

GENERALIZED HEAT CONDUCTION IN LASER FLASH EXPERIMENTS

KOVÁCS R.^{1,3} AND VÁN P.^{1,2,3}

ABSTRACT. A general equation of heat conduction is derived with the help of a generalized entropy current and internal variables. The system constitutive relations is compatible with the momentum series expansion of the kinetic theory. The well known Fourier, Maxwell–Cattaneo–Vernotte, Guyer–Krumhansl, Jeffreys–type, and Cahn–Hilliard type equations are obtained as special cases.

The solutions of the general equation are demonstrated with heat pulse initial and boundary conditions. A simple numerical method is developed and its stability is proved.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently a common generalization of the heat conduction equations Fourier, Maxwell-Cattaneo-Vernotte, Guyer-Krumhansl, Jeffreys-type (dual phase lag) and Green-Naghdi was derived in the framework of non-equilibrium thermodynamics [1]. Then experimental and theoretical studies were performed in order to understand the role of different terms and also the possibility of detecting non-Fourier effects [2, 3, 4]. According to the basic hypothesis of these investigations, material heterogeneity may be responsible for non-Fourier effects (see e.g. [5]). However, these effects may be not apparent, in the equation the observed phenomena may be Fourier like because due to the universal dissipative nature of the additional terms. Therefore it is important to identify and analyse possible qualitative phenomena for experimental observation.

The non-equilibrium thermodynamic theory of the generalized heat conduction in [1] is based on the assumption of a minimal deviation from local equilibrium hypothesis. The deviation may appear both in the density and in the current density of the entropy:

- In the entropy a quadratic expression of a vectorial internal variable represents the bulk deviation from local equilibrium in the continua [6, 7].
- A generalization of the entropy current density, with the help of current multipliers, represents the deviation of the currents from their local equilibrium form [8, 9, 10].

The modifications are restricted only by the second law of thermodynamics, do not incorporate any particular assumptions about the structure of the particular continua, therefore in this sense our approach is universal.

There is an important problematic point of the theory. The structure of the derived system of evolution equations seems to be incompatible with the existing theories of Extended Thermodynamics, that is with the hyperbolic system of the momentum series expansion hierarchy of the kinetic theory [11]. In particular

Date: September 5, 2022.

it does not compatible with the ballistic phonons, a well explained non-Fourier propagation mechanism in low temperature materials [12, 13].

In this paper we slightly modify and extend the approach of [1] introducing the heat flux as a basic field, instead of the general vectorial internal variable of [1]. We also introduce an additional second order tensorial internal variable and the corresponding generalization of the entropy flux by current multipliers. This way we can reproduce the first two stairs of the hierarchy of kinetic theory in a generalized, phenomenological framework, without any particular assumptions on the structure of the material (e.g. a rarefied gas). We assume only a second law compatible deviation from local equilibrium.

In the next section we introduce the theory and derive the heat conduction equation up to the second internal variable and show the most important particular cases. In the third section we introduce a simple finite difference numerical method to solve the set of equations. Finally we show some demonstrative solutions of the equations on the example of laser flash experiment in order to identify possible non-Fourier effects.

2. NON-EQUILIBRIUM THERMODYNAMICS OF HEAT CONDUCTION

In this paper we restrict ourselves to rigid heat conductors, therefore the time derivatives are partial and the density of the material is constant. Our starting point is the balance of internal energy:

$$\partial_t e + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} = 0. \quad (1)$$

Here e is the density of the internal energy, and \mathbf{q} is the heat flux, the current density of the internal energy. ∂_t denotes the partial time derivative and ∇ with the central dot is the divergence, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} = \text{tr}(\nabla \mathbf{q})$.

The second law is given in the following form

$$\partial_t s + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Here s is the entropy density and \mathbf{J} is the entropy current density vector. For modeling phenomena beyond local equilibrium, we introduce the heat flux \mathbf{q} as basic field variable and also a second order tensorial internal variable denoted by \mathbf{Q} . The deviation from local equilibrium will be characterized by two basic constitutive hypotheses:

- We assume that the entropy density depends on the additional fields quadratically:

$$s(e, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}) = s_{eq}(e) - \frac{m_1}{2} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q} - \frac{m_2}{2} \mathbf{Q} : \mathbf{Q}, \quad (3)$$

where m_1 and m_2 are constant, positive material coefficients. This is not a complete isotropic representation, for the sake of simplicity we have introduced a single material coefficient for the second order tensor \mathbf{Q} , too. The derivative of the local equilibrium part of the entropy function s_{eq} by the internal energy is the reciprocal temperature: $\frac{ds_{eq}}{de} = \frac{1}{T}$ and $\mathbf{Q} : \mathbf{Q} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q})$. The quadratic form may be considered as a first approximation in case of the heat flux and is due to the Morse lemma for the internal variable [7]. The sign is determined by the requirement of concave entropy function, that is, thermodynamic stability.

- We assume that the entropy flux is zero if $\mathbf{q} = 0$ and $\mathbf{Q} = 0$. Therefore it can be written in the following form:

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{B} : \mathbf{Q}. \quad (4)$$

Here \mathbf{b} is a second order tensorial constitutive functions and \mathbf{B} is a third order one. They are the current multipliers [8].

In the above theory the basic fields are T , \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{Q} , the constitutive functions are \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{B} . The entropy production can be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t s + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} &= -\frac{1}{T} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} - m_1 \mathbf{q} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{q} - m_2 \mathbf{Q} : \partial_t \mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{b} : \nabla \mathbf{q} + \\ &\quad \mathbf{q} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}) + \mathbf{B} : \nabla \mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q} : (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) \\ &= \left(\mathbf{b} - \frac{1}{T} \mathbf{I} \right) : \nabla \mathbf{q} + (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} - m_1 \partial_t \mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{q} - \\ &\quad (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} - m_2 \partial_t \mathbf{Q}) : \mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{B} : \nabla \mathbf{Q} \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here \mathbf{I} is the unit tensor and the triple dot denotes the full contraction of third order tensors. In the last row the first and the third terms are products of second order tensors, the second term is the product of vectors and the last term is of third order ones. The time derivatives of the state variables \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{Q} represent their evolution equations, here they are considered as constitutive quantities. Therefore one can identify four thermodynamic forces and currents in the above expression and assume linear relationship between them in order to obtain the solution of the entropy inequality. In case of isotropic materials only the second order tensors can show cross effects, the vectorial and third order tensorial terms are independent.

In the following we will simplify the treatment to a single spatial direction. In the following the one dimensional representation of the tensors will be denoted by the same but not boldface letters, and the one dimensional spatial derivative is ∂_x .

In this case the entropy production can be rewritten as:

$$\left(b - \frac{1}{T} \right) : \partial_x q - (\partial_x b - m_1 \partial_t q) q - (\partial_x B - m_2 \partial_t Q) Q + B \partial_x Q \geq 0. \quad (6)$$

The linear relations between the thermodynamic fluxes and forces result in the following constitutive equations:

$$m_1 \partial_t q - \partial_x b = -l_1 q, \quad (7)$$

$$m_2 \partial_t Q - \partial_x B = -k_1 Q + k_{12} \partial_x q, \quad (8)$$

$$b - \frac{1}{T} = -k_{21} Q + k_2 \partial_x q, \quad (9)$$

$$B = n \partial_x Q. \quad (10)$$

The l_1, k_1, k_2, n coefficients are nonnegative and also $K = k_1 k_2 - k_{12} k_{21} \geq 0$, because of the entropy inequality (6). The above set of constitutive equations (7)-(10) together with the energy balance (1) and the caloric equation of state $T(e)$ give a solvable set of equations, with suitable boundary and initial conditions. In case of constant coefficients one can easily eliminate the current multipliers by substituting

them from (9)-(10) into (7)-(8) and obtain:

$$m_1 \partial_t q + l_1 q - k_2 \partial_x^2 q = \partial_x \frac{1}{T} - k_{21} \partial_x Q, \quad (11)$$

$$m_2 \partial_t Q + k_1 Q - n \partial_x^2 Q = k_{12} \partial_x q. \quad (12)$$

Here ∂_x^i denotes the i -th partial derivative by x . This set of equations are similar to the 13 field set of equations of kinetic theory [14].

We can eliminate the internal variable Q , too, and obtain the following general constitutive equation between the heat flux q and the temperature T :

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 m_2 \partial_{tt} q + (m_2 l_1 + m_1 k_1) \partial_t q - (m_1 n + m_2 k_2) \partial_{xxt} q + \\ n k_2 \partial_x^4 q - (l_1 n + K) \partial_{xx} q + k_1 l_1 q = \\ m_2 \partial_{xt} \frac{1}{T} + k_1 \partial_x \frac{1}{T} - n \partial_x^3 \frac{1}{T}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

In this equation the coefficients are nonnegative according to the second law. One can distinguish between the following special cases:

2.1. $n = 0$. Then the second current multiplier B is eliminated and therefore the highest order spatial derivatives of q and T are missing.

$$m_1 m_2 \partial_{tt} q + (m_2 l_1 + m_1 k_1) \partial_t q - m_2 k_2 \partial_{xxt} q + k_1 l_1 q - K \partial_{xx} q = m_2 \partial_{xt} \frac{1}{T} + k_1 \partial_x \frac{1}{T}. \quad (14)$$

2.2. **Ballistic-conductive.** $n = k_2 = 0$. Then we obtain

$$m_1 m_2 \partial_{tt} q + (m_2 l_1 + m_1 k_1) \partial_t q + k_1 l_1 q - K \partial_{xx} q = m_2 \partial_{xt} \frac{1}{T} + k_1 \partial_x \frac{1}{T}. \quad (15)$$

We will see, that this equation may show ballistic propagation.

2.3. **Guyer-Krumhansl.** $n = m_2 = 0$. In this case both the tensorial internal variable and the corresponding current multiplier is eliminated:

$$m_1 k_1 \partial_t q + k_1 l_1 q - K \partial_{xx} q = k_1 \partial_x \frac{1}{T}. \quad (16)$$

2.4. **Generalized.** $n = m_1 = 0$. Then one obtains the generalized heat conduction law of [1]:

$$m_2 l_1 \partial_t q - m_2 k_2 \partial_{xxt} q - K \partial_{xx} q + k_1 l_1 q = m_2 \partial_{xt} \frac{1}{T} + k_1 \partial_x \frac{1}{T}. \quad (17)$$

2.5. **Cahn-Hilliard type.** $n = m_1 = m_2 = 0$. Then one obtains Fourier equation extended by the second spatial derivative of the heat flux:

$$k_1 l_1 q - K \partial_{xx} q = k_1 \partial_x \frac{1}{T}. \quad (18)$$

This equation is a Cahn-Hilliard type one, similar to the hypertemperature model of Forest and Amestoy (except a sign!) [15].

2.6. **Jeffreys type.** $n = m_1 = k_2 = 0$ and k_{12} or $k_{21} = 0$. Then one obtains the Jeffreys type or dual phase lag heat conduction law:

$$m_2 l_1 \partial_t q + k_1 l_1 q = m_2 \partial_{xt} \frac{1}{T} + k_1 \partial_x \frac{1}{T}. \quad (19)$$

2.7. **MCV.** $n = m_2 = k_2 = 0$ and k_{12} or $k_{21} = 0$. Then we get the Maxwell-Cattaneo-Vernotte equation:

$$m_1 \partial_t q + l_1 q = \partial_x \frac{1}{T}. \quad (20)$$

2.8. **Fourier.** $n = m_2 = m_1 = k_2 = 0$ and k_{12} or $k_{21} = 0$. Then eliminating almost everything one obtains the Fourier law:

$$l_1 q = \partial_x \frac{1}{T}. \quad (21)$$

3. HEAT PULSE EXPERIMENT

Heat pulse experiments are important from theoretical point of view of non-Fourier heat conduction (see e.g. [16]) and in the framework of laser flash method [17, 18, 19] it is an important part of standard heat conduction measurement methodology. In this section we analyze some consequences of the previous general equations in case of the heat pulse boundary and initial conditions in order to identify possible qualitative effects. In this numerical study we restrict ourselves to the ballistic-conductive model, where $n = 0$ and $k_2 = 0$. Therefore the system of equations to be solved are the energy balance (1) and the constitutive evolution equations for the heat flux and the internal variable (11)-(12) with the initial and boundary conditions specific for the heat pulse experiment. We substitute the caloric equation of state $e = \rho c T$, into the energy balance, Here ρ is the density and c is the specific heat. Introducing a convenient notation for the constitutive equations results in:

$$\rho c \partial_t T + \partial_x q = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$\tau_q \partial_t q + q = -\lambda \partial_x T - \kappa_{21} \partial_x Q, \quad (23)$$

$$\tau_Q \partial_t Q + Q = \kappa_{12} \partial_x q. \quad (24)$$

Here $\tau_q = \frac{m_1}{k_1}$ and $\tau_Q = \frac{m_2}{k_1}$ are relaxation times, $\lambda = \frac{1}{T^2 l_1}$ is the Fourier heat conduction coefficient and $\kappa_{21} = \frac{k_{21}}{l_1}$, $\kappa_{12} = \frac{k_{12}}{k_1}$ are material parameters.

The front side boundary condition is a heat pulse of the following form:

$$q_0(t) = q(x=0, t) = \begin{cases} q_{max} \left(1 - \cos \left(2\pi \cdot \frac{t}{t_p} \right) \right) & \text{if } 0 < t \leq t_p, \\ 0 & \text{if } t > t_p. \end{cases}$$

At the backside of the sample, $x = L$, we consider adiabatic insulation, therefore $q(x=L, t) = 0$. Initially the fields are homogeneous and the initial conditions are $T(x, t=0) = T_0$ and $q(x, t=0) = 0$.

After these operations we introduce the dimensionless variables $\hat{t}, \hat{x}, \hat{T}, \hat{q}, \hat{Q}$ for the time, space, temperature, heat flux and internal variable, respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{t} &= \frac{\alpha t}{L^2}, & \text{where} & \quad \alpha = \frac{\lambda}{\rho c}; & \hat{x} &= \frac{x}{L}; \\ \hat{T} &= \frac{T - T_0}{T_{end} - T_0}, & \text{where} & \quad T_{end} = T_0 + \frac{\bar{q}_0 t_p}{\rho c L}; \\ \hat{q} &= \frac{q}{\bar{q}_0}, & \text{where} & \quad \bar{q}_0 = \frac{1}{t_p} \int_0^{t_p} q_0(t) dt; & \hat{Q} &= \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_{12}}{\kappa_{21}}} \bar{q}_0 Q. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The following dimensionless parameters are introduced

$$\hat{\tau}_\Delta = \frac{\alpha t_p}{L^2}; \quad \hat{\tau}_q = \frac{\alpha \tau_q}{L^2}; \quad \hat{\tau}_Q = \frac{\alpha \tau_Q}{L^2}; \quad \hat{\kappa} = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa_{12} \kappa_{12}}}{L}. \quad (26)$$

Finally we get the ballistic-conductive equations in a non-dimensional form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\tau}_\Delta \partial_{\hat{t}} \hat{T} + \partial_{\hat{x}} \hat{q} &= 0, \\ \hat{\tau}_q \partial_{\hat{t}} \hat{q} + \hat{q} + \hat{\tau}_\Delta \partial_{\hat{x}} \hat{T} + \hat{\kappa} \partial_{\hat{x}} \hat{Q} &= 0, \\ \hat{\tau}_Q \partial_{\hat{t}} \hat{Q} + \hat{Q} - \hat{\kappa} \partial_{\hat{x}} \hat{q} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

If $\hat{\tau}_Q = 0$, then the Guyer-Krumhansl system is obtained.

The corresponding initial conditions are $\hat{T}(\hat{x}, \hat{t} = 0) = 0$ and $\hat{q}(\hat{x}, \hat{t} = 0) = 0$. The boundary conditions are given for the heat flux only. At the rear side $\hat{q}(\hat{x} = 1, \hat{t}) = 0$ and the heat pulse at the front side is

$$\hat{q}(\hat{x} = 0, \hat{t}) = \begin{cases} \left(1 - \cos\left(2\pi \frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{\tau}_\Delta}\right)\right) & \text{if } 0 < \hat{t} \leq \hat{\tau}_\Delta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \hat{t} > \hat{\tau}_\Delta. \end{cases}$$

The above set of initial and boundary conditions will be sufficient for the numerical solution of the problem.

4. NUMERICAL METHOD

In this and also in the following sections the previously introduced dimensionless variables and parameters will be used without hat. In this section we analyze a finite difference method of solution for the above mathematical problem.

We applied two kind of discretized fields, one of them is covering the space interval from $(0, 1)$, while the others are shifted by $\frac{\Delta x}{2}$ (see figure 1).




FIGURE 1. Discretization method. The crosses denote the points of space discretization.

The essential aspect of this method is that we can neglect the boundary conditions of shifted fields, because the points of these fields are corresponds to the

middle of each cell. In this particular case we deal only with the laser flash experiment and it is very straightforward to use only the heat flux boundary condition. It is noted that the fields can be interchanged, the shifting is not necessary and it can be mixed, e.g. if one want to define the conditions for temperature and heat flux as well, then the current density of heat flux will be shifted only.

For the numerical scheme the finite difference method is used. This scheme is forward in time and one-sided in space, but it has a unique property. In fact, it uses the values like a centered scheme in space which holds only for shifted fields. The balance of internal energy reads as:

$$T_j^{n+1} = T^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\tau_\Delta \Delta x} (q_{j+1}^n - q_j^n).$$

The constitutive equations are:

$$q_j^{n+1} = q_j^n \left(1 - \frac{\Delta t}{\tau_q}\right) - \frac{\Delta t \tau_\Delta}{\tau_q \Delta x} (T_j^n - T_{j-1}^n) - \frac{\kappa \Delta t}{\tau_q \Delta x} (Q_j^n - Q_{j-1}^n)$$

$$Q_j^{n+1} = Q_j^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\tau_Q} Q_j^n + \frac{\kappa \Delta t}{\tau_Q \Delta x} (q_{j+1}^n - q_j^n)$$

Here n is the time index and j denotes the space index.

The scheme is explicit therefore the stability is an essential side of the analysis. We applied the von Neumann method, i.e. we assumed the solution of the difference equation in the form $T_j^n = \psi^n \cdot e^{ikj\Delta x}$, where ψ is the growth factor with stability condition $|\psi| \leq 1$, i is the imaginary unit, k is the wave number. After substitution we get the system of linear algebraic equations:

$$\mathbf{M} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} T \\ q \\ Q \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0},$$

where

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \psi & -\frac{\Delta t}{\tau_\Delta \Delta x} (e^{ik\Delta x} - 1) & 0 \\ -\frac{\Delta t \tau_\Delta}{\tau_q \Delta x} (1 - e^{-ik\Delta x}) & 1 - \frac{\Delta t}{\tau_q} - \psi & -\frac{\kappa \Delta t}{\tau_q \Delta x} (1 - e^{-ik\Delta x}) \\ 0 & \frac{\kappa \Delta t}{\tau_Q \Delta x} (e^{ik\Delta x} - 1) & 1 - \frac{\Delta t}{\tau_Q} - \psi \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic equation of the system can be calculated from $\det \mathbf{M} = 0$ and the Jury criteria can be applied to decide whether it is stable or not.

4.1. Jury criteria. If the characteristic equation is given in the form

$$F(\psi) = c_3 \psi^3 + c_2 \psi^2 + c_1 \psi + c_0 \quad (28)$$

where c_i , ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$), then the related conditions of stability are [20]

- (1) $F(\psi = 1) > 0$
- (2) $F(\psi = -1) < 0$
- (3) $|c_0| < c_3$
- (4) $|b_0| > |b_2|$, where $b_0 = \begin{vmatrix} c_0 & c_3 \\ c_3 & c_0 \end{vmatrix}$ and $b_2 = \begin{vmatrix} c_0 & c_1 \\ c_3 & c_2 \end{vmatrix}$

Their analytical calculation is straightforward, but pretty inconvenient even in this size, so the numerical evaluation of the criteria is recommended. The conditions are checked during the calculations. We did not encounter remarkable stability problems with this discretization.

5. SOLUTIONS

In this section we present the solutions of Guyer-Krumhansl equation in a classification as Fourier-like, MCV-like (under-damped) and GK-like (over-damped). We also present the solutions of the ballistic-conductive system. The characteristics of the solution are shown separately on the backside temperature profile.

5.1. Solutions of the GK-equation. This special form arises from (27) if there is no inertial effect in the propagation of the internal variable Q , that is $\tau_Q = 0$.

Fourier solutions are obtained, if $\tau_q = \kappa^2$. Figure 2 shows the case when $\tau_q = \kappa^2 = 0.02$ and $\tau_\Delta = 0.04$.

If the dissipation term small, one can identify the properties of the MCV-equation (fig. (3)). In this case we solved the equation for $\tau_\Delta = 0.04$, $\tau_q = 0.02$ and $\kappa^2 = 10^{-4}$. $\kappa^2 = 0$ results in exactly the MCV solution.

In the third case ($\tau_\Delta = 0.04$, $\tau_q = 0.02$, $\kappa^2 = 0.04$), the solution is over-damped. Remarkable is the speed of the signal propagation (fig. (4)). One can see that the temperature signal arrives earlier than in the other cases and the change of the backside temperature is steeper.

5.2. Solutions of the ballistic-conductive system. Analysis of the entropy production (5) and equation (13) suggests us ideas to distinguish solutions classes with the respect of parameters. From equation (27) one can see that the parameter $\kappa = 0$ leads to MCV solutions, because it decouples the last two constitutive equations. If it does not hold, there is an additional dissipative term in the entropy production. Let us see these cases more closely.

- **Fourier solution:** In this case we need relatively small τ_q and κ but large τ_Q . it result in that $\kappa \approx 0$, $\tau_Q + \tau_q \approx \tau_Q$. However, we have to note it is only an approximation of Fourier solution, because the term of double time derivative of heat flux in equation (13) never can be zero. The particular parameters on figure (5) are: $\tau_q = 0.002$; $\tau_Q = 1$; $\tau_\Delta = 0.04$; $\kappa = 0.001$.




FIGURE 2. Fourier-like solution of the GK-equation, $\tau_\Delta = 0.04$, $\tau_q = \kappa^2 = 0.02$




FIGURE 3. MCV-like solution of the GK-equation; $\tau_{\Delta} = 0.04$, $\tau_q = 0.02$, $\kappa^2 = 10^{-4}$.




FIGURE 4. Over-damped solution of the GK-equation; $\tau_{\Delta} = 0.04$, $\tau_q = 0.02$, $\kappa^2 = 0.04$.

- **MCV-kind solution:** This case is very simple, we need only $\kappa = 0$ which results in that the signal propagation will be independent of τ_Q and $K = 0$. Thus, the parameters are: $\tau_{\Delta} = 0.04$, $\tau_q = 0.02$, $\kappa = 0$. The solution is shown on fig. (6).
- **GK solution:** The characteristics are same as before; to reach this we need to apply high κ and relaxation times are around the same order of magnitude, so we used $\tau_Q = 0.001$, $\tau_{\Delta} = 0.04$, $\tau_q = 0.02$, $\kappa = 0.25$; see fig. (7).




FIGURE 5. Fourier-like solution of the ballistic-conductive system;
 $\tau_Q = 1$, $\tau_\Delta = 0.04$, $\tau_q = 0.002$, $\kappa = 0.001$.




FIGURE 6. MCV-like solution of the ballistic-diffusive system,
 $\tau_\Delta = 0.04$, $\tau_q = 0.02$, $\kappa = 0$

- **Ballistic solutions:** In this case we can observe two propagation speeds, but their detection in simulations is not so easy because of dissipation. For example the particular parameters $\tau_q = 1.9$, $\tau_Q = 0.07$, $\kappa = 0.28$, $\tau_\Delta = 0.065$ results in such a propagation which can be seen on figure (8).

6. DISCUSSION

There are some remarkable properties of the thermodynamic approach and the obtained heat conduction model.




FIGURE 7. Guyer-Krumhansl solution of the ballistic-diffusive system; $\tau_Q = 0.001$, $\tau_\Delta = 0.04$, $\tau_q = 0.02$, $\kappa = 0.25$.




FIGURE 8. Two propagation speeds solving the ballistic-diffusive system; $\tau_q = 1.9$, $\tau_Q = 0.07$, $\kappa = 0.28$, $\tau_\Delta = 0.065$.

- The method of generalization with the help of current multipliers is a second order weakly nonlocal extension of the thermodynamic framework and it is compatible with the more rigorous exploitation methods of the second law [10, 21].
- The thermodynamic thermal force is the gradient of the reciprocal temperature and not the gradient of the temperature. Therefore one may not predict negative temperature solutions of the equations contrary to the more naive approaches [22].

- The mentioned reduction to Fourier equation, when most of the material coefficients are zero, is not the only way to obtain Fourier solutions. The general heat conduction equation result in Fourier solutions in many different coefficient combinations, due to the hierarchical structure of the theory. We have demonstrated it on the example of the Guyer-Krumhansl equation. The over-diffusion regime, when $\tau_q < \kappa^2$, is considered unphysical in case of the Jeffreys type (dual phase lag) equation [23]. Our thermodynamic framework and the connection to the hierarchical system of balances of Extended Thermodynamics is suggestive regarding physical mechanisms for this seemingly controversial phenomena.
- Kinetic theory results in correct ballistic propagation using at least 30 moments, because the material parameters are fixed by the microstructure and the introduced particular microscopical processes [14]. In our phenomenological approach it seems to be no problem to obtain the required ballistic wave speeds in the framework of our three field theory, $(e, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q})$.
- The thermodynamic origin of the evolution equations ensures their remarkable numerical stability and easy solvability.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work was supported by the grants Otká K81161 and K104260. The authors thank Balázs Czél, Gyula Gróf and Tamás Fülöp for valuable discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Ván and T. Fülöp. Universality in heat conduction theory: weakly nonlocal thermodynamics. *Annalen der Physik*, 524(8):470–478, 2012. arXiv:1108.5589.
- [2] B. Czél, T. Fülöp, Gy. Gróf, and P. Ván. Comparison of temperature responses of the laser flash method in case of parabolic and hyperbolic heat conduction models. In Dombi Sz., editor, *11th International Conference on Heat Engines and Environmental Protection, Balatonfred*, pages 133–139, Budapest, 2013. BME, Dep. of Energy Engineering.
- [3] B. Czél, T. Fülöp, Gy. Gróf, Gyenis. Á., and P. Ván. Simple heat conduction experiments. In Dombi Sz., editor, *11th International Conference on Heat Engines and Environmental Protection*, pages 141–146, Budapest, 2013. BME, Dep. of Energy Engineering.
- [4] P. Ván, B. Czél, T. Fülöp, Gy. Gróf, Á. Gyenis, and J. Verhás. Experimental aspects of heat conduction beyond Fourier. In M. Pilotelli and G. P. Beretta, editors, *Proceedings of the 12th Joint European Thermodynamics Conference*, pages 519–524, Brescia, 2013. Cartolibreria SNOOPY. ISBN 978-88-89252-22-2, arXiv:1305.3583.
- [5] Mingwei Tian, Ning Pan, Lijun Qu, Xiaoqing Guo, and Guangting Han. A theoretical analysis of local thermal equilibrium in fibrous materials. *Thermal Science*, 2013.
- [6] I. Gyarmati. The wave approach of thermodynamics and some problems of non-linear theories. *Journal of Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics*, 2:233–260, 1977.
- [7] J. Verhás. *Thermodynamics and Rheology*. Akadémiai Kiadó and Kluwer Academic Publisher, Budapest, 1997.
- [8] B. Nyíri. On the entropy current. *Journal of Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics*, 16:179–186, 1991.
- [9] P. Ván. Weakly nonlocal irreversible thermodynamics – the Guyer-Krumhansl and the Cahn-Hilliard equations. *Physics Letters A*, 290(1-2):88–92, 2001. (cond-mat/0106568).
- [10] V. A. Cimmelli and P. Ván. The effects of nonlocality on the evolution of higher order fluxes in non-equilibrium thermodynamics. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 46(11):112901–15, 2005. cond-mat/0409254.
- [11] T. Ruggeri. Can constitutive equations be represented by non-local equations. *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*, LXX(3):597–611, 2012.
- [12] I. Müller and T. Ruggeri. *Rational Extended Thermodynamics*, volume 37 of *Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy*. Springer Verlag, New York-etc., 2nd edition, 1998.

- [13] D. Jou, J. Casas-Vázquez, and G. Lebon. *Extended Irreversible Thermodynamics*. Springer Verlag, Berlin-etc., 1992. 3rd, revised edition, 2001.
- [14] W. Dreyer and H. Struchtrup. Heat pulse experiments revisited. *Continuum Mechanics and Thermodynamics*, 5:3–50, 1993.
- [15] S. Forest and M. Amestoy. Hypertemperature in thermoelastic solids. *Comptes Rendus Mecanique*, 336:347–353, 2008.
- [16] H. E. Jackson and C. T. Walker. Thermal conductivity, second sound and phonon-phonon interactions in NaF. *Physical Review Letters*, 3:1428–1439, 1971.
- [17] W. J. Parker, R. J. Jenkins, C. P. Butler, and G. L. Abbott. Flash method of determining thermal diffusivity, heat capacity, and thermal conductivity. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 32(9):1679, 1961.
- [18] T. Baba, N. Taketoshi, and T. Yagi. Development of ultrafast laser flash methods for measuring thermophysical properties of thin films and boundary thermal resistances. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics*, 50(11):RA01, 2011.
- [19] Balázs Czél, Keith A Woodbury, Jonathan Woolley, and Gyula Gróf. Analysis of parameter estimation possibilities of the thermal contact resistance using the laser flash method with two-layer specimens. *International Journal of Thermophysics*, 34(10):1993–2008, 2013.
- [20] Eliahu Ibrahim Jury. *Inners and stability of dynamic systems*. Wiley New York, 1974.
- [21] A Sellitto, VA Cimmelli, and D Jou. Entropy flux and anomalous axial heat transport at the nanoscale. *Physical Review B*, 87(5):054302, 2013.
- [22] Sergey A Rukolaine. Unphysical effects of the dual-phase-lag model of heat conduction. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 78:58–63, 2014.
- [23] B Shen and P Zhang. Notable physical anomalies manifested in non-fourier heat conduction under the dual-phase-lag model. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 51(7):1713–1727, 2008.

¹DEPARTMENT OF THEORETICAL PHYSICS, WIGNER RESEARCH CENTRE FOR PHYSICS, INSTITUTE FOR PARTICLE AND NUCLEAR PHYSICS, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY AND ²DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY ENGINEERING, BME, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY AND ³MONTAVID THERMODYNAMIC RESEARCH GROUP