

# Are you there, Planet X? It's me, Voyager 1

L. Iorio

Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca (M.I.U.R.)-Istruzione

Fellow of the Royal Astronomical Society (F.R.A.S.)

Viale Unità di Italia 68, 70125, Bari (BA), Italy

email: lorenzo.iorio@libero.it

September 29, 2018

## Abstract

We propose to use the navigation data of Voyager 1 collected during the latest three decades to put on the test the recently proposed hypothesis that one (or more) still unseen super-Earth(s) may lurk at about 200 – 250 Astronomical Units. Such a hypothetical body would perturb the range of Voyager 1 up to several hundreds of km over 30 years. Even if two-way coherent range and Doppler tracking were discontinued for Voyager 1 after the planetary flybys era due to cost reasons, it does not seem unrealistic to assume a ranging accuracy still better than 1 km. It would be fully adequate to put new, severe constraints on the existence of a hypothetical perturber with the physical and orbital characteristic recently suggested in the literature.

Key words: Oort Cloud–Kuiper belt: general–gravitation–space vehicles

## 1 Introduction

New works appeared in the literature [1–4] have recently renewed the attention on the hypothesis that a still unseen body of planetary size, briefly dubbed as<sup>1</sup> Planet X (PX), may lurk in the remote outskirts of our solar system.

As far as a gaseous giant is concerned, a dedicated direct imaging survey [1] based on an analysis of the data collected by the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) ruled out the possibility that Saturn-like

---

<sup>1</sup>Other denominations appeared in the literature so far for such a trans-Neptunian (sometimes defined also as trans-Plutonian) object are Nemesis, Tyche, Thelisto.

and Jupiter-like planets can exist at less than 28,000 and 82,000 astronomical units (AU), respectively. Moreover, a brown dwarf with a Jovian mass could not exist at less than 24,000 AU. Such a study did not deal with smaller rock-ice Earth-sized planetoids, which could well exist at much closer distances.

In this regard, in [2] it was suggested that a still undetected distant super-Earth ( $m_X = 2 - 15m_\oplus$ ) moving in a circular, low inclination orbit between 200 and 300 AU could represent a viable explanation for a peculiar pattern concerning the distribution of the arguments of perihelion for some of the known trans-Neptunian objects (TNOs) with perihelion distances  $q > 30$  AU and semimajor axes  $a > 150$  AU. Such findings were later supported in [4] with a Monte Carlo study of extreme TNOs and comets by even suggesting the possible existence of a pair of trans-Plutonian perturbers<sup>2</sup> at about 200 and 250 AU.

Such claims were criticized in [3] on the basis of an analysis of the orbital precessions that a hypothetical distant perturber with the physical and orbital characteristics suggested in [2] would necessarily induce on the known planets of the solar system. In fact, the current bounds on the planetary precessions would allow for the existence of such a body at not less than  $\approx 500$  ( $m_X = 2m_\oplus$ ) – 1000 ( $m_X = 15m_\oplus$ ) AU.

Here, we suggest to use the telemetry record of the still transmitting Voyager 1 spacecraft to put on the test the hypothesis proposed in [2,4] and, at the same time, to effectively constrain the minimum distance at which a hypothetical still undetected remote planetoid can orbit. Conceptually, the idea is simple: if PX really exists, its gravitational pull on the probe should make its actual trajectory different from that calculated by taking into account only the known mass distribution of our solar system. If such a discrepancy is large enough with respect to the accuracy of the spacecraft's radio tracking, it could, in principle, be detected. A similar proposal was done for the New Horizons mission to Pluto [5].

## 2 The impact of a super-Earth on the Earth-Voyager 1 range

Voyager 1 (V1), launched in 1977, is now at about 130 Astronomical Units (AU), and it is still transmitting. It is escaping the solar system at a speed of about<sup>3</sup> 3.6 AU yr<sup>-1</sup>, 35 degrees out of the ecliptic plane to the north. The

---

<sup>2</sup>Although not explicitly stated, their masses should be of the order of  $m_X \simeq 10m_\oplus$  [4].

<sup>3</sup>See <http://voyager.jpl.nasa.gov/mission/fastfacts.html> on the Internet.

radio communication system [6] of V1 does work also beyond the limits of the solar system. It is based on a parabolic dish High Gain Antenna (HGA) which sends and receives radio waves via the Deep Space Network network on the Earth. When direct communications with the Earth are impossible, the digital tape recorder (DTR) of V1 can record up to 69.63 kilobytes of data for transmission at later time. The termination<sup>4</sup> of DTR operations is scheduled for 2015, while the end of the gyroscope operations in 2016 will prevent to point the HGA for maintaining communications with the ground.

It must be stressed that [6] navigational exactitude was deemed not necessary during the Voyager Interstellar Mission (VIM), so that two-way coherent Doppler and ranging were eliminated for budgetary reasons. Nonetheless, the accuracy in ranging may have been still significantly better than<sup>5</sup> 1 km in 2010. If it is really so, V1 could be quite useful for our purposes.

As far as the position on the sky of V1 is concerned, it was measured<sup>6</sup> in 2013 with the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) telescope of the National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO). A discrepancy with respect to the V1 predicted position of a few tenths of an arcsecond, with a possible error at the milliarcseconds level or better, was reported on February, 21 and confirmed in subsequent observations on June, 1.

In Figure 1, we display the simulated impact that a distant perturber with the main physical and orbital characteristics outlined in [2, 4] would have on the range, the right ascension (RA) and the declination (DEC) of Voyager 1 over a time span 30 years long. For the sake of definiteness, we assumed  $m_X = 10m_\oplus$ , semimajor axis  $a_X = 200$  AU, eccentricity  $e_{X=0}$ , and inclination to the ecliptic  $I_X = 10$ . It can be noticed that, while the expected shift in its position on the sky would be negligible<sup>7</sup>, the range would be altered by several hundreds of km.

### 3 Discussion and conclusions

Although two-way coherent range and range-rate tracking were discontinued in the VIM, the magnitude of the V1 range perturbation which a super-Earth at 200 AU would induce is really huge. If, on the one hand, caution is in order

---

<sup>4</sup>See <http://voyager.jpl.nasa.gov/spacecraft/spacecraftlife.html> on the Internet.

<sup>5</sup>See #3 at <http://boards.straightdope.com/sdmb/showthread.php?t=548525> on the Internet.

<sup>6</sup>See <http://www.nrao.edu/pr/2013/voyager/> on the Internet.

<sup>7</sup>Incidentally, this shows that the measured anomaly in the position on the sky of V1 cannot be due to PX.




Figure 1: Numerically integrated residuals of the range, RA, DEC of Voyager 1 due to a hypothetical distant body compatible with the requirements in [2, 4]. They were produced by numerically integrating the equations of motion of the Earth and Voyager 1 with and without the gravitational pull of PX over 30 yr. The inclination of PX refers to the ecliptic. Both the integrations shared the same initial conditions, referred to March 3, 1986. They were retrieved from the NASA JPL database Horizons at <http://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/?horizons>.

before drawing premature conclusions since it seems that no dedicated data analyses of the tracking data of V1 aimed to produce accurate range residuals were performed in the last decades, on the other hand, it is tempting to point out that it is hard to believe that a so large an effect may have gone unnoticed during all these years. At the same time, it is also difficult that the estimation of the state vectors and of the other standard parameters in usual data processing, if any, may have absorbed the PX signature to such a level to make it finally unnoticeable.

However, our analysis demonstrates that an effort to retrieve and process all the V1 telemetry during the VIM would be worth of being pursued since it has the potential capability of shedding more light on the *vetata quæstio* of the existence of a still unseen rock-ice body of planetary size in the far regions of our solar system. Even in case of a negative answer, quite tight constraints on it would be inferred. Such an analysis would represent an important complement to the one which could be performed with the New Horizons mission starting next year; moreover, it would rely upon a much more extended observational record.

## References

- [1] K. L. Luhman, “A Search for a Distant Companion to the Sun with the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer,” *The Astrophysical Journal* **781** no. 1, (Jan., 2014) 4.
- [2] C. A. Trujillo and S. S. Sheppard, “A Sedna-like body with a perihelion of 80 astronomical units,” *Nature* **507** no. 7493, (Mar., 2014) 471–474.
- [3] L. Iorio, “Planet X revamped after the discovery of the Sedna-like object 2012 VP<sub>113</sub>?,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* (2014) , [arXiv:1404.0258 \[astro-ph.EP\]](#).
- [4] C. de la Fuente Marcos and R. de la Fuente Marcos, “Extreme trans-Neptunian objects and the Kozai mechanism: signalling the presence of trans-Plutonian planets,” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **443** no. 1, (Sept., 2014) L59–L63, [arXiv:1406.0715 \[astro-ph.EP\]](#).
- [5] L. Iorio, “Perspectives on effectively constraining the location of a massive trans-Plutonian object with the New Horizons spacecraft: a sensitivity analysis,” *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy* **116** (Aug., 2013) 357–366, [arXiv:1301.3831 \[astro-ph.EP\]](#).

- [6] R. Ludwig and J. Taylor, “Voyager Telecommunications,” vol. 4 of *DESCANSO Design and Performance Summary Series*. Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, 2002. [http://descanso.jpl.nasa.gov/DPSummary/Descanso4--Voyager\\_new.pdf](http://descanso.jpl.nasa.gov/DPSummary/Descanso4--Voyager_new.pdf).