k,j}[\vec{x}, \vec{v}; \rho_1^\epsilon, \dots, \rho_{k-1}^\epsilon; \theta_1^\epsilon, \dots, \theta_{k-1}^\epsilon; \vec{u}_1^\epsilon, \dots, \vec{u}_{k-1}^\epsilon; \nabla_x (\rho_k^\epsilon + \theta_k^\epsilon)]$$

is explicit functions depending on lower order terms.

1.3. ϵ -Milne Expansion. Define the local vector basis as

$$(1.48) \quad e_r = \left(\frac{x_1}{r(\vec{x})}, \frac{x_2}{r(\vec{x})} \right),$$

$$(1.49) \quad e_\phi = \left(-\frac{x_2}{r(\vec{x})}, \frac{x_1}{r(\vec{x})} \right),$$

where

$$(1.50) \quad r(\vec{x}) = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}.$$

We decompose the velocity \vec{v} as

$$(1.51) \quad \vec{v} = v_r e_r(\vec{x}) + v_\phi e_\phi(\vec{x}).$$

Then the equation (1.15) can be rewritten in (r, ϕ, v_r, v_ϕ) as

$$(1.52) \quad \begin{cases} \epsilon \left(v_r \frac{\partial f^\epsilon}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\phi}{r} \frac{\partial f^\epsilon}{\partial \phi} + \frac{v_\phi^2}{r} \frac{\partial f^\epsilon}{\partial v_r} - \frac{v_r v_\phi}{r} \frac{\partial f^\epsilon}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \mathcal{L}[f^\epsilon] = \Gamma[f^\epsilon, f^\epsilon], \\ f^\epsilon(1, \phi, v_r, v_\phi) = \mathcal{P}^\epsilon[f^\epsilon](1, \phi, v_r, v_\phi) \text{ for } v_r < 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(1.53) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}^\epsilon[f^\epsilon](1, \phi, v_r, v_\phi) \\ &= \frac{\mu_b^\epsilon(\phi, v_r, v_\phi)}{\sqrt{\mu(v_r, v_\phi)}} \int_{v_r > 0} \sqrt{\mu(v_r^*, v_\phi^*)} f^\epsilon(1, \phi, v_r^*, v_\phi^*) |v_r \cdot v_r^*| dv_r^* dv_\phi^*. \end{aligned}$$

We further perform the substitution

$$(1.54) \quad \eta = \frac{1-r}{\epsilon},$$

$$(1.55) \quad v_r = -v_\eta,$$

which implies

$$(1.56) \quad \frac{\partial f^\epsilon}{\partial r} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial f^\epsilon}{\partial \eta},$$

$$(1.57) \quad \frac{\partial f^\epsilon}{\partial v_r} = -\frac{\partial f^\epsilon}{\partial v_\eta}.$$

Then the equation (1.15) in $(\eta, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi)$ becomes

$$(1.58) \quad \begin{cases} v_\eta \frac{\partial f^\epsilon}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\epsilon}{1-\epsilon\eta} \left(-v_\phi \frac{\partial f^\epsilon}{\partial \phi} + v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial f^\epsilon}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial f^\epsilon}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \mathcal{L}[f^\epsilon] = \Gamma[f^\epsilon, f^\epsilon], \\ f^\epsilon(0, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) = \mathcal{P}^\epsilon[f^\epsilon](0, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) \text{ for } v_\eta > 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(1.59) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}^\epsilon[f^\epsilon](0, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) \\ &= \frac{\mu_b^\epsilon(\phi, v_\eta, v_\phi)}{\sqrt{\mu(v_\eta, v_\phi)}} \int_{v_r > 0} \sqrt{\mu(v_\eta^*, v_\phi^*)} f^\epsilon(0, \phi, v_\eta^*, v_\phi^*) |v_\eta \cdot v_\eta^*| dv_\eta^* dv_\phi^*. \end{aligned}$$

We plug the boundary layer expansion

$$(1.60) \quad \mathcal{F}^\epsilon \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon(\eta, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi),$$

into the equation (1.58) to obtain

$$(1.61) \quad v_\eta \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon \eta} \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon] = 0,$$

$$(1.62) \quad v_\eta \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_2^\epsilon}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon \eta} \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_2^\epsilon}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_2^\epsilon}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{F}_2^\epsilon] = -\frac{1}{1 - \epsilon \eta} v_\phi \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon}{\partial \phi} + \Gamma[\mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon] + 2\Gamma[\mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon],$$

...

$$(1.63) \quad v_\eta \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon \eta} \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon] = -\frac{1}{1 - \epsilon \eta} v_\phi \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^\epsilon}{\partial \phi} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Gamma[\mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_{k-i}^\epsilon] + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Gamma[\mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_{k-i}^\epsilon].$$

in a neighborhood of the boundary.

1.4. Boundary Expansion. The bridge between the interior solution and boundary layer solution is the boundary condition

$$(1.64) \quad f^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) = \mathcal{P}^\epsilon[f^\epsilon](\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}),$$

where

$$(1.65) \quad \mathcal{P}^\epsilon[f^\epsilon](\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) = \frac{\mu_b^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v})}{\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} \left(\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} + f^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}^*) \right) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^*.$$

Plugging the combined expansion

$$(1.66) \quad f^\epsilon \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k (\mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon),$$

into the boundary condition yields

$$(1.67) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k (\mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon) = \frac{\mu_b^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v})}{\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} \left(\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k (\mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon) \right) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^*.$$

Comparing the order of ϵ , we obtain

(1.68)

$$\mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon = \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} (\mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^* + \frac{\mu_1(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v})}{\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})}},$$

(1.69)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_2^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_2^\epsilon &= \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} (\mathcal{F}_2^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_2^\epsilon) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^* \\ &+ \frac{\mu_1(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v})}{\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} (\mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^* + \frac{\mu_2(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v})}{\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})}}, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

(1.70)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon &= \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} (\mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^* \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\mu_{k-i}(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v})}{\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} (\mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^* \right) \\ &+ \frac{\mu_k(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v})}{\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})}}. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$(1.71) \quad \mathcal{P}[f](\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) = \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} f(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}^*) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^*.$$

Then we have

(1.72)

$$(\mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon) = \mathcal{P}[\mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon] + \frac{\mu_1(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v})}{\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})}},$$

(1.73)

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}_2^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_2^\epsilon) &= \mathcal{P}[\mathcal{F}_2^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_2^\epsilon] \\ &+ \frac{\mu_1(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v})}{\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} (\mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^* + \frac{\mu_2(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v})}{\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})}}, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

(1.74)

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon) &= \mathcal{P}[\mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\mu_{k-i}(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v})}{\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} (\mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^* \right) \\ &+ \frac{\mu_k(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v})}{\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the boundary condition \mathcal{F}_k^ϵ and \mathcal{F}_k^ϵ need to satisfy.

1.5. Matching of Interior Expansion and ϵ -Milne Expansion. We solve the Milne problem for g_k^ϵ with the in-flow boundary data

$$(1.75) \quad \begin{aligned} h_k^\epsilon &= - \left((B_k + C_k) - \mathcal{P}[B_k + C_k] \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\mu_{k-i}(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v})}{\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} (\mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^* \right) + \frac{\mu_k(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v})}{\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})}}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.76) S_k^\epsilon = - \frac{\psi(\epsilon^{1/2} \eta^{1/2})}{1 - \epsilon \eta} v_\phi \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^\epsilon}{\partial \phi} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Gamma[\mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_{k-i}^\epsilon] + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Gamma[\mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_{k-i}^\epsilon],$$

to obtain the modified limit function

$$(1.77) \quad \tilde{g}_k^\epsilon(\infty) = \sqrt{\mu(v_\eta, v_\phi)} \left(\tilde{D}_{k,0} + \tilde{D}_{k,1} v_\eta + \tilde{D}_{k,2} v_\phi + \tilde{D}_{k,3} |\vec{v}|^2 \right).$$

Define \mathcal{F}_k^ϵ as the solution of ϵ -Milne problem with the boundary data $h_k^\epsilon - \tilde{g}_\infty$. Based on the equation (3.1), we know

$$(1.78) \quad \tilde{D}_{k,1} = 0.$$

Then define

$$(1.79) \quad A_{k,1} = -\tilde{D}_{k,1} \cos \phi + \tilde{D}_{k,2} \sin \phi,$$

$$(1.80) \quad A_{k,2} = -\tilde{D}_{k,1} \sin \phi - \tilde{D}_{k,2} \cos \phi,$$

$$(1.81) \quad A_{k,3} = -\tilde{D}_{k,3}.$$

This determines $A_{k,2}$, $A_{k,3}$ and $A_{k,4}$. In order for the boundary expansion be valid, we need the condition $\mathcal{P}g_k^\epsilon = 0$, which leads to the compatibility condition

$$(1.82) \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\int_{v_\eta > 0} v_\eta h_k^\epsilon(\phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi \right. \\ (1.83) \quad \left. + \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-V(\epsilon; s)} S_k^\epsilon(s, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi ds \right) d\phi = 0.$$

Then the boundary compatibility condition (1.13) guarantees its validity. Finally, we require the normalization condition for the interior solution as

$$(1.84) \quad \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sqrt{\mu} (\mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon) d\vec{v} d\vec{x} = 0.$$

which can solve $A_{k,0}$. Hence, all the data are uniquely determined.

1.6. Main Theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *For given $\mu_b^\epsilon > 0$ and $M > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that if*

$$(1.85) \quad |\mu_b^\epsilon - \mu|_\infty \leq C\epsilon,$$

where C is sufficiently small, then there exists a non-negative solution f^ϵ to the steady Boltzmann equation (1.15) satisfying the normalization condition (1.21) such that

$$(1.86) \quad \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{c|\vec{v}|^2} f^\epsilon \right\|_\infty + \left| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{c|\vec{v}|^2} f^\epsilon \right|_{\infty, +} \lesssim \epsilon.$$

If g^ϵ is another solution satisfying the normalization condition (1.21) such that

$$(1.87) \quad \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} g^\epsilon \right\|_\infty + \left| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} g^\epsilon \right|_{\infty,+} \ll 1,$$

then $f^\epsilon = g^\epsilon$.

Theorem 1.2. *We have*

$$(1.88) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} \left(f^\epsilon - \epsilon (\mathcal{F}_1^\epsilon + \mathcal{R}_1^\epsilon) \right) \right\|_\infty = 0.$$

2. ESTIMATES OF LINEARIZED STEADY BOLTZMANN EQUATION

We consider the linearized steady Boltzmann equation

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \epsilon \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x f + \mathcal{L}[f] &= S \text{ in } \Omega, \\ f(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) - \mathcal{P}[f](\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) &= h \text{ for } \vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} < 0 \text{ and } \vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

provided the compatibility condition

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} S(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \sqrt{\mu} d\vec{v} d\vec{x} &= 0, \\ \int_{\gamma_-} h(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \sqrt{\mu} d\gamma &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The solution should satisfy the normalization condition

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \sqrt{\mu} d\vec{v} d\vec{x} = 0.$$

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the standard L^2 inner product in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$. We define the L^p and L^∞ norms in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$ as usual:

$$(2.4) \quad \|f\|_p = \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(\vec{x}, \vec{v})|^p d\vec{v} d\vec{x} \right)^{1/p},$$

$$(2.5) \quad \|f\|_\infty = \sup_{(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} |f(\vec{x}, \vec{v})|.$$

Define $d\gamma = |\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n}| d\varpi d\vec{v}$ on the boundary for ϖ as the curve measure. Define the L^p and L^∞ norms on the boundary as follows:

$$(2.6) \quad |f|_p = \left(\iint_{\gamma} |f(\vec{x}, \vec{v})|^p d\gamma \right)^{1/p},$$

$$(2.7) \quad |f|_{p, \pm} = \left(\iint_{\gamma_{\pm}} |f(\vec{x}, \vec{v})|^p d\gamma \right)^{1/p},$$

$$(2.8) \quad |f|_\infty = \sup_{(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \in \gamma} |f(\vec{x}, \vec{v})|,$$

$$(2.9) \quad |f|_{\infty, \pm} = \sup_{(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \in \gamma_{\pm}} |f(\vec{x}, \vec{v})|.$$

Also, we define

$$(2.10) \quad \|f\|_\nu = \|\sqrt{\nu} f\|_2.$$

Denote the Japanese bracket as

$$(2.11) \quad \langle \vec{v} \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |\vec{v}|^2}$$

Define the kernel operator \mathbb{P} as

$$(2.12) \quad \mathbb{P}[f] = \sqrt{\mu} \left(a_f(t, \vec{x}) + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{b}_f(t, \vec{x}) + \frac{|\vec{v}|^2 - 2}{2} c_f(t, \vec{x}) \right),$$

and the non-kernel operator $\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}$ as

$$(2.13) \quad (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f] = f - \mathbb{P}[f].$$

2.1. Preliminaries.

Lemma 2.1. *Define the near-grazing set of γ_+ or γ_- ,*

$$(2.14) \quad \gamma_{\pm}^{\delta} = \left\{ (\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \in \gamma_{\pm} : |\vec{n}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{v}| \leq \delta \text{ or } |\vec{v}| \geq \frac{1}{\lambda} \text{ or } |\vec{v}| \leq \delta \right\}.$$

Then

$$(2.15) \quad \left\| f \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_{\pm} \setminus \gamma_{\pm}^{\delta}} \right\|_1 \leq C(\delta) \left(\|f\|_1 + \|\vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x f\|_1 \right).$$

Proof. See the proof of [3, Lemma 2.1]. \square

Lemma 2.2. (*Green's Identity*) *Assume $f(\vec{x}, \vec{v}), g(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x f, \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x g \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ with $f, g \in L^2(\gamma)$. Then*

$$(2.16) \quad \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \left((\vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x f)g + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x g)f \right) d\vec{x}d\vec{v} = \int_{\gamma_+} fg d\gamma - \int_{\gamma_-} fg d\gamma.$$

Proof. See the proof of [3, Lemma 2.2]. \square

Lemma 2.3. *For any $\lambda > 0$, there exists a unique solution $f_{\lambda}(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ to the equation*

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda f_{\lambda} + \epsilon \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x f_{\lambda} = S(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ f_{\lambda}(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) = h(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) \text{ for } \vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega \text{ and } \vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} < 0, \end{cases}$$

such that

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^{\vartheta} e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} f_{\lambda} \right\|_{\infty} + \left| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^{\vartheta} e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} f_{\lambda} \right|_{\infty, -} \\ & \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^{\vartheta} e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} S \right\|_{\infty} + \left| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^{\vartheta} e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} h \right|_{\infty, -} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\vartheta \geq 0$ and

$$(2.19) \quad \|f_{\lambda}\|_2^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{\lambda} |f_{\lambda}|_{2, -}^2 \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \|S\|_2^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{\lambda} |h|_{2, -}^2 \right).$$

Proof. The characteristics $(X(s), V(s))$ of the equation (2.17) which goes through (\vec{x}, \vec{v}) is defined by

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{cases} (X(0), V(0)) = (\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \\ \frac{dX(s)}{ds} = \epsilon V(s), \\ \frac{dV(s)}{ds} = 0. \end{cases}$$

which implies

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{cases} X(s) = \vec{x} + (\epsilon \vec{v})s \\ V(s) = \vec{v} \end{cases}$$

Define the backward exit time $t_b(\vec{x}, \vec{v})$ and backward exit position $\vec{x}_b(\vec{x}, \vec{v})$ as

$$(2.22) \quad t_b(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) = \inf\{t > 0 : \vec{x} - \epsilon t \vec{v} \notin \Omega\},$$

$$(2.23) \quad \vec{x}_b(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) = \vec{x} - t_b(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \vec{v} \notin \Omega.$$

Hence, we can rewrite the equation (2.17) along the characteristics as

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} f_\lambda(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \\ &= \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} h(\vec{x} - \epsilon t_b \vec{v}, \vec{v}) e^{-\lambda t_b} + \int_0^{t_b} \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} S(\vec{x} - \epsilon(t_b - s)\vec{v}, \vec{v}) e^{-\lambda(t_b - s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can naturally estimate

$$(2.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} f_\lambda \right\|_\infty &\leq e^{-\lambda t_b} \left| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} h \right|_{\infty, -} + \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda t_b}}{\lambda} \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} S \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq \left| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} h \right|_{\infty, -} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} S \right\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which further implies

$$(2.26) \quad \left| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} f_\lambda \right|_{\infty, -} \leq \left| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} h \right|_{\infty, -} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} S \right\|_\infty.$$

Since f_λ can be explicitly traced back to the boundary data, the existence naturally follows from above estimate. The uniqueness and L^2 estimates follow from Green's identity due to $\|f_\lambda\|_2 \leq C \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} f_\lambda \right\|_\infty$. \square

2.2. L^2 Estimates of Linearized Steady Boltzmann Equation.

Lemma 2.4. *For any $\lambda > 0$, $m > 0$, and for any integer $j > 0$, there exists a unique solution $f_{\lambda, m, j}(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ to the equation*

$$(2.27) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda f_{\lambda, m, j} + \epsilon \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x f_{\lambda, m, j} + \mathcal{L}_m[f_{\lambda, m, j}] &= S(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ f_{\lambda, m, j}(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{j}\right) \mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda, m, j}] + h(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) \\ &\text{for } \vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega \text{ and } \vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} < 0, \end{cases}$$

with \mathcal{L}_m the linearized Boltzmann operator corresponding to the cut-off cross section $B_m = \min\{B, m\}$. Moreover, uniformly in j , the solution satisfies

$$(2.28) \quad \|f_{\lambda, m, j}\|_\nu + |f_{\lambda, m, j}|_{2, -} \leq \lambda, m, j C \left(\|S\|_2 + |h|_{2, -} \right).$$

Finally, the limit $f_{\lambda, m, j} \rightarrow f_{\lambda, m}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ exists and solves uniquely the equation

$$(2.29) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda f_{\lambda, m} + \epsilon \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x f_{\lambda, m} + \mathcal{L}_m[f_{\lambda, m}] &= S(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ f_{\lambda, m}(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) &= \mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda, m, j}] + h(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) \\ &\text{for } \vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega \text{ and } \vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} < 0. \end{cases}$$

satisfying

$$(2.30) \quad \|f_{\lambda, m}\|_\nu + |f_{\lambda, m}|_{2, -} \leq \lambda, m C \left(\|S\|_2 + |h|_{2, -} \right).$$

Proof. We divide the proof into several steps:

Step 1: Definition of iteration.

Denote $\mathcal{L}_m = \nu_m - K_m$. For any j , we define the iteration in l : $f_{\lambda, m, j}^0 = 0$ and for $l \geq 0$,

$$(2.31)$$

$$\begin{cases} \lambda f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1} + \epsilon \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1} + (1+M)\nu_m f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1} &= S(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) - (K_m + M\nu_m)[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l], \\ f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1}(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{j}\right) \mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l] + h(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}). \end{cases}$$

where $M > 0$ is a fixed real number to be determined later. Since

$$(2.32) \quad \|(K_m + M\nu_m)[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l]\|_2 \leq C_0 \|f_{\lambda,m,j}^l\|_2,$$

$$(2.33) \quad |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l]|_{2,-} \leq |f_{\lambda,m,j}^l|_{2,-},$$

Lemma 2.3 implies $f_{\lambda,m,j}^l \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ are well-defined for $l \geq 0$. However, we cannot directly obtain the existence of limit $f_{\lambda,m,j}^l$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 2: The limit $l \rightarrow \infty$.

Based on Green's identity in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.34) \quad & \|f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1}\|_2^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} |f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1}|_{2,+}^2 + \langle (1+M)\nu_m f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1}, f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1} \rangle \\ &= \langle (K_m + M\nu_m)[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l], f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1} \rangle + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left| \left(1 - \frac{1}{j}\right) \mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l] + h \right|_{2,-}^2 + \langle f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1}, S \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_m = \nu_m - K_m$ is a non-negative symmetric operator, we take M sufficiently large such that $K_m + M\nu_m$ is also a non-negative operator. Then we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} (2.35) \quad & \langle (K_m + M\nu_m)[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l], f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1} \rangle \\ & \leq \sqrt{\langle (K_m + M\nu_m)[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l], f_{\lambda,m,j}^l \rangle} \sqrt{\langle (K_m + M\nu_m)[f_{\lambda,m,j+1}^{l+1}], f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1} \rangle} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\langle (K_m + M\nu_m)[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l], f_{\lambda,m,j}^l \rangle + \langle (K_m + M\nu_m)[f_{\lambda,m,j+1}^{l+1}], f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1} \rangle \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\langle (1+M)\nu_m[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l], f_{\lambda,m,j}^l \rangle + \langle (1+M)\nu_m[f_{\lambda,m,j+1}^{l+1}], f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1} \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.36) \quad & \left| \left(1 - \frac{1}{j}\right) \mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l] + h \right|_{2,-}^2 \\ & \leq \left| \left(1 - \frac{1}{j}\right) \mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l] \right|_{2,-}^2 + \frac{1}{2j^2} |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l]|_{2,-}^2 + (1+j^2) |h|_{2,-}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Considering the fact

$$(2.37) \quad |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l]|_{2,-}^2 \leq |f_{\lambda,m,j}^l|_{2,+}^2,$$

$$(2.38) \quad \langle (1+M)\nu_m[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l], f_{\lambda,m,j}^l \rangle \leq (1+M)m \|f_{\lambda,m,j}^l\|_2^2,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.39) \quad & \left(\frac{\lambda}{(1+M)m} + 1 \right) \langle (1+M)\nu_m[f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1}], f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1} \rangle + \frac{\epsilon}{2} |f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1}|_{2,+}^2 \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \left(\langle (1+M)\nu_m[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l], f_{\lambda,m,j}^l \rangle + \langle (1+M)\nu_m[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l], f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1} \rangle \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{j} + \frac{3}{j^2} \right) |f_{\lambda,m,j}^l|_{2,+}^2 + \frac{\epsilon(1+j^2)}{2} |h|_{2,-}^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{\lambda}{2(1+M)m} \langle (1+M)\nu_m[f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1}], f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1} \rangle + \frac{(1+M)m}{2\lambda} \|S\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(2.40) \quad 1 - \frac{2}{j} + \frac{3}{j^2} < 1,$$

$$(2.41) \quad \frac{\lambda}{(1+M)m} + 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2(1+M)m} > \frac{1}{2},$$

by iteration over l , for

$$(2.42) \quad C_1(\lambda, m, j) = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{(1+M)m}}, 1 - \frac{2}{j} + \frac{3}{j^2} \right\} < 1,$$

$$(2.43) \quad C_2(\lambda, m, j) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{(1+M)m}} \max \left\{ \frac{(1+M)m}{\lambda}, (1+j^2) \right\} > 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.44) \quad & \epsilon |f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1}|_{2,+}^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{(1+M)m} \right) \langle (1+M)\nu_m[f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1}], f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1} \rangle \\
& \leq C_1(\lambda, m, j) \left(\epsilon |f_{\lambda,m,j}^l|_{2,+}^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{(1+M)m} \right) \langle (1+M)\nu_m[f_{\lambda,m,j}^l], f_{\lambda,m,j}^l \rangle \right) \\
& \quad + C_2(\lambda, m, j) \left(\|S\|_2^2 + \epsilon |h|_{2,-}^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the difference of $f_{\lambda,m,j}^{l+1} - f_{\lambda,m,j}^l$, we conclude that $f_{\lambda,m,j}^l$ is a Cauchy sequence. We take $l \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain $f_{\lambda,m,j}$ as a solution to the equation (2.27) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.45) \quad & \epsilon |f_{\lambda,m,j}|_{2,+}^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{(1+M)m} \right) \langle (1+M)\nu_m[f_{\lambda,m,j}], f_{\lambda,m,j} \rangle \\
& \leq \frac{C_2(\lambda, m, j)}{1 - C_1(\lambda, m, j)} \left(\|S\|_2^2 + \epsilon |h|_{2,-}^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

However, the estimate is not uniform in $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 3: The limit $j \rightarrow \infty$.

By Green's identity in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.46) \quad & \lambda \|f_{\lambda,m,j}\|_2^2 + \langle \mathcal{L}_m[f_{\lambda,m,j}], f_{\lambda,m,j} \rangle + \frac{\epsilon}{2} |f_{\lambda,m,j}|_{2,+}^2 \\
& = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left| \left(1 - \frac{1}{j} \right) \mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m,j}] + h \right|_{2,-}^2 + \langle f_{\lambda,m,j}, S \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate for any $\eta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.47) \quad & \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left| \mathcal{P} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{j} \right) f_{\lambda, m, j} \right] + h \right|_{2, -}^2 \\
 &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{j} \right)^2 |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda, m, j}]|_{2, -}^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} |h|_{2, -}^2 + \epsilon \left(1 - \frac{1}{j} \right) \int_{\gamma^-} \mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda, m, j}] h d\gamma \\
 &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda, m, j}]|_{2, -}^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\eta} \right) \frac{\epsilon}{2} |h|_{2, -}^2 + \eta \frac{\epsilon}{2} |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda, m, j}]|_{2, -}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

From

$$(2.48) \quad \langle f_{\lambda, m, j}, S \rangle \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \|f_{\lambda, m, j}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \|S\|_2^2,$$

and the spectral gap of \mathcal{L}_m , which is actually

$$(2.49) \quad \langle \mathcal{L}_m[f_{\lambda, m, j}], f_{\lambda, m, j} \rangle \geq C_3 \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda, m, j}]\|_2^2,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.50) \quad & \lambda \|f_{\lambda, m, j}\|_2^2 + 2C_3 \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda, m, j}]\|_2^2 + \epsilon |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda, m, j}]|_{2, +}^2 \\
 &\leq C_4(\eta, \lambda) \left(\|S\|_2^2 + \epsilon |h|_{2, -}^2 \right) + \eta \epsilon |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda, m, j}]|_{2, -}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where based on

$$(2.51) \quad \mathcal{P} f_{\lambda, m, j} = \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}' > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}')} f_{\lambda, m, j}(\vec{x}, \vec{v}') |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}'| d\vec{v}' = \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} z_\gamma(\vec{x}),$$

and

$$(2.52) \quad \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} > 0} \mu(\vec{v}) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}| d\vec{v} = 1,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.53) \quad & |(1 - \mathcal{P})f_{\lambda,m,j}|_{2,+}^2 \\
&= \int_{\gamma_+} \left(f_{\lambda,m,j}^2 - 2f_{\lambda,m,j}\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m,j}] + (\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m,j}])^2 \right) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}| \, d\vec{v}d\vec{x} \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} > 0} f_{\lambda,m,j}^2 |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}| \, d\vec{v}d\vec{x} - 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} > 0} f_{\lambda,m,j} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} z_\gamma(\vec{x}) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}| \, d\vec{v}d\vec{x} \\
&\quad + \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} > 0} \mu(\vec{v}) z_\gamma^2(\vec{x}) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}| \, d\vec{v}d\vec{x} \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} > 0} f_{\lambda,m,j}^2 |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}| \, d\vec{v} - 2z_\gamma(\vec{x}) \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} > 0} f_{\lambda,m,j} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}| \, d\vec{v} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + z_\gamma^2(\vec{x}) \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} > 0} \mu(\vec{v}) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}| \, d\vec{v} \right) d\vec{x} \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} > 0} f_{\lambda,m,j}^2 |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}| \, d\vec{v} - 2z_\gamma^2(\vec{x}) + z_\gamma^2(\vec{x}) \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} > 0} \mu(\vec{v}) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}| \, d\vec{v} \right) d\vec{x} \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} > 0} f_{\lambda,m,j}^2 |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}| \, d\vec{v} - z_\gamma^2(\vec{x}) \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} > 0} \mu(\vec{v}) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}| \, d\vec{v} \right) d\vec{x} \\
&= |f_{\lambda,m,j}|_{2,+}^2 - |\mathcal{P}f_{\lambda,m,j}|_{2,+}^2 \\
&= |f_{\lambda,m,j}|_{2,+}^2 - |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m,j}]|_{2,-}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.54) \quad C_4(\eta, \lambda) = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2\lambda}, 1 + \frac{1}{2\eta} \right\}.$$

On the other hand, multiplying $f_{\lambda,m,j}$ on both sides of the equation (2.29), we have

$$(2.55) \quad \epsilon \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x (f_{\lambda,m,j})^2 = -2\lambda (f_{\lambda,m,j})^2 - 2f_{\lambda,m,j} \mathcal{L}_m[f_{\lambda,m,j}] + 2f_{\lambda,m,j} S.$$

Taking absolute value and integrating (2.55) over $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$, from (2.50) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.56) \quad & \|\vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x (f_{\lambda,m,j})^2\|_1^2 \leq \frac{1+\lambda}{\epsilon} \left(\|f_{\lambda,m,j}\|_2^2 + \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}[f_{\lambda,m,j}])\|_\nu^2 + \|S\|_2^2 \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1+\lambda}{\epsilon} C_4(\eta, \lambda) \left(\|S\|_2^2 + |h|_{2,-}^2 \right) + (1+\lambda)\eta |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m,j}]|_{2,-}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.1, for any $\gamma \setminus \gamma^{\delta'}$ away from γ_0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.57) \quad & \left| \mathbf{1}_{\gamma \setminus \gamma^{\delta'}} f_{\lambda,m,j} \right|_2^2 \\
& \leq C_5(\delta) \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{\epsilon} C_4(\eta, \lambda) \left(\|S\|_2^2 + |h|_{2,-}^2 \right) + (1+\lambda)\eta |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m,j}]|_{2,-}^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Based on the definition, we can rewrite $\mathcal{P}f = z_\gamma(\vec{x})\sqrt{\mu}$ for a suitable function $z_\gamma(\vec{x})$ and from

$$(2.58) \quad \left| \mathcal{P}[\mathbf{1}_{\gamma \setminus \gamma^{\delta'}} f_{\lambda,m,j}] \right|_2 \leq \left| \mathbf{1}_{\gamma \setminus \gamma^{\delta'}} f_{\lambda,m,j} \right|_2 < \infty,$$

for δ' small, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.59) \quad & \left| \mathcal{P}[\mathbf{1}_{\gamma \setminus \gamma^{\delta'}} f_{\lambda, m, j}] \right|_2^2 \\
 &= \int_{\partial\Omega} |z_\gamma(\vec{x})|^2 \left(\int_{|\vec{n}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{v}| \geq \delta', \delta' \leq |\vec{v}| \leq \frac{1}{\delta'}} \mu(\vec{v}) |\vec{n}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{v}| d\vec{v} \right) d\vec{x} \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |z_\gamma(\vec{x})|^2 d\vec{x} \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mu(\vec{v}) |\vec{n}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{v}| d\vec{v} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda, m, j}]|_2^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we utilize the fact that

$$(2.60) \quad \int_{|\vec{n}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{v}| \leq \delta'} \mu(\vec{v}) |\vec{n}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{v}| d\vec{v} \leq C_6 \delta',$$

$$(2.61) \quad \int_{|\vec{v}| \leq \delta' \text{ or } |\vec{v}| \geq \frac{1}{\delta'}} \mu(\vec{v}) |\vec{n}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{v}| d\vec{v} \leq C_6 \delta'.$$

Therefore, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.62) \quad & \frac{1}{2} |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda, m, j}]|_2^2 - |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda, m, j}]|_{2,+}^2 \\
 &\leq \left| \mathcal{P}[\mathbf{1}_{\gamma \setminus \gamma^{\delta'}} f_{\lambda, m, j}] \right|_2^2 - |(1 - \mathcal{P})[\mathbf{1}_{\gamma \setminus \gamma^{\delta'}} f_{\lambda, m, j}]|_{2,+}^2 \\
 &\leq C_7 \left| \mathbf{1}_{\gamma \setminus \gamma^{\delta'}} f_{\lambda, m, j} \right|_2^2 \\
 &\leq C_7 C_5(\delta) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{\epsilon} C_4(\eta, \lambda) \left(\|S\|_2^2 + |h|_{2,-}^2 \right) + (1 + \lambda)\eta |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda, m, j}]|_{2,-}^2 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Adding $2 \times (2.50)$ to $\epsilon \times (2.62)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.63) \quad & 2\lambda \|f_{\lambda, m, j}\|_2^2 + 4C_3 \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda, m, j}]\|_\nu^2 + \epsilon |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda, m, j}]|_{2,+}^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda, m, j}]|_2^2 \\
 &\leq 2C_7 C_5(\delta) \left(C_4(\eta, \lambda) \left(1 + \frac{1 + \lambda}{\epsilon} \right) \left(\|S\|_2^2 + \epsilon |h|_{2,-}^2 \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \eta \epsilon (1 + \lambda) |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda, m, j}]|_{2,-}^2 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking η sufficiently small and pushing the weak limit $j \rightarrow \infty$, we complete the proof. However, the estimate is not uniform in $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Assume condition (2.2) holds. Then the solution $f_{\lambda, m}$ to the equation (2.29) satisfies the uniform estimate*

$$(2.64) \quad \epsilon \|\mathbb{P}[f_{\lambda, m}]\|_2 \lesssim \epsilon |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]|_{2,+} + \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]\|_2 + \|S\|_2 + \epsilon |h|_{2,-},$$

for $0 \leq \lambda \leq \epsilon \ll 1$ with

$$(2.65) \quad \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda, m}(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \sqrt{\mu} d\vec{v} d\vec{x} = 0.$$

Proof. Applying Green's identity in Lemma 2.2 to the solution of the equation (2.29). Then for any $\psi \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfying $\vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x \psi \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\psi \in L^2(\gamma)$, we have

$$(2.66) \quad \lambda \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda, m} \psi + \epsilon \int_{\gamma_+} f_{\lambda, m} \psi d\gamma - \epsilon \int_{\gamma_-} f_{\lambda, m} \psi d\gamma - \epsilon \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x \psi) f_{\lambda, m} \\ = - \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \psi (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}) [f_{\lambda, m}] + \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} S \psi.$$

Since

$$(2.67) \quad \mathbb{P}[f] = \sqrt{\mu} \left(a + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{b} + \frac{|\vec{v}|^2 - 2}{2} c \right),$$

our goal is to choose a particular test function ψ to estimate a , \vec{b} and c .

Step 1: Estimates of c .

We choose the test function

$$(2.68) \quad \psi = \psi_c = \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_c \right) (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x \phi_c(\vec{x})),$$

where

$$(2.69) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_x \phi_c(\vec{x}) = c(\vec{x}) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \phi_c = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and β_c is a real number to be determined later. Based on the standard elliptic estimates, we have

$$(2.70) \quad \|\phi_c\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \lesssim \|c\|_2.$$

With the choice of (2.68), the right-hand side(RHS) of (2.66) is bounded by

$$(2.71) \quad \text{RHS} \lesssim \|c\|_2 \left(\|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]\|_2 + \|S\|_2 \right).$$

We have

$$(2.72) \quad \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x \psi_c = \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \sum_{i, j=1}^2 \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_c \right) v_i v_j \partial_{ij} \phi_c(\vec{x}),$$

so the left-hand side(LHS) of (2.66) takes the form

$$(2.73) \quad \text{LHS} = \lambda \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda, m} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_c \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 v_i \partial_i \phi_c \right) \\ + \epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda, m} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_c \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 v_i \partial_i \phi_c \right) (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}) \\ - \epsilon \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda, m} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_c \right) \left(\sum_{i, j=1}^2 v_i v_j \partial_{ij} \phi_c \right).$$

We decompose

$$(2.74) \quad (f_{\lambda, m})_\gamma = \mathcal{P} f_{\lambda, m} + \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_+} (1 - \mathcal{P}) [f_{\lambda, m}] + \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_-} h \quad \text{on } \gamma,$$

$$(2.75) \quad f_{\lambda, m} = \sqrt{\mu} \left(a + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{b} + \frac{|\vec{v}|^2 - 2}{2} c \right) + (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}) [f_{\lambda, m}] \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Note that the operator \mathbb{P} and $\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}$ are defined independent of cut-off parameter m . We will choose β_c such that

$$(2.76) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_c \right) v_i^2 d\vec{v} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Since $\mu(\vec{v})$ takes the form

$$(2.77) \quad \mu(\vec{v}) = C \exp\left(-\frac{|\vec{v}|^2}{2}\right),$$

this β_c can always be achieved. Now substitute (2.74) and (2.75) into (2.73). Then based on this choice of β_c and oddness in \vec{v} , there is no $\mathbb{P}[f_{\lambda,m}]$ contribution in the first term, no $\mathcal{P}f_{\lambda,m}$ contribution in the second term, and no a contribution in the third term of (2.68). Since \vec{b} contribution and the off-diagonal c contribution in the third term of (2.73) also vanish due to the oddness in \vec{v} , we can simplify (2.73) into

$$(2.78) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= \lambda \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}] \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_c \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 v_i \partial_i \phi_c \right) \\ &+ \epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_+} (1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda,m}] \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_c \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 v_i \partial_i \phi_c \right) (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}) \\ &+ \epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_-} h \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_c \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 v_i \partial_i \phi_c \right) (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}) \\ &- \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mu(\vec{v}) |v_i|^2 \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_c \right) \frac{|\vec{v}|^2 - 2}{2} d\vec{v} \int_{\Omega} c(\vec{x}) \partial_{ii} \phi_c(\vec{x}) d\vec{x} \\ &- \epsilon \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}] \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_c \right) \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 v_i v_j \partial_{ij} \phi_c \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(2.79) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mu(\vec{v}) |v_i|^2 \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_c \right) \frac{|\vec{v}|^2 - 2}{2} d\vec{v} = C,$$

we have

$$(2.80) \quad \begin{aligned} &- \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \Delta_x \phi_c(\vec{x}) c(\vec{x}) d\vec{x} \\ &\lesssim \|c\|_2 \left(\epsilon \|(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]\|_{2,+} + (1 + \epsilon + \lambda) \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]\|_2 + \|S\|_2 + \epsilon |h|_{2,-} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the elliptic estimates and the trace estimate: $|\nabla_x \phi_c|_2 \lesssim \|\phi_c\|_{H^2} \lesssim \|c\|_2$. Since $-\Delta_x \phi_c = c$, we know

$$(2.81) \quad \begin{aligned} &\epsilon \|c\|_2^2 \\ &\lesssim \|c\|_2 \left(\epsilon \|(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]\|_{2,+} + (1 + \epsilon + \lambda) \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]\|_2 + \|S\|_2 + \epsilon |h|_{2,-} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which further implies

$$(2.82) \quad \epsilon \|c\|_2 \lesssim \epsilon |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]|_{2,+} + (1 + \epsilon + \lambda) \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]\|_2 + \|S\|_2 + \epsilon |h|_{2,-}.$$

Step 2: Estimates of \vec{b} .

Step 2 - Phase 1: Estimates of $(\partial_{ij}\Delta_x^{-1}b_j)b_i$ for $i, j = 1, 2$.
We choose the test function

$$(2.83) \quad \psi = \psi_b^{i,j} = \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} (v_i^2 - \beta_b) \partial_j \phi_b^j,$$

where

$$(2.84) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_x \phi_b^j(\vec{x}) &= b_j(\vec{x}) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \phi_b^j &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and β_b is a real number to be determined later. Based on the standard elliptic estimates, we have

$$(2.85) \quad \left\| \phi_b^j \right\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \lesssim \left\| \vec{b} \right\|_2.$$

With the choice of (2.83), the right-hand side(RHS) of (2.66) is bounded by

$$(2.86) \quad \text{RHS} \lesssim \left\| \vec{b} \right\|_2 \left(\|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]\|_2 + \|S\|_2 \right).$$

Hence, the left-hand side(LHS) of (2.66) takes the form

$$(2.87) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= \lambda \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda,m} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} (v_i^2 - \beta_b) \partial_j \phi_b^j \\ &\quad + \epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda,m} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} (v_i^2 - \beta_b) \partial_j \phi_b^j (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}) \\ &\quad - \epsilon \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda,m} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} (v_i^2 - \beta_b) \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 v_i \partial_{ij} \phi_b^j \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now substitute (2.74) and (2.75) into (2.87). Then based on the oddness in \vec{v} , there is no \vec{b} contribution in the first term, no $\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m}]$ contribution in the second term,

and no a and c contribution in the third term of (2.87). We can simplify (2.87) into (2.88)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{LHS} &= \lambda \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}] \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} (v_i^2 - \beta_b) \partial_j \phi_b^j \\
 &+ \lambda \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} a(\vec{x}) \mu(\vec{v}) (v_i^2 - \beta_b) \partial_j \phi_b^j \\
 &+ \lambda \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} c(\vec{x}) \mu(\vec{v}) \frac{|\vec{v}|^2 - 2}{2} (v_i^2 - \beta_b) \partial_j \phi_b^j \\
 &+ \epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_+} (1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda,m}] \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} (v_i^2 - \beta_b) \partial_j \phi_b^j (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}) \\
 &+ \epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_-} h \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} (v_i^2 - \beta_b) \partial_j \phi_b^j (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}) \\
 &- \epsilon \sum_{l=1}^2 \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mu(\vec{v}) v_l^2 (v_i^2 - \beta_b) \partial_{lj} \phi_b^j(\vec{x}) b_l \\
 &- \epsilon \sum_{l=1}^2 \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}] \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} (v_i^2 - \beta_b) v_l \partial_{lj} \phi_b^j.
 \end{aligned}$$

We will choose β_b such that

$$(2.89) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mu(\vec{v}) (|v_i|^2 - \beta_b) d\vec{v} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Since $\mu(\vec{v})$ takes the form

$$(2.90) \quad \mu(\vec{v}) = C \exp\left(-\frac{|\vec{v}|^2}{2}\right),$$

this β_b can always be achieved. Based on this choice of β_b , we have

$$(2.91) \quad \lambda \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} a_{f_{\lambda,m}} \mu(\vec{v}) (v_i^2 - \beta_b) \partial_j \phi_b^j = 0$$

For such β_b and any $i \neq l$, we can directly compute

$$(2.92) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mu(\vec{v}) (|v_i|^2 - \beta_b) v_l^2 d\vec{v} = 0,$$

$$(2.93) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mu(\vec{v}) (|v_i|^2 - \beta_b) v_i^2 d\vec{v} = C \neq 0.$$

Then we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.94) \quad & -\epsilon \sum_{l=1}^2 \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mu(\vec{v}) v_l^2 (v_i^2 - \beta_b) \partial_{lj} \phi_b^j(\vec{x}) b_l \\
 &= -\epsilon \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mu(\vec{v}) v_i^2 (v_i^2 - \beta_b) \partial_{ij} \phi_b^j(\vec{x}) b_l \\
 & -\epsilon \sum_{l \neq i} \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mu(\vec{v}) v_l^2 (v_i^2 - \beta_b) \partial_{lj} \phi_b^j(\vec{x}) b_l \\
 &= C \int_{\Omega} (\partial_{ij} \Delta_x^{-1} b_j) b_i,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.95) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lambda \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} c(\vec{x}) \mu(\vec{v}) \frac{|\vec{v}|^2 - 2}{2} (v_i^2 - \beta_b) \partial_j \phi_b^j \\ &= \lambda \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} c(\vec{x}) \mu(\vec{v}) \frac{v_i^2 - 2}{2} (v_i^2 - \beta_b) \partial_j \phi_b^j. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2.82), we may estimate

$$(2.96) \quad \begin{aligned} & \epsilon \left| \int_{\Omega} (\partial_{ij} \Delta_x^{-1} b_j) b_i \right| \\ & \lesssim \left\| \vec{b} \right\|_2 \left(\epsilon \|(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]\|_{2,+} + (1 + \epsilon + \lambda) \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]\|_2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|S\|_2 + \epsilon |h|_{2,-} + \lambda \|c\|_2 \right) \\ & \lesssim \left\| \vec{b} \right\|_2 \left((\epsilon + \lambda) \|(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]\|_{2,+} + (1 + \epsilon + \lambda) \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]\|_2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (1 + \lambda) \|S\|_2 + (\epsilon + \lambda) |h|_{2,-} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Step 2 - Phase 2: Estimates of $(\partial_{jj} \Delta_x^{-1} b_i) b_i$ for $i \neq j$.

We choose the test function

$$(2.97) \quad \psi = \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} |\vec{v}|^2 v_i v_j \partial_j \phi_b^i \quad i \neq j.$$

The right-hand side(RHS) of (2.66) is still bounded by

$$(2.98) \quad \text{RHS} \lesssim \left\| \vec{b} \right\|_2 \left(\|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]\|_2 + \|S\|_2 \right).$$

Hence, the left-hand side(LHS) of (2.66) takes the form

$$(2.99) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= \lambda \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda, m} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} |\vec{v}|^2 v_i v_j \partial_j \phi_b^i \\ & \quad + \epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda, m} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} |\vec{v}|^2 v_i v_j \partial_j \phi_b^i (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}) \\ & \quad - \epsilon \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda, m} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} |\vec{v}|^2 v_i v_j \left(\sum_{l=1}^2 v_l \partial_l \phi_b^i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now substitute (2.74) and (2.75) into (2.99). Then based on the oddness in \vec{v} , there is no $\mathbb{P}[f_{\lambda, m}]$ contribution in the first term, no $\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda, m}]$ contribution in the second term, and no a and c contribution in the third term of (2.99). We can simplify

(2.99) into

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.100) \quad \text{LHS} &= \lambda \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}] \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} |\vec{v}|^2 v_i v_j \partial_j \phi_b^i \\
 &+ \epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_+} (1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda,m}] \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} |\vec{v}|^2 v_i v_j \partial_j \phi_b^i (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}) \\
 &+ \epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_-} h \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} |\vec{v}|^2 v_i v_j \partial_j \phi_b^i (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}) \\
 &- \epsilon \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mu(\vec{v}) |\vec{v}|^2 v_i^2 v_j^2 (\partial_{ij} \phi_b^i(\vec{x}) b_j + \partial_{jj} \phi_b^i(\vec{x}) b_i) \\
 &- \epsilon \sum_{l=1}^2 \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}] \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} |\vec{v}|^2 v_i v_j v_l \partial_{lj} \phi_b^i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.101) \quad &- \epsilon \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mu(\vec{v}) |\vec{v}|^2 v_i^2 v_j^2 (\partial_{ij} \phi_b^i(\vec{x}) b_j + \partial_{jj} \phi_b^i(\vec{x}) b_i) \\
 &= C \left(\int_{\Omega} (\partial_{ij} \Delta_x^{-1} b_i) b_j + \int_{\Omega} (\partial_{jj} \Delta_x^{-1} b_i) b_i \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we may estimate for $i \neq j$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.102) \quad \epsilon \left| \int_{\Omega} (\partial_{jj} \Delta_x^{-1} b_i) b_i \right| &\lesssim \|\vec{b}\|_2 \left(\epsilon |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]|_{2,+} + (1 + \epsilon + \lambda) \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]\|_2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \|S\|_2 + \epsilon |h|_{2,-} \right) + \epsilon \left| \int_{\Omega} (\partial_{ij} \Delta_x^{-1} b_i) b_j \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (2.96), for $i = j = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.103) \quad \epsilon \left| \int_{\Omega} (\partial_{jj} \Delta_x^{-1} b_j) b_j \right| &\lesssim \|\vec{b}\|_2 \left((\epsilon + \lambda) |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]|_{2,+} + (1 + \epsilon + \lambda) \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]\|_2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (1 + \lambda) \|S\|_2 + (\epsilon + \lambda) |h|_{2,-} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 2 - Phase 3: Synthesis.

Summarizing (2.102) and (2.103), we may sum up over $j = 1, 2$ to obtain, for any $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.104) \quad \epsilon \|b_i\|_2^2 &\lesssim \|\vec{b}\|_2 \left((\epsilon + \lambda) |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]|_{2,+} + (1 + \epsilon + \lambda) \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]\|_2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (1 + \lambda) \|S\|_2 + (\epsilon + \lambda) |h|_{2,-} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

which further implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.105) \quad \epsilon \|\vec{b}\|_2 &\lesssim (\epsilon + \lambda) |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]|_{2,+} + (1 + \epsilon + \lambda) \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]\|_2 \\
 &\quad + (1 + \lambda) \|S\|_2 + (\epsilon + \lambda) |h|_{2,-}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Estimates of a .

We choose the test function

$$(2.106) \quad \psi = \psi_a = \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a \right) (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x \phi_a(\vec{x})),$$

where

$$(2.107) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_x \phi_a(\vec{x}) &= a(\vec{x}) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial \phi_a}{\partial \vec{n}} &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and β_a is a real number to be determined later. Based on the standard elliptic estimates with

$$(2.108) \quad \int_{\Omega} a(\vec{x}) d\vec{x} = \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda, m}(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) d\vec{v} d\vec{x} = 0,$$

we have

$$(2.109) \quad \|\phi_a\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \lesssim \|a\|_2.$$

With the choice of (2.106), the right-hand side(RHS) of (2.66) is bounded by

$$(2.110) \quad \text{RHS} \lesssim \|a\|_2 \left(\|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]\|_2 + \|S\|_2 \right).$$

We have

$$(2.111) \quad \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x \psi_a = \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \sum_{i, j=1}^2 \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a \right) v_i v_j \partial_{ij} \phi_a(\vec{x}),$$

so the left-hand side(LHS) of (2.66) takes the form

$$(2.112) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= \lambda \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda, m} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 v_i \partial_i \phi_a \right) \\ &\quad + \epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda, m} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 v_i \partial_i \phi_a \right) (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}) \\ &\quad - \epsilon \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda, m} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a \right) \left(\sum_{i, j=1}^2 v_i v_j \partial_{ij} \phi_a \right). \end{aligned}$$

We will choose β_a such that

$$(2.113) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \left(|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a \right) \frac{|\vec{v}|^2 - 2}{2} v_i^2 d\vec{v} = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

Since

$$(2.114) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} \frac{|\vec{v}|^2 - 2}{2} v_i^2 d\vec{v} \neq 0,$$

this β_a can always be achieved. Now substitute (2.74) and (2.75) into (2.112). Then based on this choice of β_a and oddness in \vec{v} , there is no a and c contribution in the first term, and no \vec{b} and c contribution in the third term of (2.112). Since \vec{b}

contribution and the off-diagonal c contribution in the third term of (2.112) also vanish due to the oddness in \vec{v} , we can simplify (2.112) into

(2.115)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{LHS} &= \lambda \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}] \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} (|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a) \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 v_i \partial_i \phi_a \right) \\
 &\quad + \lambda \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mu(\vec{v}) (|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a) \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 b_i v_i^2 \partial_i \phi_a \right) \\
 &\quad + \epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m}] \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} (|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a) \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 v_i \partial_i \phi_a \right) (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}) \\
 &\quad + \epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_+} (1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda,m}] \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} (|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a) \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 v_i \partial_i \phi_a \right) (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}) \\
 &\quad + \epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_-} h \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} (|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a) \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 v_i \partial_i \phi_a \right) (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^2 \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mu(\vec{v}) |v_i|^2 (|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a) d\vec{v} \int_{\Omega} a(\vec{x}) \partial_{ii} \phi_a(\vec{x}) d\vec{x} \\
 &\quad - \epsilon \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}] \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} (|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a) \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 v_i v_j \partial_{ij} \phi_a \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

We make an orthogonal decomposition on the boundary

$$(2.116) \quad \vec{v} = (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}) \vec{n} + (\vec{v}_{\perp}) = v_n \vec{n} + (\vec{v}_{\perp}).$$

Then the contribution of $\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m}] = z_{\gamma}(\vec{x}) \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.117) \quad &\epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m}] \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} (|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a) \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 v_i \partial_i \phi_a \right) (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}) \\
 &= \epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mu(\vec{v}) (|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a) v_n \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x \phi_a z_{\gamma}(\vec{x}) \\
 &= \epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mu(\vec{v}) (|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a) v_n^2 \frac{\partial \phi_a}{\partial \vec{n}} z_{\gamma}(\vec{x}) \\
 &\quad + \epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} \mu(\vec{v}) (|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a) v_n \vec{v}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_x \phi_a z_{\gamma}(\vec{x})
 \end{aligned}$$

Based on the definition of ϕ_a and the oddness of $v_n \vec{v}_{\perp}$, we know the contribution of $\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m}]$ in the second term of (2.112) vanishes. Since

$$(2.118) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})} |v_i|^2 (|\vec{v}|^2 - \beta_a) d\vec{v} = C,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.119) \quad & -\epsilon \int_{\Omega} \Delta_x \phi_a(\vec{x}) a(\vec{x}) d\vec{x} \\
& \lesssim \|a\|_2 \left(\epsilon |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]|_{2,+} + (1 + \epsilon + \lambda) \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]\|_2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|S\|_2 + \epsilon |h|_{2,-} + \lambda \|\vec{b}\|_2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $-\Delta_x \phi_a = a$, by (2.105), we know

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.120) \quad & \epsilon \|a\|_2^2 \lesssim \|a\|_2 \left((\epsilon + \lambda) |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]|_{2,+} + (1 + \epsilon + \lambda) \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]\|_2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (1 + \lambda) \|S\|_2 + (\epsilon + \lambda) |h|_{2,-} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which further implies

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.121) \quad & \epsilon \|a\|_2 \lesssim (\epsilon + \lambda) |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]|_{2,+} + (1 + \epsilon + \lambda) \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]\|_2 \\
& \quad + (1 + \lambda) \|S\|_2 + (\epsilon + \lambda) |h|_{2,-}.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 4: Synthesis.

Collecting (2.82), (2.105) and (2.121), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.122) \quad & \epsilon \|\mathbb{P}[f_{\lambda, m}]\|_2 \lesssim (\epsilon + \lambda) |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]|_{2,+} + (1 + \epsilon + \lambda) \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]\|_2 \\
& \quad + (1 + \lambda) \|S\|_2 + (\epsilon + \lambda) |h|_{2,-},
\end{aligned}$$

This completes our proof. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Assume condition (2.2) holds. Then there exists a unique solution $f \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ to the equation (2.1) that satisfies the normalization condition (2.3) and the estimate*

$$(2.123) \quad \|f\|_2 \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \|S\|_2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1/2}} |h|_{2,-},$$

$$(2.124) \quad |f|_{2,+} \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3/2}} \|S\|_2 + |h|_{2,-}.$$

Proof. We keep m fixed and take $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ for $f_{\lambda, m} \rightarrow f_m$ in Lemma 2.4 with the uniform estimate in Lemma 2.5. Then the compatibility condition (2.2) implies

$$(2.125) \quad \lambda \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda, m}(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) d\vec{v} d\vec{x} = 0.$$

Therefore, we naturally have

$$(2.126) \quad \lambda \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_m(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) d\vec{v} d\vec{x} = 0.$$

We square on both sides of (2.64) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.127) \quad & \epsilon^2 \|\mathbb{P}[f_{\lambda, m}]\|_2 \lesssim \epsilon^2 |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]|_{2,+} + \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda, m}]\|_2 + \|S\|_2 + \epsilon^2 |h|_{2,-}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Green's identity implies

$$(2.128) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lambda \|f_{\lambda,m}\|_2^2 + \langle \mathcal{L}_m f_{\lambda,m}, f_{\lambda,m} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon |f_{\lambda,m}|_{2,+}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m}] + h|_{2,-}^2 + \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda,m} S. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce from the spectral gap of \mathcal{L}_m ,

$$(2.129) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lambda \|f_{\lambda,m}\|_2^2 + \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]\|_2 + \epsilon \frac{1}{2} |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]|_{2,+}^2 \\ & \leq \eta \epsilon |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m}]|_{2,-}^2 + \frac{1}{\eta} \epsilon |h|_{2,-}^2 + \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda,m} S. \end{aligned}$$

From the argument of (2.59) and the equation (2.29), since $\mathcal{L}_m = \mathcal{L}_m(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})$, we have

$$(2.130) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m}]|_{2,-}^2 & \lesssim \|\vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x (f_{\lambda,m})^2\|_1 + \|f_{\lambda,m}\|_2^2 \\ & \lesssim \left(\frac{\lambda}{\epsilon} + 1 \right) \|f_{\lambda,m}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]\|_2^2 + \|S\|_2^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging (2.130) into (2.129) with η sufficiently small to absorb into the left-hand side, we obtain

$$(2.131) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lambda \|f_{\lambda,m}\|_2^2 + \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]\|_2 + \epsilon |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]|_{2,+}^2 \\ & \lesssim \epsilon |h|_{2,-}^2 + \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda,m} S + \|S\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

which further implies

$$(2.132) \quad \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]\|_2 + \epsilon |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]|_{2,+}^2 \lesssim \epsilon |h|_{2,-}^2 + \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda,m} S + \|S\|_2.$$

Multiplying a small constant on both sides of (2.127) and adding to (2.132), we obtain

$$(2.133) \quad \epsilon^2 \|f_{\lambda,m}\|_2^2 + \epsilon |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]|_{2,+}^2 \lesssim \epsilon |h|_{2,-}^2 + \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda,m} S + \|S\|_2.$$

Since

$$(2.134) \quad \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2} f_{\lambda,m} S \lesssim C \epsilon^2 \|f_{\lambda,m}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4C\epsilon^2} \|S\|_2^2,$$

for C sufficiently small, we have

$$(2.135) \quad \epsilon^2 \|f_{\lambda,m}\|_2^2 + \epsilon |(1 - \mathcal{P})[f_{\lambda,m}]|_{2,+}^2 \lesssim \epsilon |h|_{2,-}^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \|S\|_2$$

Hence, we deduce

$$(2.136) \quad \|f_{\lambda,m}\|_2 \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \|S\|_2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1/2}} |h|_{2,-}.$$

Then based on (2.128), we have

$$(2.137) \quad |f_{\lambda,m}|_{2,+} \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3/2}} \|S\|_2 + |h|_{2,-}.$$

This is a uniform estimate in λ , so we can obtain a weak solution $f_{\lambda,m} \rightarrow f_m$ with the same estimate (2.137). Moreover, we have

$$(2.138) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda(f_{\lambda,m} - f_m) + \epsilon \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x (f_{\lambda,m} - f_m) + \mathcal{L}_m[f_{\lambda,m} - f_m] & = \lambda f_m, \\ (f_{\lambda,m} - f_m)(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) & = \mathcal{P}[f_{\lambda,m,j} - f_m]. \end{cases}$$

Then we have the estimate

$$(2.139) \quad \|f_{\lambda,m} - f_m\|_2 \lesssim \frac{\lambda}{\epsilon^2} \|f_m\|_2.$$

Hence, $f_{\lambda,m} \rightarrow f_m$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Then we can take the limit $f_m \rightarrow f$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. By a diagonal process, there exists a unique weak solution such that $f_m \rightarrow f$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2)$. Then the weak lower semi-continuity implies f satisfies the same estimate (2.137). \square

Remark 2.7. *Based on the proof of Lemma 2.6, we have the detailed estimates:*

$$(2.140) \quad \|f\|_2 \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \|\mathbb{P}[S]\|_2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[S]\|_2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1/2}} |h|_{2,-},$$

$$(2.141) \quad |f|_{2,+} \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3/2}} \|\mathbb{P}[S]\|_2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1/2}} \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[S]\|_2 + |h|_{2,-}.$$

2.3. L^∞ Estimates of Linearized Steady Boltzmann Equation.

Definition 2.8. *(Stochastic Cycle) For fixed point (\vec{x}, \vec{v}) with $(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \notin \gamma_0$, let $(t_0, \vec{x}_0, \vec{v}_0) = (0, \vec{x}, \vec{v})$. For \vec{v}_{k+1} such that $\vec{v}_{k+1} \cdot \vec{n}(\vec{x}_{k+1}) > 0$, define the $(k+1)$ -component of the back-time cycle as*

$$(2.142) \quad (t_{k+1}, \vec{x}_{k+1}, \vec{v}_{k+1}) = (t_k + t_b(\vec{x}_k, \vec{v}_k), \vec{x}_b(\vec{x}_k, \vec{v}_k), \vec{v}_{k+1}),$$

where

$$(2.143) \quad t_b(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) = \inf\{t > 0 : \vec{x} - \epsilon t \vec{v} \notin \Omega\},$$

$$(2.144) \quad x_b(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) = \vec{x} - \epsilon t_b(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \vec{v} \notin \Omega.$$

Set

$$(2.145) \quad X_{cl}(s; \vec{x}, \vec{v}) = \sum_k \mathbf{1}_{\{t_k \leq s < t_{k+1}\}} \left(\vec{x}_k - \epsilon(t_k - s) \vec{v}_k \right),$$

$$(2.146) \quad V_{cl}(s; \vec{x}, \vec{v}) = \sum_k \mathbf{1}_{\{t_k \leq s < t_{k+1}\}} \vec{v}_k.$$

Define $\mathcal{V}_k = \{\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \vec{v} \cdot \vec{n}(\vec{x}_k) > 0\}$, and let the iterated integral for $k \geq 2$ be defined as

$$(2.147) \quad \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{V}_j} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} d\sigma_j = \int_{\mathcal{V}_1} \dots \left(\int_{\mathcal{V}_{k-1}} d\sigma_{k-1} \right) \dots d\sigma_1$$

where $d\sigma_j = (\vec{n}(\vec{x}_j) \cdot \vec{v}) d\vec{v}$ is a probability measure. We define a weight function scaled with parameter ϱ ,

$$(2.148) \quad w_\varrho(\vec{v}) = w_{\varrho, \beta, \zeta}(\vec{v}) = \left(1 + \varrho^2 |\vec{v}|^2\right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2},$$

and

$$(2.149) \quad \tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v})}w_\varrho(\vec{v})} = \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{e^{(\frac{1}{4}-\zeta)|\vec{v}|^2}}{(1 + \varrho^2 |\vec{v}|^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}.$$

Lemma 2.9. *For $T > 0$ sufficiently large, there exists constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of T , such that for $k = C_1 T^{5/4}$, and $(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \in \times \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^2$,*

$$(2.150) \quad \int_{\prod_{k=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{V}_j} \mathbf{1}_{\{t_k(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{k-1}) < T\}} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} d\sigma_j \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{C_2 T^{5/4}}.$$

We also have, for $\beta > 2$,

$$(2.151) \quad \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{V}_j} \tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v}_l) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} d\sigma_j \leq \frac{C(\beta, \zeta)}{\varrho^3},$$

$$(2.152) \quad \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{V}_j} \mathbf{1}_{\{t_k(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{k-1}) < T\}} \tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v}_l) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} d\sigma_j \leq \frac{C(\beta, \zeta)}{\varrho^3} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{C_2 T^{5/4}}.$$

Proof. The proof of (2.150) can be found in the proof of [3, Lemma 4.1], so we only present a brief explanation of (2.151) and (2.152). We directly estimate

$$(2.153) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{V}_j} \tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v}_l) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} d\sigma_j &\leq \int_{\mathcal{V}_l} \tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v}_l) d\sigma_l \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{v_l > 0} \frac{v_l e^{-(\frac{1}{4}+\zeta)|\vec{v}|^2}}{(1 + \varrho^2 |\vec{v}|^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}} d\vec{v} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{u_l > 0} \frac{C(\zeta) u_l}{(1 + |\vec{u}|^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}} \varrho^{-3} d\vec{u} \\ &\leq \frac{C(\beta, \zeta)}{\varrho^3}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{u} = \varrho \vec{v}$ and $\beta > 2$. This completes the proof of (2.151). Since $v_l^2 \mu^2(\vec{v}) \leq C v_l \mu(\vec{v})$ with $v_l > 0$ and some uniform constant $C > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.154) \quad & \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{V}_j} \mathbf{1}_{\{t_k(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{k-1}) < T\}} \tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v}_l) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} d\sigma_j \\
& \leq \left(\int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{V}_j} \mathbf{1}_{\{t_k(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{k-1}) < T\}} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} d\sigma_j \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{V}_j} \tilde{w}_\varrho^2(\vec{v}_l) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} d\sigma_j \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{C_2 T^{5/4}} \left(\int_{\mathcal{V}_l} \tilde{w}_\varrho^2(\vec{v}_l) d\sigma_l \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{C_2 T^{5/4}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{v_l > 0} \frac{v_l e^{-2\zeta |\vec{v}|^2}}{(1 + \varrho^2 |\vec{v}|^2)^\beta} d\vec{v} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{C_2 T^{5/4}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{u_l > 0} \frac{C(\zeta) u_l}{(1 + |\vec{u}|^2)^\beta} \varrho^{-3} d\vec{u} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{C_2 T^{5/4}} \frac{C(\beta, \zeta)}{\varrho^3}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (2.152). \square

Lemma 2.10. *We have*

(2.155)

$$|k(\vec{v}, \vec{v}')| \leq C \left(|\vec{v} - \vec{v}'| + \left| \frac{1}{\vec{v} - \vec{v}'} \right| \right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{8} |\vec{v} - \vec{v}'|^2 - \frac{1}{8} \frac{|\vec{v}|^2 - |\vec{v}'|^2}{|\vec{v} - \vec{v}'|^2} \right).$$

Let $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1/4$. Then there exists $0 \leq C_1(\zeta) < 1$ and $C_2(\zeta) > 0$ such that for $0 \leq \delta \leq C_1(\zeta)$,

(2.156)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(|\vec{v} - \vec{v}'| + \left| \frac{1}{\vec{v} - \vec{v}'} \right| \right) \exp \left(-\frac{1-\delta}{8} |\vec{v} - \vec{v}'|^2 - \frac{1-\delta}{8} \frac{|\vec{v}|^2 - |\vec{v}'|^2}{|\vec{v} - \vec{v}'|^2} \right) \frac{\varrho(\vec{v}) e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2}}{\varrho(\vec{v}') e^{\zeta |\vec{v}'|^2}} d\vec{v}' \\
& \leq \frac{C_2(\zeta)}{1 + |\vec{v}|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. See [7, Lemma 3]. \square

Lemma 2.11. *Assume condition (2.2) holds. Then there exists a unique solution $f \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ to the equation (2.1) that satisfies the normalization condition (2.3) and the estimate for $\beta > 2$, $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1/4$ and ϱ sufficiently large,*

$$(2.157) \quad \|w_\varrho f\|_\infty + |w_\varrho f|_{\infty, -} \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \|w_\varrho S\|_\infty + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3/2}} |w_\varrho h|_{\infty, -}.$$

Proof. We divide the proof into several steps:

Step 1: Mild formulation.

Denote

$$(2.158) \quad g = w_\varrho f,$$

$$(2.159) \quad K_{w_\varrho}[g] = w_\varrho K \left[\frac{1}{w_\varrho} g \right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} k_{w_\varrho}(\vec{v}, \vec{v}') g(\vec{v}') d\vec{v}'.$$

We can rewrite the solution of the equation (2.1) along the characteristics by Duhamel's principle as

$$(2.160) \quad \begin{aligned} g(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) &= w_\varrho(\vec{v}) h(\vec{x} - \epsilon t_1 \vec{v}, \vec{v}) e^{-\nu(\vec{v}) t_1} \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_1} w_\varrho S(\vec{x} - \epsilon(t_1 - s) \vec{v}, \vec{v}) e^{-\nu(\vec{v})(t_1 - s)} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_1} K_{w_\varrho}[g](\vec{x} - \epsilon(t_1 - s) \vec{v}, \vec{v}) e^{-\nu(\vec{v})(t_1 - s)} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{e^{-\nu(\vec{v}) t_1}}{\tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v})} \int_{\mathcal{V}_1} g(\vec{x}_1, \vec{v}_1) \tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v}_1) d\sigma_1. \end{aligned}$$

We may further rewrite the equation (2.1) along the characteristics as

$$(2.161) \quad \begin{aligned} g(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) &= w_\varrho(\vec{v}) h(\vec{x} - \epsilon t_1 \vec{v}, \vec{v}) e^{-\nu(\vec{v}) t_1} \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_1} w_\varrho(\vec{v}) S(\vec{x} - \epsilon(t_1 - s) \vec{v}, \vec{v}) e^{-\nu(\vec{v})(t_1 - s)} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_1} K_{w_\varrho(\vec{v})}[g](\vec{x} - \epsilon(t_1 - s) \vec{v}, \vec{v}) e^{-\nu(\vec{v})(t_1 - s)} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v})} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \int_{\prod_{j=1}^l \mathcal{V}_j} G \tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v}_l) \left(\prod_{j=1}^l e^{-\nu(\vec{v}_j)(t_{j+1} - t_j)} d\sigma_j \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v})} \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{V}_j} \mathcal{P}_{w_\varrho(\vec{v}_{k-1})}[g](\vec{x}_k, \vec{v}'_{k-1}) \tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v}_{k-1}) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} e^{-\nu(\vec{v}_j)(t_{j+1} - t_j)} d\sigma_j \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.162) \quad \begin{aligned} G &= h(\vec{x}_l - \epsilon t_{l+1} \vec{v}_l, \vec{v}_l) w_\varrho(\vec{v}_l) + \int_0^{t_l} \left(S(\vec{x}_l - \epsilon(t_{l+1} - s) \vec{v}_l, \vec{v}_l) w_\varrho(\vec{v}_l) e^{\nu(\vec{v}_l) s} \right) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_l} \left(K_{w_\varrho(\vec{v}_l)}[g](\vec{x}_l - \epsilon(t_{l+1} - s) \vec{v}_l, \vec{v}_l) e^{\nu(\vec{v}_l) s} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Estimates of source terms and boundary terms.

We set $k = CT^{5/4}$ and take absolute value on both sides of (2.161). Then the source term and boundary term in (2.161) and (2.162) can be bounded as

$$(2.163) \quad |w_\varrho h|_{\infty, -} + \|w_\varrho S\|_\infty$$

due to Lemma 2.9 and

$$(2.164) \quad \frac{1}{\tilde{w}_\varrho} \leq C(\beta, \zeta) \varrho^\beta.$$

The last term in (2.161) can be decomposed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.165) \quad & \frac{1}{\tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v})} \int_{\Pi_{j=1}^{k-1} \nu_j} \mathcal{P}_{w_\varrho(\vec{v}_{k-1})}[g](\vec{x}_k, \vec{v}'_{k-1}) \tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v}_{k-1}) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} e^{-\nu(\vec{v}_j)(t_{j+1}-t_j)} d\sigma_j \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v})} \int_{\Pi_{j=1}^{k-1} \nu_j} \mathbf{1}\{t_k \leq T\} \mathcal{P}_{w_\varrho(\vec{v}_{k-1})}[g](\vec{x}_k, \vec{v}'_{k-1}) \tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v}_{k-1}) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} e^{-\nu(\vec{v}_j)(t_{j+1}-t_j)} d\sigma_j \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v})} \int_{\Pi_{j=1}^{k-1} \nu_j} \mathbf{1}\{t_k \geq T\} \mathcal{P}_{w_\varrho(\vec{v}_{k-1})}[g](\vec{x}_k, \vec{v}'_{k-1}) \tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v}_{k-1}) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} e^{-\nu(\vec{v}_j)(t_{j+1}-t_j)} d\sigma_j \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Based on Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.166) \quad & \left| \frac{1}{\tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v})} \int_{\Pi_{j=1}^{k-1} \nu_j} \mathbf{1}\{t_k \leq T\} \mathcal{P}_{w_\varrho(\vec{v}_{k-1})}[g](\vec{x}_k, \vec{v}'_{k-1}) \tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v}_{k-1}) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} e^{-\nu(\vec{v}_j)(t_{j+1}-t_j)} d\sigma_j \right) \right| \\
&\lesssim C(\beta, \zeta) \varrho^{\beta-3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{C_2 T^{5/4}} \|g\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Based on Lemma 2.9 and $\nu_0(1 + |\vec{v}'|) \leq \nu(\vec{v}) \leq \nu_1(1 + |\vec{v}'|)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.167) \quad & \left| \frac{1}{\tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v})} \int_{\Pi_{j=1}^{k-1} \nu_j} \mathbf{1}\{t_k \geq T\} \mathcal{P}_{w_\varrho(\vec{v}_{k-1})}[g](\vec{x}_k, \vec{v}'_{k-1}) \tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v}_{k-1}) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} e^{-\nu(\vec{v}_j)(t_{j+1}-t_j)} d\sigma_j \right) \right| \\
&\lesssim e^{-\nu_0 T} C(\beta) \varrho^{\beta-3} \|g\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking T sufficiently large, we get

$$(2.168) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v})} \int_{\Pi_{j=1}^{k-1} \nu_j} g(\vec{x}_k, \vec{v}_{k-1}) \tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v}_{k-1}) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} e^{-\nu(\vec{v}_j)(t_{j+1}-t_j)} d\sigma_j \right) \right| \leq \delta \|g\|_\infty.$$

for δ arbitrarily small.

Step 3: Estimates of K_{w_ϱ} terms.

Collecting all above estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.169) \quad & |g(\vec{x}, \vec{v})| \\
&\leq \left| \int_0^{t_1} K_{w_\varrho(\vec{v})}[g](\vec{x} - \epsilon(t_1 - s)\vec{v}, \vec{v}) e^{-\nu(\vec{v})(t_1-s)} ds \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \frac{1}{\tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v})} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \int_{\Pi_{j=1}^l \nu_j} \left(\int_0^{t_l} \left(K_{w_\varrho(\vec{v}_l)}[g](\vec{x}_l - \epsilon(t_l - s)\vec{v}_l, \vec{v}_l) e^{\nu(\vec{v}_l)s} \right) ds \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \tilde{w}_\varrho(\vec{v}_l) \left(\prod_{j=1}^l e^{-\nu(\vec{v}_j)(t_{j+1}-t_j)} d\sigma_j \right) \right| \\
&\quad + A,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.170) \quad |A| \leq |w_\rho h|_{\infty, -} + \|w_\rho S\|_\infty + \delta \|g\|_\infty.$$

Define the back-time stochastic cycle from $(s, X_{cl}(s; \vec{x}, \vec{v}), \vec{v}')$ as $(t'_i, \vec{x}'_i, \vec{v}'_i)$. Then we can rewrite K_{w_ρ} along the characteristics as

$$(2.171) \quad \begin{aligned} & |K_{w_\rho(\vec{v})}[g](\vec{x} - \epsilon(t_1 - s)\vec{v}, \vec{v})| = |K_{w_\rho(\vec{v})}[g](X_{cl}, \vec{v})| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} k_{w_\rho(\vec{v})}(\vec{v}, \vec{v}') g(X_{cl}, \vec{v}') d\vec{v}' \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^{t'_1} k_{w_\rho(\vec{v})}(\vec{v}, \vec{v}') K_{w_\rho(\vec{v}')}[g](X_{cl} - \epsilon(t'_1 - r)\vec{v}', \vec{v}') e^{-\nu(\vec{v}')(t'_1 - r)} dr d\vec{v}' \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{\tilde{w}_\rho(\vec{v}')} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \int_{\prod_{j=1}^l \mathcal{V}_j} \tilde{w}_\rho(\vec{v}'_l) \left(\prod_{j=1}^l e^{-\nu(\vec{v}'_j)(t'_{j+1} - t'_j)} d\sigma'_j \right) d\vec{v}' \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\int_0^{t'_i} \left(k_{w_\rho(\vec{v})}(\vec{v}, \vec{v}') K_{w_\rho(\vec{v}'_l)}[g](\vec{x}'_l - \epsilon(t'_l - r)\vec{v}'_l, \vec{v}') e^{\nu(\vec{v}'_l)r} \right) dr \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} k_{w_\rho(\vec{v})}(\vec{v}, \vec{v}') Ad\vec{v}' \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^{t'_1} k_{w_\rho(\vec{v})}(\vec{v}, \vec{v}') k_{w_\rho(\vec{v}')}(\vec{v}', \vec{v}'') g(X_{cl} - \epsilon(t'_1 - r)\vec{v}', \vec{v}'') e^{-\nu(\vec{v}')(t'_1 - r)} dr d\vec{v}' d\vec{v}'' \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{\tilde{w}_\rho(\vec{v}')} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \int_{\prod_{j=1}^l \mathcal{V}_j} \tilde{w}_\rho(\vec{v}'_l) \left(\prod_{j=1}^l e^{-\nu(\vec{v}'_j)(t'_{j+1} - t'_j)} d\sigma'_j \right) d\vec{v}' d\vec{v}'' \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\int_0^{t'_i} \left(k_{w_\rho(\vec{v}')}(\vec{v}, \vec{v}') k_{w_\rho(\vec{v}'_l)}(\vec{v}'_l, \vec{v}'') g(\vec{x}'_l - \epsilon(t'_l - r)\vec{v}'_l, \vec{v}'') e^{\nu(\vec{v}'_l)r} \right) dr \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} k_{w_\rho(\vec{v}')}(\vec{v}, \vec{v}') Ad\vec{v}' \right| \\ &= I + II + III. \end{aligned}$$

Part *III* can be directly estimated as

$$(2.172) \quad III \leq |w_\rho h|_{\infty, -} + \|w_\rho S\|_\infty + \delta \|g\|_\infty.$$

We can divide *I* into four cases:

$$(2.173) \quad I = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.$$

Case I: $|\vec{v}| \geq N$.

Based on Lemma 2.10 with $\delta = 0$, we have

$$(2.174) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} k_{w_\rho(\vec{v})}(\vec{v}, \vec{v}') k_{w_\rho(\vec{v}')}(\vec{v}', \vec{v}'') d\vec{v}' d\vec{v}'' \right| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |\vec{v}|} \leq \frac{C}{N}.$$

Hence, we get

$$(2.175) \quad I_1 \leq \frac{C}{N} \|g\|_\infty.$$

Case II: $|\vec{v}| \leq N$, $|\vec{v}'| \geq 2N$, or $|\vec{v}'| \leq 2N$, $|\vec{v}''| \geq 3N$.

Notice this implies either $|\vec{v}' - \vec{v}| \geq N$ or $|\vec{v}' - \vec{v}''| \geq N$. Hence, either of the following is valid correspondingly:

$$(2.176) \quad |k_{w_\rho(\vec{v})}(\vec{v}, \vec{v}')| \leq e^{-\frac{\delta}{8}N^2} \left| k_{w_\rho(\vec{v})}(\vec{v}, \vec{v}') e^{\frac{\delta}{8}|\vec{v}-\vec{v}'|^2} \right|,$$

$$(2.177) \quad |k_{w_\rho(\vec{v}')}(\vec{v}', \vec{v}'')| \leq e^{-\frac{\delta}{8}N^2} \left| k_{w_\rho(\vec{v}')}(\vec{v}', \vec{v}'') e^{\frac{\delta}{8}|\vec{v}'-\vec{v}''|^2} \right|.$$

Then based on Lemma 2.10,

$$(2.178) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |k_{w_\rho(\vec{v})}(\vec{v}, \vec{v}') e^{\frac{\delta}{8}|\vec{v}-\vec{v}'|^2}| d\vec{v}' < \infty,$$

$$(2.179) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |k_{w_\rho(\vec{v}')}(\vec{v}', \vec{v}'') e^{\frac{\delta}{8}|\vec{v}'-\vec{v}''|^2}| d\vec{v}'' < \infty.$$

Hence, we have

$$(2.180) \quad I_2 \leq C e^{-\frac{\delta}{8}N^2} \|g\|_\infty.$$

Case III: $t'_1 - r \leq \delta$.

In this case, since the integral is restricted in a very short interval, there is a small contribution as

$$(2.181) \quad I_3 \leq C\delta \|g\|_\infty.$$

Case IV: $t'_1 - r \geq \delta$ and $|\vec{v}| \leq N$, $|\vec{v}'| \leq 2N$, $|\vec{v}''| \leq 3N$.

Note that all the other possibilities of \vec{v} , \vec{v}' and \vec{v}'' have been included in Case II. Since $k_{w_\rho(\vec{v})}(\vec{v}, \vec{v}')$ has possible integrable singularity of $1/|\vec{v} - \vec{v}'|$, we can introduce $k_N(\vec{v}, \vec{v}')$ smooth with compact support such that

$$(2.182) \quad \sup_{|p| \leq 3N} \int_{|\vec{v}'| \leq 3N} |k_N(p, \vec{v}') - k_{w_\rho(p)}(p, \vec{v}')| d\vec{v}' \leq \frac{1}{N}.$$

Then we can split

$$(2.183) \quad \begin{aligned} k_{w_\rho(\vec{v})}(\vec{v}, \vec{v}') k_{w_\rho(\vec{v}')}(\vec{v}', \vec{v}'') &= k_N(\vec{v}, \vec{v}') k_N(\vec{v}', \vec{v}'') \\ &+ \left(k_{w_\rho(\vec{v})}(\vec{v}, \vec{v}') - k_N(\vec{v}, \vec{v}') \right) k_{w_\rho(\vec{v}')}(\vec{v}', \vec{v}'') \\ &+ \left(k_{w_\rho(\vec{v}')}(\vec{v}', \vec{v}'') - k_N(\vec{v}', \vec{v}'') \right) k_N(\vec{v}, \vec{v}'). \end{aligned}$$

This means we further split I_4 into

$$(2.184) \quad I_4 = I_{4,1} + I_{4,2} + I_{4,3}.$$

Based on the estimate (2.182), we have

$$(2.185) \quad I_{4,2} \leq \frac{C}{N} \|g\|_\infty,$$

$$(2.186) \quad I_{4,3} \leq \frac{C}{N} \|g\|_\infty.$$

Therefore, the only remaining term is $I_{4,1}$. Note we always have $X_{cl} - \epsilon(t'_1 - r)\bar{v}' \in \Omega$. Hence, we define the change of variable $\bar{y} = (y_1, y_2) = X_{cl} - \epsilon(t'_1 - r)\bar{v}'$ such that

$$(2.187) \quad \left| \frac{d\bar{y}}{d\bar{v}'} \right| = \left\| \begin{array}{cc} \epsilon(t'_1 - r) & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon(t'_1 - r) \end{array} \right\| = \epsilon^2(t'_1 - r) \geq \epsilon^2\delta^2.$$

Since k_N is bounded and $|\bar{v}''| \leq 3N$, we estimate

$$(2.188) \begin{aligned} I_{4,1} &\leq C \left| \int_{|\bar{v}'| \leq 2N} \int_{|\bar{v}''| \leq 3N} \int_0^{t'_1} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_{cl} - \epsilon(t'_1 - r)\bar{v}' \in \Omega\}} g(X_{cl} - \epsilon(t'_1 - r)\bar{v}', \bar{v}'') dr d\bar{v}' d\bar{v}'' \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon\delta} \left| \int_0^{t'_1} \int_{|\bar{v}''| \leq 3N} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{y} \in \Omega\}} g(\bar{y}, \bar{v}'') d\bar{y} d\bar{v}'' dr \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon\delta} \left\| \frac{g(\bar{y}, \bar{v}'')}{w_{\varrho}(\bar{v}'')} \right\|_2 \\ &= \frac{C}{\epsilon\delta} \left\| \frac{g}{w_{\varrho}} \right\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Summarize all above in Case IV, we obtain

$$(2.189) \quad I_4 \leq \frac{C}{N} \|g\|_{\infty} + \frac{C}{\epsilon\delta} \left\| \frac{g}{w_{\varrho}} \right\|_2.$$

Therefore, we already prove

$$(2.190) \quad I \leq \left(C e^{-\frac{\delta}{8}N^2} + \frac{C}{N} + \delta \right) \|g\|_{\infty} + \frac{C}{\epsilon\delta} \left\| \frac{g}{w_{\varrho}} \right\|_2$$

Choosing δ sufficiently small and then taking N sufficiently large, we have

$$(2.191) \quad I \leq C\delta \|g\|_{\infty} + \frac{C}{\epsilon\delta} \left\| \frac{g}{w_{\varrho}} \right\|_2.$$

A similar technique can justify

$$(2.192) \quad II \leq C\delta \|g\|_{\infty} + \frac{C}{\epsilon\delta} \left\| \frac{g}{w_{\varrho}} \right\|_2.$$

Hence, this yields the $K_{w_{\varrho}}g$ estimates in (2.161).

Step 4: Synthesis.

Collecting all above, based on the mild formulation (2.161) we have shown

$$(2.193) \quad \|g\|_{\infty} \leq \delta \|g\|_{\infty} + \frac{C}{\epsilon\delta} \left\| \frac{g}{w_{\varrho}} \right\|_2 + C \|w_{\varrho}S\|_{\infty} + C |w_{\varrho}h|_{\infty,-}.$$

Since δ is sufficiently small, based on Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$(2.194) \quad \begin{aligned} \|g\|_{\infty} &\lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left\| \frac{g}{w_{\varrho}} \right\|_2 + \|w_{\varrho}S\|_{\infty} + |w_{\varrho}h|_{\infty,-} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \|S\|_2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3/2}} |h|_{2,-} + \|w_{\varrho}S\|_{\infty} + |w_{\varrho}h|_{\infty,-}. \end{aligned}$$

due to $f = g/w_{\varrho}$. Then this completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.12. *Assume condition (2.2) holds. Then there exists a unique solution $f \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ to the equation (2.1) that satisfies the normalization condition (2.3) and the estimate for ϑ sufficiently large and $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1/4$,*

$$(2.195) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} f \right\|_\infty + \left| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} f \right|_{\infty, -} \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} S \right\|_\infty + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3/2}} \left| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} h \right|_{\infty, -}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is easy to see the relation for ϑ sufficiently large, we have

$$(2.196) \quad \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} \lesssim w_\varrho \lesssim \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2}.$$

Then Lemma 2.11 naturally implies the desired result. \square

Remark 2.13. *Based on Remark 2.7 and the proof of Lemma 2.12, we have the detailed estimates:*

$$(2.197) \quad \|f\|_\infty \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \|\mathbb{P}[S]\|_\infty + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[S]\|_\infty + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3/2}} |h|_{\infty, -},$$

$$(2.198) \quad |f|_{\infty, +} \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5/2}} \|\mathbb{P}[S]\|_\infty + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3/2}} \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P})[S]\|_\infty + \frac{1}{\epsilon} |h|_{\infty, -}.$$

3. ϵ -MILNE PROBLEM

We consider the ϵ -Milne problem for $g^\epsilon(\eta, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi)$ in the domain $(\eta, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) \in [0, \infty) \times [-\pi, \pi) \times \mathbb{R}^2$

(3.1)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_\eta \frac{\partial g^\epsilon}{\partial \eta} + G(\epsilon; \eta) \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial g^\epsilon}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial g^\epsilon}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \mathcal{L}[g^\epsilon] = S^\epsilon(\eta, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi), \\ g^\epsilon(0, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) = h^\epsilon(\phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) \text{ for } v_\eta > 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_\eta \sqrt{\mu} g^\epsilon(0, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi = - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-V(s)} \sqrt{\mu} S^\epsilon(s, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi ds, \\ \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} g^\epsilon(\eta, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) = g_\infty^\epsilon(\phi, v_\eta, v_\phi), \end{array} \right.$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad g_\infty^\epsilon(\phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) = \sqrt{\mu} \left(D_0 + D_1 v_\eta + D_2 v_\phi + D_3 |\vec{v}|^2 \right),$$

$$(3.3) \quad G(\epsilon; \eta) = - \frac{\epsilon \psi(\epsilon^{1/2} \eta^{1/2})}{1 - \epsilon \eta},$$

$$(3.4) \quad \psi(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq z \leq 1/2, \\ 0 & 3/4 \leq z \leq \infty, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.5) \quad |\langle h \rangle^\epsilon(\phi, v_\eta, v_\phi)| \leq M,$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \left| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\nu e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} S^\epsilon(\eta, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) \right| \leq C e^{-K\eta},$$

for M and K uniform in ϵ and ϕ .

We can define the potential function $W(\epsilon; \eta)$ as $G(\epsilon; \eta) = -\partial_\eta W(\epsilon; \eta)$ with $W(\epsilon; 0) = 0$.

Our main goal is to find

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{h}(\phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) = \sqrt{\mu} \left(\tilde{D}_0 + \tilde{D}_1 v_\eta + \tilde{D}_2 v_\phi + \tilde{D}_3 |\vec{v}|^2 \right),$$

such that we can define the well-posed ϵ -Milne problem for $\mathcal{G}^\epsilon(\eta, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi)$ in the domain $(\eta, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) \in [0, \infty) \times [-\pi, \pi) \times \mathbb{R}^2$

(3.8)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_\eta \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}^\epsilon}{\partial \eta} + G(\epsilon; \eta) \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}^\epsilon}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}^\epsilon}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{G}^\epsilon] = S^\epsilon(\eta, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi), \\ \mathcal{G}^\epsilon(0, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) = (h^\epsilon - \tilde{h}^\epsilon)(\phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) \text{ for } v_\eta > 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_\eta \sqrt{\mu} \mathcal{G}^\epsilon(0, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi = - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-V(s)} \sqrt{\mu} S^\epsilon(s, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi ds, \\ \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{G}^\epsilon(\eta, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

For notational simplicity, we omit ϵ and ϕ dependence in g^ϵ in this section. The same convention also applies to $H(\epsilon; \eta)$, $W(\epsilon; \eta)$, $S^\epsilon(\eta, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi)$ and $h^\epsilon(\phi, v_\eta, v_\phi)$. However, our estimates are independent of ϵ and ϕ .

In this section, we introduce some special notations to describe the norms in the space $(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$. Define the L^2 norm as follows:

$$(3.9) \quad \|f(\eta)\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)|^2 dv_\eta dv_\phi \right)^{1/2},$$

$$(3.10) \quad \| \|f\| \| = \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)|^2 dv_\eta dv_\phi d\eta \right)^{1/2}.$$

Define the inner product in (v_η, v_ϕ) space

$$(3.11) \quad \langle f, g \rangle(\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) g(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi.$$

Define the L^∞ norm as follows:

$$(3.12) \quad \|f(\eta)\|_{\vartheta, \zeta} = \sup_{(v_\eta, v_\phi) \in \mathbb{R}^2} \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} |f(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)|,$$

$$(3.13) \quad \| \|f\| \|_{\vartheta, \zeta} = \sup_{(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2} \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} |f(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)|,$$

$$(3.14) \quad \| \{f\} \|_\zeta = \sup_{\eta \in [0, \infty)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |e^{2\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} f(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)|^2 dv_\eta dv_\phi \right)^{1/2}.$$

Since the boundary data $h(v_\eta, v_\phi)$ is only defined on $v_\eta > 0$, we naturally extend above definitions on this half-domain as follows:

$$(3.15) \quad \|h\| = \left(\int_{v_\eta > 0} |h(v_\eta, v_\phi)|^2 dv_\eta dv_\phi \right)^{1/2},$$

$$(3.16) \quad \|h\|_{\vartheta, \zeta} = \sup_{v_\eta > 0} \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} |h(v_\eta, v_\phi)|.$$

Define the mass flux

$$(3.17) \quad m_f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_\eta g(0, \phi, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi$$

Since the kernel of operator \mathcal{L} is $\mathcal{N} = \sqrt{\mu}\{1, v_\eta, v_\phi, |\vec{v}|^2\} = \{\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3\}$, we can decompose the solution as

$$(3.18) \quad g = w_g + q_g,$$

where

$$(3.19) \quad q_g = \sqrt{\mu}(q_0 + q_1 v_\eta + q_2 v_\phi + q_3 |\vec{v}|^2),$$

and

$$(3.20) \quad w_g \in \mathcal{N}^\perp.$$

3.1. L^2 Estimates.

3.1.1. L^2 Estimates in a finite slab. We first consider the case with zero source term and zero mass flux in a finite slab

(3.21)

$$\begin{cases} v_\eta \frac{\partial g^L}{\partial \eta} + G(\eta) \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial g^L}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial g^L}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \mathcal{L}[g^L] = 0, \\ g^L(0, v_\eta, v_\phi) = h(v_\eta, v_\phi) \text{ for } v_\eta > 0, \\ g^L(L, R[v_\eta, v_\phi]) = g^L(v_\eta, v_\phi), \end{cases}$$

where

$$(3.22) \quad R[v_\eta, v_\phi] = (-v_\eta, v_\phi).$$

Similarly, we can decompose g^L as

$$(3.23) \quad g^L = w^L + q^L.$$

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a solution of the equation (3.21) satisfying the estimate*

$$(3.24) \quad \int_0^L \langle \sqrt{\nu} w^L, \sqrt{\nu} w^L \rangle (\eta) d\eta \leq C,$$

$$(3.25) \quad \langle q^L, q^L \rangle (\eta) \leq C \left(1 + \eta + \langle \sqrt{\nu} w^L, \sqrt{\nu} w^L \rangle (\eta) \right),$$

where C is a constant independent of L .

Proof. We divide the proof into several steps:

Step 1: Estimate of w^L .

Multiplying μg^L on both sides of (3.21) and integrating over $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$(3.26) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d\eta} \langle v_\eta g^L, g^L \rangle + \frac{1}{2} G(\eta) \langle v_\eta g^L, g^L \rangle = -(w^L, \mathcal{L}[w^L]).$$

Define

$$(3.27) \quad \alpha(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \langle v_\eta g^L, g^L \rangle (\eta),$$

then we have

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha(\eta) &= \alpha(L) \exp \left(\int_\eta^L G(y) dy \right) \\ &\quad + \int_\eta^L \exp \left(- \int_\eta^y G(z) dz \right) \left(\langle w^L, \mathcal{L}[w^L] \rangle (y) \right) dy, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha(\eta) &= \alpha(0) \exp \left(- \int_0^\eta G(y) dy \right) \\ &\quad + \int_0^\eta \exp \left(\int_y^\eta G(z) dz \right) \left(- \langle w^L, \mathcal{L}[w^L] \rangle (y) \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha L = 0$, (3.28) implies

$$(3.30) \quad \alpha(\eta) \geq 0.$$

Since $\alpha(0) \leq C$, (3.29) implies

$$(3.31) \quad \alpha(\eta) \leq C.$$

Hence, (3.28) and (3.31) lead to

$$(3.32) \quad \int_0^L \exp\left(-\int_0^y G(z)dz\right) \left(\langle w^L, \mathcal{L}[w^L] \rangle(y)\right) dy \leq C,$$

which further yields

$$(3.33) \quad \int_0^L \|\sqrt{\nu}w^L(\eta)\|^2 d\eta \leq C$$

Step 2: Estimate of q^L .

Multiplying $\mu v_\eta \psi_j$ with $j \neq 1$ and integrating over $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we obtain

$$(3.34) \quad \frac{d}{d\eta} \langle v_\eta^2 \psi_j, g^L \rangle + G(\eta) \left\langle v_\eta \psi_j, v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial g^L}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial g^L}{\partial v_\phi} \right\rangle = -\langle v_\eta \psi_j, \mathcal{L}[w^L] \rangle.$$

Define $\tilde{q}^L = q^L - q_1 \psi_1$ and

$$(3.35) \quad \beta_j(\eta) = \langle v_\eta^2 \psi_j, \tilde{q}^L \rangle(\eta).$$

By definition, $\beta_1 = 0$. For $j \neq 1$, we have

$$(3.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\eta} \beta_j &= G(\eta) \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\eta} (v_\eta v_\phi^2 \psi_j) - \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\phi} (v_\eta^2 v_\phi \psi_j), \tilde{q}^L + q_1 \psi_1 + w^L \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \langle v_\eta \psi_j, \mathcal{L}[w^L] \rangle - \frac{d}{d\eta} \langle v_\eta^2 \psi_j, w^L \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Put $\tilde{q}_i = \delta_{i1} q_1$. Then we can write

$$(3.37) \quad \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\eta} (v_\eta v_\phi^2 \psi_j) - \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\phi} (v_\eta^2 v_\phi \psi_j), \tilde{q}^L \right\rangle(\eta) = \sum_i B_{ji} \tilde{q}_i(\eta),$$

where

$$(3.38) \quad B_{ji} = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\eta} (v_\eta v_\phi^2 \psi_j) - \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\phi} (v_\eta^2 v_\phi \psi_j), \psi_i \right\rangle.$$

Moreover,

$$(3.39) \quad \beta_j(\eta) = \sum_k A_{jk} \tilde{q}_k(\eta),$$

where

$$(3.40) \quad A_{jk} = \langle v_\eta^2 \psi_j, \psi_k \rangle,$$

is a non-singular matrix such that we can express back

$$(3.41) \quad \tilde{q}_j(\eta) = \sum_k A_{jk}^{-1} \beta_k(\eta).$$

Hence, (3.36) can be rewritten as

$$(3.42) \quad \frac{d}{d\eta} \beta_j = G(BA^{-1})_{ji} \beta_i + D_j,$$

where

$$(3.43) \quad \begin{aligned} D_j &= G(\eta) \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\eta} (v_\eta v_\phi^2 \psi_j) - \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\phi} (v_\eta^2 v_\phi \psi_j), q_1 \psi_1 + w^L \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \langle v_\eta \psi_j, \mathcal{L}[w^L] \rangle - \frac{d}{d\eta} \langle v_\eta^2 \psi_j, w^L \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We can solve for β as

$$(3.44) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta(\eta) &= \exp\left(-W(\eta)BA^{-1}\right)\theta - \zeta(\eta) \\ &\quad + \int_0^\eta \exp\left((W(\eta) - W(y))BA^{-1}\right)Z(y)dy, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.45) \quad \theta_j = \langle v_\eta^2 \psi_j, g^L \rangle(0), \quad j \neq 1,$$

$$(3.46) \quad \zeta_j(\eta) = \langle v_\eta^2 \psi_j, w^L \rangle(\eta),$$

and

$$(3.47) \quad Z = D + \frac{d\zeta}{d\eta} + G(BA^{-1})\zeta.$$

We have used the fact

$$(3.48) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_0^\eta \exp\left((W(\eta) - W(y))BA^{-1}\right) \frac{d\zeta}{dy} dy \\ &= \zeta(\eta) - \exp\left(-W(\eta)BA^{-1}\right)\zeta(0) - \int_0^\eta G(BA^{-1})\zeta(y)dy. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using the boundedness of $W(\eta)$ and BA^{-1} , we have

$$(3.49) \quad |\beta(\eta)| \leq C|\theta^\epsilon| + C \int_0^\eta |D(y)| dy + C \int_0^\eta \|\sqrt{\nu}w^L(y)\| dy.$$

By Cauchy's inequality, we obtain

$$(3.50) \quad |D(\eta)| \leq C \left(\|\sqrt{\nu}g^L(\eta)\| + \|q_1(\eta)\psi_1\| \right).$$

Multiplying μ on both sides of (3.21) and integrating over $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$(3.51) \quad \frac{d}{d\eta} \langle v_\eta, g^L \rangle = G(\eta) \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\eta}(v_\phi^2) - \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\phi}(v_\eta v_\phi), g^L \right\rangle = -H \langle v_\eta, g^L \rangle.$$

Since $q_1(L) = 0$, we have

$$(3.52) \quad q_1(\eta) = 0.$$

Also,

$$(3.53) \quad \langle v_\eta^2 \psi_j, g^L \rangle(0) \leq C \langle |v_\eta| g^L(0), g^L(0) \rangle^{1/2} \langle |v_\eta|^3, \psi_j^2 \rangle^{1/2}.$$

$$(3.54) \quad \langle |v_\eta| g^L(0), g^L(0) \rangle = \int_{v_\eta > 0} \mu v_\eta h^2 - \int_{v_\eta < 0} \mu v_\eta (g^L(0))^2.$$

Since

$$(3.55) \quad \int_{v_\eta > 0} \mu v_\eta h^2 + \int_{v_\eta < 0} \mu v_\eta (g^L(0))^2 = 2\alpha(0) \geq 0,$$

we have

$$(3.56) \quad \theta_j \leq C.$$

In conclusion, we have

$$(3.57) \quad |\beta(\eta)| \lesssim 1 + \|\sqrt{\nu}w^L(\eta)\| + \int_0^\eta \|\sqrt{\nu}w^L(y)\| dy,$$

which further implies

$$(3.58) \quad |q^L(\eta)| \lesssim 1 + \|\sqrt{\nu}w^L(\eta)\| + \int_0^\eta \|\sqrt{\nu}w^L(y)\| dy,$$

An application of Cauchy's inequality leads to our desired result.

Step 3: Orthogonal Properties.

In the equation (3.21), multiplying μ on both sides and integrating over $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$(3.59) \quad \frac{d}{d\eta} \langle v_\eta, g^L \rangle = G(\eta) \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\eta}(v_\phi^2) - \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\phi}(v_\eta v_\phi), g^L \right\rangle = -G \langle v_\eta, g^L \rangle.$$

Since $\langle v_\eta, g^L \rangle(L) = 0$, we have

$$(3.60) \quad \langle v_\eta, g^L \rangle(\eta) = 0.$$

Introducing $\hat{\psi}_i = \psi_i$ and $\hat{\psi}_3 = \psi_3 - 4$. It is easy to check that

$$(3.61) \quad \langle v_\eta \hat{\psi}_i, q^L \rangle = 0 \quad i \neq 1,$$

$$(3.62) \quad \langle v_\eta q^L, q^L \rangle = 0.$$

Multiplying $\hat{\psi}_i \mu$ for $i = 2, 3$ on both sides of (3.21) and integrating over $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$(3.63) \quad \frac{d}{d\eta} \langle v_\eta \hat{\psi}_i, w^L \rangle = -CG \langle v_\eta \hat{\psi}_i, w^L \rangle.$$

Since $\langle v_\eta \hat{\psi}_i, w^L(L) \rangle = 0$, then we have

$$(3.64) \quad I_i = \langle v_\eta \hat{\psi}_i, w^L(\eta) \rangle = 0.$$

□

3.1.2. L^2 Estimates in an infinite slab. We consider the case with zero source term and zero mass flux in an infinite slab

(3.65)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_\eta \frac{\partial g}{\partial \eta} + G(\eta) \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial g}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial g}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \mathcal{L}[g] = 0, \\ g(0, v_\eta, v_\phi) = h(v_\eta, v_\phi) \quad \text{for } v_\eta > 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_\eta \sqrt{\mu} g(0, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi = 0 \\ \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} g(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) = g_\infty(v_\eta, v_\phi). \end{array} \right.$$

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a unique solution of the equation (3.65) satisfying the estimate*

$$(3.66) \quad \|\sqrt{v}w\| \leq C,$$

$$(3.67) \quad |q_i(\infty)| \leq C$$

$$(3.68) \quad \|q - q_\infty\| \leq \|\sqrt{v}w\| \leq C,$$

and the orthogonal properties:

$$(3.69) \quad \langle v_\eta \psi_i, w \rangle = 0 \quad i \neq 1.$$

Proof. We divide the proof into several steps:

Step 1: Weak convergence and estimate of w .

We can extend the solution g^L by passing $L \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we can always take weakly subsequence

$$(3.70) \quad q_i^L(\eta) \rightarrow q_i(\eta) \text{ in } L_{\text{loc}}^2([0, \infty)),$$

$$(3.71) \quad w^L \rightarrow w \text{ in } L_{\text{loc}}^2([0, \infty), L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)).$$

Therefore,

$$(3.72) \quad g = \sum_{i=0}^3 q_i \psi_i + w,$$

is a weak solution of the equation (3.65). Also, by the weak lower semi-continuity, the estimate of w is obvious. Also, we can show the orthogonal properties when $L \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 2: Estimate of q_∞ .

It is easy to see

$$(3.73) \quad q_1(\eta) = m_f = 0,$$

so we do not need to bother with it. Then multiplying $\mathcal{L}^{-1}[v_\eta \hat{\psi}_i]$ for $i = 2, 3$ on both sides of (3.65) and integrating over \vec{v} , we get

$$(3.74) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{d\eta} \left\langle \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta], v_\eta g \right\rangle + G(\eta) \left\langle \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta], \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial g}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial g}{\partial v_\phi} \right) \right\rangle \\ & = - \left\langle \hat{\psi}_i v_\eta, w \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.75) \quad \left\langle \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta], \mathcal{L}[w] \right\rangle = \left\langle \hat{\psi}_i v_\eta, w \right\rangle.$$

Based on the orthogonal property, we have

$$(3.76) \quad \left\langle \hat{\psi}_i v_\eta, w \right\rangle = 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(3.77) \quad \frac{d}{d\eta} \left\langle v_\eta \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta], g \right\rangle + G(\eta) \left\langle \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta], \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial g}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial g}{\partial v_\phi} \right) \right\rangle = 0.$$

Since $v_\eta \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta] \in \mathcal{N}^\perp$, we have

$$(3.78) \quad \left\langle v_\eta, \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta] \right\rangle = 0.$$

For $k, i = 2, 3$, put

$$(3.79) \quad N_{i,k} = \left\langle v_\eta \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta], \psi_k \right\rangle,$$

$$(3.80) \quad P_{i,k} = \left\langle \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\phi} \right) \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta], \psi_k \right\rangle.$$

Thus,

$$(3.81) \quad \Omega_i = \left\langle v_\eta \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta], q \right\rangle = \sum_{k=2}^3 N_{i,k} q_k(\eta),$$

and

$$(3.82) \quad \left\langle \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\phi} \right) \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta], q \right\rangle = \sum_{k=2}^3 P_{i,k} q_k(\eta).$$

Since matrix N is invertible, from (3.77) and integration by parts, we have for $i = 2, 3$,

$$(3.83) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d\Omega_i}{d\eta} &= -\frac{d}{d\eta} \left\langle v_\eta \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta], w \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^3 G(\eta) (PN^{-1})_{ik} \Omega_k + G(\eta) \left\langle \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\phi} \right) \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta], w \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$(3.84) \quad \Omega'_i = \exp \left(V(\eta) PN^{-1} \right) \Omega_i.$$

We can solve

$$(3.85) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega'_i(\eta) &= \left\langle v_\eta \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta], g \right\rangle(0) - \exp \left(V(\eta) PN^{-1} \right) \left\langle v_\eta \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta], w \right\rangle(\eta) \\ &\quad + \int_0^\eta \exp \left(V(y) PN^{-1} \right) G(y) \left(\left\langle \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\phi} \right) \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta], w \right\rangle(y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=2}^3 (PN^{-1})_{ik} \left\langle v_\eta \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta], w \right\rangle(y) \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

We can show

$$(3.86) \quad \left\langle v_\eta \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta], g \right\rangle(0) < \infty.$$

Since $w \in L^2([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2)$, then it implies that

$$(3.87) \quad \left\langle v_\eta \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{\psi}_i v_\eta], w \right\rangle(\eta) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \eta \rightarrow \infty.$$

Considering $V(\eta)$ and PN^{-1} are bounded, and $G(\eta) \in L^2$, we conclude the limit

$$(3.88) \quad \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \Omega'_i(\eta)$$

exists, which is denoted as $\Omega'_{i,\infty}$. Then we can define

$$(3.89) \quad q_\infty = N^{-1} \exp\left(V(\infty)PN^{-1}\right)\Omega'_\infty \text{ for } k = 2, 3.$$

Finally, we consider q_0 . Multiplying v_η on both sides of (3.65) and integrating over \vec{v} , we obtain

$$(3.90) \quad \frac{d}{d\eta} \langle v_\eta g, v_\eta \rangle = -G(\eta) \left\langle v_\eta, \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial g}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial g}{\partial v_\phi} \right) \right\rangle = G(\eta) \langle g, v_\phi^2 - v_\eta^2 \rangle.$$

Then integrating over η , we obtain

$$(3.91) \quad \langle v_\eta g, v_\eta \rangle (\eta) = \langle v_\eta g, v_\eta \rangle (0) + \int_0^\eta G(y) \langle g, v_\phi^2 - v_\eta^2 \rangle (y) dy.$$

It is easy to see the right-hand side of (3.91) only depends on w and q_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Hence, we can define

$$(3.92) \quad \beta_\infty = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \langle v_\eta g, v_\eta \rangle (\eta).$$

Hence, the remaining $q_{0,\infty}$ can be defined based on β_∞ and $q_{i,\infty}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Step 3: Estimate of $q - q_\infty$.

Define $u = g - q_\infty$. Then u satisfies the equation

$$(3.93) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_\eta \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} + G(\eta) \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial u}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \mathcal{L}[u] = S(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) + q_{g,2,\infty} G(\eta) \sqrt{\mu} v_\eta v_\phi = \tilde{S}, \\ u(0, v_\eta, v_\phi) = (h - q_\infty)(v_\eta, v_\phi) \text{ for } v_\eta > 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_\eta \sqrt{\mu} u(0, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi = - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-V(s)} \sqrt{\mu} S(s, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi ds, \\ \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} u(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) = 0, \end{array} \right.$$

We can easily check

$$(3.94) \quad q_u = q_g - q_\infty,$$

$$(3.95) \quad w_u = w_g.$$

Then similar to the argument in Step 2, we can define Ω_i and Ω'_i . Then note the fact

$$(3.96) \quad \int_0^\infty \left(\int_\eta^\infty \|w(y)\| G(y) dy \right)^2 d\eta \leq \|w\| \int_0^\infty \int_\eta^\infty G^2(y) dy d\eta \leq C \|w\|.$$

This implies the integration of q_u over η can be bounded as

$$(3.97) \quad \|q_u\| \leq \|w_u\| + q_{g,2,\infty}.$$

Hence, this is the desired result.

Step 4: Uniqueness.

This can be naturally shown based on the energy estimate and orthogonal relation. See [6, pp.4665] \square

3.1.3. L^2 Estimates with general source term and non-vanishing mass flux.

(3.98)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_\eta \frac{\partial g}{\partial \eta} + G(\eta) \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial g}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial g}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \mathcal{L}[g] = S, \\ g(0, v_\eta, v_\phi) = h(v_\eta, v_\phi) \text{ for } v_\eta > 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_\eta g(0, v_\eta, v_\phi) \sqrt{\mu} dv_\eta dv_\phi = - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-V(s)} \sqrt{\mu} S(s, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi ds \\ \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} g(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) = g_\infty(v_\eta, v_\phi). \end{array} \right.$$

Lemma 3.3. *There exists a unique solution of the equation (3.98) satisfying the estimate*

$$(3.99) \quad \|\sqrt{\nu} w\| \leq C,$$

$$(3.100) \quad |q_i(\infty)| \leq C$$

$$(3.101) \quad \|q - q_\infty\| \leq \|\sqrt{\nu} w\| \leq C.$$

Proof. The general mass flux can be transformed into general source term with zero mass flux. Then the general source term case can be done by construction of auxiliary functions and superposition property. See [6, pp.4667] and [7, pp.15]. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Assume (3.5) and (3.6) hold. There exists a unique solution $g(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)$ to the ϵ -Milne problem (3.1) satisfying*

$$(3.102) \quad \|g - g_\infty\| \leq C \left(1 + M + \frac{M}{K} \right).$$

Proof. Taking $g_\infty = q_\infty$, we can naturally obtain the desired result. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Assume (3.5) and (3.6) hold. There exists \tilde{h} satisfying the condition (3.7) such that there exists a unique solution $\mathcal{G}(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)$ to the ϵ -Milne problem (3.8) satisfying*

$$(3.103) \quad \|\mathcal{G}\| \leq C \left(1 + M + \frac{M}{K} \right).$$

Proof. The key part is the construction of \tilde{h} . Define $\tilde{g}(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)$ satisfying

(3.104)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_\eta \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial \eta} + G(\eta) \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \mathcal{L}[\tilde{g}] = 0, \\ \tilde{g}(0, v_\eta, v_\phi) = \tilde{h}(v_\eta, v_\phi) \text{ for } v_\eta > 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_\eta \sqrt{\mu} \tilde{g}(0, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi = 0, \\ \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{g}(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) = \tilde{g}_\infty(v_\eta, v_\phi), \end{array} \right.$$

Since \tilde{h} and \tilde{g}_∞ both belong to the kernel space \mathcal{N} . Hence, it defines a four-dimensional linear transform $L : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. It is easy to see for $\tilde{h} = \sqrt{\mu}, \sqrt{\mu} |\vec{v}|^2$, $L = \mathbf{1}$. Also, based on the zero mass flux condition, the $\sqrt{\mu} v_\eta$ case is totally

determined by the mass flux, so we do not need to consider it. The main obstacle is $\tilde{h} = \sqrt{\mu}v_\phi$. Define $\bar{g} = \tilde{g} - \sqrt{\mu}v_\phi$. Then \bar{g} satisfies the Milne equation

(3.105)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_\eta \frac{\partial \bar{g}}{\partial \eta} + G(\eta) \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial \bar{g}}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial \bar{g}}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \mathcal{L}[\bar{g}] = G(\eta) \sqrt{\mu} v_\eta v_\phi, \\ \bar{g}(0, v_\eta, v_\phi) = 0 \text{ for } v_\eta > 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_\eta \sqrt{\mu} \bar{g}(0, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi = 0, \\ \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) = \bar{g}_\infty(v_\eta, v_\phi), \end{array} \right.$$

Based on Lemma 3.4, since L^1 and L^2 norm of G is sufficiently small as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we know $|q_{\bar{g}, i, \infty}|$ is sufficiently small. This means the transform L possesses a small perturbation when $\tilde{h} = \sqrt{\mu}v_\phi$. Since ϵ can be arbitrarily small, this perturbation is also arbitrarily small. Hence, L is an invertible transform. Therefore, we can always find \tilde{h} such that $\tilde{g}_\infty = g_\infty$, which is desired. Then by Lemma 3.4, the theorem naturally follows. \square

3.2. L^∞ Estimates.

3.2.1. *Mild formulation in a finite slab.* Consider the ϵ -transport problem for $g^L(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)$ in a finite slab

(3.106)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_\eta \frac{\partial g^L}{\partial \eta} + G(\eta) \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial g^L}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial g^L}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \nu g^L = Q(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi), \\ g^L(0, v_\eta, v_\phi) = h(v_\eta, v_\phi) \text{ for } v_\eta > 0, \\ g^L(L, R[v_\eta, v_\phi]) = g^L(v_\eta, v_\phi), \end{array} \right.$$

We define the characteristics as starting from $(\eta(0), v_\eta(0), v_\phi(0))$ as $\mathcal{C}(\eta(\eta), v_\eta(\eta), v_\phi(\eta))$ defined by

$$(3.107) \quad \eta(\eta) = \eta,$$

$$(3.108) \quad v_\eta(\eta) = \pm \sqrt{E_2 - E_1^2 e^{2W(\eta)}} = \pm \sqrt{E_2 - v_\phi^2},$$

$$(3.109) \quad v_\phi(\eta) = E_1 e^{W(\eta)},$$

where E_1 and E_2 are two constants depending on the starting point. Along the characteristics, the equation (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$(3.110) \quad v_\eta \frac{\partial g}{\partial \eta} + \nu g = Q.$$

Define the energy

$$(3.111) \quad E(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) = v_\eta^2 + v_\phi^2.$$

For $E \geq \frac{1}{2}v_\phi'^2$, define

$$(3.112) \quad v_\phi'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta') = v_\phi e^{W(\eta) - W(\eta')},$$

$$(3.113) \quad v_\eta'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta') = \sqrt{E - v_\phi'^2(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta')},$$

$$(3.114) \quad \vec{v}'(\eta, \eta') = (v_\eta'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta'), v_\phi(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta')),$$

$$(3.115) \quad R[\vec{v}'(\eta, \eta')] = (-v_\eta'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta'), v_\phi(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta')).$$

Moreover, define an implicit function $\eta^+(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)$ by the equation

$$(3.116) \quad E(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) = v_\phi'^2(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta^+).$$

We know η^+ is the intersection point of the characteristics passing through (η, v_η, v_ϕ) and the axis $v_\eta = 0$. Finally put

$$(3.117) \quad G_{\eta, \eta'} = \int_{\eta'}^{\eta} \frac{\nu(\vec{v}'(\eta, y))}{v_\eta'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, y)} dy,$$

$$(3.118) \quad R[G_{\eta, \eta'}] = \int_{\eta'}^{\eta} \frac{\nu(R[\vec{v}'(\eta, y)])}{v_\eta'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, y)} dy.$$

We can rewrite the solution to the equation (3.106) along the characteristics as follows:

Case I:

For $v_\eta > 0$,

$$(3.119) \quad g^L(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) = h(\vec{v}'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; 0)) \exp(-G_{\eta, 0}) + \int_0^\eta \frac{Q(\eta', \vec{v}'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta'))}{v_\eta'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta')} \exp(-G_{\eta, \eta'}) d\eta'.$$

Case II:

For $v_\eta < 0$ and $|E(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)| \geq v_\phi'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; L)$,

$$(3.120) \quad \begin{aligned} g^L(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) &= h(\vec{v}'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; 0)) \exp(-G_{L, 0} - R[G_{L, \eta}]) \\ &+ \left(\int_0^L \frac{Q(\eta', \vec{v}'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta'))}{v_\eta'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta')} \exp(-G_{L, \eta'} - R[G_{L, \eta}]) d\eta' \right. \\ &\left. + \int_\eta^L \frac{Q(\eta', R[\vec{v}'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta')])}{v_\eta'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta')} \exp(R[G_{\eta, \eta'}]) d\eta' \right). \end{aligned}$$

Case III:

For $v_\eta < 0$ and $|E(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)| \leq v_\phi'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; L)$,

$$(3.121) \quad \begin{aligned} g^L(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) &= h(\vec{v}'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; 0)) \exp(-G_{\eta^+, 0} - R[G_{\eta^+, \eta}]) \\ &+ \left(\int_0^{\eta^+} \frac{Q(\eta', \vec{v}'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta'))}{v_\eta'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta')} \exp(-G_{\eta^+, \eta'} - R[G_{\eta^+, \eta}]) d\eta' \right. \\ &\left. + \int_\eta^{\eta^+} \frac{Q(\eta', R[\vec{v}'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta')])}{v_\eta'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta')} \exp(R[G_{\eta, \eta'}]) d\eta' \right). \end{aligned}$$

3.2.2. *Mild formulation in an infinite slab.* Consider the ϵ -transport problem for $g^L(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)$ in an infinite slab

(3.122)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_\eta \frac{\partial g}{\partial \eta} + G(\eta) \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial g}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial g}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \nu g = Q(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi), \\ g(0, v_\eta, v_\phi) = h(v_\eta, v_\phi) \text{ for } v_\eta > 0, \\ \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} g(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) = g_\infty(v_\eta, v_\phi), \end{array} \right.$$

We can define the solution via taking limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.119), (3.120) and (3.121) as follows:

$$(3.123) \quad g(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) = \mathcal{A}[h(v_\eta, v_\phi)] + \mathcal{T}[Q(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)],$$

where

Case I:

For $\sin \phi > 0$,

$$(3.124) \quad \mathcal{A}[h(v_\eta, v_\phi)] = h(\vec{v}'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; 0)) \exp(-G_{\eta,0}),$$

$$(3.125) \quad \mathcal{T}[Q(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)] = \int_0^\eta \frac{Q(\eta', \vec{v}'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta'))}{v'_\eta(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta')} \exp(-G_{\eta, \eta'}) d\eta'.$$

Case II:

For $v_\eta < 0$ and $|E(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)| \geq v'_\phi(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \infty)$,

$$(3.126) \quad \mathcal{A}[h(v_\eta, v_\phi)] = 0,$$

$$(3.127) \quad \mathcal{T}[Q(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)] = \int_\eta^\infty \frac{Q(\eta', R[\vec{v}'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta')])}{v'_\eta(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta')} \exp(R[G_{\eta, \eta'}]) d\eta'.$$

Case III:

For $v_\eta < 0$ and $|E(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)| \leq v'_\phi(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \infty)$,

(3.128)

$$\mathcal{A}[h(v_\eta, v_\phi)] = h(\vec{v}'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; 0)) \exp(-G_{\eta^+, 0} - G_{\eta^+, \eta}),$$

(3.129)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}[Q(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)] &= \left(\int_0^{\eta^+} \frac{Q(\eta', \vec{v}'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta'))}{v'_\eta(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta')} \exp(-G_{\eta^+, \eta'} - R[G_{\eta^+, \eta}]) d\eta' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_\eta^{\eta^+} \frac{Q(\eta', R[\vec{v}'(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta')])}{v'_\eta(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta')} \exp(R[G_{\eta, \eta'}]) d\eta' \right). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$(3.130) \quad \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-G_{L, \eta}) = 0,$$

For $v_\eta < 0$ and $|E(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)| \leq v'_\phi(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \infty)$,. Hence, above derivation is valid. In order to achieve the estimate of g , we need to control $\mathcal{T}[Q]$ and $\mathcal{A}[h]$.

3.2.3. Preliminaries.

Lemma 3.6. *There is a positive $0 < \beta < \nu_0$ such that for any $\vartheta \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1/4$,*

$$(3.131) \quad \|e^{\beta\eta}\mathcal{A}[h]\|_{\vartheta,\zeta} \leq C \|h\|_{\vartheta,\zeta}.$$

Proof. See [6, Lemma 3.1]. \square

Lemma 3.7. *For any integer $\vartheta \geq 0$, $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1/4$ and $\beta \leq \nu_0/2$, there is a constant C such that*

$$(3.132) \quad \|\mathcal{T}[Q]\|_{\vartheta,\zeta} \leq C \left\| \frac{Q}{\nu} \right\|_{\vartheta,\zeta}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$(3.133) \quad \|e^{\beta\eta}\mathcal{T}[Q]\|_{\vartheta,\zeta} \leq C \left\| \frac{e^{\beta\eta}Q}{\nu} \right\|_{\vartheta,\zeta}.$$

Proof. See [6, Lemma 3.2]. \square

Lemma 3.8. *For any $\delta > 0$, $\vartheta \geq 3$ and $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1/4$, there is a constant $C(\delta)$ such that*

$$(3.134) \quad \|\{\mathcal{T}[Q]\}\|_{\zeta} \leq C(\delta) \left\| \nu^{-1/2}Q \right\| + \delta \|Q\|_{\vartheta,\zeta}.$$

Proof. We divide the proof into several cases:

Case I:

For $\sin \phi > 0$,

$$(3.135) \quad \mathcal{T}[Q(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)] = \int_0^\eta \frac{Q(\eta', \vec{v}(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta'))}{v'_\eta(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta')} \exp(-G_{\eta, \eta'}) d\eta'.$$

We need to estimate

$$(3.136) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} \left(\int_0^\eta \frac{Q(\eta', \vec{v}(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta'))}{v'_\eta(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta')} \exp(-G_{\eta, \eta'}) d\eta' \right)^2 d\vec{v}.$$

We can split the integral into the following types

$$(3.137) \quad I = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.$$

Case I - Type I: $M \leq v'_\eta(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta')$ or $M \leq v'_\phi(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta')$.

Since $\nu(\vec{v}(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta'))$ is equivalent to $\vec{v}(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta')$. Hence, we have the integral domain $|\vec{v}(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta')| \geq M$ of $1/\nu^\vartheta$ is sufficiently small, which implies the estimate

$$(3.138) \quad I_1 \leq \frac{1}{M} \|Q\|_{\vartheta,\zeta}.$$

Case I - Type II: $m \leq v'_\eta(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta') \leq M$ and $v'_\phi(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta') \leq M$.

Since along the characteristics, $|\vec{v}|^2$ can be bounded by M^2 . Then by Cauchy's inequality, we have

$$(3.139) \quad I_2 \leq \frac{e^{\zeta M^2}}{m} \|Q\|.$$

Case I - Type III: $0 \leq v'_\eta(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta') \leq m$, $v'_\phi(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta') \leq M$ and $\eta - \eta' \geq \sigma$. In this case, we know

$$(3.140) \quad G_{\eta, \eta'} \geq \frac{\sigma}{m}.$$

Then after substitution, the integral is not from zero, but from $-\sigma/m$. Hence, we have

$$(3.141) \quad I_3 \leq e^{-\frac{\sigma}{m}} \|Q\|_{\vartheta, \zeta}.$$

Case I - Type IV: $0 \leq v'_\eta(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta') \leq m$, $v'_\phi(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta') \leq M$ and $\eta - \eta' \leq \sigma$. For $\eta' \leq \eta$ and $\eta - \eta' \leq \sigma$, we have $v_\eta \leq C v'_\eta(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta') \leq C(m + \sigma)$. Therefore, we further split this case Similar to Case I - Type I, in small integral domain, we have the estimate

$$(3.142) \quad I_4 \leq (m + \sigma) \|Q\|_{\vartheta, \zeta}.$$

Collecting all four types, we have

$$(3.143) \quad I \leq \frac{e^{\zeta M^2}}{m} \|Q\| + \left(\frac{1}{M} + m + \sigma + e^{-\frac{\sigma}{m}} \right) \|Q\|_{\vartheta, \zeta}.$$

Taking M sufficiently large, σ sufficiently small and $m \ll \sigma$, this is the desired result.

Case II:

For $v_\eta < 0$ and $|E(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)| \geq v'_\phi(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \infty)$,

$$(3.144) \quad \mathcal{T}[Q(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)] = \int_\eta^\infty \frac{Q(\eta', R[\vec{v}(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta')])}{v'_\eta(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta')} \exp(R[G_{\eta, \eta'}]) d\eta'.$$

We can split the integral into the following types:

$$(3.145) \quad II = II_1 + II_2 + II_3,$$

where we can combine Type III and Type IV, due to the fact $v_\eta \leq v'_\eta(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi, \eta')$ for $\eta \leq \eta'$. Then we can always apply the idea of small domain. Hence, after splitting the integral based on m and M into II_1 , II_2 and II_3 , we can still obtain

$$(3.146) \quad II \leq \frac{e^{\zeta M^2}}{m} \|Q\| + \left(\frac{1}{M} + m \right) \|Q\|_{\vartheta, \zeta},$$

which further implies the desired result.

Case III:

For $v_\eta < 0$ and $|E(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)| \leq v'_\phi(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \infty)$,

$$(3.147)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}[Q(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)] &= \left(\int_0^{\eta^+} \frac{Q(\eta', \vec{v}(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta'))}{\nu(\vec{v}(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta'))} \exp(-G_{\eta^+, \eta'} - R[G_{\eta^+, \eta}]) d\eta' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_\eta^{\eta^+} \frac{Q(\eta', R[\vec{v}(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta')])}{\nu(\vec{v}(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi; \eta'))} \exp(R[G_{\eta, \eta'}]) d\eta' \right). \end{aligned}$$

This is a combination of Case I and Case II, so it naturally holds. \square

3.2.4. Estimates of ϵ -Milne problem.

Lemma 3.9. *Assume (3.5) and (3.6) hold. The solution $g(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)$ to the ϵ -Milne problem (3.1) satisfies for $\vartheta \geq 3$ and $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1/4$,*

$$(3.148) \quad \|g - g_\infty\|_{\vartheta, \zeta} \leq C \left(1 + M + \frac{M}{K} + \|g - g_\infty\| \right).$$

Proof. Define $u = g - g_\infty$. Then u satisfies the equation

$$(3.149) \quad \begin{cases} v_\eta \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} + G(\eta) \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial u}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \mathcal{L}[u] = S(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) + g_{2, \infty} G(\eta) \sqrt{\mu} v_\eta v_\phi = \tilde{S}, \\ u(0, v_\eta, v_\phi) = (h - g_\infty)(v_\eta, v_\phi) \text{ for } v_\eta > 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_\eta \sqrt{\mu} u(0, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi = - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-V(s)} \sqrt{\mu} S(s, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi ds, \\ \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} u(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) = 0, \end{cases}$$

Based on Lemma 3.8, we have

$$(3.150) \quad \|\{u\}\|_\zeta \leq C(\delta) \left(\|\nu^{-1/2} K[u]\| + \|\nu^{-1/2} \tilde{S}\| \right) + \delta \left(\|K[u]\|_{\vartheta, \zeta} + \|\tilde{S}\|_{\vartheta, \zeta} \right).$$

We can verify

$$(3.151) \quad \|\nu^{-1/2} K[u]\| \leq \|u\|,$$

$$(3.152) \quad \|\nu^{-1/2} \tilde{S}\| \leq \|\tilde{S}\|.$$

and also

$$(3.153) \quad \|K[u]\|_{\vartheta, \zeta} \leq \|u\|_{\vartheta-1, \zeta},$$

$$(3.154) \quad \|K[u]\|_{0, \zeta} \leq \|\{u\}\|_\zeta.$$

Since $u = \mathcal{A}[h - g_\infty] + \mathcal{T}[K[u] + \tilde{S}]$, for G sufficiently small, we can estimate

$$(3.155) \quad \|u\|_{\vartheta, \zeta} \lesssim \|u\| + \|S\|_{\vartheta, \zeta} + \|h\|_{\vartheta, \zeta}.$$

Then this is the desired result. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Assume (3.5) and (3.6) hold. There exists a unique solution $g(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)$ to the ϵ -Milne problem (3.1) satisfying for $\vartheta \geq 3$ and $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1/4$,*

$$(3.156) \quad \|g - g_\infty\|_{\vartheta, \zeta} \leq C \left(1 + M + \frac{M}{K} \right).$$

Proof. Based on Lemma 3.9, this is obvious. \square

Theorem 3.11. *Assume (3.5) and (3.6) hold. There exists a unique solution $\mathcal{G}(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)$ to the ϵ -Milne problem (3.8) satisfying for $\vartheta \geq 3$ and $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1/4$,*

$$(3.157) \quad \|\mathcal{G}\|_{\vartheta, \zeta} \leq C \left(1 + M + \frac{M}{K} \right).$$

Proof. Based on Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.10, this is obvious. \square

3.3. Exponential Decay.

Theorem 3.12. *Assume (3.5) and (3.6) hold. There exists a unique solution $\mathcal{G}(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi)$ to the ϵ -Milne problem (3.8) satisfying for $\vartheta \geq 3$ and $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1/4$,*

$$(3.158) \quad \|\|e^{K_0\eta}\mathcal{G}\|\|_{\vartheta,\zeta} \leq C \left(1 + M + \frac{M}{K}\right).$$

Proof. Define $U = e^{K_0\eta}\mathcal{G}$. Then U satisfies the equation

$$(3.159) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_\eta \frac{\partial U}{\partial \eta} + G(\eta) \left(v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial U}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \mathcal{L}[U] = e^{K_0\eta} S(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) + K_0 v_\eta U, \\ U(0, v_\eta, v_\phi) = e^{K_0\eta} (h - \tilde{h})(v_\eta, v_\phi) \text{ for } v_\eta > 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_\eta \sqrt{\mu} U(0, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi = - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-V(s)} \sqrt{\mu} S(s, v_\eta, v_\phi) dv_\eta dv_\phi ds, \\ \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} u(\eta, v_\eta, v_\phi) = 0, \end{array} \right.$$

We divide the proof into several steps:

Step 1: L^2 Estimates for $S = 0$.

The orthogonal property implies

$$(3.160) \quad \langle v_\eta U, U \rangle = \langle v_\eta w_U, w_U \rangle$$

For K_0 sufficiently small, since

$$(3.161) \quad \langle \mathcal{L}[w_U], w_U \rangle \geq \nu_0 \langle (1 + |\vec{v}|) w_U, w_U \rangle,$$

we have

$$(3.162) \quad \langle \mathcal{L}[w_U], w_U \rangle - \langle K_0 v_\eta w_U, w_U \rangle \geq \frac{\nu_0}{2} \langle w_U, w_U \rangle.$$

Then by a similar argument as in Lemma 3.1, we can show

$$(3.163) \quad \|\|\sqrt{\nu} w_U\|\| \leq C.$$

Then by a similar argument as in Lemma 3.2, we can show

$$(3.164) \quad \|\|\sqrt{\nu} U\|\| \leq C.$$

Step 2: L^∞ Estimates for $S = 0$.

By a similar argument of Lemma 3.9, for K_0 sufficiently small, we can show

$$(3.165) \quad \|\|U\|\|_{\vartheta,\zeta} \lesssim \|\|U\|\| + \|\|e^{K_0\eta} S\|\|_{\vartheta,\zeta} + \|h\|_{\vartheta,\zeta}.$$

Then the result naturally follows.

Step 3: General source term and mass flux.

We may follow the idea of Lemma 3.3. Note that all the auxiliary functions we construct decays exponentially. Hence, the result naturally follows. \square

4. MAIN THEOREM

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Step 1: Remainder definitions.

We may rewrite the asymptotic expansion as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad f^\epsilon \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon.$$

The remainder can be defined as

$$(4.2) \quad R_N = \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(f^\epsilon - \sum_{k=1}^N \epsilon^k \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon - \sum_{k=1}^N \epsilon^k \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon \right) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(f^\epsilon - \mathcal{Q}_N - \mathcal{Q}_N \right),$$

where

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{Q}_N = \sum_{k=1}^N \epsilon^k \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon,$$

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{Q}_N = \sum_{k=1}^N \epsilon^k \mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon.$$

Noting the equation (1.58) is equivalent to the equation (1.15), we write \mathcal{L} to denote the linearized transport operator as follows:

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[f] &= \epsilon \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x u + \mathcal{L}[f] \\ &= v_\eta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon \eta} \left(-v_\phi \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} + v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \mathcal{L}[f]. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Estimates of $\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{Q}_N]$.

The interior contribution can be estimated as

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{Q}_N] &= \epsilon \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x \mathcal{Q}_N + \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{Q}_N] \\ &= \epsilon^{N+1} \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x \mathcal{F}_N^\epsilon - \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon^i D_{i,2} H(\epsilon; \eta) v_\eta v_\phi + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N-1}^{i+j \leq N} \epsilon^{i+j} \Gamma[\mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_j^\epsilon]. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Estimates of $\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{Q}_N]$.

The boundary layer solution is $\mathcal{F}_k^\epsilon = (u_k^\epsilon - u_k^\epsilon(\infty)) \cdot \psi_0 = \mathcal{W}_k \psi_0$ where u_k^ϵ solves the ϵ -Milne problem and $\mathcal{W}_k = u_k^\epsilon - u_k^\epsilon(\infty)$. Notice $\psi_0 \psi = \psi_0$, so the boundary layer contribution can be estimated as

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{Q}_N] &= v_\eta \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_N}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon \eta} \left(-v_\phi \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_N}{\partial \phi} + v_\phi^2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_N}{\partial v_\eta} - v_\eta v_\phi \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_N}{\partial v_\phi} \right) + \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{Q}_N] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N v_\eta \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial \eta} \mathcal{W}_i + \epsilon^{N+1} \frac{\psi_0 \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon \eta} v_\phi \frac{\partial \mathcal{W}_N}{\partial \phi} + \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \epsilon^i D_{i,2} H(\epsilon; \eta) v_\eta v_\phi \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N-1}^{i+j \leq N} \epsilon^{i+j} \left(\Gamma[\mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_j^\epsilon] + 2\Gamma[\mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_j^\epsilon] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Step 4: Estimates of $\mathcal{L}[R_N]$.

Since

$$(4.8) \quad \mathcal{L}[f^\epsilon] = \Gamma[f^\epsilon, f^\epsilon],$$

then we can naturally obtain

(4.9)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[R_N] &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \mathcal{L}[f^\epsilon - \mathcal{Q}_N - \mathcal{Q}_N] = \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \mathcal{L}[f^\epsilon] - \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{Q}_N] - \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{Q}_N] \\ &= \epsilon^3 \Gamma[R_N, R_N] + 2\Gamma[R_N, \mathcal{Q}_N + \mathcal{Q}_N] \\ &\quad - \epsilon^{N-2} \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x \mathcal{F}_N^\epsilon \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \sum_{i=1}^N v_\eta \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial \eta} \mathcal{W}_i - \epsilon^{N-2} \frac{\psi_0 \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon \eta} v_\phi \frac{\partial \mathcal{W}_N}{\partial \phi} + \epsilon^{N-2} D_{N,2} H(\epsilon; \eta) v_\eta v_\phi \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{i+j>N \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq N}} \epsilon^{i+j-3} \Gamma[\mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_j^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_j^\epsilon]. \end{aligned}$$

Here we require the compatibility condition (2.2) holds. Based on the compatibility condition of ϵ -Milne problem and construction of boundary condition, above can be shown to satisfy this condition. The main idea is utilizing the rotational property of ϕ and integration by parts.

Step 5: Estimates of $R_N - \mathcal{P}[R_N]$.

Since

(4.10)

$$f^\epsilon - \mathcal{P}[f^\epsilon] = \frac{\mu_b^\epsilon - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} \left(\sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} + f^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}^*) \right) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^*,$$

we have

(4.11) $R_N - \mathcal{P}[R_N]$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} (f^\epsilon - \mathcal{Q}_N - \mathcal{Q}_N) - \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \mathcal{P}[f^\epsilon - \mathcal{Q}_N - \mathcal{Q}_N] \\ &= \frac{\mu_b^\epsilon - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} R_N(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}^*) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^* \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{i+j>N \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq N}} \epsilon^{i+j-3} \frac{\mu_i}{\sqrt{\mu}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} (\mathcal{F}_j^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_j^\epsilon)(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}^*) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^* \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \frac{\mu_b^\epsilon - \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon^i \mu_i}{\sqrt{\mu}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon^i (\mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon) \right) (\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}^*) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^* \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \frac{\mu_b^\epsilon - \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon^i \mu_i}{\sqrt{\mu}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we require the compatibility condition (2.2) holds. Based on the compatibility condition of ϵ -Milne problem and construction of boundary condition, above can be shown to satisfy this condition.

Step 6: Estimates of R_N .

Since we know $\mathcal{L}R_N$ and $R_N - \mathcal{P}[R_N]$, we can estimate R_N .

(4.12)

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x R_N + \mathcal{L}[R_N] &= \epsilon^3 \Gamma[R_N, R_N] + 2\Gamma[R_N, \mathcal{Q}_N + \mathcal{Q}_N] + S_N \text{ in } \Omega, \\ R_N(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) - \mathcal{P}[R_N](\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) &= \frac{\mu_b^\epsilon - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} R_N(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}^*) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^* + h_N \\ &\text{for } \vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} < 0 \text{ and } \vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where

(4.13)

$$\begin{aligned} S_N &= -\epsilon^{N-2} \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x \mathcal{F}_N^\epsilon \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \sum_{i=1}^N v_\eta \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial \eta} \mathcal{W}_i - \epsilon^{N-2} \frac{\psi_0 \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon \eta} v_\phi \frac{\partial \mathcal{W}_N}{\partial \phi} + \epsilon^{N-2} D_{N,2} H(\epsilon; \eta) v_\eta v_\phi \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{i+j>N \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq N}} \epsilon^{i+j-3} \Gamma[\mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_j^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_j^\epsilon], \end{aligned}$$

and

(4.14)

$$\begin{aligned} h_N &= \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \epsilon^{i+j-3} \frac{\mu_i}{\sqrt{\mu}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} (\mathcal{F}_j^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_j^\epsilon)(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}^*) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^* \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \frac{\mu_b^\epsilon - \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon^i \mu_i}{\sqrt{\mu}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon^i (\mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon + \mathcal{F}_i^\epsilon) \right) (\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}^*) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^* \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \frac{\mu_b^\epsilon - \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon^i \mu_i}{\sqrt{\mu}}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} S_N(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \sqrt{\mu} d\vec{v} d\vec{x} &= 0, \\ \int_{\gamma_-} h_N(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \sqrt{\mu} d\gamma &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \|S_N\|_\infty &\leq C\epsilon^{N-2}, \\ |h_N|_{\infty, -} &\leq C\epsilon^{N-2}. \end{aligned}$$

R_N satisfies the normalization condition

$$(4.17) \quad \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R_N(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \sqrt{\mu} d\vec{v} d\vec{x} = 0.$$

We need to show $R_N \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *We have the estimate for $N \geq 5$,*

$$(4.18) \quad \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} R_N \right\|_\infty \lesssim 1.$$

Proof. Based on Remark 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.19) \quad & \|R_N\|_2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1/2}} |R_N|_{2,+} \\
 & \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \|S_N\|_2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|\epsilon^3 \Gamma[R_N, R_N]\|_2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|2\Gamma[R_N, \mathcal{Q}_N + \mathcal{Q}_N]\|_2 \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1/2}} \left| \frac{\mu_b^\epsilon - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} R_N(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}^*) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^* \right|_{2,-} \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1/2}} |h_N|_{2,-}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since we have

$$(4.20) \quad \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|2\Gamma[R_N, \mathcal{Q}_N + \mathcal{Q}_N]\|_2 \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|R_N\|_2 \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} (\mathcal{Q}_N + \mathcal{Q}_N) \right\|_\infty \lesssim \delta \|R_N\|_2,$$

and

$$(4.21) \quad \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1/2}} \left| \frac{\mu_b^\epsilon - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} R_N(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}^*) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^* \right|_{2,-} \lesssim \delta |R_N|_{2,+},$$

when μ_1 is sufficiently small. Then absorbing them into the LHS yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.22) \quad & \|R_N\|_2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1/2}} |R_N|_{2,+} \\
 & \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \|S_N\|_2 + \epsilon^2 \|\Gamma[R_N, R_N]\|_2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1/2}} |h_N|_{2,-}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Based on Remark 2.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.23) \quad & \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} R_N \right\|_\infty \\
 & \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|R_N\|_2 + \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} S_N \right\|_\infty + \left| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} h_N \right|_{\infty,-} \\
 & \quad + \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} \epsilon^3 \Gamma[R_N, R_N] \right\|_\infty + \left\| 2 \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} \Gamma[R_N, \mathcal{Q}_N + \mathcal{Q}_N] \right\|_\infty \\
 & \quad + \left| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} \frac{\mu_b^\epsilon - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu}} \int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^* > 0} \sqrt{\mu(\vec{v}^*)} R_N(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}^*) |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}^*| d\vec{v}^* \right|_{\infty,-} \\
 & \lesssim \epsilon \|\Gamma[R_N, R_N]\|_2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \|S_N\|_2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3/2}} |h_N|_{2,-} \\
 & \quad + \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} S_N \right\|_\infty + \left| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} h_N \right|_{\infty,-} \\
 & \quad + \epsilon^3 \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} \Gamma[R_N, R_N] \right\|_\infty + \left\| 2 \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} \Gamma[R_N, \mathcal{Q}_N + \mathcal{Q}_N] \right\|_\infty \\
 & \quad + \epsilon |R_N|_{2,+} \\
 & \lesssim \epsilon \|\Gamma[R_N, R_N]\|_2 + \epsilon^3 \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} \Gamma[R_N, R_N] \right\|_\infty + \epsilon \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta|\vec{v}|^2} R_N \right\|_\infty + \epsilon^{N-5}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By an iterative scheme, if

$$(4.24) \quad \|R_N\|_\infty \leq 1,$$

for δ sufficiently small, then we have

$$(4.25) \quad \|\epsilon \Gamma[R_N, R_N]\|_2 \lesssim \epsilon \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} R_N \right\|_\infty^2 \lesssim \epsilon \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} R_N \right\|_\infty$$

(4.26)

$$\epsilon^3 \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} \Gamma[R_N, R_N] \right\|_\infty \lesssim \epsilon^3 \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} R_N \right\|_\infty^2.$$

Then if $N \geq 5$, we obtain

$$(4.27) \quad \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} R_N \right\|_\infty \lesssim \epsilon^{N-5} + \epsilon \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} R_N \right\|_\infty,$$

which further implies

$$(4.28) \quad \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} R_N \right\|_\infty \lesssim 1.$$

This naturally leads to the desired result. \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We consider the linearized steady Boltzmann equation

(4.29)

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_x f^\epsilon + \mathcal{L}[f^\epsilon] = \Gamma[f^\epsilon, f^\epsilon], \\ f^\epsilon(\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) = \mathcal{P}^\epsilon[f^\epsilon](\vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) \text{ for } \vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} < 0 \text{ and } \vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.2. For given $\mu_b^\epsilon > 0$ and $M > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that if

$$(4.30) \quad |\mu_b^\epsilon - \mu|_\infty \leq C\epsilon,$$

where C is sufficiently small, then there exists a non-negative solution f^ϵ to the steady Boltzmann equation (4.29) satisfying the normalization condition (1.21) such that

$$(4.31) \quad \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} f^\epsilon \right\|_\infty + \left| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} f^\epsilon \right|_{\infty,+} \lesssim \epsilon.$$

If g^ϵ is another solution satisfying the normalization condition (1.21) such that

$$(4.32) \quad \left\| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} g^\epsilon \right\|_\infty + \left| \langle \vec{v} \rangle^\vartheta e^{\zeta |\vec{v}|^2} g^\epsilon \right|_{\infty,+} \ll 1,$$

then $f^\epsilon = g^\epsilon$.

Proof. After the expansion, based on Theorem 4.1, we know R_N exists and is well-posed. Hence, we have

$$(4.33) \quad f^\epsilon = \epsilon^3 R_N + \mathcal{Q}_N + \mathcal{Q}_N,$$

exists and is well-posed. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] SONE, YOSHIO; *Kinetic theory and fluid dynamics*. Modeling and Simulation in Science, Engineering and Technology. Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- [2] SONE, YOSHIO; *Molecular gas dynamics. Theory, techniques, and applications*. Modeling and Simulation in Science, Engineering and Technology. Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2007.
- [3] ESPOSITO, R.; GUO, Y.; KIM, C.; MARRA, R.; *Non-isothermal boundary in the Boltzmann theory and Fourier law*. Comm. Math. Phys. 323 (2013), no. 1, 177-239.
- [4] ARKERYD, LEIF; ESPOSITO, RAFFAELE; MARRA, ROSSANA; NOURI, ANNE; *Ghost effect by curvature in planar Couette flow*. Kinet. Relat. Models 4 (2011), no. 1, 109-138.
- [5] CERCIGNANI, CARLO; MARRA, R.; ESPOSITO, R.; *The Milne problem with a force term*. Transport Theory Statist. Phys. 27 (1998), no. 1, 1-33.
- [6] YANG, XIONGFENG; *Asymptotic behavior on the Milne problem with a force term*. J. Differential Equations 252 (2012), no. 9, 4656-4678.
- [7] GUO, YAN; *Decay and continuity of the Boltzmann equation in bounded domains*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 197 (2010), no. 3, 713-809.

DIVISION OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, 182 GEORGE STREET, PROVIDENCE, RI 02912, USA

E-mail address, L. Wu: Lei.Wu@brown.edu