

Comment on *Local Unitary equivalence of  
multipartite pure qubits states*

Ana M. Martins  
Instituto Superior Técnico-IST, Universidade de Lisboa-UL,  
1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

April 12, 2021

**Abstract**

A Comment on the Letter by B. Kraus *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**,  
020504 (2010).

## Comment on "Local Unitary equivalence of multipartite pure qubits states"

Kraus [1] derives necessary and sufficient conditions for the equivalence of *arbitrary*,  $n$ -qubit pure states under Local Unitary (LU) operations. Theorem 1, stating that any two pure  $n$ -qubit states, with  $\rho_i \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , are LU-equivalent iff their *standard forms* are equal, can be formulated using simply the *trace decomposition* form of a state instead of its *standard form*, as proposed in the letter. By one side, it is simpler to work with the *trace decomposition form* of a state then with its *sorted trace decomposition*, that precedes its *standard form*. By another side we show that this new formulation is equally applicable to pure and mixed states. The proof goes like this.

A pure or mixed state  $\rho'$  is LU-equivalent to  $\rho$  when there exist Local Unitary operators  $U_i, \dots, U_n$  such that  $\rho' = U_1 \otimes \dots \otimes U_n \rho U_n^\dagger \otimes \dots \otimes U_1^\dagger$ . Then

$$\rho'_i = U_i \rho_i U_i^\dagger \quad (1)$$

where  $\rho'_i$  and  $\rho_i$  are the reduced states of qubit  $i$  associated to the global states  $\rho'$  and  $\rho$ .

Let  $V_i$  be the unitary operator that diagonalizes  $\rho_i$ , i.e.,  $\rho_i = V_i D_i V_i^\dagger$  where  $D_i$  is a diagonal matrix. Introducing this equality in eq.(1) we conclude that  $V'_i = U_i V_i$  is the unitary operator that diagonalizes  $\rho'_i$ , i.e.  $\rho'_i = V'_i D_i V'^{\dagger}_i$ , therefore the local unitary  $U_i$  is uniquely determined (up to a global phase) by  $U_i = V'_i V_i^\dagger$ . When  $\rho'_i = \rho_i$ , then  $V'_i = V_i$  and  $U_i = \mathbf{1}$ , is the trivial identity operator. However, there are LU-operators, beside the trivial one  $U_i = \mathbf{1}$ , named *cyclic* local unitary operators [2, 3], that leave a state,  $\rho_i \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , invariant. Such LU operators obey the following commutation relation

$$[\rho_i, U_i] = 0 \quad (2)$$

The set of local unitary operators  $U_i \in SU(2)$  obeying the cyclic condition (2) is the stabilizer subgroup of  $\rho_i$ . The general form of the non trivial unitary cyclic operators  $U_j$ , that commute with the 1-qubit density matrix  $\rho_j = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1}_j + \vec{r}(j) \cdot \vec{\sigma}(j))$ , where  $\vec{r}(j) \neq 0$  is the 3-dimensional Bloch vector,  $\vec{\sigma}(j) = (\sigma_1(j), \sigma_2(j), \sigma_3(j))$ , and where  $\sigma_i(j)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) are the Pauli matrices, was derived in Ref.[4]. There it was shown that  $U_j = e^{i\xi_j \vec{n}_j \cdot \vec{\sigma}(j)}$ , where  $\vec{n}_j = \vec{r}(j)/\|\vec{r}(j)\|$  and  $\xi_j$  is a real continuous parameter such that  $0 \leq \xi_j \leq \pi/2$ .

The *trace decomposition*  $\rho_t$  and  $\rho'_t$  of *any* two LU-equivalent states  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  is

$$\rho'_t = V_1'^{\dagger} \otimes \dots \otimes V_n'^{\dagger} \rho' V_n' \otimes \dots \otimes V_1' \quad (3)$$

$$\rho_t = V_1^\dagger \otimes \dots \otimes V_n^\dagger \rho V_n \otimes \dots \otimes V_1 \quad (4)$$

From where we easily obtain the relation between the two *trace decomposition* forms

$$\rho'_t = (V_1'^\dagger U_1 V_1) \otimes \dots \otimes (V_n'^\dagger U_n V_n) \rho_t (V_n'^\dagger U_n V_n)^\dagger \otimes \dots \otimes (V_1'^\dagger U_1 V_1)^\dagger \quad (5)$$

When  $\rho'_i \neq \rho_i$  and  $\rho_i \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then,  $U_i = V_i' V_i^\dagger \Leftrightarrow V_i'^\dagger U_i V_i = \mathbf{1}_i$ , and the two *trace decomposition* forms are equal, i.e.,  $\rho'_t = \rho_t$ . Given two arbitrary  $n$ -qubit states  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  we can check if they are LU-equivalent with the following protocol. After verifying that none of the LU operators is cyclic, we express the density operators  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  in the generalized Pauli basis [4], and compute separately  $\rho_t$  and  $\rho'_t$  using (3) and (4). Then we take the difference  $(\rho'_t - \rho_t)$ . It will be null iff  $\rho'$  and  $\rho$  are LU-equivalent.

When there is at least one  $k$  such that  $\rho'_k = \rho_k \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\bar{U}_k = V_k^\dagger U_k V_k$  is given by

$$\bar{U}_k = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\omega_k} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\omega_k} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

where  $\omega_k = \xi_k ||\vec{r}(k)||$ . Assuming that there is only one  $U_k$  obeying condition (2) the *trace decomposition forms*,  $\rho'_t$  and  $\rho_t$ , are related by

$$\rho'_t = \mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \bar{U}_k \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_n \rho_t \mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \bar{U}_k^\dagger \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_n \quad (7)$$

To check for the LU-equivalence we compute  $\rho'_t$  using eq.(3) with  $V_k' = V_k$ , and the right side of (7) using (4) and (6). Then we compute the difference,

$$\rho'_t - (\mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \bar{U}_k \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_n \rho_t \mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \bar{U}_k^\dagger \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_n) \quad (8)$$

It will be null iff  $\rho'$  and  $\rho$  are LU-equivalent. This procedure is easily generalized when there are more than one local unitary operator obeying condition (2).

In conclusion, there are two advantages of using the *trace decomposition form* and density matrix representation of a state instead of the *standard form* and the wave function representation of a state, as is done in the letter [1]: 1) It can be equally applied to pure and mixed states, 2) It is more straightforward to compute the *trace decomposition form* of a state than its *standard form* as it is proposed in the Letter.

## References

- [1] B. Kraus, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **104**, 020504 (2010).
- [2] L. B. Fu., *Europhys. Lett.*, **75**, 1 (2006).
- [3] S. Gharibian, H. Kampermann, and D. Bruß, *Quantum Information and Computation*, **9**, 1013 (2009).
- [4] A. Martins, arXiv:quant-ph/1307.0948v2 (2014).