

Unextendible entangled bases with fixed Schmidt number

Yu Guo^{1,*} and Shengjun Wu^{2,†}

¹*School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Shanxi Datong University, Datong, Shanxi 037009, China*

²*Kuang Yaming Honors School, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210093, China*

The unextendible product basis (UPB) is generalized to the unextendible entangled basis with any arbitrarily given Schmidt number k (UEBk) for any bipartite system $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ ($2 \leq k < d \leq d'$), which can also be regarded as a generalization of the unextendible maximally entangled basis (UMEB). A general way of constructing such a basis with arbitrary d and d' is proposed. Consequently, it is shown that there are at least $k - r$ (here $r = d \bmod k$, or $r = d' \bmod k$) sets of UEBk when d or d' is not the multiple of k , while there are at least $2(k - 1)$ sets of UEBk when both d and d' are the multiples of k .

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn, 03.67.Hk, 03.65.Ud.

I. INTRODUCTION

Entanglement between particles is a fundamental feature of quantum physics and has been extensively investigated in recent years [1, 2]. It is the key to understanding the deepest implications of quantum mechanics to information theory and even to the nature of reality [3]. It was found that there are sets of product states which nevertheless display a form of nonlocality [4, 5].

In Ref. [4], the unextendible product basis (UPB) is proposed, it is shown that there are sets of incomplete orthogonal product bases whose complementary space does not contain product states. The members of a UPB are not perfectly distinguishable by local positive operator valued measurements and classical communication. In addition, UPB can be used for constructing bound entangled states [6].

The notion of UPB is generalized to an unextendible maximally entangled basis (UMEB) in Ref. [7] by Bravyi and Smolin. A UMEB is a set of orthonormal maximally entangled states in a two-qudit system consisting of fewer than d^2 vectors which have no additional maximally entangled vectors orthogonal to all of them. It is shown that there are no UMEBs in the two-qubit system and constructed a six-member UMEB in $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$ and a 12-member UMEB in $\mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$. Later, B. Chen and S.-M. Fei proved in Ref. [8] that there exist a set of d^2 -member UMEB in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ ($\frac{d'}{2} < d < d'$) and questioned the existence of UMEBs in the case of $d' \geq 2d$. Very recently, this problem is solved in Ref. [9]: there might be two sets of UMEBs in any bipartite system, and an explicit construction of UMEBs is proposed.

One of the most indispensable quantity associated with bipartite basis is the *Schmidt number*. It can be used to characterize and quantify the degree of bipartite entanglement for pure state directly [10, 11] and, also, it can be operationally interpreted as the zero-error entan-

glement cost in the protocol of one-shot entanglement dilution [12]. Note that UPB is a set of pure states with the minimal Schmidt number 1 and the UMEB is a set of pure states with the maximal Schmidt number d . Then, what about the case for unextendible entangled basis with Schmidt number k ($2 \leq k < \min\{d, d'\}$)? We will investigate this problem in this short paper.

The material in this paper is arranged as follows. In Sec. II we introduce the concept of UEBk. Section III puts forward a method of constructing UEBk in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ system when d' is not a multiple of k , where k is an arbitrarily given Schmidt number. Section IV deals with the case for d' is a multiple of k . Finally, we conclude in Sec. V.

II. DEFINITION

For the sake of clarity, we recall the definition of UMEB first.

Definition [8]. A set of states $\{|\phi_i\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'} : i = 1, 2, \dots, m, m < dd'\}$ is called an m -number UMEB if and only if

- (i) $|\phi_i\rangle, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, are maximally entangled,
- (ii) $\langle \phi_i | \phi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$,
- (iii) if $\langle \phi_i | \psi \rangle = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then $|\psi\rangle$ cannot be maximally entangled.

Here state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ is called a maximally entangled state if it can be written as $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |i\rangle |i'\rangle$ for some orthonormal basis $\{|i\rangle\}$ of \mathbb{C}^d and some orthonormal set $\{|i'\rangle\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{d'}$.

With the same spirit, we define the UEBk. We denote by $S_r(|\psi\rangle)$ the Schmidt number of the pure state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$. Recall that, the Schmidt number of a pure state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ is defined as the length of the Schmidt decomposition [13]: if $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \lambda_k |k\rangle |k'\rangle$ is its Schmidt decomposition, then $S_r(|\psi\rangle) = m$. It is clear that $S_r(|\psi\rangle) = \text{rank}(\rho_1) = \text{rank}(\rho_2)$, where ρ_i denotes the reduced state of the i -th part.

Definition. A set of states $\{|\phi_i\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'} : i = 1, 2, \dots, m, m < dd'\}$ is called an m -number unextendible

*Electronic address: guoyu3@aliyun.com

†Electronic address: sjwu@nju.edu.cn

entangled bases with Schmidt number k (UEBk) if and only if

- (i) $|\phi_i\rangle, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, are entangled and $S_r(|\phi_i\rangle) = k$,
- (ii) $\langle\phi_i|\phi_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$,
- (iii) if $\langle\phi_i|\psi\rangle = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then $S_r(|\psi\rangle) \neq k$.

In the following, we will show that UEBk exists in any bipartite system $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ with $d > 2$. Hereafter, we always assume that $2 \leq k < d \leq d'$.

III. THE CASE FOR d' IS NOT A MULTIPLE OF THE SCHMIDT NUMBER

We first consider the case for $d' = tk + r$, $0 < r < k$, where k is an arbitrarily given Schmidt number.

Proposition 1. Let

$$|\phi_{mnl}\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \zeta_k^{np} |p \oplus m\rangle |[(l-1)k + p]'\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $m = 0, 1, \dots, d-1, n = 0, 1, \dots, k-1, 1 < k < d, l = 1, 2, \dots, t, \zeta_k = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{k}}$ and $d' = tk + r, 0 < r < k, x \oplus m$ denotes $x + m \bmod d$. Then $\{|\phi_{mnl}\rangle\}$ is a tkd -member UEBk in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$.

Proof. (i) It is clear that $S_r(|\phi_{mnl}\rangle) = k$ for any m, n and l .

(ii) Orthogonality.

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle\phi_{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}\tilde{l}}|\phi_{mnl}\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\tilde{p}=0}^{k-1} \zeta_k^{np-\tilde{n}\tilde{p}} \langle\tilde{p} \oplus \tilde{m}|p \oplus m\rangle \\ & \quad \times \langle[(\tilde{l}-1)k + \tilde{p}]'|[(l-1)k + p]'\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \zeta_k^{(n-\tilde{n})p} \langle p \oplus \tilde{m}|p \oplus m\rangle \delta_{\tilde{l}\tilde{l}} \\ &= \delta_{m\tilde{m}} \delta_{n\tilde{n}} \delta_{\tilde{l}\tilde{l}}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Unextendibility. Let V_1 denote the subspace spanned by

$$\{|\phi_{mnl}\rangle : m = 0, \dots, d-1, n = 0, \dots, k-1, 1 < k < d, l = 1, \dots, t\}.$$

Then $\dim V_1 = tkd$. One can easily check that any vector $|\psi\rangle \in V_1^\perp$ has the form

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} a_{ij} |i\rangle |(tk + j)'\rangle.$$

It turns out that $S_r(|\psi\rangle) < k$. ■

Going further, we can formulate the following fact.

Proposition 2. Let

$$|\phi_{mnl}\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \zeta_k^{np} |p \oplus m\rangle |[(l-1)k + p]'\rangle, \quad (2)$$

where $m = 0, 1, \dots, d - q - 1, n = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1, 1 < k < d, l = 1, 2, \dots, t, \zeta_k = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{k}}$ and $d' = tk + r, 0 < r < k, x \oplus m$ denotes $x + m \bmod d - k + q$ with $1 < q < k - r$. Then $\{|\phi_{mnl}\rangle\}$ is a $(d - q)tk$ -member UEBk in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$.

Proof. We only need to check the unextendibility. Let V_1 denote the subspace spanned by

$$\{|\phi_{mnl}\rangle : m = 0, \dots, d - q - 1, n = 0, \dots, k - 1, 1 < k < d, l = 1, \dots, t\}.$$

Then $\dim V_1 = tk(d - q)$. One can easily check that any vector $|\psi\rangle \in V_1^\perp$ has the form of $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|\psi_1\rangle + \beta|\psi_2\rangle$, where

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_1\rangle &= \sum_{i=0}^{d-q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} a_{ij}^{(1)} |i\rangle |(tk + j)'\rangle, \\ |\psi_2\rangle &= \sum_{i=d-q}^{d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d'-1} a_{ij}^{(2)} |i\rangle |j'\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It turns out that $S_r(|\psi\rangle) < k$. ■

Proposition 1 and Proposition 2 imply that there are at least $k - r$ sets of UEBk for any possible k .

Note that the UEBk in Proposition 1 can not be extended from the one in Proposition 2 since the former takes mod d while the latter one takes mod $d - k + q$.

IV. THE CASE FOR d' IS A MULTIPLE OF THE SCHMIDT NUMBER

There are two different cases when d' is a multiple of the Schmidt number: d is not a multiple of the Schmidt number or d is a multiple of the Schmidt number. We discuss them respectively.

A. d is not a multiple of the Schmidt number

Proposition 3. If $d = sk + r$ and $d' = tk$, we let

$$\begin{aligned} & |\phi_{ijmn}\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \zeta_k^{np} |(i-1)k + p\rangle |((j-1)k + p) \oplus m\rangle', \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, s, j = 1, 2, \dots, t, m, n = 0, 1, \dots, k-1, x \oplus m$ denotes $x + m \bmod d'$. Then $\{|\phi_{ijmn}\rangle\}$ is a stk^2 -member UEBk in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$.

Proof. (i) It is clear that $S_r(|\phi_{mnl}\rangle) = k$ for any i, j, m and n .

(ii) Orthogonality.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \phi_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}\tilde{m}\tilde{n}} | \phi_{ijmn} \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\bar{p}=0}^{k-1} \zeta_k^{np-\bar{n}\bar{p}} \langle (\tilde{i}-1)k + \bar{p} | (i-1)k + p \rangle \\
&\quad \times \langle (((\tilde{j}-1)k + \bar{p}) \oplus \tilde{m})' | ((j-1)k + p) \oplus m) \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \zeta_k^{(n-\bar{n})p} \langle (\tilde{i}-1)k + p | (i-1)k + p \rangle \delta_{\tilde{j}\bar{j}} \delta_{m\bar{m}} \\
&= \delta_{\tilde{i}\bar{i}} \delta_{\tilde{j}\bar{j}} \delta_{m\bar{m}} \delta_{n\bar{n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Unextendibility. Let V_1 denote the subspace spanned by

$$\{|\phi_{ijmn}\rangle : i = 1, \dots, s, j = 1, \dots, t, m, n = 0, \dots, k-1\}.$$

Then $\dim V_1 = stk^2$. One can easily check that any vector $|\psi\rangle \in V_1^\perp$ has the form

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d'} a_{ij} |i\rangle |j'\rangle.$$

It follows that $S_r(|\psi\rangle) < k$. \blacksquare

Similar to Proposition 2, we have the following result.

Proposition 4. If $d = sk + r$ and $d' = tk$, we let

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\phi_{ijmn}\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \zeta_k^{np} |(i-1)k + p\rangle |(((j-1)k + p) \oplus m)\rangle, \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, s, j = 1, 2, \dots, t, m, n = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$, $x \oplus m$ denotes $x + m \bmod d' - k + q$ with $1 < q < k - r$. Then $\{|\phi_{ijmn}\rangle : m \neq q, q+1, \dots, k-1 \text{ when } j = t\}$ is a $sk(tk - k + q)$ -member UEBk in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$.

That is there are at least $k - r$ sets of UEBk for any possible k in such a case.

B. d is a multiple of the Schmidt number

We consider now the case for $d = sk$ and $d' = tk$.

Proposition 5. If $d = sk$ and $d' = tk$, we let

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\phi_{ijmn}\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \zeta_k^{np} |(i-1)k + p\rangle |(((j-1)k + p) \oplus m)\rangle, \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

we let

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\phi_{ijn}\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \zeta_k^{np} |(i-1)k + p\rangle |((i-1)k + p) \oplus j)\rangle, \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, s, j = 1, 2, \dots, t, m, n = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$, $x \oplus m$ denotes $x + m \bmod d' - k + q$ with $1 < q < k$. Then $\{|\phi_{ijmn}\rangle : m \neq q, q+1, \dots, k-1 \text{ when } j = t\}$ is a $sk(tk - k + q)$ -member UEBk in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$.

Proof. We only need to check the unextendibility. Let V_1 denote the subspace spanned by

$\{|\phi_{ijmn}\rangle : i = 1, \dots, s, j = 1, \dots, t, m, n = 0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$. Then $\dim V_1 = sk(tk - k + q)$. One can easily check that any vector $|\psi\rangle \in V_1^\perp$ has the form

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^d \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} a_{ij} |i\rangle |j'\rangle.$$

That is $S_r(|\psi\rangle) < k$. \blacksquare

Symmetrically, the following is true.

Proposition 6. If $d = sk$ and $d' = tk$, we let

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\phi_{ijmn}\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \zeta_k^{np} |((i-1)k + p) \oplus m\rangle |((j-1)k + p)\rangle, \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, s, j = 1, 2, \dots, t, m, n = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$, $x \oplus m$ denotes $x + m \bmod d - k + q$ with $1 < q < k$. Then $\{|\phi_{ijmn}\rangle : m \neq q, q+1, \dots, k-1 \text{ when } i = s\}$ is a $tk(sk - k + q)$ -member UEBk in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$.

We thus conclude that there are at least $2(k-1)$ sets of UEBk for any possible k in this case.

V. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

The notion of UEBk is put forward, which extended the concepts of both UPB and UMEB. We show that UEBk exists in any bipartite systems with $d > 2$. Meanwhile, an explicit construction of UEBk is provided. So far the existence problem of unextendible basis is settled thoroughly: the unextendible basis always exists in any bipartite system (here, UPB, UMEB and UEBk are collectively called unextendible basis).

For the special case of $d = sk$, we can give another method of constructing UEBks. Inspired by proposition 2 in Ref. [9], if $d = sk$, for any integer

$$m \in \begin{cases} \{d' - 1, d' - 2, \dots, d' - k + 1\}, & \text{if } d' \geq 2d, \\ \{d' - 1, d' - 2, \dots, d' - r\}, & \text{if } d < d' < 2d, \quad d' = tk + r, \quad r < k, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, s, j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, n = 0, 1, \dots, k-$

1, $x \oplus j$ denotes $x + j \bmod m$. Then $\{|\phi_{ijn}\rangle\}$ is a smk -member UEBk in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$. One can see clearly that Proposition 2 in Ref. [9] recovers from UEBk in Eq. (8) by setting $k = d$. Also note that if we take $k = d$, then Proposition 1 coincides with Proposition 1 in Ref. [9].

In addition, for any m -member UEBk $\{|\phi_i\rangle\}$, the range of the state

$$\rho^\perp = \frac{1}{dd' - m} (I - \sum_{i=1}^m |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i|), \quad (9)$$

has no state with Schmidt number greater than or equal to k , namely, the Schmidt number of ρ^\perp is smaller than k [14]. That is, UEBk can be used for constructing entangled state with Schmidt number smaller than k . In other words, any state with Schmidt number greater than or equal to k lives in the subspace spanned by the UEBk and vice versa. Namely, the space $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ is divided into two subspace for any possible Schmidt number k : one contains states with Schmidt number greater than or equal to k and the other contains only states with

Schmidt number smaller than k . (This implies that the item (iii) in the definition of UEBk can be replaced by ‘if $\langle\phi_i|\psi\rangle = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then $S_r(|\psi\rangle) \geq k$ ’.) However, for any given mixed state, its Schmidt number is hard to calculate since it is defined via all its pure state ensembles [14]. Therefore, UEBk can be used to construct entangled states with limited Schmidt number, thus provides us a useful tool in studying entanglement.

Acknowledgments

Y. Guo is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11301312, Grant No. 11171249), the Natural Science Foundation of Shanxi (Grant No. 2013021001-1, Grant No. 2012011001-2) and the Research start-up fund for Doctors of Shanxi Datong University (Grant No. 2011-B-01). S. Wu is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11275181). This paper is dedicated to professor Jinchuan Hou for his sixtieth birthday.

-
- [1] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and K. Horodecki, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 865 (2009).
 [2] O. Gühne and G. Tóth, *Phys. Rep.* **474**, 1 (2009).
 [3] J. S. Bell, *Physics (NY)* **1**, 195 (1964).
 [4] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVincenzo, T. Mor, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin, and B. M. Terhal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 5385 (1999).
 [5] D. P. DiVincenzo, T. Mor, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin, and B. M. Terhal, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **238**, 379 (2003).
 [6] A. O. Pittenger, *Lin. Alg. Appl.* **359**, 235–248 (2003).
 [7] S. Bravyi and J. A. Smolin, *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 042306 (2011).
 [8] B. Chen and S. M. Fei, *Phys. Rev. A* **88**, 034301 (2013).
 [9] M.-S. Li, Y.-L. Wang, and Z.-J. Zheng, *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 062313 (2014).
 [10] M. J. Donald, M. Horodecki, and O. Rudolph, *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, 4252–4272 (2002).
 [11] J. Sperling and W. Vogel, *Phys. Scr.* **83**, 045002 (2011).
 [12] F. Buscemi and N. Datta, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 130503 (2011).
 [13] E. Schmidt, *Math. Ann.* **63**, 433 (1907).
 [14] The Schmidt number of mixed state ρ on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ is defined by [B. M. Terhal, P. Horodecki, *Phys. Rev. A* **61**, 040301 (2000).]

$$S_r(\rho) = \inf_{\mathcal{D}(\rho)} \max_{\psi_i} S_r(\psi_i),$$

where $\mathcal{D}(\rho) = \{p_i, |\psi_i\rangle : \rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|\}$ is the set of all possible pure state ensembles of the bipartite state ρ .