Piyush Srivastava<sup>\*</sup> Mario Szegedy<sup>†</sup>

October 9, 2018

In this short note, we give a simple proof of a Lee-Yang type theorem which appeared in [\[SS14\]](#page-2-0). Given an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , we denote the partition function of the (ferromagnetic) Ising model as

$$
Z(G, \beta, \mathbf{z}) := \sum_{\sigma: V \to \{+, -\}} \beta^{d(\sigma)} \prod_{v: \sigma(v) = +} z_v, \qquad (\mathbf{z} = (z_v)_{v \in V})
$$

where  $d(\sigma)$  is the number of edges  $e = \{i, j\}$  such that  $\sigma(i) \neq \sigma(j)$ , and  $0 < \beta < 1$  is the *edge activity*. The arguments  $z_i$  of the partition function are called vertex activities or fugacities. We then define the operator  $\mathcal{D}_G$ 

$$
\mathcal{D}_G = \sum_{v \in V} z_v \frac{\partial}{\partial z_v},
$$

which derives its importance from the fact that the *mean magnetization*  $M(G, \beta, z)$  of the Ising model on G for a given setting of the edge activity and the fugacities can be written as

$$
M(G, \beta, \boldsymbol{z}) = \frac{\mathcal{D}_G Z(G, \beta, \boldsymbol{z})}{Z(G, \beta, \boldsymbol{z})}.
$$

The theorem whose proof in this note we simplify is the following:

<span id="page-0-2"></span>**Theorem 1** ([\[SS14\]](#page-2-0)). Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected undirected graph on n vertices, and assume  $0 < \beta < 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}_GZ(G, \beta, z) \neq 0$  if for all  $v \in V$ ,  $z_v$  is a complex number with absolute value one.

In [\[SS14\]](#page-2-0), the theorem was proved using a sequence of Asano-type contractions [\[Asa70\]](#page-2-1), a technique which originated in Asano's proof of the Lee-Yang theorem [\[LY52\]](#page-2-2). The proof we present here completely eschews the Asano contraction in favor of a simpler analytic argument. In our proof we need the following version of the Lee-Yang theorem:

<span id="page-0-1"></span>**Theorem 2** ([\[LY52,](#page-2-2) [Asa70\]](#page-2-1)). Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected undirected graph on n vertices, and suppose  $0 < \beta < 1$ . Then  $Z(G, \beta, z) \neq 0$  if  $|z_v| \geq 1$  for all  $v \in V$  and in addition  $|z|_u > 1$  for some  $u \in V$ . By symmetry, the conclusion also holds when  $|z_v| \leq 1$  for all  $v \in V$  and in addition  $|z|_u < 1$  for some  $u \in V$ .

Observe that given any vertex  $u \in V$ , we can decompose the partition function as

$$
Z(G, \beta, z) = A z_u + B \tag{1}
$$

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
A = A(z) = \beta^{\deg(u)} Z(G - \{u\}, \beta, z') \qquad z'_w = \begin{cases} z_w & \text{when } w \not\sim u \text{ in } G \\ z_w/\beta & \text{when } w \sim u \text{ in } G \end{cases}
$$
 (2)

$$
B = B(\boldsymbol{z}) = Z(G - \{u\}, \beta, \boldsymbol{z}'')
$$
  

$$
z_w'' = \begin{cases} z_w & \text{when } w \not\sim u \text{ in } G \\ z_w \beta & \text{when } w \sim u \text{ in } G \end{cases}
$$

<sup>∗</sup>UC Berkeley, piyushsriva@gmail.com

<sup>†</sup>Rutgers University, szegedy@cs.rutgers.edu

Neither  $\boldsymbol{z}'$  nor  $\boldsymbol{z}''$  contains  $z_u$  and  $G - \{u\}$  denotes the graph that we obtain from  $G$  by leaving out node  $u$ . The Lee-Yang theorem has the following simple consequence, which was also used in [\[SS14\]](#page-2-0).

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Lemma 3.** If G is connected,  $0 < \beta < 1$ , and all vertex activities have absolute value 1, then A of eq. [\(2\)](#page-0-0) is not zero.

*Proof.* Since  $\beta \neq 0$ , it is sufficient to prove that  $Z(G - \{u\}, \beta, z') \neq 0$ . We observe that the latter is a product of the partition functions of the connected components of  $G - \{u\}$ , and furthermore, any neighbor  $w$  of  $u$  in  $G$  in each such component has a vertex activity  $z'_w = z_w/\beta$  with  $|z_w/\beta| = |z_w|/\beta = 1/\beta > 1$ . Due to G being connected, we find such a neighbor w of u in all components of  $G - \{u\}$ . We apply Theorem [2](#page-0-1) to each connected component of  $G - \{u\}$  separately to show that none of the factors is zero.  $\Box$ 

*Proof of Theorem [1.](#page-0-2)* Let G and  $\beta$  be as in the hypotheses of the theorem. Suppose now that there exists a point  $z^0$  such that  $|z_v^0| = 1$  for all v, and  $\mathcal{D}_G Z(G, \beta, z^0) = 0$ . We will show that this leads to a contradiction. For our subsequent argument it will be helpful to define the univariate polynomial

$$
f(t) := Z_G(G, \beta, t\mathbf{z}^0) \quad \text{where} \quad t\mathbf{z}^0 = (tz_v^0)_{v \in V}
$$

Lemma 4.  $Z(G,\beta,\boldsymbol{z^0})=0$ 

*Proof.* A comparison of the individual terms gives that  $f'(1) = \mathcal{D}_G Z(G,\beta,\boldsymbol{z^0})$ , which is zero by our assumption. From the Lee-Yang theorem we obtain that  $f(t) \neq 0$  when  $|t| \neq 1$ , so all zeros of f must lie on the unit circle. This together with the Gauss-Lucas lemma implies that the derivative of f cannot disappear on a point of the unit circle unless f disappears at the same point. Thus, since  $f'(1) = 0$ , we get that  $Z(G, \beta, z^{\mathbf{0}}) = f(1) = 0$ .  $\Box$ 

We have that  $f(1-\epsilon)=f(1)-\epsilon f'(1) \pm O(\epsilon^2)=\pm O(\epsilon^2),$  since the first two terms are zero. Let  $\bm{e_u}$ be the vertex activity (fugacity) vector with all zero vertex activities except at vertex  $u$  that has activity 1. The key to the proof is to consider the linear perturbation

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
Z(G,\beta,(1-\epsilon)z^{\mathbf{0}}+\tau\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{u}})
$$
\n(3)

We show that [\(3\)](#page-1-0) disappears for some  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\tau| < \epsilon$ , in contradiction with the Lee-Yang theorem, since under this assumption all components of  $(1-\epsilon){\bm z^{\bm 0}} + \tau {\bm e_{\bm u}}$  have absolute value less than one. By [\(1\)](#page-0-0):

$$
Z(G, \beta, (1-\epsilon)\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{0}} + \tau \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{u}}) = Z(G, \beta, (1-\epsilon)\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{0}}) + A((1-\epsilon)\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{0}})\tau = \mu_{\epsilon} + A(\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{0}})\tau + \nu_{\epsilon}\tau
$$

Here  $\mu_\epsilon=f(1-\epsilon)=\pm O(\epsilon^2)$ , and  $\nu_\epsilon=A((1-\epsilon)\bm{z^0})-A(\bm{z^0})=\pm O(\epsilon)$  by the analyticity of the function A. Recall that  $A(z^0) \neq 0$  by Lemma [3.](#page-1-1) Then expression [\(3\)](#page-1-0) disappears at  $\tau = -\frac{\mu_e}{4(z^0)}$  $\frac{\mu_{\epsilon}}{A(z^{\mathbf{0}})+\nu_{\epsilon}}$ , and also  $|\tau| < \epsilon$ if  $\epsilon$  is sufficiently small.  $\Box$ 

## 1 Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Simons Institute (the Quantum Hamiltonian Complexity program). The first author was supported by the Berkeley Fellow- ship for Graduate Study and NSF grant CCF-1016896 and the second by NSF Grant No. CCF-0832787, "Understanding, Coping with, and Benefiting from, Intractability" and by CISE/MPS 1246641.

## References

- <span id="page-2-1"></span>[Asa70] Taro Asano. Lee-Yang theorem and the Griffiths inequality for the anisotropic Heisenberg ferromagnet. Physical Review Letters, 24(25):1409–1411, June 1970.
- <span id="page-2-2"></span>[LY52] T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang. Statistical theory of equations of state and phase transitions. II. Lattice gas and Ising model. Physical Review, 87(3):410–419, August 1952.
- <span id="page-2-0"></span>[SS14] Alistair Sinclair and Piyush Srivastava. Lee–Yang theorems and the complexity of computing averages. Comm. Math. Phys., 329(3):827–858, 2014.