

Approximate Solution of Kuramoto–Sivashinsky Equation Using Reduced Differential Transform Method

Omer ACAN^{1,a)} and Yıldırım KESKİN^{1,b)}

Department of Mathematics, Science Faculty, Selcuk University, Konya 42003, Turkey.

^{a)}acan_omer@selcuk.edu.tr

^{b)}yildiraykeskin@yahoo.com

Abstract. In this study, approximate solution of Kuramoto–Sivashinsky Equation, by the reduced differential transform method, are presented. We apply this method to an example. Thus, we have obtained numerical solution Kuramoto–Sivashinsky equation. Comparisons are made between the exact solution and the reduced differential transform method. The results show that this method is very effective and simple.

Keywords: Reduced differential transform method (RDTM), Kuramoto–Sivashinsky (KS) equations, Approximate solution.

PACS: 02.60.Cb.

1. INTRODUCTION

Partial differential equations (PDEs) have numerous essential applications in various fields of science and engineering such as fluid mechanic, thermodynamic, heat transfer, physics [10].

Most new nonlinear PDEs do not have a precise analytic solution. So, numerical methods have largely been used to handle these equations. It is difficult to handle nonlinear part of these equations. Although most of scientists applied numerical methods to find the solution of these equations, solving such equations analytically is of fundamental importance since the existent numerical methods which approximate the solution of PDEs don't result in such an exact and analytical solution which is obtained by analytical methods.

Many researchers have paid attention by studying to the solutions of nonlinear PDEs by various methods [11-19]. RDTM [1-4], devised by Yıldırım Keskin in 2009, is a numerical method to obtain approximate solutions of various types of nonlinear partial differential equations. It has received much attention since it has applied to solve a wide variety of problems by many authors [20-23].

In this study, RDTM is used to obtain approximate solution of KS equation. The Generalized Kuramoto–Sivashinsky equation is given [5,6] by

$$u_t + \alpha u^\beta u_x + \gamma u^\tau u_{xx} + \lambda u_{xxxx} = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

Where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \tau \neq 0$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \tau \in R$.

When $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and $\tau = 0$, eq. (1.1) reduces to original KS equation of the form [6-8]

$$u_t + uu_x + \gamma u_{xx} + \lambda u_{xxxx} = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

In the past several decades, many researchers have used various methods to solve KS equation. We apply the RDTM to solve KS equation of the form (1.2).

2. ANALYSIS OF THE RDTM

The basic definitions in the RDTM [4] are as follows:

Definition 2.1.

If function $u(x, t)$ is analytic and differentiated continuously with respect to time t and space x in the domain of interest, then let

$$U_k(x) = \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} u(x, t) \right]_{t=0} \quad (2.1)$$

where the t -dimensional spectrum function $U_k(x)$ is the transformed function. In this paper, the lowercase $u(x, t)$ represent the original function while the uppercase $U_k(x)$ stand for the transformed function.

The differential inverse transform of $U_k(x)$ is defined as follows:

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} U_k(x) t^k. \quad (2.2)$$

Then combining equation (2.1) and (2.2) we write

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} u(x, t) \right]_{t=0} t^k. \quad (2.3)$$

From the above definitions, it can be found that the concept of the reduced differential transform is derived from the power series expansion.

For the purpose of illustration of the methodology to the proposed method, we write the KS equation in the standard operator form

$$L_t(u(x, t)) + L_x(u(x, t)) + N(u(x, t)) = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

with initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = f(x) \quad (2.5)$$

where $L_t(u(x, t)) = u_t$ and $L_x(u(x, t)) = \gamma u_{xx} + \lambda u_{xxx}$ are linear operators which have partial derivatives, $N(u(x, t)) = uu_x$ is a nonlinear term.

TABLE 1. The fundamental operators of RDTM [1-4]

Functional Form	Transformed Form
$u(x, t)$	$U_k(x) = \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} u(x, t) \right]_{t=0}$
$w(x, t) = u(x, t) \pm \alpha v(x, t)$	$W_k(x) = U_k(x) \pm \alpha V_k(x)$ (α is a constant)
$w(x, t) = x^m t^p u(x, t)$	$W_k(x) = x^m U_{(k-p)}(x)$
$w(x, t) = u(x, t) v(x, t)$	$W_k(x) = \sum_{r=0}^k V_r(x) U_{k-r}(x) = \sum_{r=0}^k U_r(x) V_{k-r}(x)$
$w(x, t) = \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} u(x, t)$	$W_k(x) = (k+1) \dots (k+r) U_{k+1}(x) = \frac{(k+r)!}{k!} U_{k+r}(x)$
$w(x, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} u(x, t)$	$W_k(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} U_k(x)$

According to the RDTM and Table 1, we can construct the following iteration formula:

$$(k+1)U_{k+1}(x) = -\gamma \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} U_k(x) - \lambda \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4} U_k(x) - \sum_{r=0}^k U_{k-r}(x) \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} U_r(x) \right). \quad (2.6)$$

From initial condition (2.2), we write

$$U_0(x) = f(x) \quad (2.7)$$

substituting (2.7) into (2.6) and by a straight forward iterative calculations, we get the following $U_k(x)$ values. Then

the inverse transformation of the set of values $\{U_k(x)\}_{k=0}^n$ gives approximation solution as,

$$\tilde{u}_n(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^n U_k(x) t^k \quad (2.8)$$

where n is order of approximation solution.

Therefore, the exact solution of problem is given by

$$u(x, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{u}_n(x, t). \quad (2.9)$$

3. NUMERICAL APPLICATIONS

In this section, we test the RDTM for KS equation.

Example 3.1. We consider the following KS equation [6,9]:

$$u_t + uu_x + u_{xx} + u_{xxx} = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

subject to initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = c + \frac{5}{19} \sqrt{\frac{11}{19}} (11 \tanh^3(k(x-x_0)) - 9 \tanh(k(x-x_0))). \quad (3.2)$$

In [6,9], the exact solution of (3.1) is given as

$$u(x, t) = c + \frac{5}{19} \sqrt{\frac{11}{19}} (11 \tanh^3(k(x-ct-x_0)) - 9 \tanh(k(x-ct-x_0))). \quad (3.3)$$

Taking differential transform of (3.1) and the initial condition (3.2) respectively, we obtain

$$(k+1)U_{k+1}(x) = -\gamma \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} U_k(x) - \lambda \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4} U_k(x) - \sum_{r=0}^k U_{k-r}(x) \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} U_r(x) \right). \quad (3.3)$$

where the t-dimensional spectrum function $U_k(x)$ are the transformed function.

From the initial condition (3.2) we write

$$U_0(x) = c + \frac{5}{19} \sqrt{\frac{11}{19}} (11 \tanh^3(k(x-x_0)) - 9 \tanh(k(x-x_0))). \quad (3.4)$$

Now, substituting (3.4) into (3.3), we obtain the following $U_k(x)$ values successively

$$U_1(x) = -\frac{60}{361} \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \left(\frac{4 \cosh^4(k(-x+x_0)) - 11 \cosh^2(k(-x+x_0)) + 16k^2 \times}{\cosh^4(k(-x+x_0)) - 24k^2 \cosh^2(k(-x+x_0)) + 330k^2} \right) \frac{k^2 \sqrt{209}}{\cosh^7(k(-x+x_0))} \quad (3.5)$$

$$U_2(x) = -\frac{60}{361} \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \left(\begin{array}{l} 831600k^4 + 165 \cosh^4(k(-x+x_0)) + 18600k^2 \times \\ \cosh^4(k(-x+x_0)) - 18480k^2 \cosh^2(k(-x+x_0)) \\ + 8k^2 \cosh^8(k(-x+x_0)) + 391440k^4 \cosh^4(k(-x+x_0)) \\ - 1112160k^4 \cosh^2(k(-x+x_0)) + 64k^2 \cosh^8(k(-x+x_0)) \\ - 3776k^2 \cosh^6(k(-x+x_0)) + 128k^4 \cosh^8(k(-x+x_0)) \\ - 30592k^4 \cosh^6(k(-x+x_0)) - 112 \cosh^6(k(-x+x_0)) \end{array} \right) \frac{k^4 \sqrt{209}}{\cosh^{11}(k(-x+x_0))} \quad (3.6)$$

From (2.8)

$$\tilde{u}_2(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^2 U_k(x) t^k. \quad (3.7)$$

Substituting (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) in (3.7), we have

$$\tilde{u}_2(x,t) = -\frac{1}{361 \cosh^{11}(k(-x+x_0))} \left(\begin{aligned} & -361c \cosh^{11}(k(-x+x_0)) + 10\sqrt{209} \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \cosh^{10}(k(-x+x_0)) - 55\sqrt{209} \times \\ & \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \cosh^8(k(-x+x_0)) + 240\sqrt{209}k^2t \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \cosh^8(k(-x+x_0)) \\ & - 660\sqrt{209}k^2t \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \cosh^6(k(-x+x_0)) + 960\sqrt{209}k^4t \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \times \\ & \cosh^8(k(-x+x_0)) - 13440\sqrt{209}k^4t \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \cosh^6(k(-x+x_0)) + 19800\sqrt{209} \times \\ & k^4t \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \cosh^4(k(-x+x_0)) - 49896000\sqrt{209}k^8t^2 \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) - 9900 \times \\ & \sqrt{209}k^4t^2 \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \cosh^4(k(-x+x_0)) - 1116000\sqrt{209}k^6t^2 \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \times \\ & \cosh^4(k(-x+x_0)) + 1108800\sqrt{209}k^6t^2 \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \cosh^2(k(-x+x_0)) - 480\sqrt{209} \times \\ & k^4t^2 \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \cosh^8(k(-x+x_0)) - 23486400\sqrt{209}k^8t^2 \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \times \\ & \cosh^4(k(-x+x_0)) + 66729600\sqrt{209}k^8t^2 \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \cosh^2(k(-x+x_0)) - 3840 \times \\ & \sqrt{209}k^6t^2 \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \cosh^8(k(-x+x_0)) + 226560\sqrt{209}k^6t^2 \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \times \\ & \cosh^6(k(-x+x_0)) - 7680\sqrt{209}k^8t^2 \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \cosh^8(k(-x+x_0)) + 1835520\sqrt{209}k^8 \times \\ & t^2 \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \cosh^6(k(-x+x_0)) + 6720\sqrt{209}k^4t^2 \sinh(k(-x+x_0)) \cosh^6(k(-x+x_0)) \end{aligned} \right)$$

When analysed the solution of the above an example by RDTM, the following results are obtained:




FIGURE 1. The surface shows the solution $u(x,t)$ for eq. (3.1) when $c = 0.1$, $k = (\sqrt{11/19})/4$, $x_0 = -30$ (a) exact solution (3.3), (b) 2th order of approximate solution for eq. (3.1) and (c) the absolute error between exact and numerical solution.

TABLE 1. The exact and 2th order of approximate solution for (3.1) and absolute error between exact and numerical solutions

x	t	RDTM Solution	Exact Solution	Abs-Error
0.0	0.0	0.5003600908	0.500360093	$0.5420022964 \times 10^{-9}$
0.0	0.5	0.5003685212	0.500358052	$0.1046850860 \times 10^{-4}$
0.0	1.0	0.5003762240	0.500355975	$0.2024957166 \times 10^{-4}$
0.5	0.0	0.5003784827	0.500378483	$0.6041276398 \times 10^{-9}$
0.5	0.5	0.5003854531	0.500376798	$0.8655395022 \times 10^{-5}$
0.5	1.0	0.5003918215	0.500375080	$0.1674210244 \times 10^{-4}$
1.0	0.0	0.5003936888	0.500393690	$0.5954475010 \times 10^{-9}$
1.0	0.5	0.5003799452	0.500392296	$0.7156111486 \times 10^{-5}$
1.0	1.0	0.5004047174	0.500390875	$0.1384174699 \times 10^{-4}$

4. CONCLUSION

In this study, reduced differential transform method has been successfully applied to KS equation. This example shows that the results of the present method are in excellent agreement with those of absolute errors and the obtained solutions shown in table.

REFERENCES

1. Y. Keskin, G. Oturanc, Reduced differential transform method for partial differential equations, *Int. J. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 10 (6), 2009, pp. 741–749.
2. Y. Keskin, G. Oturanc, The reduced differential transform method: a new approach to fractional partial differential equations, *Nonlinear Sci. Lett. A* 1 (2), 2010, pp. 207–217.
3. Y. Keskin, G. Oturanc, Reduced differential transform method for generalized KdV equations, *Math. Comput. Applic.* 15 (3), 2010, 382–393.
4. Y. Keskin, Ph.D Thesis, Selcuk University, 2010 (in Turkish).
5. C. Li, G. Chen and S. Zhao, Exact Travelling wave solutionsto the generalized Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, *Latin American Appl. Research*, 34, 2004, pp. 64-68.
6. M. Kurulay, A. Secer and M. A. Akinlar, A New Approximate Analytical Solution of Kuramoto – Sivashinsky Equation Using Homotopy Analysis Method, *Appl. Math. & Inf. Sci.* 7 (1), 2013, pp.267-271.
7. G. I. Sivashinsky, Nonlinear Analysis of hydrodynamic instability in laminar flames, Part I, Derivation of Basic Equations, *Acta Astronautica*, 4, 1977, pp. 1177-1206.
8. G. I. Sivashinsky, On flame propagation under conditions of stoichiometry, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 39, 1980, pp. 67-82.
9. M. G. Porshokouhi and B. Ghanbari, Application of Hes variational iteration method for solution of the family of KuramotoSivashinsky equations Original Research Article, *J. King Saud Univ. Sci.*, 23 (4), 2011, pp. 407-41.
10. L. Debnath, Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations for Scientist and Engineers, *Birkhauser, Boston*, 1997.
11. G. Adomian, A new approach to nonlinear partial differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Applic.* 102, 1984 pp. 420–434.
12. A.M. Wazwaz, Partial differential equations: methods and applications, The Netherlands: *Balkema Publishers*, 2002.
13. J.H. He, Variational iteration method-a kind of non-linear analytical technique: Some examples *Int. J. Non-Linear Mechanics*, 34 (4) (1999) pp. 699–708.
14. S.J. Liao, Homotopy analysis method: a new analytic method for nonlinear problems, *Appl. Math. And Mechanics*, 19 (10), 1998, pp.957–962.
15. S.J. Liao, On the homotopy analysis method for nonlinear problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 147 (2), 2004), pp.499–513.

16. J.K. Zhou, *Differential Transformation and its Application for Electrical Circuits*, Huazhong University Press, Wuhan, China, 1986.
17. N. Bildik, A. Konuralp, The use of variational iteration method, differential transform method and Adomian decomposition method for solving different types of nonlinear partial differential equations, *Int. J. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 7 (1), 2006, pp. 65–70.
18. V.O. Vakhnenko, E.J. Parkes, A.J. Morrison, A Bäcklund transformation and the inverse scattering transform method for the generalized Vakhnenko equation, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 17 (4), 2003, pp. 683–692.
19. L. Brugnano, G. Carreras, K. Burrage, On the Convergence of LMF-type Methods for SODEs, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 1(3), 2004, pp. 297-313
20. A. Saravanan, N. Magesh, A comparison between the reduced differential transform method and the Adomian decomposition method for the Newell–Whitehead–Segel equation, *J. Egypt.Math. Soc.* 21 (3), 2013, pp. 259–265.
21. R. Abazari, M. Abazari, Numerical study of Burgers–Huxley equations via reduced differential transform method, *Comput. Appl. Math.* 32 (1), 2013, pp. 1–17.
22. B. Bis, M. Bayram, Approximate solutions for some nonlinear evolution equations by using the reduced differential transform method, *Int. J. Appl. Math. Res.* 1 (3), 2012, pp. 288–302.
23. R. Abazari, B. Soltanalizadeh, Reduced differential transform method and its application on Kawahara equations, *Thai J. Math.* 11 (1), 2013, pp. 199–216.
24. M.A. Abdou, A.A. Soliman, Numerical simulations of nonlinear evolution equations in mathematical physics, *Int. J. Nonlinear Sci.* 12 (2) 2011, pp. 131–139.