A REMARK ON UNIQUE CONTINUATION FOR THE CAUCHY-RIEMANN OPERATOR

IHYEOK SEO

Abstract. In this note we obtain a unique continuation result for the differential inequality $|\overline{\partial}u| \leq |Vu|$, where $\overline{\partial} = (i\partial_y + \partial_x)/2$ denotes the Cauchy-Riemann operator and $V(x, y)$ is a function in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The unique continuation property is one of the most interesting properties of holomorphic functions $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$. This property says that if f vanishes in a nonempty open subset of $\mathbb C$ then it must be identically zero. Note that $u \in C^1(\mathbb R^2)$ satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equation $(i\partial_y + \partial_x)u = 0$ if and only if it defines a holomorphic function $f(x + iy) \equiv u(x, y)$ on \mathbb{C} . From this point of view, one can see that a $C¹$ function satisfying the equation has the unique continuation property.

In this note we consider a class of non-holomorphic functions u which satisfy the differential inequality

$$
|\overline{\partial}u| \le |Vu|,\tag{1.1}
$$

where $\overline{\partial} = (i\partial_y + \partial_x)/2$ denotes the Cauchy-Riemann operator and $V(x, y)$ is a function on \mathbb{R}^2 .

The best positive result for (1.1) is due to Wolff [\[9\]](#page-4-0) (see Theorem 4 there) who proved the property for $V \in L^p$ with $p > 2$. On the other hand, there is a counterex-ample [\[8\]](#page-4-1) to unique continuation for [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) with $V \in L^p$ for $p < 2$. The remaining case $p = 2$ seems to be unknown for the differential inequality [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0), and note that L^2 is a scale-invariant space of V for the equation $\overline{\partial}u = Vu$. Here we shall handle this problem. Our unique continuation result is the following theorem which is based on bounds for a Fourier multiplier from L^p to L^q .

Theorem 1.1. Let $1 < p < 2 < q < \infty$ and $1/p-1/q = 1/2$. Assume that $u \in L^p \cap L^q$ satisfies the inequality [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) with $V \in L^2$ and vanishes in a non-empty open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . Then it must be identically zero.

The unique continuation property also holds for harmonic functions, which satisfy the Laplace equation $\Delta u = 0$, since they are real parts of holomorphic functions. This was first extended by Carleman [\[1\]](#page-3-0) to a class of non-harmonic functions satisfying the inequality $|\Delta u| \leq |Vu|$ with $V \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. There is an extensive literature on later

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35B60, 35F05.

Key words and phrases. Unique continuation, Cauchy-Riemann operator.

2 IHYEOK SEO

developments in this subject. In particular, the problem of finding all the possible L^p functions V, for which $|\Delta u| \leq |Vu|$ has the unique continuation, is completely solved (see $[3, 5, 7]$ $[3, 5, 7]$ $[3, 5, 7]$). See also the survey papers of Kenig $[4]$ and Wolff $[10]$ for more details, and the recent paper of Kenig and Wang [\[6\]](#page-4-4) for a stronger result which gives a quantitative form of the unique continuation.

Throughout the paper, the letter C stands for positive constants possibly different at each occurrence. Also, the notations \hat{f} and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)$ denote the Fourier and the inverse Fourier transforms of f, respectively.

2. A preliminary lemma

The standard method to study the unique continuation property is to obtain a suitable Carleman inequality for relevant differential operator. This method originated from Carleman's classical work [\[1\]](#page-3-0) for elliptic operators. In our case we need to obtain the following inequality for the Cauchy-Riemann operator $\overline{\partial} = (i\partial_y + \partial_x)/2$, which will be used in the next section for the proof of Theorem [1.1:](#page-0-1)

Lemma 2.1. Let $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$. For all $t > 0$, we have

$$
\left\| |z|^{-t} f \right\|_{L^q} \le C \left\| |z|^{-t} \overline{\partial} f \right\|_{L^p}
$$
\n(2.1)

if 1 < p < 2 < q < ∞ and $1/p-1/q=1/2$. Here, $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$ and C is a constant independent of t.

Proof. First we note that

$$
\overline{\partial}(z^{-t}f)=z^{-t}\overline{\partial} f+f\overline{\partial}(z^{-t})=z^{-t}\overline{\partial} f
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Then the inequality (2.1) is equivalent to

$$
\left\|z^{-t}f\right\|_{L^q} \leq C \left\|\overline{\partial}(z^{-t}f)\right\|_{L^p}.
$$

By setting $g = z^{-t}f$, we are reduced to showing that

$$
||g||_{L^q} \leq C ||(i\partial_y + \partial_x)g||_{L^p}
$$

for $g \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$. To show this, let us first set

$$
(i\partial_y + \partial_x)g = h,\t\t(2.2)
$$

and let $\psi_{\delta} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to [0,1]$ be a smooth function such that $\psi_{\delta} = 0$ in the ball $B(0,\delta)$ and $\psi_{\delta} = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(0, 2\delta)$. Then, using the Fourier transform in [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1), we see that

$$
(-\eta + i\xi)\widehat{g}(\xi, \eta) = h(\xi, \eta).
$$

Thus, by Fatou's lemma we are finally reduced to showing the following uniform boundedness for a multiplier operator having the multiplier $m(\xi, \eta) = \psi_{\delta}(\xi, \eta)/(-\eta + \eta)$ $i\xi$):

$$
\left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\psi_{\delta}(\xi,\eta)\widehat{h}(\xi,\eta)}{-\eta+i\xi}\right) \right\|_{L^q} \le C \|h\|_{L^p}
$$
\n(2.3)

uniformly in $\delta > 0$.

From now on, we will show [\(2.3\)](#page-1-2) using Young's inequality for convolutions and Littlewood-Paley theorem ([\[2\]](#page-3-4)). Let us first set for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$
\widehat{Th}(\xi,\eta) = m(\xi,\eta)\widehat{h}(\xi,\eta) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{T_kh}(\xi,\eta) = m(\xi,\eta)\chi_k(\xi,\eta)\widehat{h}(\xi,\eta),
$$

where $\chi_k(\cdot) = \chi(2^k \cdot)$ for $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ which is such that $\chi(\xi, \eta) = 1$ if $|(\xi, \eta)| \sim 1$, and zero otherwise. Also, $\sum_k \chi_k = 1$. Now we claim that

$$
||T_k h||_{L^q} \le C ||h||_{L^p}
$$
\n(2.4)

uniformly in $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, since $1 < p < 2 < q < \infty$, by the Littlewood-Paley theorem together with Minkowski's inequality, we get the desired inequality [\(2.3\)](#page-1-2) as follows:

$$
\|\sum_{k} T_{k}h\|_{L^{q}} \leq C \|\left(\sum_{k} |T_{k}h|^{2}\right)^{1/2}\|_{L^{q}}
$$

\n
$$
\leq C \Big(\sum_{k} \|T_{k}h\|_{L^{q}}^{2}\Big)^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\leq C \Big(\sum_{k} \|h_{k}\|_{L^{p}}^{2}\Big)^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\leq C \|\left(\sum_{k} |h_{k}|^{2}\right)^{1/2}\|_{L^{p}}
$$

\n
$$
\leq C \|\sum_{k} h_{k}\|_{L^{p}},
$$

where h_k is given by $\widehat{h_k}(\xi, \eta) = \chi_k(\xi, \eta)\widehat{h}(\xi, \eta)$. Now it remains to show the claim [\(2.4\)](#page-2-0). But, this follows easily from Young's inequality. Indeed, note that

$$
T_kh = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\psi_\delta(\xi,\eta)\chi_k(\xi,\eta)}{-\eta+i\xi}\right)*h
$$

and by Plancherel's theorem

$$
\left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\frac{\psi_{\delta}(\xi, \eta) \chi_{k}(\xi, \eta)}{-\eta + i\xi} \right) \right\|_{L^{2}} = \left\| \frac{\psi_{\delta}(\xi, \eta) \chi_{k}(\xi, \eta)}{-\eta + i\xi} \right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C \left(\int_{|(\xi, \eta)| \sim 2^{-k}} \frac{1}{\eta^{2} + \xi^{2}} d\xi d\eta \right)^{1/2} \leq C.
$$

Since we are assuming the gap condition $1/p - 1/q = 1/2$, by Young's inequality for convolutions, this readily implies that

$$
||T_{k}h||_{L^{q}} \leq \left||\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\psi_{\delta}(\xi,\eta)\chi_{k}(\xi,\eta)}{-\eta+i\xi}\right)\right||_{L^{2}}||h||_{L^{p}} \leq C||h||_{L^{p}}
$$

as desired. \Box

4 IHYEOK SEO

3. Proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-0-1)

The proof is standard once one has the Carleman inequality [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) in Lemma [2.1.](#page-1-3)

Without loss of generality, we may show that u must vanish identically if it vanishes in a sufficiently small neighborhood of zero. Then, since we are assuming that $u \in$ $L^p \cap L^q$ vanishes near zero, from [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) with a standard limiting argument involving a C_0^∞ approximate identity, it follows that

$$
\left\| |z|^{-t}u \right\|_{L^q} \leq C \left\| |z|^{-t} \overline{\partial} u \right\|_{L^p}.
$$

Thus by [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) we see that

$$
|||z|^{-t}u||_{L^{q}(B(0,r))} \leq C|||z|^{-t}Vu||_{L^{p}(B(0,r))}
$$

+ $C|||z|^{-t}\overline{\partial}u||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{2}\setminus B(0,r))},$

where $B(0, r)$ is the ball of radius $r > 0$ centered at 0. Then, using Hölder's inequality with $1/p - 1/q = 1/2$, the first term on the right-hand side in the above can be absorbed into the left-hand side as follows:

$$
C \||z|^{-t} V u\|_{L^p(B(0,r))} \leq C \|V\|_{L^2(B(0,r))} \| |z|^{-t} u\|_{L^q(B(0,r))}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} \| |z|^{-t} u\|_{L^q(B(0,r))}
$$

if we choose r small enough. Here, $||z|^{-t}u||_{L^q(B(0,r))}$ is finite since $u \in L^q$ vanishes near zero. Hence we get

$$
||(r/|z|)^tu||_{L^q(B(0,r))} \leq 2C||\overline{\partial}u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(0,r))}
$$

\n
$$
\leq 2C||V||_{L^2}||u||_{L^q}
$$

\n
$$
< \infty.
$$

By letting $t \to \infty$, we now conclude that $u = 0$ on $B(0, r)$. This implies $u \equiv 0$ by a standard connectedness argument.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank Jenn-Nan Wang for pointing out a preprint ([\[6\]](#page-4-4)) and for some comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Carleman, Sur un problème d'unicité pour les systèmes d'équations aux derivées partielles \dot{a} deux variables indépendantes, Ark. Mat., Astr. Fys., 26 (1939), 1-9.
- [2] L. Grafakos, Classical Fourier Analysis, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [3] D. Jerison and C. E. Kenig, Unique continuation and absence of positive eigenvalues for Schrödinger operators, Ann. of Math. 121 (1985), 463-494.
- [4] C. E. Kenig, Restriction theorems, Carleman estimates, uniform Sobolev inequalities and unique continuations, Harmonic analysis and partial differential equations (El Escorial, 1987), 69-90, Lecture Notes in Math. 1384, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [5] C. E. Kenig and N. Nadirashvili, A counterexample in unique continuation, Math. Res. Lett. 7 (2000), 625-630.
- [6] C. E. Kenig and J-N Wang, Quantitative uniqueness estimates for second order elliptic equations with unbounded drift, Preprint, [arXiv:1407.1536.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.1536)
- [7] H. Koch and D. Tataru, Sharp counterexamples in unique continuation for second order elliptic equations, J. Reine Angew. Math. 542 (2002), 133-146.
- [8] N. Mandache, A counterexample to unique continuation in dimension two, Commun. Anal. Geom., 10 (2002), 1-10.
- [9] T. H. Wolff, A property of measures in \mathbb{R}^n and an application to unique continuation, Geom. Funct. Anal., 2 (1992), 225-284.
- [10] T. H. Wolff, Recent work on sharp estimates in second-order elliptic unique continuation problems, J. Geom. Anal., 3 (1993), 621-650.

Department of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 440-746, Republic of Korea

E-mail address: ihseo@skku.edu