

Similarity degree of a class of C^* -algebras

Wenhua Qian and Junhao Shen

ABSTRACT. Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a countably decomposable type II_1 von Neumann algebra and \mathcal{A} is a separable, non-nuclear, unital C^* -algebra. We show that, if \mathcal{M} has Property Γ , then the similarity degree of \mathcal{M} is less than or equal to 5. If \mathcal{A} has Property $c^*\text{-}\Gamma$, then the similarity degree of \mathcal{A} is equal to 3. In particular, the similarity degree of a \mathcal{Z} -stable, separable, non-nuclear, unital C^* -algebra is equal to 3.

1. Introduction

Kadison's Similarity Problem for a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} in [15] asks whether every bounded representation ρ of \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert space H is similar to a $*$ -representation. i.e. whether there exists an invertible operator T in $B(H)$, such that $T\rho(\cdot)T^{-1}$ is a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} .

In [3], Christensen proved that every irreducible bounded representation of a C^* -algebra on a Hilbert space is similar to a $*$ -representation. He also showed that every non-degenerate bounded representation of a nuclear C^* -algebra is similar to a $*$ -representation (also see [2]).

In [9], Haagerup showed that every cyclic (or finitely cyclic) bounded representation of a C^* -algebra on a Hilbert space is similar to a $*$ -representation. From this, he concluded that, if \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra that has no tracial states, then every non-degenerate bounded representation of \mathcal{A} is similar to a $*$ -representation. It was also shown in [9] that a non-degenerate bounded representation ρ of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert space H is similar to a $*$ -representation if and only if ρ is completely bounded (also see [10], [27]).

From Haagerup's results, it follows that Kadison's Similarity Problem remains only open for C^* -algebras with tracial states. Since a type II_1 von Neumann algebra always has tracial states, it is natural to consider Kadison's Similarity Problem for von Neumann algebras of type II_1 . In [5], Christensen showed that Kadison's Similarity Problem for type II_1 factors with Property Γ has an affirmative answer.

In order to study Kadison's Similarity Problem, Pisier in [18] introduced a powerful new concept, similarity degree of a unital C^* -algebra, as follows. *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra. It has finite similarity degree if there is $\alpha > 0$ such that for some constant k (depending on α)*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L10; Secondary 46L05.

Key words and phrases. Property Γ , Similarity problem, Similarity degree.

we have, for every bounded unital representation ϕ of \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert space H ,

$$\|\phi\|_{cb} \leq k\|\phi\|^\alpha.$$

The infimum of the numbers α (if exists) for which this holds is defined to be the similarity degree of \mathcal{A} . We denote it by $d(\mathcal{A})$. If there is no such pair (α, k) , we define $d(\mathcal{A}) = \infty$. It was shown in [18] that Kadison's Similarity Problem for a unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A} has an affirmative answer if and only if $d(\mathcal{A}) < \infty$.

The following is a list of some recent results on the similarity degrees of infinite dimensional unital C*-algebras. (We have no intention to make the list complete.)

- (i) $d(\mathcal{A}) = 2$ if and only if \mathcal{A} is nuclear. ([2], [4], [21])
- (ii) If $\mathcal{A} = B(H)$ for some Hilbert space H , then $d(\mathcal{A}) = 3$. ([9], [20])
- (iii) If \mathcal{A} is a type II₁ factor with Property Γ , $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq 5$, ([20]). This result was later improved in [6] to $d(\mathcal{A}) = 3$.
- (iv) If \mathcal{A} is a minimal tensor product of two C*-algebras, one of which is nuclear and contains matrices of any order, then $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq 5$. ([22])
- (v) If \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{Z} -stable, then $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq 5$. ([13])
- (vi) If every II₁ factor *-representation of a separable C*-algebra \mathcal{A} has Property Γ , then $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq 11$. ([11])

In the paper, we are interested in Kadison's Similarity Problem for type II₁ von Neumann algebras with Property Γ . Recall the definition of Property Γ for a type II₁ von Neumann algebra from [23]. Suppose \mathcal{M} is a type II₁ von Neumann algebra with a predual $\mathcal{M}_\#$. Suppose $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\#)$ is the weak-* topology on \mathcal{M} induced from $\mathcal{M}_\#$. We say that \mathcal{M} has Property Γ if and only if $\forall a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a partially ordered set Λ and a family of projections

$$\{p_{i\lambda} : 1 \leq i \leq n; \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$$

satisfying

- (i) For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $p_{1\lambda}, p_{2\lambda}, \dots, p_{n\lambda}$ are mutually orthogonal equivalent projections in \mathcal{M} with sum I .
- (ii) For each $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$,

$$\lim_{\lambda} (p_{i\lambda} a_j - a_j p_{i\lambda})^* (p_{i\lambda} a_j - a_j p_{i\lambda}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\#) \text{ topology.}$$

The first result we obtain in the paper is the following equivalent definition of Property Γ for a countably decomposable type II₁ von Neumann algebra, which gives an analogue of Murray and von Neumann's definition of Property Γ for a type II₁ factor.

Proposition 3.5. *Let \mathcal{M} be a countably decomposable type II₁ von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the center of \mathcal{M} . Suppose τ is a center valued trace from \mathcal{M} to $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $\tau(a) = a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) \mathcal{M} has Property Γ .
- (2) There exist a positive inter $n_0 \geq 2$ and a faithful normal tracial state ρ on \mathcal{M} such that, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a family of orthogonal

equivalent projections p_1, \dots, p_{n_0} in \mathcal{M} with sum I satisfying $\|p_i a_j - a_j p_i\|_2 < \epsilon$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n_0$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the 2-norm induced by ρ .

- (3) *There exists a faithful normal tracial state ρ on \mathcal{M} such that, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a unitary $u \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying (i) $\tau(u) = 0$ and (ii) $\|ua_j - a_j u\|_2 < \epsilon$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the 2-norm induced by ρ .*

Next we are able to obtain an upper bound for the similarity degree of a countably decomposable type II₁ von Neumann algebra with Property Γ , which extends Theorem 13 in [20].

Theorem 4.4. *If \mathcal{M} is a countably decomposable type II₁ von Neumann algebra with Property Γ , then $d(\mathcal{M}) \leq 5$.*

From Theorem 4.4, it follows that Kadison's Similarity Problem for a countably decomposable type II₁ von Neumann algebra with Property Γ has an affirmative answer.

The last main result we obtained in the paper is the following computation of the similarity degree for a class of C*-algebras.

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a separable unital C*-algebra satisfying*

*Condition (G): if π is a unital *-representation of \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert space H such that $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ is a type II₁ factor, then $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ has Property Γ , where $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ in $B(H)$.*

Then $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$. Moreover, if \mathcal{A} is non-nuclear, then $d(\mathcal{A}) = 3$.

As a corollary, we get that if \mathcal{A} is a minimal tensor product of two separable unital C*-algebras, one of which is nuclear and has no finite dimensional *-representations, then $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$. In particular, the similarity degree of a \mathcal{Z} -stable, non-nuclear, separable, unital C*-algebra is equal to 3. This gives a generalization of earlier results in [22], [13], [11].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce notation and preliminaries. In section 3, we will give an equivalent definition of Property Γ for countably decomposable type II₁ von Neumann algebras. In section 4, we show that if \mathcal{M} is a countably decomposable type II₁ von Neumann algebra Property Γ , then $d(\mathcal{M}) \leq 5$. By the result in Section 4, we prove in Section 5 that if the type II₁ central summand in the type decomposition of a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} is a countably decomposable von Neumann algebra with Property Γ , then any bounded, $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\#)$ to $\sigma(B(H), B(H)_\#)$ continuous, unital homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow B(H)$ is completely bounded and $\|\phi\|_{cb} \leq \|\phi\|^3$. As a consequence of this result, we obtain that, if a separable unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A} has Property c*- Γ , then $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$. This is the first paper of our series. In our following work in [24], the class of separable unital C*-algebras with Property c*- Γ will be applied to show that, if \mathcal{M} is a type II₁ von Neumann algebra with Property Γ , then $d(\mathcal{M}) = 3$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Similarity length and similarity degree of a unital C*-algebra. In this subsection, we recall Pisier's similarity length and similarity degree for a unital C*-algebra.

DEFINITION 2.1. ([19]) A unital operator algebra \mathcal{A} has finite similarity length at most $l \in \mathbb{N}$ if there exists a constant C such that, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $x \in M_k(\mathcal{A})$, there exist an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and scalar matrices $\alpha_0 \in M_{k,n}(\mathbb{C}), \alpha_1 \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), \dots, \alpha_{l-1} \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), \alpha_l \in M_{n,k}(\mathbb{C})$ and diagonal matrices $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_l \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$x = \alpha_0 D_1 \alpha_1 D_2 \dots D_l \alpha_l$$

and

$$\left(\prod_0^l \|\alpha_l\| \right) \left(\prod_1^l \|D_l\| \right) \leq C \|x\|.$$

The length $l(\mathcal{A})$ is defined to be the least possible l for which these conditions are fulfilled.

It was verified in [19] that the Kadison's Similarity Problem has a positive answer for a unital C^* -algebra if and only if the C^* -algebra has finite similarity length.

DEFINITION 2.2. ([18]) Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra. We define the similarity degree of \mathcal{A} to be the infimum of all positive numbers α (if it exists) for which there is $k > 0$ such that, for every bounded unital homomorphism ρ of \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert space H , we have

$$\|\rho\|_{cb} \leq k \|\rho\|^\alpha.$$

We denote such infimum by $d(\mathcal{A})$. If there are no such pairs (α, k) , we define $d(\mathcal{A}) = \infty$.

It was proved in [18] that the similarity degree and the similarity length of a unital C^* -algebra (if they are finite) are the same integer.

2.2. Dual space. Suppose \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra. Its dual space, the set of all bounded linear functionals on \mathcal{A} , is denoted by \mathcal{A}^\sharp . The second dual space $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ of \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$, where π is the universal representation of \mathcal{A} and $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi(\mathcal{A})$. Thus $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ is always viewed as a von Neumann algebra and \mathcal{A} becomes a C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ when \mathcal{A} is canonically embedded into $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$.

Suppose \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra with a (unique) predual space \mathcal{M}_\sharp . We will denote by $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\sharp)$ the weak- $*$ topology on \mathcal{M} induced by \mathcal{M}_\sharp .

The following lemma is well-known. (See Theorem 1 in [1] or Proposition 1.21.13 in [25])

LEMMA 2.3. Suppose \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra and $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ is a bounded unital homomorphism of \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert space H . Then ϕ can be extended to a bounded unital homomorphism $\bar{\phi} : \mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp} \rightarrow B(H)$ that is $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}, \mathcal{A}^\sharp) \rightarrow \sigma(B(H), B(H)_\sharp)$ continuous (in other words, it is weak- $*$ to weak- $*$ continuous), where $B(H)_\sharp$ is the predual of $B(H)$. Moreover $\|\bar{\phi}\| = \|\phi\|$.

2.3. Direct integral. The concept of direct integral was introduced by von Neumann in [26]. Here are some results about direct integral that we need in this paper.

LEMMA 2.4. ([16]) Suppose \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space H . Let $\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{M}$ be the center of \mathcal{M} . Then there is a direct integral decomposition of \mathcal{M} relative to $\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{M}$, i.e. there exists a locally compact complete separable metric measure space (X, μ) such that

- (i) H is (unitarily equivalent to) the direct integral of $\{H_s : s \in X\}$ over (X, μ) , where each H_s is a separable Hilbert space, $s \in X$.
- (ii) \mathcal{M} is (unitarily equivalent to) the direct integral of $\{\mathcal{M}_s : s \in X\}$ over (X, μ) , where \mathcal{M}_s is a factor in $B(H_s)$ almost everywhere. Also, if \mathcal{M} is of type I_n (n could be infinite), II_1 , II_∞ or III , then the components \mathcal{M}_s are, almost everywhere, of type I_n , II_1 , II_∞ or III , respectively.

Moreover, the center $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is (unitarily equivalent to) the algebra of diagonalizable operators relative to this decomposition.

LEMMA 2.5. ([16]) *If H is the direct integral of $\{H_s\}$ over (X, μ) , \mathcal{M} is a decomposable von Neumann algebra on H (i.e every operator in \mathcal{M} is decomposable relative to the direct integral decomposition, see Definition 14.1.6 in [16]) and ω is a normal state on \mathcal{M} , then there is a mapping, $s \rightarrow \omega_s$, where ω_s is a positive normal linear functional on \mathcal{M}_s , and $\omega(a) = \int_X \omega_s(a(s))d\mu$ for each a in \mathcal{M} . If \mathcal{M} contains the algebra \mathcal{C} of diagonalizable operators and $\omega|_{E\mathcal{M}E}$ is faithful or tracial, for some projection E in \mathcal{M} , then $\omega_s|_{E(s)\mathcal{M}_sE(s)}$ is, accordingly, faithful or tracial almost everywhere.*

REMARK 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{M} = \int_X \bigoplus \mathcal{M}_s d\mu$ and $H = \int_X \bigoplus H_s d\mu$ be the direct integral decompositions of \mathcal{M} and H relative to the center of \mathcal{M} . By the argument in section 14.1 in [16], we can find a separable Hilbert space K and a family of unitaries $\{U_s : H_s \rightarrow K : s \in X\}$ such that $s \rightarrow U_s x(s)$ is measurable (i.e. $s \rightarrow \langle U_s x(s), y \rangle$ is measurable for any vector y in K) for every $x \in H$ and $s \rightarrow U_s a(s) U_s^*$ is measurable (i.e. $s \rightarrow \langle U_s a(s) U_s^* y, z \rangle$ is measurable for any vectors y, z in K) for every decomposable operator $a \in B(H)$.*

The following corollary follows directly from Lemma 14.1.17 and Lemma 14.1.15 in [16].

LEMMA 2.7. *Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space H . Let $\mathcal{M} = \int_X \bigoplus \mathcal{M}_s d\mu$ and $H = \int_X \bigoplus H_s d\mu$ be the direct integral decompositions of \mathcal{M} and H as in Lemma 2.4. There exists a SOT dense sequence $\{a_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in the unit ball $(\mathcal{M})_1$ of \mathcal{M} (or dense in the unit ball $(\mathcal{M}')_1$ of \mathcal{M}) such that the sequence $\{a_j(s) : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is SOT dense in the unit ball $(\mathcal{M}_s)_1$ (or $(\mathcal{M}'_s)_1$, respectively) for almost every $s \in X$.*

3. Type II_1 von Neumann algebras with Property Γ

Property Γ of a type II_1 factor \mathcal{A} was introduced by Murray and von Neumann in [17]. Suppose \mathcal{A} is a type II_1 factor with a trace τ . Then \mathcal{A} has Property Γ if and only if, given $\epsilon > 0$ and elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a unitary $u \in \mathcal{A}$, such that

- (i) $\tau(u) = 0$;
- (ii) $\|ua_j - a_j u\|_2 < \epsilon, 1 \leq j \leq k$.

An equivalent definition of Property Γ for a type II_1 factor was given by Dixmier in [8]. Suppose \mathcal{A} is a type II_1 factor with a trace τ . It has Property Γ if and only if, given $\epsilon > 0$, elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{A}$ and a positive integer n , there exist orthogonal equivalent projections $\{p_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with sum I , such that

$$\|p_i a_j - a_j p_i\|_2 < \epsilon, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

The following definition of Property Γ for a type II_1 von Neumann algebra was given in [23]:

DEFINITION 3.1. ([23]) *Suppose \mathcal{M} is a type II_1 von Neumann algebra with a predual $\mathcal{M}_\#$. Suppose that $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\#)$ is the weak-* topology on \mathcal{M} induced from $\mathcal{M}_\#$. We say that \mathcal{M} has Property Γ if and only if $\forall a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a partially ordered set Λ and a family of projections*

$$\{p_{i\lambda} : 1 \leq i \leq n; \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$$

satisfying

- (i) For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\{p_{1\lambda}, p_{2\lambda}, \dots, p_{n\lambda}\}$ is a family of orthogonal equivalent projections in \mathcal{M} with sum I .
- (ii) For each $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$,

$$\lim_{\lambda} (p_{i\lambda} a_j - a_j p_{i\lambda})^* (p_{i\lambda} a_j - a_j p_{i\lambda}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\#) \text{ topology.}$$

It was proved in [23] that Definition 3.1 coincides with Dixmier's (also with Murray and von Neumann's) definition of Property Γ for a type II_1 factor.

EXAMPLE 3.2. *Let \mathcal{M}_1 be a type II_1 factor and \mathcal{M}_2 a type II_1 von Neumann algebra. Suppose \mathcal{M}_1 has Property Γ (e.g. hyperfinite type II_1 factor). Then $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2$ has Property Γ .*

Let \mathcal{M} be a type II_1 von Neumann algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space H and $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the center of \mathcal{M} . Let $\mathcal{M} = \int_X \oplus \mathcal{M}_s d\mu$ and $H = \int_X \oplus H_s d\mu$ be the direct integral decompositions of \mathcal{M} and H over (X, μ) relative to $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$. By Proposition 3.12 in [23], \mathcal{M} has Property Γ if and only if \mathcal{M}_s has Property Γ for almost every $s \in X$.

The following proposition gives an equivalent definition of Property Γ for a type II_1 von Neumann algebra with separable predual, as an analogous to Murray and von Neumann's definition for type II_1 factors.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let \mathcal{M} be a type II_1 von Neumann algebra with separable predual and $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the center of \mathcal{M} . Suppose τ is a center valued trace from \mathcal{M} to $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $\tau(a) = a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Then \mathcal{M} has Property Γ if and only if there exists a faithful normal tracial state ρ on \mathcal{M} such that, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a unitary $u \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying (i) $\tau(u) = 0$ and (ii) $\|ua_j - a_j u\|_2 < \epsilon$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the 2-norm induced by ρ .*

PROOF. (Part I) Suppose \mathcal{M} has Property Γ . Fix $n \geq 2$, $\epsilon > 0$ and elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{M}$. Then by Corollary 3.4 in [23], there exist a faithful normal tracial state ρ and n equivalent orthogonal projections p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n with sum I such that $\|p_i a_j - a_j p_i\|_2 < \epsilon/n$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the 2-norm induced by ρ . Let $u = p_1 + \lambda p_2 + \dots + \lambda^{n-1} p_n$, where $\lambda = e^{2\pi i/n}$ is the n -th root of unit. Then u is a unitary in \mathcal{M} satisfying $\|ua_j - a_j u\|_2 < \epsilon$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Since p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n are equivalent projections, $\tau(p_1) = \tau(p_2) = \dots = \tau(p_n)$ and thus $\tau(u) = (1 + \lambda + \dots + \lambda^{n-1})\tau(p_1) = 0$.

(Part II) Conversely, suppose that there exists a faithful normal tracial state ρ such that, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a unitary $u \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying (i) $\tau(u) = 0$

and (ii) $\|ua_j - a_ju\|_2 < \epsilon$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the 2-norm induced by ρ . From the fact that \mathcal{M} has separable predual, it follows \mathcal{M} is countably generated. Thus, from the preceding argument, we know there exists a sequence $\{u_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of unitaries in \mathcal{M} satisfying

- (1) $\tau(u_i) = 0$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (2)

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u_i a - a u_i\|_2 = 0 \quad \text{for each } a \in \mathcal{M}. \quad (3.1)$$

Since \mathcal{M} has separable predual, by Propostion A.2.1 in [14], there is a faithful normal representation π of \mathcal{M} on the separable Hilbert space. Replacing \mathcal{M} by $\pi(\mathcal{M})$ in the following if necessary, we assume that \mathcal{M} acts on a separable Hilbert space H .

By Lemma 2.4, relative to $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$, we obtain a direct integral decomposition $\mathcal{M} = \int_X \bigoplus M_s d\mu$ over (X, μ) and we may assume that \mathcal{M}_s is a type II₁ factor with a trace τ_s for every $s \in X$.

Since ρ is a faithful normal tracial state, by Lemma 2.5, we can further assume that there is a positive faithful normal tracial functional ρ_s on \mathcal{M}_s for every $s \in X$ such that

$$\rho(a) = \int_X \rho_s(a(s)) d\mu \quad \text{for each } a \in \mathcal{M}..$$

Therefore ρ_s is a positive multiple of the trace τ_s on \mathcal{M}_s for every $s \in X$.

By Lemma 2.7, we may assume $\{b_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a *SOT* dense subset of the unit ball $(\mathcal{M})_1$ of \mathcal{M} such that that $\{b_j(s) : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is *SOT* dense in the unit ball of \mathcal{M}_s for every $s \in X$.

Let $u_i^{(0)} = u_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In the following we will construct a family of unitaries $\{u_i^{(k)} : i, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and a family of μ -null subsets $\{X_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of X such that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i') $\{u_i^{(k)} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{u_i^{(k-1)} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$;
- (ii') $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho_s((u_i^{(k)}(s)b_k(s) - a_k(s)u_i^{(k)}(s))^*(u_i^{(k)}(s)a_k(s) - a_k(s)u_i^{(k)}(s))) = 0$ for any $s \in X \setminus X_k$.

By (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u_i a - a u_i\|_2^2 \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \rho_s((u_i(s)b_1(s) - b_1(s)u_i(s))^*(u_i(s)b_1(s) - b_1(s)u_i(s))) d\mu \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore there exists a μ -null subset X_1 of X and a subsequence $\{u_i^{(1)}\}$ of $\{u_i^{(0)}\}$ such that, for any $s \in X \setminus X_1$,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho_s((u_i^{(1)}(s)b_1(s) - b_1(s)u_i^{(1)}(s))^*(u_i^{(1)}(s)b_1(s) - b_1(s)u_i^{(1)}(s))) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Since ρ_s is a positive multiple of τ_s for every $s \in X$, (3.2) gives

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u_i^{(1)}(s)b_1(s) - b_1(s)u_i^{(1)}(s)\|_{2,s} = 0,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{2,s}$ is the 2-norm induced by τ_s on \mathcal{M}_s . Again, there exists a μ -null subset X_2 of X and a subsequence $\{u_i^{(2)}\}$ of $\{u_i^{(1)}\}$ such that, for any $s \in X \setminus X_2$,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u_i^{(2)}(s)b_2(s) - b_2(s)u_i^{(2)}(s)\|_{2,s} = 0.$$

Continuing in this way, we obtain a μ -null subset X_k of X and a subsequence $\{u_i^{(k)}\}$ of $\{u_i^{(k-1)}\}$ for each $k \geq 1$ such that, for any $s \in X \setminus X_k$,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u_i^{(k)}(s)b_k(s) - b_k(s)u_i^{(k)}(s)\|_{2,s} = 0.$$

The argument in the preceding paragraph produces a subsequence $\{u_i^{(i)}\}$ of $\{u_i\}$ such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u_i^{(i)}(s)b_j(s) - b_j(s)u_i^{(i)}(s)\|_{2,s} = 0$$

for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in X \setminus X_0$, where $X_0 = \cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} X_j$ is a μ -null subset of X . Replacing $\{u_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ by $\{u_i^{(i)}\}$ and X by $X \setminus X_0$ if necessary, we might assume

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u_i(s)b_j(s) - b_j(s)u_i(s)\|_{2,s} = 0 \quad \text{for any } j \in \mathbb{N}, s \in X.$$

Since $\{b_j(s) : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is SOT dense in the unit ball of \mathcal{M}_s , we get

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u_i(s)a - au_i(s)\|_{2,s} = 0 \quad \text{for any } a \in \mathcal{M}_s, s \in X. \quad (3.3)$$

For each $i \geq 1$, since u_i is a unitary in \mathcal{M} , there exists a μ -null subset Y_i of X such that $u_i(s)$ is a unitary in \mathcal{M}_s for each $s \in X \setminus Y_i$. Let $Y_0 = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_i$. Then $\mu(Y_0) = 0$ and $u_i(s)$ is a unitary in \mathcal{M}_s for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in X \setminus Y_0$. So we may just assume that

$$u_i(s) \text{ is a unitary in } \mathcal{M}_s \text{ for any } i \in \mathbb{N}, s \in X. \quad (3.4)$$

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, from the Dixmier Approximation Theorem and the fact that $\tau(u_i) = 0$, 0 is in the norm closure of the convex hull of $\{v^*u_i v : v \text{ is a unitary in } \mathcal{M}\}$. Therefore there exist a sequence of positive integers $\{k_n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, a family of positive numbers $\{\lambda_j^{(n)} : 1 \leq j \leq k_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ and a family of unitaries $\{v_j^{(n)} : 1 \leq j \leq k_n\}$ in \mathcal{M} such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \lambda_j^{(n)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \lambda_j^{(n)} (v_j^{(n)})^* u_i v_j^{(n)} \right\| = 0.$$

By Proposition 14.1.9 in [16], $\|a\|$ is the essential bound of $\{\|a(s)\| : s \in X\}$ for any $a \in \mathcal{M}$. Note that $\{v_j^{(n)} : 1 \leq j \leq k_n\}$ is a family of unitaries in \mathcal{M} . We know that there exists a μ -null subset Z_0 of X such that

- (a) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, each $1 \leq j \leq k_n$ and each $s \in X \setminus Z_0$, $v_j^n(s)$ is a unitary in \mathcal{M}_s ;
- (b) for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $s \in X \setminus Z_0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \lambda_j^{(n)} (v_j^{(n)}(s))^* u_i(s) v_j^{(n)}(s) \right\| = 0.$$

Now from (a), (b) and the Dixmier Approximation Theorem, we obtain that

$$\tau_s(u_i(s)) = 0, \quad \text{for any } i \in \mathbb{N}, s \in X \setminus Z_0. \quad (3.5)$$

Here Z_0 is a μ -null subset of X .

By (3.5), (3.4), and (3.3), \mathcal{M}_s is a type II_1 factor with Property Γ for each $s \in X \setminus Z_0$. Therefore by Proposition 3.12 in [23], \mathcal{M} has Property Γ . \square

LEMMA 3.4. *Let \mathcal{M} be a countably decomposable type II_1 von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the center of \mathcal{M} . Suppose τ is a center valued trace from \mathcal{M} to $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $\tau(a) = a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Suppose there exists a faithful normal tracial state ρ on \mathcal{M} such that,*

for any $\epsilon > 0$ and elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a unitary $u \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying
 (i) $\tau(u) = 0$ and (ii) $\|ua_j - a_ju\|_2 < \epsilon$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the 2-norm induced by ρ .

Then, for any finite subset F of \mathcal{M} , there exists a von Neumann subalgebra \mathcal{M}_1 of \mathcal{M} such that

- (1) \mathcal{M}_1 has separable predual.
- (2) $F \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.
- (3) \mathcal{M}_1 is a type II_1 von Neumann algebra with Property Γ in the sense of Definition 3.1.

PROOF. We are going to prove the following claim first.

Claim 3.4.1. *For any finite subset K of \mathcal{M} and any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist an $n \in \mathbb{N}$, unitary elements $u, v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathcal{M}$, such that $\tau(u) = 0$; $\forall x \in K$, $\|ux - xu\|_2 < \epsilon$; and $\forall x \in K$, there is an element y in the convex hull of $\{v_1xv_1^*, \dots, v_nxv_n^*\}$ satisfying $\|y - \tau(x)\| < \epsilon$.*

Proof of Claim 3.4.1: From the assumption on the faithful normal tracial state ρ of \mathcal{M} , for a finite subset K of \mathcal{M} and an $\epsilon > 0$, there is a unitary u in \mathcal{M} such that $\tau(u) = 0$ and $\|ux - xu\|_2 < \epsilon$ for all $x \in K$. By Dixmier Approximation Theorem, $\tau(x) \in \text{conv}_{\mathcal{M}}(x)^\circ$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Therefore, there exist a positive integer n and unitary elements $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathcal{M}$ such that for any $x \in K$, there is an element y in the convex hull of $\{v_1xv_1^*, \dots, v_nxv_n^*\}$ satisfying $\|y - \tau(x)\| < \epsilon$. This finished the proof of the claim.

(Continue the proof of Lemma 3.4) Let F be a finite subset of \mathcal{M} .

Let $F_1 = F$ and $t = 1$. From Claim 3.4.1, there exist a positive integer n_1 , unitary elements $u_1, v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_{n_1}^{(1)} \in \mathcal{M}$, such that $\tau(u_1) = 0$; $\|u_1x - xu_1\|_2 < 1$, $\forall x \in F_1$; and for any $x \in F_1$, there is a y in the convex hull of $\{v_1^{(1)}x(v_1^{(1)})^*, \dots, v_{n_1}^{(1)}x(v_{n_1}^{(1)})^*\}$ satisfying $\|y - \tau(x)\| < 1$. Let $F_2 = F_1 \cup \{u_1, v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_{n_1}^{(1)}\}$.

Assume that $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq F_t$ have been constructed for some $t \geq 2$. Again, from Claim 3.4.1, there exist a positive integer n_t , unitary elements $u_t, v_1^{(t)}, \dots, v_{n_t}^{(t)} \in \mathcal{M}$, such that $\tau(u_t) = 0$; $\|u_tx - xu_t\|_2 < 1/t$, $\forall x \in F_t$; and for any $x \in F_t$, there is a y in the convex hull of $\{v_1^{(t)}x(v_1^{(t)})^*, \dots, v_{n_t}^{(t)}x(v_{n_t}^{(t)})^*\}$ satisfying $\|y - \tau(x)\| < 1/t$. Let $F_{t+1} = F_t \cup \{u_t, v_1^{(t)}, \dots, v_{n_t}^{(t)}\}$.

Continuing the preceding process, we are able to obtain an increasing sequence $\{F_t\}_{t=1}^\infty$ of finite subsets of \mathcal{M} and a sequence of unitaries $\{u_t\}_{t=1}^\infty$ of \mathcal{M} such that, $\forall t \geq 1$,

- (0) $u_t \in F_{t+1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$;
- (i) $\tau(u_t) = 0$;

- (ii) $\|u_t x - x u_t\|_2 < 1/t, \forall x \in F_t$;
- (iii) for $1 \leq i \leq t$, there is y_i in the convex hull of $\{v u_i v^* : v \text{ is a unitary element in } F_{t+2}\}$ satisfying $\|y_i - \tau(u_i)\| = \|y_i\| < 1/(t+1)$;

Let \mathcal{M}_1 be the von Neumann subalgebra generated by $\{F_t : t \geq 1\}$ in \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{Z}_1 be the center of \mathcal{M}_1 . Suppose τ_1 be a center-value trace on \mathcal{M}_1 such that $\tau_1(a) = a, \forall a \in \mathcal{Z}_1$. Then ρ is still a faithful normal tracial state of \mathcal{M}_1 . Since \mathcal{M}_1 is countably generated, we know that (1) \mathcal{M}_1 has a separable predual. Obviously, (2) $F \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

We claim that (3) \mathcal{M}_1 is a von Neumann algebra with Property Γ in the sense of Definition 3.1. Notice $\{F_t\}$ is an increasing sequence of subsets. We have, for each $1 \leq t_1 < t < t_2 - 2$,

- (ii₂) $\|u_t x - x u_t\|_2 < 1/t, \forall x \in F_{t_1}$;
- (iii₂) there is y in the convex hull of $\{v u_t v^* : v \text{ is a unitary in } F_{t_2}\}$ satisfying $\|y\| < 1/t_2$.

From (iii₂), it induces by the Dixmier Approximation Theorem that

- (iii₃) $\tau_1(u_t) = 0$ for each $t \geq 1$.

From the existence of such sequence $\{u_t\}_{t=1}^\infty$ in \mathcal{M}_1 satisfying (iii₃) and (ii₂), it follows that \mathcal{M}_1 is a type II₁ von Neumann algebra. From Proposition 3.3, we conclude that \mathcal{M}_1 has Property Γ . The proof of the lemma is finished. \square

Now we can quickly prove the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let \mathcal{M} be a countably decomposable type II₁ von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the center of \mathcal{M} . Suppose τ is a center valued trace from \mathcal{M} to $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $\tau(a) = a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) \mathcal{M} has Property Γ .
- (2) There exist a positive inter $n_0 \geq 2$ and a faithful normal tracial state ρ on \mathcal{M} such that, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a family of orthogonal equivalent projections p_1, \dots, p_{n_0} in \mathcal{M} with sum I satisfying $\|p_i a_j - a_j p_i\|_2 < \epsilon$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n_0$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the 2-norm induced by ρ .
- (3) There exists a faithful normal tracial state ρ on \mathcal{M} such that, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a unitary $u \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying (i) $\tau(u) = 0$ and (ii) $\|u a_j - a_j u\|_2 < \epsilon$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the 2-norm induced by ρ .

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2): It is clear.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): It follows from the similar arguments in Part I of the proof of Proposition 3.3.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): It follows from Proposition 3.3, Lemma 3.4 and Corollary 3.4 in [23]. \square

The following lemma will be needed in the next section.

LEMMA 3.6. *Let \mathcal{M} be a countably decomposable von Neumann algebra with Property Γ . For any finite subset F of \mathcal{M} , there exists a type II₁ von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M}_1 with separable predual and with Property Γ such that $F \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.*

PROOF. It now follows from Proposition 3.5 and Lemma 3.4. \square

REMARK 3.7. *It was shown in [23] that the cohomology group $H^k(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ of a type II₁ von Neumann algebra with separable predual and Property Γ is trivial for any $k \geq 2$. By a similar argument as section 7 in [7], it follows from Theorem 6.4 in [23] and Lemma 3.6 that $H^k(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) = 0$, $k \geq 2$ for any countably decomposable type II₁ von Neumann algebra with Property Γ .*

4. Similarity degree of type II₁ von Neumann algebras with Property Γ

Let us recall the definition of similarity length of a unital C*-algebra as given in [20].

DEFINITION 4.1. ([20]) *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C*-algebra. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $x \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote*

$$\|x\|_{(d, \mathcal{A})} = \inf \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d \|\alpha_i\| \prod_{i=0}^d \|D_i\| \right\},$$

where the infimum runs over all possible representations $x = \alpha_0 D_1 \alpha_1 D_2 \dots D_d \alpha_d$, $\alpha_0 \in M_{k,n}(\mathbb{C})$, $\alpha_1 \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), \dots, \alpha_{d-1} \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), \alpha_d \in M_{n,k}(\mathbb{C})$ are scalar matrices and $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_d \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ are diagonal matrices.

It is clear that, for any $x \in M_n(\mathcal{A}), d \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|x\| \leq \|x\|_{(d, \mathcal{A})}$$

and

$$\|x\|_{(d+1, \mathcal{A})} \leq \|x\|_{(d, \mathcal{A})}.$$

It was shown in [20] that $\|x\|_{(1, \mathcal{A})} \leq n\|x\|$ for any $x \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$.

Before we prove the main theorem of this section, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space H . Let $\mathcal{M} = \int_X \bigoplus \mathcal{M}_s d\mu$ and $H = \int_X H_s d\mu$ be the direct integral decompositions of \mathcal{M} and H as in Lemma 2.4. Suppose p is a projection in \mathcal{M} such that there exist a μ -null subset X_0 of X and projections $\{p_{i,s} \in \mathcal{M}_s : 2 \leq i \leq n, s \in X\}$ satisfying $\{p(s), p_{2,s}, \dots, p_{n,s}\}$ is a family of n orthogonal equivalent projections in \mathcal{M}_s for all $s \in X \setminus X_0$. Then there exist $n - 1$ projections p_2, \dots, p_n in \mathcal{M} such that $\{p, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ is a set of n orthogonal equivalent projections in \mathcal{M} .*

PROOF. We let X_0 be a μ -null subset of X and $\{p_{i,s} \in \mathcal{M}_s : 2 \leq i \leq n, s \in X\}$ be projections satisfying $p(s), p_{2,s}, \dots, p_{n,s}$ are n orthogonal equivalent projections in \mathcal{M}_s for all $s \in X \setminus X_0$.

Let K be a separable Hilbert space and $\{U_s : H_s \rightarrow K\}$ be a family of unitaries as in Remark 2.6. Denote by \mathcal{B} the unit ball of $B(K)$ equipped with the $*$ -strong operator topology. Then \mathcal{B} is metrizable by setting

$$d(S, T) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-j} (\|(S - T)e_j\| + \|(S^* - T^*)e_j\|)$$

for any $S, T \in \mathcal{B}$, where $\{e_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for K . The metric space (\mathcal{B}, d) is complete and separable. For each $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let $\mathcal{B}_{ij} = \mathcal{B}$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \prod_{i,j=1}^n \mathcal{B}_{ij}$ provided

with the product topology of the $*$ -strong operator topology on each \mathcal{B}_{ij} . It follows that \mathcal{C} is metrizable and it is a complete separable metric space.

By Lemma 2.7, suppose $\{a'_r : r \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a strong operator dense sequence in the unit ball $(\mathcal{M}')_1$ of \mathcal{M}' such that the sequence $\{a'_r(s) : r \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is strong operator dense in the unit ball $(\mathcal{M}'_s)_1$ of \mathcal{M}'_s for every $s \in X$.

We will denote by $(s, (E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{1n}, E_{21}, \dots, E_{2n}, \dots, E_{nn}))$ an element in $X \times \mathcal{C}$. It is easy to see that the maps

$$(s, (E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{1n}, E_{21}, \dots, E_{2n}, \dots, E_{nn})) \rightarrow E_{11}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$(s, (E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{1n}, E_{21}, \dots, E_{2n}, \dots, E_{nn})) \rightarrow U_s p(s) U_s^*, \quad (4.2)$$

$$(s, (E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{1n}, E_{21}, \dots, E_{2n}, \dots, E_{nn})) \rightarrow E_{ij}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$(s, (E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{1n}, E_{21}, \dots, E_{2n}, \dots, E_{nn})) \rightarrow E_{ji}^*, \quad (4.4)$$

$$(s, (E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{1n}, E_{21}, \dots, E_{2n}, \dots, E_{nn})) \rightarrow E_{ij} E_{kl}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$(s, (E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{1n}, E_{21}, \dots, E_{2n}, \dots, E_{nn})) \rightarrow E_{ij} U_s a'_r(s) U_s^*, \quad (4.6)$$

$$(s, (E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{1n}, E_{21}, \dots, E_{2n}, \dots, E_{nn})) \rightarrow U_s a'_r(s) U_s^* E_{ij} \quad (4.7)$$

are measurable from $X \times \mathcal{C}$ to \mathcal{B} when \mathcal{C} is equipped with the Borel structure obtained from the product topology. By Lemma 14.3.1 in [16], there is a Borel μ -null subset X_1 of X such that, when restricted to $X \setminus X_1$, these maps are all Borel measurable.

Let $N = X_0 \cup X_1$. It follows that $\mu(N) = 0$. Let η be the set of elements

$$(s, (E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{1n}, E_{21}, \dots, E_{2n}, \dots, E_{nn})) \in (X \setminus N) \times \mathcal{C}$$

satisfying

- (i) $E_{11} = U_s p(s) U_s^*$;
- (ii) $E_{ij} = E_{ji}^*$ and $E_{ij} E_{kl} = \delta_{jk} E_{il}$ for any $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$;
- (iii) $E_{ij} U_s a'_r(s) U_s^* = U_s a'_r(s) U_s^* E_{ij}$ for any $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Claim 4.2.1: *The set η is analytic.*

Proof of Claim 4.2.1: Since the maps (4.1)-(4.7) are all Borel measurable when restricted to $X \setminus N$, η is a Borel set. By Theorem 14.3.5 in [16], η is an analytic set.

The proof of Claim 4.2.1 is complete.

Claim 4.2.2 : *Let π be the projection of $X \times \mathcal{C}$ onto X . Then $\pi(\eta) = X \setminus N$.*

Proof of Claim 4.2.2: Let $(s, (E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{1n}, E_{21}, \dots, E_{2n}, \dots, E_{nn}))$ be an element in η . Since $\{a'_r(s) : r \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is strong operator dense in the unit ball $(\mathcal{M}'_s)_1$ of \mathcal{M}'_s for every $s \in X$, conditions (ii) and (iii) are equivalent to the statement that $\{U_s^* E_{ij} U_s : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ is a system of matrix units in \mathcal{M}_s .

Note X_0 is a μ -null subset of X and $\{p_{i,s} \in \mathcal{M}_s : 2 \leq i \leq n, s \in X\}$ is a family of projections satisfying $p(s), p_{2,s}, \dots, p_{n,s}$ are n orthogonal equivalent projections in \mathcal{M}_s for all $s \in X \setminus X_0$. Hence for each $s \in X \setminus X_0$, there is a system of matrix units $\{E_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ such that (a) $E_{11} = p(s)$ and (b) $E_{ii} = p_{i,s}$ for each $2 \leq i \leq n$. From arguments in the preceding paragraph,

it follows that

$$(s, (E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{1n}, E_{21}, \dots, E_{2n}, \dots, E_{nn}))$$

satisfies conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) for every $s \in X \setminus N$. Therefore $\pi(\eta) = X \setminus N$.

The proof of Claim 4.2.2 is complete.

(Continue the proof of Lemma 4.2) By Claim 4.2.1 and Claim 4.2.2, η is analytic and $\pi(\eta) = X \setminus N$. It follows from Theorem 14.3.6 in [16] that, there is a measurable map

$$s \rightarrow (E_{11,s}, E_{12,s}, \dots, E_{1n,s}, E_{21,s}, \dots, E_{2n,s}, \dots, E_{nn,s})$$

from $X \setminus N$ to \mathcal{C} such that

$$(s, (E_{11,s}, E_{12,s}, \dots, E_{1n,s}, E_{21,s}, \dots, E_{2n,s}, \dots, E_{nn,s})) \in \eta$$

for $s \in X \setminus N$. Defining $E_{ij,s} = 0$ for $s \in N$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we get a measurable map

$$s \rightarrow (E_{11,s}, E_{12,s}, \dots, E_{1n,s}, E_{21,s}, \dots, E_{2n,s}, \dots, E_{nn,s})$$

from X to \mathcal{C} satisfying

$$(s, (E_{11,s}, E_{12,s}, \dots, E_{1n,s}, E_{21,s}, \dots, E_{2n,s}, \dots, E_{nn,s})) \in \eta \quad \text{for almost every } s \in X. \quad (4.8)$$

For all $s \in X$, all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and two vectors $x, y \in H$, we have

$$\langle U_s^* E_{ij,s} U_s x(s), y(s) \rangle = \langle E_{ij,s} U_s x(s), U_s y(s) \rangle.$$

Thus from (4.8), it follows that the map $s \rightarrow \langle U_s^* E_{ij,s} U_s x(s), y(s) \rangle$ is measurable. Since

$$|\langle U_s^* E_{ij,s} U_s x(s), y(s) \rangle| \leq \|x(s)\| \|y(s)\|,$$

the map $s \rightarrow \langle U_s^* E_{ij,s} U_s x(s), y(s) \rangle$ is integrable. By Definition 14.1.1 in [16], $U_s^* E_{ij,s} U_s x(s) = (p_{ij} x)(s)$ almost everywhere for some $p_{ij} x \in H$. Therefore $p_{ij}(s) = U_s^* E_{ij,s} U_s$ for almost every $s \in X$. It follows from condition (iii) that $p_{ij} \in \mathcal{M}$. For any $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$, let $p_i = p_{ii}$. From $p_{ii}(s) = U_s^* E_{ii,s} U_s$, it follows from (i) and (ii) that p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n are the required projections. \square

LEMMA 4.3. *Let \mathcal{M} be a type II_1 von Neumann algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space H . Let $\mathcal{M} = \int_X \bigoplus \mathcal{M}_s d\mu$ and $H = \int_X H_s d\mu$ be the direct integral decompositions of \mathcal{M} and H as in Lemma 2.4. Suppose that \mathcal{M}_s is a type II_1 factor with a trace τ_s for every $s \in X$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and ϵ be a positive number. Let $x = (x_{ij})$ be an element in $M_n(\mathcal{M})$ such that, for every $s \in X$, $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \|x_{ij}(s)\|_{2,s}^2 < \epsilon^2$, where the $\|\cdot\|_{2,s}$ is the 2-norm on \mathcal{M}_s induced by τ_s . Then there are n equivalent orthogonal projections $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ in \mathcal{M} and n equivalent orthogonal projections q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n in \mathcal{M} such that*

$$x_{ij} = p_1 x_{ij} q_1 + h_{ij}$$

with $\|h_{ij}\| \leq 3\epsilon\sqrt{n}$ for every $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

PROOF. We will use Pisier's trick in [20] to prove the result.

Take $a = (\sum_{i,j=1}^n x_{ij}x_{ij}^*)^{1/2}$. Let \mathcal{A} be the unital C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{M} generated by $\{x_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$. By Theorem 14.1.13 in [16] and the fact that \mathcal{A} is a separable C^* -algebra, we know that $\mathcal{A} = \int_X \bigoplus \mathcal{A}_s d\mu$ and the map $y \rightarrow y(s)$ from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{A}_s is a unital $*$ -homomorphism for almost every $s \in X$. It follows that $a(s) = (\sum_{i,j=1}^n x_{ij}(s)x_{ij}(s)^*)^{1/2}$ for almost every $s \in X$.

Without loss of generality, we might assume $a(s) = (\sum_{i,j=1}^n x_{ij}(s)x_{ij}(s)^*)^{1/2}$ for every $s \in X$. Since

$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \|x_{ij}(s)\|_{2,s}^2 < \epsilon^2$, we know

$$\|a(s)\|_{2,s} < \epsilon, \quad \text{for every } s \in X. \quad (4.9)$$

Note that a is a positive element in \mathcal{M} . By functional calculus, we know there exist an positive integer k , a family of positive numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ and orthogonal projections P_1, \dots, P_k in \mathcal{M} such that

$$\|a - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i P_i\| < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|a^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^2 P_i\| < \epsilon. \quad (4.10)$$

Thus $P_1(s), \dots, P_k(s)$ are orthogonal projections in \mathcal{M}_s and

$$\|a(s) - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i P_i(s)\| < \epsilon, \quad (4.11)$$

for almost every $s \in X$.

From (4.9) and (4.11), it follows that

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i P_i(s)\|_{2,s} < 2\epsilon, \quad \text{for almost every } s \in X. \quad (4.12)$$

Denote

$$p_1 = \chi_{[2\epsilon\sqrt{n}, \infty)}(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i P_i). \quad (4.13)$$

We have

$$p_1(s) = \left(\chi_{[2\epsilon\sqrt{n}, \infty)}(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i P_i) \right) (s) = \chi_{[2\epsilon\sqrt{n}, \infty)}(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i P_i(s)), \quad \text{for almost every } s \in X. \quad (4.14)$$

By (4.12) and (4.14),

$$\tau_s(p_1(s)) < 1/n, \quad \text{for almost every } s \in X.$$

Since \mathcal{M}_s is a type II_1 factor for every $s \in X$, there exist projections $p_{2,s}, p_{3,s}, \dots, p_{n,s}$ in \mathcal{M}_s such that $\{p_1(s), p_{2,s}, \dots, p_{n,s}\}$ are orthogonal equivalent projections in \mathcal{M}_s . By Lemma 4.2,

there exist projections p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n in \mathcal{M} such that p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n are n orthogonal equivalent projections in \mathcal{M} .

Take $b = (\sum_{i,j=1}^n x_{ij}^* x_{ij})^{1/2}$. Similarly, we assume that $b(s) = (\sum_{i,j=1}^n x_{ij}(s)^* x_{ij}(s))^{1/2}$ for every $s \in X$.

Again, note that b is a positive element in \mathcal{M} . By functional calculus, we know there exist an positive integer k' , a family of positive numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k'}$ and orthogonal projections $Q_1, \dots, Q_{k'}$ in \mathcal{M} such that

$$\|b - \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \alpha_i Q_i\| < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|b^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \alpha_i^2 Q_i\| < \epsilon. \quad (4.15)$$

Without loss of generality, we can further assume that

$$\|b(s) - \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \alpha_i Q_i(s)\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall s \in X. \quad (4.16)$$

By a similar argument as the last paragraph, we obtain a spectral projection

$$q_1 = \chi_{[2\epsilon\sqrt{n}, \infty)}(\sum_{i=1}^{k'} \alpha_i Q_i)$$

and projections q_2, q_3, \dots, q_n such that q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n are n orthogonal equivalent projections in \mathcal{M} and $q_1(s) = \chi_{[2\epsilon\sqrt{n}, \infty)}(\sum_{i=1}^{k'} \alpha_i Q_i(s))$ for almost $s \in X$.

Take $h_{ij} = x_{ij} - p_1 x_{ij} q_1$. We may assume that $h_{ij}(s) = x_{ij}(s) - p_1(s) x_{ij}(s) q_1(s)$ for every $s \in X$. In the following we show that $\|h_{ij}\| \leq 3\epsilon\sqrt{n}$. By Proposition 14.1.9 in [16], $\|h_{ij}\|$ is the essential bound of $\{\|h_{ij}(s)\| : s \in X\}$. So it suffices to show that $\|h_{ij}(s)\| \leq 3\epsilon\sqrt{n}$ for almost every $s \in X$. For every $s \in X$,

$$\|h_{ij}(s)\| = \|x_{ij}(s) - p_1(s) x_{ij}(s) q_1(s)\| \leq \|(1 - p_1(s)) x_{ij}(s)\| + \|p_1(s) x_{ij}(s) (1 - q_1(s))\|. \quad (4.17)$$

We have, from (4.10) and (4.15),

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(1 - p_1(s)) x_{ij}(s)\|^2 \\ &= \|(1 - p_1(s)) x_{ij}(s) x_{ij}(s)^* (1 - p_1(s))\|^2 \\ &\leq \|(1 - p_1(s)) a(s)^2 (1 - p_1(s))\|^2 \\ &\leq \|(1 - p_1(s)) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^2 P_i + (a(s)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^2 P_i) \right) (1 - p_1(s))\|^2 \\ &\leq 4\epsilon^2 n + \epsilon^2 \leq 5\epsilon^2 n \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|p_1(s)x_{ij}(s)(1 - q_1(s))\|^2 \\
& \leq \|x_{ij}(s)(1 - q_1(s))\|^2 \\
& = \|(1 - q_1(s))x_{ij}(s)^*x_{ij}(s)(1 - q_1(s))\| \\
& \leq 5\epsilon^2 n,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

for almost every $s \in X$.

It follows from (4.17), (4.18) and (4.19) that for any $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,

$$\|h_{ij}\| \leq 3\epsilon\sqrt{n}.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Now we are ready to prove the following result as a generalization of Theorem 13 in [20].

THEOREM 4.4. *Let \mathcal{M} be a countably decomposable type II₁ von Neumann algebra. If \mathcal{M} has Property Γ , then $d(\mathcal{M}) \leq 5$.*

PROOF. To show that $d(\mathcal{M}) = l(\mathcal{M}) \leq 5$, it suffices to prove that there exists a positive scalar k such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $x = (x_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathcal{M})$,

$$\|x\|_{(5, \mathcal{M})} \leq k\|x\|.$$

In the following we fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x = (x_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathcal{M})$. We may assume that $\|x\| = 1$.

Let $F = \{x_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ be a finite subset of \mathcal{M} . Note \mathcal{M} is a countably decomposable type II₁ von Neumann algebra with Property Γ . From Lemma 3.6, it follows that there exists a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M}_1 with separable predual and with Property Γ such that $F \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. It is easy to see from Definition 4.1 that

$$\|x\|_{(5, \mathcal{M})} \leq \|x\|_{(5, \mathcal{M}_1)}.$$

Hence, to prove the theorem, it suffices to show that there exists a universal constant $k > 0$ such that

$$\|x\|_{(5, \mathcal{M}_1)} \leq k.$$

Replacing \mathcal{M} by \mathcal{M}_1 , we can assume that \mathcal{M} has separable predual and Property Γ .

Since \mathcal{M} has separable predual, by Proposition A.2.1 in [14], there is a faithful normal representation π of \mathcal{M} on a separable Hilbert space H . Replacing \mathcal{M} by $\pi(\mathcal{M})$ if necessary, we assume that \mathcal{M} is acting on a separable Hilbert space.

Let $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the center of \mathcal{M} . Let $\mathcal{M} = \int_X \bigoplus \mathcal{M}_s d\mu$ and $H = \int_X \bigoplus H_s d\mu$ be the direct integral decompositions of \mathcal{M} and H relative to $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}$ as in Lemma 2.4. By Proposition 3.12 in [23], we may assume that \mathcal{M}_s is a type II₁ factor with Property Γ for every $s \in X$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, applying Corollary 4.2 in [23], we obtain n orthogonal equivalent projections p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n in \mathcal{M} summing to I such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \|(x_{ij} - \sum_{m=1}^n p_m x_{ij} p_m)(s)\|_{2,s}^2 < \epsilon^2, \tag{4.20}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{2,s}$ is the 2-norm induced by the unique trace τ_s on \mathcal{M}_s for $s \in X$ almost everywhere. Decompose

$$x = \left(\sum_{m=1}^n p_m x_{ij} p_m \right) + \left(x_{ij} - \sum_{m=1}^n p_m x_{ij} p_m \right). \quad (4.21)$$

Let $x_m = (p_m x_{ij} p_m)$ for $1 \leq m \leq n$. Therefore $x_m = (1 \otimes p_m)x(1 \otimes p_m)$ for $1 \leq m \leq n$. By applying Lemma 5 in [20], for each m ,

$$\|x_m\|_{(3,\mathcal{M})} \leq 1.$$

Since $\left(\sum_{m=1}^n p_m x_{ij} p_m \right) = \left(\sum_{m=1}^n p_m (p_m x_{ij} p_m) p_m \right)$, by Lemma 14 in [20],

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{m=1}^n p_m x_{ij} p_m \right) \right\|_{(5,\mathcal{M})} \leq 1. \quad (4.22)$$

Let $x'_{ij} = x_{ij} - \sum_{m=1}^n p_m x_{ij} p_m$ for each $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. It follows that $\|(x'_{ij})\| \leq 2$. By Lemma 4.2, (4.20) implies that there exist n orthogonal equivalent projections p'_1, p'_2, \dots, p'_n and n orthogonal equivalent projections q'_1, q'_2, \dots, q'_n such that

$$x'_{ij} = p'_1 x'_{ij} q'_1 + h'_{ij}$$

with $\|h'_{ij}\| \leq 3\epsilon\sqrt{n}$. By Lemma 5 in [20],

$$\|(p'_1 x'_{ij} q'_1)\|_{(5,\mathcal{M})} \leq \|(p'_1 x'_{ij} q'_1)\|_{(3,\mathcal{M})} = \|(1 \otimes p'_1)(x'_{ij})(1 \otimes q'_1)\|_{(3,\mathcal{M})} \leq 2. \quad (4.23)$$

Since $\|h'_{ij}\| \leq 3\epsilon\sqrt{n}$ for every $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(h'_{ij})\|_{(5,\mathcal{M})} &\leq n\|(h'_{ij})\| \\ &\leq n^3 \sup\{\|h'_{ij}\| : i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\} \\ &\leq 3n^3\sqrt{n}\epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

By (4.21), (4.22), (4.23) and (4.24), $\|x\|_{(5,\mathcal{M})} \leq 3 + 3n^3\sqrt{n}\epsilon$. Since ϵ was arbitrarily chosen, we obtain that $\|x\|_{(5,\mathcal{M})} \leq 3$. Therefore

$$d(\mathcal{M}) \leq 5.$$

The proof is complete. □

5. Similarity degree of a class of C*-algebras

In this section we will deal with the similarity degree of a class of C*-algebras with certain properties. We will conclude that $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$ if \mathcal{A} is a separable unital \mathcal{Z} -stable C*-algebra.

Before we prove the main theorem of this section, we give the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra with the type decomposition*

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_{c_1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{c_\infty} \oplus \mathcal{M}_\infty,$$

where \mathcal{M}_1 is a type I von Neumann algebra, \mathcal{M}_{c_1} is a type II₁ von Neumann algebra, \mathcal{M}_{c_∞} is a type II_∞ von Neumann algebra and \mathcal{M}_∞ is a type III von Neumann algebra. Suppose \mathcal{M}_{c_1} has finite similarity degree. If ϕ is a bounded unital representation of \mathcal{M} on a Hilbert space H , which is continuous from \mathcal{M} , with the topology $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\sharp)$, to $B(H)$, with the topology $\sigma(B(H), B(H)_\sharp)$, then ϕ is completely bounded.

PROOF. Observe that there is no trace on $\mathcal{M}_{c_\infty} \oplus \mathcal{M}_\infty$. It follows from Theorem 8 in [20] that $\mathcal{M}_{c_\infty} \oplus \mathcal{M}_\infty$ has finite similarity degree. From the hypothesis, \mathcal{M}_{c_1} has finite similarity degree.

Since \mathcal{M}_1 is of type I, there is a directed collection $\{\mathcal{A}_i : i \in I\}$ of finite dimensional unital C*-subalgebras such that $\cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ is dense in \mathcal{M}_1 under the topology $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\sharp)$. Let \mathcal{A} be the norm closure of $\cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$. Then \mathcal{A} is also dense in \mathcal{M}_1 under the topology $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\sharp)$. Each \mathcal{A}_i is finite dimensional, therefore \mathcal{A}_i is nuclear for each $i \in I$. It follows from Proposition 11.3.12 in [16] that \mathcal{A} is nuclear. By Theorem 4.1 in [3], \mathcal{A} has finite similarity degree.

Now let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{c_1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{c_\infty} \oplus \mathcal{M}_\infty$. Then \mathcal{B} is dense in \mathcal{M} under the topology $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\sharp)$ and we can obtain that \mathcal{B} has finite similarity degree by Remark 6 in [19]. Thus the restriction of ϕ to \mathcal{B} is completely bounded. Notice that ϕ is continuous from \mathcal{M} , with the topology $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\sharp)$, to $B(H)$, with the topology $\sigma(B(H), B(H)_\sharp)$. Now we conclude that ϕ is a completely bounded representation of \mathcal{M} on H . □

The following Theorem gives an estimation of $\|\phi\|_{cb}$ in the case that \mathcal{M}_{c_1} is a countably decomposable type II₁ von Neumann algebra with Property Γ . The main idea of the proof of the following theorem is based on the one used by Christensen in [6].

THEOREM 5.2. *Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra with the type decomposition*

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_{c_1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{c_\infty} \oplus \mathcal{M}_\infty,$$

where \mathcal{M}_1 is a type I von Neumann algebra, \mathcal{M}_{c_1} is a type II₁ von Neumann algebra, \mathcal{M}_{c_∞} is a type II_∞ von Neumann algebra and \mathcal{M}_∞ is a type III von Neumann algebra. Suppose \mathcal{M}_{c_1} is a countably decomposable von Neumann algebra with Property Γ . If ϕ is a bounded unital representation of \mathcal{M} on a Hilbert space H , which is continuous from \mathcal{M} , with the topology $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\sharp)$, to $B(H)$, with the topology $\sigma(B(H), B(H)_\sharp)$, then ϕ is completely bounded and $\|\phi\|_{cb} \leq \|\phi\|^3$.

PROOF. By Theorem 4.4 we can obtain that \mathcal{M}_{c_1} has finite similarity degree. It follows directly from Lemma 5.1 that ϕ is completely bounded. Therefore there exists an invertible positive operator $t \in B(H)$ such that $\pi(\cdot) = t\phi(\cdot)t^{-1}$ is a unital *-homomorphism. Since ϕ is continuous from \mathcal{M} in $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\sharp)$ topology to $B(H)$ in $\sigma(B(H), B(H)_\sharp)$ topology, π is continuous from \mathcal{M} in $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\sharp)$ topology to $B(H)$ in $\sigma(B(H), B(H)_\sharp)$ topology.

Let $\mathcal{I} = \ker(\pi)$. Then \mathcal{I} is a two-sided ideal in \mathcal{M} and is closed in $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\sharp)$ topology. From Proposition 1.10.5 in [25], it follows that there is a central projection p in \mathcal{M} such that

$\mathcal{I} = p\mathcal{M}$. Let $\phi_p, \pi_p : (I - p)\mathcal{M} \rightarrow B(H)$ be defined by

$$\phi_p(a) = \phi(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_p(a) = \pi(a) \quad \text{for } a \in (I - p)\mathcal{M}.$$

By the choice of p , we know that ϕ_p and π_p are injective homomorphisms from $(I - p)\mathcal{M}$ to $B(H)$ such that $\|\phi_p\| = \|\phi\|$, $\|\phi_p\|_{cb} = \|\phi\|_{cb}$, and $\phi_p(\cdot) = t\pi_p(\cdot)t^{-1}$. Moreover, by the fact that p is a central projection, we have

$$(I - p)\mathcal{M} = (I - p)\mathcal{M}_1 \oplus (I - p)\mathcal{M}_{c_1} \oplus (I - p)\mathcal{M}_{c_\infty} \oplus (I - p)\mathcal{M}_\infty,$$

and $(I - p)\mathcal{M}_{c_1}$ is a type II₁ von Neumann algebra with Property Γ . Replacing \mathcal{M}, ϕ , and π by $(I - p)\mathcal{M}, \phi_p$, and π_p if necessary, we might assume that

ϕ is an injective homomorphism and π is a *-isomorphism.

Dividing t by $\|t\|$ if necessary, we assume that $\|t\| = 1$.

Let $(\mathcal{M})_1$ be the unit ball of \mathcal{M} and $(\pi(\mathcal{M}))_1$ be the unit ball of $\pi(\mathcal{M})$. Note $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\#)$ topology (or $\sigma(B(H), B(H)_\#)$ topology) coincides with the weak operator topology (*WOT*) on bounded subsets of \mathcal{M} (or $B(H)$ respectively). Since π is continuous from \mathcal{M} in $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\#)$ topology to $B(H)$ in $\sigma(B(H), B(H)_\#)$ topology, π is *WOT-WOT* continuous when restricted to bounded subsets of \mathcal{M} . Therefore $\pi(\mathcal{M})$ is a von Neumann algebra.

Let p_1, p_2, p_3 be central projections in \mathcal{M} with sum I such that $p_1\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1$, $p_2\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{c_1}$ and $p_3\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{c_\infty} \oplus \mathcal{M}_\infty$. By assumption on \mathcal{M} , we know that \mathcal{M}_{c_1} is a type II₁ von Neumann algebra with Property Γ . Moreover

$$q_i = \pi(p_i)$$

is a central projection in $\pi(\mathcal{M})$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3$ and we can decompose

$$\pi = \pi_1 \oplus \pi_2 \oplus \pi_3,$$

where

$$\pi_i(a) = \pi(p_i a) = q_i \pi(a), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{M}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Since π is *WOT-WOT* continuous when restricted to bounded subsets of \mathcal{M} , each π_i is *WOT-WOT* continuous on bounded sets of \mathcal{M} . If one of π_1, π_2, π_3 is trivial, the following proof will be simplified. Here we assume that they are all nontrivial. By a similar argument as the preceding paragraph, we obtain that $\pi_i(\mathcal{M})$ is a von Neumann algebra over $q_i H$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Since π is a *-isomorphism from \mathcal{M}_{c_1} onto $\pi(\mathcal{M}_{c_1}) = \pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{c_1})$ and \mathcal{M}_{c_1} is a countably decomposable type II₁ von Neumann algebra with Property Γ , $\pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{c_1})$ is a countably decomposable type II₁ von Neumann algebra with Property Γ .

Let $c = \|\phi\|$. It follows that for any $a \in (\mathcal{M})_1$,

$$\phi(a)\phi(a)^* \leq c^2.$$

Since $\pi(\cdot) = t\phi(\cdot)t^{-1}$ and t is positive, we obtain that for any $a \in (\mathcal{M})_1$,

$$\pi(a)t^2\pi(a)^* \leq c^2t^2. \tag{5.1}$$

Since π is a $*$ -isomorphism between \mathcal{M} and $\pi(\mathcal{M})$, we know that $\pi((\mathcal{M})_1) = (\pi(\mathcal{M}))_1$. Now, equation (5.1) implies that for any unitary $u \in \pi(\mathcal{M})$, $ut^2u^* \leq c^2t^2$. Therefore for any unitaries $u, v \in \pi(\mathcal{M})$,

$$ut^2u^* \leq c^2vt^2v^*. \quad (5.2)$$

To show $\|\phi\|_{cb} \leq c^3$, we just need to prove that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any unitary $U \in M_n(\mathcal{M})$,

$$\pi^{(n)}(U)(I_n \otimes t^2)\pi^{(n)}(U^*) \leq c^6(I_n \otimes t^2), \quad (5.3)$$

where $\pi^{(n)} : M_n(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow B(H^n)$ is the n -folded map of π .

Now fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\epsilon > 0$ and a unitary $U \in M_n(\mathcal{M})$. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a unit vector in H^n . Denote

$$x^{(i)} = (I_n \otimes p_i)x = (x_1^{(i)}, x_2^{(i)}, \dots, x_n^{(i)}), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

In the following we will carry out computations according to the representations π_1, π_2, π_3 and prove inequality (5.3) in each case.

We will first replace t^2 by an element that commutes with q_1, q_2 and q_3 .

Note $\pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{c_1})$ is a countably decomposable type II₁ von Neumann algebra with Property Γ . Thus there exists a faithful normal tracial state ρ on $\pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{c_1})$. Let $\|\cdot\|_2$ be the 2-norm induced by ρ on $\pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{c_1})$. Then 2-norm topology coincides with the strong operator topology on bounded subsets of $\pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{c_1})$. It follows from Corollary 3.4 in [23] that we can obtain n orthogonal equivalent projections r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n in $\pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{c_1})$ with sum q_2 such that, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,

$$\|(I_n \otimes (1 - r_i))(\pi_2)^{(n)}(U_2)(I_n \otimes r_i)x^{(2)}\| \leq \left(\frac{\epsilon}{n^3}\right)^{1/2}. \quad (5.4)$$

From the fact that projections r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n are orthogonal equivalent projections in $\pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{c_1})$, there exists a system of matrix units $\{r_{ij} : i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \subseteq \pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{c_1})$ in such that $r_{ii} = r_i$ for each i . Denote by \mathcal{N} the von Neumann algebra generated by $\{r_{ij} : i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. It follows that $\mathcal{N} \cong M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $q_2 \in \mathcal{N}$.

Since \mathcal{M}_{c_∞} is of type II _{∞} and \mathcal{M}_∞ is of type III, there exist subfactors \mathcal{B}_2 in \mathcal{M}_{c_∞} and \mathcal{B}_3 in \mathcal{M}_∞ such that $\mathcal{B}_2 \cong \mathcal{B}_3 \cong B(l^2(\mathbb{N}))$. Hence, there exists a subfactor \mathcal{B} of $\pi_3(\mathcal{M}) (= \pi_3(\mathcal{M}_{c_\infty} \oplus \mathcal{M}_\infty))$ such that $\mathcal{B} \cong B(l^2(\mathbb{N}))$ and $q_3 \in \mathcal{B}$. Take $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{B}' \cap \pi_3(\mathcal{M})$. By Lemma 11.4.11 in [16],

$$\pi_3(\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

Let $\mathcal{R} = \pi_1(\mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{B}$. Since \mathcal{M}_1 is of type I, the von Neumann algebra $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}) (= \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_1))$ is of type I. By the choices of \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{N} , we know that \mathcal{R} is a type I von Neumann algebra and thus an injective von Neumann algebra. Let \mathcal{U} be the unitary group of \mathcal{R} . Hence the set

$$W = \overline{\text{conv}}^{uw} \{ut^2u^* : u \in \mathcal{U}\} \cap \mathcal{R}' \quad (5.5)$$

is nonempty, where $\overline{\text{conv}}^{uw} \{ut^2u^* : u \in \mathcal{U}\}$ denotes the closure of the convex hull of the set $\{ut^2u^* : u \in \mathcal{U}\}$ in the ultraweak topology. Choose $m \in W$. It is clear to see that $\|m\| \leq \|t^2\| \leq 1$. By equation (5.2), for any unitaries $u, v \in \pi(\mathcal{M})$,

$$umu^* \leq c^2vmv^*. \quad (5.6)$$

Since q_1, q_2 and q_3 are in \mathcal{R} , we obtain that m commutes with q_1, q_2 and q_3 . Let

$$m_1 = q_1 m, \quad m_2 = q_2 m \quad \text{and} \quad m_3 = q_3 m.$$

Therefore inequality (5.6) implies

(i) for any unitaries $u, v \in \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_1)$,

$$um_1 u^* \leq c^2 v m_1 v^*; \quad (5.7)$$

(ii) for any unitaries $u, v \in \pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{c_1})$,

$$um_2 u^* \leq c^2 v m_2 v^*; \quad (5.8)$$

(iii) for any unitaries $u, v \in \pi_3(\mathcal{M}_{c_\infty} \oplus \mathcal{M}_\infty)$,

$$um_3 u^* \leq c^2 v m_3 v^*. \quad (5.9)$$

Since $m \in \mathcal{R}'$, it commutes with $\pi_1(\mathcal{M})$. Thus $I_n \otimes m_1$ commutes with $\pi_1^{(n)}(\mathcal{M})$. So we obtain that

$$(\pi_1)^{(n)}(U)(I_n \otimes m_1)(\pi_1)^{(n)}(U^*) = (I_n \otimes m_1)(\pi_1)^{(n)}(U)(\pi_1)^{(n)}(U^*) = I_n \otimes m_1. \quad (5.10)$$

Since $m \in \mathcal{R}'$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{B}' \cap \pi_3(\mathcal{M})$, we get $m_3 \in \mathcal{K}$. Combining inequality (5.9) with the facts that $\mathcal{B} \cong B(l^2(\mathbb{N}))$, $\pi_3(\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $m \in \mathcal{K}$, we conclude that

$$(\pi_3)^{(n)}(U)(I_n \otimes m_3)(\pi_3)^{(n)}(U^*) \leq c^2(I_n \otimes m_3). \quad (5.11)$$

In the following we will deal with $(\pi_2)^{(n)}$ and \mathcal{M}_{c_1} . We will use arguments similar to the ones used in the proof of Lemma 5 in [20] and in the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [6].

Recall r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n are orthogonal equivalent projections in $\pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{c_1})$ such that

$$r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n = q_2. \quad (5.12)$$

Also recall that $\{r_{ij} : i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a system of matrix units of \mathcal{N} satisfying, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we have $r_{ii} = r_i$ and

$$\| (I_n \otimes (1 - r_i)) (\pi_2)^{(n)}(U_2) (I_n \otimes r_i) x^{(2)} \| \leq \left(\frac{\epsilon}{n^3}\right)^{1/2}. \quad (5.13)$$

Let (e_{ij}) be a system of matrix units for $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. For $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let

$$f_k = \sum_{i=1}^n e_{i1} \otimes r_{ki}.$$

Therefore for each $k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$f_k f_k^* = I_n \otimes r_k, \quad f_k^* f_k = e_{11} \otimes q_2 \quad \text{and} \quad f_k(I_n \otimes m_2) = (I_n \otimes m_2)f_k. \quad (5.14)$$

Since $\|m\| \leq 1$, by equation (5.12) and inequalities (5.13), (5.14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle (\pi_2)^{(n)}(U)(I_n \otimes m_2)(\pi_2)^{(n)}(U^*)x^{(2)}, x^{(2)} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \langle (I_n \otimes r_i)(\pi_2)^{(n)}(U)(I_n \otimes r_j)(I_n \otimes m_2)(\pi_2)^{(n)}(U^*)(I_n \otimes r_k)x^{(2)}, x^{(2)} \rangle \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \langle (I_n \otimes r_k)(\pi_2)^{(n)}(U)(I_n \otimes r_k)(I_n \otimes m_2)(\pi_2)^{(n)}(U^*)(I_n \otimes r_k)x^{(2)}, x^{(2)} \rangle + \epsilon \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle f_k f_k^* (\pi_2)^{(n)}(U) f_k f_k^* (I_n \otimes m_2) (\pi_2)^{(n)}(U^*) f_k f_k^* x^{(2)}, x^{(2)} \rangle + \epsilon \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle f_k^* (\pi_2)^{(n)}(U) f_k (I_n \otimes m_2) f_k^* (\pi_2)^{(n)}(U^*) f_k f_k^* x^{(2)}, f_k^* x^{(2)} \rangle + \epsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

For each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let

$$y_k = f_k^* x^{(2)} \quad \text{and} \quad a_k = f_k^* (\pi_2)^{(n)}(U) f_k \tag{5.16}$$

By the choice of f_k , we have

$$a_k = (e_{11} \otimes q_2) a_k (e_{11} \otimes q_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \|a_k\| = \|f_k^* (\pi_2)^{(n)}(U) f_k\| \leq \|f_k^*\| \|f_k\| = 1.$$

Denote $a_k = e_{11} \otimes a'_k$, where $a'_k \in \pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{c_1})$ is a contraction. As $\pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{c_1})$ is of type II₁, we can find two unitaries u_k and v_k in $\pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{c_1})$ such that $a'_k = \frac{u_k + v_k}{2}$. So

$$a_k = \frac{1}{2}(e_{11} \otimes (u_k + v_k)), \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, n. \tag{5.17}$$

By inequality (5.8),

$$(e_{11} \otimes u_k)(I_n \otimes m_2)(e_{11} \otimes u_k)^* \leq c^2(e_{11} \otimes m_2) \tag{5.18}$$

and

$$(e_{11} \otimes v_k)(I_n \otimes m_2)(e_{11} \otimes v_k)^* \leq c^2(e_{11} \otimes m_2) \tag{5.19}$$

It follows from (5.15), (5.16) and (5.17) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle (\pi_2)^{(n)}(U)(I_n \otimes m_2)(\pi_2)^{(n)}(U^*)x^{(2)}, x^{(2)} \rangle \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \langle f_k^*(\pi_2)^{(n)}(U)f_k(I_n \otimes m_2)f_k^*(\pi_2)^{(n)}(U^*)f_k f_k^* x^{(2)}, f_k^* x^{(2)} \rangle + \epsilon \\
& = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^n \langle (e_{11} \otimes (u_k + v_k))(I_n \otimes m_2)(e_{11} \otimes (u_k + v_k))^* y_k, y_k \rangle + \epsilon \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^n \langle (e_{11} \otimes (u_k + v_k))(I_n \otimes m_2)(e_{11} \otimes (u_k + v_k))^* y_k, y_k \rangle \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^n \langle (e_{11} \otimes (u_k - v_k))(I_n \otimes m_2)(e_{11} \otimes (u_k - v_k))^* y_k, y_k \rangle + \epsilon \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \langle (e_{11} \otimes u_k)(I_n \otimes m_2)(e_{11} \otimes u_k)^* y_k, y_k \rangle \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \langle (e_{11} \otimes v_k)(I_n \otimes m_2)(e_{11} \otimes v_k)^* y_k, y_k \rangle + \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by (5.18) and (5.19),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle (\pi_2)^{(n)}(U)(I_n \otimes m_2)(\pi_2)^{(n)}(U^*)x^{(2)}, x^{(2)} \rangle \\
& \leq c^2 \sum_{k=1}^n \langle (e_{11} \otimes m_2)y_k, y_k \rangle + \epsilon \\
& = c^2 \sum_{k=1}^n \langle f_k(I_n \otimes m_2)f_k^* x^{(2)}, x^{(2)} \rangle + \epsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

Since f_k commutes with $I_n \otimes m_2$, we obtain from (5.20) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle (\pi_2)^{(n)}(U)(I_n \otimes m_2)(\pi_2)^{(n)}(U^*)x^{(2)}, x^{(2)} \rangle & = c^2 \sum_{k=1}^n \langle f_k f_k^*(I_n \otimes m_2)x^{(2)}, x^{(2)} \rangle + \epsilon \\
& = c^2 \langle (I_n \otimes m_2)x^{(2)}, x^{(2)} \rangle + \epsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

Since x and ϵ were arbitrarily chosen, (5.21) implies that

$$(\pi_2)^{(n)}(U)(I_n \otimes m_2)(\pi_2)^{(n)}(U^*) \leq c^2(I_n \otimes m_2). \tag{5.22}$$

Hence by inequalities (5.10), (5.11) and (5.22), we obtain

$$\pi^{(n)}(U)(I_n \otimes m)\pi^{(n)}(U^*) \leq c^2(I_n \otimes m), \quad \text{for all unitary } U \in M_n(\mathcal{M}). \tag{5.23}$$

Since $m \in W$, it follows from (5.2) and (5.5) that $t^2 \leq c^2 m$ and $m \leq c^2 t^2$. Therefore (5.23) implies

$$\pi^{(n)}(U)(I_n \otimes t^2)\pi^{(n)}(U^*) \leq c^6(I_n \otimes t^2), \quad \text{for all unitary } U \in M_n(\mathcal{M}).$$

It follows that

$$\|\phi\|_n \leq \|\phi\|^3 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$\|\phi\|_{cb} \leq \|\phi\|^3.$$

The proof is complete. \square

The class of C*-algebras in the following theorem was considered in [11] and it was shown in [11] such C*-algebras have similarity degree no more than 11. The following theorem gives a better estimation.

THEOREM 5.3. *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a separable unital C*-algebra satisfying*

*Condition (G): if π is a unital *-representation of \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert space H such that $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ is a type II₁ factor, then $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ has Property Γ , where $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ in $B(H)$.*

Then $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$. Moreover, if \mathcal{A} is non-nuclear, then $d(\mathcal{A}) = 3$.

PROOF. Suppose \mathcal{A} is a separable unital C*-algebra satisfying Condition (G): If π is a unital *-representation of \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert space K_1 such that $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ is a type II₁ factor, then $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ has Property Γ , where $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ in $B(K_1)$.

Suppose ϕ is a unital bounded homomorphism of \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert space K .

Since we just need to show that $\|\phi\|_{cb} \leq \|\phi\|^3$, we can focus on $\phi(\mathcal{A})$ contained in the C*-subalgebra of $B(K)$ generated by $\phi(\mathcal{A})$, which is a separable C*-algebra. Applying the GNS construction to a faithful state on the C*-subalgebra generated by $\phi(\mathcal{A})$ if necessary, we may just assume that K is a separable Hilbert space.

From Lemma 2.3, ϕ can be extended to a bounded unital homomorphism $\tilde{\phi} : \mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp} \rightarrow B(H)$ that is $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}, \mathcal{A}^{\sharp}) \rightarrow \sigma(B(H), B(H)_{\sharp})$ continuous. Moreover $\|\tilde{\phi}\| = \|\phi\|$ and $\|\phi\|_{cb} \leq \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{cb}$.

Let $\mathcal{I} = \ker(\tilde{\phi})$. Thus \mathcal{I} is a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ and is closed in the $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}, \mathcal{A}^{\sharp})$ topology. From Proposition 1.10.5 in [25], it follows that there is a projection p in the center of $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ such that $\mathcal{I} = p\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$. Define $\tilde{\phi}_p : (I - p)\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp} \rightarrow B(K)$ as follows

$$\tilde{\phi}_p(a) = \tilde{\phi}(a), \quad \forall a \in (I - p)\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}.$$

Then $\tilde{\phi}_p$ is a unital injective homomorphism, which is $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}, \mathcal{A}^{\sharp})$ to $\sigma(B(H), B(H)_{\sharp})$ continuous. Moreover, $\|\tilde{\phi}\| = \|\tilde{\phi}_p\|$ and $\|\tilde{\phi}\|_{cb} = \|\tilde{\phi}_p\|_{cb}$.

We claim that $(I - p)\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ is countably decomposable. Assume $\{P_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a family of orthogonal projections in $(I - p)\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ with sum $I - p$. Let \mathcal{B} be the von Neumann subalgebra generated by $\{P_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $(I - p)\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$. Obviously, Kadison's Similarity Problem for \mathcal{B} has an affirmative answer. In other words, there exists a positive invertible operator T in $B(K)$ such that $T\tilde{\phi}_p(\cdot)T^{-1}$ is a *-homomorphism from \mathcal{B} to $B(K)$, whence $\{T\tilde{\phi}_p(P_{\lambda})T^{-1}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a family

of orthogonal projections in $B(K)$. From the facts that K is separable and $\tilde{\phi}_p$ is injective, we conclude that Λ is a countable set and $(I - p)\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ is countably decomposable.

Since \mathcal{A} embeds into $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ as a $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}, \mathcal{A}^{\sharp})$ -dense C*-subalgebra, we know that $(I - p)\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ is countably generated as a von Neumann algebra. Combining with the result that $(I - p)\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ is countably decomposable, we obtain that $(I - p)\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ has a separable predual. Without loss of generality, we might assume that $(I - p)\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ acts on a separable Hilbert space H .

Suppose $(I - p)\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ has a type decomposition

$$(I - p)\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_{c_1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{c_\infty} \oplus \mathcal{M}_\infty,$$

where \mathcal{M}_{c_1} is the type II₁ central summand. We can assume that \mathcal{M}_{c_1} acts on a separable Hilbert space H_1 .

Notice that if $\mathcal{M}_{c_1} = 0$, then the proof of Theorem 5.2 will be simplified and

$$\|\phi\|_{cb} \leq \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{cb} \leq \|\tilde{\phi}\|^3 = \|\phi\|^3.$$

In the following we will assume that \mathcal{M}_{c_1} is nonvanishing and we show that \mathcal{M}_{c_1} is a type II₁ von Neumann algebra with Property Γ . Let $\mathcal{M}_{\int X} = \int_X \bigoplus M_s d\mu$ and $H_1 = \int_X \bigoplus H_s d\mu$ be the direct integral decompositions of \mathcal{M}_{c_1} and H_1 relative to the center of \mathcal{M}_{c_1} . Thus \mathcal{M}_s is a type II₁ factor for almost $s \in X$. Note that \mathcal{A} embeds into $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ as a $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}, \mathcal{A}^{\sharp})$ -dense separable C*-subalgebra. Also note p is a central projection of $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$. By Theorem 14.1.13 in [16], there is a unital *-homomorphism ψ_s from $(I - p)\mathcal{A}$ to \mathcal{M}_s such that $\psi_s((I - p)\mathcal{A})$ generates \mathcal{M}_s as a von Neumann algebra for almost $s \in X$. Let $\hat{\psi}_s : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_s$ be such that $\hat{\psi}_s(a) = \psi_s((I - p)a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\hat{\psi}_s$ is a *-homomorphism from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M}_s such that $\hat{\psi}_s(\mathcal{A})$ generates \mathcal{M}_s as a von Neumann algebra, for $s \in X$ almost everywhere. Since \mathcal{A} satisfies condition (G), \mathcal{M}_s is a type II₁ factor with Property Γ , for $s \in X$ almost everywhere. From Proposition 3.12 in [23], it induces that \mathcal{M}_{c_1} is a type II₁ von Neumann algebra with Property Γ .

Since $\tilde{\phi}_p : (I - p)\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp} \rightarrow B(K)$ is a unital homomorphism, which is $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}, \mathcal{A}^{\sharp})$ to $\sigma(B(H), B(H)_\#)$ continuous, by Theorem 5.2, we have that $\|\tilde{\phi}_p\|_{cb} \leq \|\tilde{\phi}_p\|^3$, whence

$$\|\phi\|_{cb} \leq \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{cb} = \|\tilde{\phi}_p\|_{cb} \leq \|\tilde{\phi}_p\|^3 = \|\tilde{\phi}\|^3 = \|\phi\|^3$$

and $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.

It was shown in [21] that the similarity degree of a C*-algebra is less than or equal to 2 if and only if the C*-algebra is nuclear. Notice that the similarity degree is always an integer. Since $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$, we know if \mathcal{A} is non-nuclear, then $d(\mathcal{A}) = 3$. The proof is complete. \square

DEFINITION 5.4. *A unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A} is said to have Property $c^*\text{-}\Gamma$ if it satisfies Condition (G) in Theorem 5.3, as follows: If π is a unital *-representation of \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert space H such that $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ is a type II₁ factor, then $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ has Property Γ , where $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ in $B(H)$.*

COROLLARY 5.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a separable unital C*-algebra. Suppose \mathcal{B} is a nuclear separable unital C*-algebra with no finite dimensional representations. Then $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{B}$ has Property $c^*\text{-}\Gamma$ and $d(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{B}) \leq 3$, where $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{B}$ is the minimal tensor product of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} .*

PROOF. By Theorem 5.3, we only need to prove the following statement: *if π is a representation of $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{B}$ on a Hilbert space H and $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{B})''$ is a type II_1 factor, then $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{B})''$ has Property Γ .*

Assume that π is a representation of $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{B}$ on a Hilbert space H and $\mathcal{M} = (\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{B}))''$ is a type II_1 factor.

Since $I_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is a nuclear C^* -algebra with no finite dimensional representation, we get that $\pi(I_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B})''$ is an infinite dimensional hyperfinite von Neumann algebra. By the fact that $\pi(I_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B})''$ commutes with $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes I_{\mathcal{B}})$, it follows that $\pi(I_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B})''$ is a hyperfinite type II_1 factor.

Note that (i) \mathcal{M} is the type II_1 factor generated by commuting C^* -subalgebras $\pi(\mathcal{A} \otimes I_{\mathcal{B}})$ and $\pi(I_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B})''$, and (ii) $\pi(I_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{B})''$ is a hyperfinite type II_1 factor. A standard argument shows that \mathcal{M} has Property Γ and the proof of the corollary is complete. \square

Since the Jiang-Su algebra \mathcal{Z} (see definition in [12]) is nuclear, simple and infinite dimensional, we obtain the next corollary directly.

COROLLARY 5.6. *If a separable, non-nuclear, unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{Z} -stable, then $d(\mathcal{A}) = 3$.*

EXAMPLE 5.7. *Let F_2 be a non-abelian free group on two generators a, b and $C_r^*(F_2)$ be the reduced C^* -algebra of free group factor on two standard generators $\lambda(a), \lambda(b)$. Let $\text{Aut}(C_r^*(F_2))$ be the automorphism group of $C_r^*(F_2)$. Let θ be an irrational number. Let \mathbb{Z} be the group of integers and g be a generator of \mathbb{Z} . Let*

$$\alpha : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_r^*(F_2))$$

be a group homomorphism from \mathbb{Z} to $\text{Aut}(C_r^(F_2))$ defined by the following action:*

$$\alpha(g)(\lambda(a)) = e^{2\pi i \theta} \lambda(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(g)(\lambda(b)) = e^{2\pi i \theta} \lambda(b).$$

Let $C_r^(F_2) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ be the reduced crossed product of $C_r^*(F_2)$ by an action α of \mathbb{Z} . Then $C_r^*(F_2) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ has Property c^* - Γ (see [11]) and $d(C_r^*(F_2) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}) = 3$.*

References

- [1] B. Barnes, The similarity problem for representations of a B^* -algebra, Mich. Math. J. 22 (1975), 25-32.
- [2] J. W. Bunce, The similarity problem for representations of C^* -algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81(1981), 409-414.
- [3] E. Christensen, On nonselfadjoint representations of C^* -algebras, Amer. J. Math. 103(1981), 817-833.
- [4] E. Christensen, Extensions of derivations II, Math. Scand. 50(1982), 111-122.
- [5] E. Christensen, Similarities of II_1 factors with Property Γ , J. Operator Theory 15(1986), 281-288.
- [6] E. Christensen, Finite von Neumann algebra factors with Property Γ , J. Funct. Anal. 186(2001), 366-380.
- [7] E. Christensen, F.Pop, A.M. Sinclair and R.R. Smith, Hochschild cohomology of factors with Property Γ , Ann. Math. 158(2003), 635-659.
- [8] J. Dixmier, Quelques propriétés des suites centrales dans les facteurs de type II_1 , Invent. Math. 7(1969), 215-225.
- [9] U. Haagerup, Solution of the similarity problem for cyclic representations of C^* -algebras, Annals of Math. 118(1983), 215-240.
- [10] D. Hadwin, Dilation and Hahn decomposition for linear maps, Canadian J. Math. 33 (1981), 826-839.
- [11] D. Hadwin and J. Shen, A modified similarity degree for C^* -algebras, (2012) arxiv/math.OA/1211.4855v1.
- [12] X. Jiang and H. Su, On a simple unital projectionless C^* -algebra, Amer. J. Math., 121(1999), 359-413.

- [13] M. Johanesová and W. Winter, The similarity degree for \mathcal{Z} -stable C*-algebras, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 44(6)(2012), 1215-1220.
- [14] V. Jones and V.S. Sunder, *Introduction to Subfactors*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [15] R.V. Kadison, On the orthogonalization of operator representations, *Amer. J. Math.* 77(1955) 600-620.
- [16] R.V. Kadison and J.R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras I,II*, Academic Press, Orlando, 1983, 1986.
- [17] F.J. Murray and J. von Neumann, On rings of operators IV, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 44(1943), 716-808.
- [18] G. Pisier, The similarity degree of an operator algebra, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* 10(1999), 103-146.
- [19] G. Pisier, Similarity problems and length, *Taiwanese Journal of Math.* 5(2001), 1-17.
- [20] G. Pisier, Remarks on the similarity degree of an operator algebra, *Int. J. Math.* 12, 403(2001), 403-414.
- [21] G. Pisier, A similarity degree characterization of nuclear C*-algebras, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 42(2006), No. 3, 691-704.
- [22] F. Pop, The similarity problem for tensor products of certain C*-algebras, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society* 70(03) 2004, 385 - 389.
- [23] W. Qian and J. Shen, Hochschild cohomology of type II₁ von Neumann algebras with Property Γ , *Operators and Matrices*, 9(2015),507-543.
- [24] W. Qian, D. Hadwin and J. Shen, Similarity degree of type II₁ von Neumann algebras with Property Γ , (2014) [arXiv/math.OA/1407.6929v4](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.OA/1407.6929v4).
- [25] S. Sakai, *C*-algebras and W*-algebras*, Springer-Verlag 1971.
- [26] J. von Neumann, On rings of operators. Reduction theory, *Ann. of Math.* 50(1949), 401-485.
- [27] G. Wittstock, Ein operatorwertiger Hahn-Banach Satz, *J. Funct. Analysis*, 40 (1981), 127-150.

WENHUA QIAN, RESEARCH CENTER FOR OPERATOR ALGEBRAS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY(MINHANG CAMPUS), MINHANG DISTRICT, SHANGHAI 200241, CHINA; EMAIL: WHQIAN86@163.COM

JUNHAO SHEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, DURHAM, NH 03824, US; EMAIL: JUNHAO.SHEN@UNH.EDU