Optomechanical cooling of a levitated nanosphere in a hybrid electro-optical trap

J. Millen*, P. Z. G. Fonseca, T. Mavrogordatos, T. S. Monteiro † & P. F. Barker ‡

The ability to engineer and control the macroscopic motion of mechanical oscillators has become an important tool in quantum science. Key to the exploitation of these devices has been the development of methods to cool them to their ground state¹⁻³. The precisely controlled nature of these engineered quantum systems offers great promise for exploring the foundations of quantum mechanics at large mass scales, in particular the mechanisms of wavefunction collapse^{4,5} and the possibility of creating large macroscopic superpositions⁶. Levitation of a mechanical oscillator, such as a nanosphere trapped in vacuum, removes many decoherence and dissipation pathways. The motion of nanoscale dielectric particles can be cooled through their interaction with an optical cavity⁷ and ground state cooling has been predicted⁸⁻¹⁰. To date nanoparticles have been cavity cooled in high vacuum after transiting through an optical cavity¹¹, and while trapped at modest gas pressures¹² ². We report on a hybrid optical and quadrupole electric trap that both levitates and cools silica nanospheres in high vacuum, an important first step towards a free nanoscale object in a macroscopic quantum superposition.

Light is an exceptionally flexible and precise tool for controlling matter, from trapping single $\operatorname{atoms}^{13}$ to the optical tweezing of organic cells¹⁴. Laser cooling¹⁵, the production of the Bose-Einstein condensate¹⁶, and confinement in optical lattices¹⁷ has allowed the exploration of quantum physics. The control of nano- and micro-scale systems with light has enabled the study of mesoscopic dynamical and thermodynamical processes^{18–20}. In recent years it has been possible to control the motion of nanoscale oscillators at the quantum level using light^{2,3}, which is seen to be of fundamental importance for the construction of quantum networks^{21–24}, and has enabled the generation of nonclassical states of light²⁵.

The creation of long lived macroscopic quantum states of nanomechanical oscillators is challenging due to strong coupling with the environment. A mechanical oscillator that is levitated in vacuum minimizes the coupling to the environment. The lack of clamping leads to extremely high mechanical quality factors⁸ ($Q \approx 10^{12}$) offering force sensing with exquisite sensitivity²⁶. In addition, the ability to change the properties of the oscillator by changing the levitating field, and even to rapidly turn off the levitation, offers the prospect of interferometry in the absence of any perturbations other than gravity²⁷.

The centre-of-mass motion of a levitated nanoparticle can be cooled by its interaction with the field of a highfinesse optical cavity^{7,28}. However, like cold atoms trapped in vacuum, levitated nanoparticles are sensitive to parametric noise and internal heating via even a small absorption of the levitating light field²⁰. To date this has limited the



Figure 1: Hybrid electro-optical trap used to levitate and cool a nanoparticle in vacuum. a, A schematic diagram of the hybrid trap consisting of a Paul trap and standing wave optical cavity potential. b, The sphere is trapped by the Paul trap and driven across the standing wave formed by the light in the cavity, scattering light. c, Intermittently the sphere is trapped by the optical field and confined to one fringe of the standing wave.

lower pressure at which particles can be stably trapped without additional damping²⁹ to approximately 1 mbar^{12,20}. We overcome this problem by levitating a naturally charged silica nanosphere in a hybrid electro-optical trap combining a Paul trap with an optical dipole trap formed from a single mode optical cavity. The hybrid nature of the trap leads to rich dynamics; in particular the Paul trap plays an important role in in the optomechanical cooling dynamics by introducing a cyclic displacement of the equilibrium point of the mechanical oscillations in the optical field. This eliminates the need for a second, dedicated cooling optical mode of the cavity^{8–10} and importantly allows us to cool the trapped particle in vacuum.

A schematic diagram of the hybrid electro-optical trap which combines both a Paul trap and an optical cavity dipole trap is shown in fig. 1a.

The Paul trap potential is approximated by

$$V(x, y, z, t) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_{\rm T}^2 \left(x^2 + y^2 - 2z^2\right)\cos(\omega_{\rm d}t), \quad (1)$$

where *m* is the mass of the nanosphere and $\omega_{\rm T}^2 = \frac{2QV_0}{mr_0^2}$, with *Q* the charge on the nanosphere, and r_0 a parameter setting the scale of the trap potential.

The optical cavity field creates a potential given by $V_{\text{opt}}(x, y, z) = U_0 \cos^2 kx \ e^{-(y^2+z^2)/2w^2}$ where the depth of the optical potential $U_0 = \hbar A |\alpha|^2$; here $|\alpha|^2$ is the

^{*} j.millen@ucl.ac.uk

t.monteiro@ucl.ac.uk

[‡] p.barker@ucl.ac.uk



Figure 2: Experimental setup for trapping and cooling nanospheres. a, A photograph of the experiment. A Paul trap sits in the middle of an optical cavity. **b**, Optical layout for cooling. A weak beam resonant with the optical cavity is used to stabilize the cavity through Pound-Drever-Hall locking, and a beam at least fifty times more intense, which is used for trapping and cooling, can be detuned from the cavity resonance. The light which the nanosphere scatters as it moves through the optical cavity field is collected.

intra-cavity photon number and the coupling strength $A = \frac{3V_{\rm s}}{2V_{\rm m}} \frac{\epsilon_{\rm r} - 1}{\epsilon_{\rm r} + 2} \omega_{\rm l}$ depends on the sphere volume $V_{\rm s}$, the mode volume $V_{\rm m} = \pi w^2 L$ (with w the waist of the cavity field and L the length of the cavity) and the laser frequency $\omega_{\rm l}$.

We define two regimes of motion within the hybrid trap. Free motion refers to when the particle is driven across the optical standing wave potential by the Paul trap (fig. 1b), while captured motion corresponds to where the nanosphere is confined and oscillates within a single well of the standing wave (fig. 1c), where most of the cooling takes place.

Near turning points of the Paul trap motion (where the speed of the nanoparticle is a minimum) a small amount of damping can lead to the nanosphere losing enough energy to be captured by the optical potential within a well at $x = x_N$ (fig. 1c). Optomechanical damping of the motion of the nanosphere occurs when the light is red detuned with respect to the cavity resonance. The damping occurs as the nanosphere oscillates across the optical potential well in the anti-node of the light field. This motion modulates the effective cavity length and periodically brings the cavity closer to resonance, increasing the intracavity power and thus the optical potential. Due to the decay time of light in the cavity the intracavity build-up is delayed with respect to the motion, which causes damping⁷. In the absence of the Paul trap field, the nanosphere is cooled by the light towards the bottom of a local standing wave potential well (optical antinode). In this region, cooling is ineffective as the nanosphere's motion does not significantly modulate the cavity length. However, in our hybrid system, the additional force on the charged nanosphere by the Paul trap acts to periodically pull the particle away from the anti-node, leading to enhanced cooling. The oscillation within the optical potential is therefore asymmetric around the bottom of the potential well as illustrated in fig. 1c.

To explore the cooling and particle dynamics in the hy-



Figure 3: Free motion of the nanosphere in the Paul trap. a, The scattered signal S as the Paul trap drives the nanosphere across the optical standing wave, with a simulation showing the predicted dynamics. The turning points of the motion are marked. **b**, During free motion the power spectral density (PSD) of the nanosphere's motion shows the Paul trap secular frequency $\omega_{\rm S} = 2\pi \times f_{\rm S}$ and micromotion $\omega_{\rm d} = 2\pi \times f_{\rm d}$.

brid trap, we use a Paul trap formed by two rounded electrodes separated by 1 mm. This traps nanospheres of radius 209 nm which typically have 1-3 elementary charges. An RF voltage of $V_0 \cos(\omega_d t)$, where V_0 is the amplitude of the timevarying signal ranges from 300-900 V and $\omega_d = 2\pi \times 1500$ Hz is its frequency, is applied to the electrodes. The electrodes are enclosed by grounded cylindrical shields. The intracavity field of a medium finesse ($\mathcal{F} \simeq 15,000$) optical cavity passes through the middle of the Paul trap. The hybrid trap was placed within a vacuum chamber as shown in fig. 2a. The nanospheres can be trapped at all pressures down to the limit of 10^{-6} mbar in the current setup. The laser used to provide the trapping and cooling light is split into a strong and weak beam. The weak beam is used to lock the laser to the cavity via the Pound-Drever-Hall method, as illustrated in fig. 2b. The strong beam is used for cooling and trapping and can be arbitrarily shifted in frequency with respect to the cavity resonance.

To observe the dynamics and characterize the cooling of a single particle, we measure the scattered light S as the nanosphere passes through the standing wave field. This provides a measurement of particle position as a function of time. Free motion of the particle produces a rapid modulation as the particle moves through many antinodes of the standing wave cavity field, as shown in fig. 3a. During free motion, the trajectory of the nanoparticle is well parametrized by the Paul trap secular $\omega_{\rm S} \simeq \frac{\omega_{\rm T}^2}{\sqrt{2}\omega_{\rm d}}$ and micromotion frequency $\omega_{\rm d}$ (see fig. 3b), despite the addition of the optical field.

However, when a particle is captured and cooled it oscillates around a single antinode and the time averaged scattered light increases. A time series recorded for both free and captured motion is shown in fig. 4, for two detunings from the cavity resonance. The red trace is taken for light that is red detuned and shows regions of increased intensity as the particle is captured in the standing wave potential. A similar trace for blue detuned light shows no capture (a constant mean scattered light intensity), as this detuning



Figure 4: Effect of detuning from the cavity resonance on the dynamics of the nanosphere. Scattered light signal S from the nanosphere at a pressure of 7.4×10^{-3} mbar with $\Delta \simeq \pm \kappa$. When the light is red detuned from the cavity resonance there are periods of free motion in the Paul trap, and regions where the nanosphere is captured in the optical potential (marked by the arrows). The nanosphere is not captured by the optical field when the light is blue detuned from the cavity resonance.

leads to heating of the particle motion.

Figure 5a shows the the capture and initial cooling process. In this trace the initial rapid modulation is followed by a dip in intensity as the particle is trapped. The particle then starts to undergo a well defined motion in the optical well, showing period doubling as the Paul trap causes asymmetric oscillations about the optical antinode (see fig. 1c). The average scattered light intensity increases as the nanosphere is cooled towards the optical antinode.

To quantify the optomechanical cooling we consider the motion of the nanosphere within one well of the optical potential $x'(t) = x(t) - x_N$. Within the optical potential a mechanical frequency $\omega_{\rm M}$ can be defined, which depends upon U_0 as well as which well N of the standing wave the particle is confined to (see supplementary material for full details). Since $\omega_{\rm M} >> \omega_{\rm S}, \omega_{\rm d}$ the motions due to the optical and Paul trap potentials are adiabatically separable; as $t \to \infty$, we approximate the motion along the optical axis by:

$$x'(t) \sim X_{\rm d} \cos(\omega_{\rm d} t) + X_M^{\infty} \cos(\omega_{\rm M} t).$$
(2)

The drive amplitude $X_d \approx \frac{\omega_T^2}{\omega_M^2} x_N$ is largely undamped. X_M^{∞} is the steady-state amplitude of the mechanical motion; initially, $X_M(t)$ decays as $\sim e^{-\Gamma_{\text{opt}}t}$ but tends to a steady state value determined by noise heating processes. By analyzing the Fourier spectrum of S due to x'(t) one finds, to leading order, frequencies at $\omega_M \pm \omega_d$ with amplitude A_1 and a single peak at $2\omega_M$ with an amplitude A_2 (see supplementary material). The amplitudes of the Fourier peaks $A_{1,2}$ are related to the amplitude of the motion of the nanosphere through $\frac{A_2}{A_1} \simeq \frac{J_0(2kX_d)J_2(2kX_M^{\infty})}{J_1(2kX_d)J_1(2kX_M^{\infty})}$ where J_n are Bessel functions of order n and $\frac{A_2}{A_1} \to \frac{X_M^{\infty}}{X_d}$ for small amplitude oscillations. Hence, by analyzing the Fourier spectrum the cooling rate of the nanoparticle's motion can be measured.

In fig. 5b, the Fourier spectrum of captured nanosphere motion is shown. At a pressure of 7.4×10^{-3} mbar (grey line) the damping due to the background gas $\Gamma_{\rm M} \sim \Gamma_{\rm opt}$, hence no cooling is evident and $A_2 > A_1$. At a pressure an order of magnitude lower, where $\Gamma_{\rm opt} \gg \Gamma_{\rm M}$ (orange line), both the capture and subsequent cooling are dominated by optomechanical damping and there is a significant reduction in the size of A_2 . The width of peaks is due to the excursion of the nanosphere into the anharmonic regions of the optical potential.

We can also analyze the cooling by calculating the optomechanical damping rates directly. For small oscillations, the cooling of a levitated particle¹⁰ may be obtained from the well-known optomechanical quantum Hamiltonian:

$$\frac{H_{\rm Lin}}{\hbar} = -\Delta \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} + \frac{\omega_{\rm M}}{2} (\hat{x}^2 + \hat{p}^2) + g(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}) \hat{x}$$
(3)

where \hat{x} is a small fluctuation (in units of $X_{\text{ZPF}} = \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega_{\text{M}}}$) about an equilibrium point x_0 and \hat{a} is a fluctuation of optical field the about the mean value α . Whether in the quantum regime or not, the corresponding damping Γ_{opt} is then given by $\Gamma_{\text{opt}} = g^2 \kappa [S(\omega_{\text{M}}) - S(-\omega_{\text{M}})]$ where $S(\omega) = \left[[\Delta - \omega]^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \right]^{-1}$. Simulations with the full 3D nonlinear dynamics of the hybrid trap shows that the above expressions are accurate provided one allows for the slow excursion in the equilibrium point, since $x_0 \equiv x_0(t) \simeq$ $X_{\text{d}} \cos \omega_{\text{d}} t$ and the equilibrium point can be far from the antinode ($0 \le kX_{\text{d}} \lesssim \pi/2$). This consideration leads to an effective optomechanical coupling (see supplementary information):

$$g^{2} = \frac{\hbar k^{2} A^{2} |\alpha|^{2}}{2m\omega_{\rm M}} \left(1 - J_{0}(4kX_{\rm d})\right).$$
(4)

Using the experimental parameters for the data in fig. 5a $(\mathcal{F} \simeq 15000, \Delta = 2\pi \times 288 \text{ kHz}, \text{ intracavity power } P = 14 \text{ W}, \omega_{\text{S}} = 2\pi \times 100 \text{ Hz})$ we find $\Gamma_{\text{opt}} \approx 20 - 30 \text{ Hz}$, where the capture is at well position $N = kx_N/\pi \simeq 600 - 700$. The expected equilibrium temperature $T_{\text{eq}} \simeq \frac{\Gamma_{\text{M}}}{\Gamma_{\text{opt}}} T_{\text{B}}$, where here $T_{\text{B}} = 300\text{K}$, and $\Gamma_{\text{M}} \simeq 1 \text{ Hz}$ at 4.6×10^{-4} mbar pressure. This means that the motion of the nanosphere is cooled to $\sim 10 \text{ K}$, which represents a factor of ~ 100 reduction in energy from the well depth U_0 . This reduction in energy is consistent with the Fourier analysis of the peaks in fig. 5b.

The nanospheres are confined within an optical well for a time of order 0.1-0.2s, far longer than the time needed to attain a steady state temperature (of order 10 ms). After this time they escape the optical potential since both the optomechanical coupling g and the optical potential U_0 are very weak, and noise on the light field is sufficient to kick the nanospheres out of the well, a situation we have confirmed with simulations. Once the spheres are lost from the optical well they are recaptured by the Paul trap and returned to the cooling cycle. To solve the problem of loss from the optical potential a higher finesse optical cavity can be used, with a smaller mode volume $V_{\rm m}$, since $g^2 \propto V_{\rm m}^{-2}$ and we can operate at the sideband-resolved regime $-\Delta \simeq \omega_{\rm M} \gtrsim$ $\kappa/2$ which yields maximum cooling, and size of the optical potential $U_0 \propto V_{\rm m}^{-1}$ is enhanced. For example, for a cavity with $\mathcal{F} = 100,000$ and $x = 60 \,\mu\text{m}$ cooling rates of $\sim 10 \,\text{kHz}$ are possible.

We have reached low temperatures with very low cooling rates of 30 Hz, and the introduction of a higher finesse optical cavity would greatly lower our final temperature. So far we have only discussed cooling in the x direction, along the optical axis. Our simulations show that cooling in the y and z directions can be achieved with the addition of a small angle between the coordinate systems of the optical and Paul trap fields.

In conclusion, we present a hybrid optical and quadrupole electric trap for both confining and optomechanically cooling levitated dielectric objects in high vacuum. Since the levitation is not by an optical field alone, light intensities



Figure 5: Capture and optomechanical cooling of the nanosphere. a, The scattered signal S once the nanosphere has been captured, at a pressure of 4.6×10^{-4} mbar, and a simulation of the process. The mechanical motion is cooled, and the motion due to the Paul trap is still present (marked by arrows). b, The PSD of the nanosphere's motion while captured by the optical field. At a low pressure of 4.6×10^{-4} mbar (orange line) the split mechanical frequency $f_{\rm M} \pm f_{\rm d}$ is visible, but the doubled frequency $2 f_{\rm M}$ is heavily suppressed, indicating cooling. At a higher pressure of 7.4×10^{-3} mbar (grey line) mechanical damping from the background gas dominates and the nanoparticle is not cooled, as shown by the unsuppressed peak at $2 f_{\mathrm{M}}.$ None of the mechanical frequencies are visible in the PSD when the particle is undergoing

that have been shown to melt silica nanospheres 20 do not have to be used. The system we present in this paper is very suitable for cooling nanoscale objects to the quantum level, the only required modification is a higher finesse optical cavity. This work opens the way for the exploration of fundamental questions in quantum physics, and the development of macroscopic quantum technologies.

References

free motion (green line).

- Connell, A. D. et al., Quantum ground state and single phonon control of a mechanical resonator. Nature 464, 697 (2010)
- ² Teufel, J. D. et al., Sideband cooling of micromechanical motion to the quantum ground state. *Nature* **475**, 359 (2011)
- 3 Chan, J. et al., Laser cooling of a nanomechanical oscillator into its quantum ground state. Nature 478, 89 (2011)
- ⁴ Diósi, L., A universal master equation for the gravitational violation of quantum mechanics. Phys. Lett. A 120, 377 (1987)
- 5 Penrose, R., On gravity's role in quantum state reduction. Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 28, 581 (1996)
- ⁶ Arndt, M. and Hornberger, K. Testing the limits of quantum mechanical superpositions. Nature Phys. 10, 271 (2014) 7
- Barker, P. F. and Shneider, M. N., Cavity cooling of an optically trapped nanoparticle. Phys. Rev. A 81, 023826 (2010)
- ⁸ Chang, D. E. et al., Cavity opto-mechanics using an optically levitated nanosphere. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 107, 1005

(2010)

- 9 Pender, G. A. T. et al., Optomechanical cooling of levitated spheres with doubly-resonant fields. Phys. Rev. A 85, 021802 (2012)
- 10 Monteiro, T. S. et al., Dynamics of levitated nanospheres: towards the strong coupling regime. New J. Phys. 15, 015001 (2013)
- 11Asenbaum, P. et al., Cavity cooling of free silicon nanoparticles in high vacuum. Nature Commum. 4, 2743 (2013)
- 12Kiesel, N. et al., Cavity cooling of an optically levitated submicron particle. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 110, 14180 (2013)
- Schlosser, N., Reymond, G., Protsenko, I., and Grangier, P. Sub-poissonian loading of single atoms in a microscopic dipole trap. Nature 411, 1024 (2001) 14
- Ashkin, A., Dziedzic, J. M., and Yamane, T. Optical trapping and manipulation of single cells using infrared laser beams. Nature **330**, 769 (1987)
- ¹⁵ Phillips, W. D. Nobel Lecture: Laser cooling and trapping of neutral atoms. Rev. Mod. Phys. 70, 721 (1998)
- 16Leggett, A. J. Bose-Einstein condensation in the alkali gases: Some fundamental concepts. Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 307 (2001)
- 17Bloch, I. Ultracold quantum gases in optical lattices. Nature Phys. 1, 23 (2005)
- ¹⁸ Li, T., Kheifets, S., Medellin, D. and Raizen, M. G. Measurement of the Instantaneous Velocity of a Brownian Particle. Science **328**, 1673 (2010)
- 19Gieseler, J. Quidant, R., Dellago, C. and Novotny, L. Dynamic relaxation of a levitated nanoparticle from a non-equilibrium steady state. Nature Nano. 9, 358 (2014)
- Millen, J., Deesuwan, T., Barker, P. F. and Anders, J. Nanoscale temperature measurements using non-equilibrium Brownian dynamics of a levitated nanosphere. Nature Nano. 9. 425 (2014)
- 21Safavi-Naeini, A. H. and Painter, O., Proposal for an optomechanical traveling wave phonon-photon translator. New J. Phys. 13, 69 (2011)
- 22Bochmann, J., Vainsencher, A., Awschalom, D. D. and Cleland, A. N., Nanomechanical coupling between microwave and optical photons. Nature Phys. 9, 712 (2013)
- 23 Andrews, R. W. et al., Bidirectional and efficient conversion between microwave and optical light. Nature Phys. 10, 321 (2014)
- 24Silanpää, M. A. and Hakonen, P. Optomechanics: Hardware for a quantum network. Nature 507, 45 (2014)
- 25Safavi-Naeini, A. H. et al. Squeezed light from a silicon micromechanical resonator. Nature 500, 185 (2013)
- Geraci, A. A., Papp, S. B. and Kitching, J., Short-Range Force Detection Using Optically Cooled Levitated Microspheres. Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 101101 (2010)
- 27Romero-Isart, O. et al., Large Quantum Superpositions and Interference of Massive Nanometer-Sized Objects. Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 020405 (2011)
- 28 Horak, P., et al., Cavity-induced atom cooling in the strong coupling regime. Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 4974 (1997)
- Gieseler, J., Deutsch, B., Quidant, R. and Novotny, L. Subkelvin Parametric Feedback Cooling of a Laser-Trapped Nanoparticle. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 103603 (2012)

Acknowledgements We acknowledge support from the UK's Engineering and Physical Science Research Council grant EP/H050434/1.

Author contributions J.M., T. M. and P.B. designed the study. J.M., P. Z. G. F. and P.B. performed the experiments. T. S. M., T. M. and P.B. constructed the theoretical models. All authors contributed to the data analysis and wrote the manuscript.

Additional Information Supplementary information available on request. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.M.

Methods

Silica nanospheres of radius 209 nm (Corpuscular inc.), were sonicated in methanol for several hours to prevent clumping. The solution was dropped onto a ceramic piezoelectric speaker and allowed to dry. Nanospheres were launched into the trap from the speaker through a narrow aperture into the Paul trap, which is loaded at a pressure of $2\times10^{-1}\,\mathrm{mbar}.$ During loading the cavity mirrors are covered by a shield to protect them from the nanoparticles. However, the finesse of the cavity varied between $\mathcal{F} = 10,500-15,000$ over the course of the experiments due to contamination of the cavity mirrors by nanoparticles. The charge of the

nanospheres could be ascertained from the Paul trap secular frequency $\omega_{\rm S}$.

The Paul trap is mounted on a remotely-controllable, vacuum compatible, x - y - z translation stage which was used to align the trapped particle with the optical axis of the cavity. The cavity has a length of $3.7\,\mathrm{cm}$ a beam waist of 140 µm.

Competing financial interests The authors declare no competing financial interests.